

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

March 14, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 11

www.larouche.com \$10.00

Obama's Executive Order: Dictatorship for War
It's Not About Ukraine; It's About Thermonuclear War
Timely Postscript: A Doctrine Concerning Man, Again

'J'Accuse!' LaRouche Letter Demands Obama Impeachment



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz

Editor: Nancy Spannaus

Managing Editors: Bonnie James, Susan Welsh

Technology Editor: Marsha Freeman

Book Editor: Katherine Notley

Graphics Editor: Alan Yue

Photo Editor: Stuart Lewis

Circulation Manager: Stanley Ezrol

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg

Economics: John Hoeffle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher

History: Anton Chaitkin

Ibero-America: Dennis Small

Russia and Eastern Europe: Rachel Douglas

United States: Debra Freeman

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: Javier Almaria

Berlin: Rainer Apel

Copenhagen: Tom Gillesberg

Houston: Harley Schlanger

Lima: Sara Madueño

Melbourne: Robert Barwick

Mexico City: Gerardo Castilleja Chávez

New Delhi: Ramtanu Maitra

Paris: Christine Bierre

Stockholm: Ulf Sandmark

United Nations, N.Y.C.: Leni Rubinstein

Washington, D.C.: William Jones

Wiesbaden: Göran Haglund

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirms@larouche.pub.com

www.larouche.pub.com

www.executiveintelligencereview.com

www.larouche.pub.com/eiw

Webmaster: John Sigerson

Assistant Webmaster: George Hollis

Editor, Arabic-language edition: Hussein Askary

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly
(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,
P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.
(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach
Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,
basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:
eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,
Col Plutarco E Calles,
Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,
Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights
reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without
permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement
#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.
Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

As we go to print, all signs are that we have entered a showdown week, one in which the forces of sanity internationally are pitted against a new Nazi onslaught moving hell-bent toward World War III. Increasingly, the media outside the United States are exposing the Nazi character of the illegitimate coup in Ukraine, and the LaRouche movement in the U.S. is vigorously cracking through the Obama lies in Washington, D.C., against an hysterical response. The combination of lobbying on Capitol Hill, an advertising blitz in Washington papers, and political organizing on the streets and in the institutions is making those lies more and more untenable.

At the same time, Obama is under attack from other forces, including by the head of the Senate Intelligence Committee Dianne Feinstein—who on March 11 virtually accused Obama's CIA Director John Brennan of violating the Constitution, and by the group of Congressmen committed to stopping Obama's coverup for the Saudi-British nexus which carried out 9/11. A press conference sponsored by Reps. Walter Jones and Stephen Lynch March 12 will lay out the case for forcing Obama to release the vital 28 pages from the Congressional Inquiry into 9/11 which will blow up the Saudi connection to that event.

The contents of this issue of *EIR* will prepare you for these coming developments. First, the LaRouche challenge to Obama going out as an ad in the Capital March 12 (*Message from LaRouche*), then the depth of the war drive by Obama, with his Executive Order demanding confrontation with the Russians (*National*), and a full picture of the Russian strategic response to the NATO war-drive (*International*). Our first-hand report on Iran provides a kind of counterpoint, with emphasis on the economic development which could be underway.

In *Economics*, we focus again on the drought/water crisis, with a campaign event by LaRouche candidates Kesha Rogers and Mike Steger, and an interview with a Texas cattle rancher which should convince you that, without NAWAPA, your meat supply is going, going, gone.

No issue would be complete without a more in-depth treatment of the epistemological fundamentals required to solve these crises. This week we feature Lyndon LaRouche's "A Doctrine Concerning Man, Again!" (*Feature*), which will give you vital fresh insight into Obama—and what we who aspire to be truly human have to do about him, and to improve our fellow citizens as well.

[illegible]

LaRouchePAC has placed this ad, signed by Lyndon LaRouche, in the March 12 edition of the Washington Times, specifying the legal and constitutional justification for President Obama's immediate impeachment. As the ad attests, during his time in office, President Obama has lied repeatedly to the American people and the Congress and has subverted the U.S. Constitution on behalf of foreign interests—the British/Saudi axis—and its allies. In his recent adventure in Ukraine, Obama is about to lie the United States into World War III. Those who rationalize and cower from the truth are called to judgment on behalf of the future of mankind.

6 Obama's March 6 Executive Order: Dictatorship for War

8 The Executive Order of President Barack Obama

9 Mrs. Robert Kagan: The Real Victoria Nuland: Flack for the British Empire

Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs Nuland was notorious, even before she was overheard dictating that “Yats” (Arseniy Yatsenyuk) should become the new prime minister of Ukraine. As an aide to former Vice President Dick Cheney, she was intimately involved, along with her neo-con husband Robert Kagan, in advancing the policy of unending imperialist wars.

International

12 **It's Not About Ukraine; It's About Thermonuclear War**

By Helga Zepp-LaRouche. If the EU and European governments do not distance themselves from the "Yats" government in Ukraine, which was brought to power in a coup, and in which the followers of the wartime Nazi collaborator Stepan Bandera play a dominant role, it would not be unreasonable to suspect that they themselves are not averse to associating with Nazis.

14 **Russian UN Ambassador on Nazi Collaboration**

15 **Lady Ashton Knew: Maidan Leaders Deployed Snipers**

17 **International Comments: Nazis Against Russia**

18 **Russian TV Features LaRouche on Ukraine**

20 **Bandar Abbas Conference Report: 'Environmentalism' as Seen from Iran**

Hussein Askary reports from the Iranian 3rd National Conference on Health, Environment and Sustainable Development.

23 **Bandar Abbas: Iran's 'Economic Capital'**

24 **'Striking a Delicate Balance': Rouhani's Iran: Sources of Change**

Economics

26 **Start with Impeachment: Steger-Rogers Town Hall: End Drought with NAWAPA**

A town hall meeting, hosted by the Michael Steger for Congress campaign, titled, "End the Drought: The Benefits of Nuclear NAWAPA XXI for California," kicked off a new phase of LaRouchePAC's election drive. Its theme, as stated in the invitations to the meeting, was "To solve the Texas-California drought emergency, impeach Obama!"

29 **We Want To Feed the United States: End Speculation; Build NAWAPA!**

An interview on The LaRouche Show with Texas cattleman Rich Anderson.

Feature

34 **The Case of a Timely Postscript: A Doctrine Concerning Man, Again**

"Why do we not recognize President Barack Obama as a virtual Nazi in intention and practice, now?" Lyndon LaRouche recapitulates an article published one year ago, then brings it up to date, and offers his current thoughts.

Editorial

49 **'Is Obama Simply Insane?'**

J'ACCUSE!

An Open Letter to Congress From Lyndon LaRouche

The following letter appeared as a LaRouchePAC ad in the March 12, 2014 edition of the Washington Times.

President Barack Obama must be immediately impeached. As the following attests, during his time in office President Obama has lied repeatedly to the American people and the Congress and has subverted the U.S. Constitution on behalf of foreign interests—the British/Saudi axis—and its allies. In his recent adventure in Ukraine, he is about to lie the United States into World War III. Those who rationalize and cower from the truth are called to judgment on behalf of the future of mankind.

J'Accuse! If we are to survive, Obama must be impeached now.

The entire London-Wall Street financial system is hopelessly bankrupt and in its final death spiral. Faced with this imminent disintegration, London and Wall Street are determined to use Barack Obama to militarily confront Russia and China, which, as any sane person can see, risks World War III. This is the actual dynamic driving the crisis in Central Europe. The only way to stop it is to impeach Barack Obama.

J'Accuse! Under the guise of Obamacare, Obama has put in place a system of medical rationing modeled on Hitler's T-4 program. Obama lied that his program would improve the health of all Americans. He said there would be no rationing of health care and those who liked their present insurance and physician could

keep them. His disinformation machine ruthlessly attacked those who demonstrated that Obamacare's IPAB cost-containment provisioning would deny medical care based on cost—effectively killing the very sick, the chronically ill, and the elderly.

It is now admitted that he lied on all counts about his program which generously subsidizes insurance companies and Wall Street. This program, in its intention and implementation, is a crime indictable under the post-World War II Nuremberg Tribunal which defined inadequate provision of surgical and medical care as a crime against humanity.

J'Accuse! President Obama had conducted and expanded wars without authorization of Congress based on lies and deceit. In 2008, Barack Obama campaigned on the promise of ending George W. Bush's purposeless wars. Instead, he has expanded the theaters of warfare using the same lying propaganda techniques employed by Dick Cheney. On March 19, 2011 Barack Obama committed U.S. military forces to a bombing campaign against Libya without Congressional authority. The result was the overthrow by assassination of Gaddafi, accompanied by the expansion of Saudi-directed jihadists throughout the Middle East. Obama went to sleep when the U.S. compound in Benghazi was attacked, and both he and his administration lied repeatedly about that attack to Congress and the American people.

Obama was about to repeat his Libyan intervention

in Syria when a public outcry in the United States and Russia's intervention backed him down. He and his British/Saudi cohorts are re-calibrating to revisit the same war plan for Syria, without Congressional approval, shortly. These policies have put the Middle East in flames and laid the groundwork for perpetual religious war between Sunni and Shia Muslims, resulting in mass genocide.

J'Accuse! Obama has continued a policy of bailing out Wall Street banks and paying for it by looting the productive capacity of the United States, while claiming that he is the worst enemy of Wall Street's fat cats. He has promised Wall Street that he will block a return to Glass-Steagall banking standards and cut entitlement spending, including Medicare and Social Security. In August of 2011, through the Budget Control Act, Obama usurped the powers of Congress with the sequester agreement, setting up a super committee to dictate financial austerity measures with the sequester budget cuts as a backup mechanism. In the face of a net loss of 800,000 jobs and the elimination of 11 million people from the labor force, Obama claims that we are in an economic recovery.

J'Accuse! Obama's Green agenda is causing worldwide food shortages and depleting the nation's water supplies which threaten deterioration in living conditions and death due to starvation. He has refused to take the emergency measures necessary to solve the water and food crises now hitting the nation. While the states west of the Mississippi are in historic drought conditions, Obama has dismantled existing water projects and refuses to fund new ones like the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA). While the world is facing the greatest shortage of food in generations, Obama insists on diverting a huge portion of America's corn crop to biofuels.

J'Accuse! Obama has threatened the nation's economic future by destroying its technological capacity. He has dismantled the most advanced space exploration programs of NASA. He has cut the funding for fusion research and development. Instead, he had diverted government funds and resources to green technologies financed by his Wall Street cronies.

J'Accuse! Obama has usurped for himself powers not designated in the U.S. Constitution as if he were a dictator. In his State of the Union Address on January 28, 2014, Obama announced that he would simply forego Congressional approval for major aspects of his agenda and rule instead by executive decree.

J'Accuse! Obama has assumed the power to order and carry out assassinations, including of American citizens, in violation of the U.S. Constitution and international law. He lied that U.S. drone strikes only target top leaders of Al-Qaeda and their allies. Recent revelations in the press show that the Administration has no idea who it is killing through so-called signature strikes, although the killing continues.

J'Accuse! Obama has ordered general surveillance of the American people in violation of the U.S. Constitution. While lying to the American people about the extent of his surveillance programs, Obama seeks jail terms for those who dare to expose his constitutional violations. The Edward Snowden revelations show that every American's privacy is compromised by both the NSA and its partner spies in Britain, and systematic targeting of political opponents through these means has been and is underway.

J'Accuse! Obama is pursuing a policy of thermo-nuclear confrontation with Russia and China when there is no cause for military conflict between the United States and these nations. He has sought confrontation with China with his Asia pivot policy. He has continued and escalated the policy of militarily surrounding Russia through NATO expansion and deployment of missile defense systems in Europe.

This has escalated with the current crisis in Ukraine.

On March 6, 2014 Barack Obama issued an Executive Order declaring that the United States is in a state of emergency and that the United States must take measures against Russia in defense of an illegitimate government imposed by Nazis in Ukraine. Obama has lied systematically about the entire course of events in Ukraine: U.S. Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland dictated who would head the Ukrainian government. The Nazi enforcers who imposed this government have been called freedom fighters in the Obama Administration's propaganda offensive.

Tolerance of Obama's lies and policies has resulted not only in systemic subversion of our Constitution and laws—it has brought the United States to the brink of extinction. Is Congress really more afraid of its financial supporters on Wall Street and Obama's propaganda and money machine than it is of annihilation through World War III?

The time for action is now. Impeach him now.

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Obama's March 6 Executive Order: Dictatorship for War

March 9—With President Obama's declaration last week of a National Emergency as the result of the ongoing Ukraine crisis, the President has moved one giant step closer to declaring an outright dictatorship over the United States. Obama has put himself in a state of "pre-impeachment," declared Lyndon LaRouche on March 6.

His actions also moved the world precariously closer to a military confrontation between the United States and Russia that could lead to thermonuclear World War III. The biggest obstacle to war prevention, LaRouche further warned in discussion with colleagues on March 8, is the cowardice and Wall Street-induced corruption of the U.S. Congress, which is the main obstacle to Obama's immediate removal from office via impeachment or by invoking the 25th Amendment.

In a brief press statement late last week, Obama announced that the Ukraine crisis posed a direct threat to the national security of the United States, and for that reason, he was invoking a National Emergency via Executive Order. In that brief statement, and the Order that accompanied it, the President violated the law by failing to present any findings of fact backing his declaration of emergency.

According to senior U.S. intelligence sources, the Joint Chiefs of Staff had delivered their own recommendations and findings to the President and the National Security Council earlier last week, concluding that the U.S. did not have any direct strategic interests in the Crimean region of Ukraine, but that the Russians certainly did. The Chiefs noted that the United States had

treaty obligations to NATO allies, but that Ukraine is not a NATO member. Russia has vital strategic interests in the Crimea, centered on its Black Sea Fleet base at Sevastopol, which is leased to Russia for the next 30 years, under a 1990s treaty with Ukraine. Sevastopol and the Black Sea are Russia's only access to the Mediterranean.

JCS Chairman Gen. Martin Dempsey reiterated the Pentagon's view in a lengthy interview March 7 with PBS NewsHour. Dempsey emphasized that the Ukraine dispute must be resolved diplomatically, candidly warning, in response to a question from Judy Woodruff, that the crisis could lead to war. Sources have emphasized that, for the Pentagon, cooperation with Russia is vital to the planned U.S. and NATO withdrawal from Afghanistan this year. Forty percent of the troop and equipment withdrawal is conducted along the "northern route" through Central Asia and Russia. There are other vital areas of cooperation, including the ongoing P5+1 negotiations with Iran, the war on drugs and terrorism, and the Syrian and North Korean situations, which require Russian-American cooperation.

Despite all of this, President Obama went ahead with his unconstitutional, dictatorial measure to declare the national emergency. This action by the President is consistent with his declaration, during his State of the Union address in late January, that he will rule by decree and Executive Order, even in situations where Congress has exclusive Constitutional authority. He has made good on his pledge to rip up the Constitution repeatedly since then, including in his re-writing of his signa-

ture Obamacare legislation without going to Congress.

Impeachment Mooted

Rep. Steve Stockman (R-Tex.) walked out of Obama's State of the Union address and told reporters afterwards that he is considering filing impeachment articles against the President for his flagrant violation of the Constitution. On Capitol Hill, there are a half-dozen other Members who have made similar statements and threats over President Obama's repeated illegal actions, including the Libya war, the domestic war-rantless spying on Americans, and his on-going program to assassinate American citizens without due process.

In the past week, the President has not only upped the ante in Ukraine by threatening sanctions against the Russian government, sanctions which were actually incorporated into his Executive Order declaring a national emergency (without naming any specific Russian officials or economic actions). American warships have been ordered into the Black Sea, and American fighter planes have been dispatched to Eastern European NATO member-states as a further show of force/provocation against Moscow. It is well known that personal relations between Obama and Russian President Vladimir Putin have hit rock-bottom in recent months, with Obama boycotting the opening ceremony at the Sochi Winter Olympics, and now cancelling all bilateral diplomatic and military meetings.

In his PBS-TV interview, General Dempsey made clear that, despite the formal cancellations, he is maintaining daily communications with his Russian counterpart, Gen. Valery Gerasimov, to assure that the focus remains on a diplomatic solution and war avoidance. This has been the consistent approach of the JCS, including on the Iran negotiations. According to Congressional sources, Dempsey and the head of the Central Command, Gen. Lloyd Austin, played a pivotal role in convincing the U.S. Senate to delay voting on new sanctions on Iran—sanctions that would have sabotaged the ongoing P5+1 talks and led, almost inevitably, to costly and deadly military conflict with Iran.

As LaRouche has repeatedly warned, no sane American President would be playing nuclear chicken games with Moscow at this time. But Obama is personally a tool of Wall Street and City of London oligarchical cir-



Members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff have made clear to the President that, unlike Russia, the U.S has no direct strategic interest in Crimea; JCS Chair Dempsey, on PBS NewsHour (shown here), emphasized that without a diplomatic solution to the Ukraine crisis, there is a real danger of war.

cles who are desperate over the irreversible and imminent bankruptcy of their global financial system, and who are intent on looting the still economically viable regions of Eurasia. For the financial oligarchy, the splitting of Ukraine from Russia has been a 20-year project, which has now reached the moment of showdown. The imperial bankers are willing to run the risk of global thermonuclear extinction, rather than lose their power over global finance. This British willingness to bring the world to the point of thermonuclear showdown is predicated on the “credible” threat posed by the U.S. nuclear arsenal. And that is contingent on Obama remaining in office as their pliable pawn.

In the past week, LaRouche has been featured on four occasions on Russian nationwide news programs, delivering his warnings about the neo-Nazi character of Ukraine coup and the danger of thermonuclear extinction. By prominently featuring LaRouche—the leading proponent of Obama's impeachment and the reinstatement of Glass Steagall—the Russians are making clear that they are not going to back down to Obama's and London's threats.

In addition to the prominent featuring of LaRouche in the Russian media, the Russian international news outlet RT has featured new evidence that the entire regime-change project in Ukraine was illegal and was dominated by Western-financed neo-Nazi circles that are the direct offspring of World War II-era war criminals from the Stepan Bandera armed movement of Hitler collaborators. Last week, RT aired the audio tape of a conversation between EU Foreign Secretary Cath-

erine Ashton and Estonian Foreign Minister Umas Paet on Feb. 26, in which Paet told Ashton that it was the Maidan protesters, not the Yanukovych government, who fired sniper shots, killing both demonstrators and police, that set off the armed insurrection leading to Yanukovych's ouster and the formation of a rump regime dominated by neo-Nazi groups, including the Right Sector and Svoboda.

The Maidan killings were conducted to sabotage the signed agreement between Yanukovych and the three opposition party leaders, and the foreign ministers of France, Germany, and Poland, aimed at ending the showdown in Kiev with early elections, constitutional changes, and other measures to bring the crisis to an end.

While the Ashton-Paet tape has been widely reported in the Russian media, as well as by some European news outlets, the damning revelations have been covered up by the U.S. establishment media, allowing Obama to continue to focus attention on the Russian actions in the Crimea, ignoring the fact that the EU and the Obama Administration have backed a neo-Nazi coup on Russia's border.

Both Russia and China are viewing the events in

Ukraine as indicative of a larger Western plan for strategic encirclement and regime change in Moscow and Beijing, further driving the world towards war of extinction.

As the trans-Atlantic financial system moves ever closer to a moment of total blowout, the desperation of the London-Wall Street nexus grows, and serves to further underscore that, only by removing Obama from office and reinstating Glass-Steagall—an action that would instantly bankrupt the Anglo-Dutch-Wall Street oligarchy—can war be prevented. Without the pressure on Congress from the Wall Street banksters, Members of Congress could be expected to rise above their current paralysis and move directly for impeachment.

Recent comments of Russian National Railway Corporation head Vladimir Yakunin to Russian news media indicate that the Russians are well aware of this possibility. Yakunin identified the source of the crisis in Ukraine as an “international oligarchy” which is hell-bent on confrontation.

Obama's ouster pulls the plug on that oligarchy and is the key to mankind's survival past the coming days and weeks.

The Executive Order of President Barack Obama

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 6, 2014

False Claims in Fact of Executive Order

1. There exists no competent evidence that the actually fascist faction of the pro-fascist claims to the presently suspended Presidency of Ukraine represents the actual Presidency of Ukraine as an actual entity. It appears to be nothing more than a baseless claim by a mentally disturbed Barack Obama.

There exists one, temporarily suspended claimant to a desire to occupy the title of President, but no actually clearly established claimant. The alleged, false claimant to the Presidency, is a Nazi-rooted faction sustained, in fact, by a violence-prone, currently actively terrorist, pro-Nazi group whose origins are a

component of the actively pro-Hitler-Nazi agency backed by the British intelligence services during the immediately post-war period; Nazi relics who continued their conduct of Nazi-like practices.

For President Obama to support such wretched creatures, is intrinsically alien to the principles of our own United States.

2. The only probable consequence of President Obama's false and dubious claims in this matter, is to be considered in his strange mental behavior expressed in response to a challenge by a questioner on Friday evening, February 28, 2014. No actual facts support President Obama's false pleas in his asserted Executive Order. Might he, therefore, be considered as possibly insane?

3. The effect of the dubious sanity of President Obama at this time, would be, in fact, inevitable Thermonuclear War (#3 in the post-Bismarck series). This evidence, tends to reenforce serious question respecting the actual sanity of President Barack Obama. Who, in fact, is sufficiently mentally deranged to support President Obama in his presently lunatic venture?

The Real Victoria Nuland: Flack for the British Empire

by Stuart Rosenblatt

March 6—Obama’s Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs Victoria Nuland didn’t fade into the woodwork, after she was caught on tape, conspiring with the U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine to carry out regime-change in Ukraine. She’s still at Secretary of State John Kerry’s side, accompanying him on his recent missions in Kiev, Paris, and Rome—as he embraced the Nazi coup in Kiev, and escalated confrontation with Russia in a race toward thermonuclear war.

Nuland was notorious, even before she was overheard dictating that “Yats” (Arseniy Yatsenyuk) should become the new prime minister of Ukraine. As a long-time aide to Vice President Dick Cheney, she was intimately involved, along with her neo-con husband Robert Kagan, in advancing the policy of unending imperialist wars over the last decade. Her role as a cover-up artist in the Benghaziagate atrocity of 2012, while she was serving as the press spokesman for the State Department, also demonstrated her extreme cynicism.

By her actions, Nuland demonstrates that she is fully on board with the policies of her husband, whose 2012 book, *The World America Made*, has been praised by Barack Obama. Robert Kagan, who was directly involved, under Cheney and Bush, in turning the U.S. into an arm of British war-making, is currently a senior fellow at the Brookings Institution and a columnist for the pro-war *Washington Post*, while his policies are deeply ensconced at the White House (Mrs. Kagan appears to be a do-er, not a writer.)

American patriots, take heed: A British imperial policy has taken over the Presidency!

Kagan: British Imperial Mouthpiece

The central thesis of Kagan’s book is that the world the United States has shaped since the end of World War II has revolved around the integrated program of

British-style free trade, “democratic” institutions, and “liberalism,” backed by a strong military order. While grudgingly admitting that the post-war period has been punctuated by continuous warfare, Kagan opines that the last 65 years constitute a “Golden Age” for humanity!

To quote, “There’s plenty wrong with our world, of course, but from the perspective of thousands of years of recorded history, in which war, despotism, and poverty have been the norm, and peace, democracy, and prosperity the rare exceptions, our own era has been a golden age” (p. 4).

The fundamental conflict for Kagan is between the “liberal democracies” and the “autocratic states.” Kagan defines “liberal” in the classic British sense, as in the British liberalism of Adam Smith, David Hume, Jeremy Bentham, the Haileybury School of British economics, and the bitter enemies of the American Revolution. He is a rabid supporter of British free trade, which has shipped out our industries and crushed the productive power of American labor. What Kagan admires in the British outlook is exactly what has destroyed the U.S. economy during the period of the so-called Golden Age. The autocratic states that Kagan attacks are Russia and China, in modern times, and Bismarck’s Germany, in the 19th Century, which Kagan singles out for bitter assault.

For Kagan, only two powers over the past 200 years have practiced the liberal, democratic, free-trade system—Great Britain and the United States: “Few powerful nations have ever perceived their well-being as intimately tied to a liberal free-trade international economy and had the will power to create and sustain it. Indeed, in the modern era of nation states, there have only been two: Great Britain in the nineteenth century and the United States in the twentieth.... The global free-market economy we know today was created by



Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland, (aka Mrs. Robert Kagan), hands out cookies in Kiev's Maidan, during the neo-nazi-led putsch against the elected government of Ukraine. With her is U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine Geoffrey Pyatt.

British power in the nineteenth century, and when Britain faltered between the two world wars, that liberal economic order was not passed smoothly on to a new group of supporters. It collapsed.... It was only when the U.S. took on the task of creating and sustaining a liberal economic order after World War II that it took hold, and then only in those parts of the world not controlled by the Soviet Union or China" (pp. 37-38).

Presenting this Anglo-American empire as the true predecessor of his world order, Kagan identifies its model as Ancient Rome (a true "liberal" state, given the amount of slaughter it perpetrated). "From World War II onward, the United States was indeed the predominant power in the world. It wielded enormous influence, more than any great power since Rome, and it accomplished much" (p. 122).

The British "geopolitical" influence spills out throughout the book. Kagan even inserts the "naval power" argument of Halford Mackinder and Alfred Mahan, apologists for British imperialism. The references are so ingrained that he fails to provide footnotes: "In the case of both Britain and the United States, an order dominated by free markets and free trade reflected the special characteristics and needs of two unusual powers—both advanced industrial democratic capitalist nations and both, crucially, 'island' powers with dominant navies.... [B]oth nations went through long

periods of protectionism [e.g., American System proponents Alexander Hamilton, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, and Franklin Roosevelt] before embracing free trade.... Their powerful navies controlled the seas and dominated the trade routes, while their competitors were generally land powers that depended on them to keep the lanes open" (pp. 38-39).

However, Kagan is forced to admit that nations that are brought into the wonderful world of free-trade-induced economic looting, do not always submit willingly: "A free-market, free-trade global economy does not just come into being. It is a choice, and it is also an imposition [as the mob would say, "It's not personal, it's just business"—SDR]. As the political scientist Robert Gilpin

has observed, 'a liberal international economy cannot come into existence and be maintained unless it has behind it the most powerful state in the system.'"

Kagan underscores the gangster-like, "un-free," side of free trade later in the book. "So the free-market, free-trade economy was not adopted willingly and gratefully everywhere. [Really?] Americans, and Britons before them, might believe that the free-market, free-trade system provided developing nations with the opportunity to get richer. But as one scholar, Robert Gilpin, observed, those 'opportunities' nevertheless had to be 'imposed upon the reluctant partners.... Free trade is the policy of the strong'" (pp. 42-43).

In point of fact, the free-trade system was the enemy of the Founding Fathers of the United States and subsequent great Presidents such as J.Q. Adams, Lincoln, McKinley, FDR, and John Kennedy. But, since the death of Franklin Roosevelt and the murder of Kennedy, the United States has been largely swallowed up into the British free-trade looting system, and has been all but destroyed. This, Kagan calls a Golden Age.

Which brings us to the final point of Kagan's overview of the British-instigated liberal system, namely, that it requires the services of an enforcer. Kagan spends much of the book promoting the "iron fist in the velvet glove" lurking behind the proverbial "invisible hand of the market." The world that America made after Roos-

evelt's death had to be imposed by American military might. The main architects of the strategy were arch-imperialist killer Winston Churchill and his American lackeys, the most prominent of whom was British sycophant Dean Acheson, one of Kagan's personal heroes.

Kagan underscores the need for military might as the key to the oh-so-liberal order. "It is the domination of one vision over others—in this case, of liberal principles of economics, domestic politics, and international relations over other, non-liberal principles. It will last only as long as those who imposed it retain the capacity to defend it. This is an uncomfortable reality for liberal internationalists" (p. 97).


Kagan is not a typical "world government" devotee. He is a firm believer in the need for one nation to reign supreme over all the others, e.g., as the "enforcer" for the British oligarchy. His model is the United States under British-schooled imperialist and "Rough Rider" Theodore Roosevelt. It is not accidental that Kagan is enamored with Acheson, Stimson, and the other TR-trained military interventionists.

This same Dean Acheson nearly blew up the world in the 1962 Cuban Missile Crisis. Only the persistent, heroic intervention of President Kennedy and his brother Robert stopped the drive for war against the Soviet Union advocated by Acheson, Curtis LeMay, and their buddies during the showdown.

Kagan defines the enemy as nationalist governments in general, but the book is filled with one attack after another against Russia and China. Kagan gloats: "As it is, Chinese leaders look around and perceive an American-built wall of containment. . . . Chinese leaders harbor 'a profound concern for the regime's survival, bordering on a sense of being under siege'" (p. 64).

Decline or Denial

The question nagging at Kagan is whether or not the United States is in decline. While admitting that the



Robert Kagan's 2012 book is a paean to the glory of the Anglo-American "Liberal" empire, and its roots in Ancient Rome.

"perception of decline is certainly understandable," he insists it's not true.

No, we are not in decline, he says. In fact, we are in a comparable situation to Great Britain in 1870, when the Empire was at its apogee! The empire began its long decline from then on, as it was unable to maintain its rule. We must avoid that outcome, urges Kagan, by immediately building up our military and preparing to intervene wherever necessary. We must stay strong.

Kagan then employs a lengthy statistical maneuver, using GDP and various measures of "influence," in an attempt to prove that the United States is still the top dog.

The biggest potential threat, he claims, is China, but that can be dealt with, because China has not yet turned its economic

growth into military strength.

Kagan does grudgingly admit, despite his delusions of past grandeur, that the Anglo-American extension of the Roman Empire is perhaps on its last legs. What must be done? We must "shore up all three pillars—politics, economics, and security—of what has made this age, with all its brutalities, a golden age for humanity. . . . Start with the reality that a liberal world order will only be supported by liberal nations. The expectation that an authoritarian China or Russia will lend assistance in supporting democratic governance and liberal economic principles—and the two are intimately related—is folly. Americans and other liberal peoples who benefit from and support the present world order therefore have an interest in pressing for greater democratic and liberal reforms in the world's authoritarian nations, including the two great-power autocracies" (pp. 135-36).

Kagan concludes with a plaintive call for an immediate buildup of American military power, and a drive to ensure the triumph of the crumbling "liberal" world order.

That is the dirty work which his wife Nuland is out to accomplish—and which must be stopped.

It's Not About Ukraine; It's About Thermonuclear War

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

March 8—Before we finally and irrevocably reach the point of no return in mankind's history—the point at which a global, thermonuclear war obliterates the human species—we must act swiftly to correct the absolute disaster that the imperial policy of the EU and the United States has created in Ukraine, and in relation to Russia and China. And we must especially eliminate the roots of this civilizational crisis, before the point of no return is reached.

The EU must admit, through practical offers of cooperation to Russia and Ukraine, that its ultimatum in regard to the EU-Ukraine Association Agreement is solely to blame for triggering the crisis. The EU and European governments must admit that it was a strategic blunder to recognize as the legitimate government the coup by the so-called Maidan coalition on Feb. 22, in which well-known Nazi organizations played a dominant role. And they must use their influence so that the perhaps unsympathetic, but nevertheless constitutionally elected and legitimate, government of President Viktor Yanukovich can implement the measures agreed upon with the opposition on Feb. 21—with the mediation of German Foreign Minister Walter Steinmeier, Polish Foreign Minister Radislaw Sikorski, and, indirectly, French Foreign Minister Laurent Fabius.

Investigate the Snipers


If the EU and European governments ever want to regain some shred of credibility, they must fully support Russian UN Ambassador Vitaly Churkin's demand

for an the investigation [see *Documentation*] of what lies behind the leaked telephone conversation between the EU High Representative Catherine Ashton and Estonian Foreign Minister Urmas Paet, in which he speaks of eyewitnesses who observed that snipers atop the buildings around the Maidan were shooting at *both* sides, the police and the demonstrators. An impartial investigation of this event offers the best chance to end the Goebbels-style campaign of Big Lies and to convict the authors of the coup. The fact that Lady Ashton, apparently on Feb. 25, already knew this information, yet as of March 5 had made no move to correct the public's impression that the government was to blame for the violence, and that she did not demand or initiate an investigation, speaks for itself.

This investigation must be conducted quickly, because, as Friedrich Schiller wrote, "The curse of the evil deed must go on begetting evil." The illegitimate government of "Yats,"¹ which was brought to power in a coup so desired by Victoria Nuland, includes eight members of the Svoboda party.² That government also fired three deputy defense ministers who had resisted

1. U.S. Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland, in her infamous "Fuck the EU" leaked telephone conversation with U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine Geoffrey Pyatt, demanded that Arseniy Yatsenyuk, whom she called "Yats," be made prime minister. See "The Real Victoria Nuland: Flack for the British Empire," this issue—ed.

2. Svoboda is an ultra-nationalistic and anti-Semitic party, the heir to Stepan Bandera's wartime OUN. Called the Social-National Party of Ukraine until 2003, its banner included a swastika until 2004—ed.



YouTube

Right Sector leader Aleksandr Muzychko brandishes a Kalashnikov to rally his forces. In 2007, he pledged to fight “communists, Jews, and Russians for as long as blood flows in my veins.” The Right Sector played the key role in the Euromaidan battles against police.

the ultimatum of the Nazi “Right Sector” to equip their militias with weapons and ammunition, as well as give them access to military training camps. The leader of this organization, Dmytro Yarosh, who fought in the 1990s in Chechnya on the side of the terrorists against Russia, and against whom there is now an indictment in Russia for incitement of terrorism, announced that he will run for President in the May 25 elections. And of course, it was the actions of the Yats government, such as banning the official use of the Russian language,³ that triggered the events in Crimea. This is the kind of thing that can lead to disaster in a matter of hours.

Russian UN Ambassador Churkin, in his press conference, presented facts that underline the sanctimonious hypocrisy of Western politicians and media that have insisted that the opposition in Ukraine was com-

posed exclusively of peaceful people, enthusiastic about joining Europe, and that the figure that many of them revere them as a national hero, Stepan Bandera, had nothing to do with the Nazis. There is comprehensive documentation of the fact that Bandera’s Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) and the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA) participated in mass killings of civilians in Belarus, Ukraine, and Poland. In 1941, these Ukrainian Nazi collaborators constituted the majority of the thugs who, along with the Nazi occupation forces, killed 150,000 Jews at Babi Yar, as well as Gypsies and Soviet prisoners. In 1942, the OUN was involved in ethnic cleansing in Malkinia, Poland, where more than 100,000 people were

killed. Polish historians have documented that 135 different sadistic methods were used to massacre innocent people.

On June 30, 1941, Bandera published a proclamation of Ukrainian independence which said: “The newly established Ukrainian State will work closely with the National Socialists of Greater Germany, which, under the leadership of Adolf Hitler, will create a new order in Europe and the world.”

On Jan. 28, 2010, the Simon Wiesenthal Center published a statement expressing “deepest revulsion” over then-President Viktor Yushchenko’s decision to present the “Hero of Ukraine” to OUN leader Bandera, “who collaborated with the Nazis in the early stages of World War II, and whose followers were linked to the murders of thousands of Jews and others.”

On Feb. 25, 2010, the European Parliament adopted a resolution expressing deepest regrets at Yushchenko’s decision, and urging the Ukrainian leadership to reconsider it and comply with its obligation with respect to European values. This appeal was complied with in March 2010 by the newly elected President Yanukovich, who had been elected in February.

On Feb. 7, 2010, Polish President Jaroslaw Kaczynski declared that the OUN and UPA were responsible for the murder of 100,000 Polish civilians in the eastern regions of the Second Polish Republic. The Poles were killed, he said, because they were Poles.

3. Immediately after the removal of President Viktor Yanukovich from power on Feb. 22, and the physical ouster of deputies from his party, the newly self-proclaimed Parliament repealed a law passed in 2012 that allowed the use of “regional languages,” including Russian, Hungarian, Romanian, and Tatar, in courts and certain government functions in areas of the country where such speakers constituted at least 10% of the population. Although this repeal was vetoed by Interim President Oleksandr Turchynov, the issue is still very much alive. Members of the Svoboda party have threatened to ban the Russian language completely, and strip the Ukrainian citizenship of Russian speakers. Another Svoboda-proposed bill would essentially ban all Russian-language media in Ukraine—ed.

If the EU and European governments do not promptly distance themselves from the Yats government, which was brought to power in a coup, and in which the followers of Bandera play a dominant role, it would not be unreasonable to suspect that they themselves are not averse to associating with Nazis. That is certainly the case with the apologists and EU emissary Elmar Brok.

What Next?

But what does all this mean? Where have we come, 69 years after the end of the Third Reich? Russia and China are already drawing their own conclusions from the fascist coup in Ukraine perpetrated by the U.S. and the EU. China Internet Information Center, the official news agency of China's State Council, published a scathing attack on the "fascist" coup in Ukraine. Correspondent Zhao Jinglun writes, "Svoboda activists hold at least eight top cabinet positions," and "Dmytro Yarosh, deputy head of the National Security Council," who is also "the founder and leader of the Right Sector, a neo-Nazi paramilitary group in charge of security in Maidan Square." Zhao further reports about the snipers who, according to Paet, were probably commissioned by the leaders of the opposition itself, and concludes with the assessment: "It looks like the U.S. strategy is full-spectrum encirclement of Russia." And the official Chinese news agency Xinhua writes about the fiasco that the West has created in Ukraine: "Now that Ukraine is on the edge of total chaos and disintegration, it shows the total failure of this policy. They have created chaos that they have neither the ability nor wisdom to fix."

If the Chinese assessment proves correct, then thermonuclear world war is pre-programmed for the immediate future. But there is still a small window of time in which a full mobilization of the population and reasonable people in the institutions of the U.S. and Europe can force the changes required in the policies of the governments.

The main points are:

- The imperial policy toward Russia and China must be replaced by cooperation with these countries in all strategic areas;
- The true character of the coup in Ukraine must be exposed and admitted, and the underlying cause of the danger of war eliminated: the threat of disintegration of the trans-Atlantic financial system;
- It must be immediately replaced by Glass-Steag-

all-style separation of the banking system and the creation of a credit system in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton.

Translated from German by Susan Welsh

Documentation

Russian UN Ambassador On Nazi Collaboration

Russia's UN Ambassador Vitaly Churkin made these remarks at the United Nations on March 6:

First of all, I'd like to make some comments in connection with a rather startling statement which was made by Ambassador Yuri Sergeyev of Ukraine on March 4. Responding to a question, he said, and I quote: "Russia, at the time of the Soviet Union, tried to press Western allies to recognize what you call Banderists and others as killers. Why did the Nuremberg process not recognize that? Because it was falsified. Because the position of the Soviet Union was not fair at that time."

What I'd like to say is the following. Massive documentary evidence proves that the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) and the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA) collaborated with the Nazis. They took part in mass killings of civilians, and punitive operations against partisans in Belarus, Ukraine, and Poland. On June 30, 1941, with the invasion of Ukraine by Nazi troops, Stepan Bandera issued the Act of Proclamation of Ukrainian Statehood, which declared that, and I quote:

"The newly formed Ukrainian state will work closely with the National Socialists of Greater Germany, which, under the leadership of Adolf Hitler, is forming a new order in Europe and the world."

In 1941, Ukrainian Nazi collaborators provided the majority of the executioners who murdered over 150,000 Jews in Babi Yar in Kiev. Gypsies and Soviet prisoners of war were also executed there. In 1942, OUN was involved in a campaign of ethnic cleansing in Malkinia, Poland. Over 100,000 women, children, and men were slaughtered. Polish historians calculated that 135 different sadistic methods were



UN Photo/Paulo Filgueiras

Russian UN Ambassador Vitaly Churkin

used to kill innocent people. In 1942, the OUN's campaign of mass extermination of Poles and Jews continued.

On Jan 28, 2010, the Simon Wiesenthal Center expressed, and I quote: "deepest revulsion at the decision by the then-President of Ukraine to honor OUN's leader Bandera, who," and I quote: "collaborated with the Nazis in the early stages of World War II, and whose followers were linked to the murders of thousands of Jews and others."

On Feb. 25, 2010, the European Parliament adopted a resolution in which it expressed deep regret for the decision posthumously to award Bandera—the leader of the OUN, which collaborated with Nazi Germany—the title of national hero of Ukraine. The European Parliament called on the Ukrainian leadership to reconsider such decision, and maintain its commitment to European values. Polish President Jaroslaw Kaczynski stated on Feb. 7, 2010, that OUN and UPA, and I quote: "were engaged in mass-murders of Polish civilians in the eastern territories of the Second Republic, killing 100,000 people. Poles were being killed for being Poles."

It is deeply disturbing that the followers of Bandera are openly marching these days in Ukraine, displaying his portraits and fascist insignia, and are wielding considerable political power in Kiev. Attempts to white-wash the OUN and UPA are not only morally repulsive; they amount to encouraging nationalist ideology, extremism, and intolerance.

Lady Ashton Knew: Maidan Leaders Deployed Snipers

March 5—A recorded and leaked phone conversation between EU foreign affairs chief Lady Catherine Ashton and Estonia's Foreign Minister Urmas Paet has blown apart the myths about a dictatorial President Viktor Yanukovych ordering snipers to fire on the Euro-maidan protesters in Kiev on Feb. 20. Many in Ukraine have raised the question of a "third force" driving the events toward the coup of Feb. 21-22, including through the Feb. 20 appearance of snipers who fired against both the police and the crowd.

As former Ukrainian MP Natalia Vitrenko has emphasized in her chronology of the escalation to the coup, it was firing from the Conservatory building, where a part of Right Sector was positioned, that started the furious gun battle that day.

Now the Ashton-Paet conversation of Feb. 26, following Paet's visit to Kiev the day before, confirms that Ashton was well aware of testimony that Yanukovych did not deploy the snipers. RT reported that the recording was leaked by Ukrainian intelligence personnel. It is on YouTube at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZEgJ0oo3OA8>.

Right after Ashton talks about the need for the new cabinet to "reach out to the Maidan" (which had just dictated who should be in that new cabinet!) and opines that Party of Regions members should be told to go and lay flowers where people died on Hrushevsky Street, because people "feel that he [Yanukovych] ordered that to happen," comes the following exchange:

Paet: And second, what was quite disturbing, this same Olga [Bogomolets, a doctor] told as well that all the evidence shows that the people who were killed by snipers from both sides, among policemen and then people from the streets, that they were the same snipers killing people from both sides.

Ashton: Well, yeah, that's, that's terrible.

Paet: So that she then also showed me some photos she said that as a medical doctor she can say that it is the same handwriting, the same type of bullets. And it's really disturbing that now the new coalition, that they don't want to investigate what exactly happened. And there is now stronger and stronger understanding that behind the snipers, it was not Yanukovych, but it was



European Union foreign affairs chief Catherine Ashton and Estonian Foreign Minister Urmas Paet. Ashton knew as early as Feb. 25 that President Yanukovych did not order sniper attacks on protesters, because Paet told her. But she kept the information to herself.

somebody from the new coalition.

Ashton (stuttering): I think we do want to investigate. I mean, I didn't pick that up, that's interesting. Gosh.

Paet repeats that the matter is "disturbing," adding that "it already discredits from the very beginning" the new Ukrainian regime.

Channel One Russia tonight aired an expert analysis of the lines of sight around the Maidan, arguing that in one of the most gruesome filmed images of a group of Maidan activists being shot down from the rear on Feb. 20, the filming and the shooting would have been done from the same location. In other words, they suggested, a team of guerrillas was shooting demonstrators and making a snuff movie of their deaths, to use as a demonstration of police brutality.

Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov called in a press conference on March 8 for an investigation by the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) into who was behind the deaths of dozens of people in Kiev in sniper attacks in Kiev, saying the truth could no longer be "covered up."

"The latest information about the so-called snipers case can no longer be covered up," Lavrov told a news conference in Moscow. "We have proposed that the OSCE [Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe] takes up an objective investigation of this and we will ensure there is justice.

"There have been too many lies, and this lie has

been used too long to push European public opinion in the wrong direction, contrary to the objective facts."

Lavrov also stressed that "the so-called interim government is not self-sufficient, and, to great regret, depends upon radical nationalists, who carried out the military coup."

Lavrov gave an example of how the Right Sector is influencing the current decision-making in Kiev: "The new government's officials asked this Right Sector to approve their choice of ministers and now the Right Sector is dissatisfied. Its leaders say the reloading of the government system in Ukraine has not been completed. They are demanding that each of the ministers go to the Maidan protesters and report on how

well they are implementing the demands of the protest leaders."

Lavrov continued: "Our Western partners, it seems to me, are quite well informed of what they [the radicals] represent, because they are frequent guests there [in Kiev] and among themselves they are sharing extremely alarming impressions. But I guess for political reasons, they try to conceal the facts in public."

U.S. media coverage:

The Obama Administration, Congress, and the mainstream media have been pouring out lies about the situation in Ukraine to cover up the killings of protesters by the opposition forces themselves. Nevertheless, coverage began to take off elsewhere.

On March 5, Michael Salla in the *Washington Examiner* reported that "the hacked call reveals that a mysterious third force was operating to destabilize the previous Ukrainian government run by President Yanukovych by making it appear responsible for the sniper attacks." The author quotes infowars.com reporter Kurt Nimmo linking the West's covert operations against Ukraine back to NATO's Operation Gladio, which supported Nazi groups in the aftermath of World War II.

On March 6, in [Op-Ed News](#), an article titled "Did Right Wing Protesters Hire Snipers to Kill Protesters and Police," by Walter Uhler, reported on the Paet-Ashton conversation, and also on the campaign by the National Endowment for Democracy (NED) and others against Ukraine and Russia.

On March 6, in [The People's Voice](#), author Steven Lendman posted an exposé titled "Evidence Shows Kiev Putschists Recruited Euromaidan Snipers."

By March 8, Associated Press was forced to put out its damage control version of the story, lying that the explosive Paet-Ashton phone call reported nothing but a "feud" between the Russians and Yanukovych supporters on the one side and "new coalition" on the other, which has a "different" version of the sniper shootings of Feb. 20.

International Comments: Nazis Against Russia

While the U.S. news media have downplayed the murderous role of the neo-Nazis in the coup in Ukraine, not all in the West have been so blind and mute. A sampling:

Germany

Former German Chancellor **Gerhard Schröder** (SPD) criticized the Western pattern of anti-Putin propaganda, at an event organized by the weekly *Die Zeit* on March 9, saying that the EU has not "grasped in the slightest degree that [Ukraine] is a culturally divided country, one cannot treat such a country in such a way," namely, pushing it to choose between the EU and Russia. Schröder had attacked EU before the regime change in Kiev, saying that the Europeans had disqualified themselves as unbiased mediators, since they had taken the side of the Maidan against the government.

The "Panorama" program of the first TV network on March 9 featured "Putsch in Kiev: What Role Are the Fascists Playing?" This reports that "extreme-right forces played an important role from the beginning on the Maidan," exemplified by Svoboda, a neo-fascist party "received by the NPD [German neo-fascist party] in Saxony last year," and "seen as Nazi allies [in the 1940s] in eastern and southern Ukraine." Svoboda now controls the deputy prime minister, the chief public prosecutor, and the head of the national security council, Andrei Parubi, and the latter's immediate deputy is "the neo-Nazi Dmytro Yarosh" who formed and heads the Right Sector. Citing Svoboda chief Oleh Tyahnybok's statements about what he called "Russo-Jewish swine," the piece ends by quoting German policy analyst Alexander Rahr: "The Right Sector was decisive for the coup in my view, because it is an organization

which was already ready to go to battle with the police and security forces. . . . It played a great part in the victory of the Maidan."

A similar article was posted at RP Online, beginning: "In Ukraine, right groups have gained considerable political influence during the protests. Their representatives hold important posts in the new government in Kiev." The author details how the Right Sector hacked Wikipedia to change its description of them to the blander "some major media describe them as extreme right or neo-fascist."

On March 8, the ARD-TV network broadcast a program titled "With Nazi Symbols Against Putin: The Right Sector and the Maidan."

Italy

European Parliament Member Pino Arlacchi, former director of the UN Office of Drug Control and Crime Prevention, told Italian Radio 24 on Jan. 27 that the protest in Ukraine is in the hands of Nazis, and called on the EU to stop interfering in the country.

Asked whether he thinks that Europe is adequately supporting protesters "who demand Europe" in Ukraine, Arlacchi answered: "It does not seem at all that they are demanding 'Europe' at this time. The street is in the hands of pro-Nazi radicals and of Svoboda nationalists, who I do not think care much about Europe."

Australia

Former Prime Minister **Malcolm Fraser** in the *Guardian* March 2 cited a column in the same publication by Seamus Milne, who had "described the elements then fighting the [Yanukovych] government as pro-fascist, pro-nazi, anti-Jew."

Fraser wrote, "After the fall of the Soviet Union, many hoped the cold war ideology could be put behind, and that the powers could work for a more co-operative and a better world." NATO, he suggested, was obsolete; it had "done its job."

Fraser said that security for former republics of the Soviet Union and Soviet allies in Eastern Europe could have been achieved in many ways, but "NATO chose to provide that security by moving eastward to the borders of Russia." He traced NATO's eastward moves to George W. Bush's insistence on deploying a BMD system on Russia's borders. "America said this was aimed at Iran. Russia would not have believed that. The west was acting as though the cold war still persisted." Fraser said that Georgia's 2008 war, as well as the cur-

rent situation around Crimea, “grows directly from those early mistakes made by the west,” and from attempts to draw Ukraine into NATO.

United States

Paul Craig Roberts, a former Treasury official in the Reagan Administration, wrote on his website on March 5: “What has happened in Ukraine is that Washington plotted against and overthrew an elected legitimate government and then lost control to neo-nazis who are threatening the large Russian population in southern and eastern Ukraine, provinces that formerly were part of Russia. These threatened Russians have appealed for Russia’s help, and just like the Russians in South Ossetia, they will receive Russias help.

“The Obama regime and its presstitutes will continue to lie about everything.”

Russian TV Features LaRouche on Ukraine

March 6—A five-minute segment on tonight’s prime-time Vesti news program, on Russian state TV’s Rossiya-24 channel, was titled “Lyndon LaRouche: USA Relies on Nazis in Ukraine.” Correspondent Yevgeni Popov reviewed the extent of Western support for outright fascists in Ukraine, anchoring his report on an interview with LaRouche recorded yesterday. The Vesti program has an audience in the millions.

The following is a translation of the Vesti story, which was illustrated with video clips from recent weeks, including Barack Obama’s representative Victoria Nuland at the Maidan, and the attacks by Right Sector guerrillas on the police. (Ellipses indicate parts of the original skipped in the Russian translation; phrases in square brackets were added in the Russian voiceover.)

Anchorwoman: It is obvious that the coup in Kiev could not have happened without direct support from the West. Prominent EU and U.S. politicians openly visited the Maidan and supported the mob’s intention to overthrow the demo-


cratically elected government. On the eve of the Vilnius summit of Nov. 29, 2013, Speaker of the Lithuanian Parliament Loreta Grauniene did so, on an unapproved visit to Kiev during which she spoke from the Maidan stage. The EU’s High Representative for Foreign and Security Policy, Catherine Ashton, showed up at the Maidan, as did former Polish Prime Minister Jaroslaw Kaczynski. The most memorable visit, however, was that of U.S. Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland, who handed out pastries and cookies to the crowd. At a closed White House briefing, Nuland acknowledged that the USA had spent over \$5 billion to support the Ukrainian coup.

Yevgeni Popov heard about the West’s role in an interview with American political elder Lyndon LaRouche.

Popov: Those who decided [President Viktor] Yanukovich’s fate and forced him to flee the capital do not have Ukrainian surnames. After all, it was Polish Prime Minister Donald Tusk who made it public that the President had agreed to early elections. His representative, Foreign Minister Sikorski, was at the Presidential offices on Bankovskaya Street at the time, with [German Foreign Minister Frank-Walter] Steinmeier and [French Foreign Minister Laurent] Fabius. The foreign ministers of Poland, Germany, and France did their job: They convinced Yanukovich to sign the agreement, which the opposition also signed, and then immediately forgot. And it seemed that the Maidan had won its own victory in Kiev.



Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., on the Vesti Russian TV program, March 6, 2013.



The program showed an array of LaRouchePAC publications on American System economics.

Lyndon LaRouche, now 91 years old, is an American idealist, whom even J. Edgar Hoover and Kissinger tried to work over, back in the day. LaRouche, who has been following the Maidan since December, notes that the puppet-masters were not on the scene at the decisive moment. The State Department's Nuland handed out cookies early on, while Senators [John] McCain and [Chris] Murphy snapped their iPhone photos also before the main events.

LaRouche compares the Maidan with a component of a construction set that collapsed after Syria, and now the USA is trying to put it back together. But Ukraine is only an intermediate goal.

LaRouche: The point is that Ukraine is an area [which the West is using] to try to break Russia. If they don't get the break [by diplomatic means], they go [further].

Popov: The task is not a new one and the methods are not original. Beginning in the mid-1940s, LaRouche recalls, after the war, the USA rescued and recruited Nazi criminals and their henchmen, in order essentially to send them back to the same front.

LaRouche: Ukraine is only really a strategic point of concern. It is not a Ukraine crisis. Ukraine has ... a Nazi organization which is still a very active Nazi organization, ... [ever since] the Ukrainian part of the Hitler organization, which specialized in killing Poles and Jews.

Popov: Thus, the American emissaries prefer not to

mention the rampages of Right Sector in Kiev, or that 100 people were killed, at a comfortable distance from Washington. As for policemen in flames from Molotov cocktails—that, of course, was a “provocation.” After all, the Kiev protests were “peaceful.” But the Western strategists didn't anticipate an uprising against the Maidan in the southeast and Crimea. In 2004, they had done all right there, too.

LaRouche: Crimea is a vulnerability for Russia. If you take the whole area of the southern Ukraine region, and the area of the Black Sea, there, and you go into Crimea, you are at the core of the vulnerability of Russia, geopolitical problems for Russia. Russia's effective military defense is vulnerable, in the belly of Russia! It is not really the issue. The issue is to destroy, defeat Eurasia.

Popov: LaRouche doesn't want to hear about “common European interests” in Ukraine. In the post-industrial philosophy, Britain and the USA have the deciding say.

LaRouche: Western Europe is dead! There is no sovereignty in Western Europe! The only thing that gives any sign of life is Germany, ... which really is worried about trying to get a peace agreement.

Popov: Things are more complex in the East. The threat of sanctions against Russia, he finds amusing. He recalls how he himself suffered for his convictions. In 1989, LaRouche was sentenced to 15 years in prison on false charges of fraud. Later, former Attorney General [Ramsey] Clark called the case against the politician a gross abuse of power by the U.S. government. Sanctions against Russia won't get anywhere, LaRouche believes.

LaRouche: Russia has strong allies, like China and India, ... [with a strong economy and] a very capable military capability. That is a powerful region, collectively. And that's what they're trying to attack and destroy. Russia is the point they picked on, to try to crack the whole system.

Popov: Russia's reaction and pushback, which the West didn't anticipate, doesn't mean that Washington is prepared to retreat, LaRouche warns.

The conflict has entered an entirely new phrase. There is the fateful referendum in Crimea, and also reports of foreign mercenaries, already sighted in Donetsk and Kiev.

‘Environmentalism’ as Seen from Iran

by Hussein Askary

This author had the privilege of being the keynote speaker at the Iranian 3rd National Conference on Health, Environment and Sustainable Development, organized by the Bandar Abbas Islamic Azad University, on Feb. 19. Bandar Abbas is the capital of the strategically located Hormuzgan Province in southwestern Iran, and one of the economically fastest-growing areas in Southwest Asia.

The conference was held on the campus of the university, which is a newly constructed complex, designed to harmonize Classical Islamic with ancient Persian/Iranian architecture, creating a beautiful and relaxing environment, combining water fountains and carefully organized gardens with the surrounding buildings. The university was designed entirely by Dr. Shar-iar Mosheri, the first chairman of the university.

The paper I presented to the conference, titled “Iran’s and the Persian Gulf’s Role in Eurasian Development,” and the subject of my speech, were based on the International Schiller Institute’s plan for peace through economic development in Southwest Asia. This plan is focused on creating a joint regional authority, and a plan for fighting desertification, drought, and eliminating the effects of sand and dust storms on the health and economic conditions of the nations of the region, beyond the political differences among them. This would be the first step toward normalization of relations to overcome the dangerous slide into religious and ethnic wars that are destroying Syria and Iraq today. Such a preliminary authority can, in the first phase, include Iran, Turkey, Iraq, Syria, Jordan, and Saudi Arabia, and

define a technical framework for cooperation on outlining the sources of the sand and dust storms, and allocating the human, natural, and artificial resources (water and agriculture), to resist and eliminate this process which affects all nations without regard to religious, ethnic, or national affiliation.

Iran has been hit more than most countries of the region by a sustained period of drought. Especially in the central regions of Iran, water scarcity is a major problem. Dust storms emerging from the Arabian Peninsula have become a major health problem for the population, in addition to the existing high levels of pollution in the major cities. But as the Schiller Institute has argued, these issues, like many similar economic and environmental issues, are not simply local or national issues, but regional and even planetary matters that require major joint efforts, and the deployment of the



Courtesy of Hussein Askary

The author, second from left, was interviewed by Khaleej Fars (Persian Gulf) TV Network, and Fars News Official News Agency. Dr. Vali Alipour, professor of Environmental Engineering and Design, second from right, is interpreting between Farsi and English.

most advanced technologies and methods of infrastructure development, for example. This approach is contrary to almost all proposals that have been made by Western institutions and international organizations, including the United Nations' own environmental organizations, which focus solely on small-scale "conservation" projects that tend to limit, rather than enhance, mankind's power in and over nature.

Positive Environmentalism

I began my speech by emphasizing that the "only way to a better environment is through economic, scientific, and technological development," stressing that the Iranian nation's aspiration for a better environment and social development should be based on the Promethean and Islamic concepts of *Istikhlaf* (that Allah/God gave mankind a mandate for development of the Earth), and *Ihiya'* (reviving a dead land as a religious duty). I contrasted this to, and warned the audience against, the "Green ideology" in the West, which is a dangerous anti-human movement, financed and supported by the same financial and political forces that seek to deny Iran nuclear technology and industrial development, and ultimately to destroy Iran and any other nation that aspires to develop and remain independent.

Interestingly, there were no objections raised against this attack on the "green ideology"! From discussions with the young people gathered at the forum, it became clear that this reaction should not be a surprise. The young people of Iran are clearly interested in solutions that can lead to the betterment of their economic, social, and cultural conditions, and at the same time, give them the opportunity to contribute to global development, and to prove to the world that the Iranian people are not what the Western media has been trying to paint, as either primitive people or victims of a fanatically religious regime.

Simultaneously, there are no illusions about the reality and the obstacles that exist, both internally and externally, to achieving their aspirations. But the general idea is to move positively forward.

Although they were more of a political-strategic nature, the paper and the presentation were very well-received at this scientific conference by the audience, composed of about 200 university students, scientists, scholars, and official of the university, and the General Governorship of Hormuzgan Province. The regional television network Khaleej Fars (Persian Gulf) Network covered the conference proceedings with a short report on this author's presentation and an interview broadcast the same evening.



Courtesy of Hussein Askary

Askary was awarded a "Certificate of Honor and Appreciation" by the Bandar Abbas Islamic Azad University for his paper and presentation.

The issues discussed by the Schiller Institute, and the solutions it presents to economic, strategic, and political problems engulfing the region and the world have obviously captured the open minds of Iranian academic and political institutions, as the Schiller Institute was the only foreign guest at this national Iranian conference. The conference organizers found the ideas of the Schiller Institute and the LaRouche movement in harmony with their national visions and aspirations.

An Ancient, But Ever-Young Nation

Other conference speakers, who were mostly young Master's and PhD candidates and professors, discussed a wide range of issues related to desertification, radiation, forest fires, and waterborne health risks, and solutions. In spite of the economic sanctions imposed on the country for many years, including on scientific and academic institutions, the Iranian scientists and students show an admirable commitment to stay apace with international developments in their fields. Lifting of the sanctions on specific technologies and scientific instru-



Courtesy of Hussein Askary

Professors and graduate students of the Environmental Engineering College: Note the young age of both the professors and students (Askary is fourth from left).

ments and tools would lead to a positive explosion of scientific and technological activities in this youthful country. The thirst for new knowledge and technology is enormous.

American/NATO forces, toward a new system of cooperation among independent sovereign nations, of peace through economic development, as presented by the Schiller Institute and the LaRouche movement.

This conference, and the enormous development in Bandar Abbas, which changes from year to year (see author's report in [EIR](#), April 5, 2013), showed once again the enormous potential of this ancient, but ever-young nation, were economic sanctions to be lifted, and peace established in the region from Afghanistan to Iraq, Syria, and Palestine. It became clear from the lively discussions during the conference, and in private discussions afterwards, that the young people of Iran are looking forward, and working hard toward that goal. What is needed is a shift in world politics away from the current imperial geopolitical wars led by the Anglo-

DVD now available

A New Paradigm for the Survival of Civilization

Second in a Series of Historic Schiller Institute Conferences

New York City
January 26, 2013



Selected Presentations include:

- Keynote: A New Paradigm To Save Mankind
Helga Zepp-LaRouche
Chairwoman, Schiller Institute
- What Is Mankind as a Species?
Bruce Fein
Former Deputy Attorney General
- The Destructive Effects of Religious Extremisms
Prof. Norton Mezvinsky
President, International Council, Middle East Studies
- The Principles of John Quincy Adams
Prof. Cliff Kiracofe
Virginia Military Institute
- A New Dark Age or a Renaissance?
Sean Stone
Filmmaker

\$25 <http://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/schdv-2013-2-0-0-std.htm>

For more information: 1-800-278-3135

Bandar Abbas: Iran's 'Economic Capital'

Bandar Abbas was transformed from an isolated corner to Iran's "economic capital," as the locals like to call it, and potentially, the hub for land and seaborne transportation between Asia and Africa, due to its connection to the Eurasian railway network through the Bandar Abbas-Mashad-Sarkhas (Turkmenistan to land-locked Central Asia) route at the beginning of this century. Trade between Central Asia and the rest of the world through this Persian Gulf outlet has become a major strategic advantage for Iran, which has been besieged by economic sanctions and political pressure from the West. However, the conditions are shifting in a positive direction since the election of President Dr. Hassan Rouhani in August 2013, and the successful negotiations Foreign Minister Mohammad Javad Zarif conducted with the major world powers (the U.S., the U.K., France, Russia, China, and Germany) on Iran's disputed nuclear program, that led to the signing of the Geneva Interim Agreement. That agreement lifted some of the crippling trade and financial sanctions that were imposed on Iran. The effects of this move on Iran's economy are still small, but contribute significantly to the general sense of optimism in the country.

Virtually every day since the Agreement was signed last November, foreign ministers, diplomatic, political, trade, and commerce delegations have been flying into the country from all over the world (except from the U.S.). Iran's previously starved, but enormous market, with 75 million people (the majority below 30 years of age), is clearly one of the very few export markets for industries in Europe, which are facing a collapsing economy at home. How-

ever, what is more interesting about Iran, is its future potential as reflected in the ambition of its population and leaders, and its strategic position as a bridge connecting three continents.

The hardliners and conservative forces are still warning against opening to the West, pointing to previous disappointments caused by the West responding to such open initiatives, as former President Mohammad Khatami's efforts to start a "Dialogue among Civilizations," with more sanctions and demonization of Iran by the U.S. and Europe. Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei repeats, in most of his speeches and sermons, that Iran does not, and will never trust the U.S. and Britain, but he and the Iranian nation have offered President Rouhani and his team a small window for a limited period to prove the contrary!

Given President Barack Obama's behavior in international affairs (like his Administration's intervention on behalf of the anti-Russia Nazi coup in Ukraine, and the attempt to ring China militarily), and that of the EU governments in Ukraine and Syria, Rouhani's task is evidently a Herculean one.

—Hussein Askary



EIRNS/Hussein Askary

The elegant entrance to the Research Center. The university campus is entirely designed on the concept of the marriage between the ancient pre-Islamic Iranian and Islamic architecture, reflecting the contemporary Iranian culture as a combination of the two.

Rouhani’s Iran: Sources of Change

by Ghoncheh Tazmini

*Ghoncheh Tazmini is a political scientist at the Center for Iranian Studies at the School of Oriental and Asian Studies, University of London.*¹

March 6—In an article appearing on the website of the Foreign Policy Association Feb. 21, Tazmini writes that “Analysts have been quick to make assumptions about President [Hassan] Rouhani’s diplomatic maneuvers, translating his diplomatic skills as reminiscent of Gorbachev’s era of Perestroika and Glasnost.” She cites Jochen Bittner of *Die Zeit* who asks: “Is Rouhani an Iranian Gorbachev?” The *Wall Street Journal*, *Foreign Affairs*, and other Western publications offer similar points of view. However, Tazmini notes, “such comparisons shed little light on the direction of Iran’s political evolution.”

She concludes, “If we are to improve our understanding of the sources of change in Iran under Rouhani, we need a more eclectic and multifaceted analytical framework.”

Here is her analysis.

The Pillars of Change in Iran

There are four guiding principles that have motivated successive Iranian political heads of state over the years. In fact, the political inclination of Iranian leaders in the past has been very much determined by the prioritization, instrumentalization or sometimes the interplay of these four principles.

Iran stands at the intersection of multiple, often contingent factors that shape its political reality. Rouhani’s challenge is to strike a balance between these factors and achieve a balance point or the *nokhteh taadol*.

1. Republicanism and Participation (*mosharekat*): The emphasis is on popular sovereignty (*mardom salari*), civil society (*jameh madani*), and pluralism. This element was central to Mohammad Khatami,

whose reform movement symbolized an effort to consolidate the rule of law and to stimulate civic activism.

2. Economic Development (*tose’eh*): This was the cornerstone of Akbar Hashemi-Rafsanjani’s presidency. Described as a pragmatic centrist, Rafsanjani surrounded himself with technocrats in an effort to revive the post-war economy. In the late 1920s and 1930s, economic restructuring was the linchpin of Reza Shah Pahlavi’s state-sponsored modernization program.

3. Economic Justice (*edalat*): The pursuit of justice was one of the main pillars of Mahmoud Ahmadinejad’s political platform, which was predicated on tackling poverty and corruption, and redistributing wealth. His personal former website *Mardomyar*, or “the People’s Friend,” epitomized this mission.

4. Independence/Freedom: The emphasis is on resistance of foreign interference and encroachment through nationalism. This was the cornerstone of Mohammad Mossadeq’s short prime ministership in the 1950s. He insisted that his country’s fight for possession of its oil resources was not only a quest for profits, but also a fight for liberty. This was also the leitmotif of Ayatollah Khomeini’s revolutionary slogan—“*Esteghlal* (independence), *Azadi* (freedom), *Jomhouri Islami* (Islamic Republic).”

Iraniyaat and Islamiyaat

Let us envision these four elements on an axis. This axis runs on two tracks: *Iraniyat* and *Islamiyat*. *Iraniyat* relates to Iran’s pre-Islamic heritage, Persian history, culture and civilization. *Islamiyat* corresponds to Iran’s Islamic past, values, dogma, and tradition as well as classical revolutionary themes and slogans relating to Shi’a revolutionary revivalism, nationalism and populism.

Islamiyat runs on a continuum. On one side is *Ejte-hadi Islamiyat*, which, in the case of foreign policy articulation, advances a more moderate, enlightened and dynamic narrative, predicated on integration and dialogue. On the other side of the spectrum is *Jihadi Is-*

1. Previous coverage of Dr. Tazmini appeared in the Aug. 13, 2013 [EIR](#), and the Dec. 7, 2012 [EIR](#).

lamiyat, which is characterized by a principalist and atavistic discourse.

The *Jihadi* and *Ejtihadi* approach to independence is very different. In *Jihadi Islamiyat*, independence translates into autochthonous, Soviet-style economic independence, guided by revolutionary ideals of self-sufficiency.

On the other hand, *Ejtihadi Islamiyat* advocates representation in and interaction with, international organizations, integration into the global economy and a non-confrontational political discourse.

The recent nuclear deal brokered by President Rouhani and Foreign Minister Javad Zarif exemplifies an *Ejtihadi* reading of independence.

Iraniyat also bifurcates in a similar way. To illustrate this point, let us focus on the concept of national independence. On one side of the spectrum, the emphasis lies on the radical negation of other civilizations (particularly the West), typified by the “Westoxication” or the “Clash of Civilizations” discourses.

In foreign policy, national independence is found in isolationism, the avoidance of political and economic entanglements, and a defensive and confrontational rhetoric. Ahmadinejad and his hardline supporters centered their perception of national independence on this approach.

The other end of the spectrum is characterized by integration and interaction. Khatami’s “Dialogue Among Civilizations” thesis best captures this orientation. Independence is found through emphasis on cultural commonalities and shared histories through an integrative narrative.

Rouhani’s approach falls squarely in the middle: National independence is found through calibrated and cautious engagement. His administration is challenged by hardline elements that need to be appeased, thus engagement with outside powers needs to be measured.

Furthermore, history may have taught Rouhani that full-fledged dialogue does not always yield the anticipated result. Khatami’s international campaign ironically led to Iran being placed on an “axis of evil.”

The body politic—the leadership, the elite, civil society, institutions—is so complex and variegated in relation to political culture, intellectual orientation, preference for certain ideas or categories of thinking, traditional or normative values, that it is not easy to decipher and decode the nature of Iran’s political evolution.

The newspapers and dailies in Iran alone are a testament to this diversity. Let us relate them to the four cat-

egories above. For example, the daily *Salam* places greater emphasis on *Islamiyat*, less on independence, and even less on justice, participation, freedom, or development.

Jahan gives special importance to *Islamiyat*, independence, and social justice, but less significance to participation, freedom, and development.

On the other hand, *Sobh Emruz* prioritizes participation, freedom, and development, placing less emphasis on *Islamiyat*, independence or justice, while *Kayhan* gives prominence to themes relating to *Islamiyat*, independence and justice.

Between Continuity and Change

So what does change really entail in Iran? A viable strategy for reform at this critical juncture in Iran’s history entails striking a balance between the four elements described above.

Moreover, a viable path requires the reconciliation of disparate orientations through the art of principled compromise. It means finding a balance between continuity and change, between *Islamiyat* and *Iraniyat*.

Rouhani is not wearing rose-colored lenses, and is very much aware of the conservative resistance that lies before him—very much like Khatami, whose presidency was mired in factional wrangling and rivalry.

The difference is that Rouhani has a luxury of leadership that Khatami never knew. He enjoys political authority that flows from two sources: 1. the fact that he cannot be labeled either reformist or conservative (in an earlier article I argued that Rouhani himself embodies the reconciliation of disparate and competing ideologies); and 2. the broad-based realization that Iran needs change: change in outlook, in perspective, in strategy, and in leadership style.

Rouhani clearly articulated the discursive foundation of his foreign policy approach during the 2013 presidential campaign: “constructive and dignified engagement with the world” (*ta’amol-e hadafmand va ez-atmand ba jahan*). The pursuit of all four pillars outlined above remains a priority. However, Iran needs to upgrade the exhausted methods of the past.

This is something both sides of the political spectrum recognize (hardliners, moderates, liberals, and everything in between). With more executive steering power (and most importantly, the Supreme Leader’s tacit support), Rouhani might just succeed in striking that delicate balance—the *nokhteh taadol*—a task that Gorbachev never lived up to.

START WITH IMPEACHMENT

Steger-Rogers Town Hall: End Drought with NAWAPA

March 9—A town hall meeting, hosted by the Steger for Congress campaign, on March 8 in Los Angeles, titled, “End the Drought: The Benefits of Nuclear NAWAPA XXI for California,” kicked off a new phase of LaRouchePAC’s election drive. Its theme, as stated in the invitations to the meeting, was “To solve the Texas-California drought emergency, impeach Obama!” Michael Steger, who is running for the seat now held by Democratic Minority Leader Rep. Nancy Pelosi, was joined by Kesha Rogers, fresh from her upset victory in the Texas Democratic Senate Primary, in which her second-place finish secured her candidacy in the run-off election May 27 (see “LaRouche Dem Rogers Heads to Senate Runoff in Texas,” *EIR*, March 7, 2014).

Along with LaRouche Western States spokesman Harley Schlanger, all three speakers conveyed the urgency, not only to impeach Obama before his British-directed policies trigger thermonuclear war, but to also in-

spire people with strategic solutions to the decades-long U.S. economic collapse. The great Kennedy-era project NAWAPA was highlighted as the unique means to reverse the catastrophic drought now ravaging the Western United States, especially Texas and California, and

to spur a new period of economic and moral prosperity in America.

The meeting was held directly across the street from the California Democratic Party State Convention, where the focus was, as usual, on single-issue concerns, rather than on the principles needed to overcome the threats facing mankind. More than 50 supporters gathered to participate in an evening of real leadership based on such principles.

Steger and Rogers called on those present to join their campaigns to create a revolution in the United States and the world. The first tasks of this movement, they stressed, are to remove Barack Obama from the Presidency, to stop the march to World War III,



EIRNS

Michael Steger's Congressional campaign hosted a town hall meeting in Los Angeles March 8, whose central theme was solving the Texas-California drought. Steger is challenging Nancy Pelosi in the Democratic primary for Congress.

and begin rebuilding the nation's shattered economy.

In his introduction of Rogers, Schlanger described the intent of her campaign: to change the discussion of all the 2014 U.S. elections to focus on Obama's impeachment, and to end "business as usual"; to end the stupid, meaningless discussion of hot-button "issues," and instead, to focus on what must be done, by presenting the ideas required for the future.

Schlanger reviewed the current policy of Obama and the London- and Wall Street-based oligarchical empire, especially the strategic showdown between the U.S/EU and Russia over the crisis in Ukraine, emphasizing that LaRouche had warned on Feb. 3, that the world would be on the cusp of thermonuclear war by March 1, once again demonstrating that LaRouche's forecasts must never be ignored.

By refusing to pull her punches, and putting the truth out about Obama's crimes, and what they have done to destroy the United States, including his refusal to come to the aid of drought-stricken ranchers and farmers, thus endangering the U.S. food supply, Rogers won a spot in the run-off election in Texas, despite all the pundits, the media, and the Democratic Party honchos saying, "She ain't going nowhere." Well, now, she may well go to the U.S. Senate, Schlanger said.

Her campaign, he said, also called for reenacting the FDR-era Glass-Steagall law, which will bankrupt Wall Street, and clear the way for a return to a Hamiltonian credit policy, to reverse the physical breakdown of the economy, and build NAWAPA to deal with the Western drought (see "Texas Cattleman—We Want To Feed the United States: End Speculation; Build NAWAPA," this issue).

The Democratic Party leadership attempted to stop her, claiming she was not a Democrat, because she wanted to impeach Obama and would not take a loyalty oath to him. What is this?, asked Schlanger. Joseph McCarthy time?

Then the Party tried to suppress the vote, and put up



Kesha Rogers, who will face her Democratic primary opponent for Senate in Texas in a run-off election in May, called for restoring the economic and scientific policies of JFK to rebuild the nation.

LPAC-TV

a millionaire candidate for Senate to run against Rogers, David Alameel, who has financed Republican campaigns, put \$3.5 million of his own money into his campaign, but even that was not enough to stop Rogers.

With that introduction, Kesha Rogers took the floor to loud applause.

In Kennedy's Footsteps

Setting the tone for the rest of the evening, Rogers reported that her campaign was launched just after the wonderful memorial concert of Mozart's Requiem Mass, by the Schiller Institute, in Vienna, Va., on Nov. 22, 2013—the 50th anniversary

of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy—to revive his legacy (see "Schiller Institute Presents Mozart's Requiem for JFK," *EIR*, Dec. 6, 2013). She determined then, she said, to bring that spirit and commitment into her campaign for the U.S. Senate.

What Kennedy represented for me, she said, was the willingness to take on adversity at all costs; to stand for the truth. You must have that conviction—to always do what is right. She told her audience about Kennedy's book, *Profiles in Courage*, and how, for her, the profiles of John Quincy Adams and Sam Houston inspired her to follow in that tradition, to always stand for the truth, and oppose that which is merely popular.

Echoing LaRouche's frequent attacks on popular opinion and linear thinking, she reported on the discussions she had had with some of the news media, on the day after the election. When they tried to dismiss her stunning victory, by claiming that people didn't know who she was and what she stood for, and only voted for a "name," she countered that, in fact, it was the media's attacks on her for calling for Obama's impeachment that won their votes. She reminded the press that she had won two previous elections—the Democratic nomination for Congress in 2010 and 2012—in spite of the media's oft-expressed certainty that she could never win an election by calling for the impeachment of this President. Maybe, she told them, people want to hear the truth for a change: that man

has the capacity to know and create a future.

As for the Democrats, they have destroyed their own party. Only 3.6% of the voters turned out for the primary election, because the voters know the media-backed candidates have nothing to say.

“We went into the streets,” Rogers said. Her campaign organized in the rural areas where the other Democrats don’t dare to go, and recruited former Kennedy Democrats to vote for her, telling them that the LaRouche Democrats would restore the nation by restoring the policies of JFK to push forward science and build infrastructure like NAWAPA.

The result was that Rogers is the first African-American woman to make it to a run-off election for the U.S. Senate, in the history of Texas.

So, on May 27, we are going to win again, she said; we are going to do the impossible again.

First on the agenda is the impeachment of Obama. Then, with my colleague Michael Steger, we shall focus on the drought that is destroying both of our states and much of the West. Obama supports fracking and the use of our depleted water supplies for extracting gas and oil. He supports using 50% of our corn harvest for bio-fuels, while people go hungry.

This must end now. Have the courage to take on Wall Street and end the looting of the population. Restore Glass-Steagall, return to the Hamiltonian principles of banking and finance, as John Quincy Adams and John Kennedy did. We must have a science driver policy and end the greenies’ genocide policy. Then we move to a fusion economy, using Helium-3 from the Moon. Is that not what John Kennedy would do? Yes!

With our joint campaigns we must transform and revolutionize it all. It will have a reverberating effect across the nation and the world. That is the way to end the threat of war. War and drought can be put behind us as we carry out the common aims of mankind.

One final word, she said: “Get this President out now!”

Real Politics To Transform the Nation

Schlanger introduced Steger by relating LaRouche’s frequent observation that the American people have been stupefied. Well, he said, one of the faces of that stupefaction is Nancy Pelosi. Her opponent this year, running for the Democratic nomination for Congress, is Michael Steger.

Steger challenged everyone to jump on board his campaign, saying that their participation was critical if

the country is to be changed. Moreover, he said, we won’t survive as a species unless we stop the intent of Obama to start World War III. But, he added, if we stop the war drive, we still face a collapse of the financial system and real economy.

Obama and the Democratic Party have no commitment to the future, which brings up the question: Why is anyone in politics? For us, it is because man only survives by revolutionary changes in society; the advancing of mankind through scientific and real cultural progress. It is only through a continuing revolution in the productivity of man that man can continue to exist.

California and Texas are two largest states in the contiguous United States. Steger pointed out. What they are today came out of what FDR initiated; his commitment to the development of the rural areas by water and energy projects and rural electrification.

That is the same commitment John Kennedy lived for, Steger said. It is what real politics must be—to transform the nation and humanity.

In addition, Texas and California have some of the most advanced scientific institutions of the nation, like Lawrence Livermore Labs, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, and NASA, all working on the frontiers of science.

Now the Western states are barren and dry. Your future is dying right before your eyes, Steger told the audience. The most productive land in the world only lacks the water required to realize that potential. But, there is plenty of water in Alaska and the Yukon. We must bring it down to the West. NAWAPA was ready to go in 1964.

What prevents it from being built is the fascist oligarchy which wants to destroy the population, by killing great projects like NAWAPA. That is why they murdered John Kennedy, Robert Kennedy, Martin Luther King, Jr., and others who represented that outlook.

Our campaigns, said Steger, address what is at stake with a single revolutionary platform: Get Obama out; break the empire, restore Glass-Steagall and build NAWAPA. That is how we solve the crisis. It is not a crisis of policy, but of courage.

Steger then discussed the ongoing Democratic Convention across the street, saying that there is no love for Obama among Democrats, just a lack of guts.

Concluding, Steger urged everyone to join the LaRouche campaigns and use them to bring a higher level of political ideas to people. They will respond, he said.

Pat Ruckert contributed an on-the-scene report for this article.

We Want To Feed the United States: End Speculation; Build NAWAPA!

Rich Anderson was interviewed on The LaRouche Show, March 1, 2014, by hosts Harley Schlanger and Marcia Merry Baker.

Harley Schlanger: We'll be discussing the drought, the implications of the drought, and NAWAPA, with a very well-known, longtime collaborator of ours, who is out there in the middle of the drought area, Rich Anderson, a former member of the Board of the Southwest Cattle Ranchers Association. He has a ranch in Texas; he is a longtime political activist, and someone who has been dealing with this problem for a while.

So, Rich, welcome to the program.

Rich Anderson: Well, thank you, Harley.

Schlanger: Rich, give us a report on what you've faced out there—Rich lives in Gail, Texas, in Borden County. What's the situation on the ground, as far as water?

Anderson: Well, of course, our water from Midland, Snyder, Odessa area comes from Lake Thomas, and Lake Spence, and Lake Ivy. Lake Thomas is 1% full; Spence is about 7-8% full; and I think Ivy is about 12% full. So, the water is a critical situation out here. We have just come through a three-year drought, but it's not over yet, because you don't get over a drought in one rain; like in California, it's been so dry out there for so long that it's gonna take a lot of rain to fill up the reservoirs and to grow grass again. And the people out there have had to get rid of their cattle and sheep, and they're just devastated.

I noticed in the paper just the other day where a meatpacking plant in Brawley, Calif., was closing because of the lack of cattle to kill; and also, here in Texas, in Plainview, a longtime packing plant up there closed because of the lack of cattle to kill. The packing plants are closing all over the United States because of lack of cattle to kill.



YouTube

Rich Anderson describes the Texas and California drought as "very debilitating." It is not only affecting farmers and ranchers, he pointed out, but all those who work in the agricultural industries, not to mention those of us who like to eat.

The Smallest Cattle Herd Since the '50s

Schlanger: There's a report out, that the total number of head of cattle in the United States has hit the level that we had in 1951. What does this mean? Because people don't understand what it means when you have to slaughter the herds—these can't just be rebuilt overnight, can they?

Anderson: No, it takes a long time to rebuild our herd. But, what you said is right. We've got the smallest herd of cattle since the early 1950s; and then we went into a five-year drought, which further decimated the cattle population. But it takes from three to five years to start rebuilding a herd. You just can't do that overnight. Because if you bred a cow today, it's nine months until she has a calf, and then it's nine months before you can wean that calf, and it's another nine months before you can breed that heifer calf to start your production cycle all over again. So, it's a minimum of three years, and more than likely five. But, like here in Texas, we can't start rebuilding because we've been in this drought, and we're carrying about



NOAA

Today's severe drought in Texas recalls the 1930s Dust Bowl, which ruined the western states of Oklahoma, Kansas, Colorado, Texas, and New Mexico. Shown here, a dust storm approaches Stratford, Texas, 1935.

ranchers? I assume there's a sense of desperation about this situation.

Anderson: Well, it's not so much desperation, Harley, it's just part of our life that we have to contend with. Now, I'm 85 years old, and in my life, I've seen debilitating droughts before. You remember the Dust Bowl in the '30s, which just ruined a lot of land, which they had plowed up to grow wheat, because the price of wheat had gotten so high. And then it didn't rain, and then the winds came, and it just ruined western Oklahoma, western Kansas, eastern Colorado, and northwestern New Mexico. I wit-

half the number of cattle that we need to carry, in order to make this a viable operation; and it'll take years for those people in California.

And you remember last Winter, or this Winter, the terrible, terrible storm we had up in the Dakotas and Montana, which killed thousands upon thousands of cattle and horses and sheep. And, incidently, I was talking to a rancher up there, and he said the snow and cold weather didn't kill his cattle—they drowned. The rain was so thick that they couldn't breathe, and they breathed in water, and filled their lungs, and they died from drowning instead of from the cold weather or the snow.

But the drought is debilitating—it takes years to come back from that, and for instance, here on the ranch, I know I've got a lot of grass that's dead, the roots are dead. So that's going to have to come back from seed in the ground, which, fortunately, that seed will stay viable for at least ten years, but conditions have to be just right for that seed to sprout.

So this drought is very debilitating. And it's putting people out of work, like the plants closing—I believe that plant in Brawley is going to fire 1,300 people, and the one in Planview is 2,300, or something like that. So, not only does it affect agriculture, but it affects people in town.

Schlanger: Rich, what are you hearing from other

nessed that drought.


And then, periodically, we have droughts that last, usually, a couple of years, and then it'll begin to cycle again, and rain. But then all this climate could change—I laugh at that—I've seen a lot of climate change in my life, and being a historian, I can go back to, I believe it's about 1885 or '86, somewhere along in there, there was a bad, bad snowstorm, a norther, came down through Texas and drove the cattle—at that time, there were very few fences, if any—clear to the Rio Grande River in south Texas.

I know [my wife] Barbara's grandfather, and other ranchers put together ranchers and teams and cowboys, and went and started gathering cattle, and tried to bring them back into this area when they could.

So, these weather cycles happen—I don't care what they say about climate change—we've always had climate change. But these droughts are debilitating. Fact of the matter, when I went off to college, I told my mother, "I'll never step foot on another ranch," because all you do is work seven days a week, and you make a little money, and then along comes a drought, and you start all over again. It's just a never-ending cycle.

But we've got to raise food for the people in this country, and the demand for meat, not only in this country, but overseas, has become a great item. We export a

FIGURE 1



lot of meat, and so, if we don't have it, we can't export it, and if we don't have it, the people won't have it to eat.

Cloud Seeding Works

Schlanger: Rich, in 1982, Lyndon LaRouche wrote a pamphlet, titled, "Won't You Please Allow Your Grandchild To Have a Glass of Fresh Water?" in which he brought up the need for NAWAPA, the North American Water and Power Alliance. You may remember, we had a very big conference in Houston, where you participated. And at the time, we talked about this question of the cycle of drought, and the need to free our agricultural sector from these kinds of periodic cycles. You were a participant then; you've been a supporter of NAWAPA. Obviously, this is something that we need.

But you were also talking about short-term measures that used to be taken in terms of seeding the clouds, and I'd like you to tell our audience about that, and why it is we can't do that cloud-seeding anymore.

Anderson: Well, Back in the '70s, we had gone through a dry spell, and the state developed a cloud-seeding program, where when we did have clouds, they would fly up and seed with silver iodide, and it would rain. Fact of the matter, I kept records, and in a ten-year period, my rainfall increased by five inches, from 18 1/2 to 23 1/2 inches, and filled up our reservoirs and Lake Thomas was full, and we had good water. But people began to object to that, and the EPA stepped in,

and said, "Well, you can't fly those planes into a thunderstorm. It's dangerous."

Well, yes, it was dangerous, and those planes that flew and spread that silver iodide—they had dents in them, and one thing and another, and it was dangerous. But it worked. But, you know, "the government always knows best," and so they stopped that program. And since that time, well, we've not been able to do that. But it certainly works; there are some people that have been against it. They say it produces hail, and one thing and other. But all I know is that, in a ten-year period, I grew a lot of grass, and my pond—fact of the matter, back here on the ranch, we don't have any well water. We depend upon earthen ponds to collect the water to water our cattle and the wildlife. And it filled them all up.

But now, in this last drought, our son told me that he cleaned out 32 tanks, still had some to go, but we ran out of money, to be frank with you. And it takes a lot of rain to fill those up.

Now we were fortunate to get some rain, and we have water now, and we've got old grass, but we've got a lot of dead grass, and when the grass dies, and it does rain big, the water runs off, and doesn't soak into the ground like it should. The ground is hard, and it's hard to get any water into the ground.

Schlanger: In the rain now going on in Los Angeles these last couple of days, there are mudslides because there's no vegetation to hold onto the rain.

I know you're no big fan of the President of the United States, Obama, but I assume you saw that he went out to California, and essentially, offered peanuts to farmworkers, and then said his big effort would be to put more money into so-called "climate research." This is obviously completely wrong, and LaRouche has called for his impeachment. I assume you're a supporter of that—impeachment.

Anderson: I certainly am.

I'll tell you, going back a little bit to NAWAPA, I'm certainly a supporter of that; and, the fact of the matter, I was appointed to a water board here in Texas, and we studied how to bring water to West Texas, and I talked to a Representative from this area, George Mahon, a longtime Representative [1935-79], and I spoke about that [NAWAPA]. "Oh," he said, "I know



Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service/Robert Burns

"We've got to raise food for the people in this country," Anderson said, "and the demand for meat, not only in this country, but overseas, has become a great item." Here, due to the drought and a lack of grass for feed, a Texas rancher provides supplemental feed to cattle, in 2011.

about that, but, you know, the people of the United States won't act until there's a crisis." And so, we never got anything done. But had we done that at the time, California wouldn't be suffering as much from the drought, because their lakes would be full; and here in West Texas, our lakes would be full, and we'd have plenty of water to drink.

But, because of the environmentalists—and they have such a hold on this President, and past Presidents—that we're running out of water.

I noticed the other day where, for the first time in over 40 years, and maybe longer, they're going to be able to build a nuclear plant in Georgia, and we should have been building those nuclear plants all along, because these windmills are the most inefficient way to make electricity that there is! And they've tried that in Europe, and it just didn't work, and we're buying lots of their machinery that they used over there and it just takes so much land and so much area to build these windmill farms that produce a little bit of electricity.

They're Gambling with Our Food Supply

Marcia Merry Baker: Rich, if you care to say anything about the pricing, like the larger context of shortage of beef for the public—your lifetime spans a time when you didn't have the Chicago Board of Trade spec-

ulation going completely wild, as it has for the last 25 years. And, you know, cattle futures, Harley, went to the highest ever since 1964, when they started keeping records for cattle futures. Cattle futures for delivery in April are \$1.54/pound, or something like that.

But really, you have day-trading speculators going mad, which is called the free markets, but in fact it just adds another element of uncertainty, parasitism, and so forth. Do you want to say anything about that, Rich?

Anderson: Well, it's always made me mad, for those people up there to trade on my product, that I was producing. We don't use

the futures. Now, with feeding cattle, you can lock in a profit. You can do that. But still, I think—the price of oil, for instance—speculators have driven up the price of oil, and they make a lot of money at that.

I know that one time, Russia said that they were having a drought, that there was going to be a shortage of wheat; but before they did that, they bought a bunch of futures on the Chicago Mercantile, and they made a killing out of that. With no wheat, they made a killing on that market.

No, I'm against it. I wish they never had started it. It's just a way to gamble; it's no different than going to Las Vegas and gambling with your money at these casinos. It's the same thing. It's just a gamble. And people are sitting there, and they gamble on our product, day after day; they can drive it up or drive it down. And a lot of the big companies do this and make a lot of money out of it.

Schlanger: And they're gambling with our food supply.

Anderson: Well, sure. It's unreal, what they've done. And you know, Argentina has had a bad drought, and their wheat crop is down. And I think that they've done soybeans down there, in Argentina and Brazil, but the wheat crop has been a failure in that area, so it wouldn't take much to tip the pan. Up here, in this country, we

could have a drought; a widespread drought in the Midwest could ruin the wheat, and the oats, and corn.

Schlanger: And Rich, I assume you're an opponent of the idea of using the corn crop, 40-50% of the corn crop, to produce inefficient biofuels.

Anderson: Oh, it's idiotic, to start with! Nobody wants that ethanol. You can't transport it in a pipeline, because it collects water; it takes more energy to make that ethanol than it saves. And I'm against using our food supply to make fuel that nobody wants! It's just idiotic!

Five Generations of Food-Producers

Schlanger: Well, Rich, I thank you for joining us, and we'll make sure that this message gets out.

Anderson: Well, all right. I'd just like for you to know that the average of the farmer here in Texas is about 62 years old. And we're not getting any younger, because nobody wants to go into agriculture anymore. We need young people to go in, but they have a hard time affording it, because speculators have driven the land price up so high, young people can't afford to get in.

Baker: I just couldn't agree more. We break with everything that Rich has been describing, that is, the speculation, the destruction of our ability to defend ourselves against periodic droughts which we know we're going to have. We break with this, and start to move on it, on doing what we ought to do, and then, young people will be in the forefront. And maybe we need a lot of training, and Rich can help with that, right? To get the skills. But then the speculation on land prices, on food, on basic energy, can be wiped away.

So, I agree. Amen.

Anderson: Well, we're trying to keep that alive in our family. Fact of the matter, last June we celebrated this ranch being in the family for 100 years. Barbara and I are the third generation that's lived on this ranch. Our son, who runs the ranch now, is the fourth generation, and his children—one grandson's working on the ranch—there's a fifth generation. So we're trying to do our part to produce food for the United States. If the government would just get out of our way, it sure would help.

Schlanger: Well, Rich, thank you again for joining us.

LaRouchePAC Special Report

NAWAPAXXI

A North American Water & Power Alliance For the 21st Century

FROM THE AUTHORS:

This report is written as a proposal for action, to be immediately undertaken by elected officials of government; and as a handbook for patriots who seek to re-establish the United States as a leader in science, technology, and industry.

IN THIS REPORT, YOU WILL FIND A PLAN TO:

- Employ millions in productive labor and restore U.S. manufacturing.
- Re-establish water, food, and power security for North America, establish a continental system of drought and flood control, and develop new infrastructure corridors involving most of the continent.
- Restore the U.S. system of public credit.
- Demonstrate man's ability to improve on nature.

ORDER ONLINE: www.larouchepac.com



THE CASE OF A TIMELY POSTSCRIPT:

A Doctrine Concerning Man, Again

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 2, 2014

About a year ago, on February 22, I had written the following remarks, which remain true to fact, in and of themselves. I find it necessary now, to repeat the beginning of what I had written then, exactly as slightly more than a year ago: this time, posing the question: Why do we not recognize President Barack Obama as a virtual Nazi in intention and practice, now. I repeat much of what I had written then, here, now, but also attach extremely relevant, additional remarks here, now, as a matter of considerable urgency, and deeper meaning for the present date of global crisis.

I place the original material from slightly more than a year ago within quotation marks, and then add, as now urgently important additional remarks for the presently much more urgent situation today, as follows:¹

“What has often been passed off to us as being our human species’ conventional view of the universe, has often been merely a literal interpretation of an experience of merely sense-perception as such. The crucially important question which that experience should have posed to mankind, is, therefore: could individual sense-perceptions be either literally true; or, to what relative degree are they merely correlatives of a quality of sensory experiences such as those associated with the dis-

tinctions of pleasure from pain? My subject-matter here, is related to the fact of the inherent error of any presumption to the effect that a particular sense-perception, as such, has an inherently “literal” meaning of “wrongness” or “rightness” on its own particular account.

“The misguided popular view, which is prevalent among today’s so-called “leading popular opinions,” is to be recognized as being, so-to-speak, both “upside-down, and inside-out.” The fact is, that the appropriate proof can not be found in any collection of mere facts of sense-perception as such; but only, on the contrary rule: the validity of evidence must be derived, not from so-called “facts as such,” but only from the role of a proof of truths of relatively universal principles, such those of Max Planck and Albert Einstein in their time. Which is to say: The validity of facts depends upon the experimental demonstration of those universally proven cases which supersede the uncertainty inhering in any simple collection of facts. Such proof is typified by what is proven to be universal principles, such as Johannes Kepler’s discovery of the principle of “vicarious hypothesis,” an hypothesis which touches the greatest of the known issues currently faced by mankind.

“However, there are also certain other extremely important aspects of the matter to be considered, aspects which reach far beyond hitherto conventional notions respecting the notion of “a physical economy.”

1. “A Doctrine Concerning Man,” [EIR](#), March 8, 2013.

Chapter 1: The Principle of the Drama *Per Se*

“Experience among such as human, other living, and non-living entities inhabiting what appears to be a common space, invites a special set of categories among some special ironies inhabiting what appears to occupy a common domain.

“At first glance, the intention of my report here, this far, might therefore appear to some persons, to depend upon a certain variety among commonly experienced sense-perceptual effects. These include quasi-random experiences for which relatively no adequate consideration has been sustained, this far, respecting the ironies among ontologically different qualities of sense-perceptions, as for the case in which the content of such a collection is considered as a whole class in and of itself. Consider, for example, the startling irony of any attempted principled distinction of “physical science” from “Classical artistic composition,” if and when both might be usefully considered as relatively truthful by intention in some functionally related way.

“This is demonstrated, most simply, by the case of what are the relative, categorical separations of *the subject of Classical artistic composition* from what might be described as the subject-matter of what is rightly called “merely physical science.” Whereas, the ranges and varieties of sense-perceptions for those thus contrasted categories, are manifold; the fact persists, that the entire range of the cognizable set of sense-perceptions might otherwise be treated as if implicitly united as part of an indivisible, universal fabric, as if it were one in which the combination of Classical artistic and so-called physical experiences were to be resolved by their being defined as if a single domain which must be considered as if indivisible.

“For example: Classical musical composition, as typified by Johann S. Bach, and Classical drama and poetry, are essential elements of statecraft which have a uniquely essential part, in their role as preconditions, in providing such categorically essential elements of human culture as may be urgently needed, still, for the promotion of human progress and security.

“This quality of ironical unity which I have just described, was implicitly noted by Bernhard Riemann and by some relevant cases among his contemporaries and followers in physical science. It is to be noted, that the unified state of what were otherwise distinguished mat-

ters, could be continued for as long as what was known as the strictly Classical tradition in both Classical-artistic and physical-scientific activity, still persisted under the common reign of a somewhat general influence, as, for example, as associated with a continuing influence associated commonly with such names as Johannes Brahms, Max Planck, and Albert Einstein.²

“When that intellectual territory within modern history is traced from the time of the influence of the related figures of Filippo Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa, and is taken as a point of historical reference, and, when several stunning achievements of Cusa’s follower Johannes Kepler are included, both physical science, and Classical composition expressed as in the evolutionary progress in the Classical arts, are thus shown to be not only inherently inseparable, but also qualitatively distinct features of a general and profound quality of a single, as if seamless body of integrated physical science and Classical artistic composition combined, all of which must be conceived as a single, inseparable body of scientific practice.

Art & Physics

“Take, for an example, the case of the set of the later plays of Shakespeare, as beginning with developments associated with the presentation of Shakespeare’s **Henry V** as a relevant subject-matter. I have emphasized that selected case for its included, forceful attention on the function of Shakespeare’s assigned category of *Chorus* throughout that drama as a whole, as from the very outset. That much said in opening, now, compare the actual commonality of the method of the composition of Friedrich Schiller’s **Wallenstein** trilogy, with the method emphasized by Shakespeare for the function of Chorus in **Henry V**.

“Now, compare what I have just identified as the functions performed as for **Henry V** and **Wallenstein**, for the stage, with what I shall demonstrate to have been the related cases of Johannes Kepler’s *Vicarious Hypothesis* and the general principle of *Classical Metaphor*, as, for example, the **Preludes and Fugues of Johann Sebastian Bach**.

“Thus far, we have thus placed under consideration, the general notion of a higher reality than that of the vis-

2. Bernhard Riemann, **On the Subject of the Hypotheses which Underlie Geometry**: “This would lead into the domain of another science, the domain of physics, which the nature of today’s proceedings do not permit us to enter.”

ible drama on stage: reality is now to be located in a reality which exists only off the literal stage: which only exists within a realm of pure irony, which, in turn, can only be experienced off stage when the subject is properly situated specifically within the range of the imagination of the audience, and, hopefully, also the adopted roles assigned to each of the players on the stage, all of this as now to be more imagined than as

seen and heard by the audience.

“What I have already referenced here as the case of Kepler’s *Vicarious Hypothesis*, takes us more directly into the essence of the matter now under consideration. “Is the principle which Kepler presents by that means, a product of a substance of sense-perception of ‘matter as such,’ or, is it ‘a principle of the universe?’” From the standpoint of Nicholas of Cusa’s *De Docta Ignorantia*,

Shakespeare’s ‘Henry V’

The Prologue:

Chorus: O for a Muse of fire, that would ascend
The brightest heaven of invention,
A kingdom for a stage, princes to act
And monarchs to behold the swelling scene!
Then should the warlike Harry, like himself,

Assume the port of Mars; and at his
heels,

Leash’d in like hounds, should famine,
sword and fire

Crouch for employment. But pardon,
and gentles all,

The flat unraised spirits that have dared
On this unworthy scaffold to bring forth
So great an object: can this cockpit hold
The vasty fields of France? or may we
cram

Within this wooden O the very casques
That did affright the air at Agincourt?
O, pardon! since a crooked figure may
Attest in little place a million;
And let us, ciphers to this great accopt,

On your imaginary forces work.
Suppose within the girdle of these walls
Are now confined two mighty
monarchies,

Whose high upreared and abutting fronts
The perilous narrow ocean parts
asunder:

Piece out our imperfections with your
thoughts;

Into a thousand parts divide on man,
And make imaginary puissance;
Think when we talk of horses, that you see them
Printing their proud hoofs i’ the receiving earth;
For ’tis your thoughts that now must deck our kings,
Carry them here and there; jumping o’er times,
Turning the accomplishment of many years
Into an hour-glass: for the which supply,
Admit me Chorus to this history;
Who prologue-like your humble patience pray,
Gently to hear, kindly to judge, our play.



The Battle of Agincourt

and, accordingly, the intention of Kepler on the same account, it is an expression of a principle within the present experience of what is a part of a universe.

“That approach to the subject now placed immediately under consideration here, is the relatively more fruitful one for the short term ahead. What are to be distinguished, as by merely raw popular opinion as what may be identified as the respectively separate subject-matters of so-called fact and fancy, are now united under metaphor: two, nominally distinguished domains, are being as if a fusion of two domains of the imagination: the sensed versus the imagined, are now fused into the combined reality which is the actual experience of the combined powers of the human mind. Neither medium truly exists as a proper experience without the concurrence and conjunction of the other.

“Take as an example of the distinctive principle, the essentially absolute difference of the musical intention of such composers as Haydn, Mozart, Beethoven, Schubert, Schumann, and Brahms, as contrasted to the relatively depraved Franz Liszt and Richard Wagner, and also the latter’s continued expression in the quality of Twentieth Century clownishnesses. The crucial demonstration of the absolute difference between the two classes of sets, is located most efficiently in its essentials, with the specific sets of **Preludes and Fugues** of Johann Sebastian Bach.

“Crude estimates fail to recognize an essentially categorical distinction between the “Classical” and the so-called “Romantic.”

“Therefore, with that point now stated, return attention to the example of Shakespeare’s stage, with repeated emphasis on the implications of the “adjustment” of method encountered in the role of the *Chorus* in **Henry V: The command to imagine! A command delivered to the players as to the audience, delivered to both by the means of the suggestion of pointing toward a directed quality of action.**

“The difference between sterile, if noisy clowns, and the Classical artist’s performance, is to be located, thus, “within the domain of the creative imagination.” That now said: Hold up, right now!

“Is the “imagination,” so defined thus far, relatively defective when compared with the proceeds of a “so-called direct” sense-perception itself? Ask that question again! Who communicates better? The professional actor working in a Classical mode, or an ordinary participant in a conversation?

Who Is Addressing Whom?

“We often hear ourselves speaking aloud, or as if to ourselves; therefore, what do we hear being said, and to whom, or to what effective end?

“Or, when we are listening, what are we hearing? How does that differ from what our intention is as part of an audience? What is the efficient content of that attempted communication, either to us, or from us? What are we demanding, from whom, and to what intended effect?

“In the instance of a Bach fugue, the utterances are governed by a principle which permits no margin for careless direction. The same confronts us with a play of Shakespeare, with a Classical poetical composition, and so on. The order so directed is compelled by the relevance of its circumstances; even the composer is properly compelled by the rules which the composition’s order compels. It is the composition which compels; but, it is the ordering of the design of the composition, which also compels; hence, a certain lawfulness demands a compensating, correlated ordering in both our intentions and actions respectively.

“I have a surprise here for some of you. Consider a case of that quality to be found in the instance of the policy of General Douglas MacArthur in the prospect of the Inchon landing in Korea. Had General MacArthur not secured the command decision he had made, it must be said, still today, that a relatively horrid disaster would have been added to the strategic situation at that juncture.

The Legacy of Inchon!

“Even after the success at Inchon, even relatively later than the Inchon victory, when the case had been proven, the stubborn critics refused to accept the clearly demonstrated need, not only to win at Inchon, but to prevent the British wish to bring on a nuclear conflict there. Fortunately, while the British intention for an expanded war was continued, the U.S.A.’s Dwight Eisenhower acted to the effect to bring the situation there under strategic control. The later assassination of President John F. Kennedy, cleared the way for that long war in Indo-China whose consequences prepared the way for what British imperial interests demanded as a long moral decline in the U.S.A. and its economy up through the presently disastrous situation in the trans-Atlantic region and the lurking threat of thermonuclear war beyond today.

“Worse, through the agency of the present British

Queen of England, she has now secured, through the assistance she had secured from two successive U.S. Presidents, George W. Bush, Jr., and, now, Barack Obama, that the world is presently gripped by a criminally insane combination of measures of global genocide aimed to bring about a general collapse of the population of the planet from seven billions human beings, to little more than the vicinity of one billion. That intention is a currently accelerated target which has been publicly decreed, and that repeatedly, by the current British Queen Elizabeth and her former Prime Minister, the same mass-murderously-inclined Tony Blair who participates in steering the policies of the leading world “food-killers,” President Barack Obama and the current Queen of England; theirs are policies intended for an accelerating rate of mass-death in the U.S.A. and elsewhere, policies of mass-death currently intended throughout the planet, an intention which is now a policy presently very much in accelerating practice, globally.

“The properly most shocking fact about all this, is that the government of the U.S.A. itself, as of other nations, is currently posing a wild state of hyperinflation throughout the trans-Atlantic regions and beyond, which is already the gravest threat to the continued existence of the human species ever yet actually posed to modern human knowledge.

“However, for myself at this moment, my subject here, in this present report, has a particular aspect, an aspect which addresses that most shocking evidence just referenced, but in a relevant, but nonetheless indirect way. Obviously, I do not share command over the policies of the current U.S. Presidency, nor the present, very-much-imperial, British Empire. My personal abilities are far more limited, but, nonetheless, must be addressed as a subject of scientific and related strategic considerations best suited for the information of our relevant patriotic agencies.

Chapter 2: Who Are We?

“The fact told to us from putatively credible sources, has been that the continued existence of our Sun can not be expected to continue beyond two billions years. More saddening than that, is the likelihood that our species will not be able to continue the habitation of this Solar system for anything near to two billions years. The only significant presently known source of conso-

lations, is that the noëtic characteristics of our human species provide us, if we are willing, with the potential of an accelerating rate of human power for self-development which would put into the proverbial hands of mankind rates of increase of forms of higher energy-flux density which might provide our species with new options to be delivered in a timely fashion. That presumes that we have a reasonable expectation of new places of residence in a relatively timely fashion.

“We have good reason to be optimistic about the potential which may lie before our species. I point to the foolishness of empires and similar tragedies in the known past of our species to date. The greatest degree of avoidable losses of options are attributable to the reigns of oligarchical systems such as those from the Roman Empire through to its present descendent, the British empire of the present moment. Indeed, there is virtually no systemic difference in performance between the collapsed Roman Empire of its time, and the British world empire under Queen Elizabeth II presently.

“Moreover, the rate of development of successively higher orders of the prospective increase of energy-flux density, from nuclear fission through thermonuclear fusion and matter/antimatter fluxes, provides encouragement for mankind’s prospects within this galaxy or beyond. The principal obstacle to such progress is met in oligarchical phenomena such as those from the original Roman empire to the British empire of the present time.

“That is not the end of the subjects for immediate discussion on that account presently.

“We are now, despite the British-controlled puppet-President Barack Obama, impelled to accelerate mankind’s practiced ability to bring menacing features of regions internal to the inner planetary circles of our Solar system under human management. It is clear that the greatest threat immediately before us, has been the fruit of our own damned foolishness in tolerating oligarchical follies such as those of the British Queen and her present American puppet-President, Barack Obama.

“Note, for example, scientist Edward Teller’s earlier efforts on behalf of defense of Earth against both asteroids and the much more than merely deadly hazards threatening mankind, such as nuclear warfare. The virtual shutting down, by President Barack Obama, of the full program of NASA, has greatly increased the risk to the continued human habitation of planet Earth.

“The increasing difficulties in efforts to supply ef-



The meteor explosion over Chelyabinsk, Russia, Feb. 15, 2013. The threat posed by such near-Earth objects is increasing, and requires urgent international action.

presently gripped by the worst hyperinflation in known world history. The first remedy to be secured, must be the obliteration of the monstrous and utterly fraudulent hyperinflation of the present financial markets of the trans-Atlantic regions. The hyperinflation must be simply annulled, thus wiping out virtually entirely the greatest mass of fraudulent financial claims ever conceived by mankind. My associate, Dennis Small, has summarized the causes and nature of that fraudulent debt.³

fective defense of life on Earth, difficulties which were already increasing during the 1970s, but had entered a threatened collapse-phase with the retirement of President Ronald Reagan, now pose a monstrous threat to continued human existence. We have gone backwards in net physical-economic capabilities since the close of the 1960s.

“The most severe loss of potential came with the collapse of the Soviet Union, not only because of the Soviet collapse in and of itself, but also through the continuing, systemic dissolution of the array of both the formerly or still nominally sovereign nation states in the central and western states of Europe.

“However, that much said this far, the situation immediately before us, is dominated, in one degree or another, by the increasingly challenging circumstances which now confront us increasingly from relatively nearby Solar regions. Some relatively radical options must be introduced in relatively nearby regions of the Solar system. The time for such achievements is already more than overdue, and, correspondingly urgent.

“It is already past time that we take correspondingly urgent measures done in the name of “defense of Earth.” The options available are, fortunately, better than present economic circumstances might imagine.

Cleansing the System

“On the surface of economic matters presently, the U.S.A., western and central Europe, and beyond, are

of the required measures include the simple cancellation of the essentially merely speculative and fraudulent debt of the trans-Atlantic and other merely speculative financial indebtedness. The essential reform required is accomplished by two typical measures required for the United States itself, but also suitable models for the urgent reforms of economies of the Americas and Europe, for example. First, a renewal of the original Glass-Steagall reform instituted under U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt, as augmented by the addition of a Federal credit-system used to fund a continuing upsurge of physical-economic expansion per capita and per square kilometer of territory.

“The other elements of debt are simply to be annulled as being fraudulent in their essential nature. A monetarist system must be replaced promptly by a credit system, with strong emphasis on increase of energy-flux density per capita and per square kilometer.

“Without those measures’ introduction presently, the greatest mass-death rates ever known will bring on the sudden mass-death rates, if not even the extinction of the human species. We are already, presently, on the brink of such a wave of mass-extinctions of the peoples of the nations. The corrective actions must be taken now. You have already run virtually out of time. My associate Dennis Small has already supplied the most crucial evidence needed for such an emergency action.”

3. www.larouchejac.com/node/25602

An Addendum Which May Be Necessary:

[Monday, Feb. 25, 2013]

For the purposes of clarity, I add the following supplementary remarks.

What Is Real?

NOTE: That I support as ‘real’ only that which is proven to be the event and its date, of that which constitutes the date of the establishment of a true physical principle: putting to one side any discovery which does not represent the date of discovery of a truly superseding notion of the relevant universal physical principle.

This is necessary, in light of the gross error of deducing of what has been claimed to have been a discovered principle if the claimed discovered principle is derived from ‘Earth-based, human sense-perceptual evidence.’

Much of the foregoing, earlier version of this report, took into account the need to reject the notion that evidence derived from a dependency on sense-perception, is systemically fallacious by virtue of being a product of sense-perception, **unless** the inherent fallacy of all human and related sense-perception is fully taken into account.

Kindred Ironies

That was the starting-point of the approach I had taken. I followed that criticism, by concern for other problems respecting sundry varieties of comparable types of ontologically-defined, bounded types of relationships among the origins of considered evidence.

Now, a Year Later

That foregoing part, was my then incompleted textual statement made in February, 2013. I think it urgent, right now, to add a statement which I have now made, under the monstrosly deadly menace in which the world lives, now, virtually a year later, today. I find it necessary to place the remarks which I had made a year ago, within the now consequent reality of what is now the immediate global thermonuclear crisis of the present moments which had taken clear shape toward relatively immediate thermonuclear world-wide war, since no later than the early February of this present year.

The same problem which had been taking shape more than a year ago, has now become the virtually certain, global thermonuclear war presently. While the trend in that general direction, had already been under way since the end of the Presidential Administration of William “Bill” Clinton,⁴ which had been the same problem, the same which I had viewed a year ago, I point to answer today: a fresh view of the sheer evil represented, now, today, by President Barack Obama and those two, particular, killer “maenads” of his roster presently, as stated by me now, in the following, now-fresh terms, approaching their presently threatened conclusion: as follows, here, now.

History, when and if we understand it, has a current meaning to be located in a sensitivity to the manner in which the past and future find their continuing meaning: is as follows.


History, the real history of our human species, is not that of a mere animal, such as, animal-like Barack Obama himself. Mankind, unlike any other living species, has an ultimately voluntary, human, rather than animal history. We might change our species, from human, voluntarily, yet we do not escape what we are, nonetheless. Yet, those very same changes, are, often, at the same time, quite different from one another, as a change from good versus evil, or, the reverse, for example. Since the beginning of the Second Term of U.S. President Barack Obama, the British Empire had been moving toward launching thermonuclear warfare on a global scale, as was already shown as a trend-in-the making since the September 11th British Empire-led, Saudi-implemented attack on New York City during President George W. Bush, Jr. Presidency, in coordination with the British instrument known as the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

The intention to launch a continuation of the destruction of our United States of America, had been continued since that attack, under both the relatively witless, not nonetheless evil, President George W. Bush, Jr. (for two terms in office) and, now the second term of an intrinsically evil, merely British imperial stooge, the ultra-alien President Barack Obama.

The intention did not come from the U.S.A. itself, but from the British Empire and its U.S. Wall Street economic-political instrument, the same combination which had directed the installation of dictator Adolf

4. In fact, the beginning of the British Empire’s, and the U.S. Republican Party’s intent was to oust him from the U.S. Presidency during his last term in office.

FIGURE 1

Hyperinflation: Trans-Atlantic QE and Bank Lending

Sources: Federal Reserve, European Central Bank, Bank of England

policy had been authored by the present British Empire, a scheme of thermonuclear war developed under the direction of the Imperial, monarchy of Queen Elizabeth II and her so-called “green” cult: a massive, global scale of genocidal reduction of the world’s human population, presently, notably since the assassination of U.S. President John F. Kennedy. Wall Street has been the keystone of that pro-genocidal scheme for generalized, savagely mass-murderous global population-reduction. The present methods for such population-reduction, are essentially British imperial intentions under the conditions of a looted and relatively powerless set of the presently un-sovereign, and savagely looted nations of western and central Europe, the present “Euro” system.

Hitler to power into his launching of what has been designated as “World War II.” Once U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt had died, U.S. policy was turned, immediately and directed into a takeover of the direction of the United States of America in search of British and Wall Street imperial advantages. The continuation of that program, first led under the British Empire’s scheme for a nuclear world-wide war then led, initially, by Prime Minister Winston Churchill and the ultra-evil Bertrand Russell, was continued, despite the stiff opposition of U.S. President Dwight Eisenhower, France’s President de Gaulle, and as of President John F. Kennedy—until he was murdered by powerful forces within the authorship of United States special interests, itself.

To remove those obstacles, including the almost certain election of Robert Kennedy (otherwise), and the resistance to such plans for warfare by the attempted assassination of President Ronald Reagan, as continued by the march of evil toward British-imperial-led schemes for general warfare and massive depopulation of the planet, a policy of practice has been continued to the present date. U.S. Presidents George W. Bush, Jr., and Barack Obama are the most notable instruments in the scheme for global, thermonuclear genocide, as that

Obama is, nonetheless, essentially evil himself, and has, therefore chosen, by aid of such evil means as massive promotion of blatantly British-directed drug-trafficking, and weird youth-cults, to bring about his election, as that has been now manifest ever-more clearly, in exactly the same sense, today, as since the beginning of this present year. The British empire’s role, with aid of its mere stooge, President Barack Obama, as like Adolf Hitler before him, or the earlier precedent of the ancient Zeus, the latter which is known to the common history of mankind, as having been the author of the Roman and British empires.

This present, British-empire-led attempt at global “green” genocide of the human population of the globe: the Queen’s explicit demand of a reduction of that population, from approximately seven, to less than one billion human beings, is the keystone of the present politically mere existence of U.S. President’s George W. Bush, Jr. and Barack Obama. The duped citizens (carefully pre-selected for the bribery of Wall Street’s massively monied fraudulent means) have been the nominal majority of the ruling governmental agencies of the United States, as since the ouster of former President “Bill” Clinton, and the cancellation of the Glass-Steagall Law, events which have corrupted and de-



White House photo

President Obama, “an intrinsically evil, merely British imperial stooge,” with his national security team, Aug. 30, 2013.

stroyed the citizenry of our United States, a reduced status of the many into a state of relative submissiveness to the demands of the British Empire and its notable Wall Street accomplices.

An Ancient Evil

The term “fascist,” as Mussolini’s circles understood themselves, and as Adolf Hitler, too, differs in no way from the legendary tradition of the monstrously evil Zeus, or his followers, such as the present British empire, itself, each with its own mass-slaughters and corruptions of Christians, in particular, as by the British empire which had consolidated itself, to be as identified in Edward Gibbon’s account of the creation of the British Empire. That British Empire, as reported, at first hand, and that in great detail by Edward Gibbon’s properly infamous, actual (if also fanatically romantic) account of the roots of British imperial history and the true roots of its social philosophy, as in his **The Decline & Fall of The Roman Empire**. The latter is the guidebook for the true design of the British Empire’s creation as a thoroughly evil empire, like the Roman Empire, by that same actual name of “empire.”⁵

5. Cf. Note historian Rebecca West’s Introduction to J. Christopher Herold’s **Mistress to an Age**. The case of the sometime love affair between poor slob Gibbon and Madame de Staël, was, in a curious, but in a definite way, the birth of the British Empire: the bedroom of (a truly evil) empire, so to speak: an empire conceived in the conception of the

What is particularly notable for us, today, is the frankly lunatic, but, nonetheless definite Barack Obama and his strange, salon-like, personal cult of a small coterie of brutish women, with their peculiar sort of husbands to match, as the actual distaff elements of their nominal sex: psychological perversity in one among its more extreme expressions.

Obama, in particular, was, and is, very much, a sort of child of perversity in the extreme, fully a likeness of Mussolini and Hitler before him, on this account. He is, presently, a creature as if from a weirdly strange zoo, each of which has been modeled in the root-likeness of the species of the infamously legendary Zeus himself.

Since I do enjoy a certain, accumulated excellence in insight into history, myself, I am presently urged by the immediately perilous circumstances of us all, to put this psycho-therapeutic national catastrophe which is known as the Obama itself, into what might be described as clearly among some as greatest moral and psychological type of a perversity of sheer evil, which is to have been recognized in such passages of relatively recent human history, as such as the same Benito Mussolini and Adolf Hitler, who were so very clearly: the same breed of extremes in perversity of such still legendary “dark ages” of mankind, as the original Greeks’ image of Zeus, as also, the same expressed in the cases of Hitler and Mussolini, or the current British monarchy, or the Nazis who had polluted the Ukrainian population, itself, still fully backed by the Hitler legacy which they serve under Obama, still today.

The British Imperial Psycho-Pathology

I had occasion, most recently, to comment on the phenomena of chronic psycho-pathological patterns which are often locatable, clinically, symptomatically in recurring patterns of nightmares, as in often the cases of the breed of accountants associated since the birth of modern U.S. accounting practice in the aftermath of the

satanic Zeus, and the truly Zeus-ian Roman and British empires which had preceded them.

family-bred, Confederacy fanatic, President Theodore Roosevelt (who delighted in the death of his hated rival, the actually great President William McKinley), and as shown by such followers of the school of the political perverses represented by such as Presidents Woodrow Wilson, Calvin Coolidge, and Herbert Hoover, Harry S Truman, and by the breed of the Wall Street creature, the Wall Street agent, the Wall Street-urchin Prescott Bush, who rescued Adolf Hitler from being placed in prison on debt-charges, and by the relevant evidence of the related careers of his notoriously well-known son and grandson.

Truly, there is a collection of very strange “political animals” and their emotional disorders assembled around a President Obama’s legacy of today. It is a pathological state of mind and morals which is of the same class of the very worst of such political types, including the same type as the wretched, if merely clever, but nonetheless true slob, personally, Edward Gibbon. My clinical emphasis at this moment, is also the special, but nonetheless crucial historical subject of such nightmares as those cases I have mentioned here.

A once famous British film, for its time (some decades past), provided a truly excellent clinical insight into the relevant pathological phenomena of recurring nightmares which are chronically, recurring, “living” nightmares, such as those which are a recurring experience of virtual death from which the victim often awakens, shaken, in the morning: it might be called the chronic accountant’s disease, or, might actually die of the effects of fright at loss of money, directly, or implicitly (clinically).

I had raised this matter of psycho-pathology during a most recent meeting of my immediate associates, that for such clinical reasons, at that time. I classed such occurrences as “recurring death-experiences during sleep;” I dubbed this as a typical “Wall Street accountants’ disease,” whose triumphs and economic defeats are in the actual likeness of a recurring nightmare. Sleep, for such as those, is potentially dangerous, directly, as in suicide, or in lingering effects (or, frequently, simply murders, as in a certain region of nearby New Jersey). It could also be well-named as the systemically psychological disease of Zeus. Call it, otherwise, the perpetual death-experience of the living dead, such as President Barack Obama’s own manifestly immoral, and particularly Adolf-Hitler-like, recurring moral insanity: his likeness of the satanic beast whose

presence strikes terror in the heart of weak-souled citizen, or, may come to be located in a comparable member of the U.S. Congress.

“I dreamed I had died last night,” is a fair expression of the type of psychological terror lurking for many in their fear-stricken dream-world. In many cases, the clinical insight, and its portent, is not grasped adequately; the experience of the plain terror in such a person’s sleep, remains as a powerful influence on the moral weakling, nonetheless.

A Reflection from Childhood

Thus, I have been impressed, increasingly, over the course of several generations’ worth of time, on the subject of the obvious import of and consequences of sleep experienced as recurrent nightmares which might induce voluntary or involuntary suicides.

I recall readily such a clinical experience which I had had during one night in early childhood: an experience during which I dreamed that the family kitchen’s 1920s style, electrical washing-machine was coming to consume me. I had awakened, screaming already in my sleep, and, thus, was awakened by what had been sleeping parents.

That had presented me with a basis in memory for what I had later, as a management consultant, identified, clinically, as “the typical accountants’ nightmare”: living throughout the night in a day-after-day assault of the increasingly terrified sleeping soul, a syndrome which bespeaks a most terrifying, meaningless nightmare of financial practices among accountants and the like, as such. “*The Death of a Salesman*,” is typical. That is to say: forever dying at the close of each day’s going to sleep, but, then, aroused, once imprisoned by sleep, but, now shaken by a mysterious, but insistent terror to be faced, awake and shaken, in facing the later awakening at morning of the next day.

In fact, such experiences do occur, often, within the waking day, among many people, day after day, especially after a recurring frightening night; it is the nighttime which is the most terrifying part of the day, in such cases. This is what Obama and his closely held ladies of the night, represent for fear-stricken citizens presently: a living nightmare, in which the ill-disguised identity of the Satan-like Obama self-image strikes great fear in the terrified fearful. The effect on the afflicted citizen (or, child) is sheer terror, a terror like one of the child’s fear that he, or she, or other members of the family, will die

during the night. Indeed Obama's economic and other victims are terrified into submission in precisely this way. The excellently crafted British film of a recurring nightmare, makes this vividly clear to me as something for me to understand, in principle, then, and, ever since.

However, that British movie itself had a wonderfully pleasant effect, a purgative for me, at least (and perhaps some more insightful witnesses of the performance). The producers and actors had presented a most wonderfully credible exposition of the actuality of that motion-picture drama.

That much said on that account; what does this really mean?

I had settled that question, now, long ago. My experiences as a consultant in management affairs, helped my making the elementary mystery about such experiences much clearer to me than for most others. I had recognized a pattern in the relevant syndrome in many among my own clients and the members of their staffs, for example. I tended to identify it, categorically, then, as the financial-accountant's disease, or, that of a typical Wall Street-like mental disease after a bad day on Wall Street, or the like (both choices of cases were valid, but the Wall Street types most frantically and often fantastically).⁶

Wall Street creatures tend often to seem to die every night, and are horrified by the fear of one another's night nightmares, on precisely this account. The financial world, is such a nightmare, inherently. Call it, not a "nightmare," but a "nightmare": you are dead, and being tormented in Hell, and fear there is no escape, not even by dying! People driven toward the brink of suicide by personal "financial disasters," or for the sake of the accountant who seemed to have known too much: every damned night of the business day: Hamlet's Disease! As in the recurring nightmare of William Shakespeare's still living drama.

To understand such diseases of the mind; the flight into insanity or even sudden death, sought to escape the terrors of the night, which live, instead, within the progress of the day. The possession by the mere idea of money devours the human soul by day, and by the victims of the night: all this systematically. So, in the lust for money, the terror of not having more money, may



"A small coterie of brutish women" surrounds Obama. Painting by Francisco Goya, "The Spell" (1797-98).

appear to tear apart the human soul, and even, often, incites suicides, desperate flight from the terrors striking down from the world of dreams, as Shakespeare's character, Hamlet, had done.

Too afraid to die; too afraid to live; too lacking in courage to face reality: he must seek to wish he were killed, like the cowardly Hamlet, in seeking to be killed. How little the dramatist acting on stage actually knows about himself; like the Hamlet who was terrified in his mere play, and, was, then, more terrified by the lack of the sleep of the play, fleeing from the mere shadows of fear of the night of sleep, more, much more, more than any actually living adversary; the fear that the night itself might not come, or, worse, would be all too real.

Hence the essence of Barack Obama and his small

6. Periodic spurts of chronic waves of deaths are inherent in the trade.

coven of witches, who appear to be soul-less (and, properly are), but ever rabid maenads, the witches representing the very dogs from Hell. But, Hamlet could not will himself to die; he lacked the courage even to resist that poison itself, alone, lest it free him from his evil self; he died evil all the way, deep into Hell: he escaped nothing at all, excepting his permanently perfected evil where he lay, in some final moments, in permanent torment flowing with justice from Shakespeare's insightfully penned denunciations of all such creatures, and the cases of the stubborn witless compulsion for denial of the truth of that particular case, even by many of the professional actors performing publicly on stage. There are no happy endings in Hamlet, nor were there any to be discovered, or to be desired, there: merely sentimentally silly people's wishful, and cowardly foolishness, not withstanding.

Coward that he was, Hamlet had let death itself do the dirty work, while he watched himself go dead, gloating, so, at the evil pleasure of his love-hate pain of death, and his own mounting murders of his selected victims, even while his own mouth's prattle ran on, meaninglessly, until the dying juices of life might mock even his own death as never anything but a wasteful thing: a true coward to the end, staring forever, eyes wide open, but soon glazed forever. Too evil to know virtue, and too wicked to die honorably; he let Satan himself deliver him from pain to meaninglessness. The insights I admire in Shakespeare's work, are rarely accepted for their truth. That is the greatest irony of his work in all, when that result is placed on a foolish stage.



*The Wall Street accountants' disease:
"Death and the Miser," by Hieronymus
Bosch (ca. 1494).*

So, the character Hamlet had truly worshiped Satan, but as a whimpering fool, before his true master, his silly soul. Shakespeare himself understood this clearly: not as some poor wit's silliness, but as the persistent folly of the people of his own times. He was a truth-giver, not a mere apologist for sentimental foolishness; his were plays which tormented foolish souls. Such as the fruit of the treason which menaces the numerous cowards of our nation's leadership presently. Those of the lurking night: the nightmare of the silly pompous and cowardly ass in positions of power could not bear to ask about himself. For, in it all, Shakespeare's Hamlet considered himself an evil person and must die in evil, so that he might hope, even futilely, to poison the good for the sake of the ghostly evil which haunted him, as Hitler and Mussolini had done before him, and, as, each like him, would do for himself, like Obama and his attending pack of attending witches, now. He, like the typical oafs of Wall Street, never had any truly human reason to live; for, in fact, their life as such was a morbid fantasy, and no more.

That curse which Obama placed on himself, on the most recent Friday evening—shrieking like a witless demon speaking in sundry witless voices, at the end of that occasion! (The evidence is actually on the manifold, video-created record in many parts of an astonished world)—how could anyone actually succeed in insult-

ing a virtually would-be mere pathetic mimic of Satan, such as Obama himself? Bring the entire world, now, into a global, thermonuclear holocaust, when only mere cowards would seek to hide themselves from the reality of a pending, global, thermonuclear holocaust, from

which no one might ever return. Only a true child of Satan, or an absolutely stupid creature, could nourish such desires as the global thermonuclear, all-exterminating warfare, “the one” which President Barack Obama is now so fanatically (with his witches), has been now determined to launch as the terminal event for the human species, his war.

The Adult’s View Of Present and Future History

The essence of Obama, is that he is, by moral human standards, clinically, criminally insane. That insanity is not peculiarly his own; It is the insanity of his adored fantasy life under the British monarchy, currently, in particular, but it was also the legacy of the celebrated image of Zeus, and of such Zeusians, in fact, as the Roman and British empires, in particular. The understanding of this matter, can only be accomplished by referring to the legendary conflict between Zeus and Prometheus. All Zeus-ians always were, and are intrinsically evil, and are, accordingly, literally Satanic in adopted nature and essential habits. Or, to describe them fairly, they are merely animals with a peculiar difference of less fur than other animals.

Animals are, by comparison, relatively innocents; they have no efficient capacity for comprehension of a non-bestial modality in life. Animals, at their very best, are what mankind might make them enabled to do. This natural distinction of human from beast, or, humanoid beasts such as the Roman and British empires in the Zeus-ian traditions, are not the mere sinner, but are essentially bestial in their behavioral characteristics, as



Francisco Goya, “The Sleep of Reason Produces Monsters,” from “Los Caprichos” (1797-99). “The financial world is . . . a nightmare, inherently. Call it, not a nightmare, but a might-mare: you are dead, and being tormented in Hell, and fear there is no escape, not even by dying!”

both the Roman and British empires were each far more evil than even Hitler or Mussolini, not that the latter were any good, but, fortunately they were not around for as long: excepting the Ukrainian Nazis whose families continue to serve in devotions to the varieties of Nazis assembled under the continuing British sponsorship of the same Ukrainian Nazis now so richly beloved of President Barack Obama and his own itches and witches.

No practitioner of the “Green disease” sponsored by Queen Elizabeth II, is morally human in outlook for practice: for example. Nor are the traditional Ukrainian followers of the actively Nazi legacy of today, of the original Adolf Hitler system’s then resident witches and kindred accomplices then, as presently.

I write and speak here for nothing less than the true cause of humanity, the evil

which Hitler, even before Elizabeth II, had done, and the morally guilty followers of the likes of President Barack Obama and of the evil wretches of Wall Street on that same account.

To wit:

What the British monarchy did in Nineteenth-Century China or, earlier, in British India, or, regularly in Africa, and in the Southern slave-holders’ States inside the United States of the British legacy of British whores (e.g., British Aaron Burr whores such as Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren, and what they did in wrecking the economy and laws of the United States). All done under British imperial direction, and by aid of financing under the control of British royal, and Royal Dutch and other European banks, and also kindred bun-

combe. These are not past practices: they are the living evil practice of the British empire, from past and present alike, still presently. They are also the crimes of Wall Street against our United States and the world, most notably, at the present time. The worship of money as such, is among the most dishonest, and most evil enemies of humanity existing, still, today.

The proof of my argument on this point, here, is scientifically infallible; it is the very essence of a true law as such: the truth about mankind as a living species. The proof of my argument, is virtually absolute: the essential principle of difference, of man from beast and human-slaver alike. The most evil known are the children of the legendary Zeus. It is the fact of human life, and, life itself, as such, as itself.

The Living Prospect for a Bettered World

I must, therefore, now take you into a deeper and richer domain of human knowledge, the distinction of human life from the intrinsic folly of mere sense-perception, as the greatest genius of modern European civilization, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, for example, had comprehended this already. Modern science, if competently pursued, provides much of the same evidence. The distinction of the human mind, from that of the beasts.⁷ Queen Elizabeth II, Obama's British imperial mistress may be more efficiently evil in practice, and more evil in global scale of planetary and long-standing effects; but such refinements as theirs must be relegated, as matters of relative taste to further debate of that matter at some distant time and place. The task is to deal with the crimes immediately at hand on a global scale. Science is the matter at hand.

The Prospect for Life as Such

The notion of a meaning of human life, has been customarily divided between two contrasted meanings: the, inferior one, merely in the flesh (the greatest curse of mankind), and the greatness of permanently living human soul, the triumph of the true meaning of

7. Such as the stated opinion of the essentially ignorant, and very brutishly mentally inferior figure of President Barack Obama, an inherently bestial creature, morally, who seems to come virtually from no clearly defined spiritual or intellectual origins worth considering by honest human beings.



California Institute of Technology

Albert Einstein with Edwin Hubble at the Mount Wilson Observatory in California, 1931. "All the great discoveries of physical principle in the universe are embodied in the costume of great, universal physical principles," writes LaRouche, such as those of Einstein and Max Planck.

the immortal human soul, as I find myself in accord with Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa himself, and others of his leaning.

The distinction between those two, contrasted states of human co-existence, reposes in the notion of the future: the true notion of the human soul, as taught by Christ, by Apostles in the spirit of the Apostle Paul in **I. Corinthians**, 13, and the good men and women who lean toward such affinities, even without fully grasping their true meaning. Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, the greatest mind of his century, understood this explicitly, as other readers of Paul's **I. Corinthians** might have overlooked the crucial point.

That distinction, which I have thus, just stated here, is the essential difference between the living and the ostensibly merely dead in their own mortal intentions. This seeming miraculous distinction, characteristically accessible to the human, if not the human body itself, is more familiar to us as the human practice of true physical science. All the great discoveries of physical principle in the universe are embodied in the costume of great, universal physical principles, such as those, for example, of the physicists Max Planck and Albert Einstein, as made explicit in the writings of Cardinal Nich-

olas of Cusa, and the immense achievements of his scientific under-study, Johannes Kepler and Kepler's followers in physical science of the organization of our Solar System.

There is no distant distinction between the two opinions. The enemies of Cusa were also the enemies, or plain damned fools, of mankind's true destiny. It is the principle of a true physical science, however limited current scientific practice may remain, otherwise, imperfectly comprehended.

The certain proof of the truly universal principle of which I have thus, just stated, lies in the creative powers of the human mind, which no other form of life known to us, has ever achieved. Not the brain, which dies with us, but the human mind in its own true sense. The great principles of physical science, never die (like Douglas MacArthur's old soldier); the discoveries of principle which they have achieved in the expression of their own time in life, however imperfect those discoveries of principle may be, are permanent forces in our universe's history of human life; they are the sacred stepping-stones of true human history's plunge into a greater future for mankind.

The idiots, like the virtually minor Satans of Zeus and his heirs of the Roman and British empires, have no

actually human future to be admired; they are the permanent trash-bins, like the followers of the Nazi members of the presently challenging European cults, as in Ukraine and elsewhere, and admired as satanic elements of society under the British Empire and the U.S. Presidents Bush and Obama, are the satanic filth of the present-day human experience, and must be seen and remembered as such, together with their willing accomplices.

All competent physical science, for example, demonstrates that fact in practice. The potential energy-flux density, per capita, of both the merely sane and more fully human individuals of our time, is one of a persisting increase of the power of the human individual soul within the presently known Solar system and beyond. Those who preach the contrary, are evil in themselves, whether they know this fact, not. The others are the permanently dead in spirit, even if they seem to move still, since they make no witting contribution to the future. Those who are innocent of knowledge, must be forgiven for a negligence for which they may have not been responsible. But, the mission of mankind in mankind's own future, is certain, and relentless passion for the future of mankind, for as long as the true representatives of the principles of the human soul persist.

EIR Special Report

The British Empire's Global Showdown, And How To Overcome It


In the face of a potential thermonuclear World War III, a confrontation being engineered from London by a desperate British-centered financial oligarchy operating through the vast—yet often underestimated—powers of the British monarchy, EIR has produced a 104-page Special Report, documenting both the drive for war, and the war-avoidance efforts of patriotic military/intelligence circles in the U.S., and the Russian and Chinese leaderships. The British hand behind the warmongers, and the concrete economic and strategic programs which can defuse the threat, are elaborated in depth. These include the Russian proposal for collaboration on the Strategic Defense of Earth (SDE), based on Lyndon LaRouche's original Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI).

The Global Showdown report is available in hard copy for \$250, and in pdf form for \$150, from the [EIR store](#).

Call **1-800-278-3135** for more information.

EIR
Special Report

The British Empire's Global Showdown, and How To Overcome It



June 2012

'Is Obama Simply Insane?'

That question is not our creation, but the headline of a message sent out to a very large group of Washington diplomatic and military figures (current and retired) by Ambassador Chas Freeman, a former member of the Obama Administration's Defense Department and a veteran of the diplomatic service, going back to 1972. While Freeman did not write the message, he thought the content should go out.

We quote it in part:

"I have NEVER in my entire life witnessed such BRAIN-DEAD decisions coming from the Obama Administration in any government in the world or former administrations. To impose sanctions on Russia is absolutely INSANE!!!! That puts Putin in a box that he cannot escape from, for if he relaxes, he is then seen as weak at home and the hardliners will eat him alive. Obama has just made the crisis far worse.

"Russia has retorted and it will now CANCEL all nuclear treaties. It can shut off the energy to Europe and watch them crawl. Now, any international observers will NEVER get into Crimea. We have dumb and dumber at the helm here. Obama is doing EVERYTHING perfectly wrong and this escalation will only grow far worse. Even China has rejected Obama's sanctions. Just what did he expect? Putin will suddenly say, gee, I was wrong? Come on. This is like some stupid fight with his wife—'and I refuse to talk until you do what I say.'...

"Sorry, this is no way to run a government. You cannot humiliate Putin and actually expect progress; this is inviting war. You cannot defeat China & Russia. So what is anyone trying to accomplish here? Freedom and Liberty for All?"

The question posed deserves a serious answer. What *is* the aim of this insane, provocative behavior by Obama?

The answer is what most strategic thinkers, including in the targeted countries like Russia and China, want to avoid. Obama is not acting "on his own" or from his own convictions; he is, whether he knows it or not, following a script which was written for him by the British monarchy, and which is intended to force Russia and China to capitulate to their demands. The result of such a capitulation, as intended as well, is to suppress technological progress and carry out a *depopulation* policy worldwide, in a mode similar to that of the Roman Empire, which carried out a dramatic depopulation campaign during its dominant years.

And if Russia will *not* capitulate, the British Empire may just be insane enough to have their puppet Obama "push the thermonuclear button," exterminating most of the population of the planet.


This reality shouldn't surprise anyone who has watched what the British imperial financial system has been doing over the decades since President Kennedy's death. The deep-sinking of advanced technologies such as nuclear and fusion power, the degradation of living standards, the collapse of basic infrastructure, the degeneration of culture—it all parallels the Roman imperial "experiment." Contrast today the slashing of pensions and health care in EU-dominated Western Europe, with the increased wages and drive for scientific advance in Eurasia, and you see both what future the Empire offers, and what it wishes to loot and destroy.

The Empire's most dangerous tool, Barack Obama, must be removed from power now! He has repeatedly shown himself to be simply an instrument for Wall Street and the British, who want to kill billions. The *sane* people who reject this horror must act now.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- ☐ **\$360** for one year
☐ **\$180** for six months
☐ **\$120** for four months
☐ **\$90** for three months
☐ **\$60** for two months
- ☐ Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa
☐ Discover ☐ Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____