

LaRouche Lays Out Parameters For Probe of London Bombings

by Jeffrey Steinberg

On Jan. 11, 2000, the editors of *EIR* delivered a memorandum to then-Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, with copies delivered to other Clinton Administration Cabinet officials and leading members of the U.S. House of Representatives and U.S. Senate. The memorandum posed the question: Should Great Britain be placed on the list of states sponsoring terrorism?

The document was prepared in the immediate aftermath of a rash of formal diplomatic protests to the British government, over the role of London-based terrorist organizations in carrying out a string of violent attacks in Chechnya, Kashmir, Israel, Turkey, Egypt, and Colombia. The evidence presented by *EIR* was based exclusively on reports by the victim governments, on the role of London-based and British government-protected terrorists, in the violent acts of irregular warfare.

Cooperating officials of the U.S. State Department had provided *EIR* with copies of the legal findings on how the U.S. government placed states on the list of sponsors of designated Foreign Terrorist Organizations, and the *EIR* memorandum, which is reprinted below, was structured to conform to the State Department criteria.

The January 2000 memorandum now is of great relevance, once again, after the July 7, 2005 bombing attacks against the mass transportation system in London, which killed over 50 people, and wounded hundreds more.

Terrorism in London

On July 9, 2005, two days after the bombings, Lyndon LaRouche penned a short document (republished here), titled "The Dirt-Bike Terror Incident," which identified a series of three strategic factors that set the context of the London attacks, and offered a frame of reference for any competent investigation. Given that the official British police probe into

the attacks is still in the preliminary stages, as of this writing, there is not much to be said about the findings to date. It is noteworthy, however, that Scotland Yard and other responsible British and international law enforcement agencies were horrified at the crass propaganda efforts by British Prime Minister Tony Blair and Foreign Secretary Jack Straw, who pronounced al-Qaeda "guilty" of the crime, before the first forensic experts had even reached the scene of the four bombings.

The LaRouche memo, in contrast, focussed on the strategic setting in which the bomb attacks occurred, including:

1. The ongoing Group of Eight heads of state summit in Scotland, which was totally disrupted by the London attacks. One theme of that summit was the effort by German Chancellor Gerhard Schröder to put the issue of the deepening global financial crisis, and particularly the issue of the unregulated derivative markets, and the skyrocketing oil prices, on the agenda for emergency action by the governments of the industrialized countries.

2. The emerging crisis in Washington over Bush political guru Karl Rove's involvement in the "outing" of CIA non-official cover officer Valerie Plame, the wife of former Ambassador Joseph Wilson IV; and

3. The massive, coordinated central bank interventions to plug up an as-yet-undisclosed gaping hole in the global financial system, perhaps caused by the bankruptcy of a large hedge fund, or a major perturbation on the British or American real estate market, which has been widely identified as a bubble about to burst. Sources in the City of London and elsewhere had confirmed that the scale of the coordinated North American, European, and Asian central bank intervention went far beyond the efforts required to calm nervous investors, after the four London bombings. Furthermore, the



The U.S. military barracks, Khobar Towers, in Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, was bombed on June 25, 1996. Mohammed al-Massari, head of the London-based Committee for the Defense of Legitimate Rights and an associate of Osama bin Laden, described the attack as “intellectually justified,” and said there would be more to come. The British government granted him “exceptional leave” to remain in the U.K.

DoD photo

global “plunge protection” efforts to prop up the financial markets were already under way when the terror attacks occurred.

The Sun Never Sets on the Invisible Empire

While some analysts reacted to the original *EIR* January 2000 memo, by ascribing “pragmatic” motives to the British for giving safe-haven to some of the world’s most radical insurgents, Lyndon LaRouche has emphasized, for years, that modern irregular warfare has always been an instrument of imperial control and manipulation, and that the British are the past masters at this trade.

LaRouche traced the origins of this policy to the launching of the British Empire in the middle of the 18th Century, at the close of the Seven Years’ War, when Lord Shelburne of the British East India Company emerged as the “Doge” of the reconstituted Venetian invisible empire, otherwise known as the “Anglo-Dutch Liberal System.”

The model for all subsequent irrationalist terrorist movements were the Jacobins of the French Revolution, who in many instances, were members of a Lyon-centered Martinist occult lodge. These terrorist legions were controlled, from arms-length, by the British East India Company and its emerging British imperial intelligence service of Jeremy Bentham, Adam Smith, Edward Gibbon, James Stuart Mill, et al.

Out of the French Jacobin Terror emerged the Synarchist apparatus, which installed and then unleashed Napoleon Bonaparte against continental Europe. Under the Jacobin Terror and the later Napoleonic world fascist offensive, European-based republican allies of the American Revolution, including the leading scientists of France’s École Polytechnique, were

targetted for the guillotine, or, as in the case of the Marquis de Lafayette, for the Hapsburg prisons.

This same irrationalist unleashing of terrorist hordes was next carried out against Britain’s purported Congress of Vienna allies, through the Giuseppe Mazzini “Young Europe” movement of Jacobin-modelled terrorists, who wrought havoc in Europe in the “Revolutions of 1848.” Under Queen Victoria’s son, Prince Edward-Albert (later King Edward VII), the art of oligarchical manipulated terror and war evolved further. The result was World War I, and the follow-on, World War II.

In all of these cases, the British imperial oligarchy, largely centered in the major financial houses, insurance companies, and shipping lines, had no qualms about unleashing terrorist violence against their own British subjects, or subjects of such colonial centers as India and sub-Saharan Africa. This is, after all, the same British East India Company apparatus that unleashed the opium wars on China, and adopted the Dope, Inc. strategy of psychologically crippling entire populations to break their resistance.

These oligarchs seek to impose a new form of medievalism on the world, to eliminate the last vestiges of the American Revolution, and that sovereign nation-state republican tradition from the face of the Earth.

This is the face of modern irregular warfare that was unleashed on the unsuspecting and brutalized people of London on July 7. Any investigation that avoids these harsh issues, and adopts the deductive method of investigation instead, is sure to fail. It is in this spirit that we re-issue here the January 2000 memo and LaRouche’s “Dirt-Bike Terror Affair” document.