

# The Pact of the Human Soul, Part 2

*This video discussion between Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., and LPAC-TV editor Alicia Cerretani took place on Aug. 9, 2011, and can be viewed at <http://www.larouchepac.com/node/19036>. Part 1 was published in last week's EIR.*

**Cerretani:** Hello, this is Alicia Cerretani, and joining me is Lyndon LaRouche.

Now, we have a situation, that since Sunday [Aug. 7], has dramatically worsened. What we have in the trans-Atlantic region, which has the potential to pull the entire world down, is the death of a system, which you've been talking about for quite some time.

Now, it's the acceptance of the death of that system, which is the point at which we can turn the corner, and civilization may have a chance to survive. But the point is that, if people thought that what they were doing yesterday, they can do again today, they're kidding themselves. It doesn't matter who you are; we're living in a completely different situation. The inevitability of the collapse, the full collapse—we're in it now—but the inevitability of the full collapse is here. And if people want to turn that corner, if people want to have a viable future, doing things that even your wildest imagination couldn't dream of right now, then you have to understand that that reality is going to have to be much different than right now, what we're living today. Because what we're living in today is a hostile world for what mankind is, what human beings are.

We have a little agreement here: We have someone from the younger generation, speaking with someone from the older generation (you notice we've skipped a generation). Because, the question remains, were we to turn this corner, what do we have to know, and what do we draw strength from, were we to create a viable future?

**LaRouche:** All right, fine. To restate what we've been talking about: We have two conceptions of mankind, which are generally international, effectively. One is the sense of what's called the oligarchical principle: that a certain class of people, or a self-defined class of people, which we call "oligarchs," imagine that they are the keepers of animals, called "human beings."

The characteristic of the United States in particular, its formation, beginning with what happened with the Mayflower Compact, and what followed that, was to move European civilization *away* from Europe, because Europe was polluted by the oligarchical principle. So we came here, especially the Massachusetts Bay Colony, its early phase before it was crushed by the British Empire; and so, we moved here, and developed a republic, here, which had all the goodness of Europe in its intention, but was free of the European oligarchy, and similar oligarchies throughout the world. That we were the justification of humanity, and that was to be our role. That is the nature of our Constitution, contrary to our Liberals, today.

We've degenerated into a situation, in which we have essentially those who are not Liberals, usually of your generation, and of mine. In the middle ground, even while there are many good people and useful people, in the Boomer class, so-called, the Boomer class, as an institution, is a disease, not a generation; it's a de-generation.

So the point is, that we have to unite, as two generations—like people between the middle of their seventies on—and we have to collaborate together and love one another together, to this common end. Which was the end which Nicholas of Cusa, for example, defined, when, in the last years of his life, he recognized this problem. He said Europe was a hopeless situation, that we had to cross the waters of the great oceans, and find people to cross the oceans, free of the oligarchical principle's grip, and to build civilization, based on these people, which happened to turn out to be the United States.

Since that time, the oligarchy keeps reaching for us, and trying to recapture us in the United States, corrupt us and so forth, against our own true nature, against our Constitution; like the present President, who is not really an American. He's some kind of an animal, as far as we're concerned; he has none of the attributes of a true human being, but yet, this is the kind of system—he was picked to be this, by the British agents, by the British Empire.

So then, the question is, what is man's mission? If we liberate ourselves from the oligarchy, what is man's mission? And I know what man's mission is. And your generation, people like you, young people, are trying to struggle to find out exactly what that mission is.

## Man's Mission on Earth

**Cerretani:** Well, it's difficult to find it in today's society. It's difficult to find it in the culture, in the enter-



LPAC-TV

*Alicia Cerretani and Lyndon LaRouche: a pact between two generations.*

tainment, even amongst one another, it's difficult to get down to exactly what is a human being—

**LaRouche:** Yes!

**Cerretani:** And so it's difficult to have the strength to be able to craft a future for human beings.

**LaRouche:** That's what my devotion has been, for my life, is that. Of course, I came upon it honestly, shall we say, from ancestors who more or less accepted this. But we've lost a lot of it. What essentially happened, you had Kennedy, for example, Jack Kennedy: Jack Kennedy was probably not a perfect man, but he had a perfect devotion to becoming what would be a true man. He, among other things, fought to free the nation from participating in oligarchical wars abroad, long, oligarchical wars. These wars ruined us.

They were able to do this, only because they killed him! They killed him, in order to establish wars that would destroy the United States, by the U.S. participation. And it could not have happened, because he was a strong President; he had the full backing of a military advisor, Gen. Douglas MacArthur: They said, "No long wars in Asia for the United States, none!" And he meant it. So they killed him to get the war going, and they got the war going. And for a decade, they ruined the United States.

They were not satisfied: They took his brother, Robert; and Robert was "his brother's brother," and he was determined to renew the legacy of his assassinated brother. And so, they assassinated him, too, to give us—what? Hubert Humphrey! What a pitiful wretch he was, from Minnesota. Or, to give us Nixon, who was even worse, a horrible wretch! A miserable creature. And

Carter? A miserable creature! Reagan? He had some good passions, but was dominated by a clique that controlled him, in effect. We had Clinton, who meant to do well, and has created a legacy which is useful; even the shortcomings in his own Presidency were useful experiences.

But since that time, in the past decade, we've been destroyed, destroyed, destroyed, destroyed.

The time has come, now, when we have to decide on what we're going to do, if we're going to escape this horror which faces us. The answer lies in the fact that the universe, as we know it, is always going from lower

states of organization to higher ones. It's natural. The great termination of whole species, is a product of the fact that the universe is going forward, in higher energy-flux density, always. Always to higher levels of science, levels of thought, achievement; from national, to planet-wide, to Solar System-wide, to galactic. We're going that way, and we're going upward.

And mankind, as I've said before, is essentially an immortal species in nature. That we are able to pass from one generation to another, progress in the development of mankind's condition of behavior, such that we keep ahead of the danger of extinction, which seizes all other living creatures. Every kind of living creature is ultimately doomed to extinction! Mankind is the only species we know, which is capable of escaping extinction, through scientific and related progress, fundamental scientific-related progress.

As opposed to the Boomers, who accept this, especially those who are the so-called environmentalists, who are practically Satanic agents. Because they condemn man to self-destruction. They reduce man to the condition of any mere animal that's going to extinction!

And therefore, what is the policy? The policy is, we have to follow the example set by the Creator, to always improve Creation. And mankind differs from the animal in that respect: The animal has a built-in destiny. The animal species is doomed as soon as it's born, ultimately to destruction. Because it does not keep pace with the rate of progress, which the Creator demands of the universe. And therefore, our destiny lies, in that sense, in science, scientific progress as understood in that manner, and of mankind facing the challenge of dealing with the

whole Solar System; man of the “extraterrestrial imperative.” We are part of the galaxy: Man dealing with the galaxy. Man looking beyond the galaxy to the other parts of the stellar system.

And that must be our policy. That essentially, what we properly call “the American patriotic tradition,” is simply that. It’s Christian in the sense, very specific sense, that we believe that our function is to create conditions which are advanced beyond what exists now, to create the ability of mankind to defend the human species against the kind of mass death which all other species are doomed to, ultimately. And if we adapt ourselves to that intention, we are truly what the American intention was in creating this nation; what the intention was, specifically, of Nicholas of Cusa, the great Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, who gave us modern science, that legacy. And by adopting that legacy, and by telling our children, “This is what’s awaiting you, this progress, these wonderful things, that we can create, which is our mission; that we make inventions, which we pass on when we die, and they become permanent parts of the principles on which we operate in dealing with the universe. It’s that love of mankind, love of our own species and its destiny, its role in the universe, our admiration of the universe, our love of the universe, that is our playground.” These qualities are what we have to restore, and reactivate among our people.

### The Sense of Immortality

**Cerretani:** I think there are very few people, besides a handful of jerks, who would actually disagree with what you said, or not understand that what you just said is true, that that is the world that they’re living in. The problem is what gets in the way of that development, and that people don’t see that as real evil, as true evil: anything that gets in the way of that progress, because we’re not just developing for the sake of developing.

Mankind actually has a role in the development of the entire universe. And for something to get in the way of that development, which is expressed now, as you said, as this oligarchic principle. And it has many different expressions, including this President, including what’s happening right now, with the breakdown crisis and the push for hyperinflation—that’s really evil, Satanical business! And people need to see it as that, and they need to fight against it, knowing that they’re fighting for something that’s probably much greater than themselves.

**LaRouche:** Mm-hmm! There’s a very specific expression of this sort of thing. It’s the sense of immortal-

ity: that we, when we act as scientists or great artists, poets, and so forth, we create a durable conception of what man must become, always searching to go forward, more and more. What man must become—yes, we’ve done this; this is good, but it’s not enough, we have to do something more. Each generation must do something for the future generations.

And just like the great inventions, the great inventor who discovers a principle, when they die, that principle which they have discovered or created, lives on as a benefit to future generations of humanity: *That* is what it is to be human! Because, when we think in those terms, as opposed to so-called Liberal terms—because the Liberal doesn’t believe in progress; the Liberal believes that there’s nothing but pleasure and pain. That’s all they believe in! They don’t believe in principles. They deny principles—they say, “principles are expensive,” or, “they’re not oligarchical.”

So this devotion, where we said, we are part of the future, in those respects that we make discoveries which change the universe for the better, our lives become immortal, even when we die, because what we have done for mankind becomes a permanent part of the organization of society. And therefore, we who die, having created, live forever as personalities in the universe, even though we’re dead in the flesh. And it’s that unity among generations, like my generation and your generation, as an essential unity; we know that my generation is going to die, so my generation is concerned with what it’s giving to your generation. And you must, in turn, think of the generation that follows you: What are you going to do, to change the universe for the better? Instead of this pleasure/pain principle. We will endure pain, for the sake of progress. We will die, happily, if we think that we, in our generation, have made a contribution with our lives, to the future.

And that is the only moral view of mankind: We are a special being. We can create circumstances where the animal can not. The animal has to operate on the basis of what’s built into its nature. We can change our nature through scientific and related discoveries, like great poetry, which gives us a vision of the human mind, the creative powers of the human mind.

**Cerretani:** Mm-hmm.

**LaRouche:** So we have immortality, as our policy: That we will all die, but what we represent as humanity will live forever. And what we do in the course of dying, is we contribute the building blocks for those that come after us.

## The ‘Safest’ Place for Mankind

**Cerretani:** Let me ask you this, then. This may be a provocative question, but, then, what you’re saying is that, in a period of time like this, it’s not enough just to say, “Support Glass-Steagall”; it’s not just enough to want to shut down the investment banking system; it’s not just enough to be rooting for a particular policy, because that’s not really the true fabric of what changes history. You actually have to have a much more profound sense of yourself, and not just be “for” something or in agreement with something, or hope for the best for something. But you actually have to act on it.

**LaRouche:** You look at the German rocket scientists, and their American partners, and other similar—in the Soviet Union, for example, the former Soviet Union, there was some of the same thing: The idea of space was not the idea of space, it was the “extraterrestrial imperative,” as it was described at that point. And we have not only an extraterrestrial imperative; we have the same kind of thing, with respect to everything in the universe: We are delighted by the universe! We’re delighted by its power, its progress, its changes. We are delighted by our ability to do something to change it. We take pride—as we used to take pride. The grandfather says to the grandson, “I built that, I was part of it!” And the grandson looked at the grandfather, and was admiring, “I guess you did something, Granddad!”

And so, it’s that simple expression, which is a simple expression of a very profound principle: The nature of humanity is our *creativity*, our ability to create states of nature which do not exist before our hand is put to it. And it’s that emotion, from generation to generation, from my generation to your generation—skipping the problem in between—that is our motive! The love expressed by that motive, that’s what’s important! That’s the true principle of our Constitution. That’s the true principle of *what we are*, as opposed to all this junk which people buy into.

And love of other people, what they can do! Love of what a child is able to discover. You know, the parents, the grandparents, delighted by this child who made this discovery. And they’re ecstatic over the thing: “This is good! This is good!” And it’s that sense that we require.

And we have to express that, by actually doing things that will bring mankind into a safer condition for mankind, while we’re exploring the universe. And we’re enjoying the fact of what people did for us, in making discoveries. Enjoying the fact that we, too, are dedicated to causing these kinds of discoveries to occur, which bind us together with love, generation to generation. That’s

what it really all means. Everything else is junk.

**Cerretani:** Seems like the safest place for mankind.

**LaRouche:** It is! And it’s our mission in life, it’s our implicit mission in life. That’s what we should be dedicated to, that’s the only point of view which will guide us to safety, as now, right now, so urgently needed.

**Cerretani:** And the future generations are watching.

**LaRouche:** Yes: I feel watched. [laughs] I often feel watched.

**Cerretani:** I think you are!

**LaRouche:** By people like you, who expect the most of us.

**Cerretani:** Yes.

**LaRouche:** Sometimes, we can do it, though. And we should always try, but sometimes, we succeed.

**Cerretani:** Mm-hmm. All right. Anything else?

**LaRouche:** No, I’m quite content. We have said what we had to say.

**Cerretani:** Me, too.

**LaRouche:** Okay, good.

**Cerretani:** Thank you. Stay tuned to [larouchepac.com](http://larouchepac.com). There will most certainly be more, where this came from.

## The Extended Sensorium

The LaRouche Basement Team explores the extended powers of sense-perception, beyond the limits of the five ordinary senses. This provocative report, commissioned by Lyndon LaRouche, was featured in EIR, Feb. 4, 2011:

- **Synesthesia: Beyond the Five Senses**
- **Helen Keller: Mind over Instrumentation**
- **Following the Beat of a Different Drummer**
- **Polarization Sensitivity: A Strong and Weak Sense**
- **What is Polarized Light?**
- **Insects and Infrared**
- **Magnetoreception**
- **Unheard Melodies: Electric and Magnetic Senses in Humans**
- **The Sounds of a Cosmic Chorus**