

# LaRouche Hits British Plot vs. South America

Lyndon LaRouche on March 5 denounced the British attempt to orchestrate a regional war across South America, as part of their international strategy of “globalizing” the sovereign nation-state out of existence, and imposing fascism under conditions of the ongoing disintegration of the world financial system. The first and foremost target of the British financial oligarchy, LaRouche explained, is the United States itself, as seen in their desperate effort to impose the two-bit, coy Mussolini, New York City Mayor Michael Bloomberg, as the next President of the United States—over the political corpses of all the current candidates of both parties.

“The same people who want to turn the management of the U.S. over to Wall Street,” LaRouche elaborated, “are also meddling in the hemisphere, to put in jeopardy the unity of the Americas around the Bank of the South initiative,” which is a step in the direction of building a new international financial architecture free of the current sinking system.

The reactions from many South American governments to the Colombian government’s March 1 cross-border raid against a camp of the narcoterrorist FARC (Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia) inside Ecuadorian territory—an attack in which FARC kingpin Raúl Reyes was killed, along with 16 others—play right into the British hand, LaRouche said. “The British employ foolish statements by people as ways of causing trouble. That’s the way you manipulate history, by using the foolishness of the people themselves against them.”

“Venezuelan President Hugo Chávez is a British asset,” LaRouche went on, “and declared himself to be one,” both by calling for the dollar and the United States to be destroyed, and by endorsing the FARC drug cartel as a supposedly legitimate group which should be granted “belligerent” status under the Geneva Treaty—a clear violation of Colombian sovereignty.

Various voices across South America have protested against Colombia’s violation of Ecuadorian territory in the March 1 raid. LaRouche commented:

“The issue of national sovereignty is that you can not, on the one hand, claim that a terrorist drug-running operation has national sovereignty. If governments allow an international terrorist organization such as the FARC to use their territory, then they have no complaint when the aggrieved government takes action at the border. The question is: Were the governments involved willing to back, and cooperate with, Colombia on preventing their own borders from being used as a safe-haven for terrorists?

“This is a fascist, drug-pushing organization, of the same type which is causing great distress in Southwest Asia. And they are tightly linked to Wall Street and other international financial

interests, that run the drug trade from the top. And therefore you cannot complain, unless you are willing to cooperate when a government finds that a major terrorist threat is using a nearby country as a staging area for terrorist attacks. You have to cooperate with that country, some way or another, or you have to turn a blind eye to the fact that they crossed your border.”

As for Colombia, LaRouche continued, “You have to make sure that everybody understands that you’re going to do something, but you are going to do it in a way which has no bad intention toward the country whose borders you may be bruising, because the terrorists are occupying part of their territory.”

For that reason, LaRouche stated that he disagreed with statements issuing from certain quarters in Colombia, such as the Santos family’s *El Tiempo* newspaper, justifying the attack on the grounds of the British doctrine of “limited sovereignty.”

“We don’t agree with that at all. But, the fact is this is a drug-financed international terrorist operation which has been killing innocent victims all over the place, and there is no excuse for failing to recognize that governments that are threatened by these people should get cooperation from other governments in doing something about these cross-border operations. When one government, by inability or otherwise, allows its territory to be used for terrorist operations against the people of another country, they do not have immunity.”

If the Colombian government truly wants to defuse the British effort to induce regional warfare, LaRouche noted, why don’t they release the documentation from Raúl Reyes’s captured computers on his infamous 1999 meeting in the jungles of Colombia with Richard Grasso, then head of the New York Stock Exchange? At that meeting, Wall Street cemented its alliance with the FARC for “mutual investments,” with a jungle embrace between Reyes and Grasso, which became known as the “Grasso Abrazo.”

LaRouche returned to Britain’s strategic objective in South America. “The issue here is the Bank of the South, which is an institution to be defended. The issue is, Venezuela’s oil has been a significant factor in building for the unity of South America,” including helping Ecuador and Argentina survive when they fought the financial system which was killing them. “And now, Chávez has gone crazy, which is not surprising to us, knowing his background. He is being played by the British. He is protecting, defending terrorists, as if they had some kind of sovereignty, by calling them revolutionaries, and calling for their being granted belligerent status. This is absolutely stupid. It is the best way to break up the Bank of the South, which is why it’s happening. These forces pulling Chávez’s strings are now out to break up the very thing he helped to create,” LaRouche concluded.