

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

November 7, 2008 Vol. 35 No. 44 www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

Time to Choose: WWF Hitlerians or Humanity!
Will the Great Crash Hit After November 17?
Syria Raid: Cheney's Farewell Gift to London

Is There a World After The Burning Bush?



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small, Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editor: *Susan Welsh*

Assistant Managing Editor: *Bonnie James*

Science Editor: *Marjorie Mazel Hecht*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg*

Economics: *Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Law: *Edward Spannaus*

Russia and Eastern Europe:

Rachel Douglas

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almaro*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Rubén Cota Meza*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiwr

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by *EIR News Service, Inc.*, 729 15th St. N. W., Washington, D.C. 20005.
(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 1611, D-65006 Wiesbaden, Germany;
Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650
Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>
e-mail: eirna@eirna.com
Director: Georg Neudekker

Montreal, Canada: 514-855-1699

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico: EIR, Manual Ma. Contreras #100, Despacho 8, Col. San Rafael, CP 06470, Mexico, DF.
Tel.: 2453-2852, 2453-2853.

Copyright: ©2008 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to *EIR*, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Managing Editor

When most of our readers see this issue, the United States will have a new President, and it can be stated without any hesitation that whoever that is will face the greatest challenges confronted by an American President since Franklin D. Roosevelt—but more so. As Lyndon LaRouche has elaborated, including most notably in last week's *Feature*, "A New Dark Age Is Now Near: Today's Brutish Imperialism," the financial-economic meltdown now under way will be the worst in modern history, comparable only with the 14th-Century collapse of the European banking houses that led to the New Dark Age.

LaRouche this week briefly sums up the strategic conjuncture in "A True Classical Tragedy: Our Economy Is Bushed!" Our *Feature* is a follow-on to last week's "Brutish Imperialism" article, taking the case of the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) and affiliated malthusian/genocidal operations that date back to 19th-Century British imperialism, and are virulently active now under the banner of environmentalism. The WWF's call for slashing population and living standards will make your hair stand on end! We back up our analysis of the news developments with reports from our archives on the origins of the WWF and the eugenics/environmentalist mafia.

As we go to press, LaRouche released "The Nov. 11th Resolution: Whereas: The Present World's Monetary System Is Now Hopelessly Bankrupt," which is now on our website. He calls for "a special, closed-door meeting among selected, suitable personalities be convened in Washington, D.C., on November 11, 2008, preceding the scheduled general meeting with U.S. President George W. Bush, Jr., to advise President Bush and other relevant parties on what would be justly demanded of them in the matter of the virtual doomsday character of the world's presently, immediately looming general breakdown-crisis." The meeting would aim to secure Bush's commitment to a "global economic reform which would be consistent with the avowed intention of U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt at Bretton Woods, prior to that President's death."

Finally, an important note: LaRouche will give a webcast speech on Nov. 18, 2008, after the G-20 financial summit. It will be at 1:00 p.m. EST, at www.larouchepac.com, and archived there soon after.



Cover This Week

*Moses and the
Burning Bush*



4 A True Classical Tragedy: Our Economy Is Bushed!

Lyndon LaRouche asserts that the wretchedly corrupt state of the present leadership of U.S. political parties, especially over the last two years, has led to the most deadly threat that the nation has faced during its existence. “In this moment of the gravest threatened crisis in all modern history, the fate of the nation hangs not so much on the particular personality of an elected President whose very life may be in jeopardy, but on those institutions of the Presidency which persist as Presidents come and go.”

7 Is Assassination of Obama Britain’s Next Move?

Feature

8 It’s Time To Choose: WWF Hitlerians or Humanity!

Renewing their push for genocidal policies the World Wildlife Fund and the Zoological Society of London issued a manifesto asserting that three-quarters of the world’s population lives in nations which are using up more resources than the “Earth’s biocapacity” can sustain, and therefore, that governments and international agencies must impose measures which reduce human activity by at least a third, as rapidly as possible.

10 AFRICOM and Control Over Africa’s Resources

11 Ignoramus Charles Rants in Delhi

The British monarchy is still trying to tell India what to do, as Prince Charles campaigns for the old British Raj starvation policy.

14 U.S. Military: Walking in Philip’s Hoofprints

16 The New Environmentalist Eugenics: Al Gore’s Green Genocide

26 Prince Philip’s Murderous Views, in His Own Words

28 World Wildlife Fund’s Genocide in Africa

30 Why London Created Africa’s Game Parks

Economics

32 Stubbornness Worsens World Depression: Will the Great Crash Hit After November 17?

By Helga Zepp-LaRouche. The only way to avoid the total collapse of the world financial system foreseen by some financial circles, would be the prompt agreement on Lyndon LaRouche's financial reorganization proposals.

34 Banking Zombies Say: 'We Refuse To Die,' as Body Parts Fall Off

Bailout facilities for financial institutions have been growing at an accelerating rate, in a vain attempt to keep up with the accelerating losses in the financial system. The banks are, at this point, essentially zombies: the walking dead.

35 LaRouche Reviews His Economic Forecasts

Lyndon LaRouche's forecasts have been correct, though they were widely ignored because people couldn't face the fact that the inflated stock values they were holding on to, were worthless. An excerpt from LaRouche's "Science vs. Statistics: When Fate Hangs on a Forecast," *EIR*, Sept. 7, 2007.

38 New Bretton Woods in Italy: Senator Backs Key Parts of LaRouche's Proposal

Sen. Mario Baldassarri, head of the Finance Committee of the Italian Senate, has endorsed key aspects of Lyndon LaRouche's New Bretton Woods proposal.

International

40 Syria Raid: Cheney's Farewell Gift to Bibi and London

U.S. intelligence sources report that the U.S. the raid against Syria was ordered from the White House, and aimed to sabotage a series of international diplomatic initiatives—including some undertaken recently by senior U.S. officials.

42 The Arab Peace Plan and Israeli Elections

44 Germany: BüSo Charts Plans for Upcoming Elections

47 International Intelligence

Departments

46 Africa Report

Eastern Congo Hit by Destabilization.

Editorial

48 The November Turning Point

A TRUE CLASSICAL TRAGEDY:

Our Economy Is Bushed!

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

October 29, 2008

It is time to be realistic about the situation which will menace the very continued existence of our U.S. Republic, whatever the outcome of the November 4th general election.

What must be addressed in the accompanying report, is the wretchedly corrupted state of the present leadership of the political parties, especially since about February 2007, at a time that the Democratic Party leadership, in particular, had refused to respond to the votes cast by the electorate in the preceding mid-term election—on any leading issue, then, or to the present date.

Similar problems, even critical ones, have existed for our republic during some past times, but the state of our national political affairs during the recent two years has been perhaps the most deadly threat of that type in the entire experience of our nation as a Federal republic. As we go into the 2008 general election, that is the problem which should be uppermost in our mind.

Notably, excepting certain U.S. Presidents, or Vice-Presidents, such as Aaron Burr, whose intentions were those of outright traitors, the outgoing George W. Bush, Jr., after nearly eight years in that office, has created a record for himself, as being, beyond reasonable doubt, the worst excuse for a U.S. President in our republic's history. He was already the worst possible choice actually available when he entered that office, and accomplished little since, except to rise from a complete absence of qualifications for that office, to achieve the more notable status of having been, traitors aside, the most despicable ever.

The disaster of this election has not been accidental. Whatever the developments of the increasingly tumultuous, remaining weeks ahead, George W. Bush, Jr. will go down in the Creator's ledger as the President who did the most in his efforts over eight years, not merely to bankrupt the U.S.A., but to adopt those policies which have amounted to the attempt to plunge the entire planet into what is presently looming as the onrushing threat of becoming the worst dark age in the presently recorded history of mankind.

What prominent political figure of our republic, or any reasonably well-informed foreign nation, could be so stupid, or so craven as to suggest that an incarnate virtual political disease such as President George W. Bush, Jr. could be the author of a remedy for the world's current disasters?

Why were so many citizens, especially the most influential ones, unable to muster the combined wisdom and just plain guts needed to prevent the scheme of the attempted impeaching of former President Bill Clinton, a hoax against our Federal Constitution, which set into motion the chain-reaction of economic and related events which ended with the alleged defeat of Presidential candidate Al Gore, Jr. by an even worse choice, George W. Bush, Jr.?

It is time for the apparent majority of our political influentials to ask themselves, whether their failure to defeat Bush's re-election in 2004, forecast a still worse expression of a continuing national tragedy already clearly in progress, during the still coming days and weeks now immediately ahead. Or, must we fear that what are considered our currently leading political fig-



UN/Marco Castro

George W. Bush has been “the worst excuse for a U.S. President in our republic’s history.”

ures, each and all, are simply lacking in the combination of insight and nerve which we require to lead our republic to survival now.

The only remedy for us now, lies in the potential embodied in the uniquely crucial distinctions of our republic’s Constitution from that of the form of government found, for example, in western and central Europe, still to the present day. In this moment of the gravest threatened crisis in all modern history, the fate of the nation hangs not so much on the particular personality of an elected President whose very life may be in jeopardy, but on those institutions of the Presidency which persist as Presidents come and go.

I explain:

What we are experiencing is no mere recession, but a presently accelerating general financial and physical breakdown of the entirety of this planet, which, unless turned around, now, will accelerate steeply into a planet-wide “new dark age of mankind.”

It is already clear to those who actually understand the present U.S.A. and world situation, that the present pattern of general breakdown of the U.S. economy, an economic-financial breakdown-crisis which erupted at the close of July 2007, is a breakdown crisis with characteristics similar to, but worse than that brought about in mid-Fourteenth-Century Europe. Now, as then, this menace is represented by a pack of financier bandits fully as rapacious as that pack of Anglo-American financier parasites controlling the circles associated with Wall Street champions rallied around President

George W. Bush’s U.S. Treasury Secretary Paulson.

Those citizens who understand what is needed now to save our nation from the already onrushing horror, will reject any opinions on our present situation which are contrary to the warning which I have just stated here. This is no mere depression, no mere echo of 1929; it is a general breakdown-crisis of the financial systems of the entire planet.


There are ways in which to bring the world to safety, even from a crisis as terrible as what has been happening, continuously, as I had warned at the end of July 2007.

Therefore, since there will never be a spontaneous recovery of the planet from the presently accelerated general breakdown-crisis of the entire economies of the world, the only hope lies in a return to the kinds of measures undertaken by President Franklin D. Roosevelt from March 1933, onward. Today’s pervasive problem lies, chiefly, in the confused, general state of mind of our electorate itself, most of whom think of issues of policy in terms of competing political parties, rather than thinking in terms of the common interest of the past, present, and future citizens of our republic. This non-partisan leadership must not be considered as representing mere factions, but as a whole leading constituency of our Presidential system for times of our nation’s existential crises, as now. Our nation—and the world—could not be rescued from the greatest economic crisis in modern world history, unless our government adopts, immediately, the only kind of action which could rescue the world as a whole from the presently onrushing plunge toward a prolonged new dark age.


We of the United States could not save ourselves by options of the U.S. government itself. We require immediate agreement on actions to be taken in concert by such leading nations of the world today as our U.S.A., Russia, China, and India. Without a sweeping change, which eliminates most of the leading trends in economic policy-shaping of those, and other nations, since about 1968, the entire planet, including our own U.S.A., is slipping at a presently accelerating rate, virtually daily, into a total breakdown of the present financial-economic systems of the entire world.

Ours Is Not a Parliamentary System

Even at all times, especially whenever our republic is threatened in an existential way, as now, our citizens should think of the Presidency, rather than as the incumbent President, as the essential element of self-



The U.S. Presidency, rather than the individual President, is the essential element of self-government under our unique, Federal, constitutional system. Left: "Scene at the Signing of the Constitution of the United States"; painting by Howard Chandler Christy (1940). Below: The House of Lords; illustration by Augustus Pugin and Thomas Rowlandson (ca. 1810).



government under the unique, Federal, constitutional system brought into being through the interplay between the coincidental meeting of Society of the Cincinnati and the Constitutional Convention held in Philadelphia at that time.

Our peculiar advantage lies, to a very large degree, in that we are not a European parliamentary system! Nor, is our nation's economy based on the doctrine of the Adam Smith, who was, and remains, to the present day, our enemy of the time of our struggle for national freedom, from that time. We are, by our Constitution's specific principle of a national monopoly on the uttering of public credit, unique among nations in that respect; it is to the essential principle of that uniqueness of our constitutional system that we must turn, when we are being driven, as now, as under the mortal threat from Lord Palmerston's British Empire, to last resorts, again, today.

It is through constitutional authority to launch the sweeping replacement of failed monetary-financial systems, such as those of Britain and most other nations, that we, our nation, and our constitutional republic, alone, are presently capable of initiating the reform needed to launch an immediate recovery of the nations of the world from the presently onrushing avalanche of general breakdown-crisis of the planet as a whole.

Therefore, the crucial question which we must ask ourselves, is: are we capable of using the President to be elected, presumably, on November 4th of this year, to effect immediately the needed reforms needed both to

save our nation and its people? Neither of the prospective Presidents-elect actually has the qualifications for initiating that urgently needed, timely reform on which the continued existence of our republic depends.

Therefore, let us ask a somewhat different question: could the Presidency of our republic use the elected President as the constitutional instrument which could launch that urgently needed general economic reform?

The answer is "Yes." Will that Presidency, which is a mass of institutions and persons gathered around the policy-shaping and other relevant institutions be willing, and capable of mobilizing the needed remedies, where a mere individual President would probably fail? That is the question, a question of far, far greater importance than any individual likely to become the President of our republic by the time of the January next inauguration.

What we must avoid, as if our republic's life depended on it—as it does—is to reject all of the kinds of compromises being cooked up around the President Bush Administration, or similar mish-mash concoctions proposed by sundry interests and institutions

abroad. The survival of civilization requires the immediate adoption of nothing different than the reform, based on replacing the inherently failed design of European-style monetary systems, by the U.S. Constitutional principle of a U.S. constitutional credit-system.

The needed reform will fail unless that specific condition, the junking of international monetary systems, in favor of a Hamiltonian credit-system, is treated efficiently as axiomatic. This requires the included, leading role of the U.S.A., in a small group of leading world powers, such as Russia, China, and India, in agreeing to a principled design of that specific, Hamiltonian, Franklin Roosevelt type. If that is done, and conducted in service of the equitable interests of the nations of the world, we can come successfully out of what would otherwise be, very soon, a general breakdown of every economy in the world over a period of perhaps generations to come.

For such a long-ranging challenge, no mere President, as a personality, could efficiently represent the people of the United States. For this form of problem, we must rely on the Presidency of our United States, rather than any mere President temporarily occupying that office.

My job, as my forecasts of events have now shown my competence to be presently uniquely competent, is to act to mobilize what represents the too-little understood, implicitly immortal institution of the U.S. Presidency as such, to craft that commitment by our republic, which is presently so urgently needed to rescue the world as a whole from the follies which have ruled the world, most emphatically, since the dumping of the legacy of President Franklin D. Roosevelt over the course of the 1968-1981 interval. It is not a merely passing President, but the immortal institution of our implicitly immortal Presidency which is required to commit us to our part in crafting the indispensable new commitment to the hopeful future destiny of humanity as a whole.

Is Assassination of Obama Britain's Next Move?

Oct. 30—The highly probable threat that Barack Obama, especially if he wins the election on Nov. 4, could be assassinated, is currently a matter of the utmost concern among serious political circles in both political parties, Lyndon LaRouche noted today. It is therefore urgent, he added, that there be built a bipartisan com-

mitment to deal with this threat potential. This is not a Democratic or Republican issue, but a national one.

Two immediate measures have to be taken: first, try to prevent such an assassination from taking place; and second, be prepared, if it does, to prevent the kind of riotous disintegration and pulverization of the nation which the authors of such an assassination would be aiming to create.

As LaRouche warned earlier this year, the British enemies of the United States have a history of assassinating American political figures, including Presidents, and they are the only credible force who could and would engineer such an action. True, Obama has recently garnered the apparently enthusiastic endorsements of leading British establishment publications, including the *Financial Times* and the viciously anti-U.S. *Economist* magazine. But, it would be highly unwise to forget the age-old tradition of betrayal with a kiss.

In the midst of the ongoing, unprecedented financial and economic breakdown crisis, there is nothing the Anglo-Dutch financial establishment wants more desperately than to destroy the constitutional, sovereign United States. Thus, the British first deployed to wipe out the candidacy of Hillary Clinton, through their asset George Soros, his creation Barack Obama, and the controlled media. At the same time, they have pulled all the strings required to block, so far, the only effective emergency economic measures that could put the U.S. back into the Franklin Roosevelt tradition, those measures proposed by Lyndon LaRouche.

Yet, as the crisis deepens, the British financiers themselves are ever more desperately afraid of an FDR reflex. Thus, the recent surfacing of their patsy Felix Rohatyn, an admitted hater of Roosevelt and LaRouche, in major European press, allegedly promoting a New Bretton Woods, in opposition to the momentum being created around LaRouche's international proposals for a new monetary system.

The looming danger, however, is that the British, having succeeded in getting "their man" into the U.S. Presidency, will decide that their objectives will best be accomplished by assassinating him. In the face of that threat, sane Republicans and Democrats have to come together as a national force, to defend the country's integrity, and adopt the policies that will save it. LaRouche has committed himself personally to accomplishing this crucial task, in the context of exercising his unique role in providing the only sound policies for stopping the global breakdown crisis.

It's Time To Choose: WWF Hitlerians or Humanity!

by Gretchen Small

The double-digit collapse of physical production in crucial areas of economic activity worldwide, the lawful consequence of decades of globalization, is not yet wreaking sufficient destruction to satisfy the premier environmentalist body of the Anglo-Dutch empire, Prince Philip's World Wildlife Fund, today known simply as the WWF.

In a new manifesto, jointly authored with the Zoological Society of London and what were better named the "Global Hoofprint Network," the WWF asserts that three-quarters of the world's population lives in nations which are using up more resources than the "Earth's biocapacity" can sustain. Therefore, they insist, governments and international agencies must impose measures which reduce human activity on the planet by at least a third, as rapidly as possible, and that, only for starters.

The program presented in the "Living Planet Report 2008," released in four languages on Oct. 29, is one for mass genocide. Its tenet being that the human species' very existence is a "burden" on the Earth; these Malthusians insist that "human demands for food, water, energy and materials" be drastically reduced, by cutting the absolute numbers of people on the planet, and cutting the living standards of those left.

Are you still among the people who think that Lyndon LaRouche is exaggerating when he warns that we have only weeks for key nations to join forces to

crush "Brutish Imperialism," or the human population will collapse in a New Dark Age? Are you, too, still waiting for a "better" moment to fight?

Look again at the worldview of these Brutish oligarchs, as elaborated here, and in the articles which follow. As you do so, hear in your mind, LaRouche's stark description in his article, "Today's Brutish Imperialism" (*EIR*, Oct. 31, 2008), of the consequences of refusing to implement a New Bretton Woods, according to the specifications which he lays out there. In the section on the "Principles of Empire," he explains:

"The baldly exposed current intention of the Anglo-Dutch Liberal, or so-called 'British' empire, is to eliminate the existence of the sovereign nation-state from this planet, now as rapidly as possible. The names for this British campaign include 'globalization,' 'free trade,' and neo-malthusian 'environmentalism.'"

"If such brutish impulses as those are not defeated, and reversed, a vastly shrunk remnant of mankind will inhabit a new barbarism of a planet probably populated by much less than one billions living persons. Presuming that we avoid nuclear warfare, the brutish imperial goal, which is more or less the goal adopted publicly (and with great emphasis) by Britain's Duke of Edinburgh, Prince Philip, would be 'achieved' within approximately one to two generations. Centuries would be required for the descendants of that remnant of humanity to creep and crawl back to something which



Above: The WWF's latest program for genocide. EIR has been exposing the crimes of the WWF and the British monarchy since 1994 (inset).

hope after the depressing chaos of the Weimar Republic. I can understand people latching on to something or somebody who appeared to be appealing to their patriotism and trying to get things going. You can understand how attractive it was."

The late Prince Bernhard wasn't just "enthusiastic" for the Nazis; he was a card-carrying member, who, when reluctantly forced to resign from the SS by royal exigencies during World War II, unrepentantly signed his letter of resignation, "Heil Hitler!"

Man Is Not an Animal!

Patriotic Americans know the British Empire is no friend of the United States, the human race, or, for that matter, the Biosphere. As LaRouche elaborates the argu-

ment in his "Brutish Imperialism," should these Nazis succeed in their demand that the human race violate the laws of *human* ecology and behave as animals—attempting to live "within the constraints of the natural cycles and systems which evolved over millennia," the Biosphere itself would collapse, along with the human race.

The WWF's world is not the beautiful, living, developing universe of our Creator, but a planet conceived as if filled with stuffed animals, like the plush vampire bats and Tasmanian devils WWF enthusiasts receive for their contributions.

The WWF issued its first "Living Planet" report in 1998, with the stated intention of "quantifying the burden placed on the natural environment by humanity." The Fund invented a Living Planet Index (LPI), which purports to measure nature's overall health by tracking "trends in a large number of populations of species in much the same way that a stock market index tracks the value of a set of shares." (The authors admit the LPI of the Neotropic Index in the 2008 report is driven by a "catastrophic decline" of a few amphibians, such as the golden toad of Costa Rica!) As if anything important could be known about the state of the living, dynamic Biosphere by counting numbers of fixed species!

might be regarded as a semblance of what was once known as the relatively civilized state of much of humanity prior to these horrid developments now oncoming today."

In Memory of Hitler

The Hitlerian hatred of humanity exuding from the WWF et al.'s "Living Planet Report," as disgusting as it is, is not surprising. The Fund was founded by two princes of the Anglo-Dutch empire, personally associated with Hitler's SS: the notoriously anti-human Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, and Bernhard of the Netherlands.

Three of Philip's brothers-in-law worked for the Nazis under the Hitler regime; one, Prince Christoph, serving as an SS Colonel attached to Heinrich Himmler's personal staff, went so far as to name his son Adolf, after Hitler. To this day, Philip freely associates himself with his family's avid Nazi participation. Interviewed for the book *The Royals and the Reich* (Oxford University Press, 2006), by author Jonathan Petropoulos, Philip explained that there was "a lot of enthusiasm for the Nazis at the time. The economy was good and we [sic] were anti-Communist." He went on and on: "There was a great improvement in things like trains running on time and building. There was a sense of

Added to that, was an alleged quantification of six basic areas of human consumption which are denounced as “pressuring” the environment: grain, marine fish, wood, freshwater, cement (as a “proxy” for land consumption), and carbon dioxide emissions. Among the blunter conclusions of that first report, was that meat and dairy consumption must be cut, especially in Europe and North America.

The statistical sleight-of-hand used to justify their demand that the world’s population and living standards be drastically reduced, was sexed up in later reports by adoption of the so-called “ecological footprint,” a measure so absurd that it insults the intelligence of any normal person. The hoax starts from the fact that the calculations used to assert that world water, energy, and food resources are all used up, making strife and death inevitable, are premised on “presently existing technology.”

The Living Planet crowd demands that its so-called “Ecological Footprint” and “National Footprint Accounts” be adopted as part of the Millennium Development Goals, thus making their targets for lower living standards and reduced population, conditionalities for loans or foreign aid.

Culling by Global Dictatorship

The WWF-associated “Global Footprint Network” founded by the two nuts who invented the “footprint” fraud, is made up of some of the world’s most rabid Malthusian genocidalists, the Paul Ehrlichs and *Limits to Growth* authors you can read about in the accompanying article on “The New Environmentalist Eugenics.”

One partner in that network bears singling out: the British “charity,” named the Optimum Population Trust. The OPT campaigns openly for a reduction of the world’s population by *two-thirds*, to between 2 and 3 billion people. No one has a “right” to have children, it asserts. In a July 2007 report, titled “Youthquake,” comparing the births of human beings to the devastation of an earthquakes, they suggest “compulsory limits on births may become unavoidable.”

There is only a “slim chance” such measures can be avoided, the OPT writes, and adds, “Might humanity have to suffer the kind of death-dictated control to achieve stabilisation, or reduction by a population crash—a massive cull through violence, disease, starvation or natural disasters—which biology dictates for all other species when their numbers exceed the limits of their environments carrying capacity?”

AFRICOM and Control Over Africa’s Resources

General Kip Ward, commander of President Bush’s newly created United States Africa Command (AFRICOM), speaking to the International Peace Operations Association in Washington, D.C. on Oct. 27, defined the command’s mission as, “in concert with other U.S. government agencies and international partners, [to conduct] sustained security engagements through military-to-military programs, military-sponsored activities, and other military operations as directed to promote a stable and secure African environment in support of U.S. foreign policy.” However, what General Ward would not discuss, is one of the “key strategic interests which drives American policy in Africa,” according to a paper circulated at the event by J. Peter Pham, an expert in Africa defense policy.

In addition to fighting terrorism, disease, and “dictatorships,” Pham lists the objective of “protecting access to hydrocarbons and other strategic resources which Africa has in abundance ... a task which includes *ensuring against the vulnerability of those natural riches and ensuring that no other interested third parties, such as China, India, Japan, or Russia, obtain monopolies or preferential treatment*” (emphasis added).

Pham’s formulation echoes that of Henry Kissinger’s 1974 National Security Study Memorandum (NSSM-200), which, as far as this news service knows, has never been repudiated by the U.S. government. This memorandum stated that U.S. requirements for “large and increasing amounts of raw materials” gave it an “enhanced interest” in ensuring “stability” in the supplying countries, including through decreased population growth.

EIR asked General Ward about this attempt to control Africa’s raw materials, reading from Pham’s article about AFRICOM’s mission to “protect” Africa’s resources from other foreign nations. Ward would not respond to that issue.

—Lawrence Freeman

Ignoramus Charles Rants in Delhi

by Ramtanu Maitra

One would expect that Charles Mountbatten (also known as Prince Charles), scion of the British monarchy that ruled India and brought about the death by starvation of more than 30 million Indians under its rule, would be booted off the podium when he delivered the Albert Howard Memorial Lecture in Delhi, in early October. To the shame of the socialites and the environmentalist NGO that organized this event, that didn't happen.

Instead, Charles, who never did an honest day's work in his highly unproductive life, told the anti-farming urbanites that India should substitute less-productive organic farming for modern agriculture, and ranted against the genetically mutated (GM) crops in use in India and elsewhere. He blamed GM crops as the prime reason why thousands of Indian farmers have committed suicide in recent years.

Charles the Ignoramus, told the Delhites that worldwide organic farming has proved to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to the extent of 35%, both directly and indirectly. Not only would emissions from farm fields be reduced, but the energy used in production of chemical fertilizers and pesticides would also be saved to a considerable extent, he said. Energy would also be conserved if excessive farm mechanization were replaced by improved local sustainable technologies, he said.

This rant of Charles is nothing new, but what is disturbing, is that it was allowed to be carried out in India after what the British ruling class did to Indian agriculture throughout the first half of the 20th Century. Besides looting India's resources, its land was used by the British Raj to grow opium and indigo, destroying soil nutrition and starving millions to death, in order to fill British coffers and subvert other nations. Moreover, during the two World Wars, India's grain was shipped out to feed the British troops in distant lands, while starving the Indian farmers at home.

It is widely known in India that the British colonial rulers did not pursue an active policy of agricultural de-

velopment, despite making modest efforts to formulate a policy. One such effort was the appointment in 1926 of the Royal Commission on Agriculture, which made some recommendations for improving agriculture and promoting the welfare of the rural population. Most of the commission's recommendations were deferred, ostensibly because of the Great Depression of the 1930s. But the fact remains that at least 30 million Indians died of starvation under British rule, and at the time the British left, Indian agriculture was in shambles. Despite the delusion of India's Anglophiles, a flock large enough to fill another large nation, the failure of British policy was in fact a conscious policy, and what Charles is pushing now is the continuation of the same old policy, this time, under the pretext of global warming.

Fat Lies

In this new venture, Charles has hooked up with the American hoaxster, "Fat Albert" Gore. The *Sunday Telegraph* (Dec. 2, 2006) revealed that Charles held a private meeting at Highgrove, his country home, with Gore, the former U.S. Vice President, to discuss their shared passion for saving the environment. Charles is said by aides to be "totally committed" to the scheme in which companies will be urged to assess and reverse the damage they are doing to the environment. This duo is campaigning to bring down food production through the non-usage of modern agriculture, which will result in death by starvation of hundreds of millions in the coming years. This all is being done for the sake of "protecting" the environment and preventing "man-created global warming." In other words, what Charles promoted in Delhi that day was the old British Raj starvation policy under a different cloak.

Besides the perpetual habit of outright lying, Charles also suffers from humongous ignorance. He told the captive audience in Delhi that "worldwide experiences have shown that [organic farming] has led to increased production and productivity." Now, here is a case of outright lying to the generation of Indians whose ancestors had served the British well.

In fact, a British study, which was undertaken by the University of Aberystwyth in association with Elm Farm Research Center, came to a different conclusion. The study said output of cereals, oil seed rape, and sugar beet would be significantly reduced (30% and around 60% respectively), whereas vegetable production would increase, and legumes, in particular grain legumes, would have to increase by around 175%. Which



Creative Commons/Revolve Eco-Rally

His Royal Highness Prince Charles (right foreground) speaks with members of the British upper crust of environmentalist moneybags, in 2007. He is now peddling in India the same thing his ancestors forced on the country in the last century: starvation. But now it's in the guise of protecting "the environment."

raises the question: What on Earth does one do with all these beans?

Charles also criticized genetically modified crops as incapable of resolving the food security issue. "There are reports of GM crops causing health and environmental hazards. We want the world to be GM-free," he said. However, a study of the global impact of GM recently published by Graham Brookes and Peter Barfoot of the U.K. consultancy PG Economics, concluded that, globally, in 2006, the technology reduced pesticide spraying by 286 million kilograms, decreasing the environmental impact of herbicides and pesticides by 15%.

In 2004, a task force, under the chairmanship of the Indian agricultural scientist Dr. M.S. Swaminathan (who played a crucial role along with the American agronomist, Norman Borlaug, in the "Green Revolution" in India that changed the country from a food-short to a food-surplus nation in the late 1970s, within a decade) presented a report to the Union Agriculture Ministry. The task force was to examine the potential and problems of biotechnology applications, particularly genetically modified crops. The thrust was on evolving a long-term policy on the use of agricultural

biotechnology and setting up an independent and professional watchdog, the National Biotechnology Regulatory Authority (NBRA), to generate public confidence in the use of genetically modified organisms (GMO). The panel emphasized the vital role of the regulatory mechanism in generating public, political, professional, and commercial confidence.

The policy on biotechnology, the report pointed out, should provide the direction for research and development based on social, economic, ecological, ethical, and gender equity issues; devise a system for commercialization of transgenic or genetically modified organ-

isms; and formulate a clear policy on GM food. Swaminathan said: "The bottom line of the policy should be the economic well-being of farm families, food security of the nation and the health security of consumers." According to him, protection of the environment and security of national and international trade in farm commodities are equally important.

Royal Lies

On the suicide of thousands of farmers, for which the lying Charles blamed GM crops, the facts, as pointed out by the agricultural correspondent of the Indian news daily, *The Hindu*, P. Sainath, are the following:

1. The central and state governments have drastically cut back investments in rural agriculture. The government does not provide water, seeds, or other inputs necessary for farming. Because the state has withdrawn support for farmers, prices of some basic materials like ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (fertilizer) have quadrupled.

2. As the government has withdrawn support for farmers, prices of farming inputs have skyrocketed. "Ten years ago, a farmer could purchase a bag of seeds for 300 rupees. Now the bag costs 1800 rupees with

1200 rupees as a royalty to Monsanto,” Sainath added.

3. Due to agricultural deregulation (or capitulation to multinational agro-conglomerates), the quality of seeds is worse than ever before. In the past, the Indian government stated that the minimum germination rate for seeds has to be at least 85%. At the behest of corporate demands, the minimum germination rate was reduced to 60%.

Those who listened intently to Charles the Ignoramus on Oct. 2 would do well to remember that, just short of four decades ago, some Western agro-experts believed that India was a “hopeless case.” Back in the early 1960s, India was struggling with food shortfalls, unable to feed its 440 million people. Hunger and malnutrition loomed. Today, there is surplus foodstock available for the world’s largest democracy and its billion-plus population. And, yet, in spite of supporting the biggest food assistance program amongst developing nations, 35% of the world’s malnourished children live in India.

What Sainath pointed out reflects the realities on the ground in India. For a decade now, the Indian leadership’s priorities were in generating foreign exchange through the optimum utilization of India’s educated manpower, a small segment of India’s productive workforce. That foreign exchange reserve, however, is now leaving India’s shores fast, in the wake of the burgeoning financial collapse across the world. Meanwhile, India’s agricultural sector, the mainstay of the survival of the people, has been badly weakened, and India’s vast farmlands are becoming increasingly less productive, threatening a dire food shortage in the future.

The Green Revolution

But, it is a shame. It is a shame because of what has been done to India’s farmers, who were the principal reason that the country became self-sufficient in food, and could keep its sovereignty intact in the difficult decades of the 1970s and 1980s. But it is a shame also because the present crop of Congress Party high-flyers are the so-called flag-bearers of the old Congress Party of former Prime Minister Indira Gandhi, who fought the odds internationally to usher in the Green Revolution that lifted India from being a “basket case” to a food-self-sufficient nation. Despite the devastation caused to the agricultural sector by India’s current leaders, it is still the effects of the Green Revolution that allow India’s people to be fed with minimal food im-

ports. It is evident that Charles came to Delhi to subvert that.

The success of the Green Revolution not only prevented large-scale hunger in India, but enabled the world to see that such a seemingly impossible objective can be reached within a few years if the leadership remains committed, focussed, and dedicated to the purpose. It also provided India the legs to stand on before the world, and project itself as a nation capable of handling difficult odds. Dr. Swaminathan said that Mrs. Gandhi’s efforts to make India self-sufficient in food grains were “more remarkable than even the man walking on the Moon.” Dr. Swaminathan reports that Mrs. Gandhi herself used to say, “The discovery of a new seed variety stirs rural people as much as a spacewalk or a transplanted heart does the more literate classes.”

It is a disgrace that this tradition no longer exists among today’s Indian leaders. What can be found instead is the tolerance among them to let things move backwards. According to Abhijit Sen, economist and Planning Commission member, “our per capita food grain production was back to the 1970s level.”

The figures tell a stark story. In 1979, at the height of the Green Revolution euphoria, per capita availability of cereals and pulses had gone up to 476.5 grams per day.” The corresponding figure in 2006 was 444.5 grams per day, according to provisional government statistics. On one occasion, Sainath had pointed out that “the average rural family today is eating nearly 100 grams less of food grains than six or seven years ago, and the average per capita availability of food grains has declined sharply. In 1991, when reforms began, availability of food per person was 510 grams; today it has fallen to 437 grams.”

Quite simply, agriculture needs another revolution, experts point out. Increasing agricultural productivity should be at the center of this new approach. It is crucial that the sector’s productivity be improved through increased investment in research and development, human capital, extension services, irrigation, and rural infrastructure. Land tenure systems need to be revamped, where necessary.

The rural poor need to be better connected to cities and markets. Macroeconomic policies, credit instruments, and crop insurance need to be made farmer-friendly. In short, agriculture should be treated as a high-value-added, diversified, crucial sector—not a charity case.

U.S. Military: Walking In Philip's Hoofprints

by Carl Osgood

Oct. 31—There was a time in American history when most people would have recognized a call to reduce the world's population as the Nazi policy that it is. This appears to no longer be the case, as exemplified by a speech delivered yesterday by Director of National Intelligence Michael McConnell. McConnell claimed that, "Both economic and population growth will put increasing pressure on a number of highly strategic resources," to include energy, food, and water. These shortages, exacerbated by global warming, will be the drivers for future conflicts, as states and populations seek to acquire what they need to survive. New technologies, meaning biofuels, clean coal and so forth, won't come on-line fast enough to avoid these conflicts. As a result of these tensions, "out to 2025, the probability for conflict between nations and within nation-state entities will be greater. Given the confluence of factors from a new global international system, increasing tension over natural resources, weapons proliferation, things of this nature, we predict an increased likelihood for conflict."

It would appear from McConnell's argument that the intelligence establishment has swallowed, hook, line, and sinker, the British empire's line about the future. While the globalized economy is disintegrating, as a result of the popping of the financial bubbles of the last 20 years, the predatory financier interests of the British empire lie that it's all happening because the planet is overpopulated, and all those people are using up Earth's resources. The U.S. national security establishment, instead of following the American tradition of recognizing the British enemy, follows its line and prepares the American military for such a Dark Age future.

This outlook practically outlaws any of the measures laid out by Lyndon LaRouche in his "A New Dark Age Is Now Near: Today's Brutish Imperialism" (*EIR*, Oct. 31, 2008) that would prevent such a future: a rapid bankruptcy reorganization of the global financial

system, led by the U.S.A., Russia, China, and India, on the principles of Franklin Roosevelt's original Bretton Woods agreement.

The Malthusian Outlook in the U.S. Military

The British Malthusian outlook has been penetrating the U.S. military establishment for some time. One example is a document produced by U.S. Joint Forces Command, entitled Joint Operating Environment (JOE). The JOE document, as reported earlier in *EIR* ("Parson Malthus Joins the U.S. Military," July 11, 2008), purports to lay out what the world that the U.S. joint force will have to operate in, will look like in 2030. It is pessimistic and Malthusian in outlook, and ignores history, in favor of sociological explanations and scientific frauds such as global warming. "The logic of trends and shocks will allow us to examine a number of models of potential future operating environments by combining different trends together to form plausible alternative futures," it declares at the outset. In typical Malthusian fashion, this document assumes an entropic future, in which there is no change in the mode of production. From that assumption, it extrapolates a future in which conflict results from growing shortages of the basic commodities of life, particularly food and energy, where those who have little (especially in "failed states") become the major threat to those who still have plenty, and national governments have little authority or power to defend the welfare of their populations.

By declaring the inevitability of mass migrations, climate change, ethnic and religious radicalism, more failed states, the decline of state sovereignty, growing competition for increasingly scarce resources, and so on, it practically outlaws creative thinking to invent new technologies, or even the use and further development of currently existing technologies, such as nuclear power, that would help to improve the lives of the vast majority of the people on the planet.

The JOE document is not primarily a product of the U.S. military, however. It cites all sorts of other, including British, sources, which it references non-critically. For example, it draws from the infamous report, released on Oct. 30, 2006, by British government economist Sir Nicholas Stern, to claim that the economic impacts of global warming "will range from those associated with resource availability, to increased health costs, and the potential failure of the insurance industry, the world's largest economic sector." Nevermind that

today the insurance industry is collapsing, not from global warming, but rather, from the blowout of quadrillions of dollars of financial derivatives, which is driving the breakdown of the global financial system. Instead of addressing this reality, the Stern report helped pave the way for former Vice President and current hedge fund manager Al Gore's "carbon swaps" fraud.

Another key source for the JOE document is the "Global Strategic Trends Program," issued by the Development, Concepts and Doctrine Center of the British Ministry of Defence in 2007. "Sustained population growth, aggressive economic competition and increased consumption, together with rapid modernization and urbanization *will* result in intensive exploitation and pressure on resources of all kinds," the document claims (emphasis in the original). "These tendencies *will* be aggravated by the consequences of climate change, environmental changes and an increased human footprint," and so on. The JOE document draws from this, that because of climate change, "Food production, cultivation and animal husbandry patterns will be affected and some regions will be unable to grow current food staples, such as rice and green vegetables; fish stocks will diminish or migrate." Other sources for the JOE document include the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and various UN agencies.

Malthusianism Takes Over Force Planning

This Malthusian outlook has become embedded in the Defense Department's force planning methods. A "trends and shocks" study has been undertaken by the Undersecretary of Defense for Policy, as one Pentagon official described it at a conference at the National Defense University last June, to serve as an "alternative futures approach to force planning, not as point prediction but to stretch what forces may be called on to do." The same office develops "defense planning scenarios," which are then fed out to the services to serve as the basis for their force structure planning. The services use these scenarios to develop possible alternative futures against which future capabilities are decided on. The



U.S. Director of National Intelligence Michael McConnell has swallowed British Malthusian lies about conflicts to be expected in the future.

Army's annual Unified Quest war game is one case where such future scenarios are played out, and the results are used to inform decisions about future force structure and capabilities.

The outlook of the JOE document isn't just for policymakers. The Army has recomposed the JOE concept and made it a part of its "human dimension" project at U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (TraDoc), thereby making it part of how the Army is reshaping itself for this Dark Ages future. *The U.S. Army Study of the Human Dimension in the Future*, issued as a TraDoc pamphlet last April, declares, "It is an unfortunate fact that 98 percent of the world's population growth is in the less developed regions of the world." Furthermore, it

complains, most of that population is not Christian, noting that Muslim population growth has duplicated the tenfold growth of Europe from 1500 to 1900, but has done it in just the last 100 years, leading to a "youth bulge." "Combined with a stagnating economy and ineffective governance, the youth bulge sets the conditions for discontent leading to instability and potential conflict," it claims. Following logically from this, is the warning that "Humans are consuming the world's resources at an alarming rate." Water is the most important because "fresh water sustains life," and there is no substitute for it. "Fresh water is a zero sum game; increasing population and increasing demand lead to water scarcity." While the sources cited for this conclusion are not explicitly British, its Malthusian origins should be obvious.

All of the conclusions of the JOE document and the Army human dimension study are perfectly coherent with the new World Wide Fund for Nature *Living Planet Report 2008*, which demands a reduction of human consumption by one-third, and ultimately, the reduction of the world's population itself, just as LaRouche has been warning will happen if this Malthusian genocide is not stopped. The existential question for the U.S. military establishment is, will it be an accessory to this genocide, or will it return to its anti-British, patriotic roots?

The New Environmentalist Eugenics: Al Gore's Green Genocide

by Rob Ainsworth, LaRouche Youth Movement

This article is reprinted from EIR, March 30, 2007.

In January 2007, the U.S. Climate Action Partnership, a consortium of high-profile corporations (BP, Lehman Brothers, DuPont, GE, et al.) and environmentalist groups such as World Resources Institute (WRI), Environmental Defense (ED), and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), issued a press release. The implied intention of USCAP is to transform pollution into a commodity. This has nothing to do with protecting the environment. The true intention is twofold: ensure that the poorest nations of the Earth *never* develop, and lay the foundation of the next speculative financial bubble. In February, at a Global Legislators Organization for a Better Environment (GLOBE) event, World Bank President Paul Wolfowitz praised carbon trading, claiming that it could generate as much as \$200 billion, much of which would go to African nations. Africa could sell its pollution rights and get more money than it currently does in development assistance; but of course, this would mean that Africa would not be allowed to develop. GLOBE was created in 1989 by, primarily, Al Gore and a number of British Parliamentarians, for the express purpose of preventing the world's poorest from raising themselves out of their condition. "Sustainable development" is the equivalent of mass murder.

The environmentalist movement is anything but the grassroots movement it pretends to be. The biggest and most influential groups receive tens of millions of dollars in funding every year, and the boards of trustees and directors reflect this. Who is running the WRI, the NRDC, and ED? Bankers, hedge-fund managers, big oil—the list goes on. But it goes even deeper than this, for the biggest student movements, such as *Focus the Nation*, *Step It Up*, and the *Stop Global Warming Now* movement, are financed, orga-

nized, and deployed by hard-core synarchist Felix Rohatyn. These unwitting young people, organized by New Age fascist freak-show Bill McKibben and his Middlebury College cronies, are designated to be the Jacobin shocktroops which tear apart the social order with their lunatic demands of "pandas, not people!"

Now, with the ongoing collapse of the U.S. subprime mortgage sector and the overall bankruptcy of the world economy, these networks are rushing to set up a new source for speculation; but more importantly, they are attempting to force these CO₂ emissions agreements down the throats of governments as a way of finishing off the nation-state system. Hedge funds such as Al Gore's Generation Investment Management are vultures, scavenging for the last bits of meat left on the carcass of the world economy before it all goes down.

Throughout history, there have been those who, with an eye to specific political objectives, have used terror or the threat thereof against target populations. These threats, real or imagined, have characteristically been outsiders or specters lurking on the periphery, ready to pounce, like the bogeyman in the shadows of a young child's bedroom. However, today, for the first time in history, humanity is confronted with an aspect more terrifying than any external threat, for as the anti-human Club of Rome wrote in its 1991 publication, *The First Global Revolution*, "in searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. But in designating them as the enemy, we fall into the trap of mistaking symptoms for causes. All these dangers are caused by human intervention and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself."

A Turning Point in History

On Aug. 15, 1971, the Bretton Woods System, established by President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, was destroyed by the Anglo-Dutch empire-linked advisors of Richard Nixon, most notably Henry Kissinger and George Shultz. It was a deliberate act by the would-be “post-industrial” wreckers of the nation-state system. It was in this context, with a civilization shattered by the 1960s counterculture and the string of political assassinations, wars, and crises which accompanied it, that human abortions such as Kissinger, Shultz, and Felix Rohatyn (to name but a few) could step in and usurp control of the world economy.

However, these madmen had a problem: by taking down the Bretton Woods System and by getting the United States involved in the folly of the Vietnam War, the physical economy was beginning to collapse from a lack of capital investment. Now, with physical economic output falling, and debt service increasing, the financiers had two options: either go with increased investments in the physical economy machinery—infrastructure, power production, etc.—or go with the economic policy of Nazi Economics Minister Hjalmar Schacht, known today as fiscal austerity. Naturally, having never really joined the human race, these fellows decided to send us all on a one-way trip back to the jungle.

Their plan was not to invest in the population or the physical economy, but rather to embark on a path which, as Lyndon LaRouche recognized in the 1960s, would lead inevitably to fascism, and by a similar route as occurred in Germany in the late 1920s and early 1930s—where the “nature-loving” and “purity-based” ecology-freak counterculture, swarmed en masse into the Hitler Youth and the Nazi Party, and ultimately, on behalf of international financiers, carried out the Schachtian economics that ground up human beings for the state.

The essence of the matter is this: If technological progress is halted, it becomes impossible to sustain a population at the same standard of living. Thus, if the financiers demand that debts come before people, and at the same time the revenue pool from which those payments are made is shrinking, then the people will inevitably be gouged, through wage reductions, price inflation, increasing taxation, and so on.

Kissinger, Shultz, and Rohatyn, not to mention “Fat Albert” Gore, have no scruples about mass-murder or larceny; for them it is simply business. The difficulty was the Constitutional tradition of the United States.

Patriotism, in the tradition of Abraham Lincoln and



British imperialist Cecil Rhodes, founder of the British Round Table, set out to establish institutions which would ensure that his white supremacist policies would outlive him.

Franklin Roosevelt, has always been an aspiration for the entire human race; to replace such a conception with the overtly racist imperialism now rampant in this nation could only be the work of clever criminality, the source of which is found in the rotted heart of the British Empire.

Cecil Rhodes and the Cult of Eugenics

The British East India Company, modelled on the older Levant Company of Venice, had been raping India since the early 1700s; but it wasn't until 1763 that this Venetian faction was able to seize control over the Empire as a whole. It was the rapacious looting policies of this faction that propelled the American colonies to declare their independence.

After the American Revolution, the British launched a renewed drive against India, completely conquering

the Subcontinent by the first years of the new century. It was in this period that the opium trade, for which India was the linchpin, became the dominant pursuit of the Empire.

After Lincoln's victory over the Confederacy in the American Civil War, and even more so after the 1876 Centennial Celebration, it became clear that the United States could not be conquered militarily. The British responded by launching the pseudo-science of eugenics, and also the Round Table movements of Cecil Rhodes and Lord Alfred Milner. In the 1880s and 1890s, this elite movement created the Eugenics Society, founded by Sir Arthur Balfour of the Venetian-origin Cecil family; John Ruskin's Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood, opposing the entire European Renaissance; and the Round Table of Cecil Rhodes, Alfred Milner, Balfour, and their friends, strategists from the African and Asian empire, seeking world power for the Anglo-Saxon master race. These men shared a bored contempt for the existence of mankind, like the satanic Zeus of Aeschylus' *Prometheus Bound*. Their idea was to convince the United States to join them in their quest for Anglo-Saxon world government.

The Round Table of Cecil Rhodes was centered on the imperial networks of South Africa, which later spawned raw materials monoliths such as Rio Tinto Zinc, Anglo American, Lonrho, and DeBeers. It was this inhuman cabal which ran the Boer War, conducted genocide against the black population, and later set up the horrendous Apartheid regime. One of the wealthiest, most influential, and evil men of his day, Rhodes was a virulent racist, or as he and his friends termed it, a race patriot, who wrote in a document called *Confession of Faith*:

"I contend that *we are the finest race in the world and that the more of the world we inhabit the better it is for the human race*. Just fancy those parts that are at present inhabited by *the most despicable specimens of human beings*; what an alteration there would be if they were brought under Anglo-Saxon influence, look again at the extra employment a new country added to our dominions gives. I contend that every acre added to our territory means in the future birth to some more of the English race who otherwise would not be brought into existence. Added to this the absorption of the greater portion of the world under our rule simply means the end of all wars; at this moment *had we not lost America* I believe we could have stopped the Russian-Turkish war by merely refusing money and supplies. Having

these ideas what scheme could we think of to forward this object?

"Why should we not form a secret society with but one object: the furtherance of the British Empire and the bringing of the whole uncivilized world under British rule, for the recovery of the United States, and for the making of the Anglo-Saxon race but one Empire?"

"Africa is still lying ready for us, it is our duty to take it. It is our duty to seize every opportunity of acquiring more territory and we should keep this one idea steadily before our eyes: that *more territory simply means more of the Anglo-Saxon race, more of the best, the most human, most honourable race the world possesses*" (emphases added).

Over the course of his life, Rhodes commissioned seven wills to be written, all expressing this same purpose. His fortune was to be used for setting up the Rhodes Trust and Rhodes Scholarship, as a means of recruiting American and Commonwealth Anglophiles into the imperial faction:

"Let us form the same kind of society, a Church for the extension of the British Empire. A society which should have its members in every part of the British Empire working with one object and one idea—we should have its members placed at our universities and our schools and should watch the English youth passing through their hands—just one perhaps in every thousand would have the mind and feelings for such an object, he should be tried in every way, he should be tested whether he is endurant, possessed of eloquence, disregardful of the petty details of life, and if found to be such, then elected and bound by oath to serve for the rest of his life in his Country. He should then be supported if without means by the Society and sent to that part of the Empire where it was felt he was needed."

In his will, Rhodes authorized provisions for:

"...the extension of British rule throughout the world. The colonization by British subjects of all lands where the means of livelihood are attainable by energy, labour, and enterprise and especially the occupation by British settlers of the entire Continent of Africa, the Holy Land, the Valley of the Euphrates, the islands of Cyprus and Candia, the whole of South America, the islands of the Pacific not heretofore possessed by Great Britain, the whole of the Malay Archipelago, the seaboard of China and Japan, [and] *the ultimate recovery of the United States of America as an integral part of the British Empire*" (emphasis added).

It was this same British network of families (includ-

Kingston (N.Y.) Leader
1-25-15

**Mrs. E. H. Harriman Heads
Movement to Stop the
Early Weddings**

American Genetic Association, whose committee on eugenics is headed by Mrs. E. H. Harriman, has extended study of the laws of heredity and some important facts of sex been developed. In its organ, the Journal of Heredity, the question of "Does Early Marriage Lead to the Production of Undesirable Children?" was discussed some months ago by Mr. Redfield of Chicago who in connection with his article offered a reward of \$200 to any person "finding a case of a superior human being produced by a rapid breeding," that is, by breeding faster than three generations to a century.

The reward has not been claimed and Mr. Redfield now presents a study to prove his contention that marriages are not desirable. He says in 23 states the legal age of marriage ranges from 16 to 19 years for boys and from 13 to 17 for girls. In the same states there are many marriages at less than legal age which are considered valid unless objection is made. Such early marriages result in rapid generations, and consequently rapid generations lead to the production of mental and moral defectives.

So important a matter, affecting as it does the well-being and progress of the race, deserves more attention than it has been receiving. Persons in their teens, carried away by romance and disposed to slop, need to heed the advice of their parents, who almost invariably oppose early marriage, not for scientific reasons, which may vitally concern society, but because they want their children to realize the responsibilities involved in the step they contemplate and realization can come only with years, experience and knowledge. They do not want their children "tied down" too early in life for economic and social reasons. They feel the nearer their children are to maturity before contracting marriage the better their chances for happiness.

Industrial and economic conditions are having an important influence on the time of marriage. Young women have attained a degree of in-

N.Y. World 9-5-15

**MRS. E. H. HARRIMAN
BACKS A GIGANTIC
STEP IN EUGENICS**

**Would Curb Defectives
Hundreds of Thousands
Over Series of Years.**

TO MAKE RACE PERFECT.

**Aid of Rockefeller and Carnegie Hoped For in World
Wide Campaign.**

A world wide campaign for the sterilization of defectives is called for in a report to the Eugenic Society, which has headquarters at Cold Spring Harbor, L. I., and is generously aided financially by Mrs. E. H. Harriman. John D. Rockefeller and Andrew Carnegie are expected to contribute to the campaign.

The report to the society was prepared by the Committee on Sterilization and advocates such treatment for 22,400 persons in the United States in the next year. The number to be sterilized the report says, should be increased annually until, by 1980, when it will have reached 418,000.

At that time, it is predicted, these and other measures looking to the improvement of the race will have brought about very nearly perfect men and women.

Statistics prepared by the society show that 10 per cent. of the total population is made up of defectives. The members of the Sterilization Committee of the society are Bleeker Van Wagenen, H. H. Laughlin, W. M. Carmalt, New Haven; Everett Flood, Palmer, Mass., and H. W. Mitchell, Warren, Pa.

The Eugenic Society's resident director is Dr. Charles E. Davenport, biologist of this city. Concerning the sterilization phase of eugenic work he said to-day:

"Perhaps the most gigantic efforts of the institution are directed toward nationwide sterilization laws. The whole task of eugenics does not, however, lie with segregation and sterilization. These two agencies represent at best only the negative side of improving human stock.

"As with the improvement of any of the human species must

BL:HAR-2 44

Portland (Ore) Journal
9-11-15

Mrs. E. H. Harriman is backing a campaign by the Eugenic society the object of which is to produce the perfect man in 1980. Presumably the perfect woman will arrive at the same time, provided she isn't already here.

These were the very same families who funded Hitler, and exerted their influence over the German banking system to have him appointed Chancellor in 1933. In 1917, while World War I was still raging, Lord Lothian, one of Lord Milner's most important protégés, suddenly departed from his previously fanatical anti-German rhetoric. As soon as Germany is crushed, he said, let us rearm and remilitarize it under the most reactionary leaders, and point Germany towards war with Russia and France. This was done 16 years later, in 1933. At the same time, the Anglo-Saxon eugenics doctrine was imported into Germany, to help shape Nazi rule.

The cabal called for the sterilization or euthanizing of "unfit" members of society, to spare the expense of their lives, much as today's privatized HMO system functions; and these policies have always been a doctrine of racial aggression.

In 1932, the Third International Eugenics Conference was held in New York City, chaired by the rabid bigot Fairfield Osborn, whose like-minded nephew would later create the Conservation Foundation. Osborn was president of the American Museum of Natural History and a close colleague of the notoriously racist Julian Huxley, and the co-hosts

America's would-be oligarchs, like the Harriman family, were unabashed in their support for eugenics in the early 20th Century. Here is N.Y. World coverage of Averell Harriman's mother Mary, lending her support in 1915 to the Eugenic Society's campaign for sterilizing "defectives."

ing the Huxley clan, the Cadburys, the Darwins, and the Wedgewoods) and banking interests, with offshoots in North America and the rest of Europe, which spawned the early-20th-Century eugenics movement. This set ran the zoos, and said men were base animals, and they directed British colonial strategy and official science. Eugenics claimed that the English upper class ruled because they were genetically superior. The English masters humored themselves with this doctrine enforced on their beaten-down subjects, in India, whom the English reduced to starvation and political impotence by closing native industries; and in South Africa under white rule.

of the conference, the Harriman family. On Aug. 23, 1932, the *New York Times* published a speech delivered by Osborn at the conference. "Eugenics," Osborn declared, "aids and encourages the survival and multiplication of the fittest; indirectly, it would check and discourage the multiplication of the unfitted. As to the latter, in the United States alone, it is widely recognized that there are millions of people who are acting as drag-nets or sheet anchors on the progress of the ship of state."

Osborn, in language all too familiar among today's environmentalists, continued with his analysis of the 10 million Americans unemployed at the time:

“While some highly competent people are unemployed, the mass of unemployment is among the less competent, who are first selected for suspension, while the few highly competent people are retained because they are still indispensable. In nature, these less-fitted individuals would gradually disappear, but in civilization, we are keeping them in the community in the hopes that in brighter days, they may all find employment. *This is only another instance of humane civilization going directly against the order of nature and encouraging the survival of the un-fittest*” (emphasis added).

It was not accidental that a number of leading Nazi race scientists in attendance were honored, and the presidency of the International Federation of Eugenics Organizations was conferred upon Nazi Dr. Ernst Rudin.

The policies of the eugenicists were derived explicitly from those of the Confederate slaveholders, whose descendants continued to be virulent racists and proudly traitorous Anglophiles. In fact, it was Gifford Pinchot, a eugenicist himself, who first coined the term “conservation,” deriving it from a term used by the British in their colonial management of India! The eugenicists sought not only to “scientifically prove” the superiority of the Anglo-Saxon race, and thus its right and responsibility to rule the Earth, but also the incapacity of the “lesser races” to utilize technology or govern themselves.

The British were in full agreement with Hitler on most issues, including the threat posed by Asian development. When Hitler met with Lord Lothian on Jan. 29, 1935, Lothian had recently completed a term as Undersecretary of State for India, directing the repression of India’s nationalist leaders, Gandhi and Nehru, just after Lothian’s close collaborator Lord Halifax had been Viceroy. Hitler knew he was speaking to a member of the inner circle of the Empire when he suggested to Lothian that,

“*Germany, England, France, Italy, America and Scandinavia ... should arrive at some agreement whereby they would prevent their nationals from assisting in the industrializing of countries such as China, and India. It is suicidal to promote the establishment in the agricultural countries of Asia of manufacturing industries*” (emphasis added). (Transcription in Sir James R.M. Butler, *Lord Lothian*, Macmillan and Co., London, 1960, pp. 332)

Hitler also would have recognized that the British

were actively engaged in exactly those policies he had outlined. Lord Lothian himself had expressed this viewpoint long before, writing in 1918 about the problem of getting the United States to give up its support for the advancement of colonial-sector peoples, and to adopt the British approach of crushing them with free trade. Lothian wrote,

“The real problem is going to arise from the treatment which must be accorded to politically backward peoples....

“[T]here is a fundamentally different concept in regard to this question between Great Britain ... and the United States ... as to the necessity of civilized control over politically backward peoples.... The inhabitants of Africa and parts of Asia have proved unable to govern themselves ... because they were quite unable to withstand the demoralizing influences [i.e., their reprehensible desire to possess modern industry—ed.] to which they were subjected in some civilized countries, so that the intervention of an European power is necessary in order to protect them from those influences.... The American view ... is quite different.... The extent of this work after the war, sometimes known as the white man’s burden, will be so vast that it will never be accomplished at all unless it is shared.... Yet America not only has no conception of this aspect of the problem but has been led to believe that the assumption of this kind of responsibility is iniquitous imperialism. They take an attitude towards the problem of world government exactly analogous to the one they [earlier] took ... toward the problem of the [first] world war....

“If they are slow in learning we shall be condemned to a period ... of strained relations between the various parts of the English-speaking world. [We must] get into the heads of Canadians and Americans that a share in the burden of world government is just as great and glorious a responsibility as participation in the war” (Lothian to Lionel Curtis, Oct. 15, 1918, in Butler, *Lord Lothian*, pp. 68-70).

Lothian, secretary of the Rhodes Trust, and his collaborator Lord Halifax, would both serve as ambassadors to the United States during World War II, tasked with “handling America” and guiding it into its destined imperial role.

The New Eugenics

In 1946, Julian Huxley, the new Director-General of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural



UNESCO/Claude Bablin

Sir Julian Huxley, previously the head of the Eugenics Society, made a smooth transition into pushing world depopulation through UNESCO, whose head he became in 1946, after Hitler's genocide had given eugenics a bad name. He is seen here addressing UNESCO in 1965.

Organization (UNESCO), announced that the eugenics movement, of which he had been a leading member, would not be dissolved, despite the somewhat unfortunate reputation it then commanded. With the stench of Hitler's mass-murderous frenzy still heavy in the air, the undaunted Huxley, who had been vice-president of the Eugenics Society of Great Britain from 1937-1944, announced, "even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake *so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable*" (emphasis added). And thus the modern environmental movement was launched.

Environmentalism, first known as "conservation," was the continuation of the most sickening form of racism, a racism ingrained in the British ruling fami-

lies and their affiliates by the many years of colonial conquest and looting. In 1924, Huxley had voiced his own opinion of Africans in the British publication the *Spectator*:

"You have only to go to a nigger camp-meeting to see the African mind in operation—the shrieks, the dancing and yelling and sweating, the surrender to the most violent emotion, the ecstatic blending of the soul of the Congo with the practice of the Salvation Army. So far, no very satisfactory psychological measure has been found for racial differences; that will come, but meanwhile the differences are patent."

Apart from the sick doctrines of Huxley and company, all strains of environmentalism are also based upon the madly fraudulent and genocidal doctrines of Thomas Parson Malthus (1766-1834). Malthus was first employed to explain why the Irish had to starve. Malthus preached that the world was overpopulated because population increased faster than the food supply; but considering that he came from a wealthy family of seven children, and he had three children of his own, what he really meant was the world was too full of poor and dark-skinned peoples—and don't forget the Irish! But, of course, he did work for the British East India Company, the world's foremost drug-running cartel. As he writes in his nefarious work, *An Essay on the Principle of Population*:

"We are bound in justice and honour formally to disdain the right of the poor to support.

"To this end, I should propose a regulation to be made, declaring that no child born from any marriage taking place after the expiration of a year from the date of the law, and no illegitimate child born two years from the same date, should ever be entitled to parish assistance.

"The infant is, comparatively speaking, of little value to society, as others will immediately supply its place.

"All children who are born, beyond what would be required to keep up the population to a desired level, must necessarily perish, unless room be made for them by the death of grown persons. Therefore we should facilitate, instead of foolishly and vainly endeavouring to impede, the operations of nature in producing this mortality; and if we dread the too frequent visitation of the horrid form of famine, we should sedulously encourage the other forms of destruction, which we compel nature to use.

"Instead of recommending cleanliness to the poor,

we should encourage contrary habits. In our towns we should make the streets narrower, crowd more people into the houses, and court the return of the plague. In the country, we should build our villages near stagnant pools, and particularly encourage settlement in all marshy and unwholesome situations. But above all we should reprobate specific remedies for ravaging diseases; and restrain those benevolent, but much mistaken men, who have thought they are doing a service to mankind by protecting schemes for the total extirpation of particular disorders.”

Malthus’s theory was thoroughly ridiculed in his own time as being a mess of satanic gibberish. That we have raised the standard of living and the number of people per square kilometer by over three orders of magnitude since ancient times is more than proof enough; in fact, by Malthus’s own ridiculous theory, the world was overpopulated in the Stone Age! The problem that all Malthusians are crabably compelled to face is our irritatingly persistent tendency to develop new technologies and resources: “If only,” they say, “if only mankind would start behaving as we say he ought to, then we would be right!” Unfortunately, the British would not let the wretched little man alone; in a magnificent sleight of hand, they dragged his miserable old bones out of ground, and gave him a shiny new suit.

In 1948, the Conservation Foundation released its first annual report, claiming increasing population causes a drain on natural resources which is geometric, not arithmetic. Science cannot be expected to supplant the vital processes of nature. The Conservation Foundation (CF) was the spawn of the American Eugenics Society and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, founded the previous year by the Swiss, and the same royal families of Britain, Belgium, and Holland that had been intimately involved in promoting eugenics prior to World War II. The first president of the CF was Henry Fairfield Osborn, the nephew of the Fairfield Osborn who had presided over the 1932 Eugenics Conference.

Two years later, these same families would gather together a motley collection of the most degenerate Western intellectuals to form the Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF). The CCF would play a crucial role through the coming decades in destroying the last vestiges of Classical humanist and scientific education, while promoting existentialism, cultural and moral relativism, and a whole assortment of equally destructive

philosophies. The counterculture of the 1960s, and the explicitly fascist tendencies which erupted within the Baby-Boomer generation, were direct outgrowths of the CCF’s operations.

In 1961, the CF published an influential set of essays based upon the writings of Malthus. Some of the leading contributors were Lord Solly Zuckerman, Lord Boyd Orr, Sir Charles Darwin, Sir Julian Huxley, and Arnold Toynbee, all of whom were devoted white supremacists. In 1965, Russell Train was added to the Board of Advisors, and later became president.

This new brand of Malthusianism was presented to the world in apocalyptic terms. No longer was it simply an apology for permitting poverty and famine; now the entire human race was threatened with extinction because we had taken technology too far, we had developed too quickly. Paul Ehrlich, as fanatical as they come, published his concoction of fascist psychobabble, *The Population Bomb*, in 1968. His argument went as follows: “A cancer is an uncontrolled multiplication of cells; the population explosion is an uncontrolled multiplication of people. We must shift our efforts from the treatment of the symptoms to the cutting out of the cancer. *The operation will demand many apparently brutal and heartless decisions*” (emphasis added).

In 1961, Sir Julian Huxley, by then, president of the Eugenics Society of Great Britain, in collaboration with Britain’s Prince Philip, founded the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), the first president of which was the former card-carrying Nazi, Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands; Bernhard was succeeded in 1976 by John Loudon, the former CEO of Royal Dutch Shell and chairman of Shell Oil Co. Prince Philip would take the helm from 1981 until 1996. The WWF, as documented extensively by *EIR* (see “The true story behind the fall of the House of Windsor,” a Special Report by *EIR*, 1997), was involved in countless acts of genocide, poaching and drug running, assassinations, coups, and launching scores of conflicts. Prince Philip, one of the key environmentalist ringleaders throughout the entire post-war period, has provided the world with plenty of evidence indicating his true nature:

“You cannot keep a bigger flock of sheep than you are capable of feeding. In other words conservation may involve culling in order to keep a balance between the relative numbers in each species within any particular habitat. I realize this is a very touchy subject, but the fact remains that mankind is part of the living world.

Every new acre brought into cultivation means another acre denied to wild species.”

Philip later claimed, as reported by the Deutsche Presse Agentur in 1988, with all the goodness of his heart, that were he reincarnated, he would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.

In 1967, Prince Philip, Prince Bernhard and Maurice Strong formed the secretive 1001 Club, to finance the operations of the WWF as well as other covert projects that the WWF was carrying out in Africa. The Club comprises members of the most ancient and powerful families of Europe and the British Commonwealth. Strong, a top-echelon British-Canadian operative, was one of the three most influential members, along with Philip and Sir Peter Scott, and has played a critical role over the past 40 years in promoting the globalist agenda of world government. From the early 1960s onward, Strong was a friend of, and collaborating closely with, the Rockefeller family, the third generation of which had taken an unusually passionate interest in environmentalism; in 1971, Strong became a trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation, a position he held for many years, guiding the Foundation’s money in directions he deemed fit. Later, in the 1980s, he sat on the Democratic National Committee, a position from which he could conveniently mentor the younger Al Gore, whose only other friend in those lonely years, was the ingrate and political whore, Joe Lieberman.

In 1967, the Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) was created by the CF to begin a series of aggressive campaigns, typified by the unconscionable attack on the insecticide DDT, which was not based in the slightest on science. The Natural Resources Defense Council, created in 1970, also participated in this outrage. William Ruckelshaus, the administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) who made the decision in opposition to the advice and findings of his own staff, had ties to the EDF, and later went on to hold numerous important positions, such as his current position of the board of trustees of the World Resources Institute, as well as a stint as the top administrator of the United Nations Development Program.

‘The People Are the Enemy’

Despite the environmentalist movement’s 25 years of campaigning, the belief that everything related to science, progress, and technology was evil had not

fully taken root. The general population still understood the role of scientific progress in the economy, and only a few years had passed since the Moon landing. What changed was the economy.

At the same time Nixon was dutifully wrecking the Bretton Woods System, the Club of Rome released its infamous report, *Limits to Growth*, which picked up the thread of Paul Ehrlich’s thesis, that human overpopulation was a looming threat to civilization.

This message was repeated at the 1972 Stockholm Conference, which was presided over by Maurice Strong. Elaine Dewar reports in her book, *Cloak of Green* (Toronto: James Lorimer and Company, 1995) that, “as the Stockholm Conference opened in 1972, Strong warned urgently about the onset of global warming, the devastation of forests, the loss of biodiversity, the polluted oceans, and the population time bomb. As I read this old speech, I realized it could almost be repeated at the Rio Summit.”


Then, in 1973, the first oil shocks hit, with prices jumping 400% in the space of a few months, compliments of British imperial agent, Henry Kissinger. While this was traumatic enough in the West, in the rest of the world it was a catastrophe; for not only had the price quadrupled in American dollars, but many nations, previously subjected to the IMF’s notorious conditionalities, which often included massive currency devaluations, saw the price of oil rise even more drastically. The financial resources that had been available for development projects were quickly sucked into the ballooning world petroleum trade. Suddenly the idea that resources were becoming scarce seemed all too real, while the pessimism accompanying the CCF-spawned counterculture combined with the post-oil shock depression, prompted people into adopting a little-man ideology of “look out for number one” and “protect your own.”

It was also in this period that Henry Kissinger commissioned the murderous 1974 report, NSSM 200, echoing Hitler’s words to Lothian, by advocating population control in place of industrialization; the report continues even now to be official government policy. As an extension of this emerging, official policy orientation of the U.S.A., and the words of Strong at Stockholm, Margaret Mead told scientists assembled for a 1975 conference on “The Atmosphere: Endangered or Endangering,” that the party line was shifting from what scientific analysis had correctly identified as a process of global cooling which had begun in the 1940s,



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

British Royal Consort Prince Philip, cofounder of the World Wildlife Fund, has been a leading organizer of the movement for global depopulation since at least the early 1960s. He's fond of talking of being reincarnated as a "deadly virus" to deal with the population problem.



Sander Lamme

Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, recently deceased, cofounded the World Wildlife Fund with Philip, and used his position to carry out widespread genocide in Africa.

to global warming. In a complete disregard for truth and principle, she said:

"The unparalleled increase in the human population and its demands for food, energy, and resources is clearly the most important destabilizing influence in the biosphere. We are facing a period when society must make decisions on a planetary scale.

"What we need from scientists are estimates, presented with sufficient conservatism and plausibility that will allow us to start building a system of artificial, but effective warnings, warnings which will parallel the instincts of animals which flee the hurricane. [We must] draw from the necessary capacity for sacrifice. It is therefore a statement of major possibilities of danger, which may overtake humankind, on which it is important to concentrate attention."

Many scientists, having attended that conference warning of the potential for a new ice age, left the conference promoting global warming.

Over the subsequent years, a number of environmentalist groups began to make their presence known, through acts of eco-terrorism, and a series of calculated

hoaxes, such as the ozone and Alar scares, acid rain, and global warming. One of the most devastating campaigns run by these fanatics was that against commercial nuclear power, in which Congressman Dick Cheney, during the Carter Administration, played a central role. Meanwhile, the world economy was slipping further into crisis; in 1979, Federal Reserve Chairman Paul Volcker sent interest rates skyrocketing, while Western industries collapsed more rapidly than ever, and a debt bomb was preparing to detonate in the developing sector.

British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, a fanatical Mont Pelerin ideologue, enacted savage measures of deregulation and privatization in Great Britain, while one of her top advisors, Sir Crispin Tickell, British ambassador to the UN from 1987-1990, who was the cousin of New Age lunatic Aldous Huxley and great-great-grandson of Thomas Huxley, advised Thatcher to promote global warming and population reduction.

The Third World, despite the usurious conditionalities being forced upon them, was not giving up the struggle to develop. Thomas Lovejoy, vice-president of the American branch of the WWF, typified the response



EIRNS

Anthropologist Margaret Mead, shown here at a 1978 “Sun Day” celebration in New York City, was explicit on the goals of the environmental movement: She called for scientists to issue “artificial warnings” that would scare people into adopting conservation and depopulation measures.

of the financiers to this desire in 1984: “The biggest problem is the damn national sectors of these developing countries. *These countries think that they have the right to develop their resources as they see fit.* They want to become powers” (emphasis added).

With the stock market collapse of 1987, the international financiers were forced to escalate their looting operations to keep the entire monetary system from collapsing. In order to do so, and to convince developing nations that backwardness was to their advantage, several initiatives were launched: Thatcher organized the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and appointed John Houghton co-chairman; he also held the position of Chairman of Scientific Assessment until 2002. On Sept. 27, 1988, in a speech to the Royal Society of London, replete with lies, Thatcher said:

“For generations we have assumed that the efforts of mankind would leave the fundamental equilibrium of the world’s systems and atmosphere stable. But it is possible that with all these enormous changes (population, agricultural, use of fossil fuels) concentrated into

such a short period of time, we have unwittingly begun a massive experiment with the system of this planet itself. Recently, three changes in atmospheric chemistry have become familiar subjects of concern. The first is the increase in the greenhouse gases, carbon dioxide, methane, and chlorofluorocarbons, which has led some to fear that we are creating a global heat trap which could lead to climatic instability. And half the carbon emitted since the Industrial Revolution remains in the atmosphere. We have an extensive research programme at our meteorological office for the study of climate change.”

At the same time as the launching of the IPCC, Gore, on behalf of and in conjunction with the British Crown, was organizing GLOBE (the group addressed last month by Paul Wolfowitz). Maurice Strong, who was already a close acquaintance of Gore, told *EIR* in a 1999 interview that, “he was very active in the [GLOBE] movement, and, in fact, was instrumental in helping to form [it]. He was the original co-chairman and the driving force in getting it moving. Al was very influential around the world for this.” The key financial affiliations of GLOBE indicate its genocidal and rapacious agenda: the British imperial assets Anglo-American and British Petroleum. Strong, who chaired the Rio Summit, continued: “Gore was very active in the U.S. political movement to endorse [the 1992 Rio Summit] and to get it approved by the United Nations. And, then, subsequently, he was extremely active in helping to shape its agenda and helping to assure that it got the attention that it did.” It was in 1992 that Gore published his Malthusian diatribe, *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*, in which he advocated mass population reduction by as many as two billions.

From the late 1980s onward, the British and their global web of agents and organizations, would drive the issue of climate change to the forefront of international politics. Tickell at the UN would be instrumental in this, as would Gore in the United States; Maurice Strong, from within the UN, would mobilize entire national bureaucracies. All of these men, in conjunction with Prince Philip’s 1001 Club, were also promoting the pagan doctrine of the Gaea “Mother Earth” cult as a new form of global religion to replace the “outdated” Judeo-Christian concept of man as made in the creative image of God.

Elaine Dewar, in *Cloak of Green*, noted the impact of the Rio Conference, chaired by Strong, as a water-

shed in the fight over global warming and the world economy:

“The Rio Summit would take long steps towards a

world in which nation states have withered away in favor of supranational and global institutions. Advertised as the World’s Greatest Summit, Rio was publicly

Prince Philip’s Murderous Views, in His Own Words

Address to Edinburgh University Union, Nov. 24 1969.

We talk about over- and underdeveloped countries; I think a more exact division might be between underdeveloped and overpopulated. The more people there are, the more industry and more waste and the more sewage there is, and therefore the more pollution.

“Vanishing Breeds Worry Prince Philip, But Not as Much as Overpopulation,” interview in *People*, Dec. 21, 1981.

Q: What do you consider the leading threat to the environment?

A: Human population growth is probably the single most serious long-term threat to survival. We’re in for a major disaster if it isn’t curbed—not just for the natural world, but for the human world. The more people there are, the more resources they’ll consume, the more pollution they’ll create, the more fighting they will do. We have no option. If it isn’t controlled voluntarily, it will be controlled involuntarily by an increase in disease, starvation and war.

Address on receiving honorary degree from the University of Western Ontario, Canada, July 1, 1983.

The industrial revolution sparked the scientific revolution and brought in its wake better public hygiene, better medical care and yet more efficient agriculture. The consequence was a population explosion which still continues today.

The sad fact is that, instead of the same number of people being very much better off, more than twice as many people are just as badly off as they were before. Unfortunately all this well-intentioned development has resulted in an ecological disaster

of immense proportions.

Address to Joint Meeting of the All-Party Group on Population and Development and the All-Party Conservation Committee, London, March 11, 1987.

I do believe . . . that human population pressure—the sheer number of people on this planet—is the single most important cause of the degradation of the natural environment, of the progressive extinction of wild species of plants and animals, and of the destabilization of the world’s climatic and atmospheric systems.

The simple fact is that the human population of the world is consuming natural renewable resources faster than it can regenerate, and the process of exploitation is causing even further damage. If this is already happening with a population of 4 billion, I ask you to imagine what things will be like when the population reaches 6 and then 10 billion. . . . All this has been made possible by the industrial revolution and the scientific explosion and it is spread around the world by the new economic religion of development.

***Writings of His Royal Highness Prince Philip Duke of Edinburgh on the Relationship of Man with His Environment* (New York: Stephen Greene Press, 1988).**

From the Preface: I don’t claim to have any special interest in natural history, but as a boy I was made aware of the annual fluctuations in the number of game animals and the need to adjust the “cull” to the size of the surplus population.

From Introduction to “The Population Factor”: Viewed dispassionately, it must be obvious that the world’s human population has grown to such a size that it is threatening its own habitat; and it has already succeeded in causing the extinction of large numbers of wild plant and animal species. Some have simply been killed off. Others have quietly disappeared, as their habitats have been taken over or disturbed by human activities.

described as a global negotiation to reconcile the need for environmental protection with the need for economic growth. The cognoscenti understood that there were other, deeper goals. *These involved the shift of national regulatory powers to vast regional authorities; the opening of all remaining closed national economies to multinational interests; the strengthening of decision-making structures far above and far below the grasp of newly minted national democracies; and, above all, the integration of the Soviet and Chinese into the global market system*" (emphasis added).

It was Strong, who at this summit declared that industrialized nation-states, and in particular the United States, posed the greatest threat to humankind, and therefore, humanity had an obligation to destroy them:

"The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation. It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation states, however powerful. The global community must be assured of environmental security." Later he continued, "Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring [this] about?"

The 1990s were the period of Al Gore's treasonous Vice Presidency. Gore took advantage of this opportunity to push the same radical Malthusian policies he shared with Prince Philip. As Maurice Strong acknowledged, "On these issues [of environmentalism] they are very much soul mates. [Their relationship is] one of mutual regard and respect. I would say its as close as it could be with personalities of that kind." Gore certainly demonstrated his desire to wipe out as much of humanity as possible; as when South African President Thabo Mbeki, to counter the decimating AIDS epidemic, announced that South Africa would produce generic versions of whatever AIDS and HIV medicines were available. Gore threatened Mbeki in person, that if Africans did not buy the impossibly expensive pat-



WHO/Gubb

The deliberate policy of denying development to Africa is leading to epidemics, such as AIDS, which will, if unchecked, depopulate the continent, just as Prince Philip and his cohorts want. Here, a Tanzanian woman and her children remember the woman's husband, who died of AIDS.

ented medications, South Africa would suffer the consequences. It was also Al Gore who authorized the bombing of a pharmaceutical plant in Sudan, which supplied that nation with 90% of its medicine, without any justification other than unsubstantiated claims that the plant was controlled by al-Qaeda. Protecting the environment was simply another means for Gore to pursue his schemes for massive population reduction, all in the tradition of Julian Huxley, Fairfield Osborn, and the white supremacist families of the British Empire.

Gore continues to be a disaster for civilization: Everything that is wrong with this world is embodied in his porcine hulk. Anyone who supports or condones the policies of Al Gore, the IPCC, or the environmentalist movement, is supporting fascism and genocide on a heretofore unseen scale. If Al Gore and financiers such as Felix Rohatyn are not stopped; if the current batch of spineless populists in the Congress refuse to recognize that their lunatic policies will bring about a new dark age for the entire planet, then this civilization is doomed.

But, perhaps we can take Gore's advice on one point: Since, as LaRouche has noted, we have an atrocious "surplus" of oligarchs, while the Madagascar lemur colony *does* stand in desperate need of repopulating....

World Wildlife Fund's Genocide in Africa

by Linda de Hoyos

Reprinted from "The True Story Behind the Fall of the House of Windsor," EIR Special Report, September 1997.

On Aug. 31, as U.S. troops and relief workers were fighting a losing battle against cholera, dysentery, and starvation, among 1 million Rwandan refugees—one half of them children—in eastern Zaire, the *New York Times* editorial called upon Americans to ponder the fate of Rwanda's gorilla population: "For the moment ... Rwanda's gorillas have escaped harm, which is splendid news. Still, the widespread sigh of relief will be muted. Amid so ghastly a human catastrophe in Rwanda, one may feel an uneasy twinge of guilt in worrying about the fate of non-humans. In truth," says the *Times*, striking a Darwinian posture, "all living things are bound together in this calamity, and gorillas are a small evolutionary link away from *Homo sapiens*.... Fortunately, a census has accounted for all but two of the creatures whose passing would now be almost like a death in the family."

This concern for 650 gorillas is one indication of the extent to which Prince Philip's psychotic confusion of animals with human beings has permeated society.

The *Times* editorial failed to mention that the gorilla home, Virunga Mountain Park, also gave refuge to the guerrillas of the Rwandan Patriotic Front (RPF), who have been waging war on Rwanda since October 1990, with full financing and backing of Ugandan President Yoweri Museveni and his puppet-mistress, Lady Lynda Chalker, British Minister of Overseas Development.

The double-use of the park as wild animal reserve and as sanctuary to a British-owned insurgency is not coincidental, but goes to the heart of the British Royal Family's grand strategy for Africa. The segregation of large tracts of land as "national parks," "game reserves," "ecological reserves," has led to untold slaughter of humans and animals throughout Africa.

Today, game reserves and national parks or regional parks occupy 1,998,168 square kilometers of sub-Saha-

ran Africa—8.2% of the land area, an extent five times the size of California and eight times the size of the United Kingdom. Although some countries, like Mauritania, have been relatively unscathed by the park plague, Tanzania has 40% of its land locked in "parks."

As in Rwanda, the parks have multiple purposes:

- Taking huge tracts of land out of circulation for economic productive purposes. Although the United Nations magazine *Choices* predicts that "by the year 2000 nearly half the country of Zimbabwe will be raising its cash from wildlife," the creation of such parks is one of the biggest land-clearing operations since Genghis Khan leveled Central Asia in the thirteenth century. As one British source put it: "When the British wished to keep people *out* of an area, they tended to make it into a game reserve, which gave them a *raison d'être*. 'This is a game reserve, so you can't be here.'" Over 17% of the land of tiny Rwanda is locked up in such reserves.

- While taking land out of circulation for development, the reserves often squat on land that has potentially wealthy yields of strategic resources. For example, the border-area parks of Niger cover an undeveloped uranium field.

- Park administration by extra-national agencies such as the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) is a direct assault on national sovereignty. Under the guise of fighting poachers, administration often involves paramilitary forces. "The function of the national park is to keep control of that land out of the hands of the local government," one expert informed *EIR*. "The national park is governed by a board of trustees, at least they originally were.... These were autarchies controlled by white conservationists, all of whom were military people."

In five countries in Africa—Cameroon, Zaire, the Ivory Coast, Kenya, and Zambia—the WWF directly administers at least one park. In five other countries, the parks are administered by other international agencies, such as the U.N. Development Program, the U.N. Food and Agriculture Organization, or the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

- The parks are safe havens and staging grounds for insurgencies of all stripes. As documented below, many reserves and parks straddle borders, with the parks functioning as "militarized zones." Prince Philip's WWF was administering the gorilla program in the Virunga Park, while the RPF was using the Virunga to maraud Rwanda. In fact, RPF-sponsor Uganda has been



T. Breuer, M. Ndoundou-Hockemba, V. Fishlock

Africa's game parks, set up by the British in the last century, give refuge not only to gorillas, but also to guerrillas deployed to destabilize nations.

profiting from the dislocation of the gorillas caused by the RPF operations. According to *Africa Analysis*, the RPF invasion had sent Rwanda's gorillas running to Uganda, giving Museveni the opportunity to launch his own "eco-tourism program." Without the safe havens provided by the Royal Family's park system, the protracted civil and border wars afflicting Africa since the 1970s would have been impossible.

Mourning the Tsetse Fly

The parks have wreaked havoc with the economies and ecologies of Africa. The park system decreased the total energy throughput in the entire ecological system, leading to the proliferation of parasites and disease. This degradation of the human environment has aided in causing the conditions under which new diseases—such as AIDS—are now coursing through a depleted population.

The case of the tsetse fly proves the point. African tribesmen had long kept the tsetse fly—which carries the deadly disease Trypanosomiasis, or sleeping sickness—in check through extensive cultivation and bush clearance. The tribesmen understood that the fly lived off wild game, particularly antelope. For this reason, many tribal chiefs opposed the creation of the parks, and the related ban on hunting, as a threat to their herds.

In 1892, the Zulu protested that the rise of cattle sleeping sickness was due to the increase of large game under the protection afforded by the government. This theory was proven in 1894 by Dr. David Bruce, who then fought for a change in policy, with limited success. In the area run by the British South Africa Company, colonial authorities suspended game laws and began the elimination of game in an effort to stop the disease. The change brought howls of protest from the Society for the Preservation of Fauna of the Empire. Dr. George Prentice, a medical missionary, denounced the conservation movement to the British Colonial Office: "I hold that those who are responsible for the game laws are responsible for the presence of the tsetse, and that victims of Trypanosomiasis are martyrs to the foolish policy of game protection. Any official,

high or low, or any member of the Society for the Preservation of Fauna who, in the face of known facts, asserts the contrary, may prove the sincerity of his assertion by allowing us to experiment upon him with our local forms of tsetse."...

Today, according to the admissions of Lee and Gerry Durrell, writing for the Conservation Monitoring Centre at Cambridge, England, an entity financed by Prince Philip's WWF, "blood-sucking tsetse flies inhabit 10 million square kilometers of tropical Africa, in a wide band across the continent that takes in 34 countries." The authors bemoan modern-day spraying methods which have rendered new areas tsetse-free. In fact, "the tsetse-free areas are growing so fast that ... there is a real possibility that the spread of livestock onto marginal land will become a threat to wildlife.... The eradication of the tsetse fly may be Africa's misfortune."

Or, as Bruce Kinloch, chief park ranger for Tanzania, Malawi, and Uganda, mourns the decline of the tsetse: "The tsetse had long discouraged the often destructive and frequently wasteful use by humans of extensive regions of scenically beautiful, unspoiled wilderness, the natural home of the great game herds."

Vector spraying in the national parks is strictly forbidden. Trypanosomiasis has been on the rise since the mid-1980s, especially in Lady Lynda Chalker's Uganda.

Why London Created Africa's Game Parks

by Joe Brewda

Excerpted from EIR's September 1997 Special Report, "The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor."

...The sheer size of Africa's national parks is striking. South Africa's Kruger park, for example, is the size of the state of Massachusetts, while the vast park complex of Zambia is larger than Great Britain. What is also striking is the fact that a high percentage of Africa's parks and reserves are sited on national borders. In many cases, these parks come together to form binational and trinational parks that straddle these borders.

These parks are not located in such border regions for aesthetic purposes. Unlike Europe, for example, where most borders are naturally demarcated by often beautiful mountain ranges and rivers, the boundaries of Africa's states were arbitrarily drawn by the European powers at their imperial conferences. There is nothing particularly singular on the borders of these states that might not be found in the interior. The placement of parks in such regions has a different purpose: mass murder and the destabilization of Africa.

Who Set Up the Park Movement?

There were two distinct phases in the national park and game reserve movement in imperial Africa. In the first phase, the preservation phase, access to hunting was restricted to the white colonial elite, allegedly to preserve dwindling stocks of favored game. Colonial authorities often evicted native populations from their forest and pasture lands, in order to establish "game reserves," while restricting the native populations from hunting...

The second phase, which took off after World War II, was the conservation phase, in which hunting was increasingly forbidden to everyone and the ritualized hunting obsession of the colonial elite was gradually replaced by a Gaia-worshipping "ecological conscious-

ness." The "national park" replaced the "game reserve," and the camera largely replaced the carbine.

There were various regulations restricting access to game in Africa dating as far back as the Dutch colonial decrees in the Cape in 1657. But the movement to lock up vast tracts of land as reserves only began in earnest in 1896 under the leadership of British Foreign Secretary and Prime Minister the Marquess of Salisbury, when he called for the introduction of checks on hunting throughout British Africa. In 1900, Salisbury convened a conference of the European imperial powers on the issue in London.

The conference's agreements virtually eliminated the native ability to hunt, even outside the reserves, by outlawing the use of traditional snares and pitfalls as "inhumane." At the same time, it reaffirmed an earlier joint agreement among the British, French, German, and Portuguese colonies' authorities banning the native use of the firearm.

The Society for the Preservation of Fauna in the Empire, which later spawned the World Wildlife Fund, was formed to ensure that the 1900 convention was implemented. From the beginning, the society, affectionately known as "the Fauna," was associated with the British Museum, specifically the Natural History division that had been created by Charles Darwin's "bulldog," Thomas H. Huxley.

In 1933, another conference, following up the 1900 conference, was convened in London. The British delegation was led by the Earl of Onslow, who was also the head of the Fauna. The most important result of the conference was a provision for the establishment of national parks in Africa. The enabling legislation of most countries' game parks in Africa today, dates back to colonial decrees enacted in the aftermath of the 1933 conference.

The national parks and reserves constituted by the 1900 and 1933 agreements legally established internal frontiers within the African colonies that could not be crossed by the native population, on the pretext of protecting wildlife. These internal frontiers, forming colonial enclaves, continued in effect after the colonies gained independence...

Guerrillas in the Mist

In the 1960s, the British initiated their "winds of change" policy, whereby the peoples of Africa achieved nominal independence...

Within five years, most of British Africa was nominally decolonized, and a . . . native comprador class was elevated to become the new governing elite. But while the British flag was lowered in one colony after another, much of the old colonial apparatus remained, with key posts in the ministries continuing to be staffed by British nationals.

Nowhere was this more evident than in the parks system, which, by the time of independence, locked up upwards of 20% of the African colonies' lands. The chief game wardens, park police chiefs, and the parks department staff largely continued to be British nationals. Moreover, in a malicious innovation, increasingly large numbers of these parks, and in some cases the entire parks system, were put under the control of private non-governmental organizations, managed by international boards of trustees outside the oversight of the government. Today, the parks systems of Kenya, Tanzania, and Zaire are privately managed by international boards of trustees. Until 1992, Louis Leakey's son, Richard Leakey, was the chairman of the private "Kenya Wildlife Services" which runs Kenya's parks.

When Tanzanian President Julius Nyerere proclaimed in his 1961 "Arusha Declaration" that the peoples of Africa would preserve the national parks bequeathed to them in perpetuity, he was admitting that the existence of these colonial enclaves would go unchallenged. Some 40% of the land area of Tanzania today is locked up in its national park system, administered by the "Tanzania National Parks" non-governmental organization.

These parks . . . continue to be the headquarters, training sites, and safe havens of the gang-counter-gangs. On the one hand, these parks have been the centers of nominally "anti-western" Warsaw Pact-linked subversion targeting white minority or colonial rule. On the other hand, they have been the center of "pro-western" efforts to overthrow alleged Soviet client states radiating revolution throughout the continent. For example:

Rhodesia-Zimbabwe. Beginning in 1961, the Zimbabwe People's Union (ZAPU), and two years later, the rival Zimbabwe African National Union (ZANU), conducted a guerrilla war to overthrow the white minority-ruled Rhodesian regime. The Rhodesian effort to crush the insurgency was carried out by the Rhodesian Army, and its irregular guerrilla formation, the Selous Scouts. . . .

The ZANU and ZAPU forward bases of operation against Rhodesia were in Zambia, just outside the Mosi-pa-Tunya park, and also in the Lower Zambesi park. . . .

The Selous Scouts, the Rhodesian opponents of ZANU and ZAPU, were mustered by the chief ecologist of the Rhodesian park system, and were largely composed of park guards.

In 1980, ZANU chief Robert Mugabe became head of state of the newly created Zimbabwe (formerly Rhodesia). But even after black majority rule was established, the civil war continued. The fleeing Rhodesian elite largely emigrated to neighboring South Africa. The Mozambique National Resistance (Renamo), which had earlier been created by Rhodesian intelligence to destabilize Mozambique after its independence from Portugal, was now deployed against Zimbabwe. The headquarters of Renamo is one mile from South Africa's Kruger park; it is trained in South African regional parks in Natal, and in the parks of the nearby KaNgwane homeland. . . .

Angola. In 1956, the Popular Movement for the Liberation of Angola (MPLA) was formed to overthrow Portuguese colonial rule. In 1966, its rival, the National Union for the Total Independence of Angola (UNITA), was also formed. A civil war against foreign rule began. Following the evacuation of Portuguese forces in 1975, the conflict continued, but this time between the new MPLA government and UNITA. The civil war continued for another 17 years.

The MPLA and the UNITA were headquartered in the West Zambesi game management area in Kaunda's Zambia during the period of Portuguese Angolan rule.

Mozambique. The Mozambique Liberation Front (Frelimo) was formed in 1962 to overthrow Portuguese rule in Mozambique. It was headquartered in Luana and West Petauke national parks in Zambia; it also received training from Russian instructors in the park systems of Uganda. In 1975, the Portuguese left and Frelimo formed a government. But the civil war continued . . . between the Frelimo government and Renamo, now based in South Africa's Kruger park. Reportedly, at least one of the major factions of Renamo has been trained by WWF personnel with the aid of British Special Air Services founder Col. David Stirling, who had been a close associate of . . . Kenyan Parks department director Col. Mervyn Cowie since the 1940s.

STUBBORNNESS WORSENS WORLD DEPRESSION

Will the Great Crash Hit After November 17?

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Mrs. LaRouche is the chair of the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement (BüSo) in Germany. Her article was translated from German.

The way things stand now, there are grounds to fear that the New Bretton Woods summit which French President Nicolas Sarkozy has organized to take place on Nov. 15 in Washington, will not lead to an adequate result. It could easily turn out as one high-ranking banker, quoted in the French newspaper *La Tribune*, imagines it will: that Nov. 17 will be a “black, black Monday.” But there could also be a “black Monday,” a “bloody Tuesday,” and a “horrendous Wednesday,” soon to be followed by a total collapse of the world financial system. The only possible way to prevent that from happening, would be prompt agreement on Lyndon LaRouche’s financial reorganization proposals, as set forth in his latest paper, “A New Dark Age Is Now Near: Today’s Brutish Imperialism.”

This gloomy prognosis is based on a number of factors. All indications are that neither the Bush Administration, which is heavily infested with former Goldman Sachs associates, nor British Prime Minister Gordon Brown, have any intention of agreeing on an actual reorganization of the bankrupt financial system. Bush was against the idea of the newly elected U.S. President taking part in the summit; and since there’s nothing to contradict the estimation of *Les Echos* that Wall Street is throwing in its lot with Obama, despite McCain’s good connections there, this really doesn’t make much

difference. But even those who are equipping the IMF with a “Global Regulation Strategy”—which simply means imposing one or two more rules on the bankrupt system—are totally misestimating the situation.

Because the idea that, after neo-liberal economic dogma has totally failed, the nations of Asia and Latin America will once again permit themselves to be subjugated by a global IMF dictatorship, is an absurd one. On the one hand, in the days leading up to Nov. 15, a number of summits will be held by groups of nations, ranging from Mercosur, to the Shanghai Cooperation Organization, to the G-20, etc. Participants in these summits will attempt to formulate their national interests within the context of the new financial architecture. The Asians’ experience with the IMF during the 1997-98 Asia crisis does not exactly inspire trust in this institution, even if it has “reformed”—and that includes Turkish Prime Minister Erdogan’s recent declaration that he will not permit the IMF to “strangle” the Turkish economy.

While spin doctors in political circles and in the media continue to debate over whether the economy is gradually slipping into a “recession,” or whether “the worst is over” (Robert Mundell), the facts speak an altogether different language: The real economy is in free fall. Freight transport rates for solid goods—i.e., grains, ores, and coal—have declined by 90% (!) over the past three months. In the past few weeks, China has not imported a single ton of iron ore. The Baltic Dry Index, which measures freight costs per vessel, has fallen by 92% since the beginning of this year—i.e., trade in raw

materials has declined dramatically. The China International Capital Corporation Limited reports that orders for new ships have declined by 66% worldwide.

Now that the auto sector has collapsed worldwide—Daimler, for example, is halting production of the Mercedes for five weeks—the full extent of the collapse in steel production is becoming clear. Arcelor Mittal, the world's biggest steel producer, expects to close 13 of its blast furnaces in Europe during from mid-November through the end of January. More than 60% of China's steel industry is running at a loss, and smaller firms are closing their doors, since the price of steel in China has collapsed by 30-40% since June. In the south of China, more than 50,000 small and medium-sized firms have declared bankruptcy. This shrivelling of industrial production has consequences for agriculture and for consumers' purchasing power. Prices for soybeans fell by 50% in the last three months, and grain by 20-30%.

In this age of (collapsing) globalization, the shrinking volume of freight transported is an indicator of the state of the real economy. Alongside the above-mentioned figures for shipping, sales figures for heavy trucks are also telling. In the third quarter, net sales of Volvo trucks plunged by almost 100%, from 41,970 to a mere 115. New orders for large trucks worldwide declined by 55%.

Empty Praise for the Free Market

The financial crash has been ravaging the real economy for some time now, and if the Bank of England just now says in its *Financial Stability Review*, that the instability is as big as it has “ever been in human recollection,” it becomes clear how dangerous the politicians' and bankers' bull-headedness can get—such as at the recent “financial summit” in Frankfurt, where instead of taking their own incompetence as the fitting opportunity to resign from their posts, they couldn't get beyond empty appeals to, and praise for the free-market economy.

The rate of collapse is bound to increase, with new chasms opening up daily, whether in the position of hedge funds, which have to dump their assets because terrified investors want to pull out their money; or in the so-called emerging markets. Hungary, for example, recently negotiated a \$25 billion package with the IMF and the EU, after its currency went into free fall—a sum which goes more for saving Western banks involved in Hungary, than for the people, who will be subjected to tough austerity measures. In this connection, Switzer-

land and Great Britain could easily turn into new Icelands: Swiss banks' short-term liabilities are now 13 times greater than the country's GDP; Iceland's were only five times bigger.

Thus it should be clear to every normal person, that unless a new world financial system is immediately put onto the agenda, humanity will be threatened with a fate which the yuppies and profiteers of today's system could not have even remotely anticipated. Only an orderly bankruptcy procedure, whereby the probably hundreds of quadrillions of derivatives would be wiped out, can solve the problem. The speculators detest this solution more than the devil hates holy water, but that should not prevent governments from putting precisely this onto the agenda for Nov. 15.

If we compare the trillions that have been thrown down the gullets of banks which have run out of money, to the paltry sums allocated to the developing countries, then we see that the protagonists of this system are bankrupt not only financially, but morally as well. So, for example, out of the \$12 billion which was demanded at the Food and Agriculture Organization conference in Rome in early July, only *one* ridiculous billion has been allocated. And meanwhile, aid to developing countries has declined massively, and even out of what remains, the greatest portion is eaten up by administrative costs, climate protection, humanitarian assistance, and military deployments.

Participants in the Nov. 15 G-20 summit in Washington will be answerable to history, if they pass up this opportunity to put a real New Bretton Woods, in the spirit of Franklin D. Roosevelt, onto the agenda. The consequences of such a failure would be not only the early collapse of the world economy, with billions of people dying of starvation, but also incalculable social chaos in the G-7 countries—chaos which would be uncheckable even with the Mussolini solutions envisioned by some.

While in Italy and France, an open and expanding discussion is under way on a New Bretton Woods system, up to now the media and politicians in Germany have been united in their efforts to prevent this debate from occurring. This includes the dictatorial repression and slandering of the program of the BüSo in this country. If this is allowed to continue, the guilty parties will surely not enjoy the fruits of their actions.

There is only one reasonable solution: Lyndon LaRouche's ideas must be immediately put up for public discussion.

'We Refuse To Die,' as Body Parts Fall Off

by John Hoefle

Oct. 31—Can anyone actually be that stupid? Judging by the latest bailout moves by Bush Administration's Treasury Secretary Hank Paulson, Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke, and the rest of the clowns at the Plunge Protection Team, the answer is a resounding "yes."

This week the Fed launched yet another of its alphabet-soup bailout programs, the Commercial Paper Funding Facility (CPFF), to purchase commercial paper. By Oct. 29, the CPFF had bought \$146 billion of the paper. The Fed already had a program to buy asset-backed commercial paper (the Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Money Market Mutual Fund Lending Facility), which held \$96 billion as of the 29th, and the Fed is in the process of setting up a new Money Market Investor Funding Facility (MMIFF), which will lend up to \$540 billion to a group of five special-purpose vehicles managed by J.P. Morgan Chase. The MMIFF will buy certificates of deposit, bank notes, and commercial paper.

These programs join the Term Auction Facility (TAF), the Forward TAF program, the Term Securities Lending Facility (TSLF), the TSLF Term Options Program, the Primary Dealer Credit Facility (PDCF), the \$29 billion loan to facilitate the purchase of Bear Stearns by J.P. Morgan Chase, and the \$120-plus billion in loans to American International Group (AIG). To date, the Fed has issued \$1.4 trillion in loans through the TAF and \$1.1 trillion through the TSLF.

Then there is the Fed's \$755 billion in reciprocal currency arrangements with 14 of the world's central banks. This currency swap program is designed to provide dollars to foreign-market institutions to settle dollar-based derivatives transactions and related transactions. These swaps agreements have grown hyperbolically with the settlements crisis in the derivatives markets; the program began in December 2007, with \$24 billion in swaps with the European Central Bank (\$20 billion) and the Swiss National Bank (\$4 billion). The Fed expanded the program in March, raising the total to \$36 billion, and in May, expanded it to \$66 bil-

lion. In August, it expanded the program three times, adding more banks and more money, increasing the amount to \$247 billion on Aug. 18, and ending the month at \$620 billion. The Bank of England, in its latest Financial Stability Report (an oxymoron, to be sure), said that the world's central banks have issued \$7 trillion in loans, purchases, and guarantees since the crisis began. That's equivalent to half the gross domestic product of the United States—an enormous number, but just a drop in the bucket of what would be needed to put a dent in the global financial crisis.

These bailout facilities have been growing at an accelerating rate, in a vain attempt to keep up with the accelerating losses in the financial system, as the effects of the collapse of the system percolate through the books of banks, hedge funds, mutual funds, and other market players. The crisis has now spread into the largest and most secretive market of all, the multi-quadrillion-dollar global derivatives market, which was built with leverage, and is now experiencing a reverse-leverage blow-out which dwarfs all other financial crises in history.

The banks are, at this point, essentially zombies, dead but unwilling to admit it. They are screaming "We refuse to die!" even as their corpses rot, and parts begin to fall off. They are in hysterical denial, preferring their delusions of solvency, and willing to destroy the world rather than accept the consequences of their actions.

Touted as the strongest of the U.S. banks which "escaped" the "mortgage crisis," J.P. Morgan Chase has, by far, the largest derivatives exposure of any bank in the world, with \$99 trillion in notional value of derivatives outstanding at mid-year, according to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency—as much as the derivatives exposure of the next seven U.S. derivatives-holding banks combined. It is also the world's largest hedge fund manager, with \$45 billion under management. With hedge funds falling left and right, and the derivatives market imploding, Morgan Chase is king of the zombies; not even the Fed's trillions can save it.

Nasty Rats

Rats don't always leave a sinking ship, as the case of HSBC shows. HSBC Holdings plc is the London-based holding company for the Hong Kong and Shanghai Bank, notorious as the flagship of the British East India Company's Southeast Asian opium trade, and today, perhaps the most powerful bank in the world. The Hong Shang "rules from Singapore to Vladivostok," Dr. Franz Pick told *EIR* in 1981. Pick was then an advisor to the

Venetian insurance giant Assicurazioni Generali and the Father General of the Jesuit Order; he described himself as an “advisor to the subterranean economy” of dope money, flight capital, and other hidden money flows.

The British East India Company was the vehicle used by the Venetians to take control of England and form the British Empire, making HSBC part of the inner elite of the Anglo-Dutch Liberal System. As such, the full-page advertisement HSBC ran in the Oct. 26 *New York Times Magazine* is worth reporting. It was dominated by three photos of a large, vicious-looking rat, over which appeared the words “free-speech,” “camaraderie,” and “clout.” We can only presume that the use of these ugly red-eyed rats reflects the oligarchs’ intent toward the world in the current period. The Bank of England’s Mervyn King warned back in May that “the nice decade is behind us,” and now HSBC is unleashing the rats, as the British Empire moves to smash the nation-states of the world in a vain attempt to save itself.

The role of Standard Chartered Bank as the “nerve center” of the British bank bailout plan, as asserted by the Oct. 19 *Sunday Telegraph* of London, if accurate, would also reflect the rats of the British Empire moving to the fore. Standard Chartered has been a linchpin in the empire’s operations in Africa and Southwest Asia since the mid-19th Century, and the bank has been a major player in the western Asian drug trade.

While the central banks are pumping trillions of dollars into the financial rathole, the physical economy is in free fall, as money desperately needed to fund the rebuilding of infrastructure and productive capacity upon which human life depends, is wasted in a futile, hyperinflationary bailout. World agro-industrial capacity, operating below breakeven for decades, has now fallen below primitive survival levels. The resulting decline in relative potential population density—carrying capacity—below current population levels, means that without the global economic development programs proposed by Lyndon LaRouche, the population will shrink to the levels of the decreased potential. This is a sign that the world has already entered a new dark age, and will deteriorate sharply as the bailout-induced hyperinflation accelerates.

The world has reached a *punctum saliens*, a point where our actions, or our failure to act, will determine whether civilization survives or fails. Either we act in concert as creative human beings, or the rats of the empire will rule the resulting rubble.

johnhoeffe@larouchepub.com


LaRouche Reviews His Economic Forecasts

As political leaders, economists, and journalists around the world now acknowledge—albeit often grudgingly—that Lyndon LaRouche has not only been right in his economic forecasts, but uniquely so, it is worth reviewing those forecasts, and what LaRouche himself has had to say about them.

In his famous webcast of July 25, 2007, “The End of the Post-FDR Era,” which was intended as a prolegomena for a Democratic Party Platform, he said, “First of all, this occurs at a time when the world monetary financial system is actually now currently in the process of disintegrating. There’s nothing mysterious about this; I’ve talked about it for some time, it’s been in progress, it’s

not abating. What’s listed as stock values and market values in the financial markets internationally is bunk! These are purely fictitious beliefs. There’s no truth to it; the fakery is enormous. There is no possibility of a non-collapse of the present financial system—none! It’s finished, now! The present financial system can not continue to exist under any circumstances, under any Presidency, under any leadership, or any leadership of nations. Only a fundamental and sudden change in the world monetary financial system will prevent a general, immediate chain-reaction type of collapse. At what speed we don’t know, but it will go on, and it will be unstoppable! And the longer it goes on before coming to an end, the worse things will get....”

A few weeks after the July 25 webcast, LaRouche published a feature article in EIR, Sept. 7, 2007. Here are excerpts:



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

LaRouche forecast the current global financial meltdown at his July 25, 2007 webcast.

Science vs. Statistics: When Fate Hangs on a Forecast

The actual, strategic purpose and function of competent economic forecasting, is not to attempt to predict what will happen, but to cause it to happen.

...The fact is, that, since the time, during 1953, I settled upon Bernhard Riemann's method of physical geometry, no economic forecast I have ever delivered, has failed; and, only by exception has that forecast assumed the form of what might have appeared, mistakenly, by some, to have been what is usually regarded as merely a prediction.



Since LaRouche adopted Bernhard Riemann's method, no forecast of his has failed.

My first such forecast was short-term, crafted in the Summer and early Autumn of 1956, a forecast in which I foresaw the worst recession since the immediate post-war period, as probably scheduled to erupt before Spring 1957; it came on time, and lasted, pretty much as long as the accompanying agony of the young of the "white-collar" Baby-Boomer households, an agony which it produced, until about the time of the November 1960 general election.

My June-July 1987 forecast of a highly probable



At the time of LaRouche's first public forecast, of the 1957 Recession, Americans were lulled into a false sense of security by the one-eyed monster that began appearing in every household.



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

During the 2000 Democratic Presidential campaign, LaRouche forecast the development of a real-estate crisis within Loudoun County, Virginia.


stock-market crisis for early October 1987, is notable for what some erring observers would consider to be a prediction, rather than what it was, what I define, categorically, as a forecast.

Similarly, during the time of the 2000 Democratic Presidential campaign, I had forecast the development of a real-estate crisis within Loudoun County, Virginia; numerous among those who rejected that forecast were led by that error of theirs into making some very serious business or related mistakes, mistakes which will worry them now. In Gottfried Leibniz's uniquely original discovery of the calculus, all competent forecasting, even when it seems to point to a short-term prospect, is intrinsically the fruit of a method of long-term forecasting. As I shall indicate in the course of this report, there are scientific reasons why this is necessarily so.

Thus, my outstanding forecasts, from the late 1950s onward, until my Democratic Prolegomena of August 3, 2007, have been relatively long-ranging. Thus, you have my major, long-range, now realized forecasts, from 1959-1960 onward, of that break in the Bretton Woods system, which occurred in mid-1971. You have, also, the forecast which I had developed in late 1995, but first published in January 1996 as a Presidential campaign statement featuring what is known as my "Triple Curve." We must focus our attentions on the misguided personal motives of those who have argued, some loud and

FIGURE 1

A Typical Collapse Function



In late 1995, LaRouche developed his “Triple Curve” function.

long, that I was “wrong” in any of these forecasts. All forecasts made by me then, and since, have been on the mark in respect to what I had actually stated, that in very carefully crafted terms on such occasions. The “Triple Curve” expresses, in appropriate symbolic forms, the dominant features of both the U.S. and world markets, combined, since January 1996 up to the present moment. . . .

The point is, that I had come to understand, more and more, and ever more clearly, how modern history works, and, what happens to societies which brush aside the kinds of strategic forewarning produced by the method which I have employed.

Considering the presently ongoing global financial crisis, the behavior of those who have sought to deprecate those forecasts, now becomes, clinically, most interesting; in most among the studied cases, the reason they rejected my forecast, is that they were, more or less hell-bent, on continuing stubbornly in a wrong direction, and my forecast spoiled the pleasure of their obsessive search for pleasure in their own dream-world’s foolish, and often fanatical fantasies.

Right now, understanding the validity of my forecasts, and the method which my forecasts have correctly expressed, is pretty much a life-or-death matter for our own and the world’s economy. On that account, my just recently issued Prolegomena for a Democratic Party campaign platform, also provides a valuable il-



www.people.fas.harvard.edu

During Alan Greenspan’s tenure at the Fed, the U.S. economy went down, down, down. A dubious Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin looks on as Dr. Greenspan bloviates.

lustration of the proper crafting and use of my forecasting method.

As for what have been often foolishly self-described by a silly press as my usually anonymous “critics,” every interval of U.S. economic history under Alan Greenspan’s tenure, has been one successive interval of ruin of our economy, after another, during all of which, the U.S. physical economy was ratcheting down, down, down. Those who rejected my forecasts usually had their own peculiar reasons, but, looking back, over the record of the recent decade and longer, those reasons were always of a similar character to the motives of the alcoholic, compulsive gambler, or political figure behind the wheel, who, like President George W. Bush, Jr., snarls, “I’m driving!”

Warning: Ideology at Work!

Since the LTCM crisis of August-October 1998, the most memorable example of a failed forecast has continued to be that caused by the prize-winning methods of Myron Scholes and his associates. That



GNU Free Documentation License

Myron Scholes’ crack-pot financial scams “really took the prize,” the 1997 Nobel Prize in Economics.

really took the prize, as the saying goes! At that time, President Bill Clinton and his U.S. Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin led the temporarily successful bail-out of a crisis-struck U.S. financial system. The effort was considered Herculean, and perhaps justly so; but, although the patient survived, temporarily, none of the causes for the LTCM crisis were treated, and, therefore, the crisis of 1998 has returned in a much more resistant strain, as the global monetary-financial breakdown-crisis of today.

The characteristics of the methods used to cause that crisis then, have been continued, in all essentials, by Scholes and others since, still today.

The exotic methods crafted and employed by Scholes and his like, have been, in a certain sense, actually a leading contributing cause of the present lurch to the brink of a general, chain-reaction form of global monetary-financial breakdown-crisis. It is time to get the mathematical witch-doctors off the case, while the patient himself might still be saved. . . .

New Bretton Woods in Italy

Senator Backs Key Parts of LaRouche's Proposal

by Emidio Castellani

Sen. Mario Baldassarri, head of the Finance Committee of the Italian Senate, endorsed key aspects of Lyndon LaRouche's New Bretton Woods proposal, including the idea of freezing derivative assets. Baldassarri was one of three speakers at a conference organized in Ascoli Piceno, on Oct. 25, by industry and labor organizations under the umbrella of Confapi, an association of small and medium-sized industries. The other two speakers were Claudio Celani, co-editor of the EIR Strategic Alert Service, and Alfonso Gianni, former Undersecretary of State of the Prodi government (2006-08).

In front of a 100-plus audience, including local authorities, which filled the hall, Celani gave the keynote presentation, explaining how LaRouche had predicted the collapse of the financial system during his visit to Ascoli Piceno, in Italy's Marche region, in October 2000, on the basis of a long-term forecast based on his

"Triple Curve" analysis. Celani then compared the current collapse crisis with the 14th-Century collapse of the Venice-centered Lombard banking system, and explained LaRouche's four-step solution. Celani also showed images of the large infrastructure projects that must be implemented in the context of a reorganization of the financial system.

LaRouche's solution has already been endorsed by Italian Economy Minister Giulio Tremonti, and Tremonti's campaign has been adopted by President Nicolas Sarkozy of France. This has created a growing movement for a New Bretton Woods conference, but the fight is on to ensure that it is LaRouche's proposal, whose centerpiece is a commitment to the principle of the Treaty of Westphalia—"the benefit of the other"—and not some distorted version, like that put forward by British Prime Minister Gordon Brown.

Alfonso Gianni insisted that the current crisis is different from the 1929 Crash; it is a crisis of the real economy *and* of the financial system. This means that we need to adopt a new system of development, and we need a reorganization of the system starting from a fixed-exchange-rate system. Gianni agreed that we need state-financed large projects, and insisted that they must be environmentally safe.

The Economy Is a 'Living Thing'

Senator Baldassarri, who is also a leading economist, blasted policies based on linear economic models, saying that the economy is a "living" thing. He recalled his personal acquaintance with LaRouche, and explained that he has filed a Senate motion written in collaboration with LaRouche's Milan representative Andrew Spannaus. He is now seeking bipartisan support for the motion, which will be discussed in a Senate debate to be held soon. Baldassarri endorsed Celani's specification that any reform of the system must include a freezing of speculative assets, and that a continuation of the current government bailouts would ignite hyperinflation.

That proposition is indeed part of the draft motion presented by Baldassarri. It consists of the same text earlier introduced by Sen. Oskar Peterlini, a member of the opposition, with a few minor changes. One such change is that the name of Lyndon LaRouche, as the author of the New Bretton Woods proposal has been deleted, as Baldassarri, an economics professor, is not quite ready to give credit where it is really due. This was evident in his approach in Ascoli as well, where, although he acknowledged LaRouche's merits as an



EIRNS

Lyndon LaRouche's New Bretton Woods was discussed at a meeting of industry and labor organizations in Ascoli Piceno, Italy. At the podium (left to right): Sen. Mario Baldassarri; Francisco Caprioli (Confapi); and Ennio Gibellieri (Chamber of Commerce).

economist, he did not recognize LaRouche's special leadership role. "I have been an economist for 40 years," Baldassarri said, and insisted that he has always been for the "real economy," and against speculation. "It was therefore natural that LaRouche, whom I have met several times, and I would converge on the same ideas."

With Baldassarri's motion, there are now three serious draft motions to be discussed and voted on in an upcoming Senate debate: Peterlini's (1-00029); Baldassarri's (00036); and a motion presented by Democratic Party kingpin Enrico Morando (1-00032). A fourth motion, introduced by Sen. Elio Lannutti, is seen as an attempt to disrupt the process.

Whereas both Peterlini's and Baldassarri's motions contain key aspects of LaRouche's program, such as the specification that the banking system must be put under bankruptcy reorganization, and that a new "credit system" instead of a purely monetary system be put into place, Morando's motion simply criticizes Alan Greenspan's bubble policy, and proposes new rules in generic terms. The Morando motion even calls for a UN agency for sustainable development as a world government agency.

Baldassarri said that he is seeking bipartisan support for his motion, and that he will introduce it even with-

out support from the opposition. On paper, he has enough votes to get it through. Of course, Peterlini, who filed the first motion for a New Bretton Woods, will support it. However, he will speak first, and ask Baldassarri to put LaRouche's name back in the text.

A floor debate had been scheduled for Oct. 16-18, but it was then postponed because of other business. Once the motion is voted and approved, it becomes a Resolution which the government is supposed to adhere to—however, without guarantees.

Full Political Sovereignty

Following the conference in Ascoli Piceno, Baldassarri announced his New Bretton Woods motion in an interview with the financial daily *Il Sole 24 Ore*, whose correspondent wrote, on Oct. 28: "'The serious international financial crisis is in reality only the tip of the iceberg. Behind that, there are imbalances in the world economy, which are much deeper and more radical, and which today demand a comprehensive rethinking of governance.' This is how Mario Baldassarri, chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, motivates his decision to introduce, in the name of the PdL [Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi's alliance party—ed.] a motion that binds the government to act in international fora to promote a new Bretton Woods."

In that interview, Baldassarri also called for the G-8 to be enlarged to include China and India, and called for "full political sovereignty of Europe"—the "United States of Europe." The issue of the European Union and the Lisbon Treaty was raised by a member of the audience in the Ascoli meeting, and although Baldassarri and Celani both spoke against it, there were significant differences. Whereas Celani rejected the Treaty as a supranational, dictatorial government, and called for reintroducing a system based on national sovereignty, Baldassarri did not reject the idea of a "European government," but insisted that it be "democratic."

Another issue raised in the discussion was nuclear energy. Here, Gianni defended the prejudices against "safety" of nuclear energy pushed by his party, the PRC. Celani and Baldassarri insisted, with different arguments, that there is no alternative to nuclear energy, and that safety aspects are today reduced to the question of nuclear waste, which can be mostly recycled.

The whole conference was videotaped, and will be soon posted on the Italian Movisol website (www.movisol.org). The regional TV news program and local newspapers covered the event.

Syria Raid: Cheney's Farewell Gift to Bibi and London

by Jeffrey Steinberg

Nov. 1—On Sunday, Oct. 26, four U.S. combat helicopters raided the Syrian village of Sukkariyah, five miles from the Iraqi border, killing nine Syrians and wounding 14 others. While officially refusing to even acknowledge that the raid occurred, the Bush Administration defended the action, by claiming that their target had been a leading al-Qaeda smuggler named Abu-Ghadiya, a man who had been reportedly killed several years ago.

Homeland Security Secretary Michael Chertoff came closest to admitting on Oct. 30, that the raid had taken place, while at the same time acknowledging it was a violation of international law; he told reporters: "International law must begin to recognize that part of the responsibility of sovereignty is the responsibility to make sure that your own country does not become a platform for attacking other countries. There are areas of the world that are ungoverned or ungovernable but nevertheless technically within the sovereignty of boundaries. Does that mean we simply have to allow terrorists to operate there, in a kind of badland, where they can plan, they can set up laboratories, they can experiment with chemical weapons and with biological weapons?"

Chertoff's flagrant defense of the Cheney doctrine of preventive war is but one feature of the story behind the Sukkariyah raid.

The attack, which U.S. intelligence sources say was carried out behind the back of the U.S. Central Command and the U.S. ambassador in Baghdad, Ryan Crocker, was ordered from the White House, and aimed to sabotage a series of international diplomatic initia-

tives—including some undertaken recently by senior U.S. officials.

In fact, all of the evidence points in the direction of Vice President Dick Cheney and National Security Council Middle East chief Elliott Abrams, two of the Bush Administration's biggest proponents of regime change in Damascus, who have collectively sabotaged every Syrian peace initiative for the past seven and a half years, and remain committed to the overthrow of the Assad government. Ultimately, President George W. Bush would have had to sign off on the attack; but evidence indicates that Cheney and/or Abrams pushed for the authorization.

Task Force 88

The attack on the Syrian border village was, according to U.S. military sources, carried out by Task Force 88, a joint Anglo-American special operations unit, established shortly after the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks (initially, under a different task force designation), with the mandate to hunt down and eliminate al-Qaeda leaders. The unit is comprised of special operations commandos from the U.S. SEAL Team 6, Delta Force, British Special Air Services (SAS), and the CIA's Special Activities Division.

Task Force 88 is reportedly deployed through a separate chain of command, which bypasses the regional command structures, and has a global mandate to operate independently. The unit and its predecessors have been deployed in Iraq, Afghanistan, and throughout Africa, according to various news accounts.

In the case of the Oct. 26 raid into Syria, there is good reason to believe that the action was taken behind the backs of chief of the U.S. Central Command Gen. David Petraeus and commander in Iraq Gen. Ray Odierno, the generals in charge of the U.S. operations in Iraq.

In fact, according to a recent ABC-News report, Petraeus had sought White House approval to make a trip to Damascus, to meet with President Bashar al-Assad and pursue closer counterterror cooperation with Syria. His request was rejected at the White House just days before the Sukkariyah raid.

Ambassador Moustapha Speaks

The Syrian Ambassador in Washington, Dr. Imad Moustapha, shed further light on the attack on sovereign Syrian territory on Oct. 30, in remarks to the annual meeting of the National Council on U.S.-Arab Relations (NCUSAR). The ambassador revealed that, during the United Nations General Assembly session in New York City in September, U.S. Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice had requested a meeting with Syrian Foreign Minister Walid Moallem. The mere fact that Rice sought to meet with her Syrian counterpart represented a dramatic reversal of U.S. policy. It was universally known that Cheney and Abrams had sabotaged any direct Syrian-Israeli peace talks, and had even pressed for Israel to reject a ceasefire during its disastrous July 2006 invasion of Lebanon, in order to continue the “shock and awe” bombing against Hezbollah.

The Rice-Moallem meeting did take place, and was followed a day later by a lengthy working meeting between Moallem and David Welch, the Assistant Secretary of State for the Near East. Welch was scheduled to travel to Damascus for even higher level meetings—until the whole initiative was scotched, days before the Sukkariyah raid.

A Two-Front War

The attack on the Syrian village came just days after Syria had sent an ambassador to Baghdad for the first time in decades, marking a new and positive direction in Syrian-Iraqi relations. After initial silence, the Iraqi government came out strongly denouncing the U.S. raid, demanding a full investigation, and insisting that the Status of Force Agreement (SOFA) between the United States and Iraq include an airtight guarantee that U.S. forces will never again use Iraqi territory as a staging ground for an attack on a neighboring country. Ne-

gotiations for the SOFA are proceeding with great difficulty, with a deadline of Dec. 31 when the UN mandate for U.S. troop presence in Iraq expires.

The Sukkariyah raid also came in the context of a vicious Anglo-Saudi covert program of arming and financing an al-Qaeda-type terror network, which has targeted Allawites in the Tripoli area of northern Lebanon, and Syrian Allawites across the border. According to senior U.S. intelligence sources, Syrian military intelligence has hard evidence that the Salafi terrorist provocations are being financed by Prince Bandar bin-Sultan, the former Saudi ambassador to the United States and Bush family intimate, who was the architect of the “Al-Yamamah” program between Britain and Saudi Arabia. Under Al-Yamamah, the British and Saudi intelligence services have amassed an offshore covert operations fund, that has financed wars and narco-insurgencies for more than 20 years, particularly targeting Africa, the Persian Gulf, the eastern Mediterranean, and South Asia.

Now, according to U.S. intelligence sources, the British-Bandar program is going after Lebanon and Syria.

A Gift for Netanyahu

Ultimately, the Cheney-Abrams caper aimed to back Israeli Likud Party head Benjamin “Bibi” Netanyahu. The day before the U.S. raid on Syria, Kadima Party leader and current Foreign Minister Tzipi Livni gave up on her efforts to form a new coalition government, and asked President Shimon Peres to set early elections for February 2009. She will be running against Netanyahu and Labor Party head and Deputy Prime Minister Ehud Barak.

For London, and for the neocon apparatus still ensconced in the corridors of power in Washington, a Netanyahu victory would be the surest guarantor that no peace process in the region would move forward, and Southwest Asia would remain a cockpit for global confrontation, regardless of the new policy coming out of Washington.

In this regard, the relatively small-scale attack on Syrian territory is a signal of a much larger intention by London and its neoconservative assets in Washington and Tel Aviv—to spread chaos at the very moment that their post-Bretton Woods financial system disintegrates. It is this kind of recklessness that triggered two world wars during the previous century, and brought European civilization into a dark age during the 14th Century.

The Arab Peace Plan And Israeli Elections

by Dean Andromidas

Israel will hold national elections as early as next February, and the Arab Peace Initiative, first put forward in 2002, promises to become a central issue. The Bush Administration has brought the peace process to a standstill and undermined every peace effort of the last eight years. With new elections in the United States, as dismal as the choices may be between Barak Obama and John McCain, and now new elections in Israel itself, one Israeli told *EIR*, “We have a new situation.”

Despite half a dozen summits to promote the peace process between Israel and the Palestinians, the Bush Administration has done absolutely nothing to further the process. Vice President Dick Cheney and his cabal of neocons have fomented civil war among the Palestinians, between Fatah and Hamas. The most recent outrageous action by the Bush Administration was the Oct. 26 military strike against a target on Syrian territory. The attack undermined efforts by Israel and Syria, for the last several months, to negotiate a peace agreement through talks mediated by Turkey.

The Israeli elections will be held almost two years early because of the resignation of Prime Minister Ehud Olmert, who faces various criminal investigations. He was replaced as leader of the Kadima party by Foreign Minister Tzipi Livni, who was subsequently given a mandate to form a new governing coalition by Israeli President Shimon Peres. However, she was unable to form a government after the religious-based Shas party refused to rejoin a coalition with Kadima and the Labor Party. Shas had demanded that Livni sign an agreement that she would not negotiate the status of Israeli-occupied East Jerusalem in her negotiations with the Palestinians. Livni refused, declaring she would not give into Shas “blackmail” for an agreement that would make peace with the Palestinians impossible.

Reviving the Arab Peace Initiative

King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia, in 2002, announced his Saudi Peace Initiative, offering full recognition and

exchange of diplomatic relations between Israel and all the Arab states, in return for the completion of Israeli withdrawal from occupied lands in the context of peace agreements between Israel and the Palestinians and Syria. The plan, which was subsequently adopted as the Arab Peace Plan by the Arab League, also called for the establishment of a Palestinian state and a just solution to the Palestinian refugee question. In 2002, when hard-liner Ariel Sharon was prime minister, the plan was rejected by Israel, and ignored by the Israeli public outside of the independent peace movement. Despite formally supporting the initiative, the Bush Administration did nothing to convince Israel to support the effort.

King Abdullah and the Arab League summit of 2007 reaffirmed their offer, and finally, during this year’s United Nations General Assembly meeting in September, President Peres signaled his personal endorsement of the initiative by calling on the Arab states to “further” their effort.

Peres’s statement was followed by an extraordinary Arab-Israeli meeting held Oct. 15-17 under the auspices of the Oxford Research Group, where 15 leading Arab and Israeli personalities discussed ways to further the Arab Peace Initiative. Among the participants were former chief Palestinian Minister Nabil Shaath; former Palestinian National Security Advisor Jibril Rajoub; former director general of the Israeli foreign ministry and foreign policy advisor to Peres, Avi Gil; Alon Liel, another former foreign ministry director general, who is known for having held back-channel peace talks with Syria; and Matti Steinberg, a former advisor to the Israeli security services.

But the most significant participant was Saudi Prince Turki al-Faisal, the former Saudi intelligence chief and former ambassador to the United States, who is now head of the King Faisal Center for Research and Islamic Studies. Others included former Egyptian ambassador to Washington, Nabil Fahmy; and Hisham Youssef, the chief of staff to Arab League Secretary General Amr Moussa. From the United States, there was Henry Seligman, a leading Middle East peace activist and senior fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations. Although it was hoped that appropriate personalities from Syria and Iran could attend, unfortunately, it didn’t happen.

The Price for Peace

Prince Turki told the symposium that every Arab state “made clear they will pay the price for peace, not



UN/Eskinder Debebe

Israel's Kadima party leader, Foreign Minister Tzipi Livni, failed to form a government following the resignation of Prime Minister Olmert; early elections will be held in February. At the top of the agenda: the Arab Peace Initiative.

only by recognizing Israel as a legitimate state, but also to normalize relations with it and end the state of hostilities that had existed since 1948." In return, Israel must "accept peace as a strategic choice, ... withdraw completely from all the lands they occupied in 1967, including Jerusalem, ... accept a just solution for the refugee problem, ... and recognize the independent state of Palestine."

On Oct. 23, Peres traveled to Egypt on the invitation of Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak where, during a press conference, the Israeli President said that, while he doesn't "accept all of the Saudi plan," which he said needs to be negotiated further, its spirit is correct. He added that, "In tandem with the bilateral negotiations with the Palestinians, we need to promote the Arab Peace Initiative."

Peres has been coordinating his efforts to promote the Arab Initiative with Labor Party chairman and Israeli Defense Minister Ehud Barak, who has voiced support for it. Livni supports the initiative as well.

On Nov. 12, the Arab Initiative will be discussed in an interfaith dialogue conference sponsored by Saudi King Abdullah, to be held at the United Nations. Both Peres and Livni have been invited, which will be the first time such an invitation has been extended by the Saudis to Israeli leaders.

The invitation was also extended to 192 countries. This is the third such interfaith dialogue to be sponsored by King Abdullah. The first was held in Saudi Arabia earlier this year, where Sunni and Shi'a religious leaders convened. Former Iranian President Akbar Hashemi Rafsanjani attended. The second conference was held in Spain, where Islamic leaders were joined by Christians and Jews, including several rabbis.

The Geneva Peace Initiative, promoted by Yossi Beilin, the Israeli architect of the Oslo Peace accords, and former Palestinian chief negotiator Yasser Abed Rabbo, have been promoting the Arab initiative since it was first proposed in 2003. Prof. Ilai Alon of Tel Aviv University has just drafted a updated Hebrew translation of the initiative.

Shifting Political Sands

Meanwhile, André Azoulay, a Jewish businessman from Morocco, and advisor to the king, was in Israel attending the 10th anniversary of the founding of Peres Peace Center, where he called on Israel to adopt the Arab initiative. "I am a Jew with a commitment," Azouly is quoted by *Ha'aretz* (Oct. 29) as saying. "I'm an Arab Jew. I advise the King of Morocco. ... The Arab mainstream sees Israel as the party responsible for preventing peace, not the Arabs. ... This is something that the Israelis hoped for ten years ago. But who knows about it in Israel today? Who will take the initiative to explain it? The momentum will not last forever. This is a dangerous situation. Tomorrow something could happen in the West Bank and blow the whole deal, and we'll have to wait again."

While these developments hold out the hope that the Arab Peace Initiative will become a decisive issue in the Israeli election campaign which is expected to be very sharp. For months now, the election polls have shown that Benjamin Netanyahu and his Likud party would win a majority of Knesset seats and therefore be asked to form a government. If that came to pass, his coalition would include parties, such as the National Religious Party, which are even further to the right than Netanyahu's Likud. Nonetheless, the latest polls bear witness to the shifting sands of the Israeli political scene, with Livni's Kadima surpassing the Likud.

Whatever happens in the elections, and with the Arab Peace Initiative, the success of any peace effort requires, above all, the vigorous support of the United States, and a far bigger question mark hangs over the U.S. elections than those of Israel.

BüSo Charts Plans for Upcoming Elections

by Our Wiesbaden Bureau

Oct. 27—"For a Europe of Sovereign Republics—Reconstruction after the Financial Collapse" was the theme of the national party conference held by the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement (BüSo) in Frankfurt-Sossenheim yesterday, with about 100 members attending, half of them members of the LaRouche Youth Movement.

After the LaRouche Youth Movement chorus set the tone for the event with a performance of Mozart's "Ave Verum," Helga Zepp-LaRouche delivered the keynote address, declaring that in the midst of all the bad news about financial tsunamis ravaging the world, this is also an extraordinary historic moment. Lyndon LaRouche is now recognized throughout the world as having been on the mark, with his forecasts of the onrushing financial-economic crisis, while others insisted that there was nothing to worry about. LaRouche's call for a New Bretton Woods conference to reorganize the bankrupt global financial system is no longer considered an exotic idea, but is on the lips of politicians such as French President Nicolas Sarkozy, who launched an initiative for an NBW conference, and of Italian Economics and Finance Minister Giulio Tremonti, who said that he was the first government official to push for an NBW, but that the idea had originated with LaRouche.

She discussed the most recent aspects of the global financial collapse, emphasizing that unless LaRouche's proposed Homeowners and Bank Protection Act (HBPA) and Three-Steps to Survival are adopted (see *EIR*, March 28, 2008), there will be no change for the better. She elaborated on how LaRouche developed his unique method of forecasting starting in 1948-52, through the late 1950s and '60s and the period after the collapse of the first Bretton Woods in 1971; his forecast of the coming collapse of the Soviet system in the 1980s; and again during the Asian crisis of 1997 and the Russian crisis in 1998, along with the near-collapse of



EIRNS/James Rea

Helga Zepp-LaRouche at the BüSo conference on Oct. 26 in Frankfurt.

the LTCM hedge fund. LaRouche's latest proposals are just the most recent in a long series, highlighted by the 1989-90 "European Productive Triangle" and the 1994-96 "Eurasian Land-Bridge" programs.

The countdown to the end of the old system is on, said Mrs. LaRouche, and those who still claim—as in the *Washington Post* a few days ago—that an NBW is not needed, but that the free market will sort things out by itself, are wrong. They are wrong just as East Germany's Erich Honecker was, in October 1989, when he said that neither oxen nor donkeys would be able to budge socialism from its hold on power; two weeks later he was out of office, and East German socialism was out soon after.

The neo-liberal paradigm is over, and any attempt to continue holding onto it is a crime against humanity, as seen in the global hunger riots several months ago, and the worsening situation which is threatening starvation and other forms of annihilation for most of today's human population.

Threat of a New Dark Age

Elaborating LaRouche's call for a four-nation core of cooperation against Anglo-Dutch imperialism (the United States, Russia, China, and India), she stressed that U.S.-Russian cooperation is especially crucial, and



EIRNS/Christopher Lewis

Daniel Buchmann of the LaRouche Youth Movement is a member of the Executive Committee of the BüSo.



EIRNS/Christopher Lewis

Elke Fimmen, vice chairwoman of the BüSo, gave a report on the party's new program.

Election Campaigns

An extensive programmatic discussion continued in the context of the presentation of the new party program by BüSo vice chairwoman Elke Fimmen, and treasurer Klaus Fimmen's report on the financial situation of the BüSo since the last party convention in December 2006.

The party conference was followed by a meeting on the BüSo slate for the June 2009 elections for European Parliament. Zepp-LaRouche emphasized that the BüSo is not an "enemy" of the idea of Europe, but that it upholds the concept of a Europe of the Fatherlands, as French President Charles de Gaulle and German Chancellor Konrad Adenauer understood it.

This goes against the idea of an im-

perial Europe, and would mean renouncing the EU's Maastricht, Amsterdam, Nice, and Lisbon treaties. Twenty-seven candidates were proposed for a slate headed by Zepp-LaRouche, for the elections to the European Parliament, "where we are urgently needed," as one candidate put it.

'Creativity in a Knapsack'

Jacques Cheminade, leader of the French sister party Solidarity and Progress, then gave a guest presentation. "We are the only ones who know what a New Bretton Woods really is," he said. There are numerous proposals being put forward by others, but only those of Lyndon LaRouche would actually change the system of globalization. President Sarkozy, who has made far-reaching proposals, remains locked within the Maastricht domain. What is really needed is not a monetary system, but a credit system. The New Bretton Woods would not actually be a new financial system, so much as a new culture. It would be based on the beautiful idea that the human spirit has unlimited potential to make discoveries.

"I am absolutely convinced that we together can win," Cheminade said, "if we inspire others, if we, so to speak, have creativity in our knapsacks. If every morning we break our spiritual chains, to raise mankind above the level of the windmills."

both countries have a foundation for that in their historical relationship, in the heritage of Gottfried Leibniz, who, since he was a German, also will bring Germany into this cooperation one day. For Germany, what is required is a return to the heritage of American System economists Friedrich List and Henry Carey—opponents of British free trade—which allowed Germany to develop from a backward agrarian state into a modern industrial nation, she said.

Germany, as well as the rest of Europe, must be liberated from the European Union's supranational Maastricht straitjacket, to create instead a Europe of the nation-states, of sovereign republics based on the principles of the 1648 Westphalian Treaty.

The New Bretton Woods conference in Washington on Nov. 15, which will occur due to the efforts of President Sarkozy in particular, will only be a first step; future events will include fierce struggles over the right approach. If the leaders fail, we will be thrown back into something resembling the Dark Age of the 14th Century. On the other hand, there is the prospect of great projects for development, which put human creativity at the center of affairs. This is a great historic moment which can turn the world around for the better, and it must not be met by small minds that fail to recognize that moment, the Zepp-LaRouche concluded.

Eastern Congo Hit by Destabilization

The Brutish empire reactivates its attack on nation-building in the region.

The London-based Brutish imperial financial cartel has renewed a revolt in North Kivu, a province in the eastern Democratic Republic of Congo (D.R.C.), as part of its overall strategy to eliminate nation-states in Africa and plunder the region's raw materials. Rwanda-backed anti-government rebels, led by Laurent Nkunda, surrounded Goma, the capital of North Kivu, on Oct. 29. Nkunda demanded that Congo's President, Joseph Kabila, negotiate with him directly, because Nkunda objects to a \$9 billion deal that the large, mineral-rich, but desperately poor country has made with China. The deal will provide urgently needed infrastructure to aid in development.

Since Nkunda launched his latest offensive Oct. 26, the effects have been devastating. After seeing UN peacekeepers moving their civilian personnel out as the rebels advanced, people in and around Goma fled their homes, and have been without food and water, and forced to sleep outside, with many children sick with diarrhea, according to one victim who fled with his family.

After the removal of former South African President Thabo Mbeki from office on Sept. 20, the Brutish now face fewer impediments to this kind of destabilizing activity in Africa, because Mbeki was committed to preventing the Brutish financial cartel from using whipped-up conflicts to carry out its goal of implementing a genocidal Dark Age in Africa. Under Mbeki, South African peacekeeping troops had been involved in several African countries, and Mbeki himself, or South African mediators, had intervened in other conflicts throughout the continent.

In 1998, rebels backed by Rwanda and Uganda invaded eastern D.R.C., and were able to proceed all the way across the country, which is as large as Europe, to the capital, Kinshasa. There they were turned back by Namibian, Zimbabwean, and Angolan troops which came to the aid of the government. In 2003, a peace agreement was finally reached, and the last Ugandan and Rwandan troops left the country.

The next year, Nkunda, who was formerly a general in the D.R.C. army, became a rebel based in North Kivu. North and South Kivu provinces have been dominated by anti-Rwandan militias who fled to the D.R.C., and anti-D.R.C. rebel groups, such as Nkunda's National Council for the Defense of the People.

Nkunda controls an area rich in natural resources. He gets his funds by running illegal mining operations in the region: The stolen minerals are smuggled out of the D.R.C. to be marketed primarily in Rwanda, where the mineral cartel gets the minerals at reduced rates. The amount of minerals leaving the D.R.C. in this manner is not insignificant. A 2001 UN report indicated that in an 18-month period before the Rwandan army left the D.R.C., it had made \$250 million from coltan (columbite-tantalite) sales alone. Coltan is a valuable mineral that is used in cell phones and computer chips. North Kivu, the most strife-riven province in the D.R.C., has five key mineral resources: coltan, diamonds, copper, cobalt, and gold.

Thus, a self-funding rebellion has been put in place that makes the province ungovernable. In addition, Nkun-

da is obviously getting a lot of help. His forces are better trained and better armed than those of the government. He also appears to have good intelligence cooperation, allowing him to use hit-and-run tactics which give him a big advantage over the more numerous government troops.

Nkunda must think he has a good future by making the region ungovernable, a condition which permits him to continue his rogue mining operations (in which desperate people work in hideous conditions). He claims to have turned down a \$2.5 million offer from the government, in return for going into exile.

He may also have gotten support from European royal families' environmentalist movement. On Aug. 13, a Belgian prince, Emmanuel de Merode, was sworn in as director of the 3,000-square-mile Virunga National Park in North Kivu. On Aug. 28, Nkunda started his offensive. Then, on Sept. 3, a week after government troops had engaged Nkunda's forces near Virunga, the government pulled out 1,000 troops from near the park, after negotiations with de Merode, who said it was better for the mountain gorillas to have fewer human beings around. This cleared the way for Nkunda to easily advance on Goma.

The conflict in eastern Congo, since 1998 known as the "Great War," has killed more people—mostly from disease and starvation—than any conflict since World War II. The International Rescue Committee put the number at 5.4 million in a January 2008 report.

British Foreign Secretary David Miliband and French Foreign Minister, Bernard Kouchner arrived in Kinshasa on Oct. 31. Miliband is proposing that President Kabila talk directly with Brutish stooge Nkunda, just as Nkunda has demanded. French President Nicolas Sarkozy, however, has pledged full support to Kabila.

International Intelligence

Knesset Member: Jail Investment Advisors

Israeli Knesset member and chairman of the Knesset Finance Committee Vaishay Braverman told an open forum, "Thieves get sent to prison. What about investment advisors, who serve the interests of the investment banks that employ them?"

Braverman, an economist and former president of Ben Gurion University, was speaking before an emergency meeting of the Small and Medium Enterprises Authority Oct. 27. "Anybody who thinks that while the U.S. gets pneumonia and Europe gets tuberculosis, Israel won't catch anything, doesn't understand the gravity of the situation," he said, as reported in the daily *Ha'aretz*.

Nordic Council Youth Condemn British Acts

At a meeting of the Nordic Council in Helsinki on Oct. 27, the official youth organization of the Council said, we "condemn the unforgivable acts by the British government against the people of Iceland and make a strong call on the Nordic countries to put pressure on the British government.... If we do not stand together in times of crisis, there is no reason to stand together in peace times." The Youth Nordic Council represents 60 established youth organizations.

The British government seized Icelandic assets, using the pretext of anti-terrorism laws, when Iceland's financial system plunged into crisis.

The Council meeting was dominated by the Icelandic financial crisis, and was split between those supporting Iceland and those pushing it into the death grip of the International Monetary Fund and the British.

The leader of Iceland's delegation to the Council, parliamentarian Arni Pall Arnason, said in a press release that "Britain has placed Iceland in the same category as terrorist organizations. It is difficult to see how they can justify citing

British national interests."

But the Prime Minister of Norway, Jens Stoltenberg, said he wants Iceland to take aid from the IMF instead of Russia, and promised \$2 billion in aid. In a ploy to push Iceland into the arms of the IMF, the Nordic prime ministers, meeting later the same day, decided to postpone monetary aid to Iceland, and appointed a working group to study the IMF program.

Crisis Is Driving Down Farmers' Income in China

China's 800 million farmers are being directly hurt by the world financial crisis, *China Daily* reported Oct. 29. Income growth for the rural population is a critical issue for the government, because the urban-rural income gap in China is already one of the highest in the world. The gap could reach 7% this year.

The situation will only get worse in 2009, economist Cheg Guoqiang of the State Council Development Research Center told *China Daily*. Per-capita cash income was just 3,971 yuan (\$580) so far this year, and that does not include rising prices. The international crisis has brought down agricultural product prices in the last three months on the international market, which is suppressing domestic prices, while fertilizer and other costs remain high.

Increasing the living standards of China's farmers is a key national policy: The stated goal is to double incomes between this year and 2020.

Cuba's Fidel Castro Endorses WWF

In an article Oct. 31 titled "The Worst Choice," Fidel Castro continues with his published "Reflections," this time denouncing the U.S. Federal Reserve for giving a line of credit to a number of countries in order to strengthen the U.S. currency to keep the system afloat. In contrast, he says, "The World Wildlife Fund (WWF) declared yesterday in Geneva that

at the current rate of spending, humanity would need the resources of two planets by 2030 to maintain its life style."

Castro writes: "The WWF is a serious institution. There's no need to be a university graduate in mathematics, economics, or political sciences to understand what that means. It's the worst choice. Developed capitalism still hopes to continue to looting the world as if the world could endure it."

Castro doesn't say what he offers as a remedy. But the most famous spokesman for the "serious" WWF, Prince Philip, the consort of Queen Elizabeth, has stated that he hopes to reduce the human population in order to save the planet. In a previous article, Castro endorsed British Prime Minister Gordon Brown's choice of remedy, which works to that genocidal end.

Carbon Swap Tested In Indonesia, Brazil

As part of the effort to convince nations to give up expanding agriculture, mining, or industry, the World Bank has chosen Indonesia and Brazil as "test cases" in its drive to depopulate the globe under the guise of "lowering carbon emissions." Carbon emissions are accused as the villain in the global warming swindle.

A program called Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD) will "give a monetary incentive to various countries and companies to lower their annual carbon emissions by setting a yearly maximum emissions output." This is connected to the carbon swap markets being set up to "trade" carbon emissions, which is currently Al Gore's mission in London at his "Blood and Gore" hedge fund.

The World Bank, which refers to Indonesia as "the third largest producer of greenhouse emissions," has told Indonesia that if it "zones the land for the Carbon Credits program," it will make more money than through logging or agriculture. The World Bank, of course, does not mention their plan's by-products of nondevelopment and starvation.

The November Turning Point

Deliberations are ongoing throughout the world on the Nov. 15 summit in Washington, D.C., whose agenda is announced to be a discussion of principles to reform the bankrupt world monetary system. At present, the prospects look murky, at best.

Informed banking sources in locations such as Paris and elsewhere, are saying they fear utter disaster come Nov. 17, the first trading day after the summit. If nothing comes out of that summit, there will be nothing to stem the outbreak of panic, and a stunningly steep decline toward total financial and economic chaos. Given that the idiot-President Bush himself will be presiding over the summit, it is hard to argue for any optimism that the results of its discussions will, in fact, be of any use.

Yet, those concerned with saving humanity from a New Dark Age, must proceed from a larger reality than the state of political disarray and degradation in the governments of the 20 nations that are attending the summit. *In fact, there is no alternative for humanity's future, without the coalescing of the world's leading nations—beginning with the United States, Russia, China, and India—around the one workable set of proposals for a new, just monetary system—Lyndon LaRouche's New Bretton Woods.*

Fortunately, LaRouche's associates are on full mobilization to educate, and pressure, leading circles in all these nations to take the appropriate action. LaRouche's recent report, published in the last *EIR*—"A New Dark Age Is Now Near: Today's Brutish Imperialism"—is being translated into relevant languages, and circulated among policymakers internationally. Given LaRouche's unprecedented credibility globally, as a result of his unparalleled record in forecasting the current breakdown crisis, there is no question that his

analysis will be closely studied. The question is, whether leading circles are ready to take action, and in time.

Most crucial, as LaRouche has stressed, is what action the Russian government is prepared to take. President Medvedev will be coming to Washington, and he has already hosted a series of discussions on the crisis, during which he clearly recognized the seriousness of the situation, but avoided direct confrontation with the Anglo-Dutch imperial model and the need to bury it. However, the density of discussion of LaRouche's proposals in the Russian media, along with Prime Minister Putin's consistent attention to saving the *physical* economy in Russia, reflect the fact that there is openness toward LaRouche's proposals.

This fact is key. If the necessary steps toward saving the world from financial catastrophe are going to be taken, there must first be agreement between Russia and the United States on the principles of the new system. Only after Russia moves in this direction, will China—which is reeling from the current financial disintegration—feel confident to do the same. Next in line would be India, which is clear about the need to dump the IMF and to insist upon large infrastructure projects for the Third World, but has reportedly decided not to press the issue at the Nov. 15 meeting—a big mistake.

The clock is ticking. Initial steps toward the principles LaRouche has laid out—starting with these governments agreeing to freeze the quadrillions of dollars of unpayable speculative debt—have got to be taken in the few weeks ahead. Real political leaders in the United States have to join LaRouche in achieving this outcome, as difficult and dangerous as it seems. The future of humanity demands no less.

ΔYNAMIS

THE JOURNAL OF THE LAROCHE-RIEMANN METHOD OF PHYSICAL ECONOMICS

APRIL 2008 ISSUE

On the Noetic Principle:

VERNADSKY & DIRICHLET'S PRINCIPLE

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

THE MAGNIFICENCE BEHIND THE SPHERE

An Initial Treatment of the Pentagramma Mirificum

by Ben Deniston

SPHAERICS vs. "THE BUBBLE"

by Meghan Rouillard

SPHERICAL TRIGONOMETRY


*A selection from the *Anfangsgründe**

by Abraham Gotthelf Kästner

Contributions to

THE THEORY OF ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS

by Carl Friedrich Gauss



THE LAROCHE YOUTH MOVEMENT:
REBUILDING SCIENCE, WITHOUT THE HIGH PRIESTS.

DOWNLOAD IN PDF FORMAT at **WWW.WLYM.COM**

See LaRouche on Cable TV

INTERNET

- BCAT.TV/BCAT Click BCAT-2 Mon: 10 am
- LAROCHEPUB.COM Click *LaRouche's Writings*. (Avail. 24/7)
- MNN.ORG Click *Watch Ch.57* Fri: 2:30 a.m.
- RAVITELEVISION.COM Click *Live Stream*. Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- SCAN-TV.ORG Click *Scan on the Web*. Sat 2 pm Pac
- WUWF.ORG Click *Watch WUWF-TV*. Last Mon 4:30-5 pm (Eastern)

ALABAMA

- UNIONTOWN GY Ch.2: Mon-Fri every 4 hours; Sun Afternoons

ALASKA

- ANCHORAGE GCI Ch.9: Thu 10 pm

CALIFORNIA

- BEVERLY HILLS TW Ch.43: Wed 4 pm
- CLAYTON/CONCORD CO Ch.26: 2nd Tue 7 pm; AS Ch.31: Tue 7:30 pm
- CONTRA COSTA CC Ch.26: 2nd Tue 7 pm
- COSTA MESA TW Ch.35: Thu 5:30 pm
- HOLLYWOOD TW Ch.24: Tue 4:30-5 pm
- LANCASTER/PALMDALE TW Ch.36: Sun 1 pm
- LONG BEACH CH Analog Ch.65/69 & Digital Ch.95: 4th Tue 1-1:30 pm
- LOS ANGELES TW Ch.98: Wed 3-3:30 pm
- LOS ANGELES (East) TW Ch.98: Mon 7 pm; Wed 6 pm
- MARINA DEL REY TW Ch.98: Wed 3 pm; Thu/Fri 4 pm
- MIDWILSHIRE TW Ch.24: Tue 4:30-5 pm
- ORANGE COUNTY (N) TW Ch.95/97/98: Fri 4 pm
- SAN FDO. VALLEY (East) TW Ch.25: Sun 5:30 pm
- SAN FDO. VALLEY (NE) CC Ch.20: Wed 4 pm
- SAN FDO. VALLEY (West) TW Ch.34: Wed 5:30 pm
- SANTA MONICA TW Ch.77: Wed 3-3:30 pm
- WALNUT CREEK CO Ch.6: 2nd Tue 7 pm; AS Ch.31: Tue 7:30 pm
- VAN NUYS TW Ch.25: Sun 5:30 pm

COLORADO

- DENVER CC Ch.56 Sun 10 am

CONNECTICUT

- GROTON CC Ch.12: Mon 5 pm
- NEW HAVEN CC Ch.23: Sat 6 pm
- NEWTOWN CH Ch.21: Mon 12:30 pm; Fri 7 pm
- SEYMOUR CC Ch.10: Tue 10 pm

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

- WASHINGTON CC Ch.95 & RCN Ch.10: Irregular

FLORIDA

- ESCAMBIA COUNTY CX Ch.4: Last Sat 4:30 pm

ILLINOIS

- CHICAGO CC./RCN/WOW Ch.21: Irregular

- PEORIA COUNTY IN Ch.22: Sun 7:30 pm
- QUAD CITIES MC Ch.19: Thu 11 pm
- ROCKFORD CC Ch.17 Wed 9 pm

IOWA

- QUAD CITIES MC Ch.19: Thu 11 pm

KENTUCKY

- BOONE/KENTON COUNTIES IN Ch.21: Sun 1 am; Fri Midnight
- JEFFERSON COUNTY IN Ch.98: Fri 2-2:30 pm

LOUISIANA

- ORLEANS PARISH CX Ch.78: Tue 4 am & 4 pm

MAINE

- PORTLAND TW Ch.2: Mon 1 & 11 am; 5 pm

MARYLAND

- ANN ARUNDEL Annapolis Ch.76 & Millenium Ch.99: Sat/Sun 12:30 am; Tue 6:30 pm
- P.G. COUNTY CC Ch.76 & FIOS Ch.38: Tue/Thu 11:30 am
- MONTGOMERY COUNTY CC Ch.21: Tue 2 pm & Fri 11 pm

MASSACHUSETTS

- BRAINTREE CC Ch.31 & BD Ch.16: Tue 8 pm
- BROOKLINE CV & RCN Ch.3: Mon 3:30 pm; Tue 3:30 am; Wed 9 am & 9 pm;
- CAMBRIDGE CC Ch.10: Tue 2:30 pm; Fri 10:30 am
- FRANKLIN COUNTY (NE) CC Ch.17: Sun 8 pm; Wed 9 pm; Sat 4 pm
- QUINCY CC Ch.8: Pop-ins.
- WALPOLE CC Ch.8: Tue 1 pm

MICHIGAN

- BYRON CENTER CC Ch.25: Mon 2 & 7 pm
- DETROIT CC Ch.68: Irregular
- GRAND RAPIDS CC Ch.25: Irreg.
- KALAMAZOO CH Ch.20: Tue 11 pm; Sat 10 am
- KENT COUNTY (North) CH Ch.22: Wed 3:30 & 11 pm
- KENT COUNTY (South) CC Ch.25: Wed 9:30 am
- LAKE ORION CC Ch.10: Mon/Tue 2 & 9 pm
- LANSING CC Ch.16: Fri Noon.
- LIVONIA BH Ch.12: Thu 3 pm
- MT. PLEASANT CH Ch.3: Tue 5:30 pm; Wed 7 am
- PORTAGE CH Ch.20 Tue/Wed 8:30 am; Thu 1:30 pm
- SHELBY TOWNSHIP CC Ch.20 & WOW Ch.18: Mon/Wed 6:30 pm
- WAYNE COUNTY CC Ch.16/18: Mon 6-8 pm

MINNESOTA

- ALBANY AMTC Ch.13: Tue & Thu: 7:30 pm
- CAMBRIDGE US Ch.10: Wed 6 pm
- COLD SPRING US Ch. 10: Wed 6 pm
- COLUMBIA HEIGHTS CC Ch.15: Tue 9 pm
- DULUTH CH Ch.20: Mon 9 pm; Wed 12 pm, Fri 1 pm
- MARSHALL Prairie Wave & CH Ch.35/8: Sat. 9 am

- MINNEAPOLIS TW Ch.16: Tue 11 pm
- MINNEAPOLIS (N. Burbs) CC Ch.15: Thu 3 & 9 pm
- NEW ULM TW Ch. 14: Fri 5 pm
- PROCTOR MC Ch. 12: Tue 5 pm to 1 am
- ST. CLOUD CH Ch.12: Mon 6 pm
- ST. CROIX VALLEY CC Ch.14: Thu 1 & 7 pm; Fri 9 am
- ST. LOUIS PARK CC Ch.15: Sat/Sun Midnite, 8 am, 4 pm
- ST. PAUL CC Ch.15: Wed 9:30 pm
- ST. PAUL (S&W Burbs) CC Ch.15: Wed 10:30 am; Fri 7:30 pm
- SAULK CENTRE SCTV Ch.19: Sat 5 pm
- WASHINGTON COUNTY (South) CC Ch.14: Thu 8 pm

NEVADA

- BOULDER CITY CH Ch.2: 2x/day: am & pm

NEW HAMPSHIRE

- MANCHESTER CC Ch.23: Thu 4:30 pm

NEW JERSEY

- BERGEN CTY TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- MERCER COUNTY CC Trenton Ch.26: 3rd & 4th Fri 6 pm
- Windsors Ch.27: Mon 5:30 pm
- MONTVALE/MAHWAH CV Ch.76: Mon 5 pm
- PISCATAWAY CV Ch.15: Thu 11:30 pm
- UNION CC Ch.26: Irregular

NEW MEXICO

- ALBUQUERQUE CC Ch.27: Thu 4 pm
- LOS ALAMOS CC Ch.8: Wed 10 pm
- SANTA FE CC Ch.16: Thu 9 pm; Sat 6:30 pm
- SILVER CITY CC Ch.17: Daily 8-10 pm

NEW YORK

- ALBANY TW Ch.18: Wed 5 pm. TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- BETHLEHEM TW Ch.18: Thu 9:30 pm
- BRONX CV Ch.70: Wed 7:30 am
- BROOKLYN CV Ch.68: Mon 10 am TW Ch.35: Mon 10 am TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- CHEMUNG TW Ch.1/99: Tue 7:30 pm
- ERIE COUNTY TW Ch.20: Thu 10:35 pm
- IRONDEQUOIT TW Ch.15: Mon/Thu 7 pm
- JEFFERSON/LEWIS COUNTIES TW Ch.99: Irregular
- MANHATTAN TW & RCN Ch.57/85 Fri 2:30 am
- ONEIDA COUNTY TW Ch.99: Thu 8 or 9 pm
- PENFIELD TW Ch.15: Irregular
- QUEENS TW Ch.34 & 35: Mon 10 pm; TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- QUEENSBURY TW Ch.71: Mon 7 pm
- ROCHESTER TW Ch.15: Sun 9 pm; Thu 8 pm

- ROCKLAND CV Ch.76: Tue 5 pm
- SCHENECTADY TW Ch.16: Fri 1 pm; Sat 1:30 am
- STATEN ISLAND TW Ch.35: Thu Midnite. Ch.34: Sat 8 am. Ch 572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- TOMPKINS COUNTY TW Ch.13: Sun 12:30 pm; Sat 6 pm
- TRI-LAKES TW Ch.2: Sun 7 am, 1 pm, 8 pm
- WEBSTER TW Ch.12: Wed 9 pm

NORTH CAROLINA

- HICKORY CH Ch.6: Tue 10 pm
- MECKLENBURG COUNTY TW Ch.22: Sat/Sun 11 pm

OHIO

- AMHERST TW Ch.95: Daily 12 Noon & 10 pm
- CUYAHOGA COUNTY TW Ch.21: Wed 3:30 pm
- OBERLIN Cable Co-Op Ch.9: Thu 8 pm

OKLAHOMA

- NORMAN CX Ch.20: Wed 9 pm

OREGON

- LINN/BENTON COUNTIES CC Ch.29: Tue 1 pm; Thu 9 pm
- PORTLAND CC Ch.22: Tue 6 pm. Ch.23: Thu 3 pm

RHODE ISLAND

- E. PROVIDENCE CX Ch.18: Tue 6:30 pm
- STATEWIDE RI I CX Ch.13 Tue 10 pm

TEXAS

- HOUSTON CC Ch.17 & TV Max Ch.95: Wed 5:30 pm; Sat 9 am
- KINGWOOD CB Ch.98: Wed 5:30 pm; Sat 9 am

VERMONT

- BRATTLEBORO CC Ch.8: Mon 6 pm, Tue 4:30 pm, Wed 8 pm
- GREATER FALLS CC Ch.10: Mon/Wed/Fri 1 pm
- MONTPELIER CC Ch.15: Tue 10 pm; Wed 3 am & 4 pm

VIRGINIA

- ALBEMARLE COUNTY CC Ch.13: Sun 4 am; Fri 3 pm
- ARLINGTON CC Ch.33 & FIOS Ch.38: Mon 1 pm; Tue 9 am
- CHESTERFIELD COUNTY CC Ch.6: Tue 5 pm
- FAIRFAX CX Ch.10 & FIOS Ch.10: 1st & 2nd Wed 1 pm; Sun 4 am. FIOS Ch.41: Wed 6 pm
- LOUDOUN COUNTY CC Ch.98 & FIOS Ch.41: Wed 6 pm
- ROANOKE COUNTY CX Ch.78: Tue 7 pm; Thu 2 pm

WASHINGTON

- KING COUNTY CC Ch.29/77: Mon 11 am
- TRI CITIES CH Ch. 13/99: Mon 7 pm; Thu 9 pm

WISCONSIN

- MARATHON CH Ch.10: Thu 9:30 pm; Fri 12 Noon
- MUSKEGO TW Ch.14: Sat 4 pm; Sun 7 am

WYOMING

- GILLETTE BR Ch.31: Tue 7

MSO Codes: AS=Astound; BD=Beld; BR=Bresnan; BH=BrightHouse; CV=Cablevision; CB=Cebridge; CH=Charter; CC=Comcast; CX=Cox; GY=Galaxy; IN=Insight;

MC=MediaCom; TW=TimeWarner; US=US Cable. FIOS=Verizon FIOS-TV.

Get The LaRouche Connection on your local cable TV system! Call Charles Notley 703-777-9451, Ext. 322. Visit our Website: www.larouchepub.com/tv.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review
September 19, 2008 Vol. 35 No. 37 www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

Since Sept. 7 Bailout: Pelosi Democrats Buckle
LaRouche Asks, 'Are You Neotenus?'
Will U.S. Allow Zardari's Political Survival?

**Bailout of the Speculators:
Tantamount to Treason!**



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Youth Movement, we are changing politics in Washington, day by day.

EIR Online

Issued every Tuesday, EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- ☐ **\$360** for one year
☐ **\$180** for six months
☐ **\$120** for four months
☐ **\$90** for three months
☐ **\$60** for two months
- ☐ Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

Name _____
Company _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____
Phone (_____) _____
E-mail address _____

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____