

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

March 21, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 12

www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

LaRouche: Our USA Now Enters a New Era
Putin Stands Up to London's Thermonuclear Blackmail
End Obama Coverup of 9/11 Anglo-Saudi Terror

**The Presidential Rage Ball:
The Wicked Witches of Obama**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almarino*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Ulf Sandmark*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.executiveintelligencereview.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly

(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach

Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany

Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,

basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.

Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:

eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR , Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,

Col Plutarco E Calles,

Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,

Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights

reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without

permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement

#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.

Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

With a world war crisis upon us, all traditional norms are out of the window. Thus, this issue of *EIR Online* is a jam-packed 90-page magnum opus, featuring three articles by Lyndon LaRouche which we've rushed to print, and crucial exclusive news analysis as well.

LaRouche's cover feature, "The Presidential Rage Ball: The Wicked Witches of Obama," is an in-depth, clinical presentation of the threat represented by keeping this President in office, as well as an elaboration of what corrective principles must be applied. LaRouche then elaborates on those principles, from a physical-economic scientific standpoint in "The Fuzz of the Impeachment: Our USA Now Enters a New Era," and "The Meaning of Life as Such."

We publish three exclusive news-analysis pieces in this issue, all of which have crucial significance for destroying the British Empire and getting the world back on track. The first is the full transcript of the March 12 press conference on the suppressed 28 pages of the Congressional Inquiry into 9/11, sponsored by Representatives Walter Jones (R-N.C.) and Stephen Lynch (D-Mass.), at which Rep. Thomas Massie (R-Ky.) and representatives of the families of survivors also spoke. The second is a prominent interview given by Schiller Institute founder Helga Zepp-LaRouche during her recent trip to Beijing, whose transcript we publish. The third is the breaking story around advancements toward impeachment of Obama, with the true story behind Sen. Dianne Feinstein's attack on the CIA.

Of course, we can't ignore the breaking news on crucial fronts, such as the British Empire's provocations against Russia in the so-called "Ukraine crisis." We also include a crucial contribution by the LaRouchePAC Scientific Team on the Anglo-Dutch hoax of "fracking"—one of the means by which the Empire is exacerbating a water and food crisis that threatens the lives of hundreds of thousands of American citizens.

As we go to press, the global strategic crisis, and the financial-economic disaster which lies behind it, is intensifying. Don't count on it stopping until the message that LaRouche delivers in his documents in this issue, is taken to heart, and acted upon, by leading U.S. institutions—and soon.

Cover This Week

Any resemblance of these witches to Valerie Jarrett, Samantha Power, or Susan Rice is strictly intentional (with apologies to Goya).



4 The Presidential Rage Ball: The Wicked Witches of Obama

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “The coven of evil witches closely associated immediately with the Obama Presidency, are merely the bat-like bearers of an actually thermonuclear disease. The failure of the U.S. Congress to respond with a lawful defense of humanity’s continued existence, lies largely in the fact that Wall Street’s electoral campaign-funding of many members of our Senate and the House, is paid, significantly, with financial-campaign means supplied from the British-steered swindlers of Wall Street, especially so, since the treasonous repeal of the Glass-Steagall Law, a repeal which has robbed the citizens of the United States, generally, of their birthrights.”

27 ‘Constitutionality of the National Bank’

International

28 Putin Stands Up to London’s Thermonuclear Blackmail

A number of top strategic thinkers, have argued in recent days that the United States has no strategic interest in the Crimea situation or the larger Russia-Ukraine showdown—certainly no strategic interest that rises to the threshold of risking thermonuclear war.

31 Documentation: U.S. Policy Has Set the Stage for War

33 Germans Are Speaking Out Against Ukraine Nazis

35 Interview: Pino Arlacchi: The ‘Mackinder Mantra’ in U.S.-Russia Conflict

37 Helga Zepp-LaRouche on China Radio: We Can Have a New Era of Mankind

China Radio International’s “People in the Know” program interviewed Zepp-LaRouche during her recent trip to Beijing.

43 UN Report on Gun-Running to Syria Indicts Gulf States, Implicates U.S.

National Security

- 46 Press Conference Demands: End Obama Coverup of 9/11 Anglo-Saudi Terror**
On March 12, several Members of Congress, joined by representatives of the 9/11 Families, held an extraordinary press conference, to demand that President Obama declassify the 28-page chapter from the Joint Congressional Inquiry Report that deals with the pivotal role of Saudi Arabia in the events of Sept. 11, 2001.
- 48 Press Conference: Members of Congress, 9/11 Families Demand: Release the 28 Pages Now!**

National

- 57 Senate-CIA Fight: A Prelude to Impeachment of Obama?**
With Sen. Dianne Feinstein's powerful attack on the CIA from the Senate floor on March 11, charging that the Agency has violated the Constitutional separation of powers, and further revelations that have put President Obama in the middle of the coverup of Dick Cheney's torture policy, a new path has opened up which could—and should—lead to the early impeachment of Barack Obama.
- 62 Glass-Steagall: Maryland Citizens Fight Wall Street**

Economics

- 65 The Fuzz of the Impeachment: Our USA Now Enters a New Era**
By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
“My particular concern, in writing this report, is to hope to inspire among at least some of us, what we Americans really are, what is different about us, than Europeans generally, but, implicitly, must also be, once more, again, to their true advantage, and we, ourselves, as they, must come to understand what that difference, still working among our honest patriots, is, in fact, even though their knowledge of this within them, as their true heritage, appears, often, to have gone to sleep.”
- 78 Fracking: The Economics of Extinction**
With half the United States in drought, and 80% of the national food crop threatened, continuation of the “fracking” boom is criminal. Instead, we should be developing nuclear fission and fusion energy.

Science

- 81 The greatest crisis within science today! The Meaning of Life as Such**
By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
“The principle of human sanity, is expressed, most notably, in a commitment to the realization of the human species' advancement to higher orders of energy-flux density, per capita, and of the role of increased energy-flux densities in production of the higher ordering of the future.”

Editorial

- 89 Remember Bertrand Russell?**

THE PRESIDENTIAL RAGE BALL:

The Wicked Witches Of Obama

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 8, 2014

Prologue:

The torrent of lies pouring out of the attendant maenads of the rage-ball, Obama Presidency, has brought the entire planet to the thermonuclear brink of a general, planetary, extinction of the human species: that done by the margin of aid supplied by Obama's coven of virtual witches: witches of a quality ostensibly coming from Satan's domain, and also the family tribe of Dick Cheney.

For example, what if there were no threat of war now being caused by the Hitler Nazi-rooted elements (left over, now, from Hitler himself) in the Ukraine: as if there had not been a present threat of an actually global, thermonuclear war coming out, centrally, from the imperial monarchy of that Queen Elizabeth I, who is to be recognized as, personally, otherwise, the world's presently leading international drug-pusher.

The coven of evil witches closely associated immediately with the Obama Presidency, are merely the bat-like bearers of an actually thermonuclear disease. The failure of the U.S. Congress to respond with a lawful defense of humanity's continued existence, lies largely in the fact that Wall Street's electoral campaign-funding of many members of our Senate and the House, is

paid, significantly, with financial-campaign means supplied from the British-steered swindlers of Wall Street, especially so, since the treasonous repeal of the Glass-Steagall Law, a repeal which has robbed the citizens of the United States, generally, of their birth-rights.

Notably, the Obama coven of witches has played a critical role in the Obama horror-show now on stage. One honest action by the majority of the Congress, even a large ration, would only need snap its fingers and the already much-hated Obama would virtually disappear from the global scene, falling, thus, deep into the obscurity, or properly, of his prison: all that done for the reason of his massive accumulation of crimes repeatedly committed, for which Obama himself is richly guilty.

What is urgently needed, is about a dozen, or more members of the U.S. Congress with the guts to report this publicly; the majority of the citizenry is in desperate need for this urgently needed change to occur: those among us who are not feeding on Wall Street campaign-fund donations. The recent level of lies to be heard from the U.S. Presidency and, also, some complicit members of the U.S. Congress, must abate, considerably. All after nearing sixteen years of Hell, all under the combined reigns of fracking Dick Cheney (the controller of the former President George Bush, Jr. puppet) and his successor, Barack Obama.

Introduction

Among the larger, and longer scheme of events, both among our citizens, and much of the world besides, there is presently a deep fear, one not unlike the effect on those Germans who first recognized, sometimes reluctantly, the reality of that Hitler as a Hitler evil which is, presently represented by the usurper faction of direct political descendants of Hitler's own Ukrainian Nazis, Ukrainian Nazis which are still an organization today, now serving Obama's cause, still being steered presently, as continuing Nazis often still wearing emblems of their Hitler past, all under the British imperial monarchy presently. These are the heirs of Hitler and his genocidal schemes, who have been awarded, currently, support taken, essentially, from the regime of the presently British imperial mistress of the world, "the one" (as Obama might say), the Empress who is steering the crimes of her notable puppet, U.S. President Barack Obama, at this time.

This cowardly sickness of fear from among so many of the underlings of our society, is presently shared, richly, among many of those citizens who, actually, otherwise hate Barack Obama (perhaps more than 70%), for the actual evil he represents; but, they fear to take him on directly for reason of their fear of his known criminality.

This Obama situation smells as if Adolf Hitler's ghost were occupying the body (in the absence of a true mind) of our current U.S. (virtually British) puppet-President Obama. Meanwhile, our own frightened political cowards and opportunists, adapt themselves to both their waning hope for personal greed, but also with an increase in their fears of the monster who is the current U. S. President. The price of giving in to their frankly understandable, but still unforgivable fears, now threatens the probable extinction of the human population of this planet. We are, thus, obliged to fight for the regaining of the freedom which had been taken from us by the junior Bush (i.e., Cheney) and Obama Administrations.



Francisco Goya, "All Will Fall," from "Los Caprichos" (1797-99).

Now, the early prospect for global thermonuclear warfare for the weeks just ahead, is like that: under the realities of planet Earth's surface, today. Heros die in honor, but the present threat of global thermonuclear warfare removes the very meaning of the existence of nearly every fearful person; that fact now reappears from deep into an evil, Zeus-ian past of our human species, as under the times of the Roman Empire and the almost global British Empire of today. This situation comes with the added threat, that there might be no one left to bring a new human soul into existence.

This now brings the meaning of this present report,

that here and now, toward the actually crucial point in fact, a fact with which, I must confront our readers, here. The crime of the citizens, but especially those among us with the powers of government, but the fear to properly use them, is, in itself, a crime against humanity, the crime of cowardice by people in high places, submitting to one's fears when the stake is mankind's very existence, and infecting the lost courage of those driven into fear. Such is the price of spiritual gutlessness, and cupidity, which are already to be seen readily from among the putative leaders of our own republic.

I. The Issue Is that of Immortality

“... thus conscience doth make cowards of us all:
And, thus, the native hue of resolution
Is sicklied o'er with the pale cast of thought,
And enterprises of great pith and moment,
With this regard, their currents turn awry,
And lose the name of action.”

—William Shakespeare, **Hamlet**

The typical member of our present society, and, that since long past, may pretend to be even a Christian, for example, but, recently, more often, that member seeks presently base sorts of diversionary mortal entertainments, rather than the actual meaning of his or her existence, or the meaning of the courage of an honest soldier's oath not to turn himself into a coward of that stripe which mimics the personality of that intrinsically cowardly Hamlet, whom Shakespeare has presented with his own exquisitely refined, and truthful judgment, in the drama named **Hamlet**.

In each and all among our meantimes, Death comes toward us all; but, the fallen soldier, or his likeness, may be, nonetheless, an immortal in the consequences of his performances of his mission. However, that being true, is not yet the sufficient reason for courage which we must each yet realize in and of our selves. As in all such matters, what is essential is the reason for that itself: *the reason, in particular, of it all*.

The far more crucial subject-matter for appropriate concern, is the question: what becomes of one's continued mission in having lived, even still in that time when our brain and living body exist no more, when only a seemingly mere residue of our truly immortal aspect of one's self, is still a force for good throughout generations to come.

It is, so, exactly as Shakespeare had warned, in the thesis of his **Hamlet**: that, when that play were duly considered in its true significance for foreseeing the future of our human species. This challenge, is then, thus typified for us, as in the case of all great modern, expressed physical science, great poetry, Classical music, and Classical drama. It is the same quality of surviving genius which those cultural qualities have not only expressed, but have been demonstrated in the discoveries of the actually immortal benefits given to mankind by each true discovery of a universal physical principle, as by such illustrative modern cases as those of such particular cases as those of Max Planck and Albert Einstein, or, earlier, such voices of the scientists of the modern Golden Age of Filippo Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa.¹ The essential difference of man from mere beasts, reposes in the creative power inherent in the design given as opportunity to every living human individual: as follows.

The true mission of the truly great artist's life remains, immortally, the fact, that while the great poets' voices still resonate, and are to be heard, in what had been the mind, a time beyond death: to be heard, by the willing, that they are still the message of a voice which rings throughout the ages, singing, thus, the songs of the immortal souls. That music is the essence of the difference which must be expressed as the essential difference of man from beast.

The discoverer of a universal physical principle, acts, indeed, for nothing as much as the sake of the human species' future prosperity as a species. He, or she, is thus exemplified, chiefly, by participation in the same principled intention as the great Classical modes in the combination of Classical art and true physical science. These are to be admired, largely, for their discoveries of actual such principles as are, presently, almost universally unknown to the intrinsically hateful, nor even tolerated by the denizens of Wall Street, and, unfortunately, too large a challenge, by far, for the behavior of the cowardly and opportunist members among the members of the U.S. Congress presently. I mean, most notably, those members whose vent is given to lonely prayers for the blessings sought from whatever might be the currently presiding Satan of Wall Street.

1. In contrast to the stark, Satanic quality of evil, inherent in both Britain's Satanic Bertrand Russell, and his morally tainted followers of the 1920s and beyond, as through to the common evil of the British oligarch and the slimy soul of his lackey.

The question to be considered, before attempting to bring on conclusions respecting the subject which I am placing in hand, here, concerns the matter of those evils which are nourished by foolish ignorance, rather than an actually witting intent to be evil as such. Generally all recognized representatives of Wall Street, or the like, are, in fact of practice, intrinsically evil persons, by that standard of measurement: little Satans likely on their way to their own peculiar destiny in a true Hell, fellows who might, therefore, receive the greatly healing gifts of humility (and, also, some soul-cleansing poverty) which might take away some of the dung which had still been fermenting within their seemingly dead souls.

Aha! Stop and listen. Listen very carefully.

What Are We Human Beings, Really?

There is, in respect to matters of principle, a specific quality of difference between an honest person and the model consistent with a spirit of the Wall Street type.

The only way for purifying the sick soul of a Wall Street potentate, is: remove all his self-corrupting possession of sheer money and its trappings, as being the poison which might have, probably, destroyed his dead usurers' soul. Almost nothing else would do such as he is, any significant good, then. Forget prayers; he is actually, not one who prays, but preys instead; such is his miserable, probably forever lost, and, dead soul. It is truly a fact, that the idea of money as having an actually intrinsic value, is, in the end of life, implicitly a satanic delusion, as the great Alexander Hamilton warned us, implicitly, a satanic delusion.

Let us be, therefore, always a follower in the profession of our great Benjamin Franklin, that also of General Alexander Hamilton.²

2. Actually, properly promoted to the military rank of General officer, in his concluding period of his life. He had been among the greatest leaders which our republic had produced in his time, and remains for us, still today, worth more, in virtue, than all those of the members of the financier and accountant class, who have earned the attributes of a practice of evil, as the latter class's gloomy heritage, in their pretending that money has any intrinsically moral value in and of itself. Money is to be circulated and used in support of the productive powers of creating physical and related spiritual wealth. Money as such, takes on the accursed quality of the truly Satanic.



Creative Commons

The Wall Street types: traders at the New York Stock Exchange. The idea of "money as having an actually intrinsic value," writes LaRouche, is implicitly "a satanic delusion."

What has been regarded, among contemporary (prevalently) ignorant persons, respecting the principles of an actual economy, is their foolish presumption, that money is a kind of store-house, a "parking place" for economic value, where it were, actually, merely dead paper, in reality. As any one might know with even a slight degree of competent education in the experience of life as such, money never had an inherent relationship to any actual economic value for society. Any contrary presumption of an inherent value of money as such, were either an outright lie on that person's part, while he, or she were still alive, to masturbate in an only imagined state of glory; but, are to be considered, in fact, as, actually, nothing but the empty name of a dead word, soon to become lost amid the dust of all aimless ends.

The Martyred Hamilton as a Prophet

Martyrn Alle Arten, indeed! That is not a matter of an occasional event, but, in fact, a state of the only true immortality of the soul of the deceased heroes of mankind: the deceased persons who have acted to create a true future for mankind. Alexander Hamilton, among the relatively few, actually truly greatest souls of our American Revolution, created the image of the realization of an immortal principle in human existence, as would the also martyred President Abraham Lincoln, and all other kindred, great and most truly memorable

souls of our, hopefully, still continuing American Revolution, wherever it still lives in these presently desolate times.

Those from among our leaders, who have been truly still living souls, but now serving, as souls, as if from afar, in the perpetual glory of our original American Revolution and its struggle against the satanic beast which has been the Anglo-Dutch reign of imperial evil throughout so much of this planet, the futility of a reincarnated birth for the servants of a vividly Satanic regime—the regime of the originally known Satan, Zeus himself, this time for an awfully long time, like the evil principal descendants of Satan, such as those typified today by the succession of the bestial quality of evil embodied in the Roman and British Empires, this far, or the likeness of Barack Obama’s evil soul, presently.

Read the known legacy of that traditionally historic Satan, otherwise known to living tradition, as Zeus. There is no mere fancy in presenting the satanic part in a presently continuing reign of ultimate evil, in the shadowy likeness of pro-Satanic cases. The characteristics professed by each and every example of that specifically Satanic evil, is not a mere suspicion, a mere rumor; it is an actively expressed attribute of the monstrous evil which all evil empires and their hierarchies have embodied in their virtual existence. The evidence for this necessary to-be-considered, and profoundly true fact of the known history of our species, is conclusive. That is shown on a clearly scientific basis. It is sufficient to compare all followers of the Zeus tradition who are not normally men and woman, but merely humanoid beasts, like Nero, then, and the Empress Elizabeth II, today, and her regime, today.

The current name for that satanic quality of evil, were what Her Britannic (or, also satanic) British empire represents, as a direct and exact model of the reign of the ancient Zeus, or, the Rome of Nero’s reign, and its like, as also the Dutch-spawned British (e.g. “Brutish”) empire of today. The specifically scientific evidence for that conclusion, is beyond doubt for any actually competent science: any denial of that fact, would constitute a fraud endowed by evil intentions: the satanically “green” policy of Elizabeth II, for example. Her “Green” policy, itself, is a precise, and systematically scientific proof, of her Satanic touch of evil. Hence, the policies of a President Barack Obama, her notably misanthropic, virtually satanic stooge, presently, whose worst crime is, simply said, being himself.

So much to be said, of Satan and his people.

The Absolute Difference of Man From Beast

The simplest, direct evidence of the difference of man from beast, is located, to the best of our best, currently available, archeological and current information, alike, in any person, not yet known to us by any exact name, but, presumably, also his wife-in-effect, somewhere, then and there in Africa, where the family of, presumably, the gentleman in question, was doing what no mere beast would do: cook his (or, her) food, with the practical use of fire.

Call that the presently earliest evidence of the essential difference, of man from beast: or, for clarity, *the presumed birth of man’s practice of chemistry*.

The principle of chemistry serves our need for the more distant vision of future implicit in archeological knowledge of the explicit existence of actually human beings, still today. My use of the name of “chemistry,” is no fantasy in any sense. The effective practice of Chemistry, exposes the fact of the essential difference of man from ape. The mass-murderous Queen Elizabeth II, taken in her royal tradition, as a tradition since the Dutch mass-murder of the Irish, by contrast, belongs in her expressed social policy, as better suited to the category of ape, or even some fabled infestation of Buckingham Palace by a family of some particular variety of monkeys, or, perhaps, even, according to the reported evidence on those premises, of the existing there of old bats: sucking (vampire) bats.³

I am, therefore, impassioned by my devotion to the cause of humanity, against all would-be contenders for a satanic disposition. Happily all actually competent scientific evidences are, in their traditions, on my own side in this matter; leave anything different to the baboons, who are known, honorably, for their industrial qualities in that species’ extended family’s gatherings of nuts.

The Crucial Distinction To Be Made

The essential and thoroughly proven, distinction of human from beast, is located essentially in the specifically noëtic principle which is known to be the absolute distinction of man from beast. The best suspicion as to what that may imply, is immediately available, in this matter.

The relevant appearance is, that the Creator acted to introduce that distinction of man from beast, which is

3. I speak (in this case, write) with the excellent evidence in hand, of the cause of an American citizen justly devoted to our patriotic heritage.



RT.ru

When human beings act more like beasts: Shown are neo-Nazi demonstrators in Ukraine. They are the ideological descendants of Stepan Bandera, whose organization perpetrated murder of Jews and Poles during the Nazi occupation of Ukraine (1941-44).

the noëtic distinction by some means which we, still at present, might plausibly consider as miraculous, at the least, until more precise information were provided to us. However this need is fulfilled to a large degree, in the fact of the outcome of that noëtic distinction: the which is that which the human mind's manifestly specifically noëtic powers are to be recognized, as unique to our species. No other known living species has yet been known to us to have crossed the, otherwise, systematically impenetrable barrier of evidence which separates the category of the species of mankind, from that of all species of beasts.

However, there are still presently cases of human beings who act more like beasts, than humans; the legacy of Zeus thus presents a principle of evil which condemns such a practitioner to the ranks of the bestial domain, like the ancient Zeus, the Roman emperor, and the modern Roman-imperial-like British Empire.

The traditions of the Roman and British empires, or their like, occupy that latter category, that of bestiality, which is to be seen so, on no less basis than the clear record of comparative performances, such as the performance of the manifest intention to do evil against mankind. The Nazis and their outgrowths, such as the Nazi elements which have a continued existence among some perverted Ukrainians who are like all of the outgrowths of the original Nazis of Bandera's terrorist methods, deployed mass-murder against Jews and Poles under the horrid Nazi regime, all of which are

merely typical of such sub-human manifestations.⁴

These considerations, while also concerning traditional concerns respecting the principle of lawful relations among peoples and persons, have entered us into a new dimension, with the expressed intention to seek the means of contemporary thermonuclear methods of warfare and the like, to the effect of such presently threatened effects as the launching, as proposed by the administration of the British Empire and its accomplice, President Barack Obama, in seeking what are clearly the intention of entering into implicitly declared, globally expressed thermonuclear conflict, essentially between

the principal parties of the trans-Atlantic and Eurasian masses of areas: an action which implies the sudden extinction of a great mass of the human population as a whole, such as that which Britain's Elizabeth II has demanded be a global policy, and, thus, her indicated intention that an induced state of worse than mere death might be suffered, if temporarily, or not, by the residue of survivors of her prescribed holocaust against the innocent.

This, reaches to a degree of bestiality beyond all limits of humanity. Yet, we must not presume that such an effect might be contained by the will of some among the contending powers. General thermonuclear homicide, the Anglo-American imperial thrust, now menaces the world, under the combination of the U.S. Obama Presidency and the Imperial Queen, Elizabeth II, presently. The case of the Roman Empire, should forewarn us of the effect of such arrangements in conflict when repeated under the circumstances of modern thermonuclear weaponry, as by Barack Obama and others now.

4. The role of the British services' protection of the Bandera group's Nazi killers, as the complicity of elements of the Presidency of Barack Obama in such criminality, has shown flagrant violations of the principle of decent law on this account: this implies a basis for considering the possibility of impeachment actions against the relevant official accomplices in this mass-murderous fraud, and even a cause of thermonuclear warfare, perpetrated under the Obama Presidency. He must be impeached for the crimes against humanity which he has authored in his capacity of a President.

FIGURE 1
Most Americans ‘Strongly Disapprove’ of Obama



Source: Poll conducted by Pew Research Center and USA Today, December 2013.

Pollsters asked: “Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?” and then, “Do you [approve/disapprove] very strongly, or not so strongly?”

There is also the important, historical fact, that empires, such as the Roman Empire earlier, or, also, the temperment of the British empire, presently, have given us evidence of the psychological characteristics of the Zeusian tradition: a tradition which would rather bring down Heaven and Hell alike, rather than accepting its own just defeat and necessary condemnation.

Tyrants of such natures as the Queen of England, and her currently notable puppet, President Barack Obama, are to be considered, psychologically, as embodying evil impulses of imperial oligarchical impulses of such horrible dimensions, like the end-result of the Hitler regime itself, a regime pre-created, actually, by the British Empire of the relevant, modern times.⁵

5. In respect to the original launching of the Hitler regime in Germany, Churchill was no better than Chamberlain, on this account, only much less stupid. The Nazi monster which London itself had created out of the rubble of World War I, had reached strategic capabilities for the prospect of becoming a global empire. Churchill reacted to the immediate prospect of a submission to Hitler, by turning toward a tie to U.S. Presi-

II. The Prospect of World War III

I am now in my 92nd year of life, since being born in Rochester, New Hampshire, on September 8, 1922. In the course of my life, as during the period of World War II, and a career, chiefly, in the practice of management consulting and a related actually strategic practice, I retain, that, fortunately efficient intelligence capability exceptional for what had been my entry in the domain of the physical science of successfully forecasting the future. My particular speciality in this outstanding skill, is now become presently a notable, if only potential factor in the present world situation.

This fact, is rather well known among certain highly relevant circles within my own United States, and also other places, who know me very well (if, often, at a pragmatically discreet distance), and with whom there is a flow of often indirect, but effective exchange of knowledge in economic and related strategic factors of global implications. I have been among the most effective of current economic forecasters, often to the dismay of my more conspicuous rivals, and feared and

hated among many of those often hostile groups, such as the groups of those of the justly hated actually British international asset, Wall Street, with which I have occasionally interacted.

The present global situation has now become what most fear, including the Wall Street gang which not only hates, but also fears me presently, especially since about September of 2013: those who had first been alarmed by my political potency respecting the cam-

dent, Franklin D. Roosevelt. The U.S. arrangements with the British forces, and, the not-incidental genius of Charles de Gaulle, saved Britain, with great help from the Soviet Union and resistance forces with similar aims. With the most unfortunate Republican Party gains in a mid-war election, and the imposition of the wretched Wall Street maven, Harry S Truman, the peaceful victory of mankind was turned into a nightmare of the British Empire’s use of its most evil man on Earth, Bertrand Russell, to launch a post-World War II, fascist-like terrorism throughout the world, all which was dedicated to an intended nuclear victory over humanity. Bertrand Russell was perhaps the most evil man of his lifetime, as long, and even longer than he had actually lived. See: British in India.

paing for a return to the now indispensable Glass-Steagall law. The fear of my Wall Street and British-imperial enemy's⁶ assorted fears are, presently, greatly enhanced by the fact that what the presently intended "bail-in" policies of London and Wall Street mean, is a most unpleasant fact for them, too: that the now immediately threatened, precipitous and implosive collapse of the trans-Atlantic, U.S.A.-British imperial system, is upon their heels. A vast mass of futilely fictitious wealth, is at the point of not a mere trans-Atlantic implosion and utter collapse, but—ironically—an immediately impending, sudden implosion of the entirety of the present nations of the London/Washington-New York, globally-extended cabal. The implosion set off by "Bail-in" is imminent, virtually immediate, now.

That has been the root of the Anglo-American/Obama-Queen Elizabeth plot which has motivated a merely preliminary, pre-war-intended push-back against Russia now.

The meaning of that present "push-back" toward bestiality, coming jointly from Queen Elizabeth II and her American puppet, President Barack Obama, et al., is the crucial issue. Her preliminary feint, has been constructed by the relevant Anglo-U.S.A. circles centered in Elizabeth's ties to her created, probably psychotic, rage-ball puppet, President Obama.⁷ We are, so, presently in an immediately, pre-World War III, opening phase of preparations for immediate launching of an actual global thermonuclear war, World War III.

Perhaps no one would survive such a war, when the forces, of trans-Atlantic-versus-Eurasian giants are taken into consideration. Pushing back Russia, has been merely a British imperial gambit, in the hope, from London and by Obama's regime, that a deep crushing of the strategic flank of the territory of Russia's geographic underbelly, could trigger a chain-reaction of collapse started in Russia, and, therefore, a chain-reaction defeat of the remainder of Eurasia. All of this had actually begun with the two Chechen wars against Putin's Russia, and the extended role of the British pup-

6. It would be silly to overlook the fact, that the Queen's actual power reposes in her role as the reigning authority of the British Empire, not her modest influence as merely the British Queen as such. She is the reincarnation, in effect, of the Roman Emperor Nero. Don't kill the citizens of the British Isles; destroy the empire (if you have sufficient brains to understand what that means).

7. With a character comparable to that of the surrogate U.S. President of the George W. Bush, Jr., Bush-league "dynasty," the brutish Dick Cheney of thuggery's fame, a true "Vice President."

pets in Saudi Arabia, such as that former Saudi Ambassador to the United States, who had played a directly leading hand in the Anglo-Saudi attack of September 11, 2001, and in the continued perpetrating religious warfare throughout western and central Eurasia, and parts of Africa.⁸

A Global Thermonuclear Warfare, from which, ultimately, no one could survive for long, is the immediately lurking strategic reality, that of today's immediately present historical reality. Persons of a different opinion, whoever they might be, are, ultimately, essentially brainless idiots in effect, including all too many opportunist members of the U.S. Congress, let alone the disgusting, and the now widely hated President Barack Obama himself, by about a 70%+ ration of U.S. citizens, personally.⁹ Only a relative handful of fools or virtual "creeps" express a contrary opinion against Obama, "creeps" still intent on carrying the United States into the snare of a British imperial-launched global homicide.¹⁰ Presently, the Queen's reported collection of vampire-bats (or her husband's) into the Royal belfry, has a more ominous implication, than earlier: homicidal implications for all mankind!

Thus, the world is already poised for the immediate unleashing of thermonuclear World War III, unless the United States, which has the power to prevent such war, shows the guts, perhaps by our Congress, for example, to defend even the very existence of our citizenry, under

8. Both the regimes of nominal U.S. Presidents under the direction of such as Dick Cheney's puppet, George W. Bush, Jr., and Barack Obama, have maintained the lies which they have perpetrated against both the people of the United States, and, perhaps God himself.

9. I.e., citizens more than ready to hate Obama (as they should have the brutish thug Dick Cheney), but reluctant to challenge him effectively: nonetheless, they are Obama's greatest basis for political fear for the relatively near future: specifically, he could, and should be, soon, not merely impeached, but also imprisoned for reason of the unprecedented evil, such as multiple war-crimes, and rampant homicide, which he has both intended and continues to wreak, for the United States, which he continues to represent. Shades of the Emperor Nero, except, fortunately, that he does not (we must hope) also sing!

10. I have, quite justly, questioned President Obama's sanity for a period covering a few months, or so, of his occupation of the Presidency to date. His obvious mental instability has been demonstrated by witnessed events, afresh, recently. Otherwise, the signs of his political outlook, as expressed through a strange, if small assembly of women attached to his Presidency, are strong suggestive of acute mental instability, as in an incident which occurred as witnessed just more than a week ago: an event whose essential details were widely publicized. There is no honest reason why he should not be impeached. All essentially sufficient evidence is virtually in the oven, ready for the relevant political fixings.



UN Photo/Devra Berkowitz

Creative Commons

“Presently, the Queen’s reported collection of vampire-bats (or her husband’s) in the Royal belfry, has a more ominous implication, than earlier: homicidal implications for all mankind!”

these most clearly menacing conditions. Remove Obama from office, on proper and abundant grounds for his impeachment, including for reason of his pressing for extended lawless war on this planet, and he were, therefore, properly, virtually nullified as an acceptable personality (in prison). Only some mentally, or acutely morally disturbed persons in government, could long sustain a contrary conclusion.

That much said on this particular aspect of the larger questions to be considered, the question to be considered is:

If we escape this threatened mass-extinction of the human species, which the London-centered interests have concocted, Zeusian style, what next for mankind? The bankruptcy of Wall Street, for example, is among the simplest and most effective remedies then to be considered. Here is where my particular scientific skills come most directly into a most useful play for the benefits of our citizens, and relevant others.

When Peace Might Break Out

The greatest single factor of threatened resistance to a readily definable economic recovery for the United States (in particular), is clarified by the simple, but summary shutting down of Wall Street: that done, simply enough, on the grounds of that pestilence’s, presently utter bankruptcy in fact: *look back to the causes for the threat of World War II, for references!*

From the standpoint of the original law of the United

States, as under the first U.S. Presidential administration (and, also, its immediate successor), the very existence of Wall Street was a violation of U.S. Constitutional law, a violation conducted by such flagrantly overt British agents as the professional assassin and thief, Aaron Burr.

There was never any real mystery of why General Alexander Hamilton, the designer of our original U.S. economic recovery under the George Washington Administration, was assassinated by

the British monarchy’s assassination specialist, Aaron Burr. This goes directly to the culpable certain notable gentlemen in the region of the island of Manhattan, where British (and Dutch) financial channels were already actively penetrating the government of the United States: the site of the actual birth of Wall Street, with seeds imported from the British empire and its Dutch inside-kernel.¹¹

For example:

The evil Presidents Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren, were placed in office through British financing by British spy and assassin Aaron Burr, that foreign operation against our United States, then, against one of the greatest Presidents in U.S. history, the John Quincy Adams who had built up the forty-eight-state system of the United States within our original borders. The

11. The principal expression of political corruption within the young United States, can be attributed to the implications of “state’s rights.” For this reason, Hamilton was actively hated among certain leading circles of the young United States. The needed correction was postponed until the successive administrations of Presidents Monroe and John Quincy Adams. Presidents opposed to their legacy, were, either, outrightly treasonous skunks, or cowardly opportunists, and, often, with the additions of assassinations of all good elected Presidents, until President Abraham Lincoln (assassinated on orders from the British Empire). The same pattern of implicit, or even explicit treason in the Presidency, or assassinations of honest Presidents, continues to the present day, e.g., Truman, two Bushes, and Barack Obama, and also including President Harry S Truman, whose intrinsically treasonous evil I had known at first hand.

number of skunks who have operated, treasonously, inside the United States on this account, even within the Presidency of the United States itself, and the selection of particular, British-selected appointments to the Presidency of the United States, should leave the competent historian with no real mystery concerning many of the reasons that awful mistakes such as Barack Obama, could have successfully polluted the Presidency of our United States.

Indeed, the listing of Presidents who have been assassinated during their elected terms for office, is also most revealing of the pathways leading toward the British paw-marks in the matter of the assassinations of, especially, relatively many among our greatest Presidents.¹²

“*Conspiracy theories?*” What poor idiot is so stupefied, or simply lying, to the effect that he, or she, pretends (or, is simply stupid) that he, or she does not recognize the proliferation of conspiracies, large, or small, while at least much of conspiracy permeates, and menaces the very existence of our republic’s system, as right now? The “Cheney administration,” fraudulently attributed to the intellectually pathetic George W. Bush, Jr., has been the continuation-in-fact of the British Queen’s puppet, the hideously evil Barack Obama, whom so many citizens (fearfully) hate.

The problem of our republic’s presently widespread corruption, lies, otherwise, essentially, in the violations of the original design of the Federal government. The error has been the condoning of the disease, such as that of the Obama pestilence, presently, rather than rejecting it. Witness the roles of Benjamin Franklin, in crafting the existence of our United States, and the role of the greatest American economist since Franklin, Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, and, also, the subsequent examples of Presidential genius by Presidents



White House/David Bohrer

Then-Vice President Dick Cheney in Saudi Arabia with King Abdullah, 2005. The policies of the “Cheney Administration,” fraudulently attributed to the intellectually pathetic George W. Bush, have been continued by “the British Queen’s puppet, the hideously evil Barack Obama.” Such policies notably include the coverup of the Saudi role in 9/11.

James Monroe and John Quincy Adams, later followed by the economic genius of President Abraham Lincoln,¹³ and the genius shown, still later, by the President William McKinley (who was also assassinated to make way for a Confederacy-trained heir, Theodore Roosevelt), and, in addition the case of another, similarly evil case of another equally evil, and also insane President (also of the slave-holder persuasion) Woodrow Wilson (the re-founder of the Ku Klux Klan during his Presidency). Truman had been purely Wall Street punk-material, who did everything possible to destroy the work of President Franklin Roosevelt, (that chiefly from inside the Presidency), by the Churchill-worshipping punk, Harry S Truman. The assassinations of John F. Kennedy and his brother Robert Kennedy, and the attempted assassination of President Ronald Reagan, are relevant other prominent instances.

In all of the relevant cases of evil, it was the hand of the British empire that did the most of it. Anyone who screams “conspiracy theory” has to have been a liar, or simply an idiot, or, at his best, a poor fool: but, otherwise, may have been a traitor for hire in some mode or another. Naturally, the corruption against our Presi-

12. On several occasions, I have documented the lists of cases, all of which shows that our Presidency has been infested by more skunks than honest public servants in that office, not counting other varieties of combined flops and foul balls. Obama has been among the very worst, and most disgusting of them all.

13. In the creation of the Greenback system, an action which saved the United States from the destruction which the British Empire had intended in the launching of the Confederacy.

gency, has been vastly greater in quantity than the actual treason done against the Presidency: more often fools than rascals. Our Presidential system has been among the best in the world, were it only better defended from within: where the worst penetrations tending toward what has been tantamount to treason are to be recognized: attacks which assail us when elections are not, unusually, sufficiently crooked in themselves.

Those considerations listed, thus, the most important subject for us to take up here and now, is: how might we actually save our republic, given the mess we have in the cases of the mortally monstrous expressions, now currently, immediately at hand?

How To Recover From the Evil Which Is Obama

Come back, now, to the case of our heroic genius and General, Alexander Hamilton, the greatest economist, and Treasury Secretary, of our Presidential system, and one comparable to the common legacy of President Franklin Roosevelt, and, potentially President John F. Kennedy (had he not been murdered by an “inside political job”). This is the political territory which I can otherwise claim fairly as my own, barring my advanced years. Here is where I might hope to live out the few remaining years during which I must continue to seek to bring such a needed, restored, patriotic intention to its urgently needed, and early, current success. For my age, it were probably the best I could still actually contribute for the sake of our republic, at my present age.

My profession, which is to say, my principal scientific skill, is in the domain of physical economy (a field which no Wall Street maven has ever even wished to know). Wall Street’s access to the luxury of being a public parasite must now be ceased.

The essential evil to be eliminated is, as the world’s leading economic genius of that time, Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, had warned the government which was, then, under President George Washington.¹⁴ Virtually no current economist has grasped the systemic implications of that fact, despite the evidence that Hamilton had been the relevant expert of record, like such Presidents as Monroe and John Quincy Adams, an Adams who had been formerly apprenticed to Benjamin Franklin’s international intelligence service (plus a handful of other Presidents, who have shown compe-

14. Hamilton had also become a General officer of the U.S. Army, and a keystone figure in the war to defeat the British imperial tyranny.

tence in comprehension for practice).

Hamilton’s most notable feature of genius was shown in his science of economy, the first of the then surviving, competent economists of the United States, that after the matured Franklin himself.¹⁵

My own achievements in economics expertise have depended essentially on my systematic appreciations of what Hamilton, variously, did not, and did state, on the subject. There is no reasonable doubt that I have it right on those accounts, as most of the contrary views which I have encountered in this field, had either failed entirely, or have left out actually crucial elements.

As a matter of course, the foundations of my relatively outstanding success as an economic forecaster, which is coincidentally specific to my own special personal talents, has been dependent upon much progress in physical-science matters of relevance to me since the close of the 1960s, to the present time: my coherence with the most crucial factors of Hamilton’s argument, as in his celebrated four reports to the Congress during the first term of President George Washington, are of the highest importance for any economists worth considering as competent presently, especially in the light of the implications of the general breakdown-crisis which the world as a whole confronts at the present moment.¹⁶ I have adopted Hamilton’s genius as a leading factor in my pursuit of my profession.

The essential genius of Alexander Hamilton, has the special quality, that what he had not known in his time, bears out still, in mine, consistently. The generally popular standard of practice of economics today, but often

15. The precedent for this quality of leadership, is to be recovered in the work of the 17th-Century Massachusetts Bay Colony of the Winthrops and Mathers. Cf. H. Graham Lowry, [How The Nation Was Won: America’s Untold Story \(Volume I 1630-1754\)](#), EIR, 1988. Graham was a professional scholar in American History. He would have produced the Second Volume but for some skunks, who pushed him out of place, during a time I might have otherwise restored him to his work. Despite that, he remained a master of the field of American history on this account in many ways as an educator. His **Volume I** edition would save still-living scholars from prevalent blunders of judgment in the field. (pp. 495 ff.).

16. 1) Report on Public Credit (Jan. 9, 1790); 2) Report on a National Bank (Dec. 13, 1790); 3) Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank (Feb. 23, 1791); and, 4) Report on the Subject of Manufactures (Dec. 5, 1791). The Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank, has been the most crucially fundamental of all four of Hamilton’s great economic four principles. Fools who deny the Opinion are merely scientifically incompetent, in both economics, and the constitutional law of a true republic: law as a matter of universal physical-scientific principle.

including throughout the United States, up to this time, has been, chiefly, a chronic disaster, excepting even the omissions from the field, left to me since such really first-rate economists of my own age and disposition, such as had been Benjamin Franklin, Hamilton, Franklin Roosevelt and John F. Kennedy. The frontiers of today's physical science in the current exploration of the physical principles of Solar space (and implicitly beyond), are compatible with mankind's present encounters with matters of our opportunities in nearby Solar space. These opportunities, which include the open questions now posed in matters bearing on nearby space and the Sun's currently most inconvenient behavior respecting the U.S.A. food supplies currently in grave jeopardy, are largely because of the deadly effects of the actually evil "green ideology" intended to reduce (radically) continued life-support for our U.S. population, and the deadly effects on life-support under the successive Bush and Obama administrations. This murderous "green" abomination, takes its origin from the ancient cult of Zeus, and the more recent mass-murder policies of the Roman and British (e.g., "brutish") empires.

In general, the grave dangers facing us now, of which I have either direct or qualified indirect knowledge, could be treated effectively by high-technology developments, the which are presently either being blocked, or hindered by the morally corrupted policies of the United States Government itself, that since about the 1960-70 level of leveling-off, and later contraction of those programs which had been launched by the John F. Kennedy administration. The post-Glass-Steagall developments have been frankly open genocide against the U.S. population, since the suffocating close of Clinton's Administration and the cancellation of Glass-Steagall by the Dodd-Frank swindle. The assassinations of President John F. Kennedy and his brother Robert, were largely deployed for reason of the British empire's hatred of scientific and technological progress in a general human practice of precisely the type which have been not only President John F. Kennedy's, but that of the entire President Franklin Roosevelt revival of the United States' economy under Roosevelt.

That means, essentially, reversing the presently accumulated effects of the de-facto crimes against the government and people of the United States, by Wall Street's systematic looting of the U.S.A. and of its population under the direction of the influence exerted under the merely nominal Presidency of George W. Bush, Jr. and, the sheer, British- and Wall Street-di-

rected pure evil of the Obama administration.

These crimes had begun to take increasingly important roles since the assassinations of John F. Kennedy, and his brother, Robert, and the set-backs caused by the assassination-attack on President Ronald Reagan. All of these cases of repression against scientific progress, had set into motion an oncoming, plunging disaster for the United States, since, actually, the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. The British intelligence service's and Republican Party's creation of such elements of the concocted event used against President Bill Clinton, had, in turn, cleared the way for the pressure on Clinton to submit to the morally criminal Dodd-Frank hoax against the most vital self-interests of our United States, and for the consequent virtual treason permeating the record of the British Queen's own personal stooge, President Barack Obama.¹⁷

Most notably, the center of the global strategic policy of the British Empire, has been, in all respects, an Obama tail claiming to wave the British donkey. (Naturally, that is not true.) The collection of de-facto witches associated with the ladyships of Obama's donkey-like tail, are a pack of evil furies which seem to wave the donkey, Obama, himself. One might sometimes wonder if that mini-basket-ball court, in the room between the two sides of the White House, is not, sometimes, playing his own head as a basket-ball, to keep the perennially bored, but emotionally rabid, and also frequently lying ladies of the coven amused by the evil games in which he played a secondary role-in-fact. That might tend to explain the sometimes punch-drunk manner of Obama's public expressions of acutely emotional disorders, as when, about a week ago, he entered into a seemingly psychotic, fully hysterical sort of un-hinging of himself, all-the-while flagrantly lying, in the public event which had occurred a about a week ago. He may be the President of the United States, but he is clearly not one of those actually controlling, either himself, or the British reign over the official U.S. dummy-President's performance on the public stage on that particular, and other occasions.

His one clearly intended function, as an essentially more nominal than sane U.S. President, was to have

17. Careful consideration indicates that Obama has been only a false-face for Queen Elizabeth II. There is no strategically crucial policy of President Obama which has not been merely consistent with the already standing, preceding grand-strategic policies adopted by that Queen herself. Nothing shows there to be anything of strategic significance in his policies, which were not already her own direction.

been the bait which sets the trap for the like of a British witches' imperial scheme for world-wide thermonuclear warfare. In short: he is a definite Zeus-like beast, in his original model adopted from the influence of a supreme evil, like that President's echo of the precedents shown in his Roman and British incarnations, such as the case, in particular, of the Emperor Nero. Obama is certainly in the image of a Nero-like personality, for his time.

Now the Good News

As I have indicated, earlier here, were President Obama to be effectively restrained, or, preferably, summarily impeached, the feasibility of the British Imperial project for the currently, immediately pending, attempted thermonuclear warfare against the human species, would be reaching whatever the approaching conclusion might become.

There is, in the meantime, no clinically not-insane reason for a general thermonuclear warfare, or even the Nazi-attacks on Russia by the British sponsored, and Obama administration's manifest affinities to those Nazi veterans of World War II service to Hitler as their

inspiration, than, as now, which are now being deployed via part of the originally Nazi-rooted elements within the constitutionally unlawful, puppet-government of the Ukraine presently. Those elements now self-linked to President Obama's mass-murderous impulses, are essentially British imperial operations, with the mentally unstable Obama as adopted patsy for the crimes committed. The combined resources of the United States, Russia, China, India and others, would be enabled to halt the threats, unless the British Empire, or the current political house of Windsor wished to commit thermonuclear global *hari-kari* before the horrified spectators of our planet at large.

There is, however, no presently known, actually truthful evidence existing and presented this far, which does not presently point to presently ultimate culpability of the House of Windsor for the spread of international terror which began with two initial Chechen wars, as continued through the British-Saudi organized attack of 9-11 against the United States of America (and the lies of both the Bush and Obama administrations).

We of the United States are not going to take physical revenge for the crimes of the British, nor, for those of the relevant culprits of Saudi Arabia. Revenge *per se* is a cheap and worthless enterprise, suited only for the mere entertainment of worthless thugs, such as Alexander Hamilton's British professional murderer, Aaron Burr; but, nonetheless, we should be committed to crush the wave of terrorism which the British empire has organized from within the ranks of certain errant Moslems lured into the practices of truly brutishly British criminalities. It were not necessary to kill people, but merely to tame them for their turn to the leading of productive lives. Our mission, is to build the future, rather than wasting attempts to correct the more and more long-gone past; replace the bad which had been in the past, for the sake of what must now become the future.

The time for wars on this planet, in general, has passed. War, under conditions of current and imminently higher technologies, as we must now, urgently, understand this, is no longer a feasible consideration in what is now already beyond what has been known properly as the more than merely thermonuclear age. General thermonuclear war, were not permissible, if, in fact there were to be any human being alive for much longer on this planet. No other option is actually, immediately available to us. Shut down the entirety of the past and present British Empire, and proclaim Zeus and



Sam Vaknin, author of *Malignant Self-Love*, is interviewed in a 46-minute LPAC-TV video, on President Obama's narcissistic personality disorder, a condition which Vaknin says is increasingly controlling the President's mental outlook. Agreeing with Lyndon

LaRouche, Vaknin believes that Obama poses a grave danger to the United States and the world, unless he is immediately removed from office.

<http://larouchepac.com/node/19464>

his Roman and British imperial offspring, as necessarily permanently dead phenomena on this planet.

To maintain the human population, the lunacy of green policies, and, also, Queen Elizabeth's other psychotic fantasies in geo-strategy, must be calmly interred in some planetoid outside Earth itself. The only legitimate agenda presently left to the sane, is coming together, that to begin to really understand what the unique principle of humanity is. What we actually know, concerning the nature of our species, is merely approached by some of us, as I am enabled to know some of this matter, but remain, as the best among us in this respect, as still a learner otherwise. What is clear, but yet obviously beyond the experts as I have lived among some of them to some significant degree, must come to understand, more fully, that the language-culture, as we, admittedly describe the matter rather crudely, here, are the basis for the global coherence among a system of respectively sovereign nation-states, such as our own United States, in our own case. Cultures, by their nature, are also personalities, as experience on planetary scale, has shown, notably emphasizing what are nominally termed "language cultures," but that is only a superficial aspect of the matter itself. We are not to be considered as isolated personalities, cloaked in pseudo-scientific individuality, but in terms of the role of the individual personality in advancing mankind's mission for the future.

At the same time, we must take into active account, how little we actually know, yet, of our Solar system, how seemingly infinite what have yet to be glimpsed of the galaxy which seems to hold our Solar System in indenture, and, then, also, matters beyond. The dream of an Earthly paradise, has proven itself to be inclined to tend, often, to misdirection toward foolish, even sometimes suicidal goals. While we are not, as human individuals, well selected to travel to other planets, at least not much considering what may lie out there, so far: we have recently touched, through fundamental scientific progress, into the edges of the ability to participate, even from a distance, in the management of the clusters of objects in even nearby Solar space, and beyond, as we are enabled to greatly accelerate the speeds of mankind's connections to relatively nearby parts of the Solar system, and to gain important insights to matters beyond that.

For the present time, we alleged "earthlings" shall remain inhabitants of Earth, but there will be our increasing tendency toward the development of our capa-

bilities respecting the myriad of the objects in regions of Solar space, and beyond, that directly, or implicitly. But all that I have written here, this far, is only a prelude for an introduction of people generally, of some of the most crucial subjects with which we must begin to become acquainted: even concerning the most elementary subjects of which mankind, in general, has no knowledge presently, even about the most crucially important, relatively elementary knowledge is not knowledge, presently, of man himself—the human for itself. These are the matters which I shall next, now address, in the following, and, still later concluding chapters of this present report.

III. The Wonders Inside Mankind Itself

The distinction of mankind from species of beasts, and of even lower forms of life, lies within the bounds of a singular principle of the presently known universe-in-general, the Solar system in respect of immediately practical contemporary considerations. No other living species, such as those of the beasts, has ever been, to our present knowledge, enabled to duplicate the unique and true intention of the inherently and uniquely special design of our human species.

This quality of our human species, has a uniqueness, which is separated, unconditionally, as our being the human species in principle, against all other specimens of existence presently known to modern science. That difference reposes, not in the most advanced type of animal mind, but exists only, as known so far, as the unique quality of mind of our uniquely human species.

No other living species, ever known to us, this far in history, has been enabled even to replicate even an approximation of the absolute quality of the known-to-be-categorical potencies of the human mind. The attempted attributes projected upon the presumptions respecting the connections of the human mind, to the merely animal mind, persist only as a typical reductionist's ideological buncombe, a form of buncombe typical of the currently fashionable incompetence of the outrightly impassioned, but worthless presumptions of the contemporary, radical reductionists of the "green" varieties, such as the British fakers following Bertrand Russell's cult, and who are typified in such literally British-Brutish creatures as Russell's dupe, A. Oparin, back during the days of Soviet Russia, as by the stu-

pidly of reductionist adversaries of the scientific discoveries of the master modern scientist, V.I. Vernadsky, or the great contemporaries and co-thinkers of the same class as Vernadsky as the true universal-scientific geniuses such as Max Planck and Albert Einstein.

The most essential feature of modern scientific practice, is the principle of the unique qualities of the human mind. Only the study of the principles of the actually human mind, present us with the needed foundations for a contemporary modern science. No other living species presently known to us, has ever actually replicated the functions performed under the influence of the human mind's inherent characteristics have shown, the human characteristics. Any contrary view in this matter, is either sheer stupidity, or intentionally evil.

It is the study of the specifically noëtic characteristics of the human mind, which is the only clear distinction of the human mind from the lifestyle of the mere beasts. No experiment of any other type has competent bearing on the absolutely distinct quality of capabilities specific to the development of the actually noëtic powers specific to the human mind. Everything else, ever, were merely a hollowed-out fantasy, in comparison. It is only by concentrated study of valid evidence respecting the noëtic characteristics of the highest level of human development of systemically applied cognitive functions, which actually contains the essentials of truly human behavior.

Speaking truthfully, mathematics were merely less than a virtual animal dung, relatively, as a matter of contrasts; unfortunately, many accountants approach the lowest degree of relative bestiality on such accounts whenever they go beyond mere measurements of matters of visual or auditory perceptions, and sensations of pain; it is a bestiality of a malpractice which had been introduced by means of pseudo-science: in which Wall Street, and today's British Empire, typify that as abundantly as much as they do.

Such is the distinction of mankind from species of mere beasts, and of even lower expressions of the otherwise unique principle of life-as-such. Such, we do know, in demonstrated fact, as the unique and true intention of the existence of our human species, as being distinct from the inherently less-than-bestial models of the ancient Zeus, and his principal, presently known progeny with their similarities to the respectively evil such types as the Romans, and also the nominally and present British empire. Such are the strict, contrary

standards for defining the meaning of the noëtic characteristics of the healthy state of the actually human mind. It is that notion of the mind on which all efficiently competent human knowledge actually depends. We, in our incarnation as a species, are the prospective great experimenters, and it is our refinement of our discoveries, reaching to ever-higher qualities of achievements relative to our present Solar-systemic and galactical experimental realities, which defines an actually, intrinsically, human sanity of outlook, in practice.

It is the specifically, uniquely noëtic, specific characteristics known to us as the manifestations of the powers of the relatively perfected case of the human mind, which define the reality of our own species existence, our own actually scientific knowledge, in physical science and uniquely Classical artistic expressions: the alternatives are to be measured in approximations of bestialities.

Standing as we are, at the brink of a threatened self-extinction of our human species, these are truths which make all crudely proposed options on that account, unforgivable crimes against humanity as such.

Therefore, the Principle of Fire

The quality unique to mankind, and, implicitly so with reference to the progressive development of the relatively inferior living species, of the necessary increase of the principled notion of advances in energy-flux density, that in the successively advancing modes of human productivity. In brief: the so-called "green" policy is intrinsically a relatively satanic expression of sheer evil, and, correspondingly, factually, represents pro-Satanic doctrines and practices, such as which, show in their effect.

Throughout the now relatively well-documented evidence of human existence, that distinction has marked the aspect of the developmental progress of our human population as of a quality fit to live into future times. It is the devotion to that dedicated mission, which has marked the unique necessity for the human species to live, and to prosper throughout generations yet to come. President Obama, by that test of standards, is a beast, in his practice. He must, therefore be immediately impeached, and thus, expelled from high office, according to the proper measure of the standard for distinction of man from beast. He must not only be immediately expelled from high office, but, a new policy of rising powers of productive human labor and its associated life-existence assured through aid of rising degrees



Wisconsin Mark II

An artist's rendition of mining Helium-3 on the Moon. LaRouche writes that we must "define the predetermined requirements for human continued survival on Earth itself, raising the science-driven standards for the promotion and protection of human life."

of productivity must be achieved through rising energy-flux densities applied; it must become a policy which must be resumed immediately, as the most crucial among the founders of our republic had intended.

Mankind is to be properly engaged in applications of energy-flux densities reaching toward the reality of the stars. The very risk of life on Earth, is among the presently, properly leading concerns for a mankind now living on Earth, for example. The development of the application of very high energy-flux densities, including the use of prospective Helium-3 fuels captured from our Moon, as integrated with urgently needed, advances in thermonuclear fusion processes, are advances on which assurance of continued human existence now depends in prospect. Now that our Sun has retreated into a quieter motion of its own existence, prospectively for decades, or more, to come, we must define the predetermined requirements for human continued survival on Earth itself, raising the science-driven standards for the promotion and protection of human life.

If the Creator's intention were the preservation and progressive development of the human species, as **Genesis 1**, asserts, then the "green" devotion were intrinsically Satanic. It is past time, to bring the nonsense of Wall Street and its likeness throughout the world, to

a presently abrupt end.

The British empire, and its Obama attachment, must be brought, therefore, to an immediate close. The satanic cult of Zeus, which the Roman and British empires embody in their presently systemic natures as institutions, must now be brought to a close. Humanity must survive its mission of the performance of its intended mission; there are no other acceptable considerations. Obama, and his mistress, Her Majesty must now go peacefully, into a carefully managed and permanent retirement, together with the predators of Wall Street itself.

IV. The Matter of Institutions

The distinction of mankind from species of beasts, and of even lower forms of life, is to be considered as a singular principle presently known of the presently experienced universe, the Solar System, and, significantly, the galaxy as well. It is a singular principle of the presently known phenomena of our universe, in respect to its presently known principles of action. No other living species has ever, to our knowledge, been enabled to duplicate the unique and true intention of the inherent design of our human species. This uniqueness is expressed as the human species' commitment to the use of increasingly higher energy-flux density met presently in the principle of thermonuclear fusion, at this time. From the primitives of what we tend to identify as our cave-men and their households, to the high energy-flux density of thermonuclear fusion, and, still beyond, man's power of discovering and employment of increased, high-value energy-flux densities per capita, and also per cross-section of action, reflects the unique genius which separates the performance of our human species, absolutely, and necessarily, from that of all of the mere beasts.

Throughout the relatively well-documented evidence of human existence, that distinction has marked the portion of the human population which qualifies itself as fit to have lived. It is the devotion to love for

that specific mission of mankind, over the course of generation and generation-to-come, which has been the only practical distinction of man from beast. President Barack Obama, as a matter of contrasts, is a beast by the standard of his own stated and practiced intentions. He must, therefore, be immediately expelled from high office, and that done under a policy of the requirement for a policy of rising degrees of higher and higher production, that aided by ever higher degrees of energy-flux densities used, as the founders of our republic had implicitly intended in practice.

Today, we are at the verge of bringing the store of available Helium-3, from the stocks on the Moon, into the development of enhanced thermonuclear fusion, back here on Earth.

The consequent enhancements, will be sufficient to provide mankind here within the relatively new generation soon to reach adulthood in practice, as also intent. Such enhancement of the available means of high energy-flux densities of per-capita and per-unit of cross-section density. The harvest of Helium-3 taken from the continuing harvest as executed from the Moon, presents mankind with the resulting earlier prospect for acceleration of the productive powers of labor, per-capita and per unit of cross-section, thus providing options for increasing the rates of energy-flux density in production, and for extending mankind's efficient reach on the challenges of nearby Solar space proximate to the lines of travel provided, predictably, as likely trajectories explored as through convenient trajectories for man's productive benefits, here on Earth.

At the same time that we must seek the benefits of nearby resources which pass, conveniently within our reach, we must also emphasize the importance of adjusting the course of travel of nearby-flying asteroids, to prevent collisions with Earth.

It is the truly expressed nature of our human species, to enter into precisely those kinds of increments for the enhancement of our destiny. What the Creator might donate to us, is His gift, which we must use and also treasure for the good aims of mankind.

Against the backdrops of our present prospects, we must put aside the follies of such as former empires and related tyrannies, such as that of the presently wicked President Barack Obama, for example. Your own personal obligation, on this account, is to select a life worth living, not only for yourselves, but your descendants and their neighbors. Burn the Satanic rubble, and build a true and confident future for mankind.

Our planet has now entered a phase of its human cultures, in which the reductionist mental state of mankind, can not longer be sustained as an acceptable notion for actually human behavior.

The Urgent Reform of Education

For example, in my experience of education, from earlier grades in grammar schools, into adolescents' education, into university trained, predominant, and often evil practice, which, in a majority of cases, has been a radically reductionist system of cults, under whose direction the teachers are often assigned to indoctrinate, rather than educate the students to their experience of the yet-to-be-improved quality of generally shared progress in the development of the human species' intelligence in practice. Rises in relative energy-flux density, distinguish mankind, that essentially, from the ranks of the talking beasts who tend to be degraded to a likeness to mechanically-ordered talking machines, and matching trends in behaviors. "Popular" becomes, often, a practice of "popularized ignorance," on precisely that account. The principle of accomplishments, is now widely misrepresented as pre-determined merely popular forms, even, trends to intellectual and physical regression in popular and official practices, alike.

Thus, the true principle of individual human creativity is lost in the myriad of such widespread, popularized processes of often worse than lack of intrinsic merit. The accelerating rate of moral and intellectual degeneration has become correlated in a downward direction, since the interval of the absolutely unnecessary U.S. war in Indo-China, which was a war created only by aid of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, and, in a related sense, by the strategically untimely death of the General of the Armies, the singular victor at Inchon, Douglas MacArthur. Popular sanity, combined with general intellectual and moral degradation, has since become the characteristic of our political, economic, scientific, and moral culture.

We are, in the continuing course of that process of U.S.A. and European moral and economic decadence, and the outright moral degeneracy typified by the influences such as the British global tyranny and the nadir which is President Barack Obama, into a virtually evoked self-extermination of the human species under the present tenure of President Barack Obama, and his presently true mistress, the evil Empress Elizabeth II: the mistress of her own evil cult, with its evilly green, moral perversions.

V. The End of Warfare on Our Planet

I emphasize, that the human race is presently poised at the potentially immediate threat of human self-extinction. The principal source of this menace is located, centrally, in the present British Empire, currently under the reign of an evil Queen Elizabeth and her accomplices throughout much of this planet at large. Such a war, would be truly both a world-war and more than that: one chiefly composed of the prospective conflict between the present Northern trans-Atlantic and Eurasian components.

The cause for this warfare, is to be located in the present alliance of the British Empire, and, otherwise, chiefly, the present Obama administration in the United States. The practical prompting of a general, planetary, thermonuclear conflict for the presently immediate future, is to be located presently, as to proximate cause, as the effect of the so-called “Bail-In” policies of the trans-Atlantic financial system being launched currently.

I explain:

Since the assassinations of U.S. President John F. Kennedy, of his brother, Robert, and the attempted assassination of President Ronald Reagan, the Anglo-American faction of the North Atlantic and wider regions, has trapped itself into, first, the wildest acceleration of hyper-inflationary, essentially merely speculative financial investments.

The total effect of this, presently, has now reached far beyond all tolerable limits, into a present breaking-point in global financial speculation centered, functionally in the British Empire economy, but also the United States, itself. The British, alien, on the occasion of the canceling of the Glass-Steagall law which had been the last remaining bulwark of the defense of an already gravely damaged U.S. economy. The combination of the nullification of Glass-Steagall, and its replacement by the intentionally evil, Dodd-Frank whoredom, had shattered the residual stability of both the U.S.A. and its economy.

Since that implicitly criminal act under Dodd-Frank influences, have been effects of the influence of foreign imperial systems which participated in common in this attempted destruction of the economy of the U.S. Federal Constitution.

Thus, this presently sky-rocketing hyperinflation has been the inherent evil of the repeal of the U.S.A.’s

Glass-Steagall law. In other words: the speculative whoredom of the authors of the now vast, and utterly fraudulent, Dodd-Frank fraud and its British Wall Street (e.g., British imperial) accomplices. This present inflationary trans-Atlantic “hyper-bubble,” thus fermented as “bail-in,” has now more than reached the proportions of an actually popping, globally financial bubble, “Bail-in,” whose center of implosive gravitation, is presently concentrated in the Americas and Europe, but otherwise, radiating its effects, also, in the colonial and semi-colonial regions of the Americas and Europe, most emphatically. There is no hope for our United States’ economy, now, unless Glass-Steagall were immediately re-installed, and all the implications of the intent of Dodd-Frank annihilated summarily.

That Dodd-Frank bubble and its coincidences, is now more than over-ripe to pop; it is already popping, virtually immediately, even in a lapse of time of implicitly today. Hence, the timing of the Anglo-American partnership of Barack Obama and his Imperial Queen in a scheme to launch the immediate threat of a thermonuclear war, globally, at this presently immediate time.

Dodd-Frank & Thermonuclear War

At this very moment, the entire machinery of Wall Street’s larcenous efforts at economic and related mass-murder of the present U.S. population, has been brought into effect, since the beginning of our national tragedy, that with the termination of President Franklin Roosevelt’s Glass-Steagall law. This effect has enabled the British Empire and its Wall Street agents, such as the late Prescott Bush who served Adolf Hitler’s interests, in particular, and the ruin of our State of Texas, through the wicked mechanisms which Prescott Bush’s tribe employed to destroy the best characteristics of that Federal State of our Union.¹⁸

Within that same context, from then to now, the world has come to the immediate prospect of a general, thermonuclear war, from which no one were actually likely to return.

The present, Obama-implicated Anglo-American

18. This was the same Prescott Bush, whose Wall Street ties to the British Empire were established by Bush’s Wall Street and London cronies. Prescott himself, had knowingly bailed Adolf Hitler out of a prolonged prison-sentence, by paying Hitler’s debts, just in time, always in complicity with the British empire, at that time. In that particular sense, Prescott Bush, the father of George H.W. and his pathetic token-President son, George W., Jr., had put the purely evil Dick Cheney and his treasonous like in charge.



Savitsky

Russia will not submit to Obama's "mass murderous swindle," LaRouche writes. Here, Russian President Vladimir Putin observes military exercises of the Western and Central Military Districts, March 3, 2014. He is listening to the report of Gen.-Col. Anatoly Sidorov (right); Defense Minister Sergei Shoigu is on the left.

conspiracy for an immediately threatened, global, thermonuclear war throughout the planet (in effect), was attempted, foremostly, but only relatively preliminarily, to seek to shift the balance of strategic forces against Russia, hoping to unleash a chain-reaction collapse of the Eurasia sector of the planet.

That, presently current phase, has involved, since early during the past month of February, an attempt, led by Queen Elizabeth II and U.S. Barack Obama, to intimidate Russia into submission under Anglo-American terrorist, thermonuclear threats, threats based, as by the President Obama regime, personally, on the utilization of habituated products of the Ukrainian elements of the same Nazi SS group which had slaughtered vast numbers of mass-murdered Jews and Poles during and under the reign of Adolf Hitler's regime, of which those same Ukrainian elements now continue their long-standing Nazi-genocide habits at the present time.

In this regard, the officials of the President Obama Presidency have been leading, active elements in complicity with those unregenerate Nazis on exactly this account: the Obama Presidency has been wittingly implicated in this relaunching of the Nazi crimes against peoples; the British empire is the principal culprit while the Obama administration kisses the Queen's proverbial butt—not surprising to both the actually witting and

Obama's own Dick-Cheney-like coven of maenads.

Russia will not willingly submit to this mass-murderous swindle; but, as long as President Obama remains in the Presidency of the United States, the effects of the equation of thermonuclear war globally, were virtually assured for the very near future. President Obama is currently playing that game. Only his immediate removal from office would prevent the British empire's genocidal intention from taking place.

Whereas, the prompt impeachment of President Barack Obama, would in all probable effect, prevent a presently probable general thermonuclear warfare, and, thus, throw Obama himself into summary impeachment from office. The general thermonuclear warfare would be, thus, frustrated. With U.S. opposition

to such warfare, and the expulsion of Obama from office, we would prevent the enactment of thermonuclear warfare by the culpable forces of the British Empire.

Bail-in & the End of Obama's Career

In the meantime, there is another crucial factor to be considered immediately here: the "Bail-in" implosion is more than ready to pop, and that globally. It is, in fact, already hastening toward the business end of "popping."

I explain the coincidences, summarily, as follows.

J'Accuse!

1. The British Queen's plan for global genocide: which is the so-called "green policy" of programmed worse-than-Hitler, genocide, as launched by the Queen, the which was actually set into motion, fully, and openly, during the period of the failure of her efforts in a Denmark [2009] conference. Her policy is to reduce the population of the planet, rapidly, from an estimated seven billion persons, to the vicinity of one. President Barack Obama has a similar program of mass-genocide among U.S. citizens at this time. "The Green policy," already being inserted into a genocide program for unemployed U.S. citizens, is an integral part of the operation.

2. This program of the Queen of mass-genocide

throughout the planet, had been launched under the influence of the anti-technology policies introduced by aid of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy and his brother, Robert. The U.S.A. entry into a U.S. long war in Indochina, was made possible only by the assassination of President Kennedy, and perpetuated, in subsequent effects.

3. The assassination of the Robert Kennedy who had been, then, on the verge of a secured gain of the post of President of the United States. The attempted assassination of President Ronald Reagan, belongs, systemically, in the same category.

4. Forcing President Clinton into a corner, through a set-up organized by British intelligence working with some stupid, but then leading Republican opportunists prone to kissing British political butts, wrecked President Clinton's second term in office, and trapped him into ultimately assenting to the Dodd-Frank fraud, the fraud which sent the United States spinning into its present state of a mass-murderous virtually hopeless bankruptcy of now approaching four terms of the combined evil of the succession of the silly George W. Bush, Jr, make-believe President for two terms, and the viciously evil Obama regime so far.

Consequently, the hyper-inflationary bubble, thus built up, successively by the George W. Bush, Jr., and Obama Presidencies thus far, has created a human disaster on a global scale, one, notably echoing the infamous Dutch tulip bubble, but on a nearly global scale. The United States, if it remains under Obama's control, is condemned to destruction even if Obama were to remain in office: François Rabelais' "The Sheep of Panurge" fully in its true panoply.

To understand the economics of the Anglo-American bubble now about to pop world-wide, two lines of investigation are each essential, but, are also interlocked at the same time, and for the same reasons. Unfortunately only a relatively tiny fraction of the U.S. population have any understanding for the actual causes of the great pop about to burst open into a general breakdown-collapse of the entire U.S. economy, that for exactly that reason, most Senators and others have not the slightest insight to what this ugly business is all about.

How Financial Superbooms Suddenly "Go Pop"

Implicitly over-inflated balloons, and, also, politrons, are now converging on an actual popping: actually the biggest go-boom in all trans-Atlantic history, and beyond that. In the present moment, that means the

lunatic scheme of transition from a prolonged span of hyperinflationary rates of Wall Street and London (chiefly) "bail-out" to its relative, counter-action, inevitable "bail-in."

"Bail-in" is simply a suddenly, inherently accelerating collapse of the entire monetarist system, something rocketing downward far faster and vastly bigger, in effect, than the infamous German [1923] bubble. "Bail-out" created the biggest and worst ballooning of a financial-speculative bubble in all world history. This has brought the ability of Wall Street to continue in existing toward an abrupt close. The Wall-Street/British financial system, is in the global process of plunging all the way to a true financial bottom, and, then, also, far below.¹⁹ That is now, very, very soon. That accounts for the haste of the British and Obama administration, to go to thermonuclear warfare globally, immediately, now. Bail-in is the bomb which implodes downward the world economy, especially that of the trans-Atlantic sector, this the evil one being directed through Obama's role by his own cult of hideous maenads.

The British system is, of course; the U.S. is simply assigned to build and detonate the biggest bombs. The entire trans-Atlantic region is in a similar, terminal state of fix.

Hence, for that reason, above all others, the immediate threat of global, thermonuclear war, is immediate, indeed. In fact, without the re-enactment of the actually original Glass-Steagall law, the continued existence of the economy of the United States were virtually "nihil-fried," without any presently foreseeable bottom. That is what had determined, the Anglo-American impulse for global thermonuclear warfare now threatening all humanity this far.

The Matter of Solutions

Bubbles, such as those created since the overturn of Glass-Steagall, require sudden, and conclusive means of debriding the very existence of the putrid financial matter, whoever they must bankrupt to rescue the system of the economy as a whole. It is obviously, the most avaricious of the speculators who are literally "wiped out" financially, with vastly unpaid, and also currently unpayable debts, to match. With the ar-

19. Like an elevator, falling free down its shaft from the seventieth-floor, down. That is what is currently happening already, right now. That is why Barack Obama is being sent to launch global thermonuclear warfare; he is criminally insane to agree he would willingly do it.

rival of “Bail-in,” Wall Street as we have known it, will suddenly non-exist. The mission required, is to save the organized structure of the physically productive functions of the economy of the people of the nation. The speculators had gambled recklessly, and their careers are finished, and, happily, their reputations, too.


President Franklin Roosevelt understood the problem of “1929” very well, but the thieving-rascal type never forgave him for that. The virtual “pirate ship” was, thus, then, properly sunk, but the Supreme Court was not an honest and fair judge at that time: the factor which threatened the continued existence of the U.S. economy at that time. Never allow a speculator on the Federal bench at any time, especially if he or she has a big interest in their filthy-rich friends’ financial affairs: the lesson of 1932-33.

However, the business at hand has not ended with that. A more profound measure of general reform must be put fully into place. Alexander Hamilton’s great genius, now comes back prominently into play. We can, and must, be true patrons of our republic, at long last!

The Solution

The solution, not only for our United States, but the world at large, starts with the re-enactment of a strictly duplicate re-adoption of President Franklin D. Roosevelt’s original Glass-Steagall law. I think it absolutely fair to say, that, recently, there has been virtually no apparent competence in understanding the principle of the matter as the First U.S. Secretary of the Treasury, Alexander Hamilton, had actually done, in his design of the principles of economy which, in fact, prevented the relatively immediate destruction of the U.S. Republic. On this account, our present educational system (in the broadest sense of the term), really stinks!

He had designed what would be, in his intention, later, a precise echo of Hamilton’s scientific genius: a precise echo, today, of what had been President Abraham Lincoln’s strategically crucial “greenback” policy. Without that Lincoln “Greenback” policy (from which a truly wise United States would have otherwise never returned), what the British had intended, would have succeeded in destroying the United States, that as if with a virtual single blow. Without the pure genius of the greenback policy: a policy which had been in accord with the systemic genius expressed as by President John Quincy Adams, and President Franklin Roosevelt’s Glass-Steagall reform. The United States is



Without President Abraham Lincoln’s “greenback” policy, an echo of Hamilton’s genius, the British would have succeeded in destroying the United States, “as if with a virtual single blow.” Painting of Lincoln by George Peter Alexander Healy (1869).

obliged, now, to do as much, very, very soon, in a similar way, and for a similar reason.

The foolish error in opinion among even many otherwise good Presidents, was their tendency to regard Hamilton’s genius from a monetarist’s, rather than a physical-economist’s viewpoint. I am a physical economist, by profession and decades of practice; that begs certain presently highly relevant conclusions, which I shall submit here immediately, now.

The crucial, historical decision by Hamilton, on this account, would be made only by taking directly into account, all four of Alexander Hamilton’s explicitly identified four, not the mythical roster of merely three statements, but all four. Ignoramuses in economic practice omit the essential meaning of references to Hamilton’s “Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank,” a subject-matter in which I have been an outstanding expert, contrary to the shallow-mindedness of those relative illiterates who admit only three acts in a four-Act

play, omitting the most centrally crucial issue of the entire drama. The Constitutional principle of constitutionality, was, in fact, the central physical principle of policy upon which the entirety of Hamilton's genius, in its effect, had actually depended!

The meaning of my statement, right here, is the same meaning as the physical-scientific notion of a universal physical principle, such as those of, in particular, Bernhard Riemann, Max Planck, and Albert Einstein, or Brunelleschi, Cusa and Kepler, earlier (which only aberrants in the field of physical science would contest today).²⁰

In the *physical science of economy* (the openly competent economics), which is my particular expertise, the issue of the basis incorporated in fundamentally constitutional law, depends not as much on particular, included designs, but those singular principles of scientific physical law as such, on which the competent actions of principle by government essentially, and, ultimately and also absolutely, depend. The issue of locating wealth in its regenerative process of forward and upward production, Hamilton's emphasis, in the third of his four principles of the economy of government absolutely depend for their assured competence. It was President George Washington's initially mistaken opinion, which had prompted and gained Hamilton the essential victory in establishing competent notions of the principles of sound national-economic law.

Approximately competent U.S. Presidents, scarce as they might have been, had a generally workable notion of those raw principles of general economic reforms needed; the errors of some among them, have been largely in the failure to conceptualize Hamilton's emphasis on the essential lawfulness of *a national banking system*, as being defined as a working principle which must operate under the custody of Federal Treasury as indispensably superior to the alternative notion of banking systems otherwise.

The stupidity of many on that same account, respecting the authority of the Treasury, was, happily, not repeated by President Abraham Lincoln's Greenback policy of his administration. Another genius-President Franklin D. Roosevelt, would have carried out such a policy, but for the blockages imposed by a corrupted membership of the U.S. Supreme Court.

The very existence of the present hyper-inflationary crisis of the U.S. economy now, shows a most fla-

grant effect of the violation of Alexander Hamilton's defense of a National Bank, as also that defense by Presidents Monroe and John Quincy Adams, and by Adams' admirer, and friend, Abraham Lincoln. The notion that national banking is a substitute for a Treasury System; competent National banking can occur only as Hamilton's notion of a National-Banking principle, as John Quincy Adams understood, both in theory and practice, and as Alexander Hamilton had already recognized, prophetically, earlier. The idea of economy in the market-place as such, is the folly of one who does not grasp the notion which I emphasize: *the principle of physical economy, rather than any merely so-called alternative.*

Agreed: Monroe, John Quincy Adams, like Lincoln later, had all been committed to the principle which Hamilton had presented in the matter of the necessary principle and practice of national banking. Although it might be supposed, wrongly, that John Quincy Adams had not enforced Hamilton's principle of national banking, Monroe and Quincy Adams had, effectively, stepwise, placed the banking system itself into an accord with Hamilton's principle of national banking, as Lincoln had done in the matter of greenbacks, and Franklin Roosevelt had demanded as law, in his administration.²¹

The tendency toward the implicit lunacy of a "free market" doctrine is the guilty point of compromise, which relatively many deluded persons consider as an adjustment to financial markets, and so on, outside the province of national banking as such. Lincoln's use of greenbacks was a perfect expression, in intent; it, and it alone, enabled the United States to fight destruction by the British empire in his time.

The principle of economy exists only in physical-scientific determinations; Those who ignore that fail, will be wrecked for that reason, sooner or later. The laws of economy are physical laws of the universe, and will demonstrate that fact most forcefully, when fools seek to place themselves above that law, as I shall emphasize, immediately following.

Today, Hamilton's same principle of national banking, is the one device which allows the present U.S. economy to exist once more, again, if we have the brains to recognize that fact.

The only true motive for the current attempt to bring the entire planet into general thermonuclear war-

20. Wall Streeters are not known for sanity.

21. The Republican dinosaurs on the Supreme Court had nearly ruined everything.

fare, has been the desperation which reality has fomented in the actually, hopelessly bankrupt British Empire, and its Wall Street offspring, by the effect of the so-called “bail-in” turn from “bail-out.” The British Empire, together with its Wall Street offshoot, is now hopelessly bankrupted by the effect of its own policies of practice. Both, together, comprise a single, now hopelessly bankrupt, general and hopeless fraud. They both, together with their disgusting attachment, President Barack Obama, should be relegated to enjoy the utter bankruptcy which true justice now demands of them for the sake of justice for all mankind. Only victory in a globally extended thermonuclear war could rescue the actually Brutish Empire, but would also immediately doom it, and, perhaps also the human species, too, together with the Zeus-ians’ own satraps. Hence, that presently explains their haste to launch what would be an actually global thermonuclear warfare: with few enough left among the (temporarily) living, who might soon regret they had not died, too.

Glass-Steagall, now, means rescuing civilization from that horror. Naturally, Wall Street will be discontinued by means of something akin to international “Flit,” called bankruptcy. Economic recovery in the trans-Atlantic community will be difficult, but it will be successfully realized in an accelerating rate of recovery.

VI. The True Principle of Physical Economy

The common folly of otherwise reasonable economists, and of lawyers, who are often worse performers in such matters of fact, is that they lack a competently physical-economic basis for their all-too prevalent, mere misconceptions. The “hot issue” involved here, is that the implicit, common presumption, is, that competition is the basis for judgments. However, this is true only among dreamy romantics, not scientists. Since most economists today are more or less incompetent, like those who select lawyers they regret they had employed, rather than actually competent scientists, in respect to the underlying, most essential principles of economic processes.

The chief route for the common foolishness of my contemporaries, is their ultimately silly, misplaced confidence in the footprints of mere sense-perceptions. They mistake mere shadows for actual substance, with

their childish devotion to the notions of sense-certainty. Self-certainty is a game of silly fools, those who worship their own footprints as their own substance, instead of seeking the truths which exist only beyond the reach of mere sense-perception as such. Thus, they are engaged in a certain kind of masturbation, like the two famous brothers in New York City who left behind heirlooms of what they worshipped as the careful collection of their own stool-productions. They had lost track of the truth, that true science depends upon the capacity to ridicule the sillinesses of mere sense-perception; less emphasis on the anal were the better pathway to actual reality, than the customary habits of sensory masturbation, oral, or anal: by such means you would never make babies, otherwise.

I do not exaggerate in treating sense-certainty as merely a morbid sort of diversion from the actual laws of the universe which we actually inhabit.

The problem for the mere social pragmatist, is that the only tie to actual realities, which we humans are enabled to actually discover, lie, implicitly outside the domains of sense-perception as such. Thus, an impassioned belief in sense-certainty as such, is a delusion, which can never correspond to the special class of demonstrated certainties which exist only the outside merely local sense-perceptions as such. Only what are discovered to be universal physical principles, beyond any mere sense-perceptions are scientifically truthful. So, merely practical people swarm in a dizzy domain of uncertainties, because, in fact, what they wish to have believed, was never real, but was composed merely of the shadows which sense-perception could never actually know. They are, in short, ignorant of a universal reality; as Alexander Hamilton was not.

For example, if we were to presume that sense-perception as such were our access to the reality of the nearby parts of the universe which we inhabit, we were, in effect, merely masturbating; for, in fact, we have no simply sensed experience which does not reflect the fallacies inherent in human sense-perception as such. The object of a competent sort of physical sense, must infer reality by treating the ostensible experience of the universe we inhabit, for those effects which qualify as universal principles, such as those typified by the work of Max Planck and Albert Einstein, or those of Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa, much earlier. These notions are coherent with mankind’s effect, to locate a universal principle, coincident with the conception of a governing God, as all valid physical scientific discovery does.

The merely practical man of sense-perception is a pathetic fool, for believing his own errant, sense-perceptions, as the reality of the universe which encloses his own existence.

So I address a sort of common error, which is equivalent to the mere opinion, that Alexander Hamilton had not employed a special principle of the universe in defining the subject of his February 23, 1791 “Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank.” I was sorely shocked by the utter stupidity expressed in some persons’ denial that that argument of Hamilton was anything other than the deeply underlying physical principle of the entirety of the remainder of his argument on the subject of the principles of physical economy. Despite those errant fellows, Hamilton’s argument was the only absolute, i.e., core principle, in his entire argument: a truly universal principle of human nature! He came upon that deeply underlying principle, through the manifest blunders of failed judgment among his circles.

Therefore I was not only shocked, but rather horrified by the stupidity shown by some of my associates on

that account. The opinion they expressed was, certainly not, by any means, really scientific. They were not thinking actually as the true scientists who pursue the goal of actually universal principles existing apart from mere variables, but search for an accord for a search for universal constants, or their fairly reasonable approximations, as Planck and Einstein had both done, like Brunelleschi and Cusa before them. Hamilton was truly a scientific genius by virtue of his passion for truth.

The principle here, is not merely economy as such. The principle is a uniquely human species one. The principle on which the very existence of the human species depends, is the successful, ever-rising leaps, upward in energy-flux density which are accomplished by the uniquely creative mental potentials of not the mere brain, but the actually immortally creative human mind, as in both physical science and Classical composition and its performance, in art. Any contrary policy is that of creating wastefully mere debris, perhaps mere filth, but also of an inherent evil, such as that of the ancient Zeus, and the Roman and British empires, for example.

‘Constitutionality of the National Bank’

Due to the attempt by then-Secretary of State Thomas Jefferson and his allies to get President George Washington to veto the bill establishing the National Bank, Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton produced his definitive “Opinion on the Constitutionality of the Bank” on Feb. 23, 1791.

While his opponents tried to define the issue by saying the Constitution did not explicitly permit the Federal government to establish corporations, Hamilton argued that the Constitution’s establishment of the powers of the Federal government specifically gave it that power, in relation to its responsibility to manage “its finances, trade, defence ... in advance-



ment of the public good.” This rule ought to be “construed liberally,” he added.

At the very start, Hamilton gets to the core of the issue of the *implied* powers of the Federal government to achieve its purposes by establishing corporations, among other actions:

“Now it appears to the Secretary of the Treasury, that this *general principle is inherent* in the very definition of Government and essential to every step of the progress to be made by that of the United States, namely—that every power vested in a Government is in its nature *sovereign*, and includes by *force* of the *term*, a right to employ all the *means* requisite, and fairly *applicable* to the attainment of the *ends* of such power: and which are not precluded by re-

strictions and exceptions specified in the constitution, or not immoral, or not contrary to the essential ends of political society” (emphasis in original).

Putin Stands Up to London's Thermonuclear Blackmail

by Jeffrey Steinberg

March 16—As anticipated, today's referendum in Crimea on secession from Ukraine and reunification with Russia passed by an overwhelming majority. According to exit polls, 93% voted in favor of reunion with Russia, with a voter turnout of over 75%. This included a 40% turnout from Tatar minority voters, who make up about 12% of the Crimean population.

As former Reagan Office of Management and Budget Director David Stockman noted in a recent statement, Crimea has been an historic part of Russia, dating back to 1783, when Catherine the Great annexed the peninsula. Under the Tsars and Soviet Commissars, Crimea remained a part of Russia for the next 166 years, during which time, the Black Sea ports of Crimea became the only Russian naval access to the warm waters of the Mediterranean.


The big strategic question now is whether, under desperate prodding from the British Crown and its White House puppet Barack Obama, the United States will go ahead with a strategic confrontation against Russia that could quickly lead to military conflict, and even a thermonuclear war of extinction. A number of top strategic thinkers, from Lyndon LaRouche, to Prof. John Mearsheimer, to economist Paul Craig Roberts, to defense analyst Loren Thompson, have argued in recent days that the United States has no strategic interest in the Crimea situation or the larger Russia-Ukraine showdown—certainly no strategic interest that rises to the threshold of risking thermonuclear war (see *Documentation*).

But with Obama still in the White House, the danger of just such a Global Showdown is imminent. This week, the U.S. House of Representatives and Senate are out of session, putting the potential for impeachment proceedings against President Obama on hold for the next ten days. That is not an insignificant factor, given the imminent showdown over Ukraine.

Stalemate

On Friday, March 15, Secretary of State John Kerry and Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov met in London for over six hours. Kerry flew right back to Washington to brief the National Security Council on the talks. President Obama did not attend the session. Kerry reported that there was no breakthrough on the Crimea situation, but the two had discussed a number of other areas of mutual concern as well—including the P5+1 talks with Iran, the Syria crisis, and the U.S. withdrawal from Afghanistan. The two will meet in the coming days again, following the Crimea referendum. Kerry failed to get a clear commitment from Lavrov that Russia would not annex Crimea and would not make further interventions to protect the lives of Russian nationals in eastern Ukraine.

While Obama Administration officials and even Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.), just back from a “fact-finding” visit to Ukraine, say that there is no military option for dealing with a potential Russian annexation of Crimea, a U.S. battleship extended manuevers in the Black Sea near the Crimean coast, and a U.S. Arleigh



VICTORIA'S SECRET GOVERNMENT

LPAC/Chance McGee

Burke-class destroyer armed with Aegis missile defense systems, has left port, its destination still unknown. The U.S. has deployed a dozen F-16 fighters to Poland, along with AWACS surveillance planes and 300 servicemen; and a similar expanded deployment of U.S. Air Force assets has been dispatched to the Baltics. This buildup, accompanying the bellicose rhetoric of President Obama, has heightened the danger of a confrontation.

Beyond the Ukraine Flashpoint

The reality of the crisis is not to be found, however, in the Ukraine-Russia dispute per se. The driving factor behind the looming thermonuclear confrontation is the fact that the trans-Atlantic financial system—the London-Wall Street system—is hopelessly and irreversibly bankrupt. To even maintain the massive financial bubble and delay a hyperinflationary blowout of the system, new avenues of looting must be opened without

delay.

This is where Ukraine comes in. The wealth center of the planet is now Eurasia, particularly the Asia-Pacific region subsuming Russia, China, India, Japan, and South Korea. That is the target of London oligarchs, and Ukraine is the crucial stepping-stone to the wealth of the East. London and its Anglo-Dutch and Obama/Wall Street allies have been pushing for Putin to capitulate on Ukraine. The events of this weekend make clear that Putin is not about to cave in to London's bluffs.

By going ahead with the Crimea referendum, and refusing to telegraph his next moves, Putin has put London in a precarious position. It must either capitulate and face the consequences of the bankruptcy of their system, or go for a U.S.-instigated global showdown that would likely lead to thermonuclear war. Only the most desperate and insane elements within the Anglo-Dutch oligarchy truly believe that they can survive an all-out thermonuclear showdown. Yet, their actions are driving the world to precisely such a showdown moment.

As LaRouche emphasized in his March 14 international webcast, the only option now is for Obama to be impeached. By taking London's finger off the nuclear-war trigger, war can be averted. Diplomatic channels between Moscow and Washington can resolve the outstanding issues—*but only if Obama is removed from office*. It is the threat of World War III that has finally led a number of Congressional Democrats to publicly break with Obama over his repeated Constitutional violations, which have gone well beyond the high crimes and misdemeanors that warrant impeachment.

Russian media have clearly recognized that LaRouche represents the voice of sanity and war avoidance inside the United States. In the past week, LaRouche and a number of his colleagues have been featured on Russian news reports on the Crimea crisis.

War on Russia, Nazi Escalation

In the immediate days ahead, both the United States and the European Union are expected to impose harsh sanctions against targeted Russian officials and business interests to punish them for the expected Crimean an-

nexation. Sanctions, it should be clear, are an act of war.

Western officials, starting with President Obama, have systematically refused to acknowledge that an illegal coup was carried out in Kiev in late February—with full Western backing for a network of unrepentant neo-Nazis, who trace their roots back to the Stepan Bandera movement of the 1930s and '40s, which carried out mass killings of Russians, Jews, and Poles, in close alliance with Hitler.

Prime Minister Arseniy Yatsenyuk (dubbed “Yats” by Obama State Department hand Victoria Nuland) has announced his government’s plan to legalize and incorporate Right Sector, Svoboda, and other neo-Nazi thugs into Ukraine’s official military forces, through the creation of a 20,000-strong National Guard. “It will have training centers; legal weapons will be distributed,” Yats stated. “You will have the opportunity to defend the country, with the forces of the National Guard and the security forces.”

Meanwhile, with full backing from Washington, London, and the EU, the Ukrainian Nazis are escalating within Ukraine, creating a reign of terror in an expanding number of areas, particularly in the East. Clashes provoked by Nazi gangs—who also have their representatives in the Yatsenyuk government—have killed a number of people in the cities of Donetsk and Kharkio, and resulted in the imprisonment of the leaders of those resisting the Nazi takeover.

Leading the charge is the neo-Nazi Right Sector group, whose militants Yatsenyuk has agreed to integrate into Ukraine’s defense forces. The unit of Right Sector involved in the Kharkov was Ukrainian Patriot, which, for many years, has worked to build up capabilities in southern and eastern Ukraine. It was founded in 1999 as an arm of the Social-Nationalist Party of Ukraine, the future Svoboda party, by Andriy Parubiy, the man who was Commandant of the Maidan during the February coup, and who now chairs the Ukrainian National Security and Defense Council.

Parubiy left both Svoboda and Right Sector in 2004, but continued to show up at court hearings to post bail for Ukrainian Patriot members as recently as in 2012. The Ukrainian Patriot figure reportedly leading the current Kharkov operation, Andriy Biletsky, had been arrested in December 2011 for armed assault on a political foe, and was convicted, but was released from prison under one of the amnesties forced through the Supreme Rada this year during the Euromaidan coup.

Both Russian President Putin and Foreign Minister

Lavrov have used numerous diplomatic exchanges with American and European officials to urge that they help put an end to the rampage by right-wing thugs in eastern Ukraine, which are targeting the Russian-speaking population, in particular. The Russian Foreign Ministry also pointedly warned on March 15 that “Russia is receiving numerous requests to defend civilians. These requests will be considered.”

A March 15 Foreign Ministry statement put it this way: “Guerrillas, including from Right Sector, are continuing to rampage in Ukraine. Alarming information has been received about movement of a column of armed Right Sector mercenaries out of Kharkov in the direction of Donetsk and Luhansk.... During their meeting in London on March 14, Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov drew the attention of U.S. Secretary of State Kerry to the danger of the Supreme Rada’s decisions legitimizing Right Sector and other radicals through bringing them into law enforcement bodies such as the National Guard.”

Obama Must Go

Yet, the Obama Administration—notably including Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs Victoria Nuland, who was photographed handing out cookies to militants in the Maidan—continues to aggressively defend these neo-Nazis, and to escalate a confrontation with Russia. In the face of that insanity, LaRouchePAC last week added an intense media barrage to its campaign for Obama’s impeachment, which flooded Washington, D.C., during the week of March 10, with a statement by LaRouche that exposed Obama’s support for the Nazi coup in Ukraine, as well as his other offenses against the Constitution (<http://larouchepac.com/jaccuse>).

When Yatsenyuk, the Jewish-Scientologist rump Prime Minister of Ukraine, traveled to Washington that same week to meet with President Obama at the White House, he cancelled a scheduled public appearance at the National Press Club, likely out of fear that he would be confronted about the large number of neo-Nazis in his Interim Cabinet.

While no one contests that Crimea is now fully in process of being integrated back into Russia, the big question is whether British tool Obama will escalate against Putin and Russia, and bring the world ever closer to thermonuclear confrontation and extinction. The only real option, as LaRouche has repeatedly stated in recent weeks, is Obama’s ouster, combined with the

immediate reinstatement of Glass-Steagall full bank separation—an action that would bankrupt London and Wall Street once and for all.

Documentation

U.S. Policy Has Set The Stage for War

March 15—Recognition that the crisis in Ukraine which could end in world war is a product of an insane Obama Administration is leaking into the U.S. establishment and other media. Some recent examples:

John Mearsheimer, a University of Chicago historian, in a March 14 *New York Times* op-ed entitled “Getting Ukraine Wrong”:

“President Obama has decided to get tough with Russia by imposing sanctions and increasing support for Ukraine’s new government. This is a big mistake. This response is based on the same faulty logic that helped precipitate the crisis. . . .

“Washington played a key role in precipitating this dangerous situation, and Mr. Putin’s behavior is motivated by the same geopolitical considerations that influence all great powers, including the United States.

“The taproot of the current crisis is NATO expansion and Washington’s commitment to move Ukraine out of Moscow’s orbit and integrate it into the West. . . .

“[E]ven if the West could impose significant costs on Russia, Mr. Putin is unlikely to back down. When vital interests are at stake, countries are invariably willing to suffer great pain to ensure their security. There is no reason to think Russia, given its history, is an exception.

“Mr. Obama should adopt a new policy toward Russia and Ukraine—one that seeks to prevent war by recognizing Russia’s security interests and upholding Ukraine’s territorial integrity. . . . In short, Ukraine should remain neutral between East and West.

“Some might say these policy prescriptions amount to a defeat for America. On the contrary, Washington has a deep-seated interest in ending this conflict and maintaining Ukraine as a sovereign buffer state between Russia and NATO. Furthermore, good relations with Russia are essential, because the United States needs Moscow’s help to deal with Iran, Syria, [and] Af-

ghanistan. . . .”

Defense analyst and lobbyist **Loren Thompson**, the chief operating officer of the Lexington Institute, based in Arlington, Va., writing in *Forbes* March 13, emphasized that any war with Russia is “unthinkable.”

“In any military confrontation between U.S. and Russian forces, there is a danger of escalation not only to conventional combat, but beyond—in other words, to the use of nuclear weapons,” Thompson wrote. “That may sound like an improbable scenario, but it’s no more outlandish than an assassination attempt by Serbian nationalists leading to a World War, and yet that actually happened—in the same region. Russia has thousands of nuclear warheads, and the only defense America has against such weapons is retaliation in kind. . . .

“If you don’t see why putting U.S. forces in Ukraine might lead to war, think of how Washington responded to the deployment of Soviet missiles in Cuba. . . .

“All of the drawbacks to military action are magnified when the prospective adversary is armed with nuclear weapons, underscoring the need for extreme caution. . . . The risks of such a campaign are far too high for sensible leaders to contemplate, and the likelihood of success is very low.”

Retired Defense Intelligence Agency officer **Col. Pat Lang** posted a link to Thompson’s article on his blog (*Sic Semper Tyrannis*), excerpting the paragraph on the danger of nuclear escalation. “Let’s keep all this in mind, folks,” Lang wrote. “Stay calm and don’t listen to people like McCain.”

Political science professor **David Hendrickson** of Colorado College, writing in *The National Interest* March 11, pointed to the role of the fascists in the new Kiev regime. “The positions they have filled are not insignificant. They include deputy prime minister, chief prosecutor, defense minister and head of the national-security council, portfolios where the coercive power of the state resides. Svoboda, the main nationalist party, has made some attempt to shed its fascist lineage, but the World Jewish Congress last year asked the EU to consider banning it, and there is much in its history and outlook that should be deeply troubling to westerners.”

He singled out Dmytro Yarosh, head of the Right Sector, who is now the Deputy Secretary of National Security in the interim government. “Right Sector is a paramilitary organization, like Greece’s Golden Dawn; their entry into a European government is an important



RT.com

Ukrainian Nazis: The new government released Igor Moseychuk from prison, where he had been serving a six-year sentence for preparing a terrorist attack. Regarding possible secession of Crimea, he proclaimed: "If the authorities can't handle it, we'll take care of it ourselves. We'll go to Crimea, and like before, they'll run like rats."



milestone, and not of the celebratory sort.”

He noted that this has gotten little attention in the major U.S. and U.K. press. A *New York Times* article on the new Ukraine government mentioned Andrew Parubiy, but did not say, Hendrickson wrote, that he “was the founder of the Social National Party of Ukraine, a fascist party styled on Hitler’s Nazis, with membership restricted to ethnic Ukrainians. The Social National Party would go on to become Svoboda, the far-right nationalist party whose leader Oleh Tyahnybok was one of the three most high-profile leaders of the Euromaidan protests—negotiating directly with the Yanukovych regime.”

There is a road to de-escalation, he says, which “does not consist in showing Putin ‘who’s boss,’ as the U.S. Russophobes (a majority of the commentariat and political class) wish to do,” but rather in acknowledging certain basic principles of diplomacy. A major problem is the “cultural blinders” through which Washington views Russia and Ukraine.

Paul Craig Roberts, a former Treasury official in the Reagan Administration, wrote “Washington’s Arrogance, Hubris, and Evil Have Set the Stage for War,” posted on March 3 on his website.

“Washington tried, but failed, to take Ukraine in 2004 with the Washington-financed Orange Revolution,” he wrote. “According to Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland, since this failure Washington has invested \$5 billion in Ukraine in order to foment agitation for EU membership for Ukraine. EU membership would open Ukraine to looting by Western bankers and

corporations, but Washington’s main goal is to establish US missile bases on Russia’s border with Ukraine and to deprive Russia of its Black Sea naval base and military industries in eastern Ukraine. EU membership for Ukraine means NATO membership....

“The ignorance, absence of integrity, and lack of independence of the US media greatly enhance the prospect for war....

“The stupid [Secretary of State John] Kerry, wallowing in his arrogance, hubris, and evil, has issued direct threats to Russia. The Russian foreign minister has dismissed Kerry’s threats as unacceptable. The stage is set for war.

“Note the absurdity of the situation. Kiev has been taken over by ultra-nationalist neo-nazis....

“The Israeli newspaper *Ha’aretz* reported on February 24 that Ukrainian Rabbi Moshe Reuven Azman advised Kiev’s Jews to leave the city and even the country....

“This is the situation that Washington created and defends, while accusing Russia of stifling Ukrainian democracy. An elected democracy is what Ukraine had before Washington overthrew it.

“At this time there is no legitimate Ukrainian government.

“Everyone needs to understand that Washington is lying about Ukraine just as Washington lied about Saddam Hussein and weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, just as Washington lied about Iranian nukes, just as Washington lied about Syrian president Assad using chemical weapons, just as Washington lied about Afghanistan, Libya, NSA spying, torture. What hasn’t Washington lied about?

“Washington is comprised of three elements: Arrogance, Hubris, and Evil. There is nothing else there.”

Germans Are Speaking Out Against Ukraine Nazis

March 17—Although German Chancellor Angela Merkel endorsed “massively damaging” sanctions against Russia, in a speech to the Bundestag on March 13, and said that her government supports the regime in Kiev, more and more German politicians and publications, in addition to the LaRouche movement there, are opposing Merkel’s policy. Many are pointing to the neo-Nazi presence in the government of Prime Minister “Yats” (Arseniy Yatsenyuk). As Germans who know their history recall, the predecessor of Ukraine’s Svoboda party, Stepan Bandera’s OUN-B, collaborated with the Nazi takeover of Ukraine in 1941, fought with the Wehrmacht against the Soviet Union, and participated in the extermination of Jews and Poles in Ukraine. Here are excerpts from some recent statements.

Gregor Gysi, the head of the Left Party (die Linke) in parliament, replied to Chancellor Merkel’s speech in the Bundestag on March 13:

“We are doing nothing about the fascists in Ukraine! The Svoboda party has close ties with the NPD [neo-Nazi party in Germany] and other Nazi parties in Europe. The leader of this party, Oleh Tyahnybok, stated explicitly: ‘Grab your guns, fight the Russian pigs, the Germans, the Jewish swine, and others’¹. . . .

“And you [addressing Merkel] are talking to these Svoboda people? I find it scandalous!”

Gysi also commented on NATO’s expansion to the east since the breakup of the Soviet Union, saying, “When we restored Germany’s unity, the U.S., German and other foreign ministers proclaimed there would be no NATO expansion to the east. But this promise has

1. This abbreviated quote is as cited by Gysi. The [original](#), which dates from July 17, 2004, is as follows, referring to the forerunners of Svoboda: “They slung their automatic guns around their necks and went into the woods, and fought against the moskali [derogatory slang for Russians], the Germans, the kikes, and other scum who wanted to take away our Ukrainian state. . . . We’ve got to give Ukraine, finally, to the Ukrainians. It is these young people and you old gray-beards, put together, that the moskali-kike mafia ruling Ukraine today is most afraid of.”



Gregor Gysi, caucus chair of the Left Party (die Linke), demanded that Chancellor Merkel account for her government’s support for a Kiev government that includes neo-Nazis.

been broken. There was an expansion of NATO in Russia’s direction.”

The Green party, which is supporting the Yats government, reacted in fury. Caucus chair **Katrin Göring-Eckardt** screamed repeatedly, “Don’t dare do that again, defaming the Maidan!” She, and fellow Green **Mariluisse Beck**, admitted that there are “right-wing nationalists” in Ukraine, but blamed Russian President Vladimir Putin for their rise.

Sahra Wagenknecht, the vice-chair of the Left Party Bundestag group, gave a press conference on March 13 attacking the German government for its unholy alliance with a Kiev government that is illegal and includes Nazis and anti-Semites. The Svoboda people have been cultivated by the German government, although they are the sister party of the neo-Nazi NPD, Wagenknecht said. She charged the West and NATO with having a confrontationist approach, warning that any military intervention would escalate into a “Third World War.”

Willy Wimmer, a Christian Democrat and former parliamentarian, former vice-president of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), denounced the putsch in Ukraine and the neo-Nazis in the government, in an interview with the Russian TV network RT on March 11. Asked why this is not being denounced in the West, Wimmer replied: “Because these new Nazis are our ‘good Nazis’ now, and this is disastrous for all of Europe.”

“I think it was a putsch, a coup d’état, what hap-



Willy Wimmer, a longtime leader of the Christian Democratic Union: “I think it was a putsch, a coup d’état.”

pened in Kiev,” he said. . . . “The problem with this government is that they are not only *not* legal, they are working together with people who will be banned, sooner or later by the Constitutional Court here in Germany: right-wingers, Nazis, fascists. It is interesting and outstanding that no western government is talking about these people who already created—once last century—disaster, terror, and wars in Europe, and now these people have come back. . . .”

In an interview with the Serbian website beoforum.rs on March 8, Wimmer said he shares China’s concerns about Ukraine, because the Chinese know, including because of the terrorist massacre in Kunming the previous week, that once Russia is attacked, China will be next. Wimmer also mentioned that today’s U.S./NATO strategy can be traced back to Britain’s sabotage of continental European cooperation after the Napoleonic Wars.

Germany’s two state-run TV channels, **ARD** and **ZDF**, have exposed the neo-Nazis in Kiev in prime-time broadcasts watched by millions of Germans, including “Panorama” TV magazine, “Auslandsjournal,” “Aus der Anstalt,” and the “Anne Will Show.”

On the “Anne Will Show” on March 12, **Philipp Missfelder**, foreign policy spokesman of the Christian Democratic Union, demanded that Yatsenyuk instantly purge his interim cabinet of these neo-Nazis. The “Aus der Anstalt” program, a political comedy show, on March 11, satirized former Ukrainian Prime Minister Yulia Tymoshenko as an oligarch; the State Department’s Victoria Nuland, and Sen. John McCain. It dubbed German Foreign Minister Frank-Walter Stein-

meier “Aussenmeier Frankenstein,” adding that the “first big foreign policy success of the new German government, five weeks after its starting work, is that neo-Nazis are in power in Kiev.”

Germany’s leading business daily, *Handelsblatt*, on March 13 attacked the West’s “pitbull politics” against Putin. The Russian President is not the one who has to practice restraint, the paper said; “it is the pitbull inside us that has to be put back on the leash.”

The **Petersburg Dialogue**, the **Ostausschuss** (East Commission), the **German-Russian Forum**, and the **German-Ukrainian Society** issued a joint appeal on March 14, urging politicians to avoid any steps that would further escalate the crisis over Ukraine militarily or economically, and instead seek dialogue. Based on their longtime experience with Russian politicians and institutions on all levels, the four associations offer assistance to a future Contact Group, whose establishment should have priority now. Decades of confidence-building through dialogue with Russia should not be abandoned, they urge.

Presenting the joint appeal to the press, spokesmen warned against shutting down remaining channels of dialogue with Russia in the context of sanctions, which might also lead to calling off the next session of the Petersburg Dialogue in Leipzig in mid-April, which envisaged the attendance of the Russian President. Not having that meeting take place, would be a “catastrophe” and “destroy any chance of diplomacy,” said **Alexander Rahr** of the German-Russian Chamber of Commerce. Rahr is a historian and biographer of Putin.

Peter Ramsauer (Christian Social Union), chairman of the Bundestag Economic Policy Committee and Minister of Transport in the previous government, opposed sanctions, saying in Berlin on March 14 that since China, for example, would not join sanctions, Russia would always have a venue to the world market, and in the end, crucial market shares would be lost for Germany and Europe. **Carsten Linnemann**, chairman of the Christian Democrats’ organization for small and medium-sized businesses, said sanctions would be damaging for Germany’s industry, affecting more than 200,000 jobs there which depend on trade with Russia.

An opinion poll published by the FORSA institute on March 13, showed that sanctions are opposed by 69% of Germans.

The ‘Mackinder Mantra’ In U.S.-Russia Conflict

EIR’s Roger Moore interviewed Pino Arlacchi, who was in his office in Strasbourg, France, by telephone from Wiesbaden, Germany, on March 13. Arlacchi is a Member of the European Parliament (EP), former member of the Italian Senate, and former head of the the UN Office of Drug Control and Crime Prevention (UNODC) in Vienna, which in 2001 succeeded in getting the Afghan Taliban government to stop almost all poppy planting. In 2010 and 2011, as Afghanistan Rapporteur for the EP, he worked out a plan with Viktor Ivanov, the head of the Russian Federal Drug Control Service, for eliminating the opium/heroin economy. The Russians “did their work,” and were ready go ahead, he told this reporter recently, but the European Commission killed the plan.

EIR: In February, and your later appearances on the Ukraine debates in the European Parliament, you were very dramatic in saying that the EU made a very grave and strategic mistake in treating Russia as an enemy, and it seems to be that it is still the case. Can you explain how this evolved, since we are now at the brink of a confrontation between the U.S. and the EU, on one side, and Russia on the other, with military strategic implications? How did we get into this mess?

Arlacchi: I am surprised and also appalled by this sudden change of orientation by the EU. With Russia, we have a strategic partnership in the area of energy, and we were at the point to negotiate a larger agreement that would include also other areas. We departed from that with a confrontation attitude vis-à-vis Russia. We had already made a mistake (but it was and is possible to correct it) in formulating this Eastern partnership, where we [the EU—ed.] focus on all countries that surround Russia, and not on Russia itself. This was the origin of the whole mistake and one of the origins of the current crisis.

We developed the set of Association Agreements and free-trade exchanges with all countries between

Europe and Russia, but not offering the same to Russia itself, or simply doing a different policy: I mean creating an area of trade between Lisbon and Vladivostok, that would make superfluous any kind of Association Agreement, country-by-country, for trade agreements.¹

This sudden change is due, I believe, mostly to the pressure of the USA; that is not evident, is not recognized, but I cannot find a different explanation, since the USA’s long-term strategy is to impede any rapprochement, any improvement of relations between Europe, particularly the Eastern part, and Russia.

This is what I call the “Mackinder Mantra,” applied carefully by all American administrations, theorized and updated by [Zbigniew] Brzezinski in his books. And I see this as a result of Halford Mackinder’s strategy, to impede at any cost the cooperation, or even the long-term unification between Europe and Russia.²

Call for a Eurasian Land-Bridge

EIR: Two days ago, the head of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Moscow, Academician Vladimir Fortov, and Vladimir Yakunin, the head of the Russian Railways, at the Presidium of the Academy of Sciences in Moscow, called for a massive expansion of what is known as the Eurasian Land-Bridge of development corridors from the Atlantic to the Pacific, in Asia, including China; building up railroad systems, and then sponsoring industry within Russia. They didn’t mention Ukraine, but it would be obvious that a major 10-20-year infrastructure-development program is something that should be on the agenda. What would you think of a large-scale development project that links western Europe through Central Europe,

1. According to the EU, “Association Agreements are international agreements that the European Community/European Union has concluded with third countries with the aim of setting up an all-embracing framework to conduct bilateral relations. These agreements normally provide for the progressive liberalisation of trade (to various degrees: Free Trade Area, Customs Union).” Translation: the AAs are agreements with subject countries, such as those in Eastern Europe, allowing the imperial financiers unobstructed looting rights in those countries—ed.

2. Sir Halford J. Mackinder (1861-1947), Britain’s leading geopolitical theorist at the turn of the century, coined the term “Eurasian heartland,” the battle for which, he said, would determine who would control the world.

with Russia and Asia?

Arlacchi: That would be a great, great project and could be an infrastructure for peace and cooperation between the European Union and Russia. But there are also other ideas we could develop, particularly, this free-trade area, also free circulation of people, abolition of all visas for all the area covered by the free-trade agreement—it means all countries, Russia, all countries in between, also all European countries. These should be the ideas that should be discussed if people were concerned about long-term goals, and also the interests, European interests. No one here [in the European Parliament—ed.] considers what are our interests, short and long-term. Europe has all possible interests in cooperating with Russia, from economy to culture, because Russia is Europe.

Our economies, for instance, would enjoy many additional chances for development. We would have all the advantages from this agreement: It means resources, energy, and a huge market. Russia could have technology, financial supply, technological aid, technical and scientific cooperation, and vice versa. I asked my colleagues, the anti-Russian colleagues, could you please quote one, single, big issue that should divide us from Russia, just one, and the answer is LGBT rights!

EIR: Good reason to have World War III!

Arlacchi: It has some importance, but could not, for sure, be considered a long-term strategic issue, could not be considered something to last forever.

EIR: Over the last months, leading economists such as Simon Johnson and Joseph Stiglitz, have emphasized that the trans-Atlantic banking crisis of the megabanks—we call them the casino banks—has not been solved, the so-called too-big-too-fail problem. And



EU photo

Pino Arlacchi: "...I believe that we should start to use this crisis to reconsider, and to relaunch our long-term interest and friendship with Russia."

they have been adamant in calling for breaking up the banks and implementing what is known in the U.S. as the Glass-Steagall law. Here it would be called bank separation, and has different names in different countries. Were western Europe to work with Russia, it would need a credit system which could finance the real economy. What do you think, here within Europe, about the debate, or using the possibility of this cooperation with Russia to force a change in what leading economists consider a failed financial system?

Arlacchi: Yes, it is absolutely a good idea, but there is no discussion in Europe on this subject at the political level. But I believe that bank separation had existed in some European countries, and it has been refused in Italy—it did exist but was dismantled.

EIR: I think [European Central Bank head] Mario Draghi had something to do with that.

Arlacchi: Well, I am really not an expert, so I cannot contribute precisely, but I believe that this is a good idea. It was translated into law after the Great Depression in the USA, and turned out to be a powerful instrument of stabilization of the world economy, of reducing risk of bank collapse, and also giving impulse to the proper and productive use of the financial system that should exist to support industrial and productive expansion, and should not exist just to maintain its power at the expense of the other parts of the economy.

EIR: Is there anything else you would like to say, Professor Arlacchi?

Arlacchi: I don't think we are facing a war. I do not think there will be any war between the EU and Russia, but I believe that we should start to use this crisis to reconsider, and to relaunch our long-term interest and friendship with Russia.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche on China Radio: We Can Have a New Era of Mankind

China Radio International's "People in the Know" program interviewed Helga Zepp-LaRouche during her recent trip to Beijing. The [interview](#), conducted by host Zheng Chenguang, was posted on March 14, and aired on March 17. The website has posted the following biography of Zepp-LaRouche. A transcript of the discussion begins immediately following that.

"Helga Zepp-LaRouche is the founder and President of the Schiller Institute, an influential economic and political think-tank with headquarters in the U.S. and Germany.

"During her many years as a political activist, Zepp-LaRouche developed an acute sense for world politics. She has been a strong advocate for such policies as the "Oasis Plan," a Middle East peace agreement based on Arab-Israeli collaboration on major water projects.

"She also strongly proposed the building of a Eurasian Land-Bridge, which she believed was vital in transforming the newly freed Eastern European nations into a thriving engine for global economic development. Zepp-LaRouche has also had multiple dealings with China.

"As early as 1971, she traveled for many months through China as one of the first European journalists to work in the country.

"So what are Zepp-LaRouche's thoughts on some of the world's most pressing issues today? How does she interpret the many ideas that she has been trying to promote over the years, such as the Eurasian Land-Bridge?"

*Nihao.*¹ You're listening to "People in the Know," bringing you insights into the headlines in China and around the world. I'm Zheng Chenguang in Beijing.

In this edition of the program, we speak to Helga Zepp-LaRouche, the founder and President of the Schiller Institute.

Zheng Chenguang: Mrs. LaRouche, welcome to the program.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: Thank you.

1. Mandarin Chinese for "Hello."

Zheng: We understand that you founded the Schiller Institute, which has become a rather influential economic and political think-tank both in the U.S. and in Europe. What prompted you to establish this institute in the first place?

Zepp-LaRouche: It was, in general, the realization that foreign relations among nations are not on the right footing. If you go beyond diplomacy and media news in the West, the reality is many times rather subversion, manipulation, and even coups; and I said no: We have to have relations among nations which are on a completely different basis. Each nation should relate to the best traditions of the other one, and vice versa. And only in that way, can we have peace. And that is why I gave the effort the name of Friedrich Schiller, who, as you know, is the German "Poet of Freedom," and he has an image of man which is very beautiful. And I thought that we need to have such a humanist conception defining foreign relations.

Now some people may think this is idealistic, which it is, but I think what distinguishes human beings from animals is that we are capable of having beautiful visions to shape the future. So I'm optimistic that the work we have been doing for many decades now—and the Schiller Institute will have its 30th anniversary this year—that we can eventually win with our ideas.

Glass-Steagall To Bankrupt Wall Street

Zheng: We understand that you and your husband [Lyndon LaRouche] are strong advocates for the revival of a global Glass-Steagall and also for the building of the Eurasian Land-Bridge. Why are these things so vital to you, and do you think that they are realistic goals for our human community to achieve, given the growing political differences among the world's major powers?

Zepp-LaRouche: Well, Glass-Steagall is the only way you can stop this present "casino economy." As you can see, after the collapse of Lehman Brothers in 2008, what the G20 countries did was to just bail out the bankrupt banks, pay the speculators for their losses, and transform private gambling debt into public state debt.

2014-03-17 A Conversation with Helga Zepp-LaRouche

2014-03-14 17:11:38 CRIENGLISH.com Web Editor: Lu Cheng



People in the Know host Zheng Chengguang meets with Helga Zepp-LaRouche, founder and President of the Schiller Institute. [Photo Source: CRIENGLISH.com]

CRIENGLISH.com

This photo and caption appear on the News Plus [website](http://www.crienglish.com), where the People in the Know interview with Helga Zepp-LaRouche is posted.

And that has now reached a point where the additional measure of quantitative easing, which was simply pumping money into the system, is no longer functioning.

So now they are talking about “bail-in,” which is the so-called Cyprus model, that we would just take a “hair cut” of all the accounts in the banks. And if you would now do that, which is actively planned by the U.S. Federal Reserve and the EU Commission, you blow out the system. You would have a sudden, traumatic social explosion, a collapse of the financial system, and unforeseeable consequences coming out of that.

So therefore, what we propose instead, is to do what Franklin D. Roosevelt did in 1933, when he also had to deal with the Depression and the crisis of the 1930s. And he did the Glass-Steagall Act, which was the separation of the banks, which then, together with the New Deal, led to the economic recovery of the United States from the Great Depression.

Now we want to do the same thing today. We have already organized more than 80 Congressmen, 11 Senators, and 26 states out of 50, pushing Glass-Steagall with resolutions and bills. And we want to bankrupt Wall Street. Because this casino economy has to stop, because

it has increased the gap between rich and poor in an unbelievable way. Recently a report was published showing that 85 individuals own as much wealth as 3.5 billion people. And that is obviously not just that the rich people have the privilege, but 2 billion people in the world are going hungry every day, and we just think that this is not tenable.

Zheng: You talk about the QE [quantitative easing] measures fueling inflation, or even hyperinflation, in the U.S., but lately we have seen that the Federal Reserve has decided to taper these measures, and [Fed chairman] Janet Yellen, in her first testimony, also said that the Fed will stick to the QE tapering measures. Would you say that this is a wise move for the U.S. to do? And do you think that tapering of QE actually signals the start of a steady recovery in the U.S. economy going forward?

Zepp-LaRouche: Earlier, there was a debate in the Federal Reserve and elsewhere, that it would be impossible to do the tapering because the quantitative easing has created a gigantic bubble, and if you stop feeding this bubble with more liquidity, it pops. So there were people who were saying that you can't top quantitative easing, because you run the risk of complete disintegration of the financial system, and that is exactly what we are seeing right now. And the tapering started to go back from \$85 billion per month liquidity injection, to \$75 billion, to \$65 billion.

Now you see that the emerging markets are collapsing. You have a capital flight from these emerging markets back to the United States and back to Europe, and this is the immediate threat of a blowout of the system. This was even mentioned in a report by the Bank for International Settlements, who warned about this. This is just the tip of the iceberg. The too-big-to-fail banks are hopelessly bankrupt. And one of the proponents of Glass-Steagall, Thomas Hoenig, who is the vice-chairman of the FDIC, said—and I fully agree with him—that if one of the too-big-to-fail banks would collapse now, it would not be one bank, but that the whole system would blow out. And we are on the verge of exactly that.

Shut Down the Casino: Create a Credit System

Zheng: Do you think that the financial industry, the bankers and the financiers in Wall Street, have actually learned their lesson from late 2007, when the financial crisis started?

Zepp-LaRouche: No. I think they are incapable of learning, because they are so driven by their greed and by their possession of power, that I don't think they are salvageable. The only way you can solve it is by closing down the casino, implement Glass-Steagall, and write off the virtual money. The former Italian Economics Minister Giulio Tremonti once said that what you own virtually, you cannot lose, because you never owned it in the first place. So if you write off the derivatives and the "creative" financial instruments, you are not losing anything.

However, then you must go into the second step, which is creating a credit system in the tradition of the American System of Economy, going back to the tradition of Alexander Hamilton, who created the First National Bank in the United States; in the tradition of Lincoln, of [Franklin] Roosevelt, of John F. Kennedy; and then you can create a new credit system extending credits to well-defined projects. You are no longer creating money just for gambling or for other virtual activities, but credit will be issued only to restart the real economy. And we have worked out very concrete proposals for how that can be done immediately.

For example, for the United States we have proposed the North America water-management program, NAWAPA, which is the largest water project in history, ever. It would take the water which is now flowing unused into the Arctic Ocean in Alaska and Canada, and it would bring it through a system of canals along the Rocky Mountains all the way to Mexico. And that way, you would create new vegetation, agriculture, forestry, in an area which is now desert.

And, as you know, in California and in Texas, there is a tremendous drought which is threatening the food supply for the American population, and therefore, such water projects are extremely urgent for the United States, for China, for Africa, for large parts of Central Asia, for the Middle East.

So we really want to create credit for productive purposes and no longer for the profit of a few speculators.

Zheng: How about the European economy, across the Atlantic? Last year we've seen some encouraging signs in Europe. Several European Union countries have implemented the program, and Britain is reported to be taking the lead in the recovery, and it is expected that this country is going to become the largest economy in Europe, as some British economists believe.

What do you think? Do you think that the European economy is finally out of the woods?

Zepp-LaRouche: No, not at all. I think the euro crisis is just hidden, because if you look at the condition of the southern European countries: Look at Greece. They have 65% youth unemployment; Spain, over 65% youth unemployment. And the only country which is relatively still functioning is Germany; but the famous export champion, Germany, is only an export champion because it can export to Asia.

For example, normally, the majority of German experts would go to the European Union, but the South is collapsing. France is in a terrible condition. So the only reason why Germany is doing relatively well is because it could compensate the losses to the European Union through exports to Asia. But it's totally volatile.

Zheng: Before the Second World War, I think the European countries were constantly at odds with each other, fighting with each other. That was why the European project was first brought up by Robert Schumann [father of the EU], in an effort to make European countries at peace with each other. So, do you think that the EU project really works in terms of avoiding wars, and bringing peace to the continent?

Zepp-LaRouche: No. I think there is a fundamental difference from what people in the immediate post-war period intended. For example, the cooperation between Adenauer and de Gaulle, which did overcome the war and the hostility between the French and the Germans. This was very good, and we want to go back to that kind of tradition, of collaboration among the European fatherlands.

But what we have now, since the Maastricht Treaty, we have a supranational structure which has taken on a life of its own, which is in fundamental opposition to the interests of its member-states.

China in Transition

Zheng: Let's now take a look at the Asia-Pacific region. Let's talk about China. We understand that you first visited China in 1971, and at that time, I think, many Chinese people were still wondering where their next meal is. But today, I think, people are wondering what car to buy, or which fancy handbag to purchase, so I think that China has undergone profound changes in the past decades.

As we speak at this moment, China is also facing a new transition period. We understand that GDP growth

is slowing down. Consumption is growing as a driver of growth—this is gaining strength. What do you make of the Chinese economy going forward? Do you think that this will continue, the economic miracle that it has created in the last two decades?

Zepp-LaRouche: I think what President Xi Jinping has announced with the New Silk Road is the promise that China can do that. However, there will be, in the immediate future, the problem that if the U.S. and European export markets collapse—which they will if we do not get Glass-Steagall—then, naturally, China will have severe consequences from the collapse in the trans-Atlantic system. So, therefore, it is all the more urgent to go in the direction of, not only Glass-Steagall in the United States and in Europe, but to also think about a new credit system, a new credit system in the tradition of Bretton Woods.

For example, the New Silk Road idea, which is really identical with our Eurasian Land-Bridge proposal of 24 years ago, would have large projects, building corridors, building fast-speed railways, building waterways, building, for example, water projects to overcome the deserts. And these are projects which would be international. So you need to have international mutual credit agreements among different nations, to build these over the long term. Meaning that you cannot expect profit in two months, but you would plan these projects over 10, 20, 40, even 50 years, and you make credit arrangements among sovereign countries to accomplish that.

So, I think we need to think about how to replace the present collapsing financial system, with a new system of credit among sovereign nations. And then I think China can have a fantastic future, because China is on the right course. I mean, the present difference between the trans-Atlantic world, which is collapsing, and the Asian world, is gigantic. Because Europe is becoming green—totally Malthusian population reduction—while if you look at China, and Russia, India, Korea, these countries may have problems, but they go in the right direction. They go in the right direction of having higher energy-flux densities in their production.

For example, the recent landing on the Moon by China with the Jade Rabbit [lunar rover] is a very prom-



Embassy of the People's Republic of China

Chinese President Xi Jinping's New Silk Road concept has also been promoted for many years by the LaRouche movement. Here, Xi and Kazakhstan's President Nazarbayev in Asana, for a meeting of the Shanghai Cooperation Council, Sept. 7, 2013.

ising sign for the future of not only China, but of the whole world, because the intention to mine helium-3 on the Moon for a future fusion-based economy on Earth—that is exactly the right policy. And therefore, I think if China sticks to this, then the future of China can be very bright.

Zheng: You give us a very promising economic prospect in Asia. But I think strategically, in security terms, the crisis is looming in the Asia-Pacific region, especially with this territorial dispute escalating between Japan and China, and Southeast Asian nations like the Philippines. In April, U.S. President Barack Obama is going to visit Asia, and he will go to *Japan*, and the Philippines. Both are entangled in territorial disputes with China. Do you think that China should be concerned that it is being encircled strategically by the United States?

Zepp-LaRouche: Yes. I think so. Because we are right now, as a matter of fact, on the verge of the danger of a deterioration of world relations into a world war. For the Pacific is, unfortunately, the Asia-Pivot policy of the United States, and the Air-Sea Battle doctrine, which is the same utopian conception that it would be possible to take out the second-strike capability of

China. And also, China has made very clear, this is unacceptable and foolish. The danger of both the U.S. missile defense doctrine and the Air-Sea Battle doctrine is that they are inviting the other side to go for a first strike, because if you wait, it may be too late.

So, we are sitting on a volcano which is extremely dangerous, and this is why we are fighting very hard in the United States to cause changes inside the United States—that the United States goes back to their Constitution, and does not pursue these kinds of policies.

The Legacy of World War II

Zheng: I think one more immediate risk is the potential dispute or conflict between China and Japan. And the beef that China has with Japan, is that China thinks that Japan has never apologized sincerely for its wartime atrocities. I think in this sense, Germany and Japan were in the same position historically, but Germany was really sincere in terms of apologizing for what it has done in the Second World War. What do you make of Japan's unwillingness to admit what they have done during the war? And also their latest rhetoric, to whitewash the Second World War atrocities?

Zepp-LaRouche: Well, this is obviously very stupid, and very dangerous. But I think you cannot take what Japan is doing out of the context of its alliance with the United States. Because it is really the U.S. expansion into the Pacific which gives room for Japan to do that. We hope that there are some people in Japan, who, in time, will come to their senses, and go in a different direction. Because Japan, like Germany, is a country which has almost no raw materials. And it's highly dependent upon exports. So therefore, the only way we can solve all of these things, is by a conception like the World Land-Bridge, where you have economic cooperation among all countries, which is so much more to the benefit and interest of all, than the local conflicts.

We have some good friends and contacts in Japan who want to have a good relationship with China, and we are hoping that they can become the dominant force.

Zheng: I think U.S. leaders, including Secretary of State John Kerry, have said, more than once, that the U.S. welcomes the rise of China, and the U.S. would accommodate a stronger China in the Asia-Pacific region. Do you think that actually they mean what they are preaching?

Zepp-LaRouche: It's very difficult to judge, be-

cause Mr. Kerry has said the opposite many times, and it leaves you to an interpretation of what is actually policy. I think actually, if Kerry is acting on his own, he probably means it, but unfortunately, in the United States, you have a big faction fight right now, between those people who say that the principle of sovereignty should be respected. Like, for example, General Dempsey [chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff] is a very positive factor, who has said many times that the rise of the China should not worry people. He said the rise of China today should not be a worry leading to war against China. He said that many, many times.

I know that there are many patriots of the United States like him, who really believe that the future of foreign relations must be in the tradition of John Quincy Adams, who advocated the alliance of perfectly sovereign republics around the world, where none would be dominating the other one.

But unfortunately, there are also other people in the United States who believe in the Blair doctrine, which is the idea of the "responsibility to protect." They have even a whole office in the United States where they have all the human rights violations around the globe, and people like [National Security Advisor] Susan Rice and others—unfortunately Obama, as well—they believe that they should intervene with the pretext of humanitarian reasons, militarily, around the globe. This is the doctrine of Tony Blair, which he announced in 1999 in Chicago, and we strongly hope that the patriots in the United States will win this battle.

Zheng: So, you are saying that China-U.S. relations are growing increasingly complicated, especially in the Pacific region. What kind of concrete steps do you think that these two nations can take to avoid miscalculations, and build the Asia-Pacific region into a region of peace and cooperation, rather than confrontation, going forward?

Zepp-LaRouche: Again, I think we need to concentrate on the common aims of mankind. We are sitting right now on a powderkeg of potential extinction, so if we continue on the present course—with globalization, with imperial extension, with TPP [Trans-Pacific Partnership] and TTIP [Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership]—this is a nightmare.

First of all, the system will never get there, because it is collapsing now, but their idea is to eliminate national sovereignty, for the advantage of the large corporations which then would basically rule the world. Now,

if this is happening, I think we are crashing against the wall. This is a terrible danger.

So, therefore, we must now, in front of the danger of the potential extinction of civilization, agree that we have common interests as human beings. That there are many, many problems we should focus on to solve together. One is: We have to get rid of nuclear weapons. We have to get a missile defense system, *including all nations*, so that security can be established, and that we declare nuclear weapons obsolete.

Secondly, we have to think about what are the threats: drug production. Even the fact that this terrorism problem is real, and it threatens the security of all countries—we should have international cooperation to wipe out drugs, to wipe terrorism, and to go for development. Because if you don't put an alternative on the table for real economic development—improving the living standard of the population—we cannot stop this problem.

So, my proposal has been for a long time, that if you want to have peace in the region of the whole Middle and Near East, Southwest Asia, the countries of Russia, China, India, Iran, and hopefully, the United States, and hopefully, some European countries—that they work

together to develop this region. Because the poverty in this area is such that it nourishes terrorism.

There are also other common aims of mankind. For example, right now, we are not set up to protect the planet against the danger of asteroids. So, why not concentrate on issues like defense of the planet against objects from space? Earthquakes and tsunamis and volcano predictions? There are so many areas where we could, in a useful way, work together.

So, then, many areas of such cooperation, which I believe we are now at a point where either we go into a completely new paradigm, which corresponds to the dignity and creative identity of the human species, or we will not make it. So, we are confronted with a total choice: We must have a new era of cooperation. And then I'm very optimistic, and I think that we are still at an embryonic point, where if we get our act together, and behave like human beings, we can envision a future which is completely different than what we had in the past.

Zheng: Mrs. LaRouche, thank you very much indeed for sharing with us your insights.


Zepp-LaRouche: Thank you.

DVD now available

A New Paradigm for the Survival of Civilization

Second in a
Series of Historic
Schiller Institute
Conferences

New York City
January 26, 2013



Selected Presentations include:

- Keynote: A New Paradigm To Save Mankind
Helga Zepp-LaRouche
Chairwoman, Schiller Institute
- What Is Mankind as a Species?
Bruce Fein
Former Deputy Attorney General
- The Destructive Effects of Religious Extremisms
Prof. Norton Mezvinsky
President, International Council, Middle East Studies
- The Principles of John Quincy Adams
Prof. Cliff Kiracofe
Virginia Military Institute
- A New Dark Age or a Renaissance?
Sean Stone
Filmmaker

\$25 <http://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/schdv-2013-2-0-0-std.htm>

For more information: 1-800-278-3135

UN Report on Gun-Running to Syria Indicts Gulf States, Implicates U.S.

by William F. Wertz, Jr.

March 17—The UN Panel of Experts released its final report to the UN Security Council on Feb. 15, on violations of the arms embargo to and from Libya. The report bears out the allegations of this news service, that not only did the Obama Administration illegally violate the UN arms embargo which was put in place with its support, but that the weapons were being sent to Syrian rebels who are dominated by al-Qaeda. The Panel's mandate had been extended after Russia, on July 5, 2013, had called on the UNSC to probe reports that arms were being shipped to Syrian rebels from Libya. LaRouchePAC released a "Memo to the U.S. Congress: Obama Gun-Running to Syria Is Already Happening" (<http://larouchepac.com/node/272049>), on July 3, which was sent to the UN at the time.

Although the Panel's movement was restricted in Libya outside of Tripoli, and it did not receive full cooperation from several member-states, its report documents the involvement of President Barack Obama's allies in violating the UN arms embargo during the period around the the overthrow of Qaddafi, and then from Libya, since his ouster.

It also reports that the U.S. firm Jeppesen, based in Colorado, made the flight plans for the Qatari Air Force which transported weapons from Libya to Syria via Turkey. The company did not provide the UN Panel with a list of flights as requested.

Jeppesen, it turns out, was one of the "torture airlines" contracted by the CIA for renditions during the Bush-Cheney Administration, as was exposed in 2006. On Oct. 30 of that year, the *New Yorker* published an article by Jane Mayer entitled "Outsourcing; the C.I.A.'S Travel Agent," in which she revealed that Jeppesen's clients included the CIA, and that among the international trips that the company planned for the Agency were "extraordinary rendition" flights for terrorism suspects.

The involvement of Jeppesen in Dirty Dick Cheney's rendition/torture program, and Jeppesen's now dis-

closed role in Obama's illegal gun-running from Libya to Syria must be investigated immediately by the Senate and the House.

The Panel also contacted the U.S. government with regard to possible U.S. involvement in the gun-running prior to the attack on the Benghazi mission and CIA complex on Sept. 11, 2012, but reports that the U.S. denied the claims.

The report stated, "Transfers from Libya of more regular and significant quantities of arms and at times fighters have developed towards three geographic areas, namely, the Syrian Arab Republic via Lebanon and Turkey, Egypt and the Sahel."

Twenty-eight such illegal flights are documented in the report.

Arming the Syrian Rebels

The following shipments from Libya to Syria were investigated and documented by the UN Panel.

"95. The Panel's investigations have focused on the very different dynamics of illicit transfers, for example, allegations of State-sponsored transfers by air to armed groups in the Syrian Arab Republic. . . .

"96. Pointing to obstruction of its investigative efforts, the Panel notes that there has been 'a notable decrease in cooperation from some Member States. . . .'

"97. The vast majority of Libyan stockpiles are under the control of non-State actors. . . . Most transfers under investigation appear to originate from stockpiles located in Benghazi, Misrata, Zintan and the area of Sebha. The size of some shipments and transfers made by air indicate that some Libyan officials may be aware of some of the transfers, or even directly involved. . . .

"165. Military materiel continues to flow from Libya to the Syrian Arab Republic with various modes of financing, transport and actors involved. . . .

"167. Many sources noted that the extremist elements of the opposition in the Syrian Arab Republic have benefitted from Libyan weapons and a significant number of

Libyan combatants were fighting alongside the groups....

“176. Some of the transfer activities are also backed with funding from rich patrons from Gulf States....

“178. The Panel was provided with several names of Libyan, Syrian, Australian and Turkish nationals allegedly involved in transfers from Libya to the Syrian Arab Republic. The Panel has not yet been able to gather sufficient evidence to confirm the allegations....

“179. Several Member States have allegedly supported transfers from Libya in a number of ways, including through the provision of funding, transportation or access to their territories. The Panel is investigating the allegations....

“181. ...representatives of the Supreme Military Council [of Syria—ed.] travelled to Libya after the creation of the Council and met members of the Government in Tripoli and possibly elsewhere. Subsequently several shipments of materiel were provided free of charge and sent to Ankara.

“Routes:

“182. The transfers under investigation allegedly involve a range of non-State and State actors and occurred by three main routes: Turkey by air and sea, Qatar by air and Lebanon by sea.”

Alleged Transfers via Turkey

“184. ... weapons from Libya arrive by air and sea. Aircraft mainly fly to Gaziantep, Ankara and Antakya and sea shipments go through Mersin and Iskenderun. The materiel then travels by truck through the border crossings at Reyhanli and Kilis.

“185. It is alleged that ‘some Turkish authorities were involved in weapons transfers through the management and oversight of weapons deliveries to some elements of the Syrian opposition.’...

“188. The Panel wrote to Turkey requesting further information following media reports of arms seizures on the Syrian border and a further report stating that, on 2 January 2014, Turkish security forces had seized a truck in the region of Hatay that was transporting arms



A report of the UN Panel of Experts documents the violation by U.S. allies of the UN arms embargo during the Libyan war that overthrew Moammar Qaddafi, and the movement of arms from Libya to Syria. Shown: Libyan rebels on a battlefield of the war in 2011.

and ammunition to the Syrian Arab Republic. Owing to a technical error, the letter did not reach the Permanent Mission of Turkey to the UN in New York before the present report.

“189. The same humanitarian organization that was allegedly involved in the second case mentioned above was also the consignee for the shipment made by the Al-Entisar in September 2012.

“190. Some media reports claimed that United States officials working in Benghazi prior to the attack on the Benghazi consulate in September 2012 might have been involved in transfers of weapons out of Libya via Turkey to the Syrian opposition. The Panel contacted the United States regarding the claims, which it denied.”

Alleged Transfers via Qatar

“191. The Panel is investigating the alleged involvement of Qatar in transfers of materiel from Libya to the Syrian Arab Republic since 2012. Multiple sources ... allege that Qatari air force flights have been transferring military materiel from Libya to Qatar and then from Qatar to Turkey for the Syrian opposition....

“194. The Panel contacted Qatar to obtain a detailed list of flights made by Qatari air force to Libya since July 2012.... Qatar ... did not provide the requested information.”

In Annex VIII, “Investigation on flights operated by Qatari aircraft,” the Panel reports that it received flight plans for several Qatari aircraft.

It then reports that the flight plans of the Qatari C17 are made by “Jeppesen, an American company based in Colorado that is a subsidiary of Boeing.” When the Panel contacted Jeppesen, the company said “it was not involved in the process of obtaining Diplomatic Clearances for the Qatar Air Force and did not know the content of the flight cargo for the flights it plans. Jeppesen did not provide the list of flights which the Panel requested.”

The Panel then cited three flights which were reported in a *New York Times* article in June 2013:

Flight 1: Mitiga Airport, Tripoli, Libya to Al Udeid Air Base, Doha, Qatar—15 January 2013

Flight 2: Mitiga Airport, Tripoli, Libya to Al Udeid Air Base with a stopover in Morocco—1 February 2013

Flight 3: Benina Airport, Benghazi, Libya to Al Udeid Air Base, Doha, Qatar—16 April 2013.

The flight plans required military diplomatic clearances. Regarding flights 1 and 3, the Panel reported that Saudi Arabia did not respond to the Panel’s letter requesting information on the clearances it issued for these flights, thus indicating that it was Saudi Arabia which gave clearances to the flights.

The UN Panel then reported that “The flight data

provided to the Panel shows that after the arrivals of each of the above-mentioned flights in Doha, the next C17 to depart from Doha flew to Ankara. Analysis of the flight plans of Qatari C17 military transport aircraft shows that between 1 January 2013 and 30 April 2013, the Qatari Air Force operated 28 flights between Doha and Ankara, and one to Gaziantep, an airport near the Turkish-Syrian border. It is also interesting to note that after the arrivals of each of the above-mentioned flights (1, 2 and 3) in Doha, the next C17 to depart from Doha flew to Ankara.”

Again the flight plan for each of these flights was arranged by a U.S. company.

In Annex X, “Update on the Letfallah II investigation,” the Panel reports on further Saudi involvement: “The document indicates that Syrian citizens based in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia initiated and financed the operation.”

In Annex XIII, “Proliferation of man-portable air defense systems from Libya,” the Panel reports that “The seizure made on the Letfallah II proved that there had been attempts to transfer MANPADS to the Syrian opposition from Libya.” Again this is a shipment “initiated and financed” in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

EIR Special Report

Obama’s War on America: 9/11 Two

New Updated Edition

A new, updated edition of the EIR Special Report, “Obama’s War on America: 9/11 Two” is now available from larouche.com. The expanded report is an urgent intervention into the ongoing strategic crisis brought on by the British/Saudi/Obama alliance behind the overthrow of Qaddafi, and the subsequent explosion of jihadist uprisings throughout Africa and the Arab world.

The Original Material:

- Obama’s 9/11
- The London-Saudi Role in International Terrorism
- 9/11 Take One

The Updates:

- LaRouchePAC’s Fact Sheet on Obama’s alliance with al-Qaeda
- LaRouchePAC’s draft questions for Congress
- A transcript of the pre-election press conference held by Lyndon LaRouche and Jeffrey Steinberg on the impeachable crimes of Barack Obama.

Price **\$100**

(Available in paperback and PDF. For paper, add shipping and handling; Va. residents add 5% sales tax.)



Order from EIR News Service **1-800-278-3135** Or online: www.larouche.com

PRESS CONFERENCE DEMANDS

End Obama Coverup of 9/11 Anglo-Saudi Terror

by Jeffrey Steinberg

March 17—On March 12, Representatives Walter Jones (R-N.C.), Stephen Lynch (D-Mass.), and Thomas Massie (R-Ky.) held an extraordinary press conference, along with representatives of the 9/11 families, to declare their firm commitment to forcing President Obama to declassify a 28-page chapter from the Joint Congressional Inquiry Report which deals with who financed 9/11, and thus end the coverup of the pivotal role of Saudi Arabia in the events of Sept. 11, 2001. Former Sen. Bob Graham (D-Fla.), who chaired the Joint Congressional Inquiry, and has championed the call for the declassification of the 28 pages, delivered a powerful endorsement of the press conference call in a video that was circulated among reporters attending the event at the Cannon House Office Building (see below).

Jones and Lynch are co-sponsors of House Resolution 428, introduced Dec. 2, 2013, which demands that President Obama declassify the relevant section, and that every Member of Congress read the pages that the Bush-Cheney Administration banned from the final Joint Inquiry document. The Resolution currently has five additional bi-partisan co-sponsors: Reps. Paul Broun (R-Ga.), Michael Grimm (R-N.Y.), Alcee Hastings (D-Fla.), Thomas Massie (R-Ky.), Dana Rohrabacher (R-Calif.), and Steve Stockman (R-Tex.).

President Obama had campaigned in 2008 in support of the public release of the classified chapter, and even met with representatives of the 9/11 Families in the White House in February 2009, right after his first

inauguration. Terry Strada, one of the 9/11 family members who spoke at the Capitol Hill press conference, confirmed, in response to a question from this reporter, that in the February 2009 meeting, Obama had pledged to declassify the 28 pages. He subsequently reneged on the promise and even ordered his Solicitor General to go to Federal court to defend Saudi Arabia's sovereign immunity against lawsuits and disclosures.

The simple truth is that President Obama lied to the 9/11 Families and has covered up the crimes of the Saudi government, Prince Bandar bin-Sultan, and Vice President Dick Cheney. The truth about the most heinous terrorist attack to ever take place on U.S. soil has been buried by President Obama, and the coverup has led to countless subsequent deaths, including the murder of U.S. Amb. Christopher Stevens and three other Americans in Benghazi, Libya on Sept. 11, 2012.

A Larger Context

President Obama's personal role in covering up the crimes of Dick Cheney and his Anglo-Saudi allies has taken on a further dimension in the past week, with the Senate speech by Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.), who chairs the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, in which she revealed that the Obama White House has been suppressing 9,000 documents detailing the Bush-Cheney Administration's torture and rendition program, and has backed CIA Director John Brennan, President Obama's former White House counterterror-

ism chief, in efforts to suppress the Senate torture probe through spying and intimidation of Senate committee staff (see article under *National*).

The rift between leading Senate Democrats and President Obama blew up in public this week, in part because the President's provocative dealings with Russia over the Ukraine crisis have brought the world to the brink of world war, possibly even thermonuclear war.

President Obama's track record of high crimes and misdemeanors should have been taken on by Congress years ago—including his coverup of the 9/11 evidence and his illegal Libya war and domestic spying programs. But now, there is clearly building support for action in the U.S. Senate. Today, both Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid and former Senate Intelligence Committee Chairman Jay Rockefeller threw their support behind Feinstein in her showdown with the President and CIA Director Brennan.

9/11 Justice

During the press conference last week, all three Congressmen pledged that they would not rest until the secret 28 pages are made public. While they were careful not to reveal any of the content of the "disappeared" pages, they richly described the Kafka-esque experience of reading the 28 pages under guard in a soundproof room, where they could not bring in paper or pencil to take notes. Family members, in contrast, held nothing back from the assembled reporters from CNN, Fox, WTOP, the *Boston Globe*, the *Philadelphia Inquirer*, *EIR*, and other news organizations. They lambasted the Saudi regime for providing financial and material support to the hijackers.

In 2004, two years after the Bush-Cheney coverup of the vital 28 pages, Senator Graham published a book-length account of the Joint Inquiry, *Intelligence Matters*. There Graham provided crucial details about the role of Prince Bandar—then the Saudi Ambassador to the U.S., and a de facto member of the Bush-Cheney team. Bandar and his wife provided financing to two of the lead 9/11 terrorists via two Saudi intelligence officers—Osama Basnan and Omar al-Bayoumi—stationed on the West Coast. A Saudi company, Dallah Aviation, contracted with the Saudi Ministry of De-



LPAC-TV

Bob Graham, the former chairman of the Congressional Joint Inquiry on 9/11, has led a 12-year fight to force release of the suppressed 28 pages. He delivered a videotaped message to the press conference.

fense and Aviation, provided funding to the two West Coast hijackers through al-Bayoumi, who was maintained on the payroll with a generous expense account, although he did no work for the firm.

Bandar was, and still is, a key figure in the British-Saudi collusion, centered in the Al-Yamamah barter scheme, under which an estimated hundreds of billions of dollars in funds have been sequestered into offshore bank accounts to finance terrorism, coups d'état, and other crimes against nations around the world. At the time of the 9/11 plot, Bandar was receiving regular payments from the Bank of England for his role in brokering the original Al-Yamamah oil-for-weapons deal in 1985.

This British-Saudi partnership has been the foundation of all global jihadist terrorism for more than a quarter of a century. It continues to rip Syria apart, is causing daily mayhem in Iraq, and represents a declared threat against Russia, especially in the Caucasus region. For this reason, as Congressman Lynch and former Senator Graham have stressed, the declassification of the 28 pages has implications for national and global security today.

It is this network that Bush, Cheney and Obama have desperately sought to cover for. The declassification and public release of the 28 pages, demanded by Reps. Jones, Lynch, and Massie and Senator Graham, holds the key to a much bigger story. More than a dozen years after the murderous attacks on the Pentagon and the World Trade Center, the need to get to the truth is more urgent than ever.

Members of Congress, 9/11 Families Demand: Release the 28 Pages Now!

Here is an edited transcript of the [press conference](#) held March 12 by Reps. Walter B. Jones (R-N.C.), Stephen Lynch (D-Mass.), and Thomas Massie (R-Ky.), calling for declassification of the suppressed 28 pages of the Congressional 9/11 Inquiry. Also participating were representatives of the 9/11 Families United for Justice Against Terrorism. The press conference received a videotaped message from former Sen. Bob Graham of Florida, who co-chaired the Joint Congressional Inquiry into Intelligence Community Activities Before and After the Terrorist Attacks.

Sen. Bob Graham: Did They Act Alone?

Good morning. I appreciate the opportunity to be a part of this conference, which is going to be discussing some of the most important issues affecting the relationships within Congress, and the Executive relationships between government and its people, and the national security of our nation. It's very significant that this conference is being held, the day after Senator Feinstein made her statements relative to the relationship between the inquiry which has been conducted by the Senate Intelligence Committee and the CIA. Because what we're going to be talking about today, raises many of the same fundamental issues.

Immediately after 9/11, the leadership of the House and Senate asked the chairs of the House and Senate Intelligence Committees to do what Congress had never done in 200 years, and that is, to form a joint committee for purposes of conducting an inquiry as to what had happened in 9/11, with particular focus on the role of the United States intelligence community.

We spent the better part of 15 months working on that inquiry. We had staff that represented persons who were knowledgeable in each of the intelligence communities. We had persons who had experience in the Department of Justice, in other law-enforcement-related agencies of the Federal government. We conducted hundreds of interviews, read thousands of pages of docu-

ments, and in December of 2002, produced an 800-plus-page report. That report took over six months in the declassification process, and when the report was returned in publicly releasable form, there were a number of specific redactions. There were names and places and other specific pieces of evidence which were withheld.

But the most stunning thing, was that an entire chapter, 28 pages, was censored, from word one to the last word of the chapter. That chapter dealt primarily with who financed 9/11. That was a very fundamental question, because it went to an even larger question. And that is: *Did those who committed that heinous attack on Sept. 11, did they act alone, or were they supported by a network of individuals?*

All of the people who have been in leadership positions of investigations into 9/11 have come away with the feeling that it was implausible to believe that these 19 people, most of whom didn't speak English; most of whom had only been in the United States for—if any—a very brief period of time, could have carried out such a complex operation, which required completion of the planning, the practicing, and then, finally, the execution of the plot.

A key part of that question of whether they acted alone or had support, related to the financing of 9/11: How could these people have financed a project that was as expensive, in terms of the cost of the 19 individuals, without having some support network? That missing chapter provides evidence that would be important to answer the question: Did these people act alone?

That chapter has now been censored, since the Summer of 2003, for over 10 years. Whatever reason there may have been for the initial censorship, has long since passed. At the time that we heard that it was being censored, Sen. Dick Shelby, a Republican from Alabama, who was the vice-chair of the Senate Committee, and myself as chair, both agreed that there was no reason to censor that 28-page, important chapter, for national security reasons.



EIRNS

Rep. Walter B. Jones (R-N.C.), joined by Reps. Stephen Lynch (D-Mass.), and Thomas Massie (R-Ky.), addresses the press conference at the Cannon House Office Building, on March 12. Jones holds up a copy of Bob Graham's book, *Intelligence Matters*, which exposes the role of the Saudi kingdom in 9/11.

But here we are, 10 years later, and it continues to be censored.

I want to thank the Members of the Congress who are taking the leadership in using their prerogatives, and I think, obligations, that Congress has in our system, to demand that the Executive release this information. It is important for a number of reasons: It's important for justice. There are thousands of Americans, who are currently litigating against countries like Saudi Arabia, and other entities, for their complicity in 9/11, and therefore, their responsibility to accept some of the horrific cost which have befallen those families because of the loss of a loved one. That justice to date has been denied, in part, because important information that would sustain their claims has been withheld, such as this 28-page chapter.

Second, it's important to set the historical record correct. If we are going to not repeat the past, but learn from the past, in order to avoid incidents such as 9/11 in the future, we need to know everything we can, as truthfully and fully as possible, about what actually happened on 9/11, and in the preparation for 9/11.

And finally, it's a matter of current national security: If you feel, as I do, that there was a network of support

for the 9/11 hijackers, what reason do we have to believe that that network was abandoned, immediately after 9/11? What reason do we have to believe that it's not in existence today, ready to be used for a future attack against the United States?

These are the issues that are at stake in the question of whether the Executive branch, with concurrence and leadership of the Members of Congress, will make this information available to the American public, so we will be a more just, a more informed, and a more secure nation in the future.

I again express my appreciation for those who are leading this effort, and I wish you well. Thank you.

We Must Have the Truth

Rep. Walter B. Jones: We're going to officially start the press conference. I'm going to speak very briefly. Then I'm going to call on Congressman Stephen Lynch, and he will reintroduce himself for the press, and then Congressman Thomas Massie, and then the families.... The families that are here today, and they again will reintroduce themselves—Terry Strada, Alice Hoagland, and Sylvia Carver. These are family members who lost loved ones during 9/11, so therefore, I will let them explain why they are here today, and why they support H. Res. 428.

Several years ago, I read this book written by Bob Graham; it's called *Intelligence Matters*. The subtitle tells you more than the title of the book, and it's *The CIA, The FBI, Saudi Arabia, and the Failure of America's War on Terror*. If you remember, Bob Graham was one of the co-chairmen of the 9/11 Commission, and he, for a number of years, has been outspoken on this issue of the 28 pages, of why the 28 pages in the report were classified. And he has been calling for a declassification of the 28 pages. I want to give him the credit today, because he is the one that got me interested in this issue. I'm from North Carolina; I have never met with so

many families that have lost loved ones in the three tragedies that happened on 9/11.

After reading the information, the way the process works, very quickly: A member of the House has to write a letter to the Chairman, Mike Rogers (Ala.) and the Ranking Member, Dutch Ruppersberger (Md.), and ask permission to go down in a classified setting to read the information. So we write the letter, and mine took about three or four weeks before they responded back to say that we give you the authorization. The room is guarded, which we can understand, and it's very much needed, probably. And then, when you go in to read the 28 pages, you have someone sitting with you, so you cannot take any notes; it's whatever you might remember. And we cannot divulge, or we would be in serious trouble here as a Member of Congress if we divulged any of the information that we read.

A couple of other points, very quickly: I do not think that a nation like America will remain strong if the nation does not demand the truth. And after reading the 28 pages, I can honestly say, my opinion—I can't speak for these two men—there's nothing that deals with national security. There are other issues, but *not* national security issues. That's why the call by the families across this nation, with three families being here today, the families have called on Congress for a number of years, along with Sen. Bob Graham, to declassify the 28 pages.

And I want to thank the families for being here today; I will close this press conference and we will allow you to ask questions. But with that, I'm going to ask Stephen Lynch from Massachusetts if he will speak; and then Thomas Massie from Kentucky will follow him, and then the families will come up. So, thank you for being here today. Stephen Lynch is a co-sponsor of this legislation; Thomas Massie has joined Stephen and myself, and four other members of the House in this legislation calling for the President to release this information. And so therefore, we need for you to put pressure on your Representative back home to join in this effort for the truth.

Stephen Lynch.

Crucial to 'Going Forward'

Rep. Stephen F. Lynch: Okay, thank you Walter. Thank you, my friend. I want, first of all, to thank the families for being here. As much as we might encourage members to go and read the classified information and to join us, really, the power of the families—and I have a lot of families in my district, and from Massa-

chusetts, that lost loved ones on those flights coming out of Boston, so I just want to thank you for turning your loss and your grief into a positive step, for all of our country. And I think it's very important to have your support, we appreciate it.

I want to thank you all for being here. Today, we're here to bring attention to House Res. 428, which Congressman Jones and I have jointly introduced, and which Congressman Massie has co-sponsored.

In December, we initially introduced this bill, and this important resolution calls for the declassification of the 28-page section of the Joint Congressional Inquiry into intelligence activities before and after the terrorist attacks of September of 2001. It is important to remember that these were not simply sections that were redacted, which is quite common in these types of intelligence reports. This 28 pages was *excised*. So the entire section, 28 pages, was removed from the body of work that was actually presented to the public. . . .

The American people, and the families of the victims in particular, deserve answers and deserve the opportunity to have a greater understanding of these events, and that has yet to happen, unfortunately. Twelve years after the attacks, many unanswered questions still remain. I strongly believe that these pages contain information that is vital to a full understanding of the attacks on 9/11, and the circumstances that led to them.

But this is not only a question of transparency, which Walter talked to. It's not just that transparency is good in this case, and looking back and answering questions. I think also, these 28 pages, the nature of the disclosures here, I think is instructive to our foreign policy going forward. So it is not just looking backward, it is actually informing Congress to make sound, solid decisions going forward. That might be the most valuable. While there is certainly decency and justification for releasing these documents, there's also, I think, instructive value going forward.

I read the classified sections this past October, after being encouraged to do so by my friend Mr. Jones, and after reading them, it became clear to me that we owed it to the families of the victims, and to the American public, to work to make these pages available.

I do want to just add a little of the details, as Walter correctly laid out the situation; it's a somewhat cumbersome process for a Member of Congress who has 9,000 other things to do; but you've got to submit your letter down, then you go down, and they sit with you, and you really don't have an opportunity to answer questions.

And you're not allowed to take any notes.

But after reading the 28 pages, I only said one thing during the time that I was there, and at the end of the day, I informed both intelligence officers who were there with me that day, I said, "I need to be honest with you." And I said, "When I get up from this table and I get out that door," I said, "I am going to sponsor a resolution before the United States Congress to make this information public." And they both scratched down notes of their own—they were allowed to keep notes. But I said, "That's how important I think this is"; and I said, "I think it's important that I be honest with you, because I feel so strongly about this."

I'm pleased to be working with my colleague from North Carolina, Congressman Walter Jones and Mr. Massie from Kentucky. I think Mr. [Michael] Grimm from New York is on board. I know that—I'm trying to think—a Congressman from California. . .

Rep. Jones: Alcee Hastings.

Rep. Lynch: Alcee Hastings from Florida; California—Dana Rohrabacher is also on board. And so we have growing interest, because of the involvement of the families of the victims. And we want to make sure that these pages see the light of day. And I want to take this opportunity just to urge my colleagues in the House of Representatives to review these pages and to work with us to ensure that they are declassified, to encourage the President to take that step and declassify these documents.

Thank you: May God bless you, may God have mercy on the victims and their families, and may God continue to bless these United States of America. Thank you.

Rep. Jones: Thank you, Steve. Thomas?

I Challenge You To Rethink Everything

Rep. Thomas Massie: I'm going to be brief. I want to echo the statements of Congressman Jones and Congressman Lynch. . . . I'm Thomas Massie from Kentucky. This is something that the families deserve to know, this information. It's been a decade, over a decade, 13 years, since this event happened, and we've had a narrative in the media and in the press, and in the collective American conscience, of what happened that day, but I don't think it's fully informed, and it won't be fully informed until everybody gets to see these 28 pages.

And just to echo what Congressman Lynch said: I'm here for the families, but I'm also here for our country to look forward: We have to decide how to prevent another 9/11-type event from happening. Until you have

the full picture of what actually happened, the intelligence picture, how can you participate in that debate? How can we know what we do will prevent another 9/11 from happening? And how can we know that some of the things that, maybe, we would otherwise endeavor to do, might be overreactions?

So, also, I want to talk about the experience of sitting down and reading these 28 pages: It's in a room, where it's soundproof and you're escorted in there, you're escorted out, and there are no notes. But this is sort of shocking when you read it. As I read it—and we all had our own experience—I had to stop every couple pages and just sort of absorb, and try to rearrange my understanding of history for the past 13 years and years leading up to that. It challenges you to rethink everything. And so, I think the whole country needs to go through that; it's going to be difficult and it could be embarrassing, but that is no reason to keep the truth from the American people.

I want to finish with two challenges: I want to challenge all the Congressmen who have not read these 28 pages, who have the ability to go read these 28 pages, to do it for your constituents. There are 435 districts in this country: Every Congressman needs to see these 28 pages. *Then*, I challenge them to co-sponsor Congressman Jones's and Congressman Lynch's bill, like I have.

And finally, the final challenge I want to issue, is to those who would keep these pages secret: You need to have your own press conference; you need to be held to a standard to answer the questions: Why do you want to keep these 28 pages secret? In my estimation, as shocking as the 28 pages are, and as much as they sort of rearranged my understanding of what happened, I don't think it would hurt our national security to release this, and it would give families the answers they deserve, as well.

Thank you very much.

Rep. Jones: Thank you, Thomas. Terry Strada, could you come up and introduce yourself again?

No One Has Been Held Accountable

Terry Strada: Yes, I will. Thank you.

Hi, my name is Terry Strada. It is an honor and a privilege to be here today, and I thank you for your time. I co-chair the JASTA [Justice Against the Sponsors of Terrorism Act] Action Committee with Sharon Premoli, and for the last 12 years, have served on the steering committee of 9/11 Families United for Justice Against Terrorism. I speak on behalf of over 6,000 family members and survivors. I am joined today with



EIRNS

Terry Strada, a steering committee member of the 9/11 Families United for Justice Against Terrorism, whose husband was killed in the World Trade Center on 9/11, urged passage of H.Res. 428, which calls for release of the 28 pages.

other members of the 9/11 community, and on behalf of everyone here, I would like to thank Congressmen Walter Jones and Stephen Lynch for introducing House Res. 428.

I also thank former Sen. Bob Graham, who has tirelessly advocated for disclosure of a section of the Joint Inquiry's report that has been kept a secret for *12 long years*.

I want to tell you why House Res. 428 is so important, and introduce to you fellow-9/11 family members who are also here to convey why we need this resolution to pass. Like all of them, I suffered a heart-breaking personal loss on Sept. 11. My husband, Tom, was killed while at work for Cantor Fitzgerald in the North Tower of the World Trade Center on the 104th floor. He was the love of my life. Our children were seven, four, and four days old. Tom was a devoted family man, and we miss him, each and every day.

Because of 9/11, my children are three amongst 3,000 children, who suffered the loss of a parent at the hands of terrorism, and are growing up today without a mother or a father. Ground Zero rescue workers are sick and dying to this day. Even after 12 long years, the death toll continues to rise.

I stand here, today, in support of House Res. 428, because I don't believe people should get away with murder, and that is exactly what has happened. The

people who gave financial, material, and logistical support to the 19 hijackers, though equally responsible along with the actual hijackers, have been held free from any accountability. No one has been held accountable in the eyes of justice for the murder of thousands of innocent men, women, and children, killed here, in America, in our country, on our soil.

One chief obstacle to accountability has been the fixation on obsessive secrecy afforded to protect those responsible for the murder of our friends and our family on 9/11. I say "our" because I recognize that on 9/11, we all lost someone we love, and what brings us here today, should concern *all* Americans. While my children and I lost Tom in that attack, the secrecy that continues to protect the behind-the-scenes supporters of

terrorists continues to threaten all Americans. For our own security, we cannot continue to allow our government to keep secret the most crucial facts of the worst terrorist attack on American soil.

I am not speaking hypothetically: The very subject of the 28 pages, torn from the Congressional Report investigating the 9/11 attacks, *is* the specific sources of foreign support for the 9/11 hijackers. Incredibly, for over a decade, we have been denied the truth about who else was part of the planning and implementation of 9/11.

In fact, on Aug. 1, 2003, Senators Chuck Schumer and Sam Brownback, joined by 44 other Senators, including now former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton and current Secretary of State John Kerry, wrote a letter urging then President George W. Bush to declassify the 28 pages. Imagine that, 2003, ten years ago.

In that letter, they said, and I quote, "Unfortunately, because all but two pages of the entire section have been deemed too secret for public disclosure, the American people remain in the dark about other countries that may have facilitated the terrorist attacks." End of quote.

In my book, deeming "too secret" equates to lying.

Why was the decision made to keep the truth from my family and the American people? Sadly, the answer has become painfully clear. As I see it, and I hear my views echoed by the honorable men here, who have

read and even been involved in writing (which is Sen. Bob Graham), the real concern is not about our security or protecting the American people from terrorist complicity, as much as it is about protecting the Saudi regime from embarrassment or any public penalty.

Sadly, concern for Saudi relationships has taken precedence over the safety of the American people. I am not here to advocate the public release of information that would place our nation's security at risk, but I also cannot condone excessive secrecy, simply to appease the so-called allies while they plot against us. And as you heard today, the representatives here, they do not believe anything in this report jeopardizes national security. These are honorable public servants, and I believe them.

In short, this is not an academic question: We don't simply want to know the truth. The truth must be exposed. If our leaders are willing to navigate the thorny problems of an increasingly dangerous world, al-Qaeda is pure evil and so are their bankrollers. And if Americans are generally being asked to offer responsible guidance to their elected leadership, as we should be, we must be informed truthfully. In fact, isn't that one of the purposes for which the Report on the Joint Inquiry was authored?

It is our responsibility as victims' family members and survivors of 9/11, to fight for the truth to be told. It is our government's responsibility to expose other criminals of 9/11, the bankrollers, no matter who they may be. And it is you, the press's, responsibility to report the whole truth, to report who funded the terrorist attacks that took place here, over a decade ago, and who continue to fund attacks taking place all over the world. The omission of such facts leaves us all less informed, more vulnerable, and less safe.

Today, we have an opportunity to stop the secrets and tell the truth. I urge every Member of Congress to take the time and read those 28 pages. I ask every Member to join us today in our request for support of Resolution 428.

I also ask the President of the United States, our President: Mr. President, please declassify these 28



Alice Hoagland, a leader of the 9/11 families, said, "Well, if you accept the word of Sen. Bob Graham, you'll realize that Saudi Arabia plays a key role in 9/11..."

EIRNS

pages *now*. Not next year, not in the future. Make good on your promise you made to the 9/11 families in 2009, and allow the truth to be told.

I will leave you with one final thought: On 9/11, thankfully, you were very lucky. Only by the courageous actions of a few passengers on the doomed airline Flight 93, were your lives spared. You were the targets intended to be killed next, and had that occurred, it would be your family members here today, insisting that the truth be told.

Thank you.

Flight 93 Was Aimed at the Capitol Dome

Alice Hoagland: Good morning, everybody. My name is Alice Hoagland; I'm usually about four octaves higher, but I caught a cold on my way to Washington.

I'm very grateful this morning for the words of Congressman Massie and Congressman Lynch and Congressman Jones. I'm so grateful that this horrible situation is finally going to come to an end.

As Terry alluded to, Flight 93, according to its hijackers, according to Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, the mastermind of 9/11, so-called, Flight 93 was intended to smash into the Capitol dome. Instead, because of the action of a handful of guys onboard Flight 93, it smashed instead into the soft soil in southwest Pennsylvania, killing no one except those onboard: forty innocent people, and four loathsome, thuggish hijackers.

I join this group of 9/11 family members and these fine Congressmen in our effort to make sure that the full truth about 9/11 is brought forward. Before there was the 9/11 Commission, there was the Joint Inquiry into the intelligence community activities of the terrorist attacks of 9/11, and that was published in December of 2002; and as Congressman Jones has said, Sen. Bob Graham was one of the important writers of the 28 excised pages. Those pages are unavailable to us, and they're just about unavailable to the Congressmen, I gather, from what they're telling me. It would be a very intimidating thing to go down flights of stairs and read something and wish you could take notes, and not be allowed to because of the watchful gaze of a security officer.

I'm going to quote Senator Graham, on why it's important that the President of the United States, Barack Obama, reconsider this ill-advised notion that those 28 pages do not affect us today. Senator Graham says, "In this case, the Presidents have withheld aggressively and extensively, and by that, have both kept the public from fully knowing what happened, because there has been no flow of information, that contributed to the issue now increasingly being looked upon as one of past history."

And if we look upon the lessons of 9/11 as past history, we do so at our peril. Because as we know, from this tragic news coming out of Malaysia, the specter of terrorism still lives with us; we still face it every day, and if we become complacent in the face of these 28 unavailable pages, and in the face of mounting evidence that we are still the targets of terrorism, we will be affected by terrorism.

My heart goes out to you all for making sure that this issue stays alive, as I sit in fellowship with the folks here who have lost their loved ones, at the Pentagon, at the World Trade Center, and on Flight 93. Thank you very much.

Sylvia Carver: Hello, my name is Sylvia Carver. I am one of the 9/11 families. My sister, our sister, Sharon Ann Carver, was murdered at the Pentagon on 9/11, along with 183 other loyal Americans. Today, I would



EIRNS

Sylvia Carter, who lost her sister in the attack on the Pentagon, said, "if the President of the United States would just declassify these pages, we will have the answers that we have been seeking for more than 12 years now."

like to thank the Congressmen for making it possible for us to speak today, here, and I would also like to thank the press for being here.

One of our purposes for being here is because we would like the 28 pages released, and we would like the support for all Congress members in supporting this, as well as the President of the United States, to release the 28 pages.

On 9/11 we lost our sister, Sharon; she was 38 years old. She didn't have a chance to fall in love, get married and have children, so we have nothing left of Sharon, except our memory. All that we ask is that we be given a chance to seek justice and hold the murderers of 9/11 accountable for what they've done. As any family members who have lost someone to a crime, we deserve closure, we deserve the right to face these cowards in a court of law, and we deserve answers, and that's all that we are seeking here today. We deserve answers, we have a right to know.

And if Congress will support this declassification of the 28 pages, and if the President of the United States would just declassify these pages, we will have the answers that we have been seeking for more than 12 years now. We have the right to know the full story behind 9/11. We have the right to know what's in the 28 pages, so *please*, declassify these pages, so our families and all the families of 9/11, as well as the American people,

can have the full truth. We deserve this, so we can move on with our life, and have closure.

Thank you....

Q: Congressman, what does this missing section tell us? Why do we need to know about it? What is in it that we need to know?

Rep. Jones: Well, what the families have said: that this information that has not been declassified, which President Obama said in 2009, meeting with the families, one of them just stated this, that he would declassify this information. It is information that will help to bring closure, not totally, but closure to the tragedy of 9/11.

Q: Did President Bush...

Rep. Jones: We cannot answer any more questions, because I am not, nor are these two Members of Congress, going to do anything that says we violated the oath that we've taken, so that with that, if you would like, let Stephen [Lynch] speak, or Thomas [Massie]. But then I want the families to come up, and we'll take five or six questions, because they've been here, this is very painful.

We Are Beginning To Beat the Drum Today

Rep. Lynch: I think you all understand the nature of classified information and the obligations that we're under. You're free to ask questions, but please, don't ask myself or the other Congressmen who have read the information to disclose classified information. That would not serve us or serve the country well. There's a way to get this in the public; we're taking those steps. This is the proper way to do it. We do believe it should be made public and that's what this resolution would do; it would call upon members of Congress to ask the President to declassify. The President—it's his order that keeps these pages hidden. So that's the way it was classified, and we can undo that through a democratic process, and that's what we're going to cover.

Q: Congressman, what sort of feedback have you gotten from the leadership on this resolution? My understanding is, perhaps incorrectly, that it's sitting in committee, and there hasn't been any action on it. That would seem to suggest there isn't a whole lot of support.

Rep. Jones: Real quickly, because I want the families to have their time with you, if they want to have that time.

This is the beginning of a process. We have done radio shows nationally; we have great interest, and

newspapers have called: We are beginning to beat the drum today. That's what this news conference is about, is beating the drum, and every beat of the drum says, "*We want the truth! We want the truth!*" And that's what this is about, and it's not going to go away. Today is not the end of this effort. Today is the beginning, and we're going to win this for the families, and for the American people.

I'm going to let the ladies [from the 9/11 Families] come up. If you've got five or six questions, then we Members of Congress can talk to you afterwards, but this is stressful for them, I know.

Q: What's in the report that'll help you? What do you need help on?

Alice Hoagland: Well, there are two books I'd recommend to you, written by Sen. Bob Graham. One of them is called *Intelligence Matters*. It's a work of non-fiction, and he has gone into fiction by writing *The Keys to the Kingdom*, which is a suspense thriller, a fiction novel.... Senator Graham has expressed that we need to more thoroughly look at the actions of Saudi Arabia, in the events of 9/11. He has said this freely. I've listened to his interviews and I've tried to educate myself a little bit about what Senator Graham, what has triggered his extreme concern about this: that extreme concern which has brought the support of the other Congressmen.

He helped write those 28 pages, and he is convinced that they need to be brought into the public eye, in spite of the excision by President Bush and the continuation of that excision by President Obama, in violation of his promise to the 9/11 families, that he would work to get those 28 pages....

The Truth About the Saudi Role Needs To Come Out

Strada: ...I think, maybe if I can explain to you for a moment, there is this other layer to 9/11 that really hasn't been exposed. We know about the hijackers, and we know what happened. But we don't know about, or what is now coming to light, are these cells that were out in California, Sarasota [Fla.], and Los Angeles; Little Falls, Va. There were groups of Saudi Arabian nationals that were here, for years, before and during the 9/11 hijackers' time in this country, giving them the financial support that they needed to carry out their crimes against us. It's not cheap to live here, so if you're here for two years, and you have apartments and you're renting cars, and you are buying first-class airline tickets to practice

your acts, where was that money coming from?

What we are being led to believe, it's all or most of it was coming from Saudi Arabia. And that needs to come out, that truth needs to be told. It should scare the hell out of all Americans that they were living here, amongst us for two years, doing this before they actually carried out 9/11. And to me, that's a big reason why these 28 pages need to be released. It speaks to the financial assistance that was given to some of the nineteen 9/11 hijackers while they were here, living in this country for nearly two years.

Rep. Jones: Yes.

EIR: Two questions for the family members. Number 1, you referenced the meetings that took place, I believe in February 2009, in the Oval Office. What was the understanding that you got from President Obama, if, obviously it was a priority for him to meet with you, one of his very first weeks in office as President? What's your understanding of what he actually promised to do, at the time, if you could say something about that?

Strada: Yes.... I do know from a 9/11 family member that was there, who has told me herself, personally, that they asked him, "Will you declassify the 28 pages?" and he said, yes, that he would.

Hoagland: Good! That's wonderful!

Rep. Jones: We can take one or two more questions, and then we'll bring it to an end. Anyone?...

EIR: I understand that the Federal courts have recently reinstated a lawsuit and have basically said that a number of named individual Saudi officials, and at least one private entity, DAL Aviation, are now once again subject to Federal court. Could you say something about that action?

Hoagland: Well, we know that the release of those 28 pages would be very interesting, vital, for the pursuit of actions against Saudi Arabia by the 9/11 family members.

But beyond that, it's important to release those 28 pages, because our national security is going to be threatened going forward. If we continue to think of Saudi Arabia as an ally, we will be led down the primrose path. There are things that Saudi Arabia has done to help us, but it's remarkable, what I learned in this work of fiction by Sen. Bob Graham, *The Keys to the Kingdom!* It's remarkable, the role of Saudi Arabia: important, prominent families, called the "Golden Chain,"

and how very much they helped to ensconce people, Saudi Arabian nationals, into San Diego and into a town in New Jersey, and down in Sarasota, Fla.—which especially rankles the Senator because that's his hometown, his home state.

Rep. Jones: All right, we'll take a question back here, and this will be the last question, but you can see the ladies if they want to talk when we've finished the news conference.

Q: But don't you think there's at least some national interest in the relationship with Saudi Arabia? Obviously, the Administration and the State Department think that there is a value there. And also, therefore, in having the 28 pages classified for so long?

Hoagland: I think that it is extremely valuable to maintain our relationship with Saudi Arabia. But I think that it is important for us to go into it with our eyes open, to understand Saudi Arabia's sympathies and its past actions; to realize, that it is not innocuous, that the relationship is flawed! And it is political, in some respects.

Q: How do you know the 28 pages deal Saudi Arabia?

Hoagland: Well, because Senator Graham has said so....

Strada: You will see his video. It will become available after this press conference. He has said it a number of times.

Hoagland: Well, if you accept the word of Sen. Bob Graham, you'll realize that Saudi Arabia plays a key role in 9/11, and is mentioned frequently in this 28 pages....

Q: Could I pose one last question to members of Congress? And I understand this is classified and you get trouble if you reveal names and specifics. But is it fair to infer from what you've said that what is contained in the 28 pages deals with the activities of a foreign government that worked against the United States?

Rep. Jones: As Stephen Lynch just whispered to me: that the pages speak for themselves, and if these pages are made public, then you will have the answer you're looking for.

Thank each and every one. The families get the biggest thanks: This pain has been with them for over 12 years, and we thank you. You are important to whether we get this declassified or not, so thank you for being here, and my colleagues in Congress, thank you so much.

Hoagland: We're so glad you're here.

Senate-CIA Fight: A Prelude To Impeachment of Obama?

Special to EIR

March 18—With Sen. Dianne Feinstein’s (D-Calif.) powerful attack on the CIA from the Senate floor on March 11, charging that the Agency has violated the Constitutional separation of powers, and further revelations that have put President Obama in the middle of the coverup of Dick Cheney’s torture policy, a new path has opened up which could—and should—lead to the early impeachment of Barack Obama.

Obama has already become the most impeachable President in history. His repeated declarations that he is above the law, that he can pick and choose which laws to enforce, and that he can govern without Congress, make him eminently deserving of impeachment. Add to that, Obama’s waging of war (Libya) without Congressional authorization as required by the Constitution; his promotion of the genocidal Obamacare intended to deny medical care to the sick and aged; his drone “kill list,” which has been used to secretly execute U.S. citizens without any due process of law; and his giving aid and comfort to the enemies of the United States by secretly aiding al-Qaeda-linked opposition forces in Syria, covering up the Benghazi killings of U.S. officials, and continuing—with CIA Director John Brennan’s help—the Bush-Cheney coverup of the true authorship of the 9/11 attacks, by suppressing the 28 pages of the Joint Congressional Inquiry on 9/11 (see *National Security*, this issue).

The specific dispute between the Senate Select

Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) and the CIA, which has been brewing for years, has erupted into the public view at a time when, according to numerous reports, disgust and anger at Obama among Democrats is at an all-time high, and recognition that Obama has taken the nation to the brink of thermonuclear war with Russia, is sinking in among the political class in Washington. This is what imparts such importance, and urgency, to the Feinstein case.

In reality, this is not a fight between the Senate and the CIA, but between the Senate and Obama himself. The central issue is Obama’s continuing coverup of the crimes of Vice President Dick Cheney. Despite his campaign promises, Obama has refused to undertake a serious investigation of the war crimes carried out during the Bush-Cheney Administration in Iraq, Afghanistan, and elsewhere—especially the clear evidence of torture being a central part of the Cheney-authored detention, interrogation, and rendition policies.

In fact, under international treaty obligations and U.S. law, Obama is required to investigate and prosecute acts of torture and war crimes, but he has adamantly refused to do so, using the bogus argument that we should “look forward, not backward,” and that “nothing will be gained by spending our time and energy laying blame for the past.” Obama’s appointment of his crony John Brennan as CIA Director was clearly in furtherance of this treasonous policy.

CIA Obstructs Senate Probe

Feinstein's speech on the floor of the Senate, came a week after the dispute between the CIA and the Senate Intelligence Oversight Committee broke into the open. The underlying issue was the Committee's 6,300-page, five-year report on the CIA's interrogation and detention program carried out under the Cheney-Bush Administration, and the up-to-this-point successful efforts by both the Bush and the Obama administrations to prevent the Senate report from being made public.

According to the March 4 *New York Times*, people who have read the Senate study describe it as a withering indictment of the CIA program, that details many instances when Agency officials misled Congress, the White House, and the public about the value of brutal interrogation methods such as waterboarding.

During the confirmation hearings last year for Brennan to head the CIA Director, his violent disagreement with the Senate report was a major issue. Also at issue is an internal CIA review, begun in 2009 under then-CIA Director Leon Panetta, which reportedly confirmed some of the Intelligence Committee's findings.

Reports in the *New York Times* and in McClatchy Newspapers said that the CIA had gained access to the secure computers being used by Committee staffers in their investigation of the CIA torture program—and thus had access to the work-product of Senate staffers who were investigating the Agency.

These reports coincided with the release of a letter sent to Obama on March 4 by Sen. Mark Udall (D-Colo.), which appeared to state that Obama knew about the CIA intrusion. (The headline of a March 5 *Guardian* story, for example, was: "Obama knew CIA secretly monitored intelligence committee, senator claims.")

"As you are aware," Udall wrote to Obama, "the CIA has recently taken unprecedented action against the Committee in relation to the internal CIA review and I find these actions to be incredibly troubling for the Committee's oversight powers and for our democracy. It is essential that the Committee be able to do its oversight work—consistent with the constitutional princi-



CSPAN

Sen. Dianne Feinstein's courageous attack on the CIA and Obama's violations of the Constitution was described by one Democratic Senator as the "most important speech that he has heard in his 40 years in the Senate."

ple of the separation of powers—without the CIA posing impediments or obstacles as it is today."

Violating the Constitution

In her March 11 speech, Feinstein described how the Intelligence Committee, which she chairs, had belatedly learned about the CIA's detention and interrogation program in 2006, just hours before President Bush made it public. Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D-W.Va.), then heading the committee, sent two staffers to the Agency to review CIA cables on the program; they produced a staff report in early 2009 which Feinstein called "chilling." She explained: "The interrogations and the conditions of confinement at the CIA detention sites were far different and far more harsh than the way the CIA had described them to us."

As a result, the Committee voted 14-1 in March 2009 to undertake a comprehensive review of the CIA program. An arrangement was worked out under which a "stand-alone computer system," segregated from the regular CIA computer network, would be established, and it could only be accessed by IT personnel from the CIA, who were not to share information with other CIA personnel. Millions of pages of documents were provided to the Committee through this process.

“It was this computer network that . . . was searched by the CIA this past January, and once before,” Feinstein told the Senate. “In May of 2010, the committee staff noticed that [certain] documents that had been provided for the committee’s review were no longer accessible. Staff approached the CIA personnel at the off-site location, who initially denied that documents had been removed. CIA personnel then blamed information technology personnel, who were almost all contractors, for removing the documents themselves without direction or authority. *And then the CIA stated that the removal of the documents was ordered by the White House.* When the committee approached the White House, the White House denied giving the CIA any such order” (emphasis added).

The CIA’s search of the Committee’s computer system and the removal of documents, caused Feinstein to say that “I have grave concerns that the CIA’s search may have *violated the separation of powers principles embodied in the United States Constitution, including the Speech and Debate clause.*” She added that “*the CIA’s search may also have violated the Fourth Amendment, the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, and Executive Order 12333, which prohibits the CIA from conducting domestic searches or surveillance*” (emphasis added).

There have been two referrals to the Department of Justice, Feinstein stated. One, by the CIA’s Inspector General, raised the possibility of a criminal violation by CIA personnel. The second, and most alarming, was a “crimes report” filed with the DOJ by the CIA’s acting General Counsel, concerning allegations of possible criminal actions by the Senate Committee staff. This individual, identified in the press as Robert EATINGER, is not a disinterested party. He was, according to Feinstein, a lawyer in the CIA’s Counterterrorism Center, from where the interrogation and detention program was run. And, he was mentioned more than 1,600 times in the Senate study.

It is no wonder that Feinstein charged: “I view the acting general counsel’s referral as a potential effort to intimidate this staff—and I am not taking it lightly.”

An hour after Feinstein delivered her speech to the Senate, Brennan was asked about it, during an appearance at the New York Council on Foreign Relations, and he responded by mocking Feinstein’s charges. “As far as the allegations of, you know, CIA hacking into, you know, Senate computers, nothing could be further from the truth,” he said. “I mean, we wouldn’t do that. I

mean, that’s—that’s just beyond the—you know, the scope of reason in terms of what we would do.”

Obama’s Responsibility

The response of Obama and the White House to Feinstein’s bombshell speech, was to feign “neutrality” and to claim that Obama wants the Senate to finish up the report so that he can declassify it and make it public.

That hypocritical posture was blown out of the water two days later, when McClatchy Newspapers exposed the fact that Obama is withholding thousands of documents from the Senate investigation. “The White House has been withholding for five years more than 9,000 top-secret documents sought by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence for its investigation into the now-defunct CIA detention and interrogation program,” McClatchy reported.

“In contrast to public assertions that it supports the committee’s work, the White House has ignored or rejected offers in multiple meetings and in letters to find ways for the committee to review the records, a McClatchy investigation has found.” The McClatchy report pointed out that the Administration’s refusal to hand over the documents, indicates that the White House has been a lot more involved in the Senate-CIA dispute than it has so far admitted.

The next day, March 14, the White House admitted, for the first time, that it is indeed withholding thousands of documents on the Bush-Cheney torture program, and stated explicitly that President Obama is claiming Executive privilege to protect “a previous Administration,” i.e., that of Cheney and Bush.

Obama’s claim of “neutrality” was also contradicted by statements by former CIA Director Michael Hayden, who told CNN on March 14, that the CIA notified the White House in January that it was referring Senate aides to the Department of Justice for investigation of improper possession of classified documents, and that “there was tacit acceptance from the White House of the CIA’s decision to take action” against the Senate investigators. Then on March 16, PBS broadcast a statement by Obama, saying: “With respect to the issues that are going back and forth between the Senate committee and the CIA, John Brennan has referred them to the appropriate authorities and they are looking into it.”

Thus, Obama is now backing what Feinstein called an effort to “intimidate” her staff, by seeking prosecution of the Senate staffers investigating CIA torture practices.

Hold Obama Responsible

Feinstein's statement in her March 11 speech, about the White House ordering the CIA to remove documents, was ignored or buried in most of the news coverage, but was featured a couple of places, such as by liberal *Washington Post* columnist Dana Milbank, whose column the next day was entitled "A true Obama scandal." Milbank, who usually ridicules Republican charges against Obama, wrote that the most damaging allegation of wrongdoing by Obama's Administration hasn't come from his GOP foes, but from a friend, Dianne Feinstein. He quoted Feinstein's statement about the White House ordering the removal of the documents, and wrote: "Feinstein is owed much more than an apology. The White House needs to cough up documents it is withholding from the public, and it should remove the CIA officials involved and subject them to an independent prosecutor's investigation...."

A *New York Times* editorial the same day put the responsibility on Obama. "It was outrageous enough when two successive presidents papered over the Central Intelligence Agency's history of illegal detention, rendition, torture and fruitless harsh interrogation of terrorism suspects," the editorial began. After reviewing Feinstein's speech, and her call for Obama to make the Senate report public, it concluded: "The lingering fog about the CIA detentions is a result of Mr. Obama's decision when he took office to conduct no investigation of them. We can only hope he knows that when he has lost Dianne Feinstein, he has no choice but to act in favor of disclosure and accountability."

A former head of the Senate Intelligence Committee, Bob Graham, also weighed in, and put the responsibility on Obama. In an interview with the Real News Network, posted March 17, when asked about the actions of the intelligence agencies in hiding information from Congress and the American people, Graham responded: "I don't assume that these are just rogue people out doing whatever they want to. I assume that they are acting at the direction of the President." Graham drew a parallel with the 28-page section of the Congressional Joint Inquiry on 9/11 which has been suppressed by both Presidents George W. Bush and Barack Obama. When the interviewer brought up the McClatchy report on Obama withholding 9,000 pages of documents on the torture investigation, and

asked how the whole CIA-Senate fight will play out, Graham replied: "The President is inevitably going to be the ultimate figure in how this matter is resolved. I personally hope that he will resolve it on the side of openness and then be prepared to deal with the consequences of letting the American people know what's happening, and that it won't be just limited, as important as it is, to this 6,000-page report on torture, that it will also include things like the report on who financed 9/11."

Bipartisan Support for Feinstein

As remarkable as Feinstein's charges were, equally remarkable has been the bipartisan back-up for Feinstein's actions.


Members of Congress from both sides of the aisle rallied around Feinstein: Senate Judiciary Chairman Patrick Leahy (D-Vt.) called it the "most important speech that he has heard in his 40 years in the Senate," while Sen. Lindsay Graham (R-S.C.) called the allegations "Richard Nixon stuff," adding that "heads should roll, people should go to jail if it's true."

The Hill cited Democrats saying that Feinstein's anger toward the CIA has slowly boiled up, because she's been fighting the Agency over the Committee's interrogation report for five years. "She's always very cautious about going public. She kept her peace for a long, long time after stories appeared about it," said Senate Armed Services Committee Chairman Carl Levin (D-Mich.). "She feels very deeply about what the CIA did here, and I think with very good reason."

"I think a number of people were surprised she gave it [the speech]; turns out I wasn't one of them," said Sen. Jay Rockefeller, who chaired the Senate Intelligence Committee from 2007 to 2009. "I thought it was an absolutely fabulous speech. It had to be said."

In the House, Oversight Committee chairman Darryl Issa (R-Calif.) supported Feinstein and raised the question of impeachment. "I think Senator Feinstein is as outraged as anyone, and I share her outrage. I think the violation of the Constitutional separation of powers should be an offense of the highest level—virtually treason," Issa told Breitbart News. "I don't know who gave the orders, but to spy on other branches is in fact a constitutional violation at the level of high crimes and misdemeanors, and certainly should cause the removal of anyone involved."

Rep. James Sensenbrenner (R-Wisc.), a long-time power on the House Judiciary Committee, in a letter to Deputy Attorney General James Cole, described Feinstein's accusations as "shocking," and continued: "As you know, the CIA is legally barred from any domestic searches or surveillance. Additionally, tapping into computers used by members of Congress and attempts to use the Justice Department to intimidate Congressional staff is a gross violation of the Constitutional principles of separation of powers. It paints an almost Nixonian picture of an Administration that believes it can act with impunity behind a veil of secrecy."



Lyndon LaRouche's 2004 Presidential campaign targeted Dick Cheney's crimes in this mass-circulation pamphlet.

You Were Warned

Don't hold your breath for Obama to release either the Senate report, or the 28 pages on Saudi sponsorship of 9/11, under any pressure less than that of impeachment. His close buddy John Brennan is central to both coverups: in fact, Bob Graham has stated that he met with Brennan, who was then Obama's counterterrorism advisor, in 2009 to press for the release of the 28 pages, but Brennan and the White House have of course failed to do so.

Brennan's role in protecting the Bush-Cheney torture apparatus should not have caught anyone by surprise.

During Brennan's lengthy confirmation procedure as Obama's nominee for CIA Director in the first part of 2013, there were a number of warnings issued about Brennan's previous role in protecting the Bush and Cheney, and about the nominee's extremely close relationship to President Obama himself, which made Brennan unsuited to lead the Agency.

John Kiriakou, a 14-year CIA officer who was a direct participant in the CIA interrogation/torture program, and who later broke with it and became a whistle-

blower, spoke out in an interview with *Democracy Now's* Amy Goodman (published Feb. 11, 2013). Kiriakou stated: "I've known John Brennan since 1990. I worked directly for [him] twice. I think that he is a terrible choice to lead the CIA. I think that it's time for the CIA to move beyond the ugliness of the post-September 11th regime, and we need someone who is going to respect the Constitution and to not be bogged down by a legacy of torture. I think that President Obama's appointment of John Brennan sends the wrong message to all Americans."

In an open letter posted on antiwar.com on Feb. 23, 2013, former CIA analyst Ray McGovern, the head of Veteran Intelligence Profes-

sionals for Sanity, called on Senator Feinstein to do her due diligence on CIA-nominee Brennan, "before you make the next-to-worst mistake of your tenure on the Senate Intelligence Committee" (the worst being Feinstein's vote, in October 2002, to go to war in Iraq). McGovern pointed out that, during Brennan's confirmation hearing, Sen. Saxby Chambliss (R-Ga.) noted that Brennan was "cc'ed" on at least 50 memos dealing with the torture of terrorism suspects. Chambliss further noted that Brennan's boss, A.B. "Buzzy" Krongard, told the *Wall Street Journal* that Brennan had a role in setting the parameters of the program and "helping to seek Justice Department approval" for the so-called enhanced interrogation techniques. "This is a far cry from what Brennan admitted to," McGovern wrote, which was to say that he had "awareness" that torture was going on but wasn't in a position to do anything about it.

Is it any wonder that Brennan—and his boss Obama—are covering up for Bush and Cheney? And will anything short of Obama's impeachment bring forth the truth?

Maryland Citizens Fight Wall Street

by Donielle DeToy

March 14—Lyndon LaRouche, in a discussion with his National Policy Committee on March 10, said that the best thing to do to restore the economy is to simply and immediately shut down Wall Street, as it is plunging hopelessly into bankruptcy at an accelerating rate. He compared it to an elevator with a broken cable that is crashing down from the 70th floor to the basement.

The means for protecting citizens from the results of that crash, he said, is reinstating FDR's Glass-Steagall Law. LaRouchePAC has fought for the reinstatement of Glass-Steagall as the indispensable first step to global economic recovery. Bankrupting Wall Street and shutting down the never-ending financial speculation by separating commercial banking from investment banking will halt the elevator, and create the basis for a new credit system that will allow for long-term investment in the real economy.

In 2013, resolutions were introduced to 25 state houses calling on Congress to reinstate Glass-Steagall, creating a broad bipartisan fight of state legislators confronting those in Congress who have failed to act. In 2014, the fight has become more intense, with more legislators realizing that a return to Glass-Steagall may be the only hope.

In Maryland, Delegate Aisha Braveboy's (D-district 25 Prince Georges County) submitted HJR 8 to the legislature on Feb. 7. Titled "A House Joint Resolution Concerning Reinstatement of the Separation of Commercial and Investment Banking Functions," it is described as "for the purpose of urging the United States Congress to support efforts to reinstate the separation of commercial and investment banking functions in effect under the Glass-Steagall Act and to support H.R. 129." (See box.)

The numbers of legislators who co-sponsored the resolution rose from 15 for a comparable resolution in 2013 to 49 this year—over one third of the 147 delegates. Many delegates expressed great frustration and

anger at the lack of action coming from Washington, with several commenting to LaRouchePAC organizers that they were glad someone had an idea of how to get Congress to do something right. What is driving the support for the Glass-Steagall resolution is the crisis of home foreclosures, which has become a focal issue in the legislative session.

On March 10, the Rules and Executive Nominations Committee held hearings on HJR 8. The fact that advocates for homeowners linked the massive number of foreclosures to the repeal of Glass-Steagall, allowing the big banks to sell mortgage-backed securities while they throw people out of their homes, definitely caught the attention of legislators, and put the bankers who testified against HJR 8 on the defensive.

The Foreclosure Crisis

Maryland has seen a steady rate of increase in home foreclosures for the last 19 months, placing it third highest in the nation in 2013, with 2014 promising to get far worse. Its economy, like that of many states, is worsening in the aftermath of the 2008 financial collapse, including the complete shutdown of its industrial base, including Bethlehem Steel's Sparrows Point plant and its 3,000 jobs; the impact of sequestration; the Federal government closure, especially in Prince Georges County, which neighbors the nation's capital; and more recently, the ending of long-term unemployment benefits, directly affecting Maryland's 7-8% unemployed.

Maryland state legislators have recently heard too many horror stories of Maryland homeowners victimized by the Obama Administration's fraudulent Home Affordable Modification Plan (HAMP). Instead of providing relief and an opportunity for people to save their homes by restructuring mortgages, HAMP opened the door to a never-ending nightmare of battles with financial institutions. Obama's HAMP program, begun in 2009, was cynically portrayed as helping homeowners with "trial modifications" of their home loans. However the intention of the plan was never to help homeowners obtain a permanent loan modification, but rather to stretch out the inevitable foreclosures over a longer period of time, while the banks were collecting increasing fees and payments from unsuspecting homeowners, often bankrupting them along the way.

Neil Barofsky, former Inspector General in charge of oversight of the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), was very explicit about this in his 2012 book

Bailout, when he described then-Treasury Secretary Tim Geithner's account that the real intention of HAMP was not to assist the potential 10 million homeowners in averting foreclosure, but to "foam the runway," preventing the banks that were holding billions of overpriced mortgage securities from skidding into another crash.

Over the last few years a coalition led by Delegate Braveboy, a 2014 candidate for Maryland's Attorney General, and including NAACP Maryland State Conference Housing Chair Carmen Johnson; CASA de Maryland; and many legal, consumer, and social advocates, was formed to help homeowners push back from the maze of deliberately obscure bureaucracy and to halt the illegal foreclosures. During this time frame, many of these people have become experts in how insanely the banks and Wall Street operate, from securitizations of mortgages, to HAMP, collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), and other derivatives. Delegate Braveboy, an attorney who has done years of pro-bono work stopping home foreclosures, is demanding a six-month freeze on foreclosures in Maryland, while the unscrupulous practices of HAMP servicers are investigated.

The Glass-Steagall Hearing

All of this must have been on the minds of the Delegates during the hearing on March 10, as they heard members of this coalition present support for the immediate reinstatement of Glass-Steagall.

Delegate Braveboy testified first and identified why the banks had to be separated, pointing to the fact that many experts have said that the financial collapse of 2008 occurred because Glass-Steagall had been repealed.

Next came Carmen Johnson, Housing Chair of the Maryland State Conference NAACP, who gave hard-hitting and emotional testimony, slamming the fraudulent policies of the mortgage-backed securities debt bubble. Then she asked a series of pointed questions of the committee members, the final one being: "Why do you continue to expect our votes, but continue to sit back and watch our people be dragged from their homes and thrown in the street?"

Written testimony submitted in favor of the Glass-Steagall resolution included that by Fred Mason, president of the Maryland State and District of Columbia AFL-CIO, proclaiming his union's unanimous support for Glass-Steagall; and by Progressive Maryland, a

grassroots, nonprofit organization of more than 23,000 members, whose testimony added pressure for action: "What has so far been lacking is the will and courage on the part of lawmakers to right these wrongs and restore reason, responsibility and fairness to regulation of our financial institutions. When Congress drops the ball, the states must do their part to speak up and demand vitally needed reform. Now is the Maryland General Assembly's turn to stand up and be counted—to demand rebuilding the protective wall between commercial and investment banking."

A representative of Public Citizen, the Ralph Nader consumer advocacy association headquartered in Washington, D.C., which has published a report on Glass-Steagall, testified: "On behalf of 300,000 members and supporters of Public Citizen, I am pleased to offer enthusiastic support for efforts leading to the reinstatement of Glass-Steagall. This prudential measure would separate the basic business of loan making to business and consumers from the speculation excesses and abuses of commerce. Today, America remains hostage to mega-banks that are too big to fail, too big to manage, even too big to jail".

The most damning testimony of all came from those who spoke of the financial scams behind the foreclosure crisis.

Paul Randall, a foreclosure prevention specialist, spoke about the securitization of mortgages as a result of the repeal of Glass-Steagall, and emphasized that the same banks foreclosing on homeowners had received trillions from the Federal government, citing the sums that each bank had received. He was followed by Paul Dunn, a citizen who, since 2008 when his home equity had collapsed by \$200,000 and was then "under water," tried to enrol in HAMP. What followed was a story of a bizarre maze, with years of dead ends (each entity claiming not to know anything about him or his mortgage), filing, refiling, lost paperwork. He ended his testimony by stating that with mortgage-backed securities, the note had been sold so many times that nobody knows who the real lenders are. How, under these conditions can people be foreclosed upon?

This was too much for one delegate, who turned to Maryland Bankers Association President & CEO Kathleen Murphy and said that it was shocking that such a horror story was going on. "What can we do about this, to make sure it never happens again?" he asked. "If you looked him in the eye [pointing to Dunn], what would you say to him?" (The room went quiet). He told the

bank lobbyists that he was not talking about what Congress was doing, but what are we doing in Maryland? “Do we have any legislation in this state to deal with this?”

LaRouchePAC’s Jerry Belsky brought a deeper reality to the room. “We are facing a crisis far worse than anything we have seen before,” he said, and quoted Glass-Steagall supporter U.S. Sen. Barbara Mikulski (D-Md.) from the 1998 debate against repealing Glass-Steagall, that when faced with a global firestorm, this was not the time to break down the firewall between banks. He then said that the new, greater firestorm will lead to the collapse of the entire system.

Belsky ended by quoting Franklin D. Roosevelt from his first Inaugural Address, prior to Glass-Steagall becoming law in 1933:

“Practices of the unscrupulous money changers stand indicted in the court of public opinion, rejected by the hearts and minds of men.

“True they have tried, but their efforts have been cast in the pattern of an outworn tradition. Faced by failure of credit they have proposed only the lending of more money. Stripped of the lure of profit by which to induce our people to follow their false leadership, they have resorted to exhortations, pleading tearfully for restored confidence. They know only the rules of a generation of self-seekers. They have no vision, and when there is no vision the people perish.

“The money changers have fled from their high seats in the temple of our civilization. We may now restore that temple to the ancient truths. The measure of the restoration lies in the extent to which we apply social values more noble than mere monetary profit.”

No vote on the Resolution has yet been scheduled by the committee, but the political pressure can be expected to increase in the weeks ahead.

donielle_detoymail.com

Maryland’s HJR 8: Bring Back Glass-Steagall!

A House Joint Resolution concerning Reinstatement of the Separation of Commercial and Investment Banking Functions

For the purpose of urging the United States Congress to support efforts to reinstate the separation of commercial and investment banking functions in effect under the Glass-Steagall Act and to support H.R. 129.

Whereas, The worldwide recession has cost the loss of millions of jobs nationwide; and

Whereas, The worldwide recession has put severe financial strain on states, counties, and cities, exacerbating unemployment and loss of civil services; and

Whereas, The United States Senate and the House of Representatives have been making efforts to restore the protections of the Glass-Steagall Act; and

Whereas, Congresswoman Marcy Kaptur has introduced H.R. 129, known as the Return to Prudent Banking Act of 2013, that would revive the separation between commercial banking and the securities

business in the manner provided in the Glass-Steagall Act; and

Whereas, Restoration of the protections of the Glass-Steagall Act has widespread national support from labor organizations, including the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO), the American Federation of Teachers, and the International Association of Machinists; from prominent economic and business leaders, including Thomas Hoenig of the Federal Deposit Insurance Company, Sanford Weill, former Chief Executive Officer of Citigroup, and economist Luigi Zingales; and from newspapers, including the *New York Times*, the *St. Louis Post Dispatch*, the *Los Angeles Times*, and many others; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the General Assembly of Maryland, That the members of the Maryland General Assembly urge the United States Congress to enact legislation that would reinstate the separation of commercial and investment banking functions in effect under the Glass-Steagall Act and that would prohibit commercial banks and bank holding companies from investing in stocks, underwriting securities, or investing in or acting as guarantors to derivative transactions to prevent American taxpayers from being called upon to fund hundreds of billions of dollars to bail out financial institutions. . . .

THE FUZZ OF THE IMPEACHMENT:

Our USA Now Enters a New Era

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 8, 2014

The presently sharpened conflict between the Senate and the Obama Presidency, portends that, President Barack Obama, either measures up, or that President backs down, or, a new President comes in soon.

This change must not occur within a physical-economic vacuum.

The United States economy, is now caught between a Wall Street "Bail-in" hyper-deflationary crisis, or an immediate return to Glass-Steagall. But, that is not quite enough.

Foreword

My duty, as an economist of appropriately exceptional credentials, is, now, to outline the principled features required for the immediate rescue of our United States from an imminent, general financial and economic collapse. I shall present the needed steps to be taken, here and now; but, first, I must lay a certain foundation.

At the same time, we have been drawn in a great sucking-device, the increasing threat of the outbreak of a global thermonuclear war which now threatens the

very existence of our human species. This danger is complemented by a grave, deadly economic crisis of the already bankrupt trans-Atlantic, British-Empire-dominated, predominantly oligarchical, system. The immediately worst threat comes from the British empire's presently firm intention to provoke a global thermonuclear warfare among the nations of the planet.

The needed response, is now one which should be premised on a true insight into what have been the rarely actually remembered, great genius of General Alexander Hamilton, on these subjects, as also known in principles of practice by Presidents James Monroe, John Quincy Adams, Henry C. Carey, and Abraham Lincoln: the most essential, and clearest of these followers of both the truly great scientist, Benjamin Franklin, and the Hamilton who had made the most precise and fundamental achievements on this account since the Winthrops and Mathers of the Seventeenth and Early Eighteenth Centuries, from whom the later Americans had acquired their own sense of both direction and discretion.

Nonetheless, the foundations of scientific knowledge upon which these relatively early American scientists had largely depended, during their own times, must be traced to the still earlier foundations for which all competent actually modern science have really depended, since, the great physicists of the 15th Century, Filippo Brunelleschi, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and

their successor in modern physical science, Johannes Kepler. There were other important contributors to this progress within those brackets, but these have been the most crucial from during that interval.

All of the great American explorers who engaged in the applications of outstanding scientific discoverers, since Columbus himself, had been chiefly followers of Brunelleschi, Cusa, and Kepler, either directly, or implicitly, otherwise. All of these, and their like, including Brunelleschi and Kepler, since their time, had all represented an orientation toward a world-outlook which rejected the adherence to the then pre-established European cultural ways; the very name of “Renaissance” signified, in practice, a new world-outlook, breaking all earlier known European precedents.¹ This necessarily signified, implicitly, reaching out to then known regions across the great oceans, to, in particular, Columbus’s implicit discovery of a new world, that which lay across the waters of the Atlantic Ocean, most emphatically, but, implicitly, the Pacific, too.

These were the specifically American developments, in a particular, and exact meaning of that which was to become our own new nation. The result was a becoming of being Americans, the United States, most significantly, first of all, rather than merely Europeans settling abroad. Theirs was a new way of thinking, systematically opposed to the pre-existing, and, even still presently, European outlook.

This emergence of a relatively new continent for Europeans, meant, however, that there had also been a crucially important, qualitative transition, the interval of the great, so-called Golden Renaissance, which was immediately preceded by the courage of the martyred Jeanne d’Arc. From that crucial moment of her courage and martyrdom thrust upon her by the criminality of the evil Normans and their associated, merely nominally Christian, actually evil priests, and a treasonous French King, who had betrayed her.² From the moment the news on Jeanne d’Arc had reached the founders of the

1. Excepting, most notably, the general policies introduced by Charlemagne.

2. Charles VII, unlike the truly magnificent, strategic genius, Louis XI.

Cusa on Man’s Divinity

There is in the people a divine seed by virtue of their common equal birth and the equal natural rights of all men, so that the authority—which comes from God, as does man himself—is recognized as divine, when it arises from the common consent of the subjects....

*Nicholas of Cusa,
Concordantia
Catholica, 1433*



Golden Renaissance, a new world, across the Atlantic, was being given birth, a new world, yet to become: the future United States, created, from across the waters of the Atlantic Ocean, where a new way of thinking about humanity would take place.

This point is to be emphasized in my emphasis on the case of the scientist most closely associated with the effect of the genius of Nicholas of Cusa, Johannes Kepler. All other actually competent, leading modern scientists had continued to reflect the influence of Brunelleschi, Cusa, and Kepler’s achievement: his particular discovery of the organization of the universe, most clearly, respecting the first, truly discovered principle of organization of the planetary system: not a mere interpretation of observed phenomena, but a truly universal quality of *an actually discovered universal physical principle, which had been previously unknown*, as Kepler provided a crucially significant springboard to the defining of a leap upward, in thinking, by and for the advancement of the powers of the human mind.

The ideas on which the creation of our United States (in particular) had been carried into the development of a new civilization, across the Atlantic, into North Amer-

ica, where that great new option for mankind could take its proper birth of an essential new view of the proper nature of mankind, freed from the evils customary to “the old world.”

That did not signify that North America, or other parts of the Americas, would become a paradise of human freedom from the evils of the old world, or a place of paradises. We had fled the Old World; but, it continued to pursue our settlement in the New, pursuing us from, chiefly, the evil of the same old parts of, chiefly, Europe and its traditionally Romantic and like evils. We are still being hotly pursued so, presently, as has been just shown by the anger from within the Senate, against Obama’s evils, which has caused us, to take alarm presently.

The foreign predators, presently the evil which is still the British Empire, still pursues us from across the Atlantic, even into and beyond the Presidency and Senate, and other parts of our Congress, and their attachments, of our United States. We had escaped the threatened destruction of our republic, this far, but we

are also still cruelly pursued from across the great waters, escaped, momentarily, but, nonetheless, the still pursued discoverers of a new kind of freedom, a specifically American freedom, which our own most insightful citizens wish to see re-established as an influence among the whole of our living human population. A new turn to the regaining of our own hard-fought, Constitutional birthright, is demanded for us, presently, if, as Benjamin Franklin had warned us in his time, if we had have the guts to rescue our republic, once more, right now.

But, we must also wish the same benefits for the peoples of other nations. This is, “us,” when we are truly what I can identify as worthy representatives of the founding principles of our own republic, including, most notably, the intention of our first, and also remarkably great, original Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, as that had been echoed by, most notably, Presidents John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, and Franklin D. Roosevelt, among our more numerous minority of truly great Presidents.

Brunelleschi’s Unique Genius

How Filippo Brunelleschi won the competition to build the dome on Santa Maria del Fiore, demonstrating his unique genius, according to the (apocryphal) story of Giorgio Vasari in his famous “Lives of the Most Excellent Architects, Painters, and Sculptors” (1550).

Filippo could have shown a little model that he had in his possession, but he did not wish to show it, having recognized the small intelligence of the Consuls, the envy of the craftsmen, and the instability of the citizens, who favored now one and now another, according as it pleased each man best. . . .



They would have liked Filippo to speak his mind in detail, and to show his model, as [the other artists] had shown theirs; but this he refused to do, proposing instead to those masters, both the foreign and the native, that whosoever could make an egg stand upright on a flat piece of marble should build the Cupola, since thus each man’s intellect would be discerned. Taking an egg, therefore, all those masters sought to make it stand upright, but not one could find the way. Whereupon Filippo, being told to make it stand, took it graciously, and, giving one end of it a blow on the flat piece of marble, made it stand upright. The craftsmen protested that they could have done the same; but Filippo answered, laughing, that they could also have raised the cupola, if they had seen the model or the design.

The American Mind

The leading emblems of our American Freedom, and its specific mentality, are typified, as it had been originally in fact, in an essential way, by the Seventeenth Century Massachusetts Bay settlement led by the Winthrops and Mathers, a settlement which had been crushed by the evil Dutch tyranny, during those later years: as Cotton Mather had spoken to the young Benjamin Franklin.

Nonetheless, with Cotton Mather's urgent assignment to Benjamin Franklin, this legacy of the Massachusetts Bay Colony had been expressed in the rebirth of the same intention which had been born in the creation of original Massachusetts settlement, which was now forged anew during the course of the Eighteenth Century, to become the kernel of what became the Constitution of our United States. This kernel, first hatched in Seventeenth Century Massachusetts, had proven to have been the egg of a conception first hatched, and later delivered as the precedent of that Golden Renaissance typified best by the initiating spirit of the leaderships of scientific efforts of the physical scientist Filippo Brunelleschi and the greater genius of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa which made Brunelleschi's practice the true genius whose influences had given the needed spirit which had later produced our original United States.

These connections, from the Golden Renaissance, to the founding of our Republic, can be regarded as the original "egg" almost unknown, in fact, among citizens of our United States presently, but which still lurks as an intent for a universal principle of government still among us, an expression of a great principle still haunting us, that still relentlessly, from where it still reposes in the life of our republic, still today, as being the true meaning of our thought, that: "We here today are faithfully Americans."

The conception of principle which I have outlined in the preceding paragraphs, above, is rarely comprehended among us with sufficient efficiency of insight, presently; but, as it might sometimes be said: nonetheless exists waiting to be realized, and might have popped into a citizen's awareness, even suddenly, to his, or her surprise, as it did, in fact, for many citizens of my own generation, or slightly older, in the outbreak of our experience of the great World War II, for us, then. For my part, I know that that goodness still lurks there; it is my own principled devotion, despite all else that

might be said. I am truly an American, and those patriots whom I know the best, also know it, and I know that, too, presently.

My particular concern, in writing this report, is to hope to inspire among at least some of us, what we Americans really are, what is different about us, than Europeans generally, but, implicitly, must also be, once more, again, to their true advantage, and we, ourselves, as they, must come to understand what that difference, still working among our honest patriots, is, in fact, even though their knowledge of this within them, as their true heritage, appears, often, to have gone to sleep.

I had first seen this, even as a freshly minted adolescent coming out of the 1920s, and into the actualities of the early 1930s economic depression. I had seen it with my military service in the United States, and later in Asia, I saw it most clearly, in India, where I had first landed in Asia, and, later, after completing my service in northern Burma, but had then remained in service in India for a considerable passage of time there, with many months of that spent in Calcutta. I had seen this, later, in France, Germany, and Italy, chiefly, and, later, frequently for a time, in post-Soviet Russia, and other places in Europe, as in Thailand and Japan, and in off-shore China, as in Mexico, Brazil, Peru, Argentina, and other places.

I know myself from the places I have visited for important reasons; I know who I am, when I say that I am truly a typical American in all essential respects. I know myself from the vantage-point of one among those who have been born of that nature, and in my regard for, and attachment to many persons and cultures which I have visited, sometimes at considerable length, and, often, for very important reasons. Therefore, I know myself best for the age of my experience during 91-odd years to date. I am thus privileged to know far better than most, from personal experience, as, presently, among a tiny roster of the survivors still active among us, still, from that generation of those veterans still living today who retain a sense, despite the recent two Presidencies, of what it really means "to be an American" under the circumstances of crisis with which I am confronted immediately, now.

That much said, so far, on this account. Take what I have now just said, as a point of reference, for defining what it really means, spiritually, to be an American insofar as I am enabled to recognize what is embedded in

the experience of having been, and the becoming of a retrospective insight into myself, and how I see you, too, from the advantage of crucial features of my experience as an American, now still bridging two successive centuries, within our world now.

Thus, we, at our best, are truly “different,” when we are true to the heritage known to the experience of my own memories, and, also, with regard for that fact as crucial for the world to recognize during this presently on-going threat of a global thermonuclear warfare. It is therefore, essential that you, my fellow-citizens, and friends otherwise, that you, too, still understand, essentially, who we patriots really are, as an active force in history, especially how we Americans, at our best, are really special in certain of our talents, if we actually try as we must, amid the circumstances of a world presently in grave jeopardy, a world presently suffering unavoidable grave doubts respecting our species’ presently imperilled very existence, presently: on the edge of the immediately fomenting edge of sudden outbreak of a global thermonuclear warfare.

I. Since Brunelleschi & Cusa

It is now past the time to consider who is that Prometheus who is to be recognized as the designated enemy of implicitly all known, inherently Satanic, oligarchical evils; I mean, by “evil,” such as those of our own republic’s most naturally immediate enemy, the present British Empire of today.

Prometheus, the natural enemy of both the Roman and British empires, the Prometheus who had been embodied in his exact same notion of creative principles which I uphold here, now: rather than those evils which are merely deductions, respecting science and morality. Those are the same, essential qualities of persons and principles which had produced the crucial, and brilliant discovery of principle of the organization of our Solar system by Johannes Kepler, and which, in turn, were already, in the past and presently, alike, located, in their underlying origin: a discovery rooted within the earlier, respective, discoveries of such as Filippo Brunelleschi, the great physical scientist, and the greater scientific and moral genius of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa.

The spirit which can, thus, be readily identified in the work of the three, expresses the spirit specific to:

Brunelleschi, Cusa, and their follower in the building of modern physical science, Kepler. The quality of actual principles of discovery of truly fundamental mental achievements, rather than the reductionists’ mere measurements, reaches back to the precedents of such singular figures as Prometheus and Plato, as opposed to the ugly concessions to the virtual Satan which is otherwise named Zeus. Modern European science and its trans-Atlantic expression, when it is marked out for recognition as a Renaissance, in the true meaning of that name, which expresses a fresh return to an impassioned rejection of the inherent evil of the ancient Roman-imperial and its modern British imperial model, and also the matter of the proliferation of contemporary return to the backwardness of most leading trends in the British-imperial-dominated world of the present time.

The question should be: is there any naturally predetermined standard for the foreseeable characteristics of a continuing human social culture; are those stated choices, presented above, merely conventions? What might be objectively wrong about “class distinctions” being introduced, or permitted to be continued in any human cultures? That is, indeed, where lie the frankly (as in Dodd-Frank) evil of quasi-President, for the “Fracking” evils of Dick Cheney and President Barack Obama, alike: who have departed, so far, and so conspicuously, from what should be the definable moral standard for social relations in a truly human culture.

II. Existing & Objective Standards for Human Cultures


The proper standard for any actually human culture, is bred into man’s appropriate behavior toward progress. Such progress is to be adduced properly from the evidence of the living history of the continuing, essential distinction of man from beast.

This standard is as if *a-priori*, but, also, implies the existence of a wrong choice of the proper meaning of conception for this case, of the specific, physical-economic principle, defined by the discovery of Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, as in his 1791 definition supplied, definitively, in his “Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank” (*February 1791*): *A principle of physical economic science; which, in fact of practice, subsumes the other three great physical-*

Lincoln's Greenback Policy

The government should create, issue and circulate all the currency and credit needed to satisfy the spending power of the government and the buying power of consumers. . . . The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government's greatest creative opportunity. By the adoption of these principles, the long-felt want for a uniform medium will be satisfied. The taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest, discounts and exchanges. The financing of all public enterprises, the maintenance of stable government and ordered progress, and the conduct of the Treasury will become matters of practical administration. The people can and will be furnished with a currency as safe as their own government. Money will cease to be the master and become the servant of humanity. Democracy will rise superior to the money power.

Abraham Lincoln
Senate Document 23, page 91; 1865



Library of Congress

economic principles reposing in the foundations of the actual foundations of practice for our Federal Constitution, as in the administrations of Presidents James Monroe, John Quincy Adams, and, most emphatically, in actual practice, President Abraham Lincoln's policy for Greenbacks, a policy which saved the United States from a defeat by our republic's most evil of our mortal enemies, the same British Empire which caused the assassination of that same President Abraham Lincoln.

The properly required standard of performance, resides in the quality of *a universal physical principle* specific to the human species' most uniquely distinguishing characteristics, which is to say, as *absolutely distinguished from all non-human life. I have often referred to that principle as the unique principle governing any possibility of successful human evolution's escape from permanent bestiality, bestiality of that type stated as the policy of the Satanic Zeus, and such of the followers of Zeus as the Roman and presently British empires. The principle which, uniquely, distinguishes man from beast, with absolute uniqueness, is counter-*

posed to the characteristics of all of the non-human species. The religion of Zeus, like the Roman and British empires sharing their same characteristics of inhumanity (i.e., the tradition marked by the British in India), is the global evil which must be removed from the inherent mission of the creation of our United States, and whatever nations and persons share our abhorrence of the systems of government which follow the tenets of the precepts of Zeus, as the Roman and British empires have done.

The Matter of Political Practice

It is fair, and also necessary to say: that the human species makes its own future place voluntarily with respect to all other known living processes, but, also, absolutely, above each and every other species of living creature presently known to mankind. The fairly accurate description of that distinction, is that a human life, is governed, in its inherently natural design, by the necessity of an implicit progress of being a *voluntarily* upward-evolving species of the ontological characteristics of a human life, as distinct from all others presently

known by us to exist, or have existed. The human species, therefore, always lives, actually, in the progressive development of its own future, to increase continually an ever-greater distance-in-principle from the beasts. This must be manifest, in fact, in practice: *in a principle of constant anti-entropic change. No such example, is presently known among all other presently known species. Either you know the future, as a matter of principle, or your perception of your quality of life is a damaged one.*

The general principle of effect, of human progress in this mode of progress, demands use of the notion of a succession of future “eras” in the qualitative leaps of one human culture, each to another, qualitative higher state of social existence. This has been demonstrated for chemistry by insight into the principled ordering of mankind’s general direction for its meaning of “progress,” by a general rise in the qualities of energy-flux density of effects in the human process as a whole process.

That taken into account, I explain:

The standard required for the most useful way of treating this phenomenon, is found in the domain of biochemistry: the chemistry attempting to measuring the relatively internal-to-society-progress, per capita, of the species of human life. In contemporary scientific usage, the correlative term, is “energy-flux density” in the non-biological standard for human progress.

In other words, mankind, when it evolves upward in per-capita performance, has self-evolved in a mode which corresponds to relatively higher orders of energy-flux density in the achievements of comparative relative performance of the human species. Or, say, “Mankind is properly socially self-evolving, upward” with respect to human society’s own relative net performance, as such: the relative rates of performance of man, per capita, with the evolutionary development of man’s specifically creative potential per-capita, as such, as compared with the changing in the environment which mankind inhabits.

That is to emphasize that there are two, not separate, but inter-dependent considerations to be taken practically into account. The interesting consideration, is the nature of the correlation of changes in mankind’s productivity relative to the up, or down trends in the environment within which mankind’s existence is situated. How much does the development of the human mind determine, and how much, approximately, the changes

in the environment upon which man and both his society and his relevant environment depend, interdependently.

The most powerful of such patterns’ effects, are located in the human mind as such, especially when it is man (the human mind’s own self-development), which is a preponderant consideration. This latter consideration presents the necessity to reflect on what are to be recognized as the unique role of universal physical principles in mankind’s power over the physical processes of what were otherwise definable as simple advances in man’s practices as the relevant effect. It is revolutions in upward-directed discoveries of newly discovered universal physical principles themselves, which actually demonstrate the quality of the noëtic powers of the human mind as such; no other test is valid as such. This concept, as such, defines the implicit meaning of “human” as such.

These exemplary cases which point out such truly noëtic powers of a human mind (i.e., as I have already noted in respect to the cases of Brunelleschi, Cusa, Kepler, but, also, such as, typically, Bernhard Riemann, Max Planck, Albert Einstein, et al.,) actually present a model for a definition for the absolute distinction of mankind from all beasts and plants.³ Thus, science truly begins, only when it is enabled to define a specifically unique principle, unique to the case of the principles operating in the noëtic effects of the functions of the human mind as such⁴.

The relatively modern cases of Brunelleschi, Cusa, Kepler, ... Leibniz, Gauss, ... Riemann, Planck, and Einstein, ... are one mode for tracing specifically human creativity, but the same principle of principles of physical science are also reflected to the same effect, for Classical painting, poetry, drama, and music.

3. The work of V.I. Vernadsky supplies an important correlative of scientific progress which still presses on fresh options for more advanced discoveries as adducible from his methods for the making of progress in scientific discoveries respecting universal future qualities consistent with human principles of life as such, as opposed to the British/Brutish-ideologically reductionist follies of A. Oparin. No reductionist turns to mathematics per se, were permissible. Life can not be reduced to mathematics, but only to life, and within the bounds of the specifically noëtic expressions of the evolutionary development of human life, which is the operating standard for the human study of the existence and development of life in general. Mathematics itself, must be a slave of the principle of progressive evolution, upward, of human life. The time is come, and already past, for foolish fantasies inherent in reductionist methods.

4. As distinct in principle, from the characteristics of merely other known forms of life.

III. What Is Human Life, in Principle?

We must not overlook the political-strategic relevance of certain common errors inherent in reductionist fallacies still dominant in aspects of prevalent pseudo-scientific practices derived from the persistent, and even worsening tendencies traceable to increasing radically reductionist presumptions in general economic and related practices, the increasing worsening infestations of so-called “green” practices, most notably.

The toleration of such current trends in social and related practices, has been an extremely significant factor amid the actually declining productivity in the U.S. economy, since the aftermath of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. Since somewhere within

the initial phases of the U.S. War in Indo-China, there has been a persisting, downward trend in the productivity of the U.S. physical economy since that time. This fact is often masked, quite improperly, by substituting a measure of the physical productivity, per capita of the actually productive segment of the labor-force, relative to the monied aspect of merely money-fluxes of the U.S. economy as a whole.

The virtual collapse of productive industry and agriculture, since the introduction of “green” policies of practice, has been merely masked through a misanthropic popular opinion, through the disregard of the fact, that the physical productivity of the total potential labor-force has been collapsing in both absolute and relative measurements of performance in society (the United States, in particular), while the merely administratively concocted reductionist policies of practice,

Kennedy on the American Economy

This is a great country, but I think it could be a greater country, and this is a powerful country but I think it could be a more powerful country. I'm not satisfied to have 50 percent of our steel mill capacity unused. I'm not satisfied when the United States has last year the lowest rate of economic growth of any major industrialized society in the world. I'm not satisfied when we have over \$9 billion worth of food, some of it rotting, even though there is a hungry world, and even though 4 million Americans wait every month for a food package from the government which averages 5 cents a day per individual. I'm not satisfied when the Soviet Union is turning out twice as many scientists and engineers as we are. I'm not satisfied when many of our teachers are inadequately paid or when our children go to school on part-time shifts. I think we should have an educational system second to none.

*JFK, Presidential debate
September 1960*



John F. Kennedy Presidential Library and Museum, Boston

have tended to prevail: especially the speculative (e.g., Wall Street and related) investment within the labor-force has been mistakenly regarded as a source of increased actual national income, when in fact, the actual net productivity of the economy has been collapsing, in fact, at an accelerating rate, since the advent of the corruption which launched a foolish war in Indo-China. The physical economy of China is zooming, relative to the collapse of that of the United States and Western Europe. Most of western continental Europe, for example, is presently dying at an accelerating rate, under the weight of British imperial suppression globally. The case of the dwindling lifestyle under acting-President Dick Cheney and Obama, has been the most actively vicious factor on this account.

There are two contrasted, presently available views nearing significantly on this same trend. The fact is, that the British Empire (not the United Kingdom as such) is virtually the most powerful economic factor of control throughout a relatively major region of the planet as a whole, as Queen Elizabeth II viewed the situation a few years ago in pressing for the full adoption of her “green” and very radical population reduction-policies, and actually intentionally genocidal ones, still presently.

The Solar Factor Amid This

With the Sun now fallen into a prolonged quiet phase, and the collapses of economy, and of human resources generally throughout most of the planet, as a result, only an accelerated emphasis on the applications of thermonuclear-fusion on a broad scale, could meet the essential requirements for the present popula-

Prometheus Gives Man ‘Many Arts’

Prometheus: But of wretched mortals he [Zeus] took no notice, desiring to bring the whole race to an end and create a new one in its place. Against this purpose none dared make stand except me! I only had the courage; I saved mortals so that they did not descend, blasted utterly, to the house of Hades. This is why I am bent by such grievous tortures, painful to suffer, piteous to behold.

Chorus: Did you transgress even somewhat beyond this offense?

Prometheus: Whats more, I gave mankind fire.

Chorus: What! Do mortals now have flame-eyed fire?

Prometheus: Yes, and from it they shall learn many arts.



*From ‘Prometheus Bound,’
Aeschylus
(ca. 430 B.C.)*

tion. The intrinsically malicious practices, which have been imposed, chiefly, by the British Empire on the United States, by incompetent “fracking” and related practices, since the beginning of the Bush-Cheney administration, have worsened this crisis at a currently accelerating rate, and must be summarily terminated now. A return to emphasis on the pre-Obama space programs, and to accelerated emphasis in thermo-nuclear fusion in enhanced modes are indispensable, even emergency requirements under present trends and lost productivity.

At the same time, interests centered in the British Empire as such, have pressed Presidents of the United States, and others, into the prospect of massive population-reduction explicitly intended to reduce the current human population from a quantity in the general order of seven billions, to not more than one billion souls, or even much less.

The evil which this Queen represents, is fully in the tradition of the legendary, monstrously evil figure of

Zeus, as opposed to the advocate of humanity and progress, Prometheus. The tradition of the legendary Zeus is embodied in the existence and practice of the Roman Empire, as the British Empire, by the role of author of the text of Edward Gibbon's **The Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire**, the text of which has been the essential policy of practice by the British Empire, since then to the present date in world history this far, a virtual copy in respect to principle of the early Caesars and their sequels, to the present date of the British Empire.

The present threat of World War III, at almost this very moment, is a true virtually carbon-copy, in respect to intention, of the inherent destiny of the British Empire presently, not necessarily the population of the United Kingdom, but of the British (or, better said, "Brutish") Empire of the present date.

Our United States, presently, must therefore proceed, with as much prudence and also insight, in dealing with this matter of threats, to our own and other nations targeted by the officials and the lackeys of other nation's addresses which tend to be accomplices of the evils which the British Empire's tradition promotes still presently. The primary considerations are that:

(1) The British Empire is the leading factor in the violent threats to the nations of this planet;

(2) The citizens, or kindness to citizens, of the United Kingdom, who may be lackeys of an evil empire, chiefly as minions, rather than the essential perpetrators. Topple the evil empire, and the rest can be sorted out for the sake of securing a productive peace for the nations which are actually nations.

(3) End general warfare, for the generalized benefit of true national sovereignties;

(4) Return to the implications of the agreement on cooperation reached between President Franklin D. Roosevelt, and Stalin, and cancel the evil created chiefly by Britain's systemically Satanic Bertrand Russell and the lackey role in this matter accepted, and promoted by Wall Street's virtual lackey, Harry S Truman.

The planet's situation today, presents us with conditions under which, the prospect of thermonuclear warfare excludes new major war on this planet, especially extension of warfare into nuclear and thermonuclear warfare. The mechanisms which had been presented by President Franklin D. Roosevelt, must be reclaimed as the only policy which nations have shared.

On this account:

Now, the time for peace is not a mere option, but a moral necessity; that the principle of national sover-

eignty, in international affairs, as previously understood while Franklin Roosevelt was President, must be upheld. Such assurances, and their clearly intended implications, must now become the law of the world. The historic definitions of nationality of extant nations as such, must be left as inviolable; the criminal character of the Nazi-defined elements in the pseudo-state government of Ukraine, for example, in place of its only presently legitimate President, is an example of such a principle required.

However, there are organizations with evil principles, such as those of the continuing factors of Nazi tradition in Ukraine today, which must be recognized, as the foolish, even internationally criminal presumptions of the regime of the presently still incumbent, President Barack Obama regime, and currently relevant other development there, attest: moral realities, excluding such as Nazi traditions dominating the present, Cheney-like pseudo-government of Ukraine presently, which must be recognized to have been a kind of sheer lying and general practice of fraud; Obama's claims, must, urgently be unmasked as fraudulent, as being a falsely contrived, and actually fascist, pseudo-government. Mankind must not be dragged, by President Obama's lies on this account, so that Obama does not bring on a global thermonuclear war in which human existence might probably be doubtful.

We on this planet, have already reached beyond the proper limits for warfare, with the advent of nuclear, and now, above all, thermonuclear warfare. We must think of ourselves as a uniquely human species, unique, for our best present knowledge, presently, as the only presently known species which is human, which is morally human by virtue of implicit obedience to the principle of the members and offspring of the members of the human species.

The chosen destinies of nations and peoples must meet that standard, now, of mankind, as "Earthlings," living within the setting of nearby Solar space, and beyond: living on Earth (our only known suitable habitat), but extending our practical influence, both for our species' security, and our progress to higher powers of creative achievements for future mankind.

Let us, therefore, adopt a new, better mission and prospects for all humanity and its common interest now. Let us bring peaceful cooperation, at last, under control. I believe that Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, among others, would be pleased, if yet to be satisfied, with such a present result.

IV. Physical Economy

As I have already emphasized, above, here, the presently prevalent, conventional notions of economy, are intrinsically incompetent, that for the reason that actual human behavior depends upon standards of measure which are specific to the fact that the human mind's characteristics do not correspond to linear or kindred measures, such as mere sense-perception, but, rather to the principled characteristics of human life, in its noëtic expressions, as a standard of measurement. Contrary to the conditions presently created by the fraudulent policy-shaping of the British Imperial Monarchy-in-fact.⁵

The practical measure, according to the noëtic principle specific to human life, stands outside and beyond mere sense-perception, into the domain of the noëtic principles of the human mind, as this standard of permanent constants, is defined by what are absolutely universal physical principles, magnitudes not contained within other measures. The cases of Max Planck and Albert Einstein, or, earlier, the measures of Filippo Brunelleschi, as in the Cathedral of Florence and the Pazzi Chapel, the work of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and Johannes Kepler's discovered principle of the organization of the Solar system. Contrary views as to principle are those associated with the intrinsic incompetence of the premises of ordinary reductionists' methods.

For example: The role of the Helium-3 radiated by the Sun, and capturable by man reaching the Moon, when compared with its relevance for enhanced thermonuclear-fusion applications.

There is nothing which should be considered shocking, or otherwise offensive, in any of this, since it is the systemic imperfections of sense-perception *per se*, which are the deficient medium at fault for discrepancies of sense-perception as such. Once, we confess that sense-perception is an often useful tool, under what people should recognize as convenient, but merely crude tools, we are impelled to recognize that the uni-

5. The merely so-called "Commonwealth," better known as the actual Zeusian, common tradition of the Roman and British empires, must be distinguished from the actually, respective, human members of the United Kingdom, who must be systemically distinguished from their alleged, but fraudulent status, as the mere mis-used name of "Commonwealth." The combined evil of Wall Street and its British imperial characteristics-in-fact, must not be permitted to hold the human personalities of the citizens of the separate elements of the Commonwealth. The empire must not be permitted to hold the separate contents of the Commonwealth to captivity within a criminal association such as the present British monarchy's now stated commitment of itself to an adventure in attempted planet-wide mass-murder.

verse, even our mere Solar system is better informed, in its practice, on seriously scientific matters, than the intrinsic crudeness of mere sense-perception.

In fact, science is, as astronomy helps us to demonstrate, a crude tool, until we are enabled to discover available, qualified measurements which supply us with notions of realities beyond the pitiable limits, in the very small, or very large, outside the simplistic relative crudenesses of mere sense-perception, *per se*.

We, patriots of our own United States of America, must now claim truly sovereign rights in this matter: therefore, if the British Kingdom wishes to claim an imperial mode of authority in these matters, then, in regard for the actual history of evil consistently practiced by the Dutch imperialist and British imperialist interests heretofore, demands that such empires be shut down, with penalties, in contrast to the respectively separable components of the in-fact, heretofore claimed, and Romanticists' declared fantasy of the British Empire as such. It is the particular constitutional nature of the existence of our United States of America, that we never lend assistance to the very same evil, for which we risked all to create and sustain, the continuity of our republic.

The existence of empire must now be brought to a close: responsible sovereign states, which are not merely subjects of an imperial form of power, by their submission to an over-reigning power, which must be annulled for the over-due condition of what must be recognized as truly national, rather than any imperial sovereigns in fact; Nazi-like elements of fraud notwithstanding. This must include Nazi-like elements such as President Barack Obama's fraudulently proclaimed present allegiances to fraudulently conceived, continued concoctions, notwithstanding.

The Attached Subject of Legal Authorities: Now: For Purposes of Clarification, Thus:

1. "On The Constitutionality of a National Bank":

Secretary Alexander Hamilton rebutted the argument against the concept of the principled notion for a National Bank, to which objection had been made by the then Secretary of State and the Attorney-General, during Hamilton's own term in office as Secretary of the U.S. Treasury. Both of two opponents, both latter persons speaking as members of that same government

during the initial term of the first President of the United States, George Washington.

The systemic challenge presented by Hamilton's opponents in this matter, had been to the effect, that there are powers of the government from among the various, particular states of the United States, which go outside the superior Constitutional authority of the Principal Institution, the Law of the United States, as defined for, and by its entirety. E.g., conditional "States' Rights," were areas of practice outside the sovereignty of the United States, but, sometimes, if that was argued only erroneously, not necessarily considered as bounded by the Constitutional law of the Republic itself.

A crucially significant, relevantly recent case to be considered here, for relevant purposes of illustration, here, has been the introduction of "fracking" set into effective motion by a virtually acting U.S. President, Dick Cheney, who was effectively a "virtual super-President" (i.e., virtual dictator) during, generally, President George W. Bush's debatable actual personally intellectual competence, during Mr. Cheney's *de facto* virtual management of the office of the President under an elected President of actually doubtful competence, a virtually mere puppet.

In practice, Cheney's interventions uttered in the name of the President, amounted to subordinating the interest of the United States, in such particular matters as fracking, to the pleasures and privileges given to a foreign power, chiefly the British Empire, but implicitly also the participation of other foreign powers, to prey upon the vital interests of our Republic, its Presidency, and in favor of the included offenses which incorporated effective looting of the United States resources inside our republic for no less than an effectively rapacious destruction of very large vital interests of the United States and its population, a virtual foreign raider, in effect, placed at stake in this action. This was done, and continues to be done, in a manner which grossly violated, and presently continues to violate the vital interests of the people of the United States, even the means of existence of our citizens and their dependents. The damage to the food supply of the people of the United States, even to put the very lives of many among our citizenry placed in jeopardy, even *en masse*, for loss of urgently need food-supplies and related reasons.

This kind of practice, which has been by no means only one conspicuous case, the case of "fracking" promoted by Dick Cheney as *de facto*, virtually acting

President under an intellectually lame President, has, in effect, set a pattern, under which influence has fostered even greater crimes against our United States than Mr. Cheney's referenced influence. The "9-11" hoax of "cover-up" installed during the *de facto* reign of Mr. Cheney, has been taken as an opening opportunity of a presently ripely "impeachable" President Barack Obama, who has been otherwise notable for offenses against the intention of our Federal Republic: offenses reaching far beyond the offenses of Mr. Cheney's incumbency under George W. Bush, Jr.'s, strongly indicated personal incompetency for that office.

The constitutional obligations of the Presidency itself, are, as Secretary Alexander Hamilton had established the relevant principle of Constitutionality *per se*, during his term in office. This fact should have been taken, as if in perpetuity, as a practical principle inherent in our Federal Constitution's application to the Federal Constitutional Law of our United States of America, then, as presently. The examples which I have referenced here, should be considered as a relatively fresh demonstration of the nature of the continuing general constitutional principle which we must work to refresh, the which is what is urgently required, now.

For example:

The attempted impeachment of President William Clinton, which had been pre-constructed under the leading influence of a British intelligence operative, and continued by largely duped, and otherwise by largely distracted Republican conspirators, had provided the pressures on President Clinton himself, to pressure him into the nullification of the Glass-Steagall Law, an action by the Congress, with President Clinton's induced consent, under his circumstances, then, but, which, has been the direct source of all of the greatest ruin which our republic has since suffered, as through the outcome of four subsequent terms of office, of, first, the two Bush-Cheney administrations, and, second, Barack Obama's first term in office, and, now, his presently uncompleted, second term.

The corruption expressed, since the termination of the Franklin Roosevelt Glass-Steagall Law, which had served the United States under President Franklin Roosevelt's own, and later administrations, has plunged us, anew, into an even worse catastrophe than that produced by the Depression created by the wicked practices and policies of the successive Calvin Coolidge and Hoover Administrations.

FDR Calls for an End to Speculation

From President Franklin Roosevelt's First Inaugural Address, March 4, 1933, in which he lays out the concept for what became the Glass-Steagall Act.

Our greatest primary task is to put people to work. This is no unsolvable problem if we face it wisely and courageously. It can be accomplished in part by direct recruiting by the Government itself, treating the task as we would treat the emergency of a war, but at the same time, through this employment, accomplishing greatly needed projects to stimulate and reorganize the use of our natural resources. . . .

Finally, in our progress toward a resumption of work we require two safeguards against a return of the evils of the old order; there must be a strict supervision of all banking and credits and investments; there must be an end to speculation with other people's money, and there must be provision for an adequate but sound currency.



2. The Errors of the Bush-Cheney & Obama Tenures

While the cases of the now-elected four terms of office, which have existed since the close of the Clinton Administration, might not appear to be relevant to the serious constitutional issue of the states' rights doctrines as such, it would be a very strange evasion of the effect which is of the same categorical nature of implicit Constitutional error, such as the States' Rights argument, when considered as a matter of the principle of "Who Benefits," intrinsically so, when this is recognized as being contrary in effect to the leading principles of the U.S. Federal Constitution as such, such as the decision on the Nation's banking, reached by means of Secretary Alexander Hamilton's successful ruling on constitutional law, as a matter of underlying Constitutional principle bearing on the case I have placed presently at hand in my argument here.

Fracking rights, for example, must be terminated, and investigated, and that, for reason of related similarly constitutional offenses, all for what may be required for related, extended considerations of intrinsically inseparable issues within in a larger dimension. The "States' Rights" thesis was always an attempted challenge to the most essential principle of our Federal

Constitution, and the most deadly wedge used to weaken the ties of our nation as being a United States of America, in fact.

The failure to observe, in practice, the distinctions of freedom of principle, unless defended efficiently, becomes the means of expediency by means of which the true principle of a republic such as ours, may, fall into a system of law, rooted in expediency, and the entertainment of outright tyranny, as the case of the Obama Administration illustrates the point. That point must be recognized in the necessity of the Constitutional stipulation defended by Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, in the mentioned, and very important constitutional ruling.

As always, heretofore, throughout our Republic's history, it has been the introduction of the "States' Rights" dogma which has weakened our defenses against the always lurking evil monster, the British imperial system of the tyranny which our forebears had worked to defeat for the sake of our freedom. We must not permit ourselves to fall into the British imperial trap which has polluted and virtually destroyed the sovereignty of the formerly sovereign nations of continental western and central Europe.

Fracking: The Economics of Extinction

by Liona Fan-Chiang

At a time when half the United States is in drought, when 80% of the national food crop is threatened, and communities are faced with the prospect of turning on the faucet to see no water run, whatever temporary economic relief hydraulic-fractured natural gas is bringing to communities, the net effect of this boom is criminal, and coheres with the colonial free-trade policies that have brought us the drought and gutted our infrastructure. This is why Lyndon LaRouche and LaRouchePAC have been so adamant that we shut down the fracking boom. In fact, as you will see, the industry would not even exist, or would exist in a very different form, if the progress of nuclear power had not been halted many decades ago.

There are about half a million wells for hydraulic fracturing for natural gas, or fracking (or “unconventional gas drilling,” or “shale gas extraction”) in the United States. Each uses 1-8 million gallons of water per tap, and each is tapped 1-20 times. After the water goes into the ground one mile down, only about 15% of it comes back up, which can be reused for more fracking. Half of these sites are in the drought-stricken areas of the United States, meaning people will be dying from lack of water and food, while this water-intensive, so-called economical and clean process grows. To add insult to injury, much of this gas is being exported, rather than being used to develop the United States.¹

Existing arguments of both the opponents and proponents of fracking are equally shallow and short-sighted.

Many environmentalists have been up in arms about fracking because of the pollution and corruption involved. Much press exposure has been given to claims of contaminated groundwater resulting in water so saturated that it can be lit on fire, or is of a putrid color and



Creative Commons/Joshua Doubek

Ask yourself: “What would I think about fracking, if we were building nuclear power plants instead?” Shown: a (Dick Cheney) Halliburton frack job in the Bakken formation, North Dakota.

smell. Meanwhile, Big Oil, including Dick Cheney’s Halliburton, has its grubby hands all over the regulatory policies. The EPA and other government agencies have been criticized for turning a blind eye to, or even helping the oil companies get around regulations.

A Nov. 22, 2013 article posted on the Ring of Fire website,² lists the top ten Congressmen who have been bought off by the fracking industry. The proprietary nature of the slush of chemicals, like the secret ingredients in Worcestershire sauce, that are used make fracking almost impossible to regulate, such that investigators are partially telling the truth when they say that they cannot charge the companies for use of hazardous materials, for there is no documentation.

Earthquakes have also been reported in areas where fracking is done, that have never previously had them.

However, even if the fracking industry and the regula-

1. See the [LaRouchePAC video](#), “Drought: The Time for NAWAPA Has Come.”

2. “[Congress Being Fracked](#): Gas Industry Increases Contributions to Congress Members by 180 Percent.”

tory agencies were able to resolve all of these issues, the fracking boom would still be extinction economics.

Arguments for fracking have largely grown out of opportunism rather than out of any real concern for the growth of the U.S. economy. That is why you will find many people who seem to know nothing about the subject arguing for it, including Members of Congress. Many people are getting some kind of dividend from this heist. For example, a landowner might get paid thousands of dollars per acre to lease land to the frackers, an amount that is likely a drop in the bucket

compared to the profit the companies make from the extraction of gas. Others are employed in making machines for the industry, etc. It's cheap, employs people, is driving some amount of innovation, and is making profit for America, so why not?


Economics 101

First, ask yourself, "What would I think about fracking, if we were building nuclear power plants instead?"

Then ask, "What do we not have because we are not going nuclear?" Where would all those resources be if we were on our way to a fusion economy? Humans will progress in any field. The question is: to what end? We have already seen that technology and employment involved in videogames, no matter how advanced, serves to deaden the minds of the next generation, therefore creating a net loss overall. If we were building fourth-generation nuclear plants now, and had an intensive fusion R&D program, what types of innovations would flourish? More importantly, what types of new fundamental scientific principles would be discovered?

The real cost is clearer in hindsight, that is, from the standpoint of 50 years from now, looking back. Every energy platform is built on the prior one. Meaning, each new technology that leads to the next energy base, such as wood, charcoal, oil, or nuclear fuel, is discovered, tested, and built with the previous power source. Each

FIGURE 1



LaRouchePAC

new advance is also dependent on this continuity, in that each denser power source required the development of the prior, in order for it to be even potentially accessible (**Figure 1**). How many field hands does it take to build a nuclear rocket?

What this natural process implies, is that if the previous resource, which will eventually run out, is not used for the creation and development of the next, not only does this progress stop, but that once the current resource runs out, or is rare enough that wars start over gaining it, then that society no longer has the ability or the resources to achieve that next platform. The result is a decreasing ability to support the current population, and therefore war, disease and famine. Lyndon LaRouche has termed this process, a decrease in potential relative population density.

What may be ironic to some, but not to people who deal with long-term investments (i.e., 10, 20, or 50 years), is that in order to progress, you have to use more and more of your current resource. To conserve is like the couch potato who refuses to go out to find a job because that would require him to use up resources from his already low supply. Eventually, even the potential disappears.

Finally, besides new technology, the discovery of a new fuel base means a new scientific principle has been discovered. The drive towards fusion power and a fu-

sion-based economy will make that very apparent. This has two implications. First, were our workforce to be involved in the various aspects of building a nuclear and thermonuclear economy, whose energy base is fission and fusion, and whose control of materials, medicine, and chemistry in general is at the required level of precision, that workforce

would be qualitatively different. With the implied access to space travel, utilization, and colonization, and many other frontiers we have not yet conceived, the average worker will be at a much higher skill level, and have much more constant input toward improvement.


The second implication of moving to a new, higher, more energy-dense fuel base, is that the previous fuel is revalued. For example, moving to nuclear and thermonuclear right now would put petroleum and natural gas primarily into the more useful, efficient unemployment that they are uniquely suited for, and thus overall more valuable, as feedstock for petrochemicals, plastics and other derivatives, and fertilizer, just to name a few, instead of burning them at 30% efficiency for electricity.

In general, the effects of a newly discovered and activated scientific principle redefine everything in its place. While technological advances will make each worker more productive; the same farmer, with the same skills and technology, becomes more valuable, as he begins to feed a society of people creating a new mankind, instead of one that is working for Wall Street or trying to turn the United States into a Saudi Arabia-style desert oil sheikhdom (which may amount to the same thing).

Therefore, step back and look again at the fracking and other fossil-fuel extraction policies. In terms of economic value, the current practice of employing engineers and others to contribute to creating a colonial raw-materials exporter out of the United States, actually amounts to a net loss. The argument that natural gas, especially aided by fracking, is cheaper than nuclear power, an argument which has been used against building new nuclear plants, is just wrong.³

3. Obama's feared EPA has done its part in creating a backward colonial society, by requiring extensive and expensive Environmental

FIGURE 2
U.S. Natural Gas Exports



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration

Saudi Arabia

Look at the data. Since Barack Obama came in as President, he has promoted the natural gas business in many ways, claiming that it is a clean fuel, though, admittedly, not renewable. Total production has gone way up, and use of water-intense fracking has shot up. Although Obama was lobbied to impose more export restrictions, he has instead, relaxed them, opening the floodgates for exports (Figure 2).

In 2012, Obama boasted that the United States is becoming the Saudi Arabia of natural gas.⁴ If you are familiar with Saudi Arabia, you are probably insulted. If you are not familiar, briefly: Saudi Arabia is infamous for its wealth of oil, which, instead of investing in the development of its people, it exports to fund its many terrorist and irregular warfare operations around the world. In fact, while acting outraged at human rights violations in Syria and other places it has shipped weapons to, it boasts the most backward practices. Just one example, is that women still have no rights. They cannot drive, they cannot be elected to political office, and their big breakthrough is that in 2015, women will finally be able to vote for the first time.

In other words, you should be alarmed that Obama is declaring that the United States is striving to become like Saudi Arabia in any way.

If you are serious about energy self-sufficiency, jobs, a better standard of living, and non-extinction, first take Obama and imperialism out of the political picture, then let's talk.

Impact reports and the like from civil engineers trying to build a dam or anything else useful, while it has either turned a blind eye, or explicitly protected, the frackers.

4. "President Obama Discusses Energy in Colorado," the [White House](#), Jan. 26, 2012.

THE GREATEST CRISIS WITHIN SCIENCE TODAY!

The Meaning of Life As Such

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 19, 2014

The following pages have been motivated by my concern for the momentarily, presently relatively high probability of a virtual extinction of the human species, a threat which has come about through the monetarists' hysteria located essentially in the trans-Atlantic sector's currently, on-rushing plunge toward effects converging on a relatively immediate dive into a planetary thermonuclear war. This horror reflects the effects, centered, primarily, in the present British empire and also the effects upon the United States, of two terms of the U.S.'s George W. Bush, Jr. and, additionally the two elected terms of the Barack Obama Administration. Mr. Obama must be, in fact, immediately removed from office for abundant, and also urgent reasons of cause.

The drive to thermonuclear warfare by the current British Empire and the current Obama administration, has been a product of a trans-Atlantic plunge into an actually lunatic financial bubble, which is comparable, historically, to the infamous Dutch tulip bubble, and also the "9-11" attack on the United States conducted by British Empire-backed accomplices of a plot organized in large part by the man who was already the Saudi Ambassador to the United States, inside the United States itself, who was operating on U.S.A. ground, in the process of organizing the attack. The period of both the Bush and Obama administrations emerged with the termination of the U.S.A.'s Glass-

Steagall Law, a change in U.S. law, which prompted the greatest financial bubble in all modern history, as reflected in the effects of "Bail-out" and, then, "Bail-in," inside the Wall Street-directed trends inside the United States, and the closely related lunacy of the formation of the European Union, under British management in Europe. If we were to escape that now still lurking menace to all humanity, President Obama and his morally and intolerably offensive violations of the intention of our Federal Constitution must be terminated henceforth. The rising, and wholly justified clamor for his immediate removal from office must, therefore, be served.

This present development in-the-making, prompts my following reflections on the relevant social processes which are expressed in the referenced, trans-Atlantic mass lunacy expressed in the mass-murderous policies of the administrations of both the Imperial British monarchy's presently continued policies promoting a practice of global genocide, and the complicity with those policies of de-facto witting genocide under President Barack Obama.

The Subject of the Human Mind


Therefore, in furtherance of the aforesaid intention, I state the following:

In a few earlier reports, I have referenced the roots of incompetence in scientific matters, which are still rampant: as typified by the mistaken notion of sense-perception as wrongly presumed to be a basis for defin-

ing scientific evidence in respect to matters of physical fact. The most direct approach to an understanding of that error, is to refer to the nature of the discovery of any actually universal physical principle, that as distinct from what have been, in fact, empirically deductive methods for defining conceptions. This is an issue which goes to the rarely considered, but actual fundamentals of physical science, as, also, similarly, to the matter of valid examples of Classical artistic composition.



Smithsonian.org



creative commons/BruceBlais

Not only is the unique noetic quality of mind of mankind not defined by the brain, but human noetic processes are inherently social. Here, a contrast between the developed brain, and the process of human social interaction that develops intelligence, shown by Abraham Lincoln's reading to his son Tad.

There are various, actually useful approaches to treating the fallacy of beliefs in sense-perception per se, but, as I have already approached the same subject, on earlier occasions, that from the necessary understanding of the connections and differences expressed by comparison of the human brain and the human mind: it were better to compare the two such, following choices of approach to the issues to such matters at hand.

It is mankind itself, who creates, chiefly by willful choices of means, those changes in what we call "nature," which are responsible for the actual achievements on behalf of mankind's specifically increased power to change the Earth environment willfully, as to the increase of mankind's willful increase of the relative energy-flux density of man's power to change the ordering in nature, upwards, in and of itself. That is the fact which, most clearly and directly, defines mankind's distinctive nature.

I. Which: Brain or Mind?

Also, times have changed in other ways, either known to us, or now to be immediately considered.

Mankind's increasing awareness, of the implications of nearby encounters with asteroids, relatively, that sometimes frequently, and, otherwise, occasionally; also, the approach of deadly comets, compels the

sane among us to recognize, increasingly, that truth does not lie within the provinces of a mere Earthly sense-perception, and, therefore, absolutely not within the bounds of mere human sense-perception as such. Actually, in fact, it never did. The fact that we either can, or probably could defeat the threats from asteroids and, more distantly, even, perhaps, comets, merely illustrates a general notion of mankind's relationship to the universe which we now experience on and near Earth, as such.

The most of the considerations, which my just-stated remarks imply, is that the essential quality of a valid science, lies, uniquely, for us, as human beings, within the difference between animal sense-perception (and its immediate animal-seeming likenesses, contrary to the mind of man); but, moreover, within a qualitatively higher, and also a different domain; that of the uniquely noëtic qualities of the notion of an actually superior, more general ontology, an ontology uniquely specific to what are the intrinsically *noëtic* foundations of the powers specific to the human mind.¹

1. My record of recurring, successful forecasts of future changes in trends of direction, of turns from previously current human behavior in societies, implicitly defines the necessary changes in characteristic direction of the meaning of properly current human behavior, for better, or, often, otherwise, for the worse: as for the cases of both the U.S. Administrations under the Bush-Cheney Presidency, and under the even more depraved, Obama Presidency.

While the human brain, so defined, has features of a type commonly necessary for all the relatively higher forms of animal life, the human mind expresses presently crucially little known, additional qualities unique to the human mind itself, qualities which go way beyond customary, but intrinsically incompetent doctrine respecting the mere animal brain as such, in general, but, are absent in all known other, specifically animal life. All of this, lies within, and defines the specific assortment of bounds of the principle of life *per se*, rather than being mere sense-perception, as such: the ontologically essential distinction, and higher quality of category unique to human life, is contrary to all other creatures presently known to us, otherwise.

This crucial difference, from even merely popular scientific doctrines taught generally, today, is located in *the uniqueness of the effects specific to the designated human mind, the added, so-called noëtic principle, which resides beyond the function of mere sense-perception as such, and is, yet, the essential, universal difference of the human mind from the properties of all forms of mere animal life. It is, in fact, more a reflection of the behavior among the membership of the human species, rather than merely a property of the individual personality, as such. In short, the mystery of specifically human noëtic powers, is social, rather than contained within the mere individual brain itself.* That, in principle, is the proper definition of a distinction of *mere brain*, from the higher category of *human mind*.

Hence, the profound distinction of actual human knowledge from the mere evidences supplied by mere sense-perception. The essence of the human personality, lies in mankind's characteristic distinction from all the inferior forms and classes of life, as expressed in *the specially noëtic potential unique to the inherently social quality of the human mind as such.*

The Mind of Prometheus

The set of the characteristic, seemingly genetic-like, categorical differences, has been what is known as the difference in characteristics of *the Prometheus type*, contrary to the characteristic imperialism of the Zeusian type; or, the unique meaning of fire for the practice of our human species. "Fire," properly understood as a principle of human action, is the essential, and practical manifestation of difference, of man from beast. The Zeusians, and their likenesses, hence, whether as Roman or British empire, typify the evil ones, whose specific zest is to remove the human species' unique

quality of actually human creativity. The standpoint of the specific criminality of Zeus, is the true social-scientific principle of evil *per se*: the evil whose outstanding expression has been displayed *systemically* in the particular cases of the Roman and British empires, this far.

However, the reality of the difference, is not exactly the existence of fire as such, nor even the otherwise, highly essential role of the use of the principle of fire as customarily defined at present. *The difference lies within the intention to use fire in a nominally specific Promethean mode: a mode which is unique to a certain cultural characteristic shown in mankind, as the Promethean type, as contrasted with the inherently deplorable behavioral characteristics of the Zeusian, or true oligarchian types, that as, most notably, the Roman empire, and its explicit successor, the specifically evil British empire which is the truly hereditary enemy of the existence of our own United States.*

The so-called "oligarchian," or, the same thing, "Zeusian" stereotypes, occur in the form of a systemic quality of disease, rather than innate characteristics of systemically biological variations, otherwise. The Zeusian so-called "prototype," is a conditioned feature of psycho-pathological aberration in the behavior all-too common among the human type; that is to be associated, otherwise, with *an intrinsically, chronically criminal type of personality*, a state suggesting some form, or, an equivalent in effect, of a mode of induced "brain damage," as by the effects of exposure to certain classes of drugs which tend to produce the degradation of that quality which were otherwise designatable, as the healthy powers of the human mind.

Mind as a Social Process

Implicitly, the process of development of the human individual foetus, infant, and child, into adolescence and youthful maturity, can not be properly overlooked in our seeking to locate the sources of defects occurring in the behavior of the human mind's role as being a social process, rather than within the bounds of the biology of the human individual as such. The defects in human individual behavior, must be traced in bio-physical disorders, but also, generally, the functions of the human mind which are to be located primarily in social, rather than particularly individual-biological features of the human brain-function. Generally, the dysfunctions, at each level of maturation, will be social, in one way, or another.

To sum up my argument this far, this must be not be

only the deficient view of the human society as one organized as a higher form of the model of the well-organized ant-hill, in which not all individuals are “equal” in behavior simply because they conform to a general class of type. Except, that mankind should never be considered as being a mere social ant-hill. The essential difference lies in the human quality of the apparatus represented as the effect we must recognize, in practice, as the, specifically, actually human mind.

My Relevant Experience: A Discussion

It is fairly well known, among those associated with me, and, as also, others, that much of my life, my adult life, more emphatically, has been occupied with a *carefully corrected evolution of the notion of mind as such*, as to be considered, presently, as a higher authority than could be competently the mere sense-perceptual presumptions respecting the human brain: hence, my frequent warnings of a danger present among those who are misled into the often mistaken belief in mere human mere sense-perception, as such.

My own experience on this particular account, has been, primarily, the obviously impaired mental defects of the minds of those persons who claim that there is no specific knowledge of the actual future; whereas, all of my achievements related to predictable expressions of foresight into the future, of which there have been documented examples in my own case, have been confirmed and corroborated, in each instance, by a fairly estimated margin of what might be best termed “reasonable approximations.”

Two matters of actually principled distinctions, are notable in my experience as a physical economist, chiefly reportable experiences of firm commitments to a future development within, usually, five years, or less. All those occasions have been publicly confirmed as valid, by me.

Generally, the point here, is, that the time has now passed to treat the mere devices of human perception as being a standard of truthful sources of satisfaction for mankind’s needs. The subjection of the topics of science to the virtual-damage of treating science as if in the modes of contemporary styles in literature, has become, in effect, a threat to the future of mankind. There is an available method for better standards in addressing the present and future domains of a better treatment of the crucially important goals of science. The fault to be corrected, is essentially readily accessible for use, and has the advantage of promoting prog-

ress in the fight against the presently murderous effects of contemporary, merely descriptive methods for the translating of science into conversion to accord with practice of the uttering of merely habituated jargon.

Examples of my point, are to be found within the following elements of my present report here. I am a specialist in the implications of an actual science of physical economy, which is an essential, if usually suppressed professional incompetence in the repeatedly proven malpractice of so-called “economic policies” practiced widely, generally, and currently, world-wide. The conception of the true design of the human mind, has been the root of mankind’s plight, repeatedly, still presently. That error, must, urgently, corrected here and now.

The point is explained within the bounds of the following text.

II. On the Subject of Forecasting

My first such forecast of a precise case, came in the middle of the 1950s, in my professional capacity as an actually effective forecaster in my work as an executive in management consulting, since that time, and repeatedly later, over the span since approximately a year and a half from presenting a uniquely confirmed forecast within the specified the interval of the forecast’s actual, and unique, realization.

A similar pattern appeared in a forecast which I presented in 1961; my more spectacular success occurred between 1968 and 1971, exactly in Summer 1971, in fact. Another case of significance, came in my 1983-4 forecast of a breakdown, within five future years, of the Soviet economy, a forecast met virtually “on the dot.” There have been several comparable qualities of forecasts since, as have been demonstrated during the most immediate series of cases.

However, it is also necessary to specify the fact, that my earliest awareness of this phenomenon in forecasting, is to be credited largely to the fact, that I had resisted the common practice of schools, from grammar school, through university education: teaching the victims (in particular) what they are taught to believe about the future. The absurd notion, that the future of culture can be identified as a matter of a mere narration, is a typically monstrous quality of scientific incompetence, common to the expression of the aberrant popular opinions encountered as in a form of highly dangerous ex-



flickr/Jon's pix

The dominant factor responsible for the suppression of progress since the deaths of FDR, and then Kennedy, is the role of the British Empire, the greatest source of evil throughout the planet. Ignoring the Empire's satanic influence is the "principal root of the errors of judgment of the United States and Russia," LaRouche argues.

pressions of clinical, public insanity, as by the leading academic and other proponents of such dogma as that.

However, the difficulty which I have experienced, thus, now over many decades, this far, is the fact of *the generalized, both popular and academic forms of ignorance of the actual implication of mind as a distinction from the deductive fallacy of mere sense-perception.* The true meaning, and practice, of physical science, is the essence of a specifically human morality, as opposed to the characteristic of the cannibals, who have been, much more beasts, than people.

For example: "What cannibal has intended to eat you, or, kill you as a matter of a culling of the human herd, as by Queen Elizabeth II, on the presumption that you are "a mere underling?" Or, who believes that society must discard you, personally, or even generally, as representing a superfluous existence, in the interest of birth control, or, in many kindred types historical cases, as the current British imperial Queen, has done, so flagrantly? The notion of population-reduction, a view which the current Queen Elizabeth II has prescribed as a kind of massive genocide for the population of the planet as a whole. Only inherently evil persons believe in such practices, and, under appropriate pressures, may not actually eat you, but will have your personal life-span curtailed, almost impromptu, even immediately, in the manner of a typically modern Dutch Treat, particularly if you are a visitor who has reached the age of 70 years.

On the Subject of Human Sanity

The principle of human sanity, is expressed, most notably, in a commitment to the realization of the human species' inherently voluntary advancement to higher orders of energy-flux density, per capita, and of the role of increased energy-flux densities in production of the higher ordering of the future. Adherence of the so-called "Green policy" popular among many in the U.S.A. today, even some university professors, is a mark of moral and practical mass-insanity trends, currently. The acceptance of that "green" doctrine, or its related implications, is tending to destroy the United States of America. Much of the blame for this must be blamed on the evil doctrines of the current British, pro-Zeusian world-empress, Elizabeth II, with her schemes of accelerated depopulation

of this planet.

These faults in behavior which I have implicitly condemned in these pages, this far, reflect the vividly Zeusian trends which have been accelerated and continue to be accelerated, now, in, most notably, the trans-Atlantic regions, as, most notably in Europe and in our United States itself. The ancient Zeus-versus-Promethean thus continues, with a recent upsurge of the Zeusian trait, recently.

Now, Back to Science as Such

The scientific issue, which I had posed in the form of an implied question, in my opening remarks above, is made clear, by a more efficient attention to the roots of progress of our human species, which tend, fairly identified, toward the acceleration of per-capita human progress, as measured in increased energy-flux-densities of progressive physical, per capita, advances of human productivity. Or, in other words, increased energy-flux-density, per capita, and per unit of area and volumes employed. That is to say, for the sake of science, the circumstances of this experimental setting, require that we must shift our attention, away from attention to the systemically defective human specimens, to the relatively viable cases.

The most vicious of the evils against the human species, is a ruse which lurks under the criminally evil nonsense of such types as that "historical narrative" practice of obscenity which has been lately projected from

among the perversions of anti-United States propaganda, as has been done by treasonous elements of claimed representatives of some U.S.A. personalities as such. My argument on this account, goes directly to those immediate concerns identified here, immediately above.

The point to be made, on this account, goes directly to my concerns respecting the meaning which I have adduced, as stated here, as a great, underlying principle implicit in the patriotic outlook on those lessons from recently contemporary historical experience which removes much of the early, relatively more cloudy rims of our still otherwise already valid U.S. Constitutional principle.

The essential history of mankind to date, is exactly, that truth is best stated in exactly these present terms. In other words, the greatest cause for misjudgment of the actually implicit intention of the very existence of our United States, has been the error of failing to recognize the source of the actually satanic quality of evil consistent with the existence and international influence of the practice of the British Empire, which has been the true Dutch-Anglo tyranny presently still composing the actual British Empire which is the authentic principal heir of the legendary Zeus, and that legend's Roman and British empires.

The Remedy

On this account, the principal roots of the errors of judgment of the United States and Russia, for examples, today, are the apparently ironical folly of both those nations (among sundry others) to recognize the fact, that the British Empire, and its Dutch roots of evil, have been the greatest regime of systemic evil on this entire planet, since the suppression of the Golden Renaissance associated with such names as Filippo Brunelleschi, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, and their follower, the great scientist of Solar space's first actually systematic discovery, Cusa follower, Johannes Kepler.

During relatively ancient times past, into the modern era on this planet, there have been often massive shifts in the quality of destinies among peoples.

Whereas, that during earlier times, such as those of ancient and modern societies, as against what we would consider, properly, today as modern, contemporary history, the older periods of human existence, were separated in a very large degree, to occasional contacts on a limited relative scale, by principles of transportation, and the like. In the present technology, those conditions

no longer exist as adequate; since the effects of the intentions of Bernhard Riemann, expressed within Germany, and, in revolutionary progress in his influences within Italy, the new-old societies can no longer pretend that we do not occupy, essentially, one, single, global space on Earth. We are far beyond the bounds of the originally perfected periodic table of elements, deep into the high orders of relative magnitude, as that was typified by such as Max Planck and Albert Einstein. The evil influence of the evil, British Bertrand Russell has been the virtual Satan of the world during the Twentieth Century, and the present new Century at this present time.

The process of change, thus expressed by me (and by numerous others) at this present date, means that the old notions, other than the Classical-cultural ones, no longer have much bearing on the need for a unity of a converging law in principle, under conditions in which the notion of a sovereign nation, is inherently cultural, more than political otherwise. The matter of choices of languages, and the historical implications of relevant language-cultures, as social cultures in fact, are the foundations of distinguishable national sovereignties, the principle factor to be searched out, and praised as practice, now.

III. Since W.W. II, Until Now

The possibility of realizing that reality, had come closest, heretofore, in the closing phases of so-called World War II, in the efforts to define such a form of relationships, as in the discussions between President Franklin Roosevelt and Josef Stalin. It was the death of President Franklin Roosevelt, which had permitted a British imperial faction into exerting control over the international policy-shaping of our United States. Indeed, the British domination over our United States was instrumentalized under an actual reign of terror under the catalogued rubrics of the wretched and evil U.S. President Harry S Truman, a Wall Street (therefore, British) tool who led, officially, in a reign of terror of a certain kind, under the Truman Administration.

The interval of the Truman Presidency, by supporting the launching of the Winston Churchill-Bertrand Russell attempts to launch a nuclear war of terror against the war-weary Soviet Union, did not actually bring the world to the World War which the most evil thoroughly man of a century, Russell, was enabled to



Discussions between FDR and Stalin (shown here at Yalta, 1945) had begun to lay the basis for international collaboration—but once the two leaders had died, the British Empire went to work to sabotage it, mostly successful until now.

effect what was called a “witch hunt” for the case of our United States.

That evil sickness could have been reversed. President Dwight Eisenhower, like France’s Charles de Gaulle, each in their relevant times, and in concert, too, had sought to prevent the Churchill-Russell evil from being continued. U.S. President John F. Kennedy, had successfully thwarted the British dupe, Nikita Krushchov, in the effort to foster the utterly Satanic Bertrand Russell’s backing of Krushchov’s attempted launching of a border-line Thermonuclear war, but, therefore President Kennedy was assassinated in a fashion which coincided with the Dulles tradition; immediately, thus, the British imperial intention was expressed in the launching of a general Anglo-U.S.A. warfare in Indo-China. With General of the Armies Douglas MacArthur’s influence lost, the U.S.A. was sent down, deeper and deeper into ruin and despair, the circumstances in which, despite the efforts of Presidents Ronald Reagan and William Clinton, they had not succeeded in bringing the real United States into a true state of freedom from British pollution, to the present date. The British Empire had, thus, nearly destroyed the sovereignty of the United States and its population.

The British Empire had made both the United States and former Soviet Union, and the resumed sovereign state of Russia, virtually inept, as the Soviet Union had collapsed, when lost in a lingering war of bloody futil-

ity in Afghanistan. Indeed, I had warned, in the context of my part in my personal negotiation for a diplomatic agreement with Joint Soviet-U.S.A. (and others’) pre-agreement to cooperation of the Soviet Union and the United States (among others) to the Strategic Defense Initiative which I had participated in drafting and coordinating, on behalf of President Reagan and the relevant, most senior intelligence experts who sought to redress the virtually criminal blunders consequent upon the assassins of President John F. Kennedy, his brother Robert (then about to be, virtually the next President of the United States), and the failed-attempt to complete an attempted successful assassination of President Ronald Reagan.

The new Soviet regime, which had taken over, acted under the influence of long-standing British imperial sympathizers in the Soviet leadership, to destroy itself under the guidance of its new leaderships.

As I had forecast at that point, I warned that the Soviet Union must either abandon its strategic folly in its recurring silliness of preferring ties to the British Empire, over its own national, and generally human interests, rather than recognizing the very large factor of British implicit agents in the Soviets’ own camp, as in the case of the avowed enemy of the Soviet Union, the British-controlled and trained virtually typical British, Bertrand Russell agent, A. Oparin. The Soviet Union folded, exactly as I had forewarned, five years earlier.²

Thus, the British empire fooled and f...d both the United States and the Soviet Union (and Russia, itself, too), up to about my last personal trip to Russia. It is time for both the United States and Russia, today, to come to reckoning of their partial blindness to the truths of their respective histories on that present account.

We have now entered into an entirely fresh state of world affairs; all that is most essential to be done immediately, is to bring an end to the continued existence

2. The Bertrand Russell doctrine adopted under Russell’s oversight, pretended to define principle of life within an actually dead philosophy for chemistry, as opposed to the great hero of modern scientific progress, the great scientist, Vernadsky of that time.

of the British Empire, and that, for the sufficient evidence of the sheer evil of the reigning Empress, Elizabeth II, and the monstrous evils she has, for example, fostered and festered inside my own United States. This would require the restoration of the respective sovereignties of the formerly sovereign states of Europe, and for Scotland, if the Scots wish it to be done; sometimes a well-delivered embarrassment to a once arrogant bastard, might improve his nature respecting other people's rights, with a general broader benefit for all considered parties.

Since the British Empire is now presently on the brink of a virtual inevitable chain-reaction collapse into relative bankruptcy, in its present form, let England toddle along on its own merits for a time; the stroll might be not only interesting to spectators, but to the thus freshly empowered citizens of a rejuvenated Britain, themselves.

IV. The Future Law of Mankind

Heretofore, most of the time, nations have tended more to falling into their future, frequently catastrophically, rather than choosing it effectively.

In fact, the recurring theme of a Zeusian tradition, such as those modeled in similarities, on the Roman and presently British empires, have taken turns, so to speak, in dominating either large parts of the planet, or by its attempted absolute tyranny over the whole, as presently in the present case of the British imperial tyranny, and its attached, implicitly treasonous elements typified, in fact, by the methods of practice associated with the roles of young Bush's mentor, "Dirty Dick" Cheney, and the case of Cheney's still ever-lurking mentor, and that of his sometime stand-in, Barack Obama.

Sometime, less foolish Americans than until-now, will once again remember what living inside our United States must be. That would be taken for God's Grace, by many American citizens and their future progeny, and, without doubt, fairly so.

What had been chiefly missed by more recent generations than my own (for example), has been the actually proper meaning of living into the future, in the sense of the existence-in-fact of a certain kind of proffered relatively immortal future, as the cases of such scientists as Max Planck and Albert Einstein have actu-

ally represented. In other words, you may think, mistakenly, of course, in the present; if you were really as intelligent as you might wish to brag, you are, in fact, a poor fool. You, as an actually living identity, live only in your future, until the moment you have actually died. That fact, is key to the actual notion of human immortality, that of those Saints who have passed on to us, our own living future. The principled nature of truly efficient discoveries of physical science, and of Classical artistic contributions, are to be admired, with very good reason, as the essence of human, the permanence of what the immortals-in-fact, have done. Man's choice of destiny is precisely that: what have you lived to do, which is human by virtue of immortal progress of the human condition which each must contribute to our relative next-in-place to do necessary good.

That idea, as such, is not alien to any truly decent living person. It is the proper goal; the question is, how might we realize it? The proper response to that question, is a matter of acquired foresight into the making of a future which were not merely an echo repeated from the past. The special quality of spirit, thus, is the increase of the power of the living individuality, to contribute a good which either revives what the past's errors, have denied us, or was actually previously unknown, but needed progress in the form of an achievement never known for actual practice earlier.

What I have learned to hate, the most, is an educational system, which teaches down to the students who work as if they were mere puppets created by the doctrines of their teachers and their likes. What the student needs, is not material rewards, as such, but to create some new good idea of principle for society. Such an achievement is rarely self-evident; it assumes the form of a process of progression toward truly accomplished discoveries of what is the necessary to know. The underlying, actually necessary feat, lies in the becoming, not a merely particular result.

The greatest crime in geometry for example, was the teaching the evil dogma of Euclid: that is like killing the mind of the child, before it were permitted to be born. Yet, that particular offense, has been made, repeatedly, even among many accredited scientists. The mission of man in life, is to make the germs of the future of a mankind yet to become. That is, for each among us, the greatest reward we inherit as having been what must become ourselves, for the future which shall remember what we will have done.

Editorial

Remember Bertrand Russell?

In 1946, and throughout the following period, until the Soviet Union had gotten its own atomic weapons, British oligarch Lord Bertrand Russell publicly advocated thermonuclear brinkmanship against the Soviet Union: Either the Soviets submit to a world government which would uniquely have nuclear weapons, or the West would carry out a “preventive nuclear war” against it. Russell said he hoped that the Soviets would “give in,” but noted that “you can’t threaten unless you’re prepared to have your bluff called.”

Fast-forward to the current strategic situation, and you will find an eerie, civilization-threatening *déjà vu*. Russell’s British Empire still exists, although now largely in the form of a global financial imperium headed by the Queen Empress, and it is demanding that Russia capitulate to its program of genocidal looting, or face nuclear war. The Western installation of the Nazi coup in Ukraine was a provocation intended to advance that imperial intention of world domination and Zeus-style depopulation.

Unlike President Eisenhower, however, who repeatedly refused the advice of some of his military advisors to use nuclear weapons, and repeatedly bucked British demands—as in the Suez crisis—Barack Obama is playing by the British script, moving step-by-step to the threshold of Armageddon. *And the Russians know it.*

Nor will the Russian government “give in,” as the Zeusian grouping in the British oligarchy fantasizes. Well aware that the Obama Administration, like that of Cheney-Bush before it, is moving systematically to encircle it and render it defenseless, the Russians are seeking war avoidance through cooperation, but also repeatedly making their “red line” very clear.

Take the statement by then Russian Chief of General Staff Nikolai Makarov at a Moscow conference on the NATO ballistic missile “defense” system in early May 2012: “Considering the destabilizing nature of the BMDS, specifically the creation of the illusion of being able to inflict a disarming first strike without retaliation, *a decision on the preemptive use of available offensive weapons will be taken during the period of an escalating situation...* Deployment of new offensive weapons in southern and northwestern Russia, capable of firing on the anti-missile installations, and including the emplacement of Iskander missile units in the Kaliningrad region, is one possible option for destroying the BMD infrastructure in Europe” (emphasis added).

Russia *cannot* give in without surrendering its sovereignty—and only lunatics believe that it will do so.


As Lyndon LaRouche has pointed out time and time again, the alternative couldn’t be clearer. *Remove* the British influence over the Presidency by impeaching Barack Obama; neuter the bankrupt British Empire itself through implementing the Glass-Steagall law just as FDR had done; and start a new world system of collaboration on the basis of extending long-term credits for Great Infrastructure Projects at the highest energy-flux densities possible—such as the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA).

Need we remind you again of the Hitler precedent? His genocidal intent was always clear, but those who decided to “wait” until it played out often didn’t survive to defeat him. With the stakes of human civilization’s survival before us today, we *can’t* wait. Impeach Obama and ram through Glass-Steagall now!

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360 for one year
 - \$180 for six months
 - \$120 for four months
 - \$90 for three months
 - \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa
 Discover Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____