

## LaRouche to Argentina: 'Drop the Debt Bomb'

*In remarks during the June 20, 2014 LaRouchePAC weekly web-cast, Dennis Small discussed the difference between strategic and tactical thinking in times of crisis.*

I'll give an example of this from 30-odd years ago, but I think people can draw their own conclusions about the current situation. Back in 1982, when the British laid a typical British trap for Argentina around the Malvinas War, Mr. LaRouche was the only political figure in the United States who sided with Argentina on the issue of their sovereignty over the Malvinas, in terms of the Monroe Doctrine and its author John Quincy Adams.

The Argentines at the time consulted Mr. LaRouche, and said, "What do we do? How can we win this war? We have Exocet missiles and so on; but how do you recommend we proceed?" And Mr. LaRouche's response was, "Well, one often does have to take such measures, but you are in possession of a super-weapon, a weapon so powerful *that it can bring down the entire British Empire*. And that weapon," he said, "is the debt bomb."

LaRouche said, "The British Empire is totally dependent, as are their Wall Street allies, on the existing financial system. The purpose of the Malvinas operation was to establish out-of-area NATO deployments for debt collection. You want to defeat the British Empire? Sink their financial system, and get allies to help you. Drop the debt bomb!"

So the concept of the "debt bomb" originated with Mr. LaRouche in that way. But it was picked up almost a year later, on the cover of *Time* magazine in January of 1983.

So, whenever people tell you: "Oh, you can't do that! Oh, that's not possible; there's only a pragmatic solution to this crisis. We can't do anything so dramatic as impeach Obama! Oh, no, no! We can't actually drop the debt bomb. Oh, we can't go with LaRouche's four point program; that's not practical!"—that is the sign of

someone who has already capitulated to the British. They *say* they haven't, they may even feel that they haven't; but they have! Because the most powerful weapon that the British Empire has, is to get their intended victims to *think* like the British! That is to say, to think like Aristotelians. To think in terms of tactics, not strategy. To think like *beasts*, as opposed to thinking the way Vernadsky points out human beings are uniquely qualified to think, which is, creatively.

