

Mexican LYM: Use 'Nuclear Option' To Stop Fascism

The policy statement excerpted here was released by the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM) of Mexico on Feb. 7:

No, not a nuclear *bomb*. *Nuclear energy*.

In late January, Mexico's Energy Minister announced that the Fox government would promote the building of a single, new nuclear energy plant in the country, in a location to be decided before Fox leaves office in December 2006.

The LaRouche Youth Movement of Mexico does not think that we should be building *one* nuclear plant: We need 20! We have to return to the nation-building policies of ex-President José López Portillo, including building 20 nuclear energy plants, dozens of new industrial cities especially near the coastline, and in general exchanging our oil for advanced technology. We have to rapidly industrialize, achieve food self-sufficiency, and—most important of all—create millions of new productive jobs, and educate and train the new generations of young Mexicans for them, so that our nation's most valuable resource, its people, stay at home to contribute to national development.

Ya basta with the brain-drain, where our population is being dumped into slave labor conditions in the United States!

Such a nuclear-centered development program is the

key to Mexico's 2006 Presidential elections. This is the opportunity for Mexico to end the nightmare of the last two decades of neo-liberal economic policies; to drive all vestiges of synarchism from national politics and return to its republican roots; and to resume its rightful, historical role as a leader in Ibero-America. This is the opportunity to put an end to the fascist economic policies of the synarchist international bankers globally. . . .

Why Nuclear?

Natural gas is fine. Hydroelectric plants are okay. But the only path to true energy independence and technological advance is *nuclear energy*. . . .

But there is a deeper reason for going nuclear. When we choose an energy source, the critical consideration is what the physical economist Lyndon LaRouche has called "energy flux density." This means that the way the source of energy is *organized*—its density of economic application—is as important as the absolute amount. For example, it is not the same thing to have 60 kilowatts of energy in the form of a thousand 60-watt light bulbs, as it is to organize those same 60 kilowatts in the form of a *laser beam*. The laser can do *work* that a thousand light bulbs cannot. (It's sort of like the difference between having a real President, versus a dim bulb, in the Presidential palace.). . .

Lyndon LaRouche and José López Portillo were right—and Mexicans should have the courage to admit it. We have been on the wrong path for the last 25 years, and 2006 is the year to change that. Stop acting like Sancho Panza: Only a burro refuses to budge, when his actions for the last 25 years have proven to be a mistake.