profile him as responsible for all the economic problems which, in reality, had been consciously created by President Jimmy Carter of the Trilateral Commission. He blamed Reagan for the large defense budget, saying that this will result in the doubling of the budget deficit to \$220 billion in 1987-88 and produce the collapse of international markets. Contradicting himself on the non-existence of the debt crisis, McNamara stated that there will be no prospect of economic growth for the developing countries until at least the end of the decade. This was also the analysis of David Rockfeller, who added his own strong pessimism on the chances for a real economic upswing or even a reduction in interest rates.

In short, the Trilateral Commission meeting in Rome declared war on Reagan and made transparent that it is going to use everything, from wars to destabilization, from economic collapse to coups d'état, to attempt to reverse the push for technological and industrial mobilization implicit in the President's March 23 speech.

Several Italian papers wrote that the Trilateraloids were beginning, here in Rome, an effort to defeat Reagan in the 1984 American presidential election campaign. The important thing to understand immediately is the presence in the ranks of the Reagan government of several Trilateral agents who may stab the President in the back at any time. In this context it is interesting to note the visit of Ray Cline to Rome in the past days, where he gave a press conference to proclaim: "The Trilateral Commission is an organization in which many Democrats work. It is alarming that Kissinger is part of the group. In the past the Trilateral Commission was responsible for the election of Jimmy Carter, and this has particularly weakened the U.S.A. vis-à-vis the Soviet Union. They are now probably preparing the campaign for Walter Mondale. His election would be a disaster. The fact that he was number two in the Carter administration is not a good calling card."

For the Western countries, this Trilateral conference could be fatal. If the "end of democracy" issue was, until today, a theoretical idea of the Trilateraloids, from now on their dictatorial plans are operational. Let us look briefly at the Italian example: Following the Trilateral gathering, and particularly the meeting that Kissinger had with Bettino Craxi, leader of the Socialist Party, the Italian government was collapsed, pushing the country into a new crisis which threatens to undermine the already shaky democratic institutions.

These methods should not surprise anybody. In fact, contrary to the propagandized liberal image that the Trilateral Commission has given itself, using its control over the international press and media, the Commission is a secret Freemasonic cult. Over the past months, Italian magistrates investigating the dirty operations of the Propaganda-2 Lodge of Licio Gelli ended up in Monaco, location of the famous "Comité Montecarlo," which is the P-2 center for the criminal activities of the Trilateral Commission and of its members like Henry Kissinger.

## WEST GERMANY

## Case study: Nazis in the peace movement

by Rainer Apel in Bonn

Recent police investigations in the Federal Republic of Germany into an autumn 1982 wave of terrorism against U.S. military facilities have led to a unique series of arrests and intelligence leads. Anti-terror investigators discovered to everyone's surprise that the bombs had been placed, not by left-wing terrorists as was previously assumed, but by a group of neo-Nazis, some of whom were arrested several weeks ago. The arrests uncovered a network of overlapping "right" and "left" extremists, all operating under the rubric of the "anti-imperialist peace movement." The "left-wing" networks are protecting and safehousing the "right-wing" networks, and vice versa.

The Nazi International controlling this process is headed by Swiss banker François Genoud and his collaborators, like the Swiss-based publisher of Nazi literature Jean Amaudruz (see EIR, April 19). They are currently positioning themselves to exploit the mass protests and violence which will soon erupt in West Germany over the initial deployment of U.S. Pershing missiles there this fall. The Nazis seek to turn the ecology movement, the regional separatists, and similar groups into a political battering ram against the leading institutions of the industrial nations in the West. As Amaudruz underlined in private remarks recently, the worsening of the economic depression and the ensuing collapse of republican institutions in West Germany, Italy, France, and the United States will give them the opportunity they are seeking. Amaudruz believes that "the extreme right is in the same opposition to the System as is the extreme left," and therefore the two should cooperate against "the System."

## The neo-Nazi Kühnen

A paradigmatic case is that of Michael Kühnen, head of the neo-Nazi Action Front of National Socialists (Aktionsfront Nationaler Sozialisten, ANS). Kühnen was released from prison last November, where he served four and half years for illegal Nazi activities. Kühnen is a controller of the right-wing "punkers" who are now joining "peace" demonstrations.

Kühnen and Amaudruz, who make little distinction be-

EIR May 10, 1983 International 37

tween "left" and "right," after all consider themselves "socialists, too" (national socialists). They are reviving the bloody tradition of Hitler's infamous stormtroopers, the Sturmabteilung (SA) of the period before 1933, and of the SS after 1934. Amaudruz is, as well, outspokenly in favor of the bloody tradition of the 19th-century anarchist Bakunin.

Among those most actively campaigning for Kühnen's access to the public media is a German-born left-wing Zionist living in London, known as one of the most prominent "socialist" writers within the German-speaking intelligentsia in Europe, whose name is Erich Fried. He and his political friends in West Germany, most of them prominently engaged in the "peace movement," have begun to campaign publicly in favor of selected neo-Nazis.

It might be surprising to an American reader not quite familiar with the European scene of political extremism to learn that during the recent scandal over former Nazi storm-trooper Werner Vogel, various supposedly pro-socialist B'nai B'rith networks in Europe stated thinly veiled sympathy for Vogel, who had worked his way to the top of the German Green Party before being elected as one of their candidates for parliament. These are the same supposedly anti-Nazi networks which produced Erich Fried, and with which Vogel still collaborates. Several years ago, the same networks were pushing the so-called "political rights" of the left-wing Baader-Meinhof gang of terrorists.

Kühnen himself boasts of his ties to the left. During recent discussions reported to an *EIR* investigative team, Kühnen said, "The prime task of the coming period is to revive the original national socialism of the pre-1933 period." This "original national socialism" was described by Kühnen as the tradition of the "social-revolutionary movement of the Strasser brothers and Ernst Röhm." The latter was the head of the Nazi SA. As Kühnen put it, the post-1933 NSDAP (Nazi Party) betrayed this allegedly "revolutionary potential" in the 1934 mop-up of the SA leadership, which included the assassination of Gregor Strasser and Ernst Röhm.

Kühnen said that the post-1943 collapse of the "Hitlerists'" chauvinist policy forced them to allow revival of the "European" tradition of the "original national socialism," which occurred in the form of the "voluntary Waffen-SS." This genocidal institution, mobilized on behalf of the "scorched earth" strategy of the Nazis at the end of World War II, was put together by recruiting ideologues and mercenaries from all over Wehrmacht-occupied Europe after 1938. Kühnen reportedly expressed his hope that this tradition of the "European" voluntary Waffen-SS might be revived by his own movement, the Action Front of National Socialists (ANS).

The ANS, which claims to be a "social-revolutionary movement of youth," is rapidly linking up with the "movement of remnant old Nazi networks" led by François Genoud and a number of former SS generals who managed to escape the Nuremberg Military Tribunal in 1946-47. One of those generals is Otto-Ernst Remer. He escaped prosecution in

Germany in 1952 by going underground in Egypt, then escaping again in 1962 and staying in exile in Syria between 1970 and 1981! He now has begun to organize old and new Nazis through public rallies. During at least one of these rallies Remer and Kühnen appeared together.

Backed by this decades-old logistical network of Nazis cooperating with and safehoused by Genoud's international spiderweb, Kühnen speaks of new tasks for his ANS: the movement must link up with the "three main protest movements of our time against the System." If it does not, Kühnen contends, the ANS will become a sect.

He has identified the three movements as: 1) the xenophobic, racialist sentiment against foreigners and guest workers; 2) the ecology and protection-of-life movements of all sorts; and 3) the so-called peace movement.

Kühnen developed his ideas about this coming "Second Revolution" in a 300-page manuscript of that title he wrote while in prison between 1977 and 1982. The basic ideas of these movements are identical to the ideas of the old "social-revolutionary movement of original national-socialism of the early 1920s," he wrote. What today's "peaceniks" want, namely to create a "Europe of neutrality outside of the military blocs," was what SA leader Ernst Röhm is said by Kühnen to have had in mind; Röhm wanted "an army not based on high technology, but on self-defense . . . a people's militia . . . as one can find in Switzerland." Röhm's model of a post-1933 German military was the "Swiss model," and it was to be based on cooperation with the Soviets against the West, that is, against the United States.

What would have been Germany's domestic policy if Röhm and the SA leaders had lived beyond 1934 to realize their plans? As Kühnen put it, Germany would have been decoupled from the rest of the world economy, and would have had an economy based on "appropriate technology" designed to save precious raw materials—but based on primitive labor. Isn't this exactly what the supposedly "left-wing" ecologists of today want?

Rumors in West Germany have it that Michael Kühnen's *The Second Revolution* is today's equivalent of *Mein Kampf*. Jean Amaudruz reportedly may publish it through his notorious Courier du Continent publishing house in Lausanne, which has published many fascist and Nazi writings previously banned in Europe. Amaudruz makes little effort to conceal that he thinks "the United States of America is the main evil of the world, the main threat to the world," and "it was a big historical mistake of the white race to go to America."

In solidarity with such separatist groups as the radical American Indian Movement against "modern and decadent America," Kühnen's followers attempt to blockade U.S. military bases during so-called peace demonstrations and have been throwing bombs at the hated Americans. Some have already been arrested for bombings. More violence will certainly follow if preemptive action by anti-terror police is not taken.

38 International EIR May 10, 1983