

## The Youth of Today Declare: 'We Will Not Be Gorified!'

by Pavel Penev, LaRouche Youth Movement

If, more than 270 years ago, Jonathan Swift exposed that behind the British Empire's Malthusian policy of population stabilization lay as much sanity as in the passions one might observe in a wild jungle, how is *A Modest Proposal*, as a Gorific idea, being sold again today? The only argument to be found in the streets, at educational and policy institutions in the U.S. and Europe, is the lie called "scientific consensus." But this has been sent back to Hollywood by the LaRouche movement, a more natural environment for such passions.

One can encounter, coming from such "ecological economists" in Al Gore's pack as Bill McKibben, the Middlebury College (Vermont) advocate of "civil rights for creatures," not humans, the *complaint* that unlike all other species of life, which have a limited number of potential living individuals, the world's human population has been generously increasing since as far back as we can tell.

Al Gore himself claims in his *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*, that although Thomas Malthus, the 18th-Century doomsday prophet of "negative population growth," has been repeatedly disproved as a result of the effects of "improvements in agricultural technology," Malthus has been ultimately right, because we are now accelerating towards the "limit" of potential population increase. Although neither any conclusive argument is offered against the increase of the number of living human individuals of the, supposedly, ultimately limited population, nor, more importantly, is any proper investigation of the limits of the universe to be found, such conclusions are implied.

Another word for Gore's "population stabilization," is simply "genocide," one can immediately see what Gore's own "Modest Proposal" really is: the United States must ban the incandescent light bulb; every glass of water must be taxed; and intrinsically, every breath of clean air must be

taxed—except that it is too hard to enforce.

LaRouche asked the obvious: Are the Democrats going to join Al Gore in the biggest political suicide pact in modern history? LaRouche answered his own question: In spite of George Bush and Dick Cheney, if the Democrats persist in going with Gore and his global warming carbon-swap swindle, they are going to go down to the greatest political loss in history.

### True Believers

What can save the Democratic Party is the mobilization spearheaded by the LaRouche Youth Movement, which is working in numerous countries to free the populations from the genocidal assault of the imperial scheme known as the "global warming swindle."

The LYM's intervention hit Washington, D.C. in force on March 21, when loser Gore—whose rotten Year 2000 vote-suppressing campaign made George W. Bush President—made *two* appearances before the U.S. Congress—in both the House and Senate.

But Malthus was nowhere to be seen. Instead, Al Gore refused to acknowledge his "population stabilization" policy (i.e., racist population elimination, especially for Africa), when he went to Congress to testify. But the LYM made sure that all the attendees knew what Gore is really pushing. Virtually all those in the line of about 100 waiting to get in the joint House Committee on Science and Technology and House Energy and Commerce Committee hearing, took a LYM leaflet, which many of them could have seen in the form of a banner on their way to the building.

The banner contains two scenes: Gore pushing his wife Tipper out of the window, followed by another: Al Gore having sexual intercourse with a pile of coal. The subtitle reads

## Gore's Carbon Swap



EIRNS/Celani

“Carbon swap!” Although Gore turned his head away from the cartoon, his wife, after receiving it for a third time after the event, demonstrated the quality of emotion governing a truly nature-loving family with an outburst: “What is wrong with you people!”, accompanied by a rageful look.

Though a similar emotional quality was reportedly expressed typically among those aged above 35 during the pro-Gore environmentalist rally, bringing together such names as the Sierra Club, “Cool the Planet,” Greenpeace, and Alaska Wilderness League on the Hill the day before, as that rally was proceeding, the LYM organizers found that agreement with Gore was only “shirt-deep.” A youth Greenpeace organizer willing to discuss the LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) exposé titled “True CO<sub>2</sub> Record Buried Under Gore,” with the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM), found only surface environmental persuasion, and requested further contact; a group of children came up specifically because of the LYM’s signs and banners displaying anthropogenic destruction of the planet through global warming and Gore’s credibility as fraud. Even a no-longer youthful Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) representative admitted he was working for “a fraudulent organization.”

The only “evidence” for the insistence on carbon caps and similar policy was experienced in terms expressed by two representatives of the “Baby Boomer” generation. A man told the LYM that we should de-industrialize and “wear loin cloths.” When provoked to sober up, however, he admitted he used to work with the U.S. Geological Survey, and that he does *not* think human activity is the main cause of global warming. A woman, who said she thought the world is overpopulated, when asked “Who do you think we should start

killing off first? How about Africa? We’re doing a good job there,” nodded, “Yeah, with HIV!”, happy to be solving a population problem.

But, for some of those who were still able to enjoy policy deliberation in such a heated environment, some Senate staffers showed *The Great Global Warming Swindle* movie—the British Channel 4 documentary that has become an “underground blockbuster” on the Internet, later that day for a number of staffers from Congressional offices. There to answer questions for the Congress was Paul Driessen, one of the scientists who had participated in the Channel 4 program.

## Love for the Nation

The worldwide LaRouche movement had already recognized something many of the new, often Democratic, lovers of Gore on the political scene have

failed to recognize, or worse, chose to ignore.

The LaRouche Youth Movement had been at dozens of meetings in House and Senate offices the week before Feb. 25. The LYM was in the process of providing every office in the Congress with a set of Platonic solids, working with staffers through LYM’s website presentation of Johannes Kepler’s founding of modern science through his discovery of *The Harmony of the World*, subsuming the particular expressions of lawfulness of the principle of gravitation.

This work had been demonstrated as necessary for the successful implementation of an economic recovery in LaRouche’s “The Lost Art of the Capital Budget” (see *EIR*, Jan. 12, 2007), and discussed in relation to LaRouche’s Jan. 11, 2007 webcast, “The Old Economics Is Dead, the New Economics Must Begin.” Many of the Democratic Congresspeople knew the LYM as the leadership that sparked the youth generation’s ousting of the Republican Party control of Congress during the mid-term elections period. LaRouche PAC’s contacts were taking literature to distribute, and people at various policy events were asking the LYM to sing the “Impeach Cheney” song, or the “George Bush, dry drunk” song. The question of creating a future for the youth generation by, most notably, the replacement of the Cheney-Bush Administration for, crucially, the purpose of organizing a worldwide economic recovery and development process had occupied the political table.

But, in a flash, the week of Feb. 25 to March 1 was filled with more than a dozen Governors’ and Congressional and Senate events in Washington, D.C., including numerous hearings and briefings on the Hill, accompanied by many local events, all on the “issue of global warming.” What had occur-

red? No major event was reported around the world—no tidal wave or Arctic meltdown, such as those predicted almost daily by Gore, had occurred. No, on Sunday, Feb. 25, Al Gore appeared before the media with something less significant for justifying such a policy-shift than if he had announced that he discovered the water level rising in his swimming pool during even only the process of his submersion therein—the *An Inconvenient Truth* movie he starred in was awarded an Oscar.

A campaign had started.

On the next day, Feb. 26, 125,000 LPAC leaflets, “True CO<sub>2</sub> Record Buried Under Gore,” were shipped around the United States. The LYM was preparing for in-depth discussion of the process of CO<sub>2</sub> deposits in ice cores in the ocean record, looking at the role of Solar and cosmic radiation in climate formation, etc., only to find those leaflets distributed in one week, with many youth eager to find out more, and significant response at the dozens of global warming events in Washington, D.C. and around the United States. The Congressional encounters included a Nevada Representative who came to talk to the LYM, having been asked if he would help stop global freezing, and interested to hear what LaRouche had to say. Also, a Feb. 19 *Washington Times* op-ed titled “Global-Warming Theory and the Eugenics Precedent,” by John Linder (R-Ga.) was discovered. NASA’s Goddard Institute for Space Studies director James Hansen refused to consider the past 100 years’ 90,000 direct measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and argued for “accredited sources” (those that don’t challenge the “scientific consensus”?) when confronted by a LYM member at a National Press Club televised event.

Over the next weeks, with another 150,000 leaflets and the same number of pamphlets with LaRouche’s March 7 “Implications of the Gore Swindle for International Policy” webcast phasing in, the LYM found that in the United States and Europe, people found global warming as important an issue for politics, as a Hollywood movie is for science, although representatives from the “Baby Boomer” generation tended to act as if they were in such a movie, demonstrated by one of them turning over a table with LPAC literature, in a rage fit.

From those supporters of environmentalist claims of global warming whose response to LPAC was not governed by hysteria, the only argument for their claims was “All scientists agree!” “There is a consensus!”

However, contrary to environmentalism, the human population is different than, and above that of a population of monkeys, by an unbridgeable quality.

## Breaking the Lie About Consensus

The resistance to Al Gore’s Global Warming fascism has been able to flourish and spread because of the international crossfire provided by the LaRouche movement. Because Gore’s Green Nazis can operate internationally, including through the work of Timothy Wirth, head of the United Na-

tions Foundation, it is crucial to have a pro-human-race political “command center” to counter the lies.

That is exactly the role that the worldwide LaRouche Youth Movement has been able to accomplish. Armed with the mastery of real science through the LYM’s breakthrough work on Johannes Kepler (see [wlym.com](http://wlym.com)), with humor and irony, and understanding of the history of the British-Dutch empires in spreading the Malthusian genocide theories, the LaRouche movement has opened the gates for destroying the Gore lies:

- Across the United States, more than 250,000 copies of the CO<sub>2</sub> hoax leaflet have been distributed. With the unwinding of the yen carry trade and mortgage bubble, however, the population at large, especially in destroyed communities like Detroit, and many from financial circles, insisted on learning LaRouche’s assessment and solution to the economic crisis instead, with financiers in Boston coming to LPAC asking to donate. Youth, many of whom, the LYM found out, have already seen the British Channel 4 TV documentary “The Great Global Warming Swindle,” were eager to work with the LYM on investigating how Kepler was able to discover the principle of gravity, and how J.S. Bach was able to know a principle of composition.

At a university in Austin, Texas, the LYM was able to finally find two professors from the whole science department who agreed that environmentalist claims about global warming were a fraud, and was organizing students, who were coming up to ask if they could have “everything you’ve got” The LPAC material was brought to the consideration of both Democratic and Republican state officials in Texas, where one staffer was upset that her child had to watch *An Inconvenient Truth* many times at high school, and became very eager to have “The Great Global Warming Swindle” shown as well. A life sciences professor at a Bay Area university was excited that the LYM was making the fraud of environmentalism a center of campus debate, because he said, it was becoming “a cult.”

- In Copenhagen, Denmark, during Gore’s event on Jan. 18, and another on March 13, the attendees passed by members of the LaRouche movement, who were warning, with literature and song, that the Gore policy is one of genocide. By March 20, the major Danish newspaper *Jyllands-Posten* featured an interview with a LaRouche leader in Copenhagen, Tom Gillesberg, about building a high-speed maglev railway. On March 21, the same paper published an op-ed exposing Gore as a fraud.

- In Berlin, Germany, many people were happy to be provided the means to figure out *why* they had had the feeling that global warming was a swindle. At two small cities near Stuttgart, where on one occasion 4,000 German leaflets were distributed at a train station in two hours, many approached the LYM to discuss the issue, and were then interested to find out what we were doing *politically*.

- In Paris, the population reportedly found the global warming hoax to have as much credibility as the leading



EIRNS/James Rea

*The LaRouche Youth greeted Gore with humor when he went to perform in Washington, D.C. on March 21.*

French Presidential candidates, but many local activists throughout France found hope in the leadership of the French LaRouche movement's Presidential candidate Jacques Cheminade against the mafia-style political climate.

- In Montreal, Gore was exposed before 6,000 youth, when a LYM member denounced him for wanting to depopulate Africa. Gore tried to defend himself by lying that, "No, I don't," but the LYM member retorted: "Yes, you do. It's in your book." The LYM member was ultimately dragged out, but not before the truth was heard.

In this widespread climate of discussion, even one of Gore's global warming-hype networks, "Focus the Nation," run by Eban Goodstein, showed signs of its bubble being punctured by the LaRouche movement, after its e-mail membership were asked to respond to a scientific article by Zbigniew Jaworowski exposing many of the global warming frauds, and after being exposed to "The Great Global Warming Swindle" movie, and LPAC's leaflet. Although Goodstein demanded an end to the circulation of such unsolicited material to his network, his members did not find censorship a solution, as they engaged in discussion and demanded counter-arguments.

Popping the debate bubbles on environmental and even economic policy also occurred at a March 21 Center for Science and Public Policy event in Canada with Dr. Timothy Ball. He opened up by demonstrating the inadequacy of relying on axiomatic computer models for the development of

future policy, as is being done on climate and economic issues. He referenced much of the material cited by *EIR* and LPAC on global warming, and responded to a LYM question by emphasizing the role of Gore's mentor, the Canadian swindler Maurice Strong, in understanding London's and Gore's push for carbon caps and emissions trade, the business on which Gore's hedge fund makes its money. To another LYM question he explained that the scientific community is controlled by fear, and that no scientist seeking or receiving funding would ever oppose the environmentalist claim about the role of human activity in global warming, since this premise must be accepted before any scientific work is done.

When the LYM raised the LaRouche movement's fight against the insanity of global warming with a Congressional staffer, the aide interjected that moveon.org was holding every district hostage on this single issue, and Congress is afraid to raise opposition.

What allowed the population to understand the situation, however, was an LPAC sign which read "Global warming is a bigger fraud than your girlfriend's orgasm!" with some reportedly showing signs of being ashamed in response. A young organic chemistry professor at a university in Detroit was excited by the LaRouche movement's exposing the fraud of the environmentalist global warming claim, but admitted that, "When it comes to research funding, I'm a whore, I'm a prostitute, I'm a bottom of the barrel slut! . . . I agree with you guys, but I have to prostitute myself for research funding."

## What Future Do You Live In?

It seems impossible to find an environmentalist argument against a carnivore's or a microorganism's wiping out of another species' population, for example. Yet Al Gore seems to have been trying to protect the HIV/AIDS virus from the danger of human activity: In 1998, he used his position as the American head of the U.S.-South Africa Binational Commission to threaten South Africa unless it abandoned its plans for affordable drugs for the treatment and prevention of AIDS. Are we to judge the universe and its Creator wrong because humanity is able to change the intimate working of that universe as, for example, by improving the boundaries of its potential population by reorganizing biospheric and abiotic processes?

If we are only to hold responsible for a change in the quality of a considered activity someone who holds the causative power of effecting the considered change, then the witting reader would recognize that holding such power is the responsibility of consciousness. As was demonstrated by the mind of Socrates, there are no occurrences whose causative responsibility is to be delegated to merely named creatures outside the bounds of the universe. Effects of change are the unfolding composition of Keplerian universal qualities of action, principles advancing like the reader's increasing comprehension of an unfolding performance of a Classical musical composition, a Classical drama, or a poem of similar quality.

There is no size or intrinsic limit of universal principles or their conscious action as ideas in the human mind. There is only an implied limit of action underlying the assumption of constancy in the composition of active principles, as in an axiomatic system left to function void of creativity like, for example, an electronic information system.

It has been the function of anti-sophist, creative human civilization based on Socrates, Kepler, Bach, and their pro-human collaborators, to expand the implied boundaries of the composition of universal principles, including, notably, population potential, through willfully changing the way active universal principles interact in the human mind.

Most of our number is alive today, for example, because

of those such as Alexander Hamilton, Benjamin Franklin, and their collaborators' defeat of the British Empire and its Malthusian school, Lincoln's defeat of the same empire's policy with its included expression in the form of slave labor, and Franklin Roosevelt's defeat of the "financial royalists" with their policy's included feature of mass population control through Nazi methods, up to the present necessary defeat of Prince Philip and Sir Julian Huxley's policy of Gore.

In the context of the LYM considering the knowledge of a universal principle as a final-cause quality of determination of the universe through the knowledge of the experience of unfolding discovery, with the implied question of knowing, now, a quality of determination (creation) of a principle, LaRouche laid a challenge of unfolding civilization before the LYM. During a visit by a small chorus from the LYM to work on voice training, LaRouche addressed the blatant failure of leaders in Congress and elsewhere:

"Therefore, the way in which mankind perceives the lawful structure of the universe is by the principles which are otherwise expressed as contrapuntal composition. This is the faculty of the mind which is capable of not looking at science as looking into a cage from the outside, but looking at science from inside the cage where you're the animal who's making it happen. So therefore the question here is a question of science as well as art.

"It's a question of locating the question of personal identity as a creative person, in those aspects of Bach and his successors which *reflect* the human mind at its highest level, its highest level of performance. And you get that by trying to get your voice to conform to these kinds of requirements in compositions of choral work.

"... You see it in the population, you see that there's a moral deficiency in the population. And morality is not a set of rules. It does involve principles.

"But morality is just this: it's the relationship between this role of music, which is typified by what you get in Kepler and so forth. This role—and that is the same thing as science—is when you have the unity of the personality; this is the identity of man as a creative creature as no animal is. And it's this, that brings us consciousness of what a human being really is—when you understand the unity of science and music, in this way. *Now* you know what a human being is; then your morality is to be human, and to defend and to promote humanity. That's your imperative.

"... So, this is what it is all about. It's to make you guys, and others, infectiously aware of what the essence of being human is, a human individual. And this relationship of something inside you, which is, you know, distinctly human, this ability to do music, choral music. That's the conscience; and to understand that that's the same thing as physical science. Then you are integrated, then you have a secure sense of personal identity, moral identity. Then you have the will to act, to act as if by instinct. But you've got to *know* to have the confidence about being that person."

# HOTLINE

LaRouche and EIR Staff  
Recorded Briefings  
—24 Hours Daily  
**918-222-7201, Box 595**

# Carbon Trade Swindle Behind Gore Hoax

by Richard Freeman and  
Marcia Merry Baker

Look behind—if you dare—Al Gore and his science hoax, and you find the very same London-centered oligarchical financial crew that drove the 2003-2006 oil and commodity price increase, amidst the bubbles and hyperinflation that characterize the breakdown-phase of the financial system. The centerpiece of the U.S. emerging market for carbon emissions trading, is the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX), created in 2003 as a “voluntary,” or pilot agency, part of a London-based network positioned to reproduce the oil bubble on a scale orders of magnitude greater and more dangerous, while at the same time, destroying what’s left of the physical economy.

The idea is that if governments cap CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, then the “market” will take off for the buying and selling of emissions “allowances.” This is the whole point of the “cap-and-trade” plan for CO<sub>2</sub>. If it sounds crazy, it is. But Gore is just one of the most visible parts of the elaborate (and bi-partisan) schemes that have been set in motion under cover of climate change. Gore’s personal financial involvement is blatant, especially through Goldman Sachs—a large shareholder of CCX, and in 2004, the creator of Gore’s very own London-based hedge fund, Generation Investment Management.

CCX has multiple interconnections with the London-run Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. (ICE), whose subsidiary is the International Petroleum Exchange, the world’s largest petroleum futures and options market. The dirty details of ICE and the Great Oil Price Swindle came out extensively at a May 8, 2006 Senate Democratic Policy Committee hearing, where Sen. Carl Levin (D-Mich.) said that futures speculation on the ICE was the driver for adding \$20 to 25 to the price of every barrel of oil, causing hardship to industry and households, and suffering to underdeveloped nations. (The report, “The Role of Market Speculation in Rising Oil and Gas Prices,” is still posted on Sen. Levin’s website).

Yet Al Gore got away with advocating cap-and-trade CO<sub>2</sub> speculation at his much ballyhooed appearance before the joint House Hearing of the Energy and Science Committees March 21. Gore repeatedly told Congressmen to “put a price on carbon.” In response to Rep. Roscoe Bartlett (R-Md.), Gore said, “As soon as carbon has a price, you are going to see a wave [of investment] in it . . . there will be unchained investment.”

But why have neither Republicans nor Democrats challenged Gore on this? And why have they conspicuously refrained from confronting Sir Nicholas Stern—of the 2006 “Stern Review” on global warming, British Environment Minister David Miliband, and the other British “experts” streaming into Washington, D.C. in 2007, to demand U.S. “economic response” to climate change? *Political amnesia*. Elected officials are tightly locked in the grip of the Synarchist gamemasters—the Felix Rohatyn wing of the Democratic Party, and the George Shultz wing of the GOP—who have been in on creating this and prior swindles all along.

Look, for example, at the who’s who involved in the blatant staging of a “pro-green investment” press conference on the eve of the March 21 Gore House and Senate appearance. On March 19, the “Investors Network on Climate Risk” (INCR) held a Washington event to demand Federal action to impose mandatory reductions in greenhouse gases, claiming they represent a \$4 trillion pool of funds demanding green ventures. Members of INCR, founded at the UN in 2003, include British Petroleum, Allianz Insurance, the world’s largest insurance firm; DuPont, and hosts of state, labor, and church funds of various kinds, that have been herded into line. The INCR is chaired by British activist Norman Dean, who is simultaneously Director of Friends of the Earth.

Al Gore spoke at the May 2005 INCR Investors Summit at the United Nations, in his capacity as Chairman of his Generation Investment Management. He called for following the model of the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme, which started up in 2005. “Monetize emissions; trade them; reduce them,” was Gore’s mantra.

Gore again plugged green markets trading in April 2006, at Oxford University, at the annual Skoll World Forum for Social Entrepreneurship. This was held at the Skoll Centre, set up by Jeff Skoll, the E-bay billionaire, whose Participant Productions funded Gore’s propaganda movie, *An Inconvenient Truth*. Gore pushed carbon swaps and other green investments, along with his co-chairman of Generation Investment Management, David Blood, formerly Chief of Goldman Sachs Asset Management.

But now in Washington, the pace of lobbying Congress for carbon markets has reached a frenzy. A March 15 forum was titled “From Kyoto to Chicago,” because of the theme, that no new, long-lead time successor treaty to the expiring Kyoto Protocol is required for getting world carbon markets going. Carbon trade can commence if just the United States, Europe and Japan start it up, said Jonathan Pershing, emissions trading expert for the World Resources Institute, on whose board sits Al Gore. The same point was stressed by OECD spokesman Brice LaLonde, advisor to the European Carbon Fund (ECF), and head of Friends of the Earth in France said the same. His co-advisor to the ECF, founded in 2006, is William K. Reilly, the EPA director for President George H.W. Bush, and long-time World Wildlife Fund president.

The Investors' Network for Climate Risk's prime social action affiliate, the Coalition for Environmentally Responsible Economies (CERES; founded in 1989), released a January 2007 report entitled, "The Power to Save," which outlined a step-by-step process to put an end to all new power plant construction in Texas, the nation's second-most populous state. The concept is simple: cut emissions; then trade in the scarce emissions "allowances"!

In the current buyout bidding for TXU—the major, 62-year-old Texas electric utility, the offer by KKR and Texas Pacific would acquire TXU on condition that eight of 11 planned coal-fired generator projects be cancelled. The advisor on this so-called environmentalist deal is William K. Reilly, an official in the Texas Pacific Group.

Reilly is co-author of a recent report, "Allocating Allowances in a Greenhouse Gas Trading System"—a how-to booklet for trading in carbon—published by the National Commission on Energy Policy. Launched in November 2002, the NCEP's founding 20 members included Andrew Lundquist, the Executive Director of the 2001 Dick Cheney Energy Taskforce; R. James Woolsey, former CIA Director and long-time Al Gore neo-con advisor, William K. Reilly; John Rowe, CEO of Exelon, and other notables. Funding agencies include the MacArthur Foundation, the Pew Charitable Trust and others.

In the face of this political onslaught, it is useful that so far, the House Democratic and Republican leaderships have agreed not to rush into "cap-and-trade" emissions legislation. They are saying that a go-slow approach is desirable, but the amount of top-down pressure to act now—from Wall Street and City of London speculators, cannot be underestimated. On March 15, Rep. Joe Barton (R-Tex.), the ranking member of the House Energy and Commerce Committee said, "I'm glad to see that this week, Speaker Pelosi had indicated that any bill on global warming considered this year doesn't necessarily have to include a mandatory cap-and-trade scheme." But in reality, these emissions schemes, and their backers should be stopped cold.

The following dossier gives summary references of the major players behind the carbon market swindle.

### Chicago Climate Exchange

The mechanisms can best be understood by starting with the Chicago Climate Exchange, listed on the Chicago Board of Trade, and the web of figures and entities connected to it. Since CCX was created in January 2003, it has operated as a "voluntary" pilot project, demonstrating that emissions trading can be done, and developing a functioning operation. Its sister organization, the European Climate Exchange (ECX) has been up and running since 2005, as a result of adoption




EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

*EPA Secretary William K. Reilly, who is also an official of the World Wildlife Fund, is shown here at an Earth Day Ceremony at the White House in 1998. He has gone on to be a leading operative in the creation of the carbon exchange swindle.*

and implementation of the European Carbon Trading Scheme. After action by the European Union, 12,000 European installations are mandatorily trading CO<sub>2</sub> and other emissions.

CCX was initiated through a feasibility study funded by the Joyce Foundation in 2000. As CCX describes it, "Members make a voluntary but legally binding commitment to reduce GHG [greenhouse gas] emissions" over set periods, and then trading can take place in standardized units of carbon emissions. Projects to "offset" emissions are reviewed and licensed; farmland, for example, is qualifiable as a carbon sink under certain standards.

Among the 85-plus members of the CCX to date are DuPont, Duquesne Light Co. (owned by Macquarie), Ford, American Electric Power, and Smithfield Foods, plus the state of New Mexico, city of Chicago and other governments. One prominent CCX participant is the Green Mountain Power Company, run by the Wyly family of Texas, and big moneybags for Bush in the Presidential elections; three Wyly family members each gave \$10,000 to the Swift Boat "527 Committee" to slander John Kerry in 2004. Now the Wyly hedge fund, Maverick Capital, has the distinction of being the worst example of tax-evasion in the United States, by using 58 offshore trusts to hide more than \$700 million in income from taxation (Feb. 17, 2007 Senate hearing; Sen. Carl Levin).



*Although only a pilot project at present, the Chicago Climate Exchange is looking forward to a massive speculative market in carbon emission credits, thanks to the Gore-led global warming swindle.*

Approved aggregators of farmland so far are the Iowa Farm Bureau, the Kentucky Corn Growers Association, and others. The Lugar Stock Farm is a participating "Offset Provider." Sen. Richard Lugar (R-Ind.) boasts that he was the first farmer in his state to sign up. The going rate per unit of carbon emission is about \$3.65 (see [www.chicagoclimatex.com](http://www.chicagoclimatex.com)).

The founder and chairman of CCX is none other than Dr. Richard Sandor, considered one of the fathers of derivatives and futures. He concocted weather futures, earthquake futures, Ginnie Mae futures, and others, and has been working overtime in recent years on the new carbon offset "instruments for transaction." Sandor is a director on the board of London International Financial Futures Exchange (LIFFE), the largest derivatives trading market in London and many other boards.

Getting financing to start up CCX is credited to one Neil Eckert, who at the time was CEO of the firm Brit Insurance, which is in the orbit of Eagle Star Insurance, Ltd., a keystone of the inner core British City of London. The former CEO of Eagle Star Insurance, Clive Coats, now heads up Brit Insurance, and Mr. Eckert has moved on to be CEO of Climate Change Plc, the holding company for CCX and ECX.

Sandor has been hyperactive in lining up participation in these carbon casinos. In March this year, he addressed the annual convention of the National Farmers Union in Orlando, Florida, praising the progress being made on methods of carbon sequestration, and the benefits of trade in carbon credits. He said that there is vast opportunity for international growth in "market-based climate change mitigation." In Fall 2006, the NFU launched its Carbon Credit Program.

As for who owns CCX, according to filings it made between Feb. 6, 2007 and March 14, 2007, the three largest beneficial owners are, with their percent of CCX shares owned: Goldman Sachs Holdings, Inc., 17.87%; Harbinger Capital Master Fund I, 10.4%; Black Rock Investment (i.e. Blackstone Group), 8.95%.

The Board of CCX includes Maurice Strong, and Stuart Eizenstat. Eizenstat, who held posts under President Jimmy Carter and subsequent Administrations, led the U.S. delegation to the 1997 Kyoto Protocol conference on global warming.

Maurice Strong has made an international career in service of financial rip-offs in the name of the environment. In the 1970s, he became first Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Program. In 1992, he was the Secretary-General of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNED)—known as the Earth Summit. Out of this, the first World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) was created, at Strong's instigation. Then in 1999, the WBCSD, in cooperation with UNCTAD, set up the *International Emissions Trading Association* to push for the greenhouse gas (GHG) market.

In 1992, the same year as Strong's conferencing for "sustainable" business, Richard Sandor co-authored a UN Conference on Trade and Development study, pushing for international trade in emissions. Already, the U.S. market for trading allowances in sulfur dioxide emissions (among 110 power plants) had been launched, under the Bush Administration's 1990 Clean Air Act (Title Four). Sandor told the *Wall Street Journal* that year, "Air and water are no longer the free goods that economics once assumed. They must be redefined as property rights so that they can be efficiently allocated."

At the time, Sandor was a Director of the Chicago Board



of Trade, and an Executive Managing Director for Kidder Peabody, where he pioneered Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs)—the precursor of the innovative home mortgage securities blowing out today. At one point in the mid-1990s, Kidder Peabody alone had bought up 28% of all CMOs—earning the sobriquet “nuclear waste disposal.” Then, when the CMO market burst, threatening the entire financial system, Kidder Peabody was shut down and sold off in parts.

### ICE: Global Emissions Speculation

Following the trail of super-speculator Richard Sandor brings out the full scope of the carbon cap-and-trade bubble potential. Two months before launching CCX, Sandor joined the Board of Directors of the Intercontinental Exchange Inc. (ICE), in November 2002, which entity had already acquired the International Petroleum Exchange (IPE) a year before. This placed Sandor in the league of historic commodity rip-offs, going back to the British and Dutch East India companies—entities Sandor has praised explicitly. The Chairman of IPE since 1999, is Sir Robert Reid, chairman and CEO of Shell U.K. Limited from 1985 until 1990, after spending most of his career at Shell.

On Sept. 7, 2004, the ICE released a statement which in its own words, indicates the scope of the strategic transatlantic carbon trading operations, headlined, “CCX and IPE Sign Co-Operation and Licensing Agreement for EU Emissions Trading Scheme/Chicago Climate Exchange Sales and Marketing Subsidiary To Be Based in Amsterdam.”

The release states that, “Chicago Climate Exchange, the world’s first multi-national and multi-sector marketplace for the reduction and trading of greenhouse gas emissions, and the International Petroleum Exchange (IPE), Europe’s leading energy futures and options exchange, announced today the signing of a cash and futures contracts in European Climate Exchange (ECX) Carbon Financial Instruments (CFI). . . .

“Under the agreement, a series of futures contracts on ECX, CFIs relating to the EUR Emissions Trading Scheme will be launched by the end of the year, with cash products to follow in early 2005. Both the cash and futures products will be listed by the IPOE and traded on the IPE’s electronic platform, under licenses from CCX. It is intended that the products will be cleared by LCH.Clearnet, Ltd.

“In conjunction with this significant agreement, CCX announced the establishment of its wholly owned subsidiary ECX, which will serve as a sales and marketing office headquartered in Amsterdam.

“Dr. Richard L. Sandor, Chairman and CEO of CCX, said “Exchange-traded spot and futures contracts on ECX CFIs



www.royalshow.org.uk

*British Environment Minister David Miliband is a prime mover behind the drive to radically extend carbon markets in Britain—and the U.S.*

will facilitate trading for compliance with EU laws, and will being this market the liquidity it needs to operate efficiently. This agreement positions CCX as a global leader in emissions trading, and complements IPE’s leadership in the European energy markets.”

Thus, a single, integrated speculation machine is ready and waiting for the U.S. Congress to mandate emissions controls, and make way for the “market.”

ICE, though juridically located in London, is headquartered in Atlanta, operating as a *de jure* off-shore agency. “No-action letters” between the Bank of England and the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, protect the ICE from any form of regulation or record-keeping required by American agencies. ICE is thus literally a British “offshore financial center.” The 2006 Senate Democratic Policy Report calling for forcing regulation of the ICE, was subtitled, “Put a Cop Back on the Beat.”

Among the latest developments, ICE is making a play for acquiring the Chicago Board of Trade.

Who are the major owners of ICE? The major Anglo-Dutch financial entities. According to ICE’s 2006 filings with the SEC, as of Sept. 30, 2005, with percent of ICE shares owned: Morgan Stanley Capital, 11.62%; Goldman Sachs Group, 11.59%; Total Investments USA Inc., 8.12%; BP Products, 7.59%.

Others include Duke Energy, AEP, Continental Power Exchange, Societe Generale Financial Corp.

## Rohatyn/Shultz Bums' Rush Is On

This then is the context for the many front groups and political patsies leading the bums' rush for governments to mandate carbon-emission reductions and unleash the "markets." On Jan. 22, 2007, in Washington, D.C., the "United States Climate Action Partnership" (USCAP) announced itself, consisting of ten major corporations including Lehman brothers, Duke Energy, DuPont, Florida Power & Light, BP America, Alcoa, Caterpillar, General Electric, Pacific Gas & Electric, and PNM Resources. They released a "Call to Action," which, in global warming lingo, "lays out a blueprint for a mandatory economy-wide, market-driven approach to climate protection" (see [www.us-cap.org](http://www.us-cap.org)).

The World Bank is also on the bandwagon in a big way, led by WB president Paul Wolfowitz since 2005, when he moved in from the Bush-Cheney Administration. The World Bank has a Carbon Finance Organization ([www.carbonfinance.org](http://www.carbonfinance.org)), working as part of the International Emissions Trading Association, to further carbon markets. Wolfowitz personally spoke on Feb. 14 in Washington on global warm-

ing, making the pitch that underdeveloped nations can expect to see a flow of some \$100 billions from the developed nations, if carbon-reducing schemes are allowed to proceed in the markets.

The Felix Rohatyn wing of the operation is seen in Lehman Brothers participation. Lehman's CEO, Richard Fuld is their spokesman on environmental economics. Fuld's advisor is Rohatyn, whose long career as "bankers' dictator" has specialized in forcing governments to submit to private financial dictates.

The Lehman/USCAP has supplied witnesses all over Capitol Hill in February and March, lobbying for government action on mandatory carbon control. On March 20, a day before Al Gore's celebrity appearance in Congress, the CEOs of Duke Energy and PNM Resources testified to the House Energy Committee that they supported a cap-and-trade program.

The George Shultz wing of the carbon swindle, operating throughout, is most visible in its front-man, Arnold Schwarzenegger. He appeared by satellite at a March 13 press confer-

## Does Global Warming Cause Amnesia?

Leading Democrats cheering Al Gore's promotion of the global warming hoax, and "carbon trading" as the new economic horizon, seem to have forgotten that only months ago, they were holding public hearings to attack the very speculators who will run "carbon trading."

First: In May and June 2006, the Senate Democratic Policy Committee (SDPC) held two hearings on the role of speculation in driving the prices of oil and gasoline into the stratosphere. The Senators, led by Carl Levin (D-Mich.), Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.), and Byron Dorgan (D-N.D.), pinpointed the role of the all-electronic commodity futures exchange called ICE, InterContinental Exchange, for driving the oil/gas price speculation. As Senator Levin charged, they found that futures speculation on the ICE was the driver in speculators adding \$20-25 to the price of every barrel of oil.

Now, the key "ICE-man" in the InterContinental Exchange, derivatives specialist and banker Richard Sandor, is running the "carbon-trading" exchanges in London and Chicago, demanded by Al Gore and his backers under color of the global warming hoax. Do these Democrats think the speculators who drove up the oil price won't pump up the price of carbon emissions, electricity, oil refining, etc?

Second: Members of the Senate Permanent Select Sub-

committee on Investigations, seeking to identify and stop large-scale tax evasion by and through hedge funds, have ironically found the worst of the "bad actors," a group that claims a leading role in Al Gore's "carbon trading/global warming" show. They've hidden \$700 million in income, through three of their hedge funds, in offshore centers in the Isle of Man and Cayman Islands, in what may be the second-largest tax evasion on U.S. record, while claiming to run "the nation's leading provider of cleaner electricity and carbon offset products."

On global-warming financier Richard Sander's Chicago Climate Exchange, the only firm listed as a "Green Power Marketer" is Green Mountain Energy Resources LLC (one of a number of names the firm has had since 1998). A kind of "green Enron" which buys and resells supposedly "green" wholesale electricity (maybe the sort that Gore claims powers his 20,000 kwh/month home in Tennessee) around the country, Green Mountain Energy is run by the Wyly family—Sam, Charles, Sam's son Evan, and two other officers of their Maverick Capital Ltd.

The Wyls and their Maverick Capital hedge funds are the biggest users of British protectorate offshore centers to evade taxes, that the Senate Select Subcommittee has been able to identify. The Wyls were identified by Levin, in his Feb. 17, 2007 Senate floor speech motivating legislation to stop evasion of tens of billions of dollars a year in taxes, as the worst example of hedge-fund tax evasion in the United States, and as having used 58 offshore trusts to hide more than \$700 million in income from taxation.

—Paul Gallagher

ence at No. 10 Downing Street, along with Chancellor Gordon Brown and Environment Minister David Miliband, to announce a new British legislative proposal to radically extend carbon trading in Britain, even beyond what's up and running in the European Union. This is a precursor for "global carbon markets."

On March 15, Schwarzenegger's Secretary of Environmental Protection, Linda S. Adams, testified to the House Energy Committee on all the initiatives of Schwarzenegger, especially for carbon trading. "I am pleased to announce that on Feb. 26, 2007, Governor Schwarzenegger joined with the governors of Arizona, New Mexico, Oregon, and Washington to sign an historic memorandum of understanding that commits these five Western states to jointly develop a regional greenhouse gas emissions cap and a market-based trading system in our region." In March, Schwarzenegger and British Columbia committed to working together on emissions reductions, as part of a Pacific bloc of states.

A counterpart bloc exists in the Northeast, of ten committed or member states, to trade electricity sector GHG emissions, named the Northeast Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.

At the Federal level, Sens. John McCain (R-Ariz.) and Joe Lieberman (I-Conn.), the leading bipartisan warmongers, have been the leading bipartisan GHG markets advocates. Since 2003, they have repeatedly filed joint bills to cap emissions and launch GHG markets. Their 2007 version, filed in January, is S. 280. In an article they co-authored in the *Los Angeles Times*, Jan. 8, 2003, titled, "Tap U.S. Innovation To Ease Global Warming," McCain and Lieberman wrote the format line that, "Global warming is a serious threat. There is overwhelming evidence that increasing amounts of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases are heating up the Earth's climate and that inaction could be disastrous. . . . One way to limit the release of greenhouse gases is a simple but powerful idea called 'cap and trade,' which is at the core of a bill we are introducing in the Senate. . . . The 'cap and trade' system is a constructive, business-friendly approach to countering global warming. . . ."

Thus, the intent is evident for the Shultz/Rohatyn supranational financial networks, to impose their green swindle schemes, either through, or despite, an ineffectual Congress.

On March 15, Schwarzenegger's Environmental Protection Secretary Adams demurely informed chairman John Dingell's House Energy and Commerce Committee, "In October 2006, the Governor issued an Executive order (S-20-06), calling on the Air Resources Board to develop a multi-sector, market-based compliance system that could permit trading between the European Union Trading System and the Northeast Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative and others. It also called on me to create a Market Advisory Committee of national and international experts to advise. . . on the design of such a market-based compliance system. . . ."

## Yes, It's Insane! How CO<sub>2</sub> Trading Works

by Richard Freeman

The insane carbon emissions trading bubble makes the 17th-Century Tulip Bubble look tame by comparison. It creates a giant swindle on top of history's greatest scientific fraud, which will eviscerate what remains of the U.S. physical economy and create genocide worldwide, especially in Africa.

Were the objective a clean environment, there would be a crash mobilization to produce hundreds of nuclear power plants; magnetohydrodynamics; magnetic-levitation (maglev) transport. But none of that is being done. We review here the overall parameters of the carbon exchange's operations.

Under the exchange's operation, a single country, or a group of nations, sets an upper limit, or cap, on the amount of carbon emissions allowable, which are then issued to businesses and farms, granting each of them the right to emit a specified amount of emissions during a year. The CO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances can be traded, and a company that cuts back its annual emissions below the cap will have extra emission allowances left over, which it can sell to other companies for a profit. However, were a company to emit a level greater than that allowed, it must buy additional emission allowances from other companies, until it has allowances that match its actual emissions. This system is called cap-and-trade.

*The key is that each year the volume of emission allowances that is allocated to a particular company is reduced from the year before.* Either the company must cut back its CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by making new investments—however, after cutting back emissions by 20 to 30%, it becomes increasingly expensive to make further cuts—or it buys additional allowances to cover its extra actual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; or the company collapses.

The governing plan is the Kyoto Protocol—an amendment to an international treaty on "global warming" called the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change—which went into operation in 2005, 15 years after its main features were worked out in Kyoto, Japan (the United States is not a signatory to the Kyoto Treaty). The Protocol enforces a standard of a 6% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions below 1990 levels, by 2010. Gore and his fellow Nazi fanatics think that this is going too slowly; they seek a 90% cut in emissions by 2050.

Each individual nation's government issues the emission allowances to industrial firms, electricity generators, etc., in



*Richard L. Sandor is chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Chicago Climate Exchange.*

its own country. The government can either issue the allowances for free, or through auctions in which companies pay for the allowances. The allowances are issued, starting with the heaviest CO<sub>2</sub> emitters, such as fuel extraction or mining operations; fuel-processing companies, such as power generation companies; energy-intense industries, such as aluminum and steel; all the way down the industrial-agricultural chain. Many of these companies can pass the higher costs for emission reductions, or buying increasingly expensive emission allowances, on to the customer. In fact, the Malthusians who devised the exchange-trading scheme want this to happen; this is one of its prime purposes: 50-75% of the escalating costs will be borne by the consumer.

In 2006, the National Commission on Energy Policy, a main coordinating tool for carbon-based trading, which includes World Wildlife Fund head William K. Reilly, and former CIA director James Woolsey on its board, issued a policy report, "Allocating Allowances in a Greenhouse Gas Trading System." In it, the NCEP asserts that energy prices must really bite; it says: "Cost pass-through is important to the efficacy of the policy as a whole, since the key to eliciting a full range of efficient responses throughout the economy is for the carbon price signal to be transmitted all the way down the supply chain from fuel producers to end-use consumers."

While the NCEP says there should be subsidies for some of the poorest layers of the population's energy costs, they warn against "shielding consumers from the price signals [increases] needed to stimulate desired behavioral responses through the economy." That "behavioral response" is for consumers to abandon electricity and consumer products generated from carbon-based fuel supplies, and instead purchase power and products generated from completely inefficient and regressive wind and solar power, etc. These "alternative fuels" could only become viable if fuel costs are driven through the ceiling—i.e., the equivalent of \$100 to \$200 per kilowatt of electrical power.

## **CCX: Update on the Carbon Trade Bubble**

A Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX) spokesperson told *EIR* March 22 that the CCX, and the London-based European Climate Exchange (ECX) are at different stages of development. On Jan. 1, 2005, the Kyoto Protocol, specifying the standard of carbon emission reductions, became operational for the 27 nations of the European Union, in the form of the EU Emission Trading Scheme. At least 12,000 installations came under its purview. The oligarchy herded companies to trade allowances through the ECX, since a company couldn't very well find what it needed in the phone book. As the trading of emissions on the ECX rose sharply, this hooked the companies on CO<sub>2</sub> derivatives. The ECX website averred, "Approximately 95% of the total volume in the European carbon market are seen in derivatives trades."

On the other hand, the CCX spokesperson reported, the exchange is "at the pilot stage." In the U.S., carbon emission allowances are voluntary, not mandatory. However, CCX CEO Richard Sandor used CCX trading for the past four years to work out the bugs, and prepare for an immense gear-up in the future. He rounded up 85 companies, including Ford and DuPont, to engage in a "voluntary" process. It was voluntary for these companies to join, but once they signed a contract, it was mandatory for them to meet the same Kyoto Protocol standards. Sandor demonstrated that a trading platform could be set up; the CCX could execute trades among parties; create standardized, fungible instruments, etc. The CCX has about 45 speculative traders, who enter the market and speculate in allowances. The CCX spokesperson explained, "They can make money."

The CCX only awaits the making of CO<sub>2</sub> allowances trading mandatory in America, to explode in size and influence. This would bring out an inherent deadly feature. The standard contract traded on the CCX is 100 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent. Even though trading on the CCX is light, the price of one 100 metric ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent contract was bid up from \$0.95 in 2003 to \$3.65 on March 22, 2007. Once this market became big, speculators could bid up the 100 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> contract to \$30, \$50, or \$100. If a company, in order to cover its emissions, needed to purchase hundreds, or thousands of those contracts, it could be bankrupted. As speculators were making a killing, what remained of power companies and industry would be bankrupted, putting a nail in the coffin of the U.S.A. as a sovereign nation-state. If the financiers were to force through emissions reductions by 90%, the exchanges would implement them. The spread of the policy effect of this scheme to Africa, would create energy shortage and genocide.

Some financial analysts have said that the U.S. market for CO<sub>2</sub> trading would reach \$100 billion. Roger East in the British magazine *Green Futures*, predicted that the financial potential of the U.S. carbon trading market is somewhere between \$100 to \$500 billion. Only someone as mad as Gore could like this scheme.

# The New Environmentalist Eugenics: Al Gore's Green Genocide

by Rob Ainsworth

In January 2007, the U.S. Climate Action Partnership, a consortium of high-profile corporations (BP, Lehman Brothers, DuPont, GE, et al.) and environmentalist groups such as World Resources Institute (WRI), Environmental Defense (ED), and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), issued a press release. The implied intention of USCAP is to transform pollution into a commodity. This has nothing to do with protecting the environment. The true intention is two-fold: ensure that the poorest nations of the Earth *never* develop, and lay the foundation of the next speculative financial bubble. In February, at a Global Legislators Organization for a Better Environment (GLOBE) event, World Bank President Paul Wolfowitz praised carbon trading, claiming that it could generate as much as \$200 billion, much of which would go to African nations. Africa could sell its pollution rights and get more money than it currently does in development assistance; but of course, this would mean that Africa would not be allowed to develop. GLOBE was created in 1989 by, primarily, Al Gore and a number of British Parliamentarians, for the express purpose of preventing the world's poorest from raising themselves out of their condition. "Sustainable development" is the equivalent of mass murder.

The environmentalist movement is anything but the grassroots movement it pretends to be. The biggest and most influential groups receive tens of millions of dollars in funding every year, and the boards of trustees and directors reflect this. Who is running the WRI, the NRDC, and ED? Bankers, hedge-fund managers, big oil—the list goes on. But it goes even deeper than this, for the biggest student movements, such as *Focus the Nation*, *Step It Up*, and the *Stop Global Warming Now* movement, are financed, organized, and deployed by hard-core synarchist Felix Rohatyn. These unwitting young people, organized by New Age fascist freak-show Bill McKibben and his Middlebury College cronies, are designated to be the Jacobin shocktroops which tear apart the social order with their lunatic demands of "pandas, not people!"

Now, with the ongoing collapse of the U.S. subprime mortgage sector and the overall bankruptcy of the world economy, these networks are rushing to set up a new source for speculation; but more importantly, they are attempting to force these CO<sub>2</sub> emissions agreements down the throats of

governments as a way of finishing off the nation-state system. Hedge funds such as Al Gore's Generation Investment Management are vultures, scavenging for the last bits of meat left on the carcass of the world economy before it all goes down.

Throughout history, there have been those who, with an eye to specific political objectives, have used terror or the threat thereof against target populations. These threats, real or imagined, have characteristically been outsiders or specters lurking on the periphery, ready to pounce, like the bogeyman in the shadows of a young child's bedroom. However, today, for the first time in history, humanity is confronted with an aspect more terrifying than any external threat, for as the anti-human Club of Rome wrote in its 1991 publication, *The First Global Revolution*, "in searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. But in designating them as the enemy, we fall into the trap of mistaking symptoms for causes. All these dangers are caused by human intervention and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself."

## A Turning Point in History

On Aug. 15, 1971, the Bretton Woods System, established by President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, was destroyed by the Anglo-Dutch empire-linked advisors of Richard Nixon, most notably Henry Kissinger and George Shultz. It was a deliberate act by the would-be "post-industrial" wreckers of the nation-state system. It was in this context, with a civilization shattered by the 1960s counterculture and the string of political assassinations, wars, and crises which accompanied it, that human abortions such as Kissinger, Shultz, and Felix Rohatyn (to name but a few) could step in and usurp control of the world economy.

However, these madmen had a problem: by taking down the Bretton Woods System and by getting the United States involved in the folly of the Vietnam War, the physical economy was beginning to collapse from a lack of capital investment. Now, with physical economic output falling, and debt service increasing, the financiers had two options: either go with increased investments in the physical economy—machinery, infrastructure, power production, etc., or go with

the economic policy of Nazi Finance Minister Hjalmar Schacht, known today as fiscal austerity. Naturally, having never really joined the human race, these fellows decided to send us all on a one-way trip back to the jungle.

Their plan was not to invest in the population or the physical economy, but rather to embark on a path which, as Lyndon LaRouche recognized in the 1960s, would lead inevitably to fascism, and by a similar route as occurred in Germany in the late 1920s and early 1930s—where the “nature-loving” and “purity-based” ecology-freak counterculture, swarmed en masse into the Hitler Youth and the Nazi Party, and ultimately, on behalf of international financiers, carried out the Schachtian economics that ground up human beings for the state.

The essence of the matter is this: If technological progress is halted, it becomes impossible to sustain a population at the same standard of living. Thus, if the financiers demand that debts come before people, and at the same time the revenue pool from which those payments are made is shrinking, then the people will inevitably be gouged, through wage reductions, price inflation, increasing taxation, and so on.

Kissinger, Shultz, and Rohatyn, not to mention “Fat Albert” Gore, have no scruples about mass-murder or larceny; for them it is simply business. The difficulty was the Constitutional tradition of the United States.

Patriotism, in the tradition of Abraham Lincoln and Franklin Roosevelt, has always been an aspiration for the entire human race; to replace such a conception with the overtly racist imperialism now rampant in this nation could only be the work of clever criminality, the source of which is found in the rotted heart of the British Empire.

## Cecil Rhodes and the Cult of Eugenics

The British East India Company, modelled on the older Levant Company of Venice, had been raping India since the early 1700s; but it wasn’t until 1763 that this Venetian faction was able to seize control over the Empire as a whole. It was the rapacious looting policies of this faction that forced the American colonies to declare their independence.

After the American Revolution, the British launched a renewed drive against India, completely conquering the Subcontinent by the first years of the new century. It was in this period that the opium trade, for which India was the linchpin, became the dominant pursuit of the Empire.

After Lincoln’s victory over the Confederacy in the American Civil War, and even more so after the 1876 Centennial Celebration, it became clear that the United States could not be conquered militarily. The British responded by launching the pseudo-science of eugenics, and also the Round Table movements of Cecil Rhodes and Lord Alfred Milner. In the 1880s and 1890s, this elite movement created the Eugenics Society, founded by Sir Arthur Balfour of the Venetian-origin Cecil family; John Ruskin’s Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood, opposing the entire European Renaissance; and the *Round Table*



*British imperialist Cecil Rhodes, founder of the British Roundtable, set out to establish institutions which would ensure that his white supremacist policies would outlive him.*

of Cecil Rhodes, Alfred Milner, Balfour, and their friends, strategists from the African and Asian empire, seeking world power for the Anglo-Saxon master race. These men shared a bored contempt for the existence of mankind, like the satanic Zeus of Aeschylus’ *Prometheus Bound*. Their idea was to convince the United States to join them in their quest for Anglo-Saxon world government.

The Round Table of Cecil Rhodes was centered on the imperial networks of South Africa, which later spawned raw materials monoliths such as Rio Tinto Zinc, Anglo American, Lonrho, and DeBeers. It was this inhuman cabal which ran the Boer War, conducted genocide against the black population, and later set up the horrendous Apartheid regime. One of the wealthiest, most influential, and evil men of his day, Rhodes was a virulent racist, or as he and his friends termed it, a race patriot, who wrote in a document called *Confession of Faith*:

“I contend that *we are the finest race in the world and that the more of the world we inhabit the better it is for the human*

Kingston (N.Y.) Leader  
1-25-15

**Mrs. E. H. Harriman Heads Movement to Stop the Early Weddings**

American Genetic Association, Inc. has considered the subject of early marriage at its annual meeting at New York, N.Y., and has decided to extend its study of the issue of early marriage and its important facts of its development. In its opinion, as a Journal at New York, the question of early marriage leads to the production of "Undesirable Children" as discussed some months ago by Dr. H. H. H. Harriman who in connection with his article offered a reward of \$250 to any person "finding" a case of a superior human being reduced by a rapid breeding" that by breeding faster than three generations to a century.

The reward has not been claimed and Mr. Harriman now presents a plan to prove his contention that marriages are not desirable. He says "In 25 states the legal age of marriage ranges from 16 to 19 years of age and from 12 to 17 for girls. At the same time there are many marriages at less than legal age which are considered valid under objectionable laws. Such early marriages result in rapid generations, and not in the production of mental and moral defectives."

So important a matter, affecting as it does the well-being and progress of the race, deserves more attention than it has been receiving. Persons in their teens, rushed away by romance and disposed to sleep, need to heed the advice of their parents, who almost invariably oppose early marriage, not for antisocial reasons, which may really concern education, but because they want their children to realize the responsibilities involved in the step they contemplate and realization can come only with work, experience and knowledge. They do not want their children "rushed down" too early in life for economic and social reasons. They feel the newer their children are the more before contracting marriage the better their chances for happiness.

Industrial and economic conditions are having an important influence on the time of marriage. Young women have attained a degree of in-

N.Y. World 9-4-15

**MRS. E. H. HARRIMAN BACKS A GIGANTIC STEP IN EUGENICS**

Would Curb Defectives  
Hundreds of Thousands  
Over Series of Years.

TO MAKE RACE PERFECT.

Aid of Rockefeller and Carnegie Hoped For in World Wide Campaign.

A world wide campaign for the sterilization of defectives is called for in a report to the Eugenic Society, which has headquarters at Cold Spring Harbor, L. I., and is generously aided financially by Mrs. E. H. Harriman, John D. Rockefeller and Andrew Carnegie are expected to contribute to the campaign.

The report to the society was prepared by the Committee on Sterilization and advocates such treatment for 100,000 persons in the United States in the next year. The number to be sterilized the report says, should be increased annually until, by 1940, when it will have reached 600,000.

At that time, it is predicted, there are other measures looking to the improvement of the race will have brought about very nearly perfect men and women.

Statistics prepared by the society show that 15 per cent. of the total population is made up of defectives. The members of the Sterilization Committee of the society are Dr. W. H. H. Harriman, H. H. Langdon, W. M. Carroll, New Haven, Everett Ford, Palmer, Mass., and H. W. Mitchell, Warren, Pa.

The Eugenic Society's assistant director is Dr. Charles H. Davenport, Ithaca, N.Y., who is conducting the sterilization phase of genetic work he said today.

"Perhaps the most gigantic effort of the sterilization are directed toward nationwide sterilization laws. The whole task of eugenics does not, however, lie with sterilization and sterilization. There are two aspects recognized at least, only the negative side of improving human stock.

"As with the improvement of any race, the positive side of the work is to be done."

Portland (Ore.) Journal  
9-11-15

Mrs. E. H. Harriman is backing a campaign by the Eugenic Society the object of which is to produce the perfect man in 1950. Presumably the perfect woman will arrive at the same time, provided she isn't already here.

America's would-be oligarchs, like the Harriman family, were unabashed in their support for eugenics in the early 20th century. Here is N.Y. World coverage of Averell Harriman's mother Mary, lending her support in 1915 to the Eugenics Society's campaign for sterilizing "defectives."

race. Just fancy those parts that are at present inhabited by the most despicable specimens of human beings; what an alteration there would be if they were brought under Anglo-Saxon influence, look again at the extra employment a new country added to our dominions gives. I contend that every acre added to our territory means in the future birth to some more of the English race who otherwise would not be brought into existence. Added to this the absorption of the greater portion of the world under our rule simply means the end of all wars; at this moment *had we not lost America* I believe we could have stopped the Russian-Turkish war by merely refusing money and supplies. Having these ideas what scheme

could we think of to forward this object?

"Why should we not form a secret society with but one object: the furtherance of the British Empire and the bringing of the whole uncivilized world under British rule, for the recovery of the United States, and for the making of the Anglo-Saxon race but one Empire?"

"Africa is still lying ready for us, it is our duty to take it. It is our duty to seize every opportunity of acquiring more territory and we should keep this one idea steadily before our eyes: that *more territory simply means more of the Anglo-Saxon race, more of the best, the most human, most honourable race the world possesses*" (emphases added).

Over the course of his life, Rhodes commissioned seven wills to be written, all expressing this same purpose. His fortune was to be used for setting up the Rhodes Trust and Rhodes Scholarship as a means of recruiting American and Commonwealth Anglophiles into the imperial faction:

"Let us form the same kind of society, a Church for the extension of the British Empire. A society which should have its members in every part of the British Empire working with one object and one idea we should have its members placed at our universities and our schools and should watch the English youth passing through their hands just one perhaps in every thousand would have the mind and feelings for such an object, he should be tried in every way, he should be tested whether he is enduring, possessed of eloquence, disregardful of the petty details of life, and if found to be such, then elected and bound by oath to serve for the rest of his life in his Country. He should then be supported if without

means by the Society and sent to that part of the Empire where it was felt he was needed."

In his will, Rhodes authorized provisions for:

"...the extension of British rule throughout the world. The colonization by British subjects of all lands where the means of livelihood are attainable by energy, labour, and enterprise and especially the occupation by British settlers of the entire Continent of Africa, the Holy Land, the Valley of the Euphrates, the islands of Cyprus and Candia, the whole of South America, the islands of the Pacific not heretofore possessed by Great Britain, the whole of the Malay Archipelago, the seaboard of China and Japan, [and] the ultimate re-



UNESCO/Claude Bablin

*Sir Julian Huxley, previously the head of the Eugenics Society, made a smooth transition into pushing world depopulation through UNESCO, whose head he became in 1946, after Hitler's genocide had given eugenics a bad name. He is seen here addressing UNESCO in 1965.*

*covery of the United States of America as an integral part of the British Empire" (emphasis added).*

It was this same British network of families (including the Huxley clan, the Cadburys, the Darwins, and the Wedgewoods) and banking interests, with offshoots in North America and the rest of Europe, which spawned the early 20th-century eugenics movement. This set ran the zoos, and said men were base animals, and they directed British colonial strategy and official science. Eugenics claimed that the English upper class ruled because they were genetically superior. The English masters humored themselves with this doctrine enforced on their beaten-down subjects, in India, which the English reduced to starvation and political impotence by closing native industries; and in South Africa under white rule.

These were the very same families who funded Hitler, and exerted their influence over the German banking system to have him appointed Chancellor in 1933. In 1917, while World War I was still raging, Lord Lothian, one of Lord Milner's most important protégés, suddenly departed from his previously fanatical anti-German rhetoric. As soon as Germany is crushed, he said, let us rearm and remilitarize it under the most reactionary leaders, and point Germany towards war with Russia and France. This was done 16 years later, in 1933. At the same time, the Anglo-Saxon eugenics doctrine was imported into Germany, to help shape Nazi rule.

The cabal called for the sterilization or euthanizing of unfit members of society, to spare the expense of their lives,

much like today's privatized HMO system functions; and these policies have always been a doctrine of racial aggression.

In 1932, the Third International Eugenics Conference was held in New York City, chaired by the rabid bigot Fairfield Osborn, whose like-minded nephew would later create the Conservation Foundation. Osborn was president of the American Museum of Natural History and a close colleague of the notoriously racist Julian Huxley, and the co-host of the conference, the Harriman family. On Aug. 23, 1932, the *New York Times* published a speech delivered by Osborn at the conference. "Eugenics," Osborn declared, "aids and encourages the survival and multiplication of the fittest; indirectly, it would check and discourage the multiplication of the unfitted. As to the latter, in the United States alone, it is widely recognized that there are millions of people who are acting as dragnets or sheet anchors on the progress of the ship of state."

Osborn, in language all too familiar among today's environmentalists, continued with his analysis of the 10 million Americans unemployed at the time:

"While some highly competent people are unemployed, the mass of unemployment is among the less competent, who are first selected for suspension, while the few highly competent people are retained because they are still indispensable. In nature, these less-fitted individuals would gradually disappear, but in civilization, we are keeping them in the community in the hopes that in brighter days, they may all find employment. *This is only another instance of humane civilization going directly against the order of nature and encouraging the survival of the un-fittest*" (emphasis added).

It was not accidental that a number of leading Nazi race scientists in attendance were honored, and the presidency of the International Federation of Eugenics Organizations was conferred upon Nazi Dr. Ernst Rudin.

The policies of the eugenicists were derived explicitly from those of the Confederate slave holders, whose descendants, such as Teddy Roosevelt, continued to be virulent racists and proudly traitorous Anglophiles. In fact, it was Gifford Pinchot, a eugenicist himself, who first coined the term "conservation," deriving it from a term used by the British in their colonial management of India! The eugenicists sought not only to "scientifically prove" the superiority of the Anglo-Saxon race, and thus its right and responsibility to rule the Earth, but also the incapacity of the "lesser races" to utilize technology or govern themselves.

The British were in full agreement with Hitler on most issues, including the threat posed by Asian development. When Hitler met with Lord Lothian on Jan. 29, 1935, Lothian had recently completed a term as Undersecretary of State for India, directing the repression of India's nationalist leaders, Gandhi and Nehru, just after Lothian's close collaborator Lord Halifax had been Viceroy. Hitler knew he was speaking to a member of the inner circle of the Empire when he suggested to Lothian that,



*“Germany, England, France, Italy, America and Scandinavia . . . should arrive at some agreement whereby they would prevent their nationals from assisting in the industrializing of countries such as China, and India. It is suicidal to promote the establishment in the agricultural countries of Asia of manufacturing industries”* (emphasis added). (Transcription in Sir James R.M. Butler, *Lord Lothian*, Macmillan and Co., London, 1960, p. 332)

Hitler also would have recognized that the British were actively engaged in exactly those policies he had outlined. Lord Lothian himself had expressed this viewpoint long before, writing in 1918 about the problem of getting the United States to give up its support for the advancement of colonial-sector peoples, and to adopt the British approach of crushing them with free trade. Lothian wrote,

“The real problem is going to arise from the treatment which must be accorded to politically backward peoples. . . .

“[T]here is a fundamentally different concept in regard to this question between Great Britain . . . and the United States . . . as to the necessity of civilized control over politically backward peoples. . . . The inhabitants of Africa and parts of Asia have proved unable to govern themselves . . . because they were quite unable to withstand the demoralizing influences [i.e., their reprehensible desire to possess modern industry—ed.] to which they were subjected in some civilized countries, so that the intervention of an European power is necessary in order to protect them from those influences. . . . The American view . . . is quite different. . . . The extent of this work after the war, sometimes known as the white man’s burden, will be so vast that it will never be accomplished at all unless it is shared. . . . Yet America not only has no conception of this aspect of the problem but has been led to believe that the assumption of this kind of responsibility is iniquitous imperialism. They take an attitude towards the problem of world government exactly analogous to the one they [earlier] took . . . toward the problem of the [first] world war. . . .

“If they are slow in learning we shall be condemned to a period . . . of strained relations between the various parts of the English-speaking world. [We must] get into the heads of Canadians and Americans that a share in the burden of world government is just as great and glorious a responsibility as participation in the war” (Lothian to Lionel Curtis, Oct. 15, 1918, in Butler, *Lord Lothian*, pp. 68-70).

Lothian, secretary of the Rhodes Trust, and his collaborator Lord Halifax, would both serve as ambassadors to the United States over the course of World War II, tasked with “handling America” and guiding it into its destined imperial role.

## The New Eugenics

In 1946, Julian Huxley, the new Director-General of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), announced that the eugenics movement,

of which he had been a leading member, would not be dissolved, despite the somewhat unfortunate reputation it then commanded. With the stench of Hitler’s mass-murderous frenzy still heavy in the air, the undaunted Huxley, who had been vice-president of the Eugenics Society of Great Britain from 1937-1944, announced, “even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake *so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable*” (emphasis added). And thus the modern environmental movement was launched.

Environmentalism, first known as “conservation,” was the continuation of the most sickening form of racism, a racism ingrained in the British ruling families and their affiliates by the many years of colonial conquest and looting. In 1924, Huxley had voiced his own opinion of Africans in the British publication the *Spectator*:

“You have only to go to a nigger camp-meeting to see the African mind in operation the shrieks, the dancing and yelling and sweating, the surrender to the most violent emotion, the ecstatic blending of the soul of the Congo with the practice of the Salvation army. So far, no very satisfactory psychological measure has been found for racial differences; that will come, but meanwhile the differences are patent.”

Apart from the sick doctrines of Huxley and company, all strains of environmentalism are also based upon the madly fraudulent and genocidal doctrines of Thomas Parson Malthus (1766-1834). Malthus was first employed to explain why the Irish had to starve. Malthus preached that the world was overpopulated because population increased faster than the food supply; but considering that he came from a wealthy family of seven children, and he had three children of his own, what he really meant was the world was too full of poor and dark-skinned peoples—and don’t forget the Irish! But, of course, he did work for the British East India Company, the world’s foremost drug-running cartel. As he writes in his nefarious work, *An Essay on the Principle of Population*:

“We are bound in justice and honour formally to disdain the right of the poor to support.

“To this end, I should propose a regulation to be made, declaring that no child born from any marriage taking place after the expiration of a year from the date of the law, and no illegitimate child born two years from the same date, should ever be entitled to parish assistance.

“The infant is, comparatively speaking, of little value to society, as others will immediately supply its place.

“All children who are born, beyond what would be required to keep up the population to a desired level, must necessarily perish, unless room be made for them by the death of grown persons. Therefore we should facilitate, instead of foolishly and vainly endeavouring to impede, the operations of nature in producing this mortality; and if we dread the too

frequent visitation of the horrid form of famine, we should sedulously encourage the other forms of destruction, which we compel nature to use.

“Instead of recommending cleanliness to the poor, we should encourage contrary habits. In our towns we should make the streets narrower, crowd more people into the houses, and court the return of the plague. In the country, we should build our villages near stagnant pools, and particularly encourage settlement in all marshy and unwholesome situations. But above all we should reprobate specific remedies for ravaging diseases; and restrain those benevolent, but much mistaken men, who have thought they are doing a service to mankind by protecting schemes for the total extirpation of particular disorders.”

Malthus's theory was thoroughly ridiculed in his own

time as being a mess of satanic gibberish (see box). That we have raised the standard of living and the number of people per square kilometer by over three orders of magnitude since ancient times is more than proof enough; in fact, by Malthus's own ridiculous theory, the world was overpopulated in the Stone Age! The problem that all Malthusians are crabbily compelled to face is our irritatingly persistent tendency to develop new technologies and resources: “If only,” they say, “if only mankind would start behaving as we say he ought to, then we would be right!” Unfortunately, the British would not let the wretched little man alone; in a magnificent sleight of hand, they dragged his miserable old bones out of ground, and gave him a shiny new suit.

In 1948, the Conservation Foundation released its first annual report, claiming increasing population causes a drain

## Mr. Malthus

Below are excerpts from the Poem *Mr. Malthus* by Canadian writer and satirist, Stephen Leacock (1869-1944). It was said in 1911 that more people had heard of Stephen Leacock than had heard of Canada.

“MOTHER, Mother, here comes  
Malthus,  
Mother, hold me tight!  
Look! It's Mr. Malthus, Mother!  
Hide me out of sight.”

This was the cry of little Jane  
In bed she moaning lay,  
Delirious with Stomach Pain,  
That would not go away.  
All because her small Existence  
Over-pressed upon Subsistence;  
Human Numbers didn't need her;  
Human Effort couldn't feed her.  
Little Janie didn't know  
The Geometric Ratio.  
Poor Wee Janie had never done  
Course Economics No. 1;  
Never reached in Education  
Theories of Population, —  
Theories which tend to show  
Just how far our Food will go,  
Mathematically found  
Just enough to go around.

This, my little Jane, is why  
Pauper Children have to die.  
Pauper Children underfed  
Die delirious in Bed;  
Thus at Malthus's Command  
Match Supply with true Demand.  
Jane who should have gently died  
Started up and wildly cried, —

“Look, mother, look, he's there again  
I see him at the Window Pane,  
Father,—don't let him,—he's  
behind  
That shadow on the window  
blind,—”

In vain the anxious parents soothe,—  
What can avail their useless Love?  
“Darling, lie down again; don't mind;  
Branches are moving in the Wind.”

With panting Breath, with Eyes that  
stare,  
Again she cries, “He's there, he's  
there!”

The frightened Parents look, aghast,  
Is it that something really passed?  
What is it that they seem to scan,  
Ghost or Abstraction, Dream or  
Man?—

That long drawn Face, the cloven  
Lip,

The crooked Fingers all a-grip,  
The sunken Face, cadaverous,  
The dress, Ah, God deliver us!  
What awful Sacrilege is that?  
The Choker and the Shovel Hat,

The Costume black and sinister,  
The dress of God's own minister!  
What fiend could ever urge a Man  
To personate a Clergyman!  
The Father strides with angry fist  
“Out, out! you damned Economist!”  
His wife restrains his threatening  
Paw,—  
“William, it's economic Law!”  
She shrieks,—“Oh William! don't  
you know  
The Geometric Ratio?—  
William, God means it for the best  
Our Darling's taken! we've  
transgressed—”  
And crying, “Two times two makes  
four,”  
She crashes swooning to the Floor.

And when her Senses come again  
Janie had passed from mortal Pain  
And scowling Malthus had moved on  
Murm'ring, “That's one more Infant  
gone,”  
To other Windows, one by one;—  
Later he came and took their Son.  
With Jane and John gone, out of  
seven,  
They kept at five and just broke even.  
“Mary,” the chastened Father said,  
“I feel God's wisdom; two are dead  
The world has only food for five,  
Quintuplets are the thing that thrive.”  
She sobbed,—“We'll do it if we can!  
But, oh that awful Malthus Man.”



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

*British Royal Consort Prince Philip, cofounder of the World Wildlife Fund, has been a leading organizer of the movement for global depopulation since at least the early 1960s. He's fond of talking of being reincarnated as a "deadly virus" to deal with the population problem.*

on natural resources which is geometric, not arithmetic. Science cannot be expected to supplant the vital processes of nature. The Conservation Foundation (CF) was the spawn of the American Eugenics Society and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, founded the previous year by the Swiss, and the same royal families of Britain, Belgium, and Holland that had been intimately involved in promoting eugenics prior to World War II. The first president of the CF was Henry Fairfield Osborn, the nephew of the Fairfield Osborn who had presided over the 1932 Eugenics Conference.

Two years later, these same families would gather together a motley collection of the most degenerate Western intellectuals to form the Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF). The CCF would play a crucial role through the coming decades in destroying the last vestiges of Classical humanist and scientific education, while promoting existentialism, cultural and moral relativism, and a whole assortment of equally destructive philosophies. The counterculture of the 1960s, and the explicitly fascist tendencies which erupted within the Baby-Boomer generation, were direct outgrowths of the CCF's operations.

In 1961, the CF published an influential set of essays based upon the writings of Malthus. Some of the leading contributors were Lord Solly Zuckerman, Lord Boyd Orr, Sir Charles Darwin, Sir Julian Huxley, and Arnold Toynbee, all



Sander Lammie

*Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, recently deceased, cofounded the World Wildlife Fund with Philip, and used his position to carry out wide-spread genocide in Africa.*

of whom were devoted white supremacists. In 1965, Russell Train was added to the Board of Advisors, and later became president.

This new brand of Malthusianism was presented to the world in apocalyptic terms. No longer was it simply an apology for permitting poverty and famine; now the entire human race was threatened with extinction because we had taken technology too far, we had developed too quickly. Paul Ehrlich, as fanatical as they come, published his concoction of fascist psychobabble, *The Population Bomb*, in 1968. His argument went as follows: "A cancer is an uncontrolled multiplication of cells; the population explosion is an uncontrolled multiplication of people. We must shift our efforts from the treatment of the symptoms to the cutting out of the cancer. *The operation will demand many apparently brutal and heartless decisions*" (emphasis added).

In 1961, Sir Julian Huxley, by then, president of the Eugenics Society of Great Britain, in collaboration with Britain's Prince Philip, founded the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), the first president of which was the former card-carrying Nazi, Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands; Bernhard was succeeded in 1976 by John Loudon, the former CEO of Royal Dutch Shell and chairman of Shell Oil Co. Prince Philip would take the helm from 1981 until 1996. The WWF, as documented extensively by *EIR* (see "The true story behind the fall of the House of Windsor," a Special Report by *EIR*, 1997), was involved in countless acts of genocide, poaching and drug running, assassinations, coups, and launching scores of con-



EIRNS

*Anthropologist Margaret Mead, shown here at a 1978 “Sun Day” celebration in New York City, was explicit on the goals of the environmental movement: She called for scientists to issue “artificial warnings” that would scare people into adopting conservation and depopulation measures.*

flicts. Prince Philip, one of the key environmentalist ringleaders throughout the entire post-war period, has provided the world with plenty of evidence indicating his true nature:

“You cannot keep a bigger flock of sheep than you are capable of feeding. In other words conservation may involve culling in order to keep a balance between the relative numbers in each species within any particular habitat. I realize this is a very touchy subject, but the fact remains that mankind is part of the living world. Every new acre brought into cultivation means another acre denied to wild species.”

Philip later claimed, as reported in *Deutsche Presse Agentur* in 1988, with all the goodness of his heart, that were he reincarnated, he would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.

In 1967, Prince Philip, Prince Bernhard, and Maurice Strong formed the secretive 1001 Club, to finance the operations of the WWF as well as other covert projects that the WWF was carrying out in Africa. The Club comprises members of the most ancient and powerful families of Europe and the British Commonwealth. Strong, a top-echelon British-Canadian operative, was one of the three most influential members, along with Philip and Sir Peter Scott, and has played a critical role over the past 40 years in promoting the globalist agenda of world government. From the early 1960s onward, Strong was a friend of, and collaborating closely with, the Rockefeller family, the third generation of which had taken an unusually passionate interest in environmental-

ism; in 1971, Strong became a trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation, a position he held for many years, guiding the Foundation’s money in directions he deemed fit. Later, in the 1980s, he sat on the Democratic National Committee, a position from which he could conveniently mentor the younger Al Gore, whose only other friend in those lonely years, was the ingrate and political whore, Joe Lieberman.

In 1967, the Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) was created by the CF to begin a series of aggressive campaigns, typified by the unconscionable attack on the insecticide DDT, which was not based in the slightest on science. The Natural Resources Defense Council, created in 1970, also participated in this outrage. William Ruckelshaus, the administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) who made the decision in opposition to the advice and findings of his own staff, had ties to the EDF, and later went on to hold numerous important positions, such as his current position of the board of trustees of the World Resources Institute, as well as a stint as the top administrator of the United Nations Development Program.

## **The People Are the Enemy**

Despite the environmentalist movement’s 25 years of campaigning, the belief that everything related to science, progress, and technology was evil had not fully taken root. The general population still understood the role of scientific progress in the economy, and only a few years had passed since the Moon landing. What changed was the economy.

At the same time Nixon was dutifully wrecking the Bretton Woods System, the Club of Rome released its infamous report, *Limits to Growth*, which picked up the thread of Paul Ehrlich’s thesis, that human overpopulation was a looming threat to civilization.

This message was repeated at the 1972 Stockholm Conference, which was presided over by Maurice Strong. Elaine Dewar reports in her book, *Cloak of Green* (Toronto: James Lorimer and Company, 1995) that, “as the Stockholm Conference opened in 1972, Strong warned urgently about the onset of global warming, the devastation of forests, the loss of biodiversity, the polluted oceans, and the population time bomb. As I read this old speech, I realized it could almost be repeated at the Rio Summit.”

Then, in 1973, the first oil shocks hit, with prices jumping 400% in the space of a few months, compliments of British imperial agent, Henry Kissinger. While this was traumatic enough in the West, in the rest of the world it was a catastrophe; for not only had the price quadrupled in American dollars, but many nations, previously subjected to the IMF’s notorious conditionalities, which often included massive currency devaluations, saw the price of oil rise even more drastically. The financial resources that had been available for development projects were quickly sucked into the ballooning world petroleum trade. Suddenly the idea that resources were becoming scarce seemed all too real, while the pessimism

accompanying the CCF-spawned counterculture combined with the post-oil shock depression, prompted people into adopting a little-man ideology of “look out for number one” and “protect your own.”

It was also in this period that Henry Kissinger, commissioned the murderous 1974 report, NSSM 200, echoing the Hitler’s words to Lothian, by advocating population control in place of industrialization; the report continues even now to be official government policy. As an extension of this emerging, official policy orientation of the U.S.A., and the words of Strong at Stockholm, Margaret Mead told scientists assembled for a 1975 conference on “The Atmosphere: Endangered or Endangering,” that the party line was shifting from what scientific analysis had correctly identified as a process of global cooling which had begun in the 1940s, to global warming. In a complete disregard for truth and principle, she said,

“The unparalleled increase in the human population and its demands for food, energy, and resources is clearly the most important destabilizing influence in the biosphere. We are facing a period when society must make decisions on a planetary scale.

“What we need from scientists are estimates, presented with sufficient conservatism and plausibility that will allow us to start building a system of artificial, but effective warnings, warnings which will parallel the instincts of animals which flee the hurricane. [We must] draw from the necessary capacity for sacrifice. It is therefore a statement of major possibilities of danger, which may overtake humankind, on which it is important to concentrate attention.”

Many scientists, having attended that conference warning of the potential for a new ice age, left the conference promoting global warming.

Over the subsequent years, a number of environmentalist groups began to make their presence known, through acts of eco-terrorism, and a series of calculated hoaxes, such as the ozone and Alar scares, acid rain, and global warming. One of the most devastating campaigns run by these fanatics was that against commercial nuclear power, in which Congressman Dick Cheney, during the Carter Administration, played a central role. Meanwhile, the world economy was slipping further into crisis: In 1979 Federal Reserve Chairman Paul Volcker sent interest rates skyrocketing, while Western industries collapsed more rapidly than ever, and a debt bomb was preparing to detonate in the developing sector.

British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, a fanatical Mont Pelerin ideologue, enacted savage measures of deregulation and privatization in Great Britain, while one of her top advisors, Sir Crispin Tickell, British ambassador to the UN from 1987-1990, who was the cousin of New Age lunatic Aldous Huxley and great-great-grandson of Thomas Huxley, advised Thatcher to promote global warming and population reduction (see article this issue).

The Third World, despite the usurious conditionalities being forced upon them, was not giving up the struggle to

develop. Thomas Lovejoy, vice-president of the American branch of the WWF, typified the response of the financiers to this desire in 1984: “The biggest problem is the damn national sectors of these developing countries. *These countries think that they have the right to develop their resources as they see fit. They want to become powers*” (emphasis added).

With the stock market collapse of 1987, the international financiers were forced to escalate their looting operations to keep the entire monetary system from collapsing. In order to do so, and to convince developing nations that backwardness was to their advantage, several initiatives were launched: Thatcher organized the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and appointed John Houghton co-chairman; he also held the position of Chairman of Scientific Assessment until 2002. Sept. 27, 1988, in a speech to the Royal Society of London, replete with lies, Thatcher said:

“For generations we have assumed that the efforts of mankind would leave the fundamental equilibrium of the world’s systems and atmosphere stable. But it is possible that with all these enormous changes (population, agricultural, use of fossil fuels) concentrated into such a short period of time, we have unwittingly begun a massive experiment with the system

## The Eco-Fascist Al Gore In His Own Words

Included below are selections from Al Gore’s book, *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*.

The Earth is like a living being, or a goddess, according to Gore, whose liveable surface is its skin, its most important organ. He equates mankind’s relationship to nature to rape, or the rampages of the Nazis across Europe. Modern industrial civilization is the equivalent of a dysfunctional family of drunks and drug addicts which abuses its own children, and the radical ecology movement is the modern-day resistance movement against the “real” fascism, that is, “production and consumption.”

Gore claims:

- That the underpopulated continent of Africa is dying due to overpopulation, not looting:

“[The countries of Africa] are already putting great strains on their natural resources and threatening the integrity of their ecological systems, so it is truly frightening to imagine the impact of doubling or tripling their numbers—not to mention the pitiful quality of life these extra scores of millions can expect. Already new epidemics—from cholera to AIDS—have emerged in societies knocked off

of this planet itself. Recently, three changes in atmospheric chemistry have become familiar subjects of concern. The first is the increase in the greenhouse gases, carbon dioxide, methane, and chlorofluorocarbons, which has led some to fear that we are creating a global heat trap which could lead to climatic instability. And half the carbon emitted since the Industrial Revolution remains in the atmosphere. We have an extensive research programme at our meteorological office for the study of climate change.”

At the same time as the launching of the IPCC, Gore, on behalf of and in conjunction with the British Crown, was organizing GLOBE (the group addressed last month by Paul Wolfowitz). Maurice Strong, who was already a close acquaintance of Gore, told *EIR* in a 1999 interview that, “he was very active in the [GLOBE] movement, and, in fact, was instrumental in helping to form [it]. He was the original co-chairman and the driving force in getting it moving. Al was very influential around the world for this.” The key financial affiliations of GLOBE indicate its genocidal and rapacious agenda: the British imperial assets Anglo-American and British Petroleum. Strong, who chaired the Rio Summit, continued: “Gore was very active in the U.S. political movement to

endorse [the 1992 Rio Summit] and to get it approved by the United Nations. And, then, subsequently, he was extremely active in helping to shape its agenda and helping to assure that it got the attention that it did.” It was in 1992 that Gore published his Malthusian diatribe, *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*, in which he advocated mass population reduction by as many as 2 billion people (see box).

From the late 1980s onward, the British and their global web of agents and organizations, would drive the issue of climate change to the forefront of international politics. Tickell at the UN would be instrumental in this, as would Gore in the United States; Maurice Strong, from within the UN, would mobilize entire national bureaucracies. All of these men, in conjunction with Prince Philip’s 1001 Club, were also promoting the pagan doctrine of the Gaia “Mother Earth” cult as a new form of global religion to replace the “outdated” Judeo-Christian concept of man as made in the creative image of God.

Elaine Dewar, in *Cloak of Green*, noted the impact of the Rio Conference, chaired by Strong, as a watershed in the fight over global warming and the world economy:

“The Rio Summit would take long steps towards a world

balance by rapid population growth and the consequent disruption of their traditional patterns of living and the degradation of their surrounding environment.”

- That the fourteenth-century “Black Death” spread of bubonic plague was due to “climate change,” in Europe and China, not the economic collapse due to the failure of the oligarchical banking system of Europe:

“Just before the Black Death, poor weather and crop failures caused widespread malnutrition and increased susceptibility to disease. . . . One year earlier, as a result of the same global climate changes that produced constant rains in Europe, unusually heavy rainfall in China caused the repeated Yellow River floods.”

- That the answer to the question, “what is your life worth?” is two trees: “The Pacific Yew [tree] can be cut down and processed to produce a potent chemical, taxol, which offers some promise of curing certain forms of lung, breast and ovarian cancer in patients who would quickly die. It seems an easy choice—sacrifice the tree for a human life—until one learns that three trees must be destroyed for each patient treated. . . . Suddenly, we must confront some tough questions. How important are the medical needs of future generations? Are those of us alive today entitled to cut down all those trees to extend the lives of a few of us, even if it means that this unique form of life will disappear forever, thus making it impossible to save human lives in the future?”

- That the pre-Christian, Mother Earth cults were

more “environmentally friendly” than the Western monotheistic religions: “The prevailing ideology of belief in prehistoric Europe and much of the world was based on the worship of a single earth goddess, who radiated harmony among all living things. . . .

“Its best documented tenet seems to have been a reverence for the sacredness of the earth—and a belief in the need for harmony among all living things; other aspects of the faith are less clear, and it is probable that many barbaric practices accompanied the more benign beliefs.

“ . . . It seems obvious that a better understanding of a religious heritage preceding our own by so many thousands of years could offer us new insights into the nature of human experience.”

- That manufacturers, producers, and industrial workers are the moral equivalents of drug addicts: “Industrial civilisation’s great engines of distraction still seduce us with a promise of fulfillment. Our new power to work our will upon the world can bring with it a sudden rush of exhilaration, not unlike the momentary ‘rush’ experienced by drug addicts when a drug injected into their bloodstream triggers changes in the chemistry of the brain. But that exhilaration is fleeting; it is not true fulfillment. And the metaphor of drug addiction applies in another way too. Over time, a drug user needs a progressively larger dose to produce an equivalent level of exhilaration; similarly, our civilisation seems to require an ever increasing level of consumption.”



WHO/Gubb

*The deliberate policy of denying development to Africa is leading to epidemics, such as AIDS, which will, if unchecked, depopulate the continent, just as Prince Philip and his cohorts want. Here, a Tanzanian woman and her children remember the woman's husband, who died of AIDS.*

in which nation states have withered away in favor of supranational and global institutions. Advertised as the World's Greatest Summit, Rio was publicly described as a global negotiation to reconcile the need for environmental protection with the need for economic growth. The cognoscenti understood that there were other, deeper goals. *These involved the shift of national regulatory powers to vast regional authorities; the opening of all remaining closed national economies to multinational interests; the strengthening of decision making structures far above and far below the grasp of newly minted national democracies; and, above all, the integration of the Soviet and Chinese into the global market system*" (emphasis added).

It was Strong, who at this summit declared that industrialized nation-states, and in particular the United States, posed the greatest threat to humankind, and therefore, humanity had an obligation to destroy them:

"The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation. It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation states, however powerful. The global community must be assured of environmental security." Later he continued, "Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring [this] about?"

The 1990s were the period of Al Gore's treasonous Vice Presidency. Gore took advantage of this opportunity to push the same radical Malthusian policies he shared with Prince Philip. As Maurice Strong acknowledged, "On these issues

[of environmentalism] they are very much soul mates. [Their relationship is] one of mutual regard and respect. I would say its as close as it could be with personalities of that kind." Gore certainly demonstrated his desire to wipe out as much of humanity as possible; as when South African President Thabo Mbeki, to counter the decimating AIDS epidemic, announced that South Africa would produce generic versions of whatever AIDS and HIV medicines were available. Gore threatened Mbeki in person, that if Africans did not buy the impossibly expensive patented medications, South Africa would suffer the consequences. It was also Al Gore who authorized the bombing of a pharmaceutical plant in Sudan, which supplied that nation with 90% of its medicine, without any justification other than unsubstantiated claims that the plant was controlled by al-Qaeda. Protecting the environment was simply another means for Gore to pursue

his schemes for massive population reduction, all in the tradition of Julian Huxley, Fairfield Osborn, and the white supremacist families of the British Empire.

Gore continues to be a disaster for civilization: Everything that is wrong with this world is embodied in his porcine hulk. Anyone who supports or condones the policies of Al Gore, the IPCC, or the environmentalist movement, is supporting fascism and genocide on a heretofore unseen scale. If Al Gore and financiers such as Felix Rohatyn are not stopped; if the current batch of spineless populists in the Congress refuse to recognize that their lunatic policies will bring about a new dark age for the entire planet, then this civilization is doomed.

But, perhaps we can take Gore's advice on one point: Since, as LaRouche has noted, we have an atrocious "surplus" of oligarchs, while the Madagascar lemur colony *does* stand in desperate need of repopulating. . . .

## For Further Reading

For those interested in further research on the Gore file, *EIR's* website—[www.larouchepub.com](http://www.larouchepub.com)—has compiled the highlights of its more than 15 years of investigative reporting on the shocking philosophy, political connections, and activities of Albert Gore, Jr. Links to the original articles are available from the website.

# British Genocide, From Thatcher to Gore

by Anton Chaitkin

Prometheus explained his battle with the Olympian gods—known in history as the imperial ruling families, who wished the mass of men to live in darkness, or to be slaughtered and conquered by a “superior” race:

*As soon as he had seated himself on his father's throne, Zeus assigned to the deities their several privileges and apportioned unto them their proper powers. But of wretched mortals he took no heed, but desired to bring the whole race to nothingness and to create another, a new one, in its stead. Against this purpose none dared make stand save I myself—I only had the courage; I saved mortals. . . . I gave them fire.*

—Aeschylus, Prometheus Bound

*Mankind is a disease.*

—Sir Crispin Tickell, advisor to Margaret Thatcher

The global warming hoax was presented to the world in the 1970s, immediately following the end of a 30-year global cold spell. It was sold as a pretext for savage, genocidal policies that had long been promoted by the core British imperial leaders.

A decade later, these leaders guided United Kingdom Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher to adopt the scam as the theme of her government. She crusaded for it in summit conferences, wearing down the resistance in America and elsewhere. Then-Sen. Al Gore, a lifelong American asset of the British imperial financiers' apparatus, worked in tandem with London, badgering the U.S. government to accept this policy, to destroy industrial society and prevent the world's poor peoples from ever gaining scientific and political power.

## Thatcher, Tickell, and the Climate Hoax

Before there were Tony Blair and Gordon Brown, there was the “green grocer's daughter,” Maggie Thatcher, who was a pivotal early political pusher of the science hoax with genocidal intent.

At the very same moment that she enacted her financier sponsors' brutal privatization and deregulation schemes, they played up her Conservative affinity with U.S. President Ronald Reagan—and her bachelor's degree in chemistry as a science credential to peddle the global warming scam from her perch at 10 Downing Street.

Sir Crispin Tickell, Britain's ambassador to the United

Nations 1987-1990, counselled Thatcher to adopt the global warming hoax, and such related scams as the ozone hole, as the mission of her regime in the late 1980s. Tickell wrote her speeches on these subjects.

Thatcher poured funds for the study of Global Warming into the British Meteorological Office, headed by the Lothian-style “evangelical Christian,” Oxford teacher John Houghton.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was set up in 1988, with John Houghton chairing its scientific assessment office. Thatcher inserted the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research into John Houghton's Meteorological office in 1990. The IPCC reports, issued under Houghton's direction, would serve as the authority for Al Gore and other spokesmen for the global warming hoax. Houghton, a trustee of the Shell (Oil) Foundation, would help Prince Philip teach American Christians about genocidal anti-industrialism as “Creation Care.”

Pressing the “new,” but actually centuries-old agenda, in August 1988, Prince Philip told the Deutsche Press Agentur, “In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.” In his foreword to Fleur Cowle's 1987 book, *If I were an Animal*, Prince Philip had written, “What would be [an animal's] feelings toward the human species whose population explosion had denied it somewhere to exist? . . . I must confess that I am tempted to ask for reincarnation as a particularly deadly virus.”

On April 26, 1991, Prince Philip himself presided, as Sir Crispin Tickell delivered the annual lecture at St. George's Chapel at Windsor Castle, where the British monarchy worships. Sir Crispin attacked the Christian worldview of man in God's image, and asserted, “There is not the slightest prospect that living standards worldwide could rise to those of industrial countries,” since the “carrying capacity” of the Earth would only support 2.5 billion people—the whites?—at that living standard.

In 1990, Sir Crispin Tickell took over the presidency of the Washington-based Climate Institute. From cover provided by that putative American organization, Sir Crispin's Climate Institute immediately “organized climate change briefings for heads of state and cabinet ministers in 22 nations, and helped lay the groundwork for the signing of the Framework Convention on Climate Change in Rio de Janeiro in June 1992” (according to the boast on the Climate Institute website).

With Crispin Tickell at the UN, and then at the Climate Institute, the “green” Thatcherites bombarded the new George H.W. Bush Administration with the climate dogma. When Bush Sr. took initial steps to comply, Sen. Al Gore hectoring the Administration for not going fast enough; more steps ensued, and more hectoring.

At present, the planet is in fact being destroyed, by wars and globalist plundering, supervised by the alliance between British Prime Minister Tony Blair, and George W. Bush, who became President through Al Gore's disastrous misleadership





International Institute for Sustainable Development

*Leading the charge on the specific Global Warming hoax was Prime Minister Maggie Thatcher, who dragged President George Bush, Sr. in her wake. Writing her speeches was the forthright genocidalist Sir Crispin Tickell (inset).*



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

of the Democrats. Gore himself, now an official advisor to the British government, has been thrust upon the stage with their Global Warming fraud, to break the will of Americans to resist.

## Satan Takes a Bow

In an interview on BBC radio, broadcast April 7, 2004, Sir Crispin Tickell explained his views rather frankly, giving listeners an insight into how this had all happened, and what the world could expect from the Global Warming project.

Here is his personal background:

Tickell: The secretary of the London Zoo . . . happened to be a cousin. . . . And this same cousin made me a fellow of the London Zoo . . . when I was seven or eight years old, which meant I was able to go in the mornings and play with the chimps on Sundays. . . .

BBC: Now you come from an Anglo-Irish family. Your great-great-grandfather was Thomas H. Huxley—Aldous Huxley was in your background too. . . .

Tickell: Well T.H. Huxley was in many respects one of my heroes. Aldous was as well. In fact, I think if anybody had any influence on me during my adolescence, it was Aldous Huxley. [He] spoke about the secular versus the spiritual power. And I [was] staggered by what I heard, because he illuminated every aspect of this immensely complicated and still continuing problem. . . .

On directing the thinking of dull underlings:

BBC: You went to Westminster School, you went to Christ Church Oxford, you had a first class honours degree, you went to the Coldstream Guards. This is main line, heart-of-the-establishment background. . . .

Tickell: . . . I've always found that in order to persuade other people of something you have to speak their vocabulary. And so, whether I am an advisor to the Prime Minister, or successive Prime Ministers as I have been, I think it's very important that you should be able to express your thoughts in language that they can understand. . . .

[Later the interviewer returned to this topic.]

BBC: You persuaded Mrs. Thatcher to go to the United Nations and address them about the planet.

Tickell: I think I persuaded Mrs. Thatcher of the importance of climate change, and she took it up in a famous speech at the Royal Society in 1988. She came to the United Nations in 1989 and gave a speech on it. She attended the World Climate Conference with me in attendance in 1990. . . . And being the only scientist in her cabinet, she indeed felt that this was something that she could contribute. Indeed, I remember an all-day meeting

with her ministers, in which we discussed nothing else. So she grasped this issue. . . .

Tickell alluded with pride to his role in manipulating the Boomer Generation to crack up the structure of industrial society. It is eerily reminiscent of the satanic British strategist Jeremy Bentham back in 1789, steering the French Revolution to anarchist catastrophe:

BBC: Things were happening across Europe in the late '60s, and indeed over in the States. But Paris was somehow a particular point of the rebellions and student revolts. . . . How did you experience that?

Tickell: Well, I was the political officer in the British Embassy in Paris, and my job was to report on French politics. And so I had to know all the politicians, and of course the events of 1968 were critical in all respects. And I witnessed all those events from within. Harold Wilson was then Prime Minister, and he required a report to be sent back every night, and I remember walking down the street which led to the Embassy, usually at about 11 o'clock at night, climbing over the barricades, going into the Embassy, sending off my report on the day's proceedings. . . .

So you saw the cracking up, huge fissures developing in a very artificial structure. And looking round at our own society you realize how fragile in many respects it still is, and how something else could pull the rug from underneath, as for example, after any other form of intellectual or other earthquake. . . .

How he decided between Eugenics, and Climate Change, as a "science" career:

BBC: While you were leading this high profile life, . . .

your book *Climatic Change and World Affairs* was published in 1977. . . . Where did this book come from?

Tickell: When I went to Harvard on a sabbatical fellowship . . . I had before me two choices. One was to look into the question of human genetics, and whether there was anything in the way in which elites everywhere were formed, and the other was to look at climate. I had sight of some CIA papers, which showed that, at that time, the CIA were considering whether they should try and induce or withhold rainfall as a weapon of foreign policy. And I thought this was deeply shocking, so I thought it was worth looking at. . . .

On the value of mankind's hopes and ideals:

BBC: . . . [As] a leading member of the tribe . . . what do you believe the destiny of the planet and of mankind is?

Tickell: Well, mankind is a very small part of an enormous natural system. You can argue that in some respects, the proliferation of humans is a malignant maladaptation. . . . I think it's very useful that people should be able to take a space-man's view, and look at the Earth, and this very small animal species, which is proliferating upon it. . . . [Every] human being in a sense is a walking zoo. We are composed of bacteria. . . . We are indeed living zoos, walking zoos, talking to other walking, living zoos who are the other animals, or indeed the plants. . . .

[Since] the last Ice Age . . . there have been something like 30 urban societies, all of which have collapsed. . . . [We] are the inheritors of a civilisation, which is probably as feeble and transient as the other ones. . . .

For a world green police:

Tickell: When I was in the [United Nations] Security Council, I remember once proposing that we should set up [an] arrangement by which if one country damaged the interests of another through abuse of the environment, it would be a case that could be brought to the Security Council. But this caused a number of people's hair to stand on end, and nothing came of it. But I'd like to see . . . the development of a World Environment Agency to balance out the World Trade Organization. . . .

What is Mankind?

Tickell: Life on Earth will come to an end, because the Sun will eventually expand, and the Earth will be pushed out of its present orbit, further away. And the Sun . . . will absorb nearly everything there is and perhaps in another galaxy, in another solar system, in another star system, life may arise again. Of course, we don't know why life ever started. . . .

I think humanity, whatever it is, has a limited life. . . . As I said, we're a bit of a maladaptation, because we are taking the niches occupied by other living creatures, we are destroying other living creatures for our own purposes, and we are therefore rather like some species that has got out of control. It's like some disease that has taken over. . . .

## Is That Hitler In Your Garden? No, That's Al Gore

by Dean Andromidas

When Al Gore was Vice President, living at the mansion in the park of U.S. Naval Observatory, he embarked on a project to restore the grounds, especially the gardens and forest. An article describing this project at the time Gore was running for President, stated,

"Gore restored the rich diversity and lush beauty of his own backyard by yanking out *foreign exotica in favor of native plants*" (emphasis added; [www. homegardens.move.com](http://www.homegardens.move.com)). Treating plants as if they were unwanted foreigners from the "mixed races of the South" or other unwanted immigrants under orders from Gore, the gardeners "dug out all exotic plants," including such "invasive" immigrants as English ivy and garlic mustard from Europe and Kudzu from Japan.

Gore's interest in gardens comes from the same fascist roots as his lying CO<sub>2</sub> campaign that would have made Joseph Goebbels blush.

### Blood and Soil

A series of articles written by two German professors of landscape and gardening, Drs. Gert Gröning and Joachim Wolschke-Bulmahn\*, have exposed that the trend towards "ecological goodness" of so-called "nature gardens" or ecological gardens, free of "foreign exotica in favor of native plants," has its roots deep in blood-and-soil Romanticism that became the ideological foundation of the Nazi movement.

The promoters of "nature gardens" at the turn of the century in Germany, later, under the Nazis, became directly involved in the drafting of the notorious "Generalplan Ost," whose aim was to eliminate the unwanted Poles, Jews, and Slavs in the captured territories, and restore a so-called natural Germanic landscape for the German settlers. In addition to the removal of all non-Germans, all non-German plants were removed and replaced with what were at the time called "native plants." Those who began this revival of nature and ecological gardens in the 1970s and 1980s, as part of development of the fascist Green movement, referred directly to these very same Nazi gardeners who were involved in Generalplan Ost.

---

\*Joachim Wolschke Bulmahn: "The Search for 'Ecological Goodness' among Garden Historians;" Gert Gröning: "The Native Plant Enthusiasm: Ecological Panacea or Xenophobia;" Gert Gröning: "Ideological Aspects of Nature Garden Concepts in Late Twentieth Century Germany."

The only problem was, as the above two German professors have documented, that not only did they fail to write about the Nazi and racist ideology that went into these ideas, but they failed to mention the fact that these gardeners were card-carrying members of the Nazi Party. The two authors have not been able to get any of their well-documented articles published in Germany. Those that have been published in the U.S. have created a firestorm in the field, not to mention a lot of hate mail.

The authors point out that the very term “ecology” was coined by the German naturalist Ernst Haeckel in 1866. Haeckel was the principal promoter of the bestial theories of Charles Darwin in Germany, and was deployed against the tradition of Alexander Humboldt. There have been entire books relating the theories of Haeckel to those of the Nazis (cf. *Ernst Haeckel and the German Monist League: The Volkish Origins of National Socialism*).

Putting aside the fact that to eliminate “foreign plants” from Germany would mean the elimination of the potato and grapevine, the Aryan struggle for the true nature garden was every bit as brutish as the Nazi racism, bigotry, and brutality toward non-Aryans.

The German landscape architect Albert Kraemer wrote in 1936, Germans “still lack gardens that are race-specific, that have their origins in nationality and landscape, in blood and soil. Only our knowledge of the laws of the blood, and the spiritually inherited property and our knowledge of the conditions of the home soil and its plant world (plant sociology) enable and oblige us to design blood-and-soil rooted gardens.”

Gröning and Wolschke-Bulmahn write that a team of Nazi-era Saxon botanists equated “their fight against foreign plants with the fight of Nazi Germany against other nationals especially ‘against the plague of Bolshevism.’ The team demanded ‘a war of extermination’ against *impatiens parviflora*, a little herb that grows in lightly shaded areas in forests.” They quote these botanists again: “As with the fight against Bolshevism, our entire Occidental culture is at stake, so with the fight against this Mongolian invader, an essential element of this culture, namely, the beauty of our home forest” is at stake.

Key Nazi gardeners who have been cited by modern “nature gardeners” searching for “ecological goodness,” as Wolschke Bulmahn has written, include Willy Lange (1864-1941), who adopted his idea of a nature garden from the so-called English Garden or nature park of the 19th Century. Writing on garden architecture theory, Lange wrote in 1927 of the difference between the “metal state” of the South Alpine “Mediterranean mixed races” and their geometrical architectonic gardens, in contrast to the “reawakened race feeling” of the “originally unified Northern-Alpine Nordic race,” as expressed in the “nature garden” which necessarily draws its inspiration from the “natural” German landscape and its “native plants.” Lange accused those Germans who preferred the Renaissance-style geometrical gardens as having “per-

ished in the racial swamps of the South.”

Another is H.F. Wiepking-Jurgensmann who helped draft a proposed law on rules of Nazi landscape gardening for the Third Reich in 1944, “Only the existence of a race-specific environment . . . produces within us the best creative forces.” Under National Socialism he collaborated with war criminal Konrad Meyer, a professor of agronomy and a member of the SS, who headed the commission which drafted the Generalplan Ost. Meyer was put on trial at Nuremberg; although acquitted of crimes against humanity, he was found guilty of membership in a criminal organization. Nonetheless, both enjoyed successful post-war professional careers as the University of Hannover.

## U.S. Collaborators

This form of race gardening had its collaborators in the United States in the 1920s and 1930s. One was Jens Jensen, who was among the originators of the so-called “prairie school.” In 1937, Jens wrote that the American garden of the prairie school shall “express the spirit of America and therefore shall be free of foreign character as far as possible. . . . The Latin and the Oriental crept and creeps more and more over our land, coming from the South, which is settled by Latin people, and also from other centers of mixed masses of immigrants. The Germanic character of our race, of our cities and settlement was overgrown by foreign character. Latin spirit has spoiled a lot and still spoils things ever day.”

Since he was participating in the landscaping of state and national parks, Franklin D. Roosevelt’s Secretary of Interior Harold L. Ickes, denounced Jensen’s anti-Semitism and racial views. This has not stopped the fact that Jensen is still very popular among current ecological gardeners, although they always fail to report the racist and anti-Semitic foundation of his ideas.

In 1983, the 21st Congress of the International Federation of Landscape Architects wanted “nature to become the law,” and passed a resolution demanding that, “All humans need to be instructed that they are part of nature without mercy and without chance for escape, and above all are subject to her laws. . . .” You can be sure they were not discussing Leibnizian natural law.

By contrast, Gröning quotes Humboldt, who in *The Kosmos* counters this blood-and-soil approach to the study of nature: “Deceived, we believed to receive from the environment (*Aussenwelt*) what we have put into ourselves.” Later on in his remarks on “Stimuli for Nature Studies,” he wrote, “This is why it belongs to the most beautiful fruit of the European education of nations that man has enabled himself to create part of the pleasures of nature nearly everywhere he feels threatened by deprivation, by means of cultivating and grouping exotic plants, by the magic of landscape painting, and via the power of stimulating words, which otherwise is granted by real experience on faraway, often dangerous journeys through the inner parts of continents.”

# A Chronology of the Global Warming Swindle

by Marcia Merry Baker

The current global warming mania is the consequence of a heavily-funded, more than 30-year-long swindle, launched by leading Anglo-Dutch oligarchs, their London and Wall Street bankers and raw material cartel bosses, corrupted pseudo-scientists, and Counterculture zealots, to sell genocide, on the basis of an Armageddonist scare story about ozone holes, melting polar ice caps, and dying pregnant polar bears.

The seeds of the present hoax were laid in the immediate post-World War II period, when leading British oligarchs like Julian Huxley, Bertrand Russell, Sir Peter Scott, and the British and Dutch Royal Consorts, Prince Philip and Prince Bernhard, launched the ecology/conservation movement as an explicit successor to the Nazi-tainted eugenics movement. Subsequently, the currently unraveling global warming hoax, as detailed in the chronology below, was launched as part of the 1970s, post-Bretton Woods System drive to deindustrialize and depopulate the planet, in league with allied predatory speculators who revamped the world monetary system to loot the world.

With the drive in recent years to create a new global financial bubble, based on the global-warming hoax of “carbon emission swaps,” the two coordinated elements of the oligarchical assault on the nation-state system have fused into one final mad leap into a New Dark Age.

### 1945-1969

**1946:** Sir Julian Huxley, Vice President of Britain’s Eugenics Society from 1937 to 1944, became Director-General of the new United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO).

**1948:** The Conservation Foundation was established in Washington, D.C., by leaders of the Hitler-era European-based eugenics and nature societies. Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, in particular, played a leading role. The first CF director was Fairfield Osborn (1887-1969), whose father Henry Fairfield Osborn, was a leader of the 1920s U.S. Eugenics Society. The book, *Our Plundered Planet*, published in London (Faber & Faber), was released in 1948 by Fairfield Osborn.

**1948:** The International Union for the Protection of Na-

ture was founded near Paris.

**1952:** The Population Council was founded in the United States, at a meeting including Fairfield Osborn, and his relative, Frederick Henry Osborn, the eugenicist; principal funding was from the John D. Rockefeller Foundation.

**1953:** The book *The Limits of the Earth*, by Fairfield Osborn was released.

**1956:** The International Union for the Protection of Nature was renamed International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN—The World Conservation Union), which in the 1970s became a keystone of global action.

**1961:** The World Wildlife Fund is formed, Sept. 11, in Morges, Switzerland by Sir Julian Huxley and others including Britain’s Prince Philip, devoted to using “ecology” to cull the human herd. WWF’s first president is Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, succeeded in 1976 by John Loudon, former CEO of Royal Dutch Shell, and chairman of Shell Oil Co. Prince Philip served as president from 1981 to 1996. The WWF office in Washington, D.C. adjoined the Conservation Foundation, whose new president in 1961 was William K. Reilly, a protégé of the genocidal environmentalists Russell Train and Maurice Strong.

**1962:** *Silent Spring* by Rachel Carson, was released, warning of global pollution from DDT and other chemicals.

**1968:** UNESCO (Julian Huxley) Biosphere Conference was held in Paris, titled, “The Intergovernmental Conference of Experts on the Scientific Basis for Rational Use and Conservation of the Resources of the Biosphere.” It was a turning point in the furtherance of supra-government action to limit population in the name of the planetary resource base.


**1968:** Club of Rome was founded in April, to promote the thesis that economic growth and population could not continue indefinitely because of the limits of resources.

### 1970-1979

**1970:** Earth Day, in April, was launched as an international environmental quasi-religious event, under the auspices of Maurice Strong, Robert O. Anderson and other “corporate” environmentalists.

**1971:** On Aug. 15, President Richard Nixon announced the decoupling of the U.S. Dollar from a gold-pegged fixed exchange rate, thus completing the breakup of the Bretton Woods System of Franklin Roosevelt, and ushering in decades of unbridled speculation and global deindustrialization and depopulation. The Nixon announcement, engineered by George Shultz, Henry Kissinger, and Paul Volcker, was part of a London-led assault on the FDR monetary system, an assault that had begun with British Prime Minister Harold Wilson’s decoupling of the pound sterling from gold in the mid-1960s.

**1972:** The “Blueprint for Survival” manifesto was signed by 36 of Britain’s nature activists, and was published in *The*



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

*The depopulation lobby ran a mass propaganda campaign starting in the 1970s, to get the Baby Boomers to support their cause. Here, a Boomer addresses an Earth Day celebration in New York City in 1980.*

*Ecologist*, Teddy Goldsmith's journal. It warned of the "breakdown of society and irreversible disruption of life-supporting systems on this planet," and called for a steady-state society.

**1972:** The book *Limits to Growth* was released by the Club of Rome, sales of which came later to 30 million copies, making it the most-sold environmentalist book in history. It was written by Dennis and Donella Forester, in coordination with Dennis Meadows, based on computerized global modeling. It came to the conclusion that within 100 years, the limits to growth on Earth would be reached, because of present population, food, resources, and pollution trends.

**1972:** In Stockholm, Sweden, a United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, was chaired by Maurice Strong of Canada, who became Executive Director of the newly formed United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). A simultaneous Environment Forum was a major step in recognizing the role of NGOs—non-governmental organizations, and set a precedent for non-governmental international meetings.

**1974:** The first United Nations World Population Conference, was held in Bucharest, Romania, with 135 nations participating.

**1974:** On Dec. 10, then-U.S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger writes the National Security Study Memorandum 200 (NSSM-200), "Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security Overseas Interests," citing limited resources as the pretext for targetting 13 developing countries for drastic population reduction.

**1977:** The book *Climatic Change and World Affairs*, was

published (Harvard: 1991; Pergamon: London, 1978) by Sir Crispin Tickell, serving in many capacities for the British government over the 1950s through the 1990s.

**1979:** March 16. The movie "China Syndrome" was released worldwide with great fanfare. The story revolved around safety coverups at a U.S. nuclear power plant, and promoted the idea of nuclear Armageddon—millions of deaths, if nuclear energy were to continue.

**1979:** On March 28, the partial meltdown incident of the Three Mile Island nuclear plant in Pennsylvania, became the capstone on the globalist "environmentalist" anti-population drive to stop the development of nuclear power, which also stopped the prospects for new water resources, etc. In the ensuing period, dozens of orders for new nuclear plants were cancelled, and unfinished projects suspended.

**1979:** The World Climate Conference on the "greenhouse effect" held in Geneva, Switzerland, concluded that the increased build-up of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere required international action to mitigate. Its sponsor was the World Meteorological Organization, with certain British scientists as the leading advocates.

**1979:** In May, Margaret Thatcher became Prime Minister. Among the first actions of her new government, was to reject the recommendation of a Commission on the Environment to take sanitation precautions for the livestock feed supply; they feared a spread of then-prevalent sheep TSE—transmissible spongiform encephalopathy. By 1986, the dreaded species jump to cows had occurred, called BSE—mad cow disease, and continued to epidemic proportions under Thatcher's no-government-regulation regime.



UN Photo/Mark Garten

*Canadian Maurice Strong, a close associate of Gore, has been a leading player in developing the Global Warming hoax, especially the swindle side, through his official positions, such as his role as head of the UN Environmental Programme. He is on the board of the Chicago Climate Exchange.*

## 1980-1989

**1980:** Thatcher began a “free-market” program of privatizing government assets and state-run industries.

**1980:** The “World Conservation Strategy” was released by Maurice Strong’s UNEP, the World Wildlife Fund, and the International Union for Conserving Nature. It called for “global coordinated efforts” for “*sustainable development*”—the first time that this latter term is used in an international document. It called for international “will and determination” to limit human activity that was endangering the planet.

**1980:** *The Global 2000 report to the President* was presented to Pres. Jimmy Carter. It got wide publicity for demanding “vigorous and determined new initiatives” to deal with environmental deterioration of “alarming proportions.”

**1982:** The UN “Charter for Nature” was passed by the UN General Assembly. It was prepared by the International Union for Conservation of Nature.

**1982:** The World Resources Institute was founded, in association with the United Nations, as the “authority” on world data gathering on pollution, population, water, and all resources. Maurice Strong was on the Board of Directors. Al Gore joined the Board in 2005.

**1983-90:** Sir John Houghton headed the British Meteorological Office, furthering the global warming hoax, and briefing Margaret Thatcher on the “science.”

**1984:** Thatcher defeated a 12-month coal strike, during which time coal had been discussed as a fossil fuel needing to be phased out for “environmental” reasons.

**1985:** British scientists announced their discovery that an ozone “hole” exists over the Arctic, which needs to be less-

ened by mitigating ozone-destroying chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs).

**1985:** The U.S. World Wildlife Fund merged with the Conservation Foundation, whose head, William K. Reilly, then headed the new group, Worldwide Fund for Nature.

**1985:** The World Resources Institute organized the first international meeting on the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> and other greenhouse gases on climate.

**1986:** The World Resources Institute released its first annual “World Resources” book, which features a section on global warming, thereafter covered yearly in detail.

**1988:** On Sept. 27 Thatcher issued the clarion call for reducing human activity because of global warming (see accompanying article).

**1988:** The United Nations commissioned the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, which became the leading voice for insisting on the danger of global warming.

**1989:** The new George Bush government pressed forward with assertions about the danger of global warming, which had been started under the auspices of the Thatcher government. William K. Reilly of the Worldwide Fund for Nature becomes head of the Environmental Protection Agency.

## 1990-1999

**1990:** Thatcher opened the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research. Its specialty is super-computer models of global climate change, and it became a center for the science concoctions of the IPCC.

**1990:** The Climate Change Research Act was enacted by the Bush government. It furthered the global warming campaign in the United States.

**1990:** The Clean Air Act was enacted by the Bush government. It created ways to tax emissions and other mechanisms both to curb economic activity, and also to further eventual profiteering from these hoaxes. Natural gas got a big boost as a clean air fuel.

**1990:** CERES was founded, as a “national network of investors, environmental organizations, and other public interest groups working with companies and investors to address sustainability challenges such as global climate change” (from [www.ceres.org](http://www.ceres.org)). Launched the “Global Reporting Network,” as an international standard for “green investment.”

**1990:** Maurice Strong, Secretary General of UNCED, appointed Stephen Schmidheiny as his adviser for business and industry, and the latter then created the WBCSD (next item).

**1991:** On April 12, the World Business Council for Sustainable Development was established, “to get business involved in the Rio Earth Summit. . .” ([www.wbcsd.org](http://www.wbcsd.org)).

**1991:** *Caring for the Earth: A Strategy for Sustainable Living*, was released as a sequel to the 1980 “World Conservation Strategy” by the Worldwide Fund for Nature, the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, and

the UN Environmental Programme. It set forth 132 actions required to halt the destruction of the earth's capacity to support life.

**1992:** *Earth in the Balance* was released by Al Gore, elected as Vice President that year. He remained active on the global warming issue, though at a subdued level, at the request of Administration handlers.

**1992:** Rio conference, the "Earth Summit." The U.S. delegation is led by William K. Reilly, formerly Bush's EPA director, and thence WWF—U.S. office, director again. Discussion included global warming, and a detailed plan of action was issued, *Agenda 21*.

**1992:** The UNCTAD report on international emissions trading was released. It was coauthored by Dr. Richard L. Sandor, a Director of the Chicago Board of Trade, and specialist in designing instruments for speculation.

**1994:** The UN International Conference on Population and Development, was held in Cairo, in September. The meeting adopted more far-ranging support for population reduction than any previous commitment.

**1997:** The Kyoto Protocol came out of the December meeting in Japan, which was the third meeting of the Conference of Parties to the UN Climate Change Convention. The landmark agreement was to reduce global emissions of greenhouse gases.

**1999:** The International Emissions Trading Association was formed, "from the UNCTAD (UN Conference on Trade and Development) policy forums on greenhouse gas emissions trading. It was started through the cooperation of UNCTAD and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)." (INTA website)

## 2000-2007

**2002:** The UN World Summit on Sustainable Development was held in Johannesburg, South Africa, to assess the global situation. Many nations balked at submitting to NGO dominance.

**2002:** U.S.A.—National Commission on Energy Policy was formed, with 20 members, including Wm. K. Reilly and James Woolsey.

**2002:** July 14-17. Oxford University hosted Forum 2002, a global warming event that drew in American Evangelical Christians, including Rev. Richard Cizik, Vice President of the National Association of Evangelicals. Cizik is transformed into a "born again" environmentalist under the personal tutelage of Sir John Houghton, Margaret Thatcher's Meteorology Office chief.

**2003:** October. UK Carbon Trust, a quasi-governmental organization in Britain, launched its "Carbon Management Programme." ICF International was subsequently commissioned to push for de-carbonizing.

**2003:** In November, the Institutional Investor Summit on Climate Risk took place at the UN, at which the INCR was launched—Investor Network on Climate Risk. Institutional

members manage over \$3 trillion in assets.

**2003:** The Chicago Climate Exchange was started up as a pilot project to trade units of greenhouse gas credits. The CCX is owned by the Climate Exchange Plc group, which in turn owns the European Climate Exchange. Maurice Strong is on the CCX Board. CCX founder and chairman is Dr. Richard L. Sandor, considered a father of the futures trade.

**2003:** The Northeast states commit to an electricity CO<sub>2</sub> trade bloc. New York gets nine other states to commit.

**2003-2007:** Senators John McCain (R) and Joseph Lieberman (D) filed carbon-cap-and-trade bills.

**2004:** The John Ray Initiative, chaired by Sir John Houghton and the Shell Foundation hosted "Science, Ethics and Christian Belief," which further linked American right-wing Evangelical Christians into the global warming hoax.

**2004:** In April. Generation Investment Management, was created by Al Gore and David Blood, headquartered in London and Washington, D.C. Three of the five founding partners were from Goldman Sachs.

**2004:** In the United States, in December. the National Commission on Energy Policy (NCEP) report, "Ending the Energy Stalemate: A Bipartisan Strategy to meet America's Energy Challenge" was released. Three co-chairmen—Wm. K. Reilly, John Rowe—chairman of Exelon, the mega-utility owning 30% of all U.S. nuclear plants; and John Holdren, now head of AAAS, who is very anti-nuclear. Reilly said: "We propose mandatory [carbon] intensity-based limits."

**2005:** In January, the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme started up in Europe with 25 EU member nations.

**2005:** March. Rev. Jim Ball's Evangelical Environmental Network sponsored an American tour by Sir John Houghton, including a briefing to the National Association of Evangelicals. The Evangelical Environmental Network included such fundamentalist groups as Youth With a Mission (YWAM), World Vision, and the Wisconsin-based Au Sable Institute, which is the American affiliate of Houghton's John Ray Initiative, and which co-sponsored the Forum 2002 at Oxford.

**2005:** On May 10, the 2nd Institutional Investor Summit on Climate Risk took place at the UN in NYC, Al Gore spoke, as chairman of the Generation Investment Management. The summit was held by CERES/INCR Investment Network on Climate Risk (INCR).

**2005:** In June, Paul Wolfowitz became head of World Bank. He started work on global carbon trade. (more below)

**2005:** Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research, Exeter, U.K., hosted an international conference, "Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change."

**2005:** July 21 Sir John Houghton testifies on Global Warming before the U.S. Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, having been invited to come from England by Chairman Pete Domenici and Ranking Democrat Jeff Bingaman.

**2006:** In April, at Oxford, in the UK. Al Gore and David Blood addressed an annual conference held by the Skoll Centre at Oxford University, on the topic of the benefits of green investments. The Skoll Centre is funded by Jeff Skoll, E-Bay billionaire, whose company Participant Productions, financed Gore's 2006 "An Inconvenient Truth."

**2006:** In May, "An Inconvenient Truth" docudrama of Gore is released in U.S.A.; over the next seven months, it was released in 36 nations. His book of same name was also released over the Summer.

**2006:** In midsummer, televangelist Pat Robertson warned viewers that global warming could destroy the Earth.

**2006:** October. Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger issued Executive Order S-20-06 assigning his newly-created "Air Resources Board to develop a multi-sector, market-based compliance system that could permit trading between the European Union Trading System and the Northeast Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative [10 state entity] and others." He commissioned the creation of a "market Advisory Committee of national and international experts to advise on implementing this (March 15 testimony to House Energy Committee by Schwarzenegger's Environmental Secretary Linda Adams). Arnie's chief environmental advisor is Jonathan Pershing of the WRI.

**2006:** In March, the CERES report "Corporate Governance and Climate Change: Making the Connection," was issued.

**2006:** In July. World Bank head Wolfowitz merged two departments (Environmentally and Socially Sustainable Development, and Infrastructure), into one, under which a "Carbon Finance Organization," was set up. It is managed by the Bank's Director of Environment, James Warren Evans. He and his Carbon Finance agency joined up with the International Emissions Trading Organization to run an annual Carbon Exposition in Cologne, Germany. In 2007 it will take place on May 2-4.

**2006:** In August, a CERES report "From Risk to Opportunity: How Insurers Can Proactively and Profitably Manage Climate Change," was issued.

**2006:** The European Carbon Fund (ECF) was started up in Europe, based in Luxembourg, for carbon trading, formed by "bankers and insurers." Three "expert" advisers—William K. Reilly; Brice Lalonde, longtime French Environmental Minister, head of the French chapter of Friends of the Earth, etc.; and Enrico "Chicco" Testa, of Italy. Testa is member of the board of Rothschild Italy; member of European Advisory Board of The Carlyle Group; part of Board of Directors of Lloyd Adriatico (Allianz Group).

N.B. Testa was Chairman of the organizers for the Nov. 11-15 World Energy Council meeting in Rome, the 20th World Energy Congress. It takes place every 3 years, involving 90 countries, "The Energy Future In An Interdependent World."

**2006:** Oct. 30, *Stern Review* was released in the UK, by Sir Nicholas Stern, on the topic of the economic response to climate change. At the press conference releasing the report, British Treasury Minister Gordon Brown announced that the Blair government had "hired" Al Gore to be an advisor on global warming. As part of the deal, the British government intended to make the showing of Gore's "An Inconvenient Truth" mandatory in all British secondary schools.

**2007:** On Jan. 22, The United States Climate Action Program (USCAP) announced its formation in Washington, D.C. It is comprised of 10 major companies.

**2007:** On Feb. 13-15, the GLOBE event took place in Washington, D.C. (Global Legislators Organization for a Balanced Environment, a British-founded group.) McCain spoke, pitching his bill calling for limits to greenhouse gases, and cap-and-trade of CO<sub>2</sub>. Nicholas Stern, David Miliband, Environmental Minister of the UK, and many others spoke. The latter promoted emissions trading (and taxes and regulation, etc.)

**2007:** On Feb. 14. Paul Wolfowitz spoke on global warming in Washington D.C., claiming that developed nations can account for some \$100 billion in flow to the under-developed sector, in carbon-reducing schemes. Wolfowitz pledged to push for a \$200 billion global-carbon-swaps trading system within a year. The World Bank operates a Carbon Finance Unit (CFU); see [www.carbonfinance.org](http://www.carbonfinance.org).

**2007:** On Feb. 15. the Royal Dutch Shell CEO called for global carbon cap-and-trade. Jeroen Ven der Veer, Chief Exec: "The best news would be to get more cap and trade schemes around the world and merge them post 2012 (when the Kyoto Protocol on curbing greenhouse gas emissions expires)," he told Reuters. "For more impact, the system must be more global."

**2007:** On March 15. the OECD and the World Resources Institute held an event, "From Kyoto to Chicago" in Washington, D.C., which plugged carbon cap-and-trade. Brice Lalonde (adviser to European Carbon Fund) was featured, and Schwarzenegger's Jonathan Pershing (WRI).

**2007:** March 19. INCR lobbied Congress.

**2007:** March 20. USCAP members Duke Energy and PNM Resources reiterated their support for cap-and-trade of emissions, to House Energy Committee hearing.

**2007:** March 20. The "Safe Climate Act" was introduced into the House by Henry Waxman. It has provision for cap-and-trade of emissions.

**2007:** March 21. Al Gore told Joint Hearing of House Science and Energy Committees, "Put a price on carbon." In response to a question from Roscoe Bartlett (R-Md.), Gore said, "As soon as carbon has a price, you are going to see a wave [of investment] in it." There will be "unchained investment." Gore said that Morgan Stanley last week just concluded a transaction involving CO<sub>2</sub> in 2012—and there still isn't even a mandatory system yet, he glowed.