

V. The Same Nazis Are Running The Government in Ukraine

LYNDON LAROUCHE, HELGA ZEPP-LAROUCHE

Attack on Ukraine's Vitrenko Stinks of Nuland's Banderist Nazis

This press release was issued by EIR, under the title "LaRouche, Zepp-LaRouche: Despicable Attempt to Frame Dr. Natalia Vitrenko Stinks of Nazism in the Bandera Tradition—Victoria Nuland's Favorite Ideology."

April 9—American statesman Lyndon LaRouche and his wife Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche, today forcefully denounced a Nazi-led campaign being carried out by the Security Service of Ukraine (SBU) to defame and threaten the prominent Ukrainian economist and former Member of Parliament Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, a heroine and leading intellectual leader of that country.

"There has to be a high suspicion that this is being orchestrated by U.S. Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland," said Lyndon LaRouche, "or by someone else with an affinity for Nazis in the Bandera tradition, such as Nuland is notorious for."

Zepp-LaRouche, who in February 1997 co-initiated with Vitrenko the "Appeal To Convoke a New Bretton Woods Conference," as a pro-development reorganization of the world economic system, responded to news of the escalated attacks on the Ukrainian economist and politician: "These slanders are all the more despicable,



Dr. Natalia Vitrenko

in that they occur one month before the 70th anniversary of the defeat of Nazism in Europe, in May 1945. The nations said after the end of that war, 'Never again!,' but the Western governments have forgotten about that pledge. Natalia Vitrenko has had the integrity to expose the neo-Nazi revival, and to give evidence of Banderite atrocities, both historically and in our day, while Victoria Nuland's chosen Prime Minister Arseniy 'Yats' Yatsenyuk, on the contrary, had the

nerve to say on German television that the Nazi invasion never occurred—that it was only the Soviet Union that occupied Ukraine."

Dr. Vitrenko has twice been interrogated by the SBU for supposedly receiving Russian funds for the purpose of engaging in what some media have maliciously depicted as "propaganda work to discredit the Ukrainian government authorities, to provoke armed conflict between different layers of the population of Ukraine, to incite ethnic hatred, and to provide information support for conducting 'referenda' in Ukraine's eastern regions." A statement issued yesterday by Vitrenko's Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine (PSPU) pointed to stories published this week by the Glavcom news agency (glavcom.ua) and other media, which

cited SBU “suspicions” about Vitrenko’s receiving money from the Russian Foreign Ministry-run Fund for the Support and Defense of the Rights of Compatriots Residing Abroad.

Pro-Nazi Stench

The allegations date to May 2014, when the accounts of Dar Zhizni (Gift of Life), a women’s NGO headed by Vitrenko, were frozen. According to Ukrainian media, this was done “in the framework of an SBU investigation of funds transfers through Ukribank, intended for persons suspected of infringement of the territorial integrity and inviolability of Ukraine.”

Headlines in the current flood of media coverage name Vitrenko as a major sponsor of separatists and terrorists, which are broad categories being used by the current Ukrainian authorities against their political opponents. Alexander Bondarchuk, head of the small Ukrainian Labor Party, and a colleague of Vitrenko both in the Parliament and as a co-signer on her statements against the violent, neo-Nazi-spearheaded February 2014 coup d’état in Kiev and its aftermath, was arrested March 18 on charges of “infringing the territorial integrity of Ukraine.”

Translations of the PSPU statement on the renewed threat to Vitrenko, as well as one on the Bondarchuk case, are available at http://larouchepub.com/pr/2015/150409_vitrenko_threatened.html

SBU head Valentyn Nalyvaychenko announced in an April 1 interview that the model for his agency is Stepan Bandera’s Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists-Ukrainian Insurgent Army (OUN-UPA), which collaborated with the Nazis during their invasion of the Soviet Union. In view of Vitrenko’s biography and her courage in continuing to speak out on the economic and political devastation of Ukraine since last year’s coup, the attacks have the pro-Nazi stench, typical of U.S. Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland’s projects in Ukraine. Nalyvaychenko, long associated with the Bandera Trident (Tryzub) organization, a core component of the coup’s Right Sector shock troops, boasted in a May 2014 Atlantic Council conference call of his “intensive” intelligence-sharing and other cooperation with “U.S. colleagues.”

Political Figures Must Speak Out

Zepp-LaRouche noted that Vitrenko has spoken frequently in Europe, on both the harm done to Ukraine’s

economy by IMF and free-trade policies, and the threat of the revival of fascist ideology in Ukraine, encouraged from the West. “Dr. Vitrenko is well-known and highly respected in Europe,” said Zepp-LaRouche, “and she has had high-level meetings both there and, in earlier years, in the United States. It is important for political figures worldwide to condemn these ludicrous attacks on Natalia Vitrenko, and identify where they are coming from.”

The LaRouches were Vitrenko’s guests in Kiev in 1995, when she was an MP. An economist specializing on Ukraine’s social services sector and the impact of International Monetary Fund (IMF) conditionalities, she ran a strong campaign for the Presidency of Ukraine in 1999, polling 11%, even after her campaign was disrupted by an assassination attempt, in which she and 40 others were injured by grenades thrown at a rally. In recent years, Dr. Vitrenko spoke at conferences of the Schiller Institute in Frankfurt, Germany, in April 2013 and October 2014.

In a [speech](#) video-recorded for the March 28-29, 2015 conference of the Citizens Electoral Council of Australia, Vitrenko herself recalled that, “From Feb. 23 to March 5, 2014, our delegation of leading representatives of some Ukrainian leftist parties was able to tour Europe, visiting France, Germany, and Italy,” where they held “dozens of meetings, press conferences, and interviews. Thanks to Members of the European Parliament, . . . I was able on 26 February 2014 to hold a press conference at the European Parliament. . . . Already then, we warned about the threat of civil war and the disintegration of the country, which could lead to World War III. And at that time, I called on Berlin, Moscow, and Paris to unite efforts: specifically these three centers—Berlin, Moscow, Paris—to unite their efforts and prevent the Nazis from taking over in Ukraine, and to help institute a ban on all neo-Nazi parties and movements in Ukraine.”

Natalia Vitrenko’s latest video address, posted today on her website, www.vitrenko.org, is titled “A Military Junta Instead of European Values.” She analyzes the law introduced into Parliament April 3 by President Petro Poroshenko, which provides for declaring martial law in Ukraine, either in the face of an armed invasion, or “the threat of attack.” The latter formulation, Vitrenko alleges, provides for acting on the basis of fabricated threats—just like the SBU’s “bluff, that Vitrenko is threatening the territorial integrity of Ukraine.”