

# The Strategic Urgency of Exonerating Lyndon LaRouche

by Paul Gallagher

*In answer to a question posed during the last class of the five-part [class series](#), “Earth’s Last Fifty Years, and Earth’s Next Fifty Years,” Paul Gallagher made the following very timely remarks. The edited transcript of that class, “Lyndon LaRouche at Work: Reagan’s Strategic Defense Initiative and the Moon-Mars Mission,” is in this issue of EIR.*

The fact that we are alive today and that nuclear war did not break out in October 1962, really depended on Kennedy literally dragging his feet—digging in his heels and dragging his feet, and almost nothing else, until something came along which enabled the situation to break open. During the Euro-missiles crisis, the 10 years, from Jimmy Carter’s election until the INF Treaty of 1987, there was a totally different process, because of LaRouche *personally*. It’s true that at that point, he had a movement with which to intervene, but it was his force and credibility, personally, which changed the course of that crisis, changed the course of history.

We are in a very dangerous situation now, with the combination of the threat of regime-change wars (at least two that are still ongoing); combined with the withdrawal from the INF Treaty by the United States. We don’t now have Lyndon LaRouche on the scene, with his force and credibility to intervene. Interventions by him have an entirely different effect, than interventions individually by any one of us. So, it rather depends on all of us moving strategically. We don’t have that kind of effective spear-point in the Trump Administration. We know that what we’re doing is known



*President John Kennedy meeting with Soviet Chairman Nikita Khrushchev at the U.S. Embassy residence in Vienna, Austria on June 3, 1961.*

DoS

there, is watched there, but it depends on *all* of us acting as strategically as we can, and planning on a national and international basis, in the way that Helga Zepp-LaRouche does, in order to try to bring about the same effect and essentially replicate what Lyndon LaRouche would do.

The best way to do that, is to bring him to the fore, through exonerating him, and make his policies, all of a sudden, erupt as a *surprise*. If his case were suddenly taken up, with the prospect of exoneration or even of public investigation of what was done to him, that would be a strategic surprise, which would have everybody talking about what he did, and what policies he stood for.

So, that’s what we really have to do in this crisis. We shouldn’t pretend that it’s any less serious than that.