

IN THESE *NEW YORK TIMES*

President George W. Nero

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

August 6, 2006

Everywhere, in the signs from Southwest Asia and the U.S. and European financial markets, we are seeing, without the slightest cause for equivocation, the announcement of the end, not of history, but of the legend of Francis Fukuyama. The present signs of that are now rising almost everywhere.

So, the U.S.A.'s now proverbial President George W. Nero has not actually even earned the dignity of bearing the blame for the catastrophe which his actions have already unleashed upon Trans-Atlantic society as a whole. Like a disease, the portion of blame he bears for the effects of his reign, lies not in his virtually non-existent foresights, but in the grave faults of his personal character. He is merely one among the many silly, if nasty fools who played the part assigned to asses like themselves. So, it could be said of all of the putative leading incumbent political authorities of the U.S.A. today—and of western and central Europe, as it was said to Shakespeare's Brutus by Cassius: "The fault, dear Brutus, lies not in our stars, but in ourselves, that we are underlings." Thus, true to the evil tradition of ancient imperial Rome, half-witted George W. Bush, Jr. is the stand-in for Nero on this occasion. Many of our leaders in the Senate, and elsewhere, have also behaved of late as underlings.

In what popular opinion usually mistakes for the historically important figures of these nations today, such putative leaders of ours are, lately, fiercely committed to going to Hell. We saw this in the Senate's tolerant complicity in the rape of the strategically crucial U.S. machine-tool sector and its economic sovereignty by Synarchist Felix Rohatyn. As Cassius warned Brutus: like fabled lemmings, those dedicated underlings of the Senate membership, have nearly doomed themselves, and all of us, too, by their silly worship of the

popular tradition which their habituated underling's style of shared, go-along-to-get-along belief in ignorant popular, middle-class Baby-Boomer opinion's blindly ignorant faith in statistical fate, has inflicted upon us all. Like underlings, those members, and we their victims alike, are, momentarily, virtually doomed, like a legendary Croesus, as if by the Pythian Delphic Apollo cult of the "dirty, lousy crooks" of the Democratic Leadership Council (DLC).

The worst of it all, is that most among us, including most putative political leaders of today, accept that faith which is fit only for the legendary underlings. They chant such pitiable litanies as, "You can't put the toothpaste back in the tube." So, they chant, over and over, marching, with an hysterical glint in their eyes, along what is for them the dusty road of "our tradition," moving always toward the same Hell which ancient Sophist's Athens of ancient Pericles brought upon itself in its time.

These critical developments are deadly, but they are not the end of history; they define a critical point of radical changes in the course of history. For example:

Global Asymmetric Warfare

Take the increasing Hellish situation now spreading like a pandemic in Southwest Asia, and beyond.

During the past week's Senate hearings of the testimony of the Defense Department's Donald Rumsfeld, et al., one important statement of true facts from the professional military stands out as of crucial historical strategic significance, a point missed by most of the world's habitually stupid, currently leading press.

The testimony from among the professional serving generals, converged upon a discussion of qualitatively significant changes in the military situation on the ground in Iraq. This



arttoday.com

“True to the evil tradition of ancient imperial Rome, half-witted George W. Bush, Jr. is the stand-in for Nero (above) on this occasion,” says LaRouche.



White House photo/Eric Draper

discussion was relevant in itself; but, those facts, while true, miss the crucial point. The problem in Iraq today, is that the situation in the entire region is characterized by a qualitative shift from conventional warfare, so-called, into a different phase, not merely elements of asymmetric warfare, but the generalized asymmetric warfare for which the Bush Administration’s Defense Department, and, also, the overwhelming majority in the Congress, are hopelessly unprepared.

This is the change toward what I treated, together with relative other varieties of specialists, under the rubric of “irregular warfare,” during the course of the 1980s. At that time, I warned that the combined failure of the Soviet official Andropov, with our parties, to accept President Ronald Reagan’s proffer of a discussion of what the President named “A Strategic Defense Initiative,” confronted the world as a whole, with the inevitability of either general thermonuclear warfare during the course of, probably, sometime during the 1980s, or, in the alternative, a breakdown of the Soviet system during the same approximate time-frame, or the shift of the world as a whole toward an increasing role of the “irregular warfare” which Soviet usage named asymmetric warfare. As usual in matters of long-range forecasting, I was right, and all my opponents in this matter, from both the former western and eastern sides of that 1980s matter, have been proven totally mistaken in the method of their strategic thinking.

Such a qualitative change as echoed in the Senate hearing, is implicit in the aftermath of the earlier commitments, to Bertrand Russell’s 1940s doctrine of “preventive nuclear attacks” on the Soviet Union, and the shift of the Russellites, during the 1960s to “mutual and assured thermonuclear de-

struction.” In short, after the collapse of the Soviet Union, regular warfare could be fought by former U.S. allies only to the extent that the military power of Russia and China consented to limiting conflict within non-nuclear bounds of 1980s treaty-agreements on nuclear-weapons proliferation.

By breaking the essential political preconditions for continued agreement on those treaties, and aiming to crush Russia and its near-abroad, China, et al., the Anglo-American, pro-imperial (i.e., pro-globalization) financier interests of the Anglo-Dutch-Liberal-Synarchist cabal have created the axiomatic preconditions for global asymmetric warfare.

The changes in economic and monetary-financial policies, introduced jointly by the U.S. and British governments of the 1970s and 1980s, have destroyed the potential for durable peace, through the effects of the post-1971-1981 shift to a radically “free trade” form of “floating-exchange-rate” world monetary system. This change introduced a fresh, pro-imperialist, impulse toward eliminating the Franklin Roosevelt design of a Treaty of Westphalia-based world system of cooperation based upon principles of physical progress of nations, per capita and per square kilometer, under sovereign nation-state economy.

We must see this development as rooted in the post-1945, pro-imperialist change from Westphalian principles of international law, as rooted in the global utopian conceptions of H.G. Wells and his accomplice and nuclear-war architect Bertrand Russell. The successful assassination of President John F. Kennedy, combined with the targeting of France’s President Charles de Gaulle and the targeting for early ouster of de Gaulle’s partner Konrad Adenauer, were important stepping-

stones toward the radical destruction of both the Bretton Woods system and the Roosevelt revival of the U.S. economy, set into full motion during the 1970-1981 interval.

The drive toward post-industrial utopias and related cultural and economic wrecking-games over the course of the recent three and a half decades, has created a deep cleavage of the quality of common interest on which peaceful cooperation among nations depends. The present George W. Bush, Jr. Administration has merely carried such germs of global asymmetric warfare to a state of ripeness at which either that trend is repudiated and dismembered now, or the presently imminent spread of asymmetric warfare into a global form of nuclear and other special kinds of weapons blended with unmitigated asymmetric conflict will blend with the onrushing, chain-reaction collapse of the world economy as a whole, a collapse which would spread rapidly from the Trans-Atlantic realm and Southwest Asia, spreading like that of Europe's Fourteenth Century, chain-reaction-style, throughout the world as a whole.

Meanwhile, the world economy in its present form, is at the stage of a chain-reaction collapse. The present world monetary-banking system is hopelessly bankrupt, as only wild-eyed liars and kindred morons and lunatics would still deny.

There is, in short, no way, in which the current trends in world policy, in the U.S.A., or in Europe, can continue without bringing on the early general collapse of civilization as a whole.

The military position of the U.S., and of Israel, in Southwest Asia, is presently utterly hopeless. Get out. Get out now. And bring in an entirely new policy, under which Israel and others submit to the reality that only a Westphalian alternative exists as viable.

History, as conceived by Francis Fukuyama and his like, is now dead. It is time to replace the dead with those who represent a living new, future history for all mankind.

All those who attempt to interpret current trends from a different standpoint than I emphasize here, will continue to be failures in assessment of the most crucial of the global strategic parameters.

HOTLINE

**LaRouche and EIR Staff
Recorded Briefings**

—24 Hours Daily

918-222-7201, Box 595

In Your Guts, You Know He's Nuts

by Jeffrey Steinberg

The word is circulating in high-level Republican Party circles that former President George H.W. Bush is profoundly worried about the mental state of his son, the current President. According to the sources, Bush 41 has been communicating with his own intimate circle, including former National Security Advisor Brent Scowcroft, and former Secretary of State James Baker III, along with former President Bill Clinton, about G.W.'s over-the-top support for Israel's current self-destructive assault on Lebanon. The ex-President has reportedly conveyed to his close associates that he fears that G.W. is in a messianic state and is "unreachable," even by such close advisors as Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice. *Insight* magazine, the online publication of the *Washington Times*, buttressed this account, reporting in early August that, for the first time, a rift has developed between Rice and President Bush, over the President's one-sided support for Israel, in the ongoing Israeli Defense Forces invasion of Lebanon.

The former Presidents—Bush and Clinton—who have worked together on post-Katrina humanitarian relief efforts and other projects, are reportedly attempting to encourage President Bush to take the diplomatic high ground, and avoid further bloodshed in Lebanon, which could spill over into an out-of-control "clash of civilizations" conflict, engulfing much of the planet.

Unfortunately for the Bush Family legacy, and for the fate of the United States and the world at large, there is scant evidence that the President is in the least interested in returning from the Far Side. If anything, his performance during an Aug. 7 press conference with Rice at Crawford, Texas, convinced many previously hopeful observers that George W. Bush is suffering from what some would dare to call "absolute insanity."

To be sure, the notorious sociopath, Vice President Dick Cheney, has been one of the principal Bush Administration architects of Israel's no-holds-barred invasion of Lebanon, and has been waging a behind-the-scenes war with an ever-more inept and frustrated Condi Rice, over the issue. And, as even the *New York Times* noted on Aug. 10, National Security Council Near East chief Elliott Abrams, one of the leading "Clean Break" neo-cons remaining in the Bush White House inner circle, has been Cheney's mole, shadowing Rice to Israel and Lebanon, and reporting back to the Vice President's office, to make sure that the Israeli hardliners prevail, even if it means they steer the Jewish State

into a suicidal Thirty Years' War misadventure across their northern border.

Sources close to the White House have been reporting for several months that Cheney has been waiting in the wings for Rice's diplomacy to hit a brick wall. At that point, the sources report, Cheney intends to step back into the limelight, and press President Bush to order military action against Iran. Plans have been on the drawing board at the Strategic Command for a year or more, for vigorous air strikes against as many as 40 sites inside Iran, said by the Cheney faction to be part of an underground nuclear weapons program.

Furthermore, the administration has been in talks with European NATO allies and Israel over the need to "take out" Iran's alleged asymmetric warfare asset, Hezbollah, prior to any direct confrontation with Tehran. From Cheney's point of view, the now ongoing Israeli incursion into Lebanon, which is moving as of this writing, into a fullscale ground invasion, is a critical first step towards a military confrontation with Iran, that, he hopes, will result in regime-change in Tehran. David Frum, the former Bush speechwriter who authored the now infamous "Axis of Evil" State of the Union address in January 2002, told the McClatchy newspapers that, "The reason he's [President Bush] been giving the green light

to Israel in southern Lebanon is he's heading toward a confrontation with Iran. Hezbollah is Iran's strongest weapon. That's what this is about—the United States benefits from taking away Iran's weapon."

Bush Eyes Armageddon

But for all of their imperial fantasies, Cheney—and, perhaps, even Abrams—are driven by real-world considerations like oil geopolitics, and the larger Synarchist drive to foment perpetual asymmetric warfare all across Eurasia, to shatter the Westphalian nation-state system. They are sickos to be sure, but not religious zealots, caught up in End Times fantasies and God complexes. Bush, on the other hand, is believed by some close observers to be in a paranoid, megalomaniacal state, in which he harbors Armageddonist delusions that God personally intervened to place him in the Presidency, to support Israel in the present bloody fiasco in Lebanon. If these reports have even a shred of truth behind them, the U.S.A., Israel, and the extended Southwest Asian region are in even more grave danger.

Dr. Justin Frank, the noted George Washington University Medical School psychiatrist and author of the book-length diagnosis of the President, *Bush on the Couch—Inside*

LaRouche Offers Qualified Endorsement of UN Res. 1701

On Friday, Aug. 11, 2006, the United Nations Security Council unanimously approved Resolution 1701, calling for an immediate ceasefire in Lebanon, and spelling out the terms of a phased withdrawal of all Israeli forces from Lebanon, as both an augmented United Nations Interim Force In Lebanon (UNIFIL) and troops from the Lebanese Army, assume security control of the area south of the Litani River. The resolution held Hezbollah responsible for initiating the crisis, demanded the unconditional release of two Israeli hostages, and also encouraged "efforts aimed at urgently settling the issue of the Lebanese prisoners detained in Israel."

After carefully reviewing the events at the UN Security Council, Lyndon LaRouche declared on Aug. 12 that the resolution represented a qualified triumph for former Presidents George H.W. Bush and Bill Clinton, Brent Scowcroft, and others who worked with U.S. Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice to get the Lebanon crisis under control. Although critical of some features of Res. 1701, including the focus of blame on Hezbollah, and other concessions to Israel and to President Bush, LaRouche observed that

"these kinds of things happen" in the course of difficult diplomacy. "Sometimes the truth is sacrificed for the sake of peace."

LaRouche, however, added that the full truth must be told. The situation remains, he warned, "tricky as hell." There are players in Washington, Tel Aviv, and elsewhere, who want the war to go ahead, despite the actions by the UN Security Council. The recent hype of the terror threat in London is but one indication that there are many possible triggers for a renewed larger war. LaRouche called for effective security and intelligence work, to roll up the potential terrorist networks, while refusing to succumb to the kind of war-hype tactics employed by Bush, Cheney, and Blair around the London arrests.

LaRouche added that the greatest threat to peace is the state of mind of President Bush, himself. Watch for Benjamin Netanyahu to pull some major move inside Israel, in the name of Dick Cheney, LaRouche cautioned. While saner voices in the United States prevailed for the moment over the would-be mass murderers, the threat of war has not been eliminated. Just one look at John Bolton's face as Condi Rice was casting the vote in favor of Res. 1701, tells you that the war party is very upset, and will not give up.

LaRouche concluded, the people around the Bush Sr. family deserve credit for trying to stop the war. Will it succeed? That is unknown.—*Jeffrey Steinberg*

the Mind of the President (see book review, in this section), detailed George W. Bush's 24-year bout with alcoholism, which only ended when the Rev. Billy Graham converted the future President to born-again Christianity. Dr. Frank warned, in his frightening clinical evaluation of the President, that Bush never recovered from his alcoholism, never received any kind of treatment for the disease, and merely adopted another mechanism to escape reality—a form of religious zealotry, associated with the Christian Zionism of such latter-day Elmer Gantry types as Graham, Jerry Falwell, and Pat Robertson.

A look inside President Bush's "special relationship" to Israel appeared in an Aug. 3, 2006 McClatchy wire story by Ron Hutcheson. Hutcheson interviewed Matthew Brooks, a Max Fisher protégé, who was the executive director of the Republican Jewish Coalition in 1998, when he escorted then-Texas Gov. George W. Bush and three other GOP governors on a tour of the Middle East. It was on this trip that Bush had his first encounter with Ariel Sharon, then the Israeli Foreign Minister, who took him on a helicopter tour of the Israeli Occupied Territories.

"If there's a starting point for George W. Bush's attachment to Israel," Brooks told Hutcheson, "it's the day in late 1998, when he stood on a hilltop where Jesus delivered the Sermon on the Mount, and, eyes brimming with tears, read aloud from his favorite hymn, 'Amazing Grace.' He was very emotional. It was a tear-filled experience. He brought Israel back home with him in his heart. I think he came away profoundly moved."

In a 2005 speech, President Bush reflected on his helicopter tour with Sharon: "It's interesting how history works, isn't it? The future President of the United States and the future Prime Minister of Israel were flying across that country, with him describing to me how to keep Israel secure."

Strategic Distraction

Increasingly, American voters are waking up to the fact that the President of the United States gives all the clinical appearances of being mad as a hatter. The Aug. 8 Democratic Primary vote in Connecticut, in which incumbent Sen. Joseph Lieberman was defeated by insurgent candidate Ned Lamont, was as much a vote of no-confidence in Bush and Cheney, as it was a repudiation of Iraq warhawk Lieberman. Stephen Colbert, the television humorist, had captured the essence of the Bush-Lieberman love affair in a recent TV spot, which featured news footage of the President's smooch on the Connecticut Senator's lips, during a Presidential speech before a joint session of Congress.

The day after Lieberman's stunning defeat, Vice President Cheney made a rare phone call to a group of reporters, in which he ranted that Lieberman's defeat represented a major victory for "al-Qaeda types." The very next day, Aug. 10, British police carried out arrests of 24 Muslims, declared a state of terrorist red alert, and announced that a plot to blow

up trans-Atlantic commercial airliners headed from Heathrow Airport to the United States, had been foiled. The Bush Administration instantaneously went into full wartime propaganda mode, declaring a similar terror red alert, staging a series of news conferences and briefings, and attempting to parlay the Cheney comments about the Lieberman defeat and al-Qaeda into a political assault on the Democratic Party. At the time he spoke to reporters, Cheney knew of the pending British raids, according to news reports.

Lyndon LaRouche added a further strategic dimension to the mass-distraction efforts of the Bush-Cheney White House. LaRouche highlighted the overtime work of the "Plunge Protection Team"—centered out of the Treasury Department, the Federal Reserve, and other leading central banks around the globe—to cover up and carry out damage control for a major hedge-fund collapse, which threatens the entire world financial system. News of this hedge-fund meltdown had barely made it into the back pages of the financial press in Europe, but LaRouche had been warning for months about a major financial chain-reaction crisis before September, and the reports echoed precisely such an already ongoing event.

Security sources in the United States and Europe quickly confirmed that the timing of the crackdown on the purported British-Pakistani-based terror cell had been politically engineered to distract attention away from the accelerating collapse of public support for both President Bush and British Prime Minister Tony Blair. While the cell had been under investigation for a year, and did represent a potential threat, the public hype was completely disproportionate to the reality of the threat, and the timing was unmistakably aimed at diverting world attention away from the financial crisis and the shrinking support for the Anglo-American leaders, the sources confirmed.

Indeed, Bush and Blair, according to news accounts, had had telephone discussions for months about the probe of the terror cell, and had spoken by phone on Sunday, Aug. 6, and again on Monday, Aug. 7. Both heads of state were on vacation as of Aug. 7. Two days later, Cheney was ranting about Democrats being in bed with al-Qaeda "types," and the very next day, the sensational headlines in the Anglo-American press invoked the images of another 9/11.

Sen. Hillary Clinton (D-N.Y.), during an Aug. 11 campaign stop in New York City, lambasted Vice President Cheney for his over-the-top tirade, declaring, "I don't take anything he says seriously anymore. I think that he has been a very counterproductive—even destructive—force in our country, and I am very disheartened by the failure of leadership from the President and Vice President."

A majority of Americans appear to agree with Senator Clinton's appraisal. Sources close to White House political *svengali* Karl Rove reported on Aug. 11 that, to their horror, the terror hype had already backfired, with polls showing that support for Bush had fallen since the terror plot was revealed.