

## EDITORIAL

---

# When Future Historians Review This Week, Will They See a Turning Point, Or a Descent on the Road to Hell?

June 25—It is now official that there will be a meeting between Presidents Donald Trump and Vladimir Putin at the June 28-29 G20 Summit in Osaka, Japan. President Xi Jinping will also conduct a crucial meeting with President Trump there.

These may turn out to be two of the most crucial meetings ever held among heads of state. On Monday, Reuters reported that a senior Russian official, Deputy Foreign Minister Sergei Ryabkov, had raised a nightmare scenario—of the possible deployment of U.S. missiles near Russian borders turning into a repeat of the Cuban Missile Crisis of 1962.

Most who lived through that terrifying event never imagined that it would, or even could, ever happen again. What if, however, we are now sleepwalking, as in 1914, as in 1939, into a global war, which would this time be thermonuclear extinction? Helga Zepp-LaRouche, founder of the Schiller Institute, prior to receipt of the Russian statement, had already said,

Who does not wake up and know that we are on the verge of World War Three? Such a person is not in the real world. We are closer to World War Three than at any time, I would say, in the entire postwar (1945) period. . . . I think it's a very good thing that Trump intervened at the last moment [to call off air strikes against Iran], but it was 10 minutes before the attack! People should really realize that this is not a joke. . . . If this would have happened, we may have been on the way to

World War Three, and that is not an exaggeration.

Why is the world closer now to self-extinction than it was in 1962?

The treasonous influence of British intelligence on the American electoral process of 2016, known as the “Russiagate” hoax, has now been compounded by their attempt, using the United States Congress in addition to the usual neo-con suspects infesting the Trump Administration, to introduce the insane idea of winning war against Russia through “cyber-methods.”

In the middle of the insanity about Russiagate, during the spring and summer of 2018, a corrupted Congress passed the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization [Act](#) of 2019 (NDAA), overriding President Trump’s direct negotiations with Russia and China and demanding low intensity and prewar maneuvers against Russia, China, Iran, and North Korea. In the process, they took away the requirement that the President approve cyberwarfare actions against these four nations, changing the definitions of cyberwarfare in order to give command authority to the U.S. Cyber Command and the Secretary of Defense, rather than the President. They also pre-authorized warfare against these four nations in response to alleged cyberattacks by those four nations. This was the exact area that President Trump had discussed with Vladimir Putin in Helsinki in July of 2018, despite the attempts to use the Russiagate “red herring” to stop that meeting at that time.

When, in the Helsinki meeting, the President once again attempted to use his Constitutional authority to directly negotiate with President Putin, opening a critical dialogue about de-escalating cyber warfare between the U.S. and Russia, the British and their assets in the United States intelligence community, the media, and the Congress went absolutely ballistic, claiming that Trump had committed treason against the United States!

### **Peace and Development Are Possible**

As a result, as the President goes to Osaka, where the heads of the United States, Russia, China, and India need to be free to discuss a four powers arrangement for peace, development, and a new financial architecture, the specter of ultimate war is being used to terrorize the world and to change the subject. This must not be allowed.

Let us take a page from the diplomacy of President John F. Kennedy, who together with the Soviet Union pulled the world away from the brink of extinction in October 1962. In September 1963, he told the United Nations:

Finally, in a field where the United States and the Soviet Union have a special capacity—in the field of space—there is room for new cooperation, for further joint efforts in the regulation and exploration of space. I include among these possibilities a joint expedition to the Moon. Space offers no problems of sovereignty. . . . Surely we should explore whether the scientists and astronauts of our two countries—indeed of all the world—cannot work together in the conquest of space, sending some day in this decade to the Moon not the representatives of a single nation, but the representatives of all of our countries.

An agreement among the Four Space Powers—

Russia, China, India, and the United States—as well as others, to de-escalate the threat of global war through a global coordinated effort in space, in this year of commemoration of the 50th anniversary of the landing of mankind on the Moon, is one idea. This effort, with which John F. Kennedy was so identified, could forge a pathway forward, away from the brink of war, and toward the “Win-Win” cooperation without which the human race has no possible chance of durable, rather than accidental, survival.

Lyndon LaRouche, author of the nuclear defense system known as the Strategic Defense Initiative, [proposed](#) an entirely new paradigm for the world. LaRouche developed comprehensive plans for new strategic relationships based on China, Russia, India, and the United States creating a new gold reserve based monetary system that would dedicate its credit to physical development of the world, rather than offshore financial chicanery and usury—the means by which the City of London and Wall Street presently control the world’s finances.

For that, LaRouche was defamed and prosecuted by the very same British-directed apparatus that is now going after President Trump, right down to the same prosecutor, Robert S. Mueller, III. LaRouche must be exonerated so that any sane policy of international and national development, benefiting all human beings, can be fully discussed, debated, and understood by the American population. Such a debate now, in this time of crisis, is a literal force for peace.

Ask your U.S. Representative or Senator: Where do you really stand on war and peace when it comes to Russia and China? Did you vote for the 2019 NDAA in 2018? Do you have any idea at all what was in it? Will you now join a great movement for peace, by acting to eliminate the British imperial system’s treasonous influence on the U.S.?

Will you act to exonerate LaRouche?