
I. New World Coming Into Being

The New Concept of the Nation for Mankind

Edited excerpts from [Lyndon LaRouche's Fireside Chat of March 10](#).

Host John Ascher: The questions we've gotten, Lyn, are that people want to know if there is going to be an election this November? Are the candidates we're seeing now going to be the ones we're going to end up choosing between? What is your view in terms of where this so-called election process right now is heading? That's what is being asked by several people.

Lyndon LaRouche: I would say that in the United States right now, to the degree that some of us contribute new insights into what the United States can become, that we have to have a process of freedom. Because the problem is that the people who don't like us, who don't like freedom are the problem. But the question, then, therefore, is what is freedom? Some people say, "my idea of freedom is this" and their idea of freedom is not that.

So the point is, there has to be a coherence, an agreement, based on rational insight into practice. This is what has always worked in nations. This is what destroyed nations! Napoleon destroyed nations! The British always destroyed nations!

They specialize in that; and this has been true too much in history.

So what you have, is you find that the formation of government is based on the *destruction* of separate governments, in conflict, the murder. I think of what Turkey is doing now, the dictatorship of Turkey. Now, this is not a characteristic of the Turks; this is not a characteristic, because I know something about the Turks and their history. I've been closely associated with some of the heroes in Turkey. And similar things are true of other nations. There is no reason why we should say that there is a natural hatred, a natural conflict among people in the world! It's not natural. The fact of the conflict is often an *un-natural* product.

Because when people see what good is, when the *human being sees what good is*, in practice, you find that they do not want to do the kinds of things that the tyrants would like to produce. The question is, the arguments come, over what should be the good? What is the thing we should do which is good? What is better? And that's it.

And these other things are nonsense.

Where are we now, for example? Just to interrupt myself. Where are we now? We're on the edge of a gen-



Ministry of Culture and Tourism, Republic of Turkey

Turkey's current President, Recep Tayyip Erdogan, is a puppet of the same British imperial forces that Turkish nationalists have historically sought to defeat. Here, Mustafa Kemal, called Atatürk, "father of the Turks," President of Turkey 1923-1938, and his wife Latife Uşaklızade, in 1923.



China Manned Space Engineering Office

China is looking at the back side of the Moon. China is mobilizing itself for the next two generations for this mission and beyond. Here, an artist's conception of the core module of the Chinese large modular space station to be launched into low Earth orbit in 2018. Two laboratory modules will follow in 2020 and 2022.

eral thermonuclear war throughout the whole planet, and beyond the planet as such. And this thing that can happen right now in the kind of war which is being planned *right now*, can destroy the entire planet, and the planet's people, right now! And therefore, the question is, how do we prevent that from happening? And how do we do it without going into some kind of submission to this, or submission to that? No! It has to come from an *insight* into what truth is, what mankind is, what mankind must be. And a great number of people like,— I think you could say that Putin is a pretty good example of a model, is trying to do exactly that. And there are people in other parts of the world who are intent on doing that.

And that's what we have to do. We are seeing this thing with China, with Russia, with other parts of the planet now. We see these national units are coming into a convergence which is not just dropping into bed with each other, but it's a process of realizing that they have to work their way through something by which their common interests are furthered, in a willful and progressive way.

And that's what we're trying to do. You look at what China's doing. India's trying to work its way through

there. Other parts of the world are trying to work through that process. It's that kind of goal, that kind of process—and what it comes to, is when you come and start talking about the space program. You talk about the back side of the Moon.

Now, what's China doing? China is looking at the back side of the Moon, and the back side of the Moon is what China is trying to solve: What is the real meaning of this thing, the back side of the Moon? And China is mobilizing itself for the next two generations for just that purpose. And that's not just a purpose, but it's a beginning place to understand how mankind, Earthling mankind, can play a role in shaping the Galaxy. And the galaxy is the goal which mankind should be looking at right now.

Sins of the Fathers

Question: Good evening Lyn and all, this is J— from Michigan. The quality of questions presented to you and your responses over these past ten months, as I've told you before, has constantly been improving. And I was wondering if you could make some comments as to how we can all improve on our abilities to get through to others, whether they're ignorant of so much, or whether they're really knowledgeable about so much, so that we can constantly improve our ways of organizing people to change their ways of thinking. Please.

LaRouche: Well, the problem of changing people's way of thinking, has some competence to it. What should you really be concerned with is the development of the power of the individual person within the society as a framework, to influence that society in such a way that there is actual progress.

For example, Einstein. Einstein is a paragon, a model, for what the purpose of mankind *is*; and if you understand Einstein, you want to understand what Einstein was, what he was doing, what his achievements were, what he opposed, as opposed to all the other people who were fools, relatively. I mean, most of the scientists who were associated with Einstein's name were actually foolish because they had a gimmick. And

you know, we just had this recent experience to remind people that Einstein had discovered something and they hadn't been able to hear it and recognize its existence for their entire life. [laughs]

And the point is, there are individual people who have a yen, shall we say, for achievement of the goals of mankind. You think about the goal of mankind, it's like the soul of mankind. And guiding the soul of mankind is what's actually is a pretty good efficient representation of what Einstein was. Einstein was a *very good soul*, and a rare model of a good soul.

And that's what you have to go with. You have to look at yourself as a creative force for mankind, and that means you have obligations to make discoveries that mankind needs to discover.

Question: In the past few weeks, you have touched on the subject of the Electoral College, in terms of how Presidents seem to be selected, as opposed to elected. Can you give us a little more detail on your take on the current system with the Electoral College?

LaRouche: I think so, because, I have a sense of how the human mind works. And that's the only way we can really test these kinds of matters.

You have to recognize that mankind has to be a progressive creature. Mankind must create something fresh, to add something to what mankind should have done earlier. And that's the basis on which you have to operate. I mean, what do you think I do? I've been spending most of my time, my life, in terms of science, physical science, and the application of physical science.

And what do I worry about? I worry about the need for progress in physical science, and what the implications are of that. I've spent most of my life on that subject, and I've fought political forces in my life, especially my adult life,— I fought forces of that nature, and I enjoy doing that. Because in doing that, by enjoying it, you're saying, "well, this is pretty good. This is a nice thing! And the other stuff, nah, that's not nice stuff."

So I operate on that basis. I think it's a natural way that people should. I look at the history of my own family, which goes back quite some time, and I see what in my family were failures, but I see also what are successes, where my ancestors contributed something directly and explicitly to the betterment of mankind. And sometimes they did it in a funny way. I had a Scots-

man there who was a great killer, and he helped to fight the Civil War for the United States; but he was a Scotsman and he was a killer. Despite all those things, he was useful for that particular purpose. And so I've gone through that kind of process, and when you look at a lot of ancestors, as I've looked at a lot of ancestors, and you say what makes an ancestor good as opposed to being bad? And therefore you say: Well, I got this guy, and he's a problematic person to deal with. Like for example, I had two brothers, Scotsmen, and they were both very experienced in the Civil War period. One was a seaman and leading shipmate; and the other one was his brother who was a professional warrior. And he headed up a unit in the war. People like that.

So when you have families like that and you view them from that standpoint, you judge them based on, "yes, that was good, this was not so nice; this was good," and so forth.

So the way you have to live in life is, you have to think about people who you have been part of in the making of them, and you have to be critical of how do we judge them? What was the merit of what they did? What were the weaknesses and failures of what they did? And therefore, you look at yourself in that context, and you say, "this is what I must do, and this is what I must not do."

And that's the only way we can really efficiently solve these problems or questions. If you cannot be critical of yourself, if you can't be critical about your own ancestors or simply the people you know, the people you work with, if you don't have a good insight into the virtues and failures of these people, you cannot come up with a real social context. It just can't happen. And for every human being, particularly for anyone,— you know, I've been a significant figure at certain times of my life, and my self-criticism powers are rather good; but I wouldn't like to do anything that was not good. And so that's what you have to live with.

And if you can live that way,— because you don't have any map that tells you that everything is power, and everything defines what makes good or bad,— you don't get it that way! Mankind is always changing mankind. Or that's what the purpose is: Mankind must always change mankind. But how? By recognizing that there's something they should have done, but didn't; or something they shouldn't have done, for example. And that standard, and the desire to create something new and fresh to mankind is the real purpose of life to any

person, who—I mean, with my devotion that’s all I’m concerned about. What I always have been concerned about is that kind of issue: What is good, and what do I have to do to improve myself so I can do something which is more good? And that’s the only way you can function.

You can’t function on some abstract value. You have to have the desire to do something better than was done before, and you have to do it zealously.

Their ‘Guilt Streak’

Question: Hey, Lyn, this is R— from Texas. I’m just curious: What is the jokesters’ endgame and what you think their end goal is in this whole situation? I’m just curious.

LaRouche: I think they want to be teased by something which is not real. They want to believe in it hard, if possible. And they want to say “I’m perfect.” Which we know is not true!

But we can get people to become better! If we can tempt them to do something where they can look at themselves and see themselves in a better light in their life, that’s something that is very good. It’s the best you get in most circles. You don’t get good people as such; you get people who wish they were good people. That’s your best shot!

Question: I just want to run something by you that happened, that you might get a little charge out of: I was in a pizza shop after school the other day and there were two women who were discussing the election. And both women were lamenting the fact that they didn’t know who to vote for; they were talking about choosing the “better of two evils” and we’ve gone through that situation before. It’s interesting that they called Trump a “bully,” and a few other not so nice names; and then they cited Hillary as untrustworthy. And they said Bernie was a flip-flopper and he would only win by default, which was kind of interesting.

And the word “untrustworthy” is actually my word. What they really said was that “Hillary is a lyn’ ho.”

So, I say, “Oh, OK, ladies, what do you think about the election? I was just listening, and I overheard what you were saying.” And to my surprise, one of the



Creative Commons/Gage Skidmore

“So when they said ‘Hillary is a lyn’ ho,’ I said, OK! After we laughed, I jumped into their conversation.” Here, Hillary Clinton tells another whopper at a town hall meeting in Manchester, N.H. in January 2016.

women said, “somebody new is going to come into these elections. I really believe that. I think we’re going to be surprised by someone entering this campaign who really deserves to be President, who really should be President. And people really think we’re stupid, but I believe that. I told my kids that.”

And I said, “OK, that’s very interesting. I think you need to come to a meeting on Saturday. And I’m going to give you my information and you’re going to give me your information, and I’m going to remind you and give you the address and we’ll get together when you come to that meeting.” And they were thinking, “Okay! what’s this about?” and I was telling them, “just what we were talking about, how there *is* a new situation going on right now. There is a *positive* situation called the BRICS nations, and you need to learn about that. And you’re absolutely right: someone is going to come into this election and it will be a surprise. And they are going to be the right person. And we are going to bring that about.”

LaRouche: The key thing is when you have the in-

sight, and this is the problem. The next step is to recognize what you can do to solve the problem. In other words, you see something, you experience something, you experience it and you say, “this is wrong.” Or you say, “I’ve got to do something about this. I’ve got to influence them.” And then you go to another state, “but I don’t think they will actually respond,” the way you want them to respond, and that’s true. So how do we deal with that? And you have to really get closer to the inside of them to find out what is inside them, which is their guilt streak. And when the guilt streak really hits them, they’re going to be very serious about what they do with their life. So that’s the best answer I think we can give. Because most people waste their lives, in just that way.

Ascher: OK, Lyn, we have a question from a gentleman named R—. He wanted you to talk about “how we can end the private Federal Reserve run out of the one square mile City of London Corporation,” and go back to U.S. Treasury issue. And he says, “We need to join an international movement to end private central banks. How about canceling all the fraudulent and criminal private debt.” That’s what he’s asking about, what to do about all this unpayable debt?

Our Mission-Oriented

LaRouche: Well, obviously if the debt is unpayable, it’s unpayable, isn’t it? And therefore, what are you doing bothering with that stuff? [laughter]

The point is, the debt has to be a necessary debt, otherwise it doesn’t work. Now, what’s a necessary debt? Well, the necessary debt is an obligation which some people accept from other people. What does that mean? That means, you say, “this is something which mankind needs as a species, mankind needs this contribution, mankind needs this support; mankind needs this stimulus to see these kinds of options.” But this requires a



kremlin.ru

“There is a positive situation called the BRICS nations, and you need to learn about that.” Here, presidents Vladimir Putin of Russia, Jacob Zuma of South Africa, and Xi Jinping of China at the Fifth BRICS Summit in Durban, South Africa, March 2013.

certain insight into the people you’re looking into. What’re you going to do about it? How is it going to work? How can you move them?

And I say, in most cases, the people who will try to do something in that direction will often find themselves frustrated because they haven’t found out what the secret is, or because the person they’re looking at hasn’t recognized what the secret is, either.

And so therefore, what we’re doing now, right now, is the space program. The space program is activated in southern Texas, the Texas area. And it’s done by people who are associated with me. These people who are associated with me, happen to be radiating their approach to the same subject. They’re attracted to this; this is real. Yes, this is something that we’re doing, it was taken away from us. Obama took it away from us! We had a space program, we were solving the problems of man’s role in space. And Obama came along and shut it down! And Texas suffered in particular. Because the people in Texas who were involved in this were among the most highly qualified people of all, a category of people who were greatly creative people!

Now we’ve rescued a few of those people who were pushed off by Obama, who pushed them off from their career, their achievement,—wasted them, wasted parts of their lives. And now we’ve got a chance to get back

there and get that thing moving. It's not as good as it had been when Obama shut it down, but the best thing we can do is shut Obama down! And open the gates for success. And you've got the whole area, that whole area of Texas which has people who are affected by that particular legacy. And that's what you start from. That's what we're doing, starting from, again, in trying to get a refresher of what had been the original space program. We're working on the basis of people we knew, who were the leaders of the space program.

And therefore it's simply saying, we want to do the job. Well, here's how we were doing the job and it's important that we continue the job, so let's do it. You'll



LaRouche: What we're doing right now is the space program. People associated with me are radiating their approach to it. Yes, this is something that we're doing. It was taken away from us. Obama took it away from us!

save a lot of lives and a lot of minds just by doing that. So, I think the idea of going to the space program is really the way to see the *real future* of mankind.

Ascher: Well, that brings us to the end here of our allotted time, Lyn. I think you hit on some very important things in your last discussion. I wanted to see if you had any concluding remarks here?

LaRouche: We have to take a mission orientation as our directive. We have to assess what are the things that we have to do which are accessible to us to do, and to start doing it! And I think that the space program—because the space program is so important—is the most important thing that mankind has to deal with right now. So the space program is needed. We have a few people who are fresh at it; we have some people who will become drawn into it, we're going to fight for it, and it's going to be good. It'll be good for us, why? Because it makes us feel good about ourselves.

Ascher: OK! That gives everybody an orientation. I'd like to thank you again, Lyn, for our discussion here this evening. And that concludes this Fireside Chat. Good evening, Lyn.

LaRouche: Thank you! Have fun!

The scientific concepts of biogeochemist Vladimir Vernadsky—the initiator of the idea of the Biosphere—whose concept of the “Noosphere,” has been cited and further developed by Lyndon LaRouche.

Downloadable PDF \$9.99
<http://www.larouchepub.com/product-p/eirbk-2001-2-0-0-pdf.htm>