

Ukrainian Patriots Expose EU Support for Neo-Nazi Coup

Feb. 24—*Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, leader of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine (PSPU), today began a tour of Europe at the head of a delegation of signers of the Jan. 25 [Appeal](#) by 29 Ukrainian organizations, addressed to world leaders, to stop a neo-fascist coup in Ukraine. This evening, she keynoted a webcast, aired on the site of the French Solidarity and Progress (S&P) organization.*

The speakers were introduced by S&P leader, and former French Presidential candidate, Jacques Cheminade. He discussed the doctrines of Anglo-American geopolitics, going back to Halford Mackinder at the turn of the 19th to 20th Century. Today, Cheminade said, the goal under the modern continuation of these doctrines has been to create a focus of destabilization in the center of Europe, which could trigger a showdown against Russia. He cited Zbigniew Brzezinski on this intention.

Cheminade then introduced Natalia Vitrenko, doctor of economics, former member of the Supreme Rada (parliament) of Ukraine, and leader of the PSPU, as having fought for the past 20 years to prevent this current crisis from coming to pass. She is joined on this visit to Europe by Col. Valeri Sergachov (ret.), leader of the Kiev Rus Party, former member of the Odessa Regional Council, and a decorated veteran of the War in Afghanistan; and Volodymyr Marchenko, chairman of the All-Ukraine Trade Union Organization/Ukrainian Confederation of Labor, former member of the Supreme Rada and of its Committee on Constitutional Issues, and deputy chairman of the PSPU.

The following translation of Dr. Vitrenko's keynote and the remarks of her colleagues has been produced from the Russian-language audio track of the event. The speeches were consecutively translated and broadcast in French.

Natalia Vitrenko: *Bonjour, camarades!* I am very

glad to greet you, and I know that millions of people around the world are watching and listening to us.

On Jan. 25 of this year, in Kiev, there was a gathering of 29 leaders of Ukrainian political parties and public organizations. We wrote an appeal to the Secretary-General of the UN, the leaders of European organizations, including the President of the European Union and the Chairman of the European Parliament, as well as other leaders, and U.S. President Obama and the leadership of the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives. Among us were representatives of four different political parties, and ten people who have been Members of Parliament at the national level in Ukraine.

We stated, first of all, that it is a lie that the people of Ukraine want to sign an Association Agreement with the European Union. The Ukrainian people do not want this.

Secondly, we stated that it is a lie that what is going on in the Maidan is a peaceful demonstration. Guerrillas are in charge of the Maidan, and those guerrillas are neo-Nazis. ["Maidan" refers to Independence Square (Maidan Nezalezhnosti) in Kiev, and to demonstrations taking place there and in other parts of Ukraine—ed.]

Third, that the will of the majority of the population in Ukraine is being grossly flouted, because the majority favors Ukraine's increased integration with Russia, and does not want Nazism in Ukraine. We, although we represent political forces, are denied access to the media.

We appealed to those European and American organizations to invite us to Europe—we, who are not in power in Ukraine today, but we are not neo-Nazis. And we would tell you the truth. These bodies did not invite us, but we received invitations from the Paris Academy of Geopolitics, from the Schiller Institute, and from our colleagues in Italy. We are very grateful for this.

Therefore, we are making this tour as representa-



Dr. Natalia Vitrenko has fought for 20 years in an attempt to prevent the current Ukrainian crisis from erupting. She is appealing to European leaders to stop a neo-fascist coup in her nation.

tives of people's diplomacy, representing the majority of the population of Ukraine, who want to stop the flames of civil war. We know with certainty, that the coup d'état in Ukraine threatens the stability of the Eurasian continent.

Our delegation includes people of various professions. I am an economist, a doctor of economic sciences, a professor and an academician. Valeri Sergachov, my colleague, in that he is also the head of a party, is a retired colonel, a combat officer [and an experienced veteran of the Afghanistan War—on-site interpreter's note]. The deputy leader of my party, Volodymyr Marchenko, who is responsible for our party's ideological work, is an engineer, an industrial designer. Therefore, we are well aware of what Ukraine's real potential is, and what the prospects for our country would be, in the event of integration with the European Union, or with the Eurasian Union.

EU Membership Would Destroy Ukraine

First of all, Ukraine has never been offered membership in the EU, is not being offered, and will never be offered such membership, because the EU would disintegrate, sooner than admit Ukraine as a member. The members of the Customs Union, on the other hand, Belarus, Kazakstan, and Russia, are our long-term and reliable partners; Ukraine's integration into the Cus-

toms Union, and only such integration, can provide an impulse for the economic recovery of Ukraine.

But the Ukrainian oligarchs—and that's who has been determining our country's policy—long ago integrated themselves into the EU. An example is the wealthiest man in Ukraine, the billionaire Rinat Akhmetov. He bought a fancy house for himself in London for £40 million. He already owns companies both in the USA and in EU countries. The Ukrainian oligarchs send their children to study in European countries, they go to Europe for medical care, they take their vacations here, and they keep

their money in the banks of the City of London and offshore zones. Therefore, they are oriented toward Europe and the West. It was in order to defend their money, that they wanted to sign the Association Agreement.

This Agreement would have completely destroyed machine-building in Ukraine and dealt a serious blow to all industrial production and to agriculture. The Agreement would have required Ukraine immediately to open up its domestic markets, with all import tariffs being lifted on 72% of all products.

The output of Ukrainian industrial companies is not competitive in today's European market. Our Academy of Sciences has calculated that the cost of compliance with EU standards would be EU160 billion. This is equivalent to the national budget of Ukraine for four years. We do not have this kind of money. In other words, Ukraine would have been condemned to the bankruptcy of its industrial enterprises, to total economic collapse, and to mass unemployment.

In addition, the Agreement prescribed that all of this would be presided over by supranational organizations: the [EU] Association Council and the Trade Committee. That is, Ukraine would have lost both its state sovereignty and its economic sovereignty.

Furthermore, the Agreement provides that Ukraine, upon signing it, would enter into a Common Security and Defense Policy. This means political convergence.

This means pushing Ukraine into NATO. From both the economic and the military standpoints, this would have been a blow against Russian interests.

Calculations done by a joint task force of the Russian and Ukrainian Academies of Science showed that integration with the Customs Union would be economically beneficial for Ukraine.

Ukraine Is Oriented Toward Russia

In addition, it is the case that historically, and in its outlook, Ukraine is unquestionably oriented toward Russia. We are one people. We are the people of Rus. An ancient Russian state was formed. One thousand one hundred and fifty years ago, its initial capital was in Novgorod. Later the capital was in Kiev. After the period of the Tatar-Mongol yoke, Moscow became the capital. And, all together, we were called “Rus.” There was Little Russia, White Russia, South Russia, and Great Russia. “Ukraine” was artificially created under the Austro-Hungarian Empire, in order to tear away a chunk of territory from Russia, because 336 years ago, there had been a unification of Great and Little Russia [Russia and Ukraine]. And it was only in 1922 that Ukraine appeared as a nation-state.

Therefore, in economic terms, in world outlook, and genetically, the majority of the Ukrainian population is oriented toward Russia.

Let me read you some data, published in the journal *Obozreniye* by Nikolai Shulga, head of the Institute of Sociology of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences. This is the most respected sociological research done in Ukraine. They asked people what foreign policy orientation they would prefer Ukraine to have. Fifty-four percent of the population replied in favor of developing relations with Russia and building up an Eastern alliance. In favor of relations with the developed countries of the West—15%. People were asked how they viewed the prospect of Ukraine’s joining a union with Russia and Belarus. Fifty-nine percent favored this, 21% were against. These figures were clearly differentiated by region. In western Ukraine, 16% were in favor of this idea of union with Russia and Belarus. In eastern Ukraine, 84% of the population. But the national average was 59% in favor.

The date of that poll was 2011. After Yanukovich came to power [in 2010], there was a dawn-to-dusk campaign throughout Ukraine, in favor of integration with the EU. It was hammered into people’s heads that, once the Association Agreement were signed,

there would be jobs for everybody, wages like in France, pensions like in Germany, and everything would be hunky-dory. Therefore, two years later, in May 2013, the figures showing the orientation of our population had changed somewhat. In favor [of alliance with Russia and Belarus] were 49%, with 28% against and 22% undecided. If we split the undecided in half, the result is still that 60% of the Ukrainian population is oriented toward union with Russia and Belarus.

But the Ukrainian oligarchs, headed by Yanukovich, were preparing to sign the Association Agreement on Nov. 29, 2013 in Vilnius. Suddenly, one week before it was to be signed, the Ukrainian government decided to halt the process and not sign for Association. And, indeed, on Nov. 29, Yanukovich refrained from signing the Agreement.


The minute the whistle sounded, the Parliamentary opposition summoned people to a “Euromaidan,” to pressure the government on this issue. Indeed, people—several tens of thousands—poured into Independence Square in Kiev, demanding Association with the EU.

The majority of these people simply had no idea of what awaited Ukraine, were it to sign. It was only in January of 2014, that this diagram (**Figure 1**) appeared on the government website. It shows that if Ukraine signed the Agreement with the EU, Ukraine would lose \$36.9 billion in 2014 alone, whereas if Ukraine didn’t sign, but rather built up its relations with Russia, it would gain \$5.1 billion in 2014. But by then, nobody was listening.

Yanukovich’s Provocation

That is because on the night of Nov. 29-30, Yanukovich’s staff organized a provocation, and the Maidan ceased to be peaceful. What was this provocation? The organizers of the Maidan announced that the demonstration was over. They began to take down the stage and remove the sound system. Only 300-400 people remained in the Maidan, primarily youth. At 4:00 a.m., a thousand people from the Berkut special police force showed up in the square. And the Berkut, rather than merely pushing people out of the square, started brutally beating them. At 4:00 a.m., quite a number of TV channels happened to have crews in the square. The videos they filmed were then shown, not only in Ukraine, but all over the world, to demonstrate “how the Ukrainian authorities were dealing with a peaceful demonstra-

FIGURE 1



This map graphic, posted on the Ukrainian government website Feb. 7, 2014, illustrates potential 2014 gains and losses for the Ukrainian economy under the scenarios of 1) signing an Association Agreement with the European Union, 2) postponing signing of the AA. Option 1 (top) projected net economic losses of \$36.9 billion, with a projected increase of \$5 billion in foreign investment being offset by these losses: \$11 billion in trade with the EU, \$15 billion in trade with the Russia-Belarus-Kazakhstan Customs Union, \$10 billion on payments for natural gas, and \$5.9 billion in foreign debt service. Under Option 2 (bottom), the economy would have net gains of \$5.1 billion: while still losing money on debt service, natural gas payments, and trade imbalances with the EU and the Customs Union, Ukraine would enjoy \$10 billion in foreign investment, including \$4 billion from China, \$5 billion from joint infrastructure projects with Russia, \$15 billion from a Russian investment loan, and \$2.5 billion from other industrial cooperation with Russia. Under the two scenarios, year-end national foreign-currency reserves were projected as \$18.8 billion and \$24 respectively.

tion.” The next day, tens of thousands of people from various regions of Ukraine, chiefly the western parts, streamed into Kiev. As of Dec. 1, the neo-Nazis took the lead within the demonstration.

They brought in well-trained guerrillas, who began to do combat with law enforcement. Neo-Nazis did not appear out of nowhere in Ukraine on Dec. 1, but much earlier. After the end of World War II, the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN), these collaborationists, were taken under the wing of the U.S. CIA. The minute Ukraine became independent [in 1991], their organizations were legalized inside Ukraine.

President Yushchenko, in particular, did a lot to promote the growth of the neo-Nazi movement in Ukraine. President Yanukovich not only did not cancel the Nazi decrees of Yushchenko, but also continued the same line.

The question arises: Why did Yanukovich do this? The Party of Regions, headed by Yanukovich, is not a party with an ideology. It is a business corporation, which uses banditry to enhance its own wealth in every way possible. U.S. support has been very important for them. Under both Yushchenko and Yanukovich, the USA did everything it could to develop the neo-Nazi movement in Ukraine. I sued the President, demanding the repeal of the Nazi decrees. I went through all the levels of court in Ukraine and beyond, up to and including the European Court. All of them ruled on the basis of a political decision, rather than the law. Contrary to the standards set by the Nuremberg Tribunal, to resolutions of the UN, to the Durban Declaration, and to the European Conventions, they approved honoring Nazi collaborationists as Heroes of Ukraine who had fought for the liberation of our country. The national-socialist ideology and support for its idols, such as Bandera, Shukhevych, and Konovalets, have all surfaced at the Maidan.

FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3



FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5



Nazis Stage ‘Peaceful Demonstration’

Let’s look at a video, showing what Nazis in Ukraine look like (Figure 2). [Translator: This is a torch march of Right Sector.] Their slogans include “Glory to the nation, death to the enemies.” This is in Kiev, Jan. 1, 2014. They are well-equipped. Here’s the leader of Svoboda, Tyahnybok (Figure 3). There they are in Kiev, at the Maidan. [Translator: They are always masked. Right Sector is a grouping of several right-wing movements.] Here they are toppling a monument to Lenin. Now you see them throwing Molotov cocktails at law enforcement. Here are their insignia (Figure 4).

This is Right Sector leader Yarosh (Figure 5). This is a “peaceful demonstration.” And the European politicians come; and here are these posters of agents of the Abwehr, Bandera and Shukhevych (Figure 6).

There they are tearing up the flag of the Party of Regions (Figure 7). They are shouting “Knife the Moskali [Russians], hang the Communists.” Their greetings are like those of the Nazis. In place of “Sieg heil!” they shout “Slava Ukraine, heroyam slava!” [“Glory to Ukraine, to the heroes, glory!”] Their symbol is a swastika.

Look at those chains (Figure 8). This is a “peaceful demonstration.” And later, they had guns.

They did not appear by accident or suddenly. This has been prepared over a long period of time, as a force for carrying out a coup.

FIGURE 6



FIGURE 7



FIGURE 8



Their swastika graffiti are all over Kreshchatyk Street (**Figure 9**). There are portraits of Bandera. This is Dec. 1. There are policemen standing, unarmed. Now, Molotov cocktails. [Translator: And cudgels.] They are attacking the Presidential Administration offices (**Figures 10-11**). On Dec. 1, the whole world could see that there were terrorist guerrillas in the streets of Kiev. The EU said: No, this is a peaceful demonstration. These neo-Nazi guerrillas began to seize buildings: the Kiev City Hall, the Trade Unions House, the Ministry of Agriculture, the October Palace of Culture, and then they broke into the Ministry of Energy. Only there, did the Minister of Energy say that there was a danger to the 15 nuclear reactors in Ukraine, and he drove them out of the ministry building.

Central Kiev was totally blockaded, but Yanukovich was conducting negotiations with the opposition. [Former European Parliament President Pat] Cox and [former President Alexander] Kwasniewski, from Poland, came to Kiev 27 times. Senator [John] McCain from the USA, Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland, Stefan Fuele, Catherine Ashton, and a great number of other European officials came to Kiev, they did not notice any guerrillas or

FIGURE 9



neo-Nazis, and they demanded that the government pursue only a peaceful solution.

On Jan. 15, [Zbigniew Brzezinski](#) gave his interview, saying that the Maidan should not stop, but must go forward. Then, on Jan. 19, there was a new wave of aggres-

sion. The police, the Berkut, were just standing there, standing guard around the government quarter, making no moves, and not touching the Maidan. But the neo-Nazi guerrillas came out of the Maidan to attack the government quarter (**Figure 12**). This is Jan. 19. [Translator:

FIGURE 10



FIGURE 11



FIGURE 12



Here the guerrillas make their move to take the government quarter.] The EU said that these are not terrorists or guerrillas, but “Euromaidan activists.” [Translator: Each time, the actions are more aggressive. On Jan. 19, you have them throwing Molotov cocktails at the police, setting the police on fire.] What you’re seeing here, is how the Euromaidan defends European values.

Out of 20,000 people in the Euromaidan, there were 2,000 neo-Nazis.

Here you have Feb. 18. They said they were going on a peaceful march to the Supreme Rada (**Figure 13**). Two days later, on Feb. 20, they came after the Supreme Rada with guns. In the interim, military depots and police stockpiles of guns had been seized. Now it is estimated that there are as many as 25,000 armed bandits in Kiev and throughout Ukraine. They have destroyed over 200 offices of the Party of Regions, shooting several office employees in the course of that. They have burned offices of the Communist Party.

The Parliament Terrorized

I am also the leader of a political party. And I have my own attitude toward the government, but we do not support neo-Nazism. What can our party expect, if these people are in power?

The neo-Nazis terrorized the Parliament, and on Feb. 20, they essentially re-formatted the Parliament. On Feb. 21, they signed an agreement with Yanukovich. Three leaders of the opposition: Klitschko, Yatsenyuk, and Tyahnybok. The agreement was witnessed by representatives of three foreign ministries of EU countries—Foreign Minister Franz-Walter Steinmeier of Germany, Foreign Minister Radoslaw Sikorski of Poland, and the head of the Continental Europe Department of the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Eric Fournier.

FIGURE 13



This was a monstrous agreement. I never imagined that the European Union could flout the norms of law in such a way. Ukraine has a Constitution. Section 13 of that Constitution spells out the procedure for amending the Constitution. Yet, the first point of this Feb. 21 agreement demanded that amendments to the Constitution be adopted and announced within 48 hours, including a change in our state system. The Constitution requires a vote by a [two-thirds] “constitutional majority” in two consecutive sessions, followed by review and approval by the Constitutional Court.

The agreement contained not one word about dispersing the Maidan and disarming the guerrillas, so that the Parliament might vote in peace. Thus, by aiming pistol barrels at MPs, beating them up, and intimidating them with potential repercussions against their families, they forced the Supreme Rada to convene on Feb. 20 and start to vote. They voted to change the Constitution. The procedure for impeachment is spelled out in the Constitution, but the Parliament spat on that, simply voted, declared that Yanukovich had resigned, and set elections for May 25.

The agreement forbids declaration of a state of emergency. The agreement decrees that violence should cease. Immediately, the Berkut and the police were ordered: no use of force, no resistance. Meanwhile, the guerrillas were shooting at them. In the space of these three months, the Parliament has passed three amnesty laws.

In 1999, when I was running for the Presidency of Ukraine, there was an attack, with two grenades, on me and my entourage. Forty-four people were wounded, including myself and Vladimir Marchenko. The organizer of this attack was arrested, convicted, and sentenced to 15 years. After five years, he was released.

Just now, he has taken part in the latest events. He was arrested when he and his buddies attempted to seize the City Hall of Krivoy Rog in the Dnepropetrovsk Region. Eighteen Molotov cocktails were found in his car. He was detained, but under the amnesty he has been released again.

Now, the organizers and guerrillas of the Maidan are shaping the entire government of Ukraine. We can see from the Svoboda Party’s program, what kind of government this will be. This is a neo-Nazi party, which does not conceal that it continues to implement the ideology of the National Socialists. The European Parliament, in resolutions passed in 2010 and

2012, condemned any support for this party. Now, it appears, the head of this party will become first deputy prime minister.

Look at the Svoboda Party program. It calls for ending Ukraine’s participation in any international groups of countries initiated by Moscow; imposing visa requirements for travel to and from Russia; restoring Ukraine’s status as a nuclear power; demanding from NATO member countries favorable conditions for Ukraine to join NATO. They have a special chapter on Crimea, which calls for changing the status of Crimea from an autonomous republic to merely a region. End the special status of the city of Sevastopol.

I ask you: What use does the EU have for Nazis in power in Ukraine? Is it not understood, that civil war in Ukraine will develop more and more?

There are 15 nuclear power plants in Ukraine. Ukraine has the largest natural gas pipeline network in Europe. Ukraine has dozens of large chemical plants. What does it mean to have a civil war in the vicinity of industrial facilities like these?

The Russian Federation’s Black Sea Fleet is based in Sevastopol. Russia gave the Crimean peninsula to Ukraine 60 years ago, in token of friendship. Do Catherine Ashton, Fuele, and the others really not realize that this neo-Nazi regime will provoke a conflict with Russia? Do the EU officials really not understand that Ukraine will be completely bankrupt, and that millions of Ukrainians will become refugees, pouring into Europe in search of work?

What should be done? Moscow, Berlin, and Paris should immediately unite their efforts to stop neo-Nazism in Ukraine. Adopt a decision, without Washington, on early Parliamentary elections in Ukraine. But, first,

disperse the Maidan and disarm all the guerrillas. And, in accordance with the standards of international law, ban neo-Nazi parties and movements in Ukraine. Only then will there be a free expression of the will of the citizens of Ukraine and the formation of a normal Parliament.

Without question, new Presidential elections are needed, because Yanukovich is a scoundrel who has no right to hold any political office in Ukraine. But if Presidential elections are held on the Maidan's terms, and under a dictatorship of the Right Sector, then Ukraine will get a Führer. And then, with a neo-Nazi parliament and a neo-Nazi government, the creation of a neo-Nazi state in the center of Europe will have been completed.

These are our evaluations, and I thank you for being so attentive. If you have any questions, we welcome them.

Discussion

In the question-and-answer period, the questions were asked in French and are paraphrased here. Excerpts from the answers have been translated from Russian.

Q: A question sent over the Internet begins with thanks to Natalia Vitrenko for her courage and her extraordinary competence as an economist. What would you think of forming a new international anti-fascist committee? Isn't this something that would be urgent to do?

Vitrenko: Absolutely. If, God forbid, a neo-Nazi regime remains in power in Ukraine, then neo-Nazism will surface elsewhere, too. In the Maidan, they shout "Ukraine for the Ukrainians!" They will shout "France for the French!", "Bulgaria for the Bulgarians!", and so on. Therefore, all mankind must fight neo-Nazism, together.

Q: Another question from the Internet begins with thanks to Kiev Rus for giving France a Queen! [Anna Yaroslavna, the well-educated daughter of Yaroslav the Wise of Kiev, married Henry I of France in the mid-11th century—ed.] My question is: Why would anybody want to enter the EU, when everybody in Europe wants to escape from it?

Vitrenko: Don't ask me! I'm against Ukraine's entry into the EU. But I think that the EU will soon fall apart.

Q: What is the role of Putin? One of our listeners want to know about his Eurasian project, on the one hand, and his apparent support for the current President of Ukraine, Yanukovich, on the other. Is the latter a question of relations among oligarchical groups, including those within Russia itself?

Vitrenko: I would have liked Putin to act otherwise than he has done. I don't understand why the USA declares Ukraine to be within its zone of national interests, and interferes there, while Russia, knowing that we are blood brothers and should be together, declares non-interference in Ukrainian affairs.

Q: My question is addressed to Dr. Vitrenko and to Mr. Cheminade. During the past week, the ruble has been crashing. Is this related to the processes Mr. Cheminade talked about in his introductory remarks? Is this the result of an anti-Russia campaign?

Vitrenko: There is a very strong anti-Russian campaign. This affects the prices of Russian commodities on the commodities exchanges, which, in turn, has an impact on the Russian currency. But the economic situation in Russia is not deteriorating as rapidly as Ukraine's. What's happening in Ukraine is a catastrophe. Ukraine's GDP has been declining for five quarters in a row. Industrial production, especially machine-building, is falling. The gold/currency reserves of the national bank are falling; they declined by one-third last year, and another 13% in January. The trade deficit and budget deficit are increasing. Businessmen in western Ukraine, as a protest against the central government, refused to pay taxes in January. Tax revenues in January fell by a factor of six, as a result of this. The national currency is collapsing.

Jacques Cheminade: The first thing to consider in understanding the current situation, is the fact that the Anglo-American oligarchy has entered its existential end phase, and cannot offer any future to the world. Hence, the decision to use Ukraine as a detonator against Eurasia since this area remains to be looted; not only Russia, but beyond, notably China. That's the first point.

Second, and this is another reason why Ukraine is used as a detonator, is the fact that the policy that utterly bothers the oligarchy, is Putin's policy, a policy he adopted from our friend [Sergei] Glazyev. This is a policy of "de-offshorization," meaning a policy to bring the money of the Ukrainian and Russian oligarchs under national control, in this case in Russia, in another case, in China. Doing so is unacceptable for the oligarchy



EIRNS/Christopher Lewis

“The Anglo-American oligarchy has entered its existential end phase, and cannot offer any future to the world,” Jacques Cheminade said. “Hence, the decision to use Ukraine as a detonator against Eurasia since this area remains to be looted....”

because it dries up their sources of looting. Look at the attack on Glazyev in the *New York Review of Books*, saying that he is the man promoting Eurasia, supposedly a racist concept, something terrible that cannot be tolerated while Freedom is on the march in Ukraine, Freedom appears on the Maidan, blah, blah, blah.

One small, very interesting detail, is the fact that the article’s author, Timothy Snyder, a known asset and puppet of the Anglo-American establishment, directly attacks Lyndon LaRouche, saying that it was the latter who published Glazyev’s book documenting how Russia, under Yeltsin, was subjected to a financial, economic, and human genocide.¹ And here in France, we have our glorious national newspaper of reference, *Le Monde*, which took out a full page to publish Snyder’s ranting and in a comment reproduced the attack on Glazyev. But the name of LaRouche disappeared, because he and I, we don’t exist. This means that the person now talking to you, in fact, does not exist, according to the French oligarchy.

That’s what I have to say.

Q: I read a lengthy article in *Le Monde Diplomatique*, concerning Ukraine’s agricultural land. Is there a danger, under the new regime, that international groups will buy up the land in Ukraine, and use it primarily not for growing food, but for biofuels?

1. Sergei Glazyev, *Genocide: Russia and the New World Order*, EIR News Service, Washington, D.C., 1999.

Vitrenko: This government is a totally pro-Western, anti-popular government, which will do whatever necessary to keep afloat. Ukraine’s land is unique. Our country has 20% of the world’s black-earth soil resources. [Audio break.]

Will There Be Civil War?

Q: What will happen, now that Yanukovich has left? Could things develop in the direction of a civil war?

Vitrenko: A civil war is already under way in Ukraine. Three more people were killed in Kiev today. The entire southeast of Ukraine is rising up to fight. People’s defense units are being formed. People are donning Russian uniforms as a mirror response to what is happening in western Ukraine, where people don Bandera uniforms.

The new authorities have already criminalized any manifestations of separatism. They are trying to head off any possibility of local referendums. They don’t want to have a situation like in Scotland. They want to turn the people of Ukraine into serfs who have no rights. I gave you the figures: 84% of the people in eastern Ukraine are in favor of integration with Russia. Thus the Nazi authorities are striving to keep their clutches on Ukraine as a single state. They not only want to prevent secession, but to forbid any motion toward federalism or a confederation. They think that their American-trained guerrillas will enable them to keep their Nazi grip on the country.

I think they will fail, although I’m not sure how it will happen. For us, the Second World War was the Great Patriotic War. Not only the heroic Army, but also every Soviet person fought against the fascists: partisan units, the underground, people working behind the lines. Every person fought the fascists. Therefore, things may come to a big explosion, but this construct will be destroyed. But it will be a real shame, if this leads to World War III.

Q: Thank you for your presentation. You have clarified many things. My question is, how would you define the responsibility of the EU in this situation? We have talked today about the geostrategic posture of the EU and the USA toward Russia and other Eurasian countries. What is their responsibility for what has happened? There would appear to be two types of involvement in your problems: both the geopolitical, but also the background issues like land, as was mentioned, and also natural gas.

Vitrenko: The responsibility of the EU lies in the fact that three countries—Germany, Poland, and France—acted as guarantors of this agreement. Furthermore, just before that, our Foreign Minister met with foreign ambassadors, primarily from European countries. Here is a Ukrainian newspaper, reporting that during this meeting, the foreign ambassadors totally condemned the attitude of the government toward the neo-Nazi Maidan. When the deputy head of the Ukrainian Security Service announced the need for an anti-terrorist operation, all the ambassadors attacked him. Dutch Ambassador Pieter Jan Wolther stated, “Not even a broad interpretation of the term ‘terrorism’ allows you to label your political opponents that way.” He said, “I would request that you choose your terminology more carefully. Ukraine has no need for an anti-terrorist operation.”

This meeting took place Feb. 19. Preparation of the operation had begun the night before, on Feb. 18. The subway system in Kiev was shut down and the roads into the city were blocked. This is very difficult to do in a city of 3 million people. But they were demanding that this anti-terrorist operation be suspended. It was suspended, and then, on the 20th, the armed neo-Nazi guerrillas went on the offensive. By evening, the coup had been made.

Therefore, the United States provided financing and training, while the EU blessed the operation. The USA and the EU bear full responsibility for the situation in Ukraine.

Q: What are the goals of the neo-Nazis?

Vitrenko: The goals of the neo-Nazis, just as under Hitler, are to destroy humanity in the interest of a “chosen people.” They use the slogan “14,” signifying a 14-word slogan that says only the white race has the right to exist on the planet. The Ukrainian neo-Nazis have in their program a point defining “European Ukrainocentrism” as the strategy of the state. Under this policy, Ukraine is to strive to be not only the geographical, but also the geopolitical center of Europe. Hitler proclaimed, “*Deutschland über alles*,” while the Ukrainian neo-Nazis proclaim, “Ukraine above all.” Tyahnybok, the leader of Svoboda, openly states that just as our fathers and grandfathers did, so we, too, should annihilate Russians, Jews, Poles, and others.

Victims of Propaganda

Q: I have been shocked to see Ukrainians demonstrating here in Paris, in support of the Ukrainian rebels.

There are 250,000 Ukrainians in Lisbon, Portugal, but there were no demonstrations there in support of the Maidan. A young man who was a leader of the Ukrainian demo in Paris, and who was originally from Lviv, explained to me that there are no Nazis in Ukraine, no Rus-sophobes, or anything like that. He said there were two types of Ukrainians: those who love Ukraine, namely them, the demonstrators, and those in eastern Ukraine, who hate Ukraine. What do you think about that?

Vitrenko: Those Ukrainians who came out in Paris to support the Maidan are victims of propaganda from CNN, EuroNews, and other such media. A colleague of mine who teaches at a university in London wrote to me that not a single TV channel there showed the events of Dec. 1 or Jan. 19. Nobody covered those actions. Instead, they would show a pretty girl saying, “We really want to change our government. It’s a bad government. The police are attacking and beating us. Defend us peaceful civilians!” And since our government really has been bad, a lot of people think: sure, the people in the Euromaidan are peaceful, and the government is going after them. They don’t see the neo-Nazis. They don’t see the guerrillas. They don’t see the blood.

What you have in Portugal, on the other hand, is our people: migrant laborers who have come to Europe seeking work, any work, even the most menial, because there are no jobs at home. They have left their families, their children. They remember that when we had the Soviet Union and were together with Russia, we didn’t have unemployment.

And they have a historical memory that the supporters of Bandera were always bandits and terrorists, who should not be supported. You have to understand the crimes of these collaborationists. They joined the German fascists in fighting against the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union lost 28 million people. Ukraine lost 5.5 million people, killed. Two million were deported to do slave labor in Germany. These Ukrainian nationalists, the Bandera supporters, were with the Germans. They shot partisans. They killed civilians. They rampaged throughout the territory occupied by the fascists. There was the case of Babi Yar in Kiev, where 130,000 people were shot to death. There were only 1,500 executioners who did this. Out of those 1,500 executioners, there were 300 Germans and the other 1,200 were these [Ukrainian] nationalists of the OUN. They served as Polizei, as henchmen. And of course our people still hate them so much, that they will not tolerate them in power.

The EU Has Violated Human Rights

Christine Bierre of S&P then asked Mr. Marchenko and Colonel Sergachov to add their remarks.

Volodymyr Marchenko:

I would like to draw your attention to the precedent, brought about by the USA and the EU. The essence of the matter is that the EU has proclaimed its principles and values, including the defense of human rights and the defense of minority rights, democracy, free elections, and non-acceptance of Nazism. These standards have guided the European Court of Human Rights, in implementing the provisions of the European Conventions. These values are the calling card of the EU, worldwide.

In regard to Ukraine, EU officials have violated all of these declared principles and values. In the Maidan, the neo-Nazis declared a “national revolution.” Their slogans proclaim, “Ukraine for Ukrainians!”, “Glory to the nation, death to the enemies!” But the EU officials maintain that the Maidan is defending European values.

Today, the new authorities proclaimed that the only people considered part of the Ukrainian “nation” will be those who speak Ukrainian. Beyond any doubt, there is going to be forcible assimilation of ethnic minorities. Today, the new Parliament repealed the Law on Language Policy, which defined the procedure for the languages of ethnic minorities to obtain official status on the regional level. [Translator: This applied to certain regions, where the majority of the population speaks Russian. Today, Russian lost its special status.]

In western Ukraine, speaking Russian on public transport was banned six or seven years ago. Now these processes will be generalized to Ukraine as a whole. Irina Farion [of the Svoboda party], a neo-Nazi, is being proposed as Minister of Education in the new government. She is the teacher who forbade a schoolgirl to use her own name, which was a Russian name.

Another problem is that European values include the rule of law. Natalia Vitrenko showed that the agreement witnessed by the three foreign ministers included a demand to change the Constitution, to alter the state system of Ukraine, in the space of 48 hours. They changed the Constitutional system illegally, shifting



Volodymyr Marchenko is the chairman of the All-Ukraine Trade Union Organization.

from a presidential to a parliamentary republic. Violating the supremacy of law, namely, the priority of Constitution within the system of domestic law, President Yanukovych was illegally stripped of his authority.

The European Parliament, or EU officials, termed guerrillas with weapons in their hands, who were shooting civilians, shooting at elected bodies, and seizing government buildings, “peaceful demonstrators.” By supporting the Ukrainian Euromaidan as a repository of European values, the EU officials have recognized the swastika, as well as collaborationists like Bandera and Shukhevych and their practices, as European values.

This means that the precedent of support for neo-Nazis, terrorists, and guerrillas in Ukraine, may be spread to other countries in Europe, to EU member countries.

Lastly, this: the EU has blessed the accession to power of neo-Nazis, who have already publicly declared Russia to be a hostile state. Russia and Ukraine are bound by a Treaty of Friendship and Partnership. And I think that the new, neo-Nazi Ukrainian regime will abrogate this treaty. But even without that, a hotbed of tension has already been created on the Eurasian continent, and the question of how to put it out, is a big problem for those who supported the Euromaidan and made out such values as were expressed there, as a so-called peaceful demonstration.

Valeri Sergachov: I would like to underscore that there are 134 ethnic groups living in Ukraine. Where are people supposed to flee? Poland and Romania have declared that they are prepared to accept refugees. Romania, for the past five or seven years, has been voicing territorial ambitions regarding land in the Odessa region and Bukovina, which they call Bessarabia. Claims on Crimea have already appeared in the Turkish press. The nationalists say that the territory of Ukraine extends from Lviv to the Don River—and that is already in Russia! I would like to underscore, once again, that there are 15 nuclear reactors in Ukraine: 15 Chernobyls. This all creates the potential for a military conflict that could spill over into World War III.

Thank you.



Col. Valeri Sergachov is the leader of the Kiev Rus Party.