

THIRTY YEARS AGO, TODAY

# The 1989 Jailing of LaRouche Defined An Era Which Now Must End

by Dennis Small

Jan. 21—Many Americans sat in stunned amazement earlier this month as the venerable *New York Times* and Special Counsel Robert Mueller's apparatus moved to put the President of the United States, Donald Trump, on trial for treason, because he dared to meet and converse with Russian President Vladimir Putin; because he has referred to NATO as "obsolete" and questioned its role; and because he fired his director of the FBI, James Comey, as the Constitution prescribes he has the authority to do. Jaws dropped as it became public that top echelons of the FBI, the CIA, and the Democratic Party—all on instructions from British intelligence—had been, and still are to this day, engaged in an active coup d'état against the elected President of the United States.

Many of you reading these lines today are rightly aghast at the fact that these actors, although they have not yet achieved their objective, have so far gotten away with their plot, and that they act with seeming impunity. "How is that possible?" you ask yourself and your friends.

To find the answer to that question, you only need look to the events of Jan. 27, 1989—precisely 30 years ago—when Lyndon H. LaRouche and a group of his associates were railroaded to prison with lengthy sentences, for crimes they never committed. The frame-up and jailing of LaRouche, facilitated by years of lying media vilification of LaRouche and his movement, which continues to this day, was carried out by the same British-run political apparatus—in many cases, by the same individual hit-men, including Special Counsel Robert Mueller—that today is out to topple the President of the United States.

And it is because they were able to carry out that legal atrocity against LaRouche 30 years ago, with hardly a whimper of protest from America's leading institutions and most of the American people, that they

are at it again today, on a grander scale.

In fact, the five-year jailing of Lyndon LaRouche defined an entire era of modern U.S. history, much as the 1963 assassination of John F. Kennedy did.

## A Fertile Engine of Ideas

There is no exaggeration in that statement. Ramsey Clark, who served as Attorney General of the United States under President Lyndon Johnson, and who also represented LaRouche in his appeals to the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court, stated in an April 26, 1995 open letter to then Attorney General Janet Reno:

I bring this matter [the LaRouche case] to you directly, because I believe it involves a broader range of de-

liberate and systematic misconduct and abuse of power over a longer period of time in an effort to destroy a political movement and leader, than any other federal prosecution in my time or to my knowledge.

On another occasion that same year, Clark said:

The purpose can only be seen as destroying—it's more than a political movement, it's more than a political figure; it is those two. But it's a fertile engine of ideas, a common purpose of thinking and studying and analyzing to solve problems, regardless of the impact on the status quo, or on vested interests. It was a deliberate purpose to destroy that at any cost... In what was a complex and pervasive utilization of law enforcement, prosecution, media, and non-governmental organizations focused on destroying an enemy, this case must be number one.

## EDITORIAL

With LaRouche's jailing, America and the world were deprived of their most illustrious statesman and economist.

Because LaRouche's policies for replacing the deadly looting of Wall Street and the City of London with a just New World Economic Order of universal, high-tech development, were not implemented, hundreds of millions of people around the world remained in poverty and tens of millions perished unnecessarily. It has only been with China's recent adoption of policies very similar to those proposed by LaRouche starting 50 years ago, that the genocide has stopped in at least large parts of the planet.

### **LaRouche and Reagan Sabotaged**

Because LaRouche's SDI policy, as adopted and proposed by President Ronald Reagan in 1983, was sabotaged and not carried out, the world today teeters at the edge of thermonuclear confrontation. Only a return to LaRouche's original design of the SDI ballistic missile defense system—based on new physical principles and on cooperation with Russia and China, not against them—can now pull us back from the brink.

Because LaRouche's proposal—after the fall of the Berlin Wall and the reunification of Germany, famously forecast by LaRouche in October 1988—for cooperation between East and West, was rejected and LaRouche was hauled off to jail scarcely three months later, Russia was ravaged and the West was looted under Thatcher, Bush, and Mitterrand. And a wave of permanent wars was unleashed, which is with us still today.

Because LaRouche's proposed war on drugs against London's "Dope, Inc." banking apparatus was never implemented, a drug epidemic today is poisoning our nation and the world.

And because LaRouche's policies for generating a new Renaissance of classical culture and science were swept aside, we now stare into the pit of hell of a New Dark Age that is engulfing our youth in particular.

Some among you may disagree. Some may think that no jailing of a single man, no matter how unjustly, could possibly cause such results. But those thinking that way have yet to understand how real history works, how ideas are the driving force of humanity's advance. In fact, the entire body of LaRouche's life work and his

extensive scientific writings, address precisely that central question: the role of man's unique creativity in shaping his own history, and that of the physical universe around him. *Read and study LaRouche* if you wish to understand why the British Empire so fears him.

On March 30, 1984, Lyndon LaRouche wrote a "Draft Memorandum of Agreement Between the U.S. and the U.S.S.R.," with a vision for the future which remains as scientifically valid today as it was 35 years ago. In it, LaRouche stated:

The political foundation for durable peace must be: a) The unconditional sovereignty of each and all nation-states, and b) Cooperation among sovereign nation-states to the effect of promoting unlimited opportunities to participate in the benefits of technological progress, to the mutual benefit of each and all. The most crucial feature of present implementation of such a policy of durable peace is a profound change in the monetary, economic, and political relations between the dominant powers and those relatively subordinated nations often classed as "developing nations." Unless the inequities lingering in the aftermath of modern colonialism are progressively remedied, there can be no durable peace on this planet.

Insofar as the United States and Soviet Union acknowledge the progress of the productive powers of labor throughout the planet to be in the vital strategic interests of each and both, the two powers are bound to that degree and in that way by a common interest. This is the kernel of the political and economic policies of practice indispensable to the fostering of durable peace between those two powers.

It is time that America atone for tolerating LaRouche's incarceration three decades ago—not because such a terrible injustice was done to LaRouche, but because we have done such a terrible injustice to ourselves, and the world. It is past time to exonerate LaRouche and his associates; but above all, it is time to ensure that LaRouche's policies are at last adopted.