

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

February 21, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 8 www.larouche.com \$10.00

Put Glass-Steagall Through Now To Stop World War!
Neo-Nazi Coup in Ukraine Would Mean War on Russia
LaRouche: Saving the United States from Extermination

**On the Subject of Thermonuclear
Warfare: Mind Over Your Matter**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
Editorial Board: Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz

Editor: Nancy Spannaus
Managing Editors: Bonnie James, Susan Welsh
Technology Editor: Marsha Freeman
Book Editor: Katherine Notley
Graphics Editor: Alan Yue
Photo Editor: Stuart Lewis
Circulation Manager: Stanley Ezrol

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg
Economics: John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher
History: Anton Chaitkin
Ibero-America: Dennis Small
Russia and Eastern Europe: Rachel Douglas
United States: Debra Freeman

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: Javier Almarino
Berlin: Rainer Apel
Copenhagen: Tom Gillesberg
Houston: Harley Schlanger
Lima: Sara Madueño
Melbourne: Robert Barwick
Mexico City: Gerardo Castilleja Chávez
New Delhi: Ramtanu Maitra
Paris: Christine Bierre
Stockholm: Ulf Sandmark
United Nations, N.Y.C.: Leni Rubinstein
Washington, D.C.: William Jones
Wiesbaden: Göran Haglund

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirms@larouchepub.com
www.larouchepub.com
www.executiveintelligencereview.com
www.larouchepub.com/eiw
Webmaster: John Sigerson
Assistant Webmaster: George Hollis
Editor, Arabic-language edition: Hussein Askary

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly
(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,
P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.
(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach
Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650
Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>
e-mail: eirna@eirna.com
Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,
basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:
eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,
Col Plutarco E Calles,
Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,
Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights
reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without
permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement
#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.
Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

There's an old proverb that there's nothing like a crisis to focus the mind. We would have to add the corollary that, for that focus to be of any value, the correct approach to a solution to that crisis must be at hand.

It is that approach, which is the global strategic means to avert the crisis of thermonuclear war staring us in the face, which Lyndon LaRouche presents in his latest paper, "Mind Over Your Matter," which leads this issue of *EIR*. LaRouche takes the situation from the top, taking into account the planet as a whole, and arguing that "the crucial factor will be serenity of the truly human spirit, as to be distinguished from 'British imperialist' rage. . ."

It is that rage which we see ravaging Ukraine with Western-backed Nazis, and Southwest Asia with Saudi-backed terrorists, and driving toward a crisis of thermonuclear confrontation with Russia.

In *Economics* LaRouche hones in on precisely why the crisis is escalating at this time, and what immediately must be done: namely, "Put Glass-Steagall Through To Stop World War!" and impeach Obama. The reality is that the bankrupt British Empire is desperately trying to crush Eurasia—before it itself collapses. A backup article elaborates on how close we are to that crash. In our *National* section, LaRouche presents a four-point program as to exactly what must be done.

But to muster the courage to act, people must face the full reality of the strategic crisis. It is that which Helga Zepp-LaRouche presented in a Feb. 12 seminar in Washington, D.C., in graphic detail, especially on the Nazi nature of the "opposition" in Ukraine. We provide fulsome documentation of some of her crucial points, straight from the Russian press and the historical record—all of which show just how the lying Western press has become part of the propaganda push for World War III.

As we go to press, this push is escalating dramatically, and time is rapidly running out for the decisive action required to disrupt the war drive by removing Obama. Under these conditions, we will be increasing frequency of publication of Hot News items on www.larouchepub.com. Visit there often for the latest breaking developments, and Lyndon LaRouche's virtually daily input and guidance.

Cover This Week

A missile is launched from an Aegis-equipped destroyer in the Pacific.



U.S. Navy

4 On the Subject of Thermonuclear Warfare: Mind Over Your Matter

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “On the matter of the presently ongoing march into a global thermonuclear warfare of mutually human extinction: the crucial factor will be serenity of the truly human spirit, as to be distinguished from ‘British imperialist’ rage. . . .

“*Strategy*, contrary to commonplace chatter, means, today, ‘the human planet, when considered as a whole.’ This still holds today, on the presumption that that is the actual term to be applied: as distinct from the relatively childish level of ‘tactics.’ It means the entirety of the relevant portion of the geography of the entire human species, that respecting the full sweep from the past (as since Zeus-vs.-Prometheus, to the present moments of history, since Columbus), into the need to shape the future destiny of mankind.”

7 A Close Circle of Friends

14 Prescott Bush and Hitler

Economics

16 Before the ‘Bail-In’ Detonator: LaRouche: Put Glass-Steagall Through To Stop World War!

Lyndon LaRouche responds to a question at his Feb. 14 webcast on www.larouchepac.com, and, in a later discussion with associates, elaborates on why the British financial oligarchy is pursuing war.

19 Like Fed Before 2008 Crash: Yellen to Congress: Economy ‘No Problem’

The new chairman of the Federal Reserve, Janet Yellen, repeatedly denied in her testimony to the House Financial Services and Senate Banking Committees that the impact of the Fed’s “tapering” of money-printing since December, was any problem at all.

22 ‘To Protect Its People’: Indonesia Says No to Free Market

24 Fusion Power: ‘We Could Have a Reactor in Ten Years’

A report from the conference on “Fusion: Update on the International ITER Project,” hosted by the American Security Project.

26 Livermore’s Laser Fusion Progress Widely Covered

International**28 Helga Zepp-LaRouche:
Only a Paradigm-Shift
Can Avert World War III**

If the present policy of the United States, the EU, and NATO toward Ukraine is continued, Zepp-LaRouche told a private gathering in Washington, we may have a thermonuclear war by the end of February or the beginning of March.

**32 Izborsk Club: Stop Nazi
Coup in Ukraine****34 Ukraine: The Budapest
Memorandum of 1994****35 Russian Ambassador to
NATO: BMD
Discussions 'Exhausted'****35 Allen Dulles and
OUN-B: CIA/MI6 Use of
Nazis in Ukraine
Ongoing?****37 French Political Leader
Jacques Cheminade:
Glass-Steagall Will
Strike the Financial
Oligarchy at Its Root**

An interview with Voice of Russia radio.

**39 De Gaulle on Vietnam:
'No Military Solution'****National****43 Saving the United States
from Extermination**

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. charges: "If the United States were not under the lunacy of President Barack Obama, there could be no new world war now." A four-point program to reverse the crisis, after Obama's impeachment.

**45 Candidate Steger's
Declaration of Intent:
Rebuild U.S. Promethean
Leadership To Stop
Thermonuclear War**

LaRouche Policy Committee member Michael Steger announces his intent to run as a Democrat for the seat currently held by House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi, in the 12th Congressional District of California.

**47 Non-Mechanical
Economic Cycles:
Generalizing the
Principle of Government
Credit**

Part II of a series by Michael Kirsch.

Editorial**50 Impeach Obama Now!**

ON THE SUBJECT OF THERMONUCLEAR WARFARE:

Mind Over Your Matter

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

February 8, 2014

On the matter of the presently ongoing march into a global thermonuclear warfare of mutually human extinction: the crucial factor will be serenity of the truly human spirit, as to be distinguished from “British imperialist” rage.

The Issue Before Us

Betwixt yesterday’s webcast, and its aftermath, today, I have posed the most crucial of all the strategic issues at hand with my concluding response to the question posed to me during yesterday evening’s Webcast, and a continuation of that same subject in the discussion among the assembled body this morning. On both of those two occasions, the crucial issue of strategy has been posed, directly, as a subject-matter which could not be competently considered, without that specific quality of command by our own republic’s forces which I have emphasized on each of these two occasions.

During the remainder of this month of February, the world in its entirety is presently gripped by a countdown to global genocide, a genocide intended against human beings, but, which the animals themselves could not outlive. That statement, just stated precisely, in these two opening paragraphs, is the basis in meanings

for all that now follows here. I forewarn the reader: this opening statement contains the meanings of all that now follows, here, throughout:

On this account, I have emphasized, on both occasions, that the only visible choice of strategic policy for our United States itself, were the expulsion of the current U.S. President, Barack Obama, from office—immediately: with Constitutional due-process, but the greatest permissible haste. The fact of the matter is, presently, that both the present majority of the U.S. Senate, and The House of Representatives, are presently disposed to prefer cheap political opportunism, over reason and sanity alike. In general, fear of lost privilege, disposes them to evade moral responsibility. The great majority of the U.S.A.’s citizenry would profoundly prefer the ouster of that British imperial puppet, Obama; but the Senate and House, so far, lack both the will, and the honor to do their true proper duty in this matter; they have preferred, this far, their habit of operating from behind the Bushes; Prescott (who had brought Adolf Hitler into power); the essentially goofy George H.W.; and, the chronically witless George W. Jr., have, each, and all, at the appropriate time, preferred the interests of the British World Empire in earlier and present determinations over the principled Constitutional interests of our United States. Therefore, now: the question would be, would the Bush family’s political tradition impel them to subordinate the existence of our United States to a support for the British Empire’s



White House/Pete Souza

President Obama's essential characteristic, LaRouche writes, "is his suitability for immediate impeachment; only the foolish, or simply gutless members of our Congress would perceive matters in any other light than what I have just presented here." Shown here, the 2013 State of the Union speech.

present tradition—impel them to support, directly, or implicitly, an imperialist, thermonuclear assault on the Eurasian region of the planet, an utterly wrongful war which would almost surely bring about the virtual extermination of the human species?¹

After about an hour-and-a-half of the launch of such full-scale warfare, there would be virtually no living human beings on this planet, excepting the hopelessly dying. No option of a safe, "limited warfare," presently exists.

The mere puppet of the world-empress of the moment, and of her so-called "Green" policy, Queen Elizabeth II, is U.S. President Barack Obama, who is her own key instrument, presently, for bringing the human species into a never-before-known experience, that of globally thermonuclear warfare upon this planet: an almost assured extinction of the human species—perhaps before the end of this current month, or not much beyond that point: unless we prevent it!

There are, options available; but there is, presently,

1. Not only the political Bush-family notables mentioned, but a majority of the elected Presidents of the United States of America, have placed their loyalties to the British Empire, rather than those of our United States. Prescott Bush, although never a President, his notable son, and grandson, have echoed such dubious U.S. Presidents.

no significant evidence that the majority of the U.S. Congress will be willfully sane in this matter so immediately at hand. We might hope for better; but, it were better not to wait. Throwing Obama out now, appears to be the only remedy for this presently imminent disaster. On the contrary, a duped fraction of the House of Representatives has gone to the edge of such an insane policy on this account, albeit under extraordinary pressure from the British imperial system's flunky, the misfortunate, present, Barack Obama Administration.

That is my subject for today. I shall now explain the relevant evidence to be entertained.

I. The Crucial Historical Factor

The crucial factor to be considered here, and now, is the systemic flaw in the general behavior of the leaders among the nations who would, normally, be presumed not to tolerate the strategic policies of either Her Majesty Elizabeth II, nor her captive lout and that disgusting puppet, President Barack Obama, who is the mere stooge of no significant intellectual consequence: merely a very nasty puppet with a criminally-inclined mind. He has only one eminently essential consequence: his suitability for immediate impeachment; only the foolish, or simply gutless members of our Congress would perceive matters in any other light than what I have just presented here.

History's light must be prudently cast upon three most relevant, recent cases from the world history known this far. The first of these three, is the original (Classical Greek) presentation of the case of the evil Zeus, versus the humanist, Prometheus. The second case of outstanding historical significance, is the systemically Zeus-ian Rise and Fall of the Roman Empire (as the tradition of the British Empire and its Dutch imperialist origins). The third, is the actually Dutch

empire, but cutely, commonly mis-named as the British Empire: that is the embodiment of sheer, virtually planetary evil within which the British empire has placed its American puppet, President Obama, in particular.

The particularly outstanding relevance of the first of those three cases, is: that which Aeschylus had presented as the common case for these three instances to be considered here: the issue was, actually, as stated then, as in all competent scientific knowledge presently, the generic meaning of “fire.”²

Zeus forbade “fire’s use” by mankind; Prometheus demanded the use of fire by mankind (which is the distinction of man from ape). The Classical-Greek Prometheus demanded mankind’s progress through the use of “fire.” What Prometheus intended, and there was only one particular error-of-omission in this matter on his part: is what modern science knows under the caption of modern “chemistry:” which, in turn, is, in-fact-of-practice, best exemplified by mankind’s successive increase in the energy-flux-density of the upward course of the evolution of chemistry: as that lies under the essential inclusion of the leading factor of human progress centered in the use of “fire:” increasing leaps in the quality of “fire,” per-capita, and per-leap in the application of increasingly concentrated energy-flux-densities.

On the other side:

The so-called “green disease” spread by the British empire, is the *Zeus-ian option*: typified presently by Queen Elizabeth II’s evil-green obsession: to reduce the Earth’s human population: from, approximately, seven billions human beings, to less than one: *genocide!* The explicitly genocidal policies of President Barack Obama, are, no less than greatly-accelerated-measures-of-genocide against the U.S.A.’s population: all evils done betwixt the complicities of Obama and the mass-murderers represented by his accomplices, a rabidly anglophilic Wall Street.³

In fact: since the assassination of U.S. President John F. Kennedy, and that of his brother, Robert, and the failed attempt on the life of President Ronald Reagan, there has been a continuing downward plunge in the net physical income of the U.S. population as a whole: from the beginning of the U.S. Vietnam War, which would have been impossible, had President Kennedy not been assassinated; the per-capita physical-net-

income of the U.S. population has collapsed, continually, at increasing rates, the worst of which have been under the nominal Presidency of George W. Bush, Jr., and the deepest plunge to national U.S.A. bankruptcy was achieved only during the tyranny of President Barack Obama. (Any contrary claim was simply an outright lie, then, or now.)

It has been under those trends, and their respective phases, that we now find ourselves at the brink of a global thermonuclear holocaust. The probability is, that this is pre-set for some time between the present time in February, most likely, and early March.

President Obama was never the real President of the United States, other than certified President-in-name; but in-fact-of-practice, not; he was, in fact of practice, a stooge (*a virtual lick-spittle of The imperial Court of St. James*) which had been imposed by the British monarchy, as if from *The-Queen-from-the-top-down*. He is a dutiful expression of a British imperial monarchy, which had steered the British-backed drug-trafficking which had been a crucial factor his election. Obama has never been an actual President: he has been in fact of practice, merely a disgusting stooge created by, and to be deployed for, as, in fact: at the behest of Her (imperial) Majesty, Elizabeth II (and, also, by heritage, an actually imperial agency of traditionally British imperial interests: such as Wall Street, as that had been typified as treasonous by the Presidencies of Aaron Burr lackey, Andrew Jackson, Martin Van Buren, and others such as Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson—the latter pair, as by the most notable consequences of the assassination of President William McKinley).

All of this must be understood from the three vantage-points I had identified here, above. It has been, first, a Zeus-ian (i.e., Satanic) phenomenon, one identified by the Classical Greeks; and, second, by the rise and fall of the Roman Empire: and, as by the echo of that Roman Empire, which has been the avowed intention of the so-called “Dutch Treat,” the so-called Dutch imperium (which had virtually gobbled up the France of Louis XIV, and, then, the British Isles): naming this dirty, *Dutch Treat* a British empire, which was made by the *Dutch Treat* designers. The Dutch root of all that has been seen crawling above the turf in modern Europe since.⁴

2. I.e., “energy-flux density.”

3. “Wall Street” is essentially an implicitly treasonous branch of the British Empire, not a patriotic American association.

4. In the aftermath of the prior Century’s Venetian calamity: e.g., the period of the Golden Renaissance: that of the scientific revolution of Filippo Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa, created by them, and by their followers in their tradition: by such exemplars as Leonardo da Vinci, Johannes Kepler, and Gottfried Leibniz

II. Cusa & Christopher Columbus

The most notable strategic outcome of the Great, trans-Atlantic Renaissance,⁵ was that activated, in fact, by Christopher Columbus' instruction respecting the policies of a then-deceased Nicholas of Cusa. That mediation was supplied by the surviving collaborators of Cusa himself: a Cusa who had devised the grand-strategic design which became adopted for flanking the disease which was then Europe, with a prospective American alternative. The essence of the conception of an American republic, was born, then, and there. The British (Anglo-Dutch) empire has been, entirely, an outgrowth of the Zeusian evil on which imperial Rome had

5. I reject the commonplace (and utterly foolish) mis-use of the name of "strategy" commonplace among the clowns of today's world. Strategy, when properly used as a term, signifies "global" in a relative, but also absolute sense. "Strategic" refers, for purposes of description: to relatively global. Thus, Christopher Columbus created what has since become the modern practical reality of the use of term "strategic," from Eurasian to "trans-Atlantic," and, consequently, also "trans-Pacific," or, truly "Global." It is the extension of speeds of transport, and the addition of "thermonuclear," which now confronts us with a truly global form of "thermonuclear extermination" as if in a single day, or less.

based its own empire; and, more recently, what is curiously named as the British (or, better-said, "brutish") empire of Queen Elizabeth II, today.

I was, truly, a strategist for the SDI attempt. As I was in the leading part assigned to me under the team of the President Ronald Reagan who had not died from an attempted assassination against him which had occurred as an intended assassination: one on the same list as President John F. Kennedy, and his brother, Robert, the latter a scheduled Democratic Party contender—and likely victor, otherwise.⁶ The importance of that page from earlier decades of history, is that the

6. "SDI" had been underway shortly after my first Presidential pre-nomination. The brutishly-British Bushes had burned with hatred against me since Prescott's breed learned of my identity: on, essentially, precisely that account. My active European connections played a crucial part in the launching of the project backed by President Reagan; but, surviving veterans of earlier wars fought by the U.S.A. had played a crucial part in my selection for my part in the design of the project. The brutishly British empire and George H.W. Bush's connections, had been key agents operating against the President Reagan Administration on this account. The significance of my reference, here, to the 1980s SDI, above, is that it fits, most notably, with my prominent roles in the events in July-December 1971-72, and on later occasions.

A Close Circle of Friends

Cardinal **Nicholas of Cusa** (1401-64) was a close friend of Italian astronomer, mathematician, and geographer **Paolo dal Pozzo Toscanelli** (1394?-1482). The latter in turn was an intimate of the representative of the Portuguese royal house in Florence, **Dom Gomes Ferreira da Silva**, who worked with the circles of Cusa to organize the historic Council of Florence.

Dom Gomes returned to Portugal, becoming the head of the Camaldolese Order. His successor in that post was **Fernão Martins**, who was greatly esteemed by both Cusa and Toscanelli. Upon Cusa's death, Martins and Toscanelli were both named as executors of his estate.

In 1474, the two men corresponded on the possibilities of sailing west to reach the Indies, and Toscanelli sent Martins a map explaining his idea. The original of this letter was lost, but Toscanelli later transcribed it, along with the map, and sent it to



Christopher Columbus



Nicholas of Cusa


Christopher Columbus, whom it guided on his historic voyage.

EIR's Timothy Rush, who told the story in [EIR](#), Nov. 20, 1998, summed up: "It was not a question of the *influence* of the Cusa-Toscanelli circle on the Portugal of Henry the Navigator, but of *one single circle of personal collaborators.*"

whole matter has been, more recently, a continued British imperial policy since the death of President Franklin Roosevelt.

I had fallen into recognizing that same pattern, much earlier than my notable influence since the early 1970s,⁷ as during my statement for a group of U.S. soldiers on the evening of the news of the death of President Franklin D. Roosevelt; that policy of mine, then, had followed me in British and related circles ever since, to the present day. I remained, since then, as a solid hater of the anti-FDR strategic policies of Bertrand Russell and Winston Churchill ever since: to the present day. My own strategic policies have remained with that specific focus, there, precisely, ever since, to the present day: my motive is simply patriotic (in the sense of the American tradition of Benjamin Franklin, George Washington, Alexander Hamilton, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, William McKinley, Franklin D. Roosevelt, and John F. Kennedy, and, others only lesser in specific politically historical weight of my personal commitments, still presently).

7. Since my somewhat “Pyrrhic victory” of 1971-72.



Planetary Defense

Leading circles in Russia have made clear their intent to judo the current British-Obama insane drive towards war, by invoking the principle of Lyndon LaRouche's Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI). Termed the Strategic Defense of Earth, the SDE would focus on cooperation between the U.S.A. and Russia for missile defense, as well as defense of the planet against the threat of asteroid or comet impacts.

The destiny of mankind now is to meet the challenge of our “extraterrestrial imperative”!

Available from [LaRouchePAC](#)

The considerations, to that effect, as taken by me, here, are, in retrospect, valid in essential terms of commitment, at this time. This is not merely a personal preference; but, it has a far deeper purpose. I am a strategist in the deepest sense of the meaning of the term, “strategist.” I explain that crucially important point, as follows.

Strategy as a Scientific Principle:

Strategy, contrary to commonplace chatter, means, today, “the human planet, when considered as a whole.” This still holds today, on the presumption that that is the actual term to be applied: as distinct from the relatively childish level of “tactics.” It means the entirety of the relevant portion of the geography of the entire human species, that respecting the full sweep from past (as since Zeus-vs.-Prometheus, to the present moments of history, since Columbus), into the need to shape the future destiny of mankind.

In earlier usages of that concept (that of actual strategy as I have just defined it, here) it has properly signified the subsumption of the interplay among the relevantly coherent regions of the planet and its relevant set of populations, which are relatively significantly interactive. Now, since the Discovery of America brought into play by the influence traced to Nicholas of Cusa: the notion of the planet as a whole, has meant “increasingly,” with the subsequent passage of time: and, the varying scope of the planet’s human habitation, throughout, as a relative whole. Politically, that means the struggle, chiefly by Christians in the legacy of Peter and Paul, who had lived under the Zeus-ian bestiality of the Roman Empire.

This reality, as apprehended by the great genius Nicholas of Cusa, had foreseen the strategic urgency of moving out of the strategic zone of Eurasia. His concern had been centered in the threat to the existence of a Christian society, which history, since the Roman Empire, had shown, could not be sustained within strategically nearby Europe and its supra-European scope of strategically efficient significance.

So, living associates of a deceased Cusa, had continued an original devotion to moving elements of European civilization, from the Eurasian nightmare of the Zeus-ian reigns of the recurring Roman-imperial-rooted terror, and, the genocide which had been repeatedly conducted against Christians and Jews (cf. Philo), in particular. The crossing of the Atlantic, by Columbus, was Columbus’s own, specific intention, but, one

imbued within him through a great Christian Bishop, closely tied to Cusa, in Portugal. That was the struggle to revive the Christian legacy, then, within a beleaguered Europe, since Cusa's death: Columbus was drafted by the associates of Cusa, to carry out that great mission.

Immediately, already during Columbus's voyages to the Americas, the massive wave of religious warfare within Europe served as a destructive force. William Shakespeare had been a notable intellectual force within Britain (especially England) during the latter part of the preceding century and the opening decade of the Seventeenth Century. This can not be competently minimized; it is always a relative handful of creative human spirits which had, always produced great moments in history—whenever they had, in fact, proposed even as relatively significant forces. True genius has always been the exceptional case: not only in relative influence on the population in general, but the intensity of true genius encountered during relevant, exceptional movements of progress in the human condition.

On that account, the Twentieth Century has been largely an abomination, but for Franklin Roosevelt and the relatively “mini-renaissance” of John F. Kennedy's brief, if nonetheless great, life, as President. However, trans-Atlantic musical culture had collapsed into narrower and shallower expressions, respecting breadth and depth, since the death of Johannes Brahms and since the mathematical forms of degeneration of scientific rates of creative progress, as since the mathematicians' backsliding of 1900 and the onset of the Horatio Alger and related hoaxes of the Theodore Roosevelt, Wilson, Coolidge, and Hoover years of general political and moral obscenities. President Franklin Roosevelt's four elections to the Presidency, were the one, truly great exception to a British-directed treason's increasingly depressing effect on the minds and morals of our U.S.A. population.⁸

Those elements of a trend in direction, typify that road toward Hell, which now grips the trans-Atlantic region of our planet.

That means: that the trend, since that time, in particular, in political thinking, heretofore, in U.S. na-

tional politics, already condemns our republic, like western Europe itself, to a virtual Hell. The only remedy, is to “kick the pricks,” and, to urge those who enjoy no promise of leisurely succor, to disturb the ostensibly established order of the presumed ruling class of the United States, in particular, which they spit upon as unfit to rule: lest the human species itself be destroyed by the influence of an affluent class, such as that of Wall Street presently. The complacency of the incumbent regime, must be upset by those who are the incumbent “fat political and financial cats,” “cats” who must now be sorely upset, and that very soon, and most emphatically, as they have responded violently to my interventions since this past year. This is not a matter of upsetting a serene government; it is the only means of rescuing our republic from an epidemic of essentially worse than merely useless “fat political and financial cats.”

We must assemble an array of the concerned, to the purpose of ridding the planet of the disease, as typified by Wall Street, which threatens to destroy the civilization of our planet so immediately as now.

What, therefore, is the wonderful remedy which might bring such a noble outcome for all humanity, about?

III. Our Renaissance

Now. I am going to take advantage from an author's right: to quote myself at a certain length, this time from my concluding remarks during a Webcast presentation made here on the Friday, February 7th evening. For many, my message there was shocking, but necessarily so: even among most of my own immediate associates. Nonetheless, the relevance of what I had spoken, on that occasion, last evening, has the relatively greatest degree of relevance, if and when we consider the global threat of thermonuclear human-extinction-threat, a human extinction which is now threatening a relatively immediate global human extermination.

I shall now place those remarks copied from that evening's webcast, within quotation-marks, and shall, thereafter, present my concluding message for this report as a whole.⁹

LaRouche: “Well, all these questions come under one great issue, that man is not an animal. Mankind is

8. Kennedy and his brother, Robert, were notable exceptions, and Presidents Reagan and Clinton, remain as highly respectable Presidents; the later two Presidents have been political garbage (the kindest term available, all facts considered: “9-11,” for example.

9. The punctuation for this chapter, is my own.

being educated as if he were an animal. Mankind is also, often being treated, socially, as if he were an animal; but, mankind is not an animal.

“The difference is what is called ‘creativity.’ Now, for most people in the educational system, today: as a result of that educational system, very few people understand the true message of science. For example, all modern science of any competence, has depended upon the contributions specific to two great figures of the Renaissance period: Filippo Brunelleschi, the older figure, who invented modern physics: he built a great cathedral, for example; the greater figure, the most universal genius of that age, was Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa. The interconnected work of those two, was the first miracle of this type ever created in modern times: I have been there, in effect of my knowledge of the history of modern science; I know it. [I’ve seen it again, and again, and I have admired it, and studied it. I’ve worked with scientists in understanding what the accomplishment was. He was the greatest genius!] He changed all kinds of conceptions of physics, and so forth: all the bad ones, all the ones I **hate!**

“Like Euclidean geometry: the crazy geometry that’s taught in schools! It’s for idiots; it’s not for human beings. It’s not scientific; it’s junk! Euclidean geometry? It’s garbage! It always was! It never had any scientific merit, whatsoever.

“You know, for example: I was about the age of 14, and I used to visit, with my family, on the outskirts of Boston; and, I went there a number of times. And I’d seen the **U.S.S. Constitution**, at bay, again and again. And, how can you just ‘go to see the **Constitution**?’ How can you ‘go to see the **Constitution**,’ all the time? I was 13-14 years of age, so, I enjoyed the **Constitution**; I thought it was great; but, we were unable to take [that ship] out; we’re not able to go with it; you’re not able to experience anything with it. So, I looked at other things.

“And, now, I happened to be, not so coincidentally,



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

LaRouche figured out, at about the age of 14, that Euclidean geometry made no sense, if you looked at the real world. Describing constructions of structural steel, he told his teacher: “This, to me, is the principle of geometry. It has to be: because it’s the principle of construction.” Shown is the Brooklyn Bridge, the first bridge to use steel as the principal structural material.

in a period in which the transition from iron-and-brick construction in cities [had dominated], but we had come into a new phase. We were now in the age of sky-scraping structural steel. So, I would go over, and study the structural steel and compare that with the iron-and-brick construction; and, I would have made a number of visits, three or four visits there; and I realized what I was looking at.

“Now, I didn’t know anything about Euclidean geometry as such; I didn’t know the name of Euclidean geometry existed. So, the first day of this class, the teacher, who happened to be a friend of my mother, said: ‘Okay, who knows what geometry is? Any of you got an idea of what geometry is?’

“I said: ‘Yes, sure.’

“She said: ‘O.K.’

“So, I had to explain this process about the structural steel, in which you had to compensate for the part of the steel which is actually useful in supporting structure, as opposed to iron rod; and you have to realize that you must not have all that weight; you must discover how much of the steel you actually require to do the supporting job, and, what part is just weight which is going to increase the burden.

“So, I saw this in the form of punched-hole steel

structure; I saw it in terms of cross-wires, which approximated that, and, so, I said, that's it. This, to me, is the principle of geometry. It has to be: because it's the principle of construction."

"And, boy did I get really beaten up on that: 'No; that's not what Euclid said.'!!!

"I said: 'Well, Euclid's an idiot!' [laughter] Which he was.

"This was my experience, in terms of finding exactly how I resolved what was going on. Because, the problem we have in society, in the education, even in my age, is that people in the education process—and it's much worse now; education in public schools in decent parts of the economy, is not stable: it's worse than ever. Children, teenagers, and so forth are worse-educated in their time, than they were in mine. People of my generation were geniuses compared to what you get as college graduates, today. This is a fair standard: no exaggeration, whatsoever.

"You look at the educational program: the basic problem here, is that which is common to both then, and now: is, that the basis of what people think they know, is based on the presumption, that they're given tests on various subjects; they're pre-conditioned to receive these tests. And, if the teacher says something wrong, well, then, the teachers will mark you down, for supporting a policy they don't agree with. And, this is not done on the teacher's volition; it is done by the system of education. So, the system of education prescribes those methods of 'right' questions and answers. And you are supposed to guess what the right question is, and the right answer to that question. And, you are graded then, on the basis of whether you agree with what you're taught, or not.

"That was bad then; it's worse now.

"Public opinion now, is much more ignorant; it almost makes monkeys look smart—the way in which your education system works. So, that, essentially, is the key part.

"So, the problem is, 'What does *science* mean?' Then, you get into the real meat: when you start from this kind of exploration, realizing *why* you are right. You know you can prove it, physically, experimentally; but, the teachers tell you: 'you are wrong!' And, they will grade you, and they will try to drum it into your head! Well, I was a very stubborn character [and, as experience has shown, usually right in these matters]; I didn't like that kind of stuff [like that]; but, I would drum back!

"But, what the demonstration is:

"You say: 'Wait a minute—they're saying that mathematics is science? I've just seen the mathematics in this classroom; I've seen the mathematics! It ain't true. It's based on numbers [not physics].

"Well, the short of it is, as you were just referring to it, is the fact that mathematics is not science. Mathematics is a cheap imitation of science; it's not science! And, other considerations are involved.

"What you see: is, when you look at the mind of man, and that's what I look at, and I'm a great lover of Classical musical composition. I lived on it. I dwelled on it. I devoured it. I pursued it. I sought it out where I could find it. And, I know something about science! And, when I compare that with Shakespeare? Shakespeare was a scientist; he was not a playwright! Yes, he was [also] a playwright, but he knew how to put some stuff into the play! Which is something most playwrights don't know how to do. That's why you get the *crap* in music, and the *crap* in drama, and so forth, that you have in drama today.

"Because, we don't realize: you can not separate the *passion* of man, from what you want to call the knowledge of man! And, Shakespeare demonstrates that in his *insight* into history! Now, what is this thing—why can't monkeys think! They may invent sometimes, but you don't want them around at the time, if you know anything about monkeys. Now, the gorilla: that's a different case; the gorilla's very polite; he doesn't ask for sex: until his wife beats him up. Very gentle guy—amazing. This big brutish guy, is a very gentle guy [as such matters go], is a very gentle kind of animal, the mountain gorilla especially.

"But, the issue is: what is humanity? Well, humanity is not an animal [species]. And, so, you have two parts: you have the mental process, which is divided into two different parts: the mental process is divided into [two] different parts: you have the function of the brain. Now, all kinds of things have brains. Plants almost even try to develop an approximation in the way they function; but, it's mostly tension, biological tension.

"But, in mankind, it's different. In mankind: take people like Max Planck and Albert Einstein [are]: outstanding, well-known names. What is different about them and a piece of crap like Bertrand Russell? And the students of Bertrand Russell, who are all a pain in the neck, or other parts of the anatomy, if you prefer? You realize, there is no separation between man's particular kind of creative passion—and I'm speaking of creativ-



NASA/Pat Rawlings

An artist's conception of mining on the resource-rich Moon. Mankind is discovering new, higher forms of fire, LaRouche writes, like the application of Helium-3 from the Moon to the process of creating thermonuclear fusion power on Earth.

ity, what makes man different than an animal. It's something which we call, in many ways, 'spiritual;' but, 'the spiritual' is something too vaporous, it's mysterious.

"Well: it's not [actually] mysterious. It's only mysterious to the ignorant. And, our job is to develop a society in which ignorance is cured. It doesn't mean [a] perfect cure; because, you always have to progress—but, that's the point! What do we mean by 'progress in knowledge'? We mean the discovery of principle. What did Max Planck discover; what did Einstein discover? They didn't discover how to deduce something; they discovered a *principle* which was previously unknown; and, they were able to test, whether, or not, it was a know[able] quantity.

"Mankind is not an animal. Mankind, as we know him: first, as mankind, as we can prove archeologically [and] otherwise, man was cooking his lunch. Animals do not cook their lunch: they don't use fire as an instrument. They [humans] are Prometheans, not Zeus-ians. Zeus-ians don't allow fire to be used by people. Only Prometheans permit fire to be used: as an instrument of man.

"Now, what is it we mean by 'fire'? Well: we mean 'chemistry.' And, chemistry is not a fixed system. The universe is changing all the time; it's evolving to higher states; but, animals can't do that. The animal has no knowledge of that; but, the human being does.

"So, you have people [who] love Cusa and Brunelleschi. They were exemplary of a new generation, a new culture, coming out of a very dark period of man's past. *They created modern science!—and, they didn't believe in deduction! And, that's the difference!*

"Because: when we educate people properly: we realize that mathematics does not have anything to do with *passion, or with the principles of science*. What they believe in is a dead man; they are like the victims in the pupils of the school, where the teachers set down the rules, and you're graded on the basis of whether you happen to be the lucky one who thought what the teacher wanted to hear! Then,

you got a good grade: if they didn't like that, you got a bad grade! And, that's the way this thing works!

"So, the system of science is, actually: [that] we're treating people like animals; when, actually, creativity is located within the ability of the individual to make a discovery of a *principle* of nature, and that's chemistry. Mankind operates on the basis of chemistry! It's called *fire*! It's the *Promethean force of fire*! [*I.e., energy-flux density.*] And, by *fire*, you rise to higher and higher powers of chemistry. And, many chemists get confused on this, because they get so trapped up with [what] they can do, they forget about *discovery* [of principles]. But, everything that was done in chemistry came about as a *discovery*! A creation of the human mind, of the human imagination! And, the ability to criticize your imagination, of the human imagination! And, the ability to criticize your own imagination, and to determine, by testing, [whether] this thing you call a principle, is true, or not: you test it.

"Now, many chemists don't do it properly; but, the intention in the system of chemistry, is there. Mankind is the fire-bringer! He's the Promethean, the fire-bringer! And, what he's doing is discovering new, higher forms of fire: like the application of Helium-3 to the process of creating a superpower for mankind, per capita, [from the Moon] on Earth!

"And, as long as you believe that mathematics will

teach you that stuff, you're an idiot. You may not intend to be an idiot; you are educated to be an idiot: because you didn't do the one thing that's the difference between man and the beast. The essential difference: what is the spirit, the creative spirit? No animal has ever been able to actually discover a principle; whatever principle they have, is built into them, biologically.

"But, the human body has two crucial points. One is the human brain; the other, is *the human mind*. The human mind is the product of the *noëtic process* operating on the human brain. So, therefore, when you die,¹⁰ if you are a scientist, you are dead. You are silenced; your body no longer functions: *it goes away, disappears*. But, then, when you look at the case of Max Planck, or Albert Einstein, or Nicholas of Cusa, or Brunelleschi, or Kepler, or Leibniz, you see a process of *discovery of principle*!

"*What happens, once you die, if you are a creative person, once you die*: you achieve what is called immortality: because, what you have done: you have given others around you, the experience of making discoveries. So, instead of trying to learn how to respond to predetermined behavior (which is what the stupid student does in school, to get an 'A' grade) you have [instead] given others around you, the [shared] experience of making discoveries. So, instead of trying to learn to respond to predetermined behavior, which is what the stupid student does to 'get an A grade,' you, then, become fascinated by the process of discovery: which is located only in the human body, otherwise. The human body, biologically, is necessary to support the function of the human mind, even to give birth to it. But, what remains, is immortal, and it's *true immortality*.

"Immortality is the immortality of what we call 'the soul.' It's something which is associated with the *human mind*; it's associated with the body, in the sense that you don't develop a mind without the nourishment of the



Creative Commons

"*Gambling is intrinsically a Satanic practice, by its nature.*" Shown here are foolish citizens at the Trump Taj Mahal in Atlantic City, N.J.

body; but, once you have a developed mind, you become a human being (in fact). The problem is, that we bestialize people; we bestialize them by—you know—talk, talk! By saying, 'fact, fact, fact!'

"No fact ever existed, that was true. Because, always, in every case, in every generation, every part of mankind, something is changing; and, what was true yesterday, is no longer true, because something new has come into being. And, it's the process of coming into being, at a higher organization, as opposed to, the deadliness, the Satanic quality of the deadliness of 'the Green policy.' That's the difference; it's *creativity*! And, you can never reduce 'creativity' to mere mathematics; as a matter of fact, the reliance on mere[ly] mathematics, is bad!"

IV. When Money Is Bad for You

Every truly intelligent man, or woman, knows that money-as-such is bad for the human life-expectancy, generally. Money is useful only when it is treated as a medium-of-exchange for the purpose of increasing the ration of the productive powers of human labor. Other uses of money, are always like a deadly narcotic, which must, therefore, be minimized as much as possible. Gambling is intrinsically a Satanic practice, by its nature. Any one who disagrees with that distinction, is

10. I.e., as human.

a dangerous fool, or, actually, even a person with criminal propensities. Wall Street has proven itself to be the worst criminal of them all; and it is closely associated with a related criminality known as the related crime: known as drug-trafficking, and its like, which must, therefore, promptly, be ceased-to-exist.

Alexander Hamilton had presented conclusive evidence to that effect. John Quincy Adams gave brilliantly expert opinion on that fact—and he virtually created the forty-eight-state-system, as a system; that done with a stroke of economic genius which he copied, faithfully from Benjamin Franklin, and, also, the guidance of Hamilton’s role in rescuing a young United States which would not have lasted without his four great principles built into the foundations of the United States, while serving as Secretary of the Treasury under

President George Washington.¹¹

President John Quincy Adams, (the man who had inspired Abraham Lincoln) is to be considered as the heir of Hamilton on this same account. William McKinley: all three (excepting what I have already identified as the great John Quincy Adams immediately above): Alexander Hamilton, Abraham Lincoln, and William McKinley, plus, later, John Fitzgerald Kennedy and his brother Robert, were British-created victims of the British imperial instruments, such as British professional assassin Aaron Burr. That had been the same

11. The four principles of national economy: *Report on Public Credit* (January 1790); *Report on a National Bank* (December 1790); *Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank* (February 1791); and, *Report on the Subject of Manufactures* (December 1791).

Prescott Bush and Hitler

In 1992, EIR News Service released the blockbuster [George Bush: The Unauthorized Biography](#), by Webster Tarpley and Anton Chaitkin, which documented the role of Prescott Bush (father of George H.W. Bush, grandfather of “Dubya”) in putting Hitler into power and financing the Nazi war machine, even after the start of World War II.

With the release of classified documents a decade later, the coverup of Prescott Bush’s role began to receive increased attention (see, e.g., the [Guardian](#), Sept. 25, 2004).

The gist of the story is as follows:

German industrialist **Fritz Thyssen** was Adolf Hitler’s original and principal patron. In 1924, **Averell Harriman** set up the **Union Banking Corporation** (UBC), with Thyssen as its sole customer. UBC was a sub-unit of W.A. Harriman & Co., which later merged to become the world’s largest investment bank, **Brown Brothers**

Harriman (BBH). The arrangement allowed Thyssen to stash \$3 million in “New York vaults,” as *New York Herald Tribune* reporter M. Jay Racusin wrote on July

31, 1941. Harriman named Prescott Bush and three other BBH partners to the Board of Directors of the new “bank.”

Union Banking Corp. served from then until 1942 as an “offshore” transfer agency for Thyssen, moving some \$8 million in gold and cash back and forth between Wall Street and Germany. For the 1932 German election that brought Hitler to power, Thyssen donated \$3 million to the Nazi Party.

In 1942, after the U.S. had entered World War II, President Franklin Roosevelt’s Administration seized the UBC shares of Bush and the other Harriman partners, under the Trading

With the Enemy Act.

For [more](#), see Anton Chaitkin, “This Week in History: Nazi Regime Begins, Wall Street ‘Particularly Pleased,’” January 2014, on the Schiller Institute website.



Prescott Bush

Burr who created both Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren as Burr's agents, agents placed (so) in candidacy for President. In fact, an (actual) majority of our Presidents were virtually traitors to the United States, in relatively greater or lesser degree; I am quite familiar with the listings to be considered on that account.

The list of actual, or implicit traitors who served among our Presidents, had been, in large degree, tied through New York City and some related locations elsewhere, to the Dutch settlement banking in Manhattan and functionally related locations. Hence Wall Street, and such products as the role of Prescott Bush in rescuing Adolf Hitler from imprisonment for bankruptcy (in time to bring Hitler and his trappings into power in Europe), with very great support for Hitler from the British political and financial establishment of that time. Winston Churchill was no better, at bottom, but, in fact, only less dumb than the wishy-washy sort of addled creatures, such as Chamberlain, whom he had replaced.

The underlying issue, to which these present remarks refer, has all been of, broadly said, the same political-economic genre. Wall Street is a related case of extreme moral turpitude and murderous inclinations against our republic and its best heroes. It should be terminated from our republic's agenda of policy-shaping.

To come to the relevant, concluding point of this present chapter, and all before it, is the urgency of recognizing the essential principle of intention, located early on in the leading role of Nicholas of Cusa, in and after his own time, and the expression given to that same legacy by such heirs of Christopher Columbus and Cusa, himself: as had the leaders of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, who were, then, brutalized by the Dutch brutes who went on to play the grand dirty joke of invading and looting the British Isles, slaughtering the Irish, and naming their victims, the subjects of the "British empire."

The British empire, so far, was established, under Dutch influence, as a specified *de facto* New Roman Empire: in every essential respect, an evil the same in spirit as the ancient Roman Empire. The creation of our own republic, a venture launched by the heirs of the Dutch-crushed Massachusetts Bay Colony, and that through the appointment of young Benjamin Franklin, who was soon proven to be a great scientific genius, the Benjamin Franklin by whom we have come to enjoy the benefits of such singular geniuses, American heroes, as the great strategist George Washington, and his economist, Alexander Hamilton. John Quincy Adams was a

true echo of both, as Abraham Lincoln had been the heir of John Quincy Adams.

Our system of government, once established as a unique creation, rooted in the legacies of Nicholas of Cusa and the magnificent American quasi-nation in Massachusetts Bay, was always a unique institution, one freed, thus, from the oligarchical trappings of an oligarchical Europe, by our heroes who created our America, and was implicitly intended to be the rescue of civilization from the legacy of the Roman Empire and its precursors. Our Federal Constitution, as the reflection of the achievements of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, was a unique achievement for all mankind.

Now, burdened with the pestilence of unworthy, British-created Presidencies, with but few exceptions among the unworthy, but all-too populous sort of the Presidential pack, we must now be returned to our true, Constitutional legacy, according to its original meaning to be found in the exceptional political figures which have been the rare, but excellent leadership to which we must return today: if, not only our republic is to enjoy its true rebirth, but, that we shall become, once more, during in these most perilous times of thermonuclear hellishness ahead, a true replica of the soul which we have rightly inherited. We must be, again, a leadership of an uncorrupted mission.

In these times, of lowest condition of corruption and other turpitudes among our leading political class, and the desperation of a menaced general population, we must do the opposite: We shall seize the potential of thermonuclear fusion, aided in that practice by the use of the "raw material" sent to our Moon, by the Sun himself. With the enhancement of the methods of thermonuclear fusion through the factor of helium-3 as an included raw material, we can be enriched out of the depths of our people's presently desperate and worsening poverty. Three ingredients: Thermonuclear fusion as a power to drive us to achieve the necessary impossible, to inspire our depressed population with a promise which makes life, once more, an inspiration to achieve the enjoyment of living for better times, and a restored confidence in the vision of an immortal meaning of human life, as we, still living on Earth, shall soon achieve a harvest from our Moon, and from the fields of asteroids from which we shall harvest much that our future prospects will require. We shall live on Earth, better than before, but we shall never again, be merely Earthlings.

BEFORE THE 'BAIL-IN' DETONATOR

LaRouche: Put Glass-Steagall Through To Stop World War!

Feb. 15—Economist Lyndon LaRouche took the occasion of the first question, one from Washington institutional sources, at his Feb. 14 webcast, to insist: Wall Street's bail-in policy will detonate the British Empire's war drive, so Glass-Steagall must be pushed through now.

Q: Mr. LaRouche, our question this week is very direct: Please give us your assessment on the prospects for passage of Glass-Steagall. As you are undoubtedly aware, the level of financial instability in Europe in emerging markets, and here in the major U.S. Wall Street banks, is growing by the day. The impact of the Fed's even limited curtailing of the Quantitative Easing has caused tremors and reverse leverage of the carry trade in some leading developing sector countries. European banks are in deep trouble, and there is stiff resistance, particularly in Germany, to Europe adopting the Fed's hyperinflationary QE bail-out policies. One London financial expert warned that if there is any attempt to go ahead with the kind of bail-in plan recently proposed by the [German] Bundesbank, this will certainly trigger a major bank collapse. So, what are your assessments of the immediate prospects for passage of Glass-Steagall in the United States?

Lyndon LaRouche: I think that's the minor question. We have a much bigger one we're facing right now.

The question is, we know, on the one hand, that we are on the verge, within even days, of the launching of a thermonuclear war throughout the entire planet. Because if one part goes in, and it would be the British—but the key man is Obama. Obama is not really a President. He's *nominally* President: He's got all the legal papers that say he's President. But he is not actually reactively the President. He's the rubber stamp, or, something like a rubber stamp: maybe something less palliative than a rubber stamp.

But what's happened is this. Everything is tied together, and that's the key here, and most people don't understand that.

Bail-Ins Are the Trigger

There is a direct relationship between the launching of a thermonuclear war, and the economic crisis which is going on in the trans-Atlantic community. This was intimated in some of the remarks posed in this question. And that is the fact that what has happened: Things got sloppy. And Wall Street and London, which are the center of this whole activity, organized the bail-in program, as part of the bail-out program. So, the transition from bail-out to bail-in becomes crucial, because if this continues, the entire trans-Atlantic community *goes belly-up, bankrupt*.

Therefore, this has been the motive for going ahead with a thermonuclear war, assigned to President Obama. Obama is the guy who was assigned to launch thermo-

nuclear war throughout the planet. And why do they do that? Because the British *system*, the British imperial system, which *is* the trans-Atlantic region, is about to go belly-up with an explosion of the entire system, because once they start bail-in, *bail-in is the detonator of the bomb!* And if that thing goes, as it's ready to go now, Wall Street's about ready to be wiped out.

The problem is, we've got too many idiots in Congress, because otherwise we would be able to deal with this problem quite nicely. I know how to deal with it—but these clowns in the Congress don't seem to catch the idea, they don't catch on to reality. Because they're not really people!

They don't act like people. They're not responsible, they don't take responsibility: They *adapt*; they think about their next election. They think about this career, that career; they think about the deal they have, they think about the money they've got in some bank, so they want to protect the bank that's got that money in it; or they don't *have* the money, but they think they'll get it.

And so, what you're dealing with, is a direct correlation between the bail-in detonation—and it *is* a detonation: It will cause a chain-reaction collapse. It will obliterate the entire financial system of the trans-Atlantic region.

And that's what the timing was. That's why Obama was assigned to do what he does. He doesn't know what he's doing, he has no idea what he's doing. He's an idiot. You know, he has this thing, that the White House is divided into two rooms, the family room and the public room, and he plays basketball in-between there. So, this clown, this evil clown—that's where his role is.

Bankrupt Wall Street!

I know the facts. I know exactly what to do; and what you have to do, is, *put in Glass-Steagall now—right now*. It's the moment you *should* put it in: *right now*, if you have any guts at all. And people who



Wall Street's bull is ready to go belly-up. Putting through Glass-Steagall now will finish the job.

haven't got the guts to go with Glass-Steagall right now: Don't worry about Wall Street, let 'em go bankrupt! They're going to go bankrupt anyway! Don't try to save Wall Street; *bankrupt* the clowns! They have *nothing* there.

Once they start the detonation of the bail-in demonstration—which is what it is: it's the detonator—then there is nothing for anybody.

So, we have to act all the more quickly, because you're all going to be bankrupt, you're all going to be wiped out on short notice, if this thing goes through. So, you can get blown up worldwide—everybody can *go dead*—or you can be sensible, cancel Wall Street, cancel the British monarchy, and go back to Franklin Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall. If Glass-Steagall were rammed through now, I know we could stop the whole mess, because all we have to do is recognize one fact: that all the assets of Wall Street are essentially worthless.

So, why are we creating a bomb called Wall Street assets, and comparable London assets, when we know that trying to hold on to this worthless money, is the key for setting off a collapse, even a thermonuclear war? But when you understand the British Empire *as I do*, and understand the Zeusian system as I do, *this happens*.

And the problem here is, we have too many gutless members of Congress. Maybe we should remove the *gutless* members, and ask those to volunteer who are *not* gutless, to keep the government going.

But this is what this is all about. It's the greatest sideshow in history. It may be the last sideshow in history, unless people get on their brains again.

But that's what it is: Simply, this came on because the idea of the transition from bail-out, to bail-in: and the bail-in is the time-bomb, and the time-bomb is striking now. Everybody on Wall Street is about to go bankrupt *in any case*! Wall Street's about to be wiped out. Bail-in will detonate the wipeout of Wall Street, but they'd rather die than give up on their pride, such as it is.

Out To Conquer Eurasia

In discussion with associates Feb. 15, LaRouche further elaborated on why the British financial oligarchy was pursuing this war. His remarks (available in audio on www.larouchepac.com), are also excerpted in a LaRouchePAC mass leaflet, available on the website. We excerpt it here:

This is a British Empire operation. Obama is nothing but a stooge of the British Empire. We've got a bunch of jerks in the Congress. Our own people, have no idea what the hell is going on in the world.

Now, what's happened is, they are determined, hoping to break Russia, and the Asian bloc, because their system is now exploding: The trans-Atlantic sector, is going into a bail-in process; the bail-in process *means this is exploding!* The bail-in process is the detonator!

The only reason for the war is not some issue here or there. The issue is the system! The trans-Atlantic system can not continue to exist, because it's gone from a bail-out system, which is one form of pederasty, into another form of pederasty, which is the bail-in system. The bail-in system means the *implosion of the entire monetary system* of the trans-Atlantic region. That's why the war! Because the situation of the trans-Atlantic system is *hopeless* in terms of economy, in terms of financial economy, monetary economy.

Therefore, the hope is that they can crush and exploit the *Eurasian system*! That's the motive for the war. That's the only *raison d'être* for the war! ...

We have to destroy Wall Street! Period! and the only way you can do it, effectively, apart from general war-

fare, is by shutting down Wall Street—how? By adopting Glass-Steagall *in its original form*.

If you put Glass-Steagall into its original form, you immediately declare *the bankruptcy of Wall Street*: the elimination of Wall Street, in both its U.S. and European expressions. If you don't do that, you're dead!

And that's the message that has to be delivered in Washington. The fact is, Wall Street must go down, and it must go down by the installation immediately of Glass-Steagall. Why? Because the minute we put in Glass-Steagall, we declare all of these *Wall Street assets to be bunk!* They don't exist any more! The assets are gone, they evaporate. Because the Wall Street system can not survive under Glass-Steagall conditions, if they're real Glass-Steagall conditions, not some jerky substitute.

That's the issue, that's what this is all about. If we do this, we save the United States, destroy the British Empire, all in a single blow—we won't destroy the English people, because they will just be coming to us and asking us for advice. And Europe, the same thing: We'll save Europe, by taking it out from the grip of the British Empire.

You put in Glass-Steagall, for that reason. Because you have to understand: This whole shebang has one characteristic to it, one, single characteristic: It's a global one! And the President, Obama's nothing but a little turd that got dropped in it. Send it back to England, put him in the Queen's palace.

We get a half-dozen people or more, to start a core of going for Glass-Steagall immediately, and just say, "We have to get rid of Wall Street." You have to say one thing: "We have get rid of Wall Street," and you have to explain what bail-in and bail-out *mean*. And that the case for immediate thermonuclear war is the bail-out/bail-in problem. Once you open the secret, what the secret is, betray the secret, and people know *that's* what the issue is, then their eyes will tend to open.

The British have said it; the British Empire's spoken: They are willing to bring on the extinction of the human species rather than submit. And that's what the threat is!

These bastards will go to thermonuclear war, because they will otherwise *have to lose their system!* ...

Now, anybody who understands how the financial system works, should know this. That's what's unnerving them. Because you've got this tremendous debt, which is absolutely worthless! As long as you honor that debt, you're stuck!

Yellen to Congress: Economy ‘No Problem’

by Paul Gallagher

Feb. 15—In her testimony to the House Financial Services and Senate Banking Committees Feb. 11-13, Federal Reserve chief Janet Yellen performed an exact reprise of former chair Ben Bernanke’s failure, just seven years earlier, to see the immediate future.

In late March of 2007, Bernanke told the same committees that asset-backed securities collapses in subprime debt markets were not a problem. “The impact on the broader economy and financial markets, of the problems in the subprime market, seems likely to be contained,” Bernanke blundered then; only months later he suddenly panicked, and began a series of interest-rate cuts and bank bailout programs which failed to stave off global financial blowout and mass unemployment.

This time, Yellen repeatedly denied that the impact of the Fed’s “tapering” of money-printing since December, was any problem at all. Asked about the steady slide of stock exchanges and currency devaluations in the so-called “emerging markets” since December, which strongly resembles the late-1990s “Asia Crisis” that went to the edge of global blowout then: Not a problem, not a concern to the Fed, said Yellen. The only thing that mattered, she implied, was her view that the U.S. economy is “picking up.”

This also was denial of reality, both present and future.

Unpayable Debt, No Credit

Working with Wall Street, the Obama Administration, and other major central banks in Europe and Japan, the Federal Reserve has committed the financial “crime of the century,” itself printing \$3 trillion since 2009, almost exclusively to fill the “excess reserves” of big banks in the U.S. and Europe, with which those banks have speculated in securities and derivatives markets while starving businesses and households of credit. The six

largest U.S. banks have ballooned nearly 40% larger in just five years, and now control two-thirds of deposits and financial assets in the U.S. economy. The 20 or so “too big to fail” banks in Europe have puffed up similarly, but to a lesser degree.


The Fed’s attempt to “exit” from this hyperinflationary and criminal QE policy has so far produced crisis conditions primarily in stock markets and currency values of nations such as India, Brazil, Argentina, Turkey, and Hungary. But it is a trap the Fed can’t “exit” from.

The total amount of debt outstanding in the OECD nations has risen in the same five years by 30%, even while false reports of “large-scale deleveraging” by businesses and households appear in all the financial media. Debt-to-GDP ratios are at their highest levels in more than 200 years in the trans-Atlantic world, according to necessarily rough estimates made recently by the IMF.

While debt has exploded, *credit*—lending by commercial banks to businesses, households, and municipal agencies—is everywhere unavailable. A U.S. banking system with \$2.2 trillion more in deposits than it had in early 2009 (courtesy of Fed money printing) is more than half a trillion dollars *below* the early 2009 level of lending to households and businesses.

In the EU, the universal banks’ starvation of the economies of credit is also drastic. Not only is lending to businesses and households—the function of banks under a Glass-Steagall system which must be re-

FIGURE 1
Share of New-Issue Institutional Loan Allocations by Investor Type



Source: S&P Capital IQ LCD


stored—back down to the levels of the 2009 bank blow-out, but it is now falling quite rapidly lower. The European Central Bank (ECB) put out figures Feb. 12 which showed total EU bank lending to businesses down in absolute terms by 3.9% in 2013 versus 2012. At the end of 2013, business loans were falling by \$23 billion in November, and \$20 billion more in December. Lending to households fell \$4.1 billion in November, and another \$5.2 billion in December. Taking bank lending to non-financial companies in 2011 as equal to 100 in an index, some major countries' lending levels at the end of 2013 were: Germany, 100; France, 98; Italy, 89; and Spain, 72.

The *in extremis* condition of the big London and European banks, loaded with bad debts, “subprime” losses, and unable to lend, had been signalled by two egregious developments. The global bank regulators have completely turned against imposing any form of bank separation, “ring-fencing,” or even the bank capital standards which they had already decided on. And there has been a drumbeat of proposals from the IMF and European Commission (EC) to seize wealth from bank accounts and pension funds to support bank debt.

On Feb. 10, for the fourth time in four months, the “Troika” (EC, IMF, ECB) financial powers floated a trial balloon that chunks of Europeans’ savings accounts could be confiscated to deal with bank failures. It was, specifically, the failure of the insolvent and collapse-prone Eurozone banks to lend, that was a dead weight on the sinking trans-Atlantic economies.

An EC planning document which found this to be yet another reason for “bailing in” the savings accounts/

FIGURE 2
Derivatives



Source: Bank of International Settlements—The Future Tense, June 15, 2012.

pensions of these households and businesses, was “leaked” to Reuters, which published it on Feb. 12. The document proposed that since the banks in the EU, “hampered by new capital standards and regulations”[!], were not lending, the EC could “mobilize” private savings accounts, pension funds, etc., into an EU-wide fund to lend to small business. “Mobilization” here is a polite word for seizure, or “bail-in” of savings; the IMF has repeatedly proposed it since October.

Once people’s savings and pensions are thus “mobilized” into funds controlled by Troika bureaucrats, the chances of their being loaned to small business to aid them in expanding, are very small indeed. As with all of

the other “trial balloons” for grabbing savings across Europe, which have been coming quicker and quicker from the IMF and EC, the lending target is insolvent major banks, or the debt of governments made insolvent by bailing those banks out.

Falling Consumption Under the Bubble

Wishful thinkers in Washington argue that private-sector, high-interest, securitized-debt bubbles like junk-bond markets, leveraged lending, collateralized loan obligations, subprime lending, etc., are not yet back to the immense size of the trans-Atlantic mortgage securities bubbles of 2007-08. But the levels of real economic consumption on which those bubbles feed—hence the ability to pay these debts—is drastically shrunk.

In Barack Obama’s United States, for example, the Labor Department reported Feb. 3 that Americans’ real disposable personal income fell by 2.7% in 2013. Consequently, total U.S. household savings dropped by more than \$50 billion (about 10%) in 2013, as households tried to maintain their consumption of goods and services. What is that consumption level? It was recently reported in a study by Washington University, in

St. Louis, that household consumption for 95% of American households is still at the collapsed level of 2009, when mass unemployment and loss of “credit” had pushed real consumption down by almost 15%. Only the top 5% of households have recovered the 2006 levels of real consumption.

And the U.S. real economy: a steady march of very bad reports showing economic contraction, for months, up through Yellen’s Feb. 11-13 testimony, in which she saw things “picking up.” This included employment, housing sales and prices, durable goods and capital goods orders, industrial production and manufacturing, consumer spending, and a great increase in homelessness during the Winter. Unemployment among minorities, particularly teenagers, grew so dramatically in December and January that parts of the Democratic Congressional Black Caucus have recently reacted sharply against Obama because of his complete indifference to the worsening problem.

These were the same type of reports of contraction which Ben Bernanke acknowledged and itemized in his March 28, 2007 testimony, when he infamously saw no financial breakdown coming. In this crisis, his successor, Janet Yellen, does not even acknowledge them.

REVIVE GLASS-STEAGALL NOW!



LaRouchePAC is now leading a nationwide effort to push through legislation for Glass-Steagall (www.larouchepac.com).

“The point is, we need Glass-Steagall immediately. We need it because that’s our only insurance to save the nation.... Get Glass-Steagall in, and we can work our way to solve the other things that need to be cleaned up. If we don’t get Glass-Steagall in first, we’re in a mess!”

—Lyndon LaRouche, Feb. 11, 2013



WATCH the [LaRouchePAC](http://www.larouchepac.com) video:

‘Glass-Steagall: Signing a Revolution’

SUBSCRIBE to EIR Online

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

toll-free: 1-800-278-3135 e-mail: fulfullment@larouchepub.com

Indonesia Says No To Free Market

by Ron Castonguay

Feb. 13—An important law just passed by the Indonesian Parliament curtails the rights of the market to control the destiny of the Indonesian people, apparently anticipating a need for emergency economic measures. Article 54 of the new trade law allows the government to restrict exports of commodities to anticipate “quite drastic” price increases in global markets or to ensure domestic supply. It also allows for import restrictions to develop or protect certain industries and to safeguard the country’s balance of payments.

The law, approved at a plenary session of parliament on Feb. 11, may limit exports or imports of staple commodities to ensure local demand is met. The new law highlights Indonesia’s push to limit commodity exports and food imports to develop local production and boost manufacturing capabilities in the country, as the government seeks to reduce the economy’s dependence on overseas shipments for growth.

Indonesia is not shy about its rights to violate the dogma of “free trade” to protect higher, and more valid, values. “This law underlines Indonesia’s stance of not adopting a free market,” Deputy Trade Minister Bayu Krisnamurthi said after the bill was passed. “The government has been given the right to intervene to protect its people.”

Aiming for Food Self-Sufficiency

The goal of the new provisions is not just short term. Indonesia, with its heritage of Portuguese, English, and Dutch colonialism, is determined to reject that status, develop itself, and fully exist as a sovereign nation.

“We can’t rely on coal and palm oil anymore,” said Juniman, a Jakarta-based economist at PT Bank Internasional Indonesia. “If all our raw materials are exported, manufacturers won’t be able to grow and it’ll be difficult for us to avoid getting stuck in the middle-income trap.”

Indonesia has 237 million people on its 17,000

islands. Its demands and its actions to establish its sovereignty are more important than may at first be apparent. It is not just other minor undeveloped country.

Only China, India, and the United States have a greater population than Indonesia, and there is no country with a larger Muslim population. While the poison of Saudi-backed fundamentalism has touched Indonesia, Islam is by-and-large moderate there, and the government, based on an expressly secular model, is relatively stable. Its actions are watched and noted throughout the world.

Ever since the 1997-98 Asian financial crisis, which deeply affected the country’s economy, Indonesia has been attempting to reform its agricultural sector. It has put in place a large number of reforms with the objectives of achieving food security through increased production of rice, sugar, soybeans, maize, and beef; ensuring that prices are affordable for consumers; diversifying production away from carbohydrates to animal-based products; raising the level of competitiveness for agricultural products; and improving the lot of farmers.

A new Food Law, signed by President Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono in November 2012, was intended to institutionalize self-sufficiency in food production, and “food sovereignty” as overarching food security policies, according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Foreign Agricultural Service. Among its provisions, Article 14 states that “Sources of food supply are from domestic production and national food reserves. In the case of shortage of food supply from those two sources, food can be fulfilled by importation, as needed.” In other words, Indonesia should depend on itself for its food, only relying on the international market in case of need.

Another provision, Article 24, limits the export of food, saying exports “can be carried out by taking into account the needs of domestic food consumption and national interest. The export of staple food can only be carried out after the fulfillment of domestic consumption and national food reserves.”

Not all the goals of the Food Law have been realized. While there was opposition to the law from the international food cartels, Indonesia has been self-sufficient enough in food to be shielded from cartel retribution. The exception is Indonesia’s production and export of edible palm oil in the international arena—which has been under continued Greenie attack, most



Creative Commons/Alexis Gravel

Indonesia has put in place a large number of reforms to achieve food security through increased production of rice, sugar, soybeans, maize, and beef. Here, terraced agriculture in Indonesia.

especially European, on a variety of so-called health and environmental grounds.

However, the implementation of the law has encountered numerous problems, including an Australian embargo on live cow exports because of Indonesian “cruelty” in slaughtering, profiteering in certain commodities, and widespread corruption problems that lead to a black market in foodstuff import certificates.

Fight with the Mineral Cartels

Indonesia’s fight to escape being merely a raw materials exporter is also proceeding in the area of minerals.

Indonesia is the world’s biggest exporter of thermal coal, tin, and nickel ore, and a major supplier of copper, aluminum, and gold ores. Minerals and related products have in the past accounted for up to 20% of its exports. Copper brought in \$7.2 billion in annual receipts in 2011, followed by nickel (\$3.1 billion), tin (\$2.4 billion), and bauxite (\$1.1 billion).

But, by and large, Indonesian mining is a collection of holes in the ground and short-haul railroads. Little to none of the processing of ores, or refining, smelting, and fabricating the finished metal into semi-finished goods, or final product is done in Indonesia, leaving the country dependent on the mineral cartels.

When, in 2009, Indonesia announced a law to change that, by requiring mining companies to at least do the initial processing of ores in the country, beginning in 2014, the international cartels let out a howl and produced a ruckus that still continues. Rather than investing in Indonesia by building smelters and other associated processing facilities, the international cartels began a campaign of press vilification, legal actions, and stubborn refusal to accept the will of the Indonesian government and people. With few exceptions, the mining companies declared they would not prepare to

carry out the law, but would just cease production in Indonesia.

On the eve of the law’s implementation in January, the government let the mining law go into effect, with the provision that unrefined ores would be subject to an export tax. Indonesia’s exports of mineral ore are now at a standstill, with unprocessed bauxite and nickel the target of an outright ban (for technical reasons involved the refining process), and mining companies either refusing or unable to pay the heavy new export duty on copper and the other concentrates that were given an 11th-hour three-year extension, according to a report in *Asia Sentinel*.

So far, there have been no requests for export licenses, as an effective boycott appears to have been imposed by London. And, so with out-of-country stockpiles and the overall weakened state of the world’s economies, the mining cartels may be able to continue their anti-Indonesia stance for some time.

But the very success of the mining cartels’ boycott of Indonesian minerals ultimately reduces the viability of those cartels. After all, the ultimate industrial consumer of Indonesia’s minerals is China, which wants long-term supply under stable conditions. If the cartels cannot or will not supply that, why not just eliminate the middle-man?

Fusion Power: ‘We Could Have A Reactor in Ten Years’

by Natalie Lovegren

The American Security Project (ASP) hosted an event on Capitol Hill Jan. 29, 2014, entitled: “Fusion: Update on the International ITER Project.”

This is politically significant, at a time when the obstacles to thermonuclear fusion development are no longer a matter of science, but of policy. The engineering breakthroughs needed to bring fusion energy online for commercial electricity generation are a function of political will,¹ at a point when our current inclination is toward thermonuclear war,² rather than controlled thermonuclear fusion for abundant energy. This political will must be part of an evolution in identity among American policymakers, to recognize and recommit to that which created this nation—the pursuit of scientific progress toward the common aims of all mankind.

Brig. Gen. Stephen Cheney, CEO of ASP, introduced the event by disabusing the audience of the myth that fusion “will always be 30 years away,” and that investment into it is therefore a Sisyphean task, a futile drain on the American taxpayer. The issue is a lack of funding, Cheney said, rather than lack of science, adding that, “Were the necessary resources applied, we could have a demo reactor in ten years.”

The mythology of the non-feasibility of fusion is perpetuated to mask the sabotage of the U.S. fusion program, inflicted by budget cuts, since the 1980 McCormack bill³ was denied funding.

1. A 2013 Harvard study on the cost of the Iraq and Afghanistan wars estimates a final total of between \$4 and \$6 trillion. The current U.S. annual magnetic fusion energy budget is approximately \$400 million, four orders of magnitude lower. Linda J. Bilmes, “The Financial Legacy of Iraq and Afghanistan: How Wartime Spending Decisions Will Constrain Future National Security Budgets.” HKS Faculty Research Working Paper Series RWP13-006, March 2013.

2. Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., “Twenty-Six Days to Hell, or Not: Count-Down,” *EIR*, Feb. 14, 2014.

3. “The Magnetic Fusion Energy Engineering Act of 1980” declared to be the policy of the United States and the purpose of this act “to accelerate the national effort in research, development, and demonstration ac-

At the 1985 Geneva Superpowers Summit, Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachov and U.S. President Ronald Reagan agreed to initiate a joint project for developing fusion energy, which had been under discussion since the late 1970s. In 1988, Europe and Japan joined the U.S. and USSR, and in subsequent years, China, India, and South Korea joined the project, culminating in an agreement in 2007 to build the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), in Cadarache, France.


These nations are not simply donating money to a French project, but are each performing research, contributing technologies, and building parts domestically, for shipment to, and assembly at, the construction site in the South of France. Because each of these high-tech industries must be developed domestically to meet various scientific and engineering challenges, there are local effects on each national economy. The fusion research required for this project and the construction of the various ITER parts contribute to local economies in virtually every U.S. state. This approach strengthens the individual fusion programs of each nation, and also fuels spinoff industries which are generated as a lawful result of investment in technologies that push the limits of current scientific understanding.

Parallel efforts invested in both domestic and international programs simultaneously provide an effective means for achieving rapid progress, as well as facilitating a sound basis for diplomatic relations.

De-Fund ‘Green Technologies’

The desperate and misguided suggestion from some U.S. fusion scientists to pull out of ITER in order to

activities related to magnetic fusion energy systems.... Acceleration of the current magnetic fusion program will require a doubling within seven years of the present funding level without consideration of inflation, and a 25 per centum increase in funding each of fiscal years 1982 and 1983.” This funding was never provided.



Lawrence Livermore Laboratory

The United States is one of a number of nations participating in the international ITER fusion program, based in France. Here, the Lawrence Livermore Labs National Ignition Facility target chamber under construction. The holes in the chamber provide access for the laser beams, and view ports of NIF diagnostic equipment.

divert scant resources into the domestic program, will not only defeat the purpose of this dynamic boon to the economy, but it will not work. Resources diverted will not be redirected at all. A more useful suggestion for those interested in actual economic growth, would be to divert all funding away from net-energy-loss “green” technologies such as wind and solar, and into advanced nuclear fission and controlled thermonuclear fusion research and development.⁴

Dr. Ned Sauthoff, Director of the U.S. ITER Project, who had recently toured the facilities under construction in France, presented an update of the progress that has been made by ITER in recent months. He gave a lively overview of the current theory of magnetic confinement fusion, and detailed the various contributions

4. This energy-intensive project is being built in France, where adequate electricity is available from the high energy-density of nuclear power, which constitutes 75% of electricity production—the highest in the world.

of partner nations to the project. The following are examples of the contributions, and do not constitute a comprehensive list:

France, as the host for the site, is responsible for making the structure completely impervious to earthquakes. A system of “seismic isolator pillars,” made of alternate layers of rubber and metal, has the resilience to absorb the shock of an earthquake without disturbing the fusion reaction.⁵

India is responsible for the 30 meter by 30 meter cryostat—a type of giant vacuum-sealed stainless steel thermos bottle. It requires 3,800 tons of steel and will be the world’s largest high-vacuum pressure chamber. The cryostat forms the vacuum-tight container surrounding the ITER vacuum vessel and acts like a very large refrigerator, to maintain the temperature of the super-cold superconducting magnets that create the tokamak’s enormous magnetic field. It is so large that it must be shipped from India to France in 54 separate pieces.

Japan and Russia: In order for the gas in the vacuum chamber to reach the plasma state and temperature of 150 million degrees centigrade—ten times the temperature at the core of the Sun—three methods of generating and controlling heat will be used. One of

the methods is called “Electron Cyclotron Resonance Heating.” A high-intensity beam of electromagnetic radiation is tuned to 170 GHz, the frequency of the electrons. The tuning of this beam to match the natural frequency of the electrons allows the electrons to communicate with the radiation, absorbing that energy. These high-energy electrons then transfer that energy to the ions (the deuterium and tritium nuclei) by collision. Japan and Russia are building 170-GHz gyrotrons for this purpose. A gyrotron accelerates beams of electrons toward a cavity where a strong magnetic field is applied. The interaction between the rotating motion of the electrons and the magnetic field generate high-frequency radio waves that are then used to heat the electrons in the plasma.

South Korea, in conjunction with the EU, is build-

5. It should be noted, though, that fusion cannot generate chain reactions like fission that could run away and create meltdowns. If the reaction is disrupted, it will simply stop reacting.

ing the vacuum vessel that will hold the fusion plasma. 633 massive stainless steel forgings—360 tons of material—were manufactured in Germany and shipped to Hyundai Heavy Industries, for this purpose. This vacuum vessel acts as the first safety containment barrier, inside which the plasma is to be suspended by the magnetic field. The ITER vacuum vessel will be twice the size of any other tokamak ever built, and will weigh over 8,000 tons—slightly more than the Eiffel Tower. South Korea is also building the thermal shield which is positioned between the vacuum vessel/cryostat and the magnets to protect them from radiation damage. South Korea and China are the only two countries in the world that operate superconducting tokamaks for fusion development, while Japan is soon to be the third.

South Korea is unique in developing a domestic demonstration reactor alongside ITER, called K-DEMO, which intends to produce double the power of ITER for a longer period of time. It is designed to be a small step away from a commercial fusion plant, and is planned to come online seven years after ITER.

China: In addition to constructing a share of the toroidal field superconductor, China will build the protective thermal blanket and blanket-shield, and power supply. The ITER power supply test facility, which was built for the project at China's Institute of Plasma Physics, set a new record in both AC and DC current generation this past December. China's domestic fusion program is taken very seriously, considering its growing population and current dependence on coal. China also intends to develop a parallel demonstration reactor to maximize participation in the ITER.

The **United States** is responsible for the central solenoid, and a portion of the superconducting components. The U.S. has taken responsibility for the control and measurement of the plasma, which will play a key role in the advancement of fusion. One of the big challenges is to prevent major disruptions of the plasma, which will stop the reaction.

Imagine the surface of the Sun as the outer edge of the tokamak. Like the Sun, these edges have a tendency to become a tempestuous sea of plasma, which

Livermore's Laser Fusion Progress Widely Covered

Feb. 13—Exciting results from laser fusion experiments that were carried out last Summer and Fall at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory in California are attracting worldwide attention, as the results were reported in this week's issue of *Nature* magazine. The Reuters and other wire service reports, including comments by the scientists, were picked up extensively around the world.

In a series of experiments on the 192-beam National Ignition Facility (NIF), for the first time, a laser fusion experiment produced more fusion energy than the amount of energy deposited by the laser on the fuel target, described as a positive fuel-energy balance. Overall, the lasers deposit less than 1% of their total energy onto the fusion fuel, so this is not yet energy "breakeven." The Lab ran a series of experiments, changing various experimental parameters,

such as the shape, energy level, and timing of the laser pulse, to try to get closer to eventually achieving a sustained fusion reaction, described as "ignition."

The importance of these results is not the amount of energy, per se, explained two top inertial fusion scientists, but that there was a self-heating of the fusion fuel. Although that heating did not lead to a sustained ignition of the rest of the fuel, they estimate that it produced about half of the total number of fusion reactions that took place. To achieve the recent result, Ed Moses, Associate Director of NIF, explained in October, that in August, the scientists had lowered the energy of the lasers "a tiny bit—about 5 percent—but more important, we changed the shape of the energy pulse.... We got three times the energy out," compared with previous experiments, Moses said.

Talking with the press yesterday, the principal author of the *Nature* article, Omar Hurricane, described the results, as "quite unique. And that's kind of a major turning point, in a lot of our minds.... We are closer than anyone has gotten before."

—Marsha Freeman

can leap out and splash against the walls of the reactor. This must be minimized, to keep the plasma calm, so that it does not flare out, bypass its magnetic containment wall, and melt the metal surfaces of the reactor wall. Even a small amount of melting will cause pieces of the metal—such as beryllium or tungsten—to contaminate the plasma, thus reducing its energy and performance.

One trick that was developed by General Atomics in California, and Oak Ridge National Laboratory in Tennessee, is to inject cold hydrogen into the plasma at key points, to turn a large disturbance into a small one. Tickling the edge of the plasma disturbs it slightly, thereby entertaining its propensity for disturbance, but on a small scale that can be controlled. The problem with shooting a gas at a plasma, though, is that it's too diffuse, and it just bounces off. The trick to overcoming this problem is to concentrate it into solid bullets. By freezing deuterium into small (1.3 mm) BB-like pellets, and shooting them very quickly (60 times per second), the plasma's wall of resistance can be overcome, and it can be tamed.

Chinese and Japanese representatives agreed with LaRouchePAC associates in discussion following the event, that this type of collaboration around the frontiers of science is preferable to territorial tensions, such as what have been stirred up in the East China Sea. Why not build a thermonuclear desalination demonstration plant on those islands, to jointly develop fusion energy, create freshwater, and mine the valuable minerals of the surrounding sea? Scientific representatives of the various ITER-member nations were receptive to further discussion of fusion as a driver for world economic development, as outlined in the "Nuclear NAWAPA XXI: Gateway to a Fusion Economy" report,⁶ which was made available to attendees.

LaRouchePAC Scientific Research Team member Creighton Jones, who attended the event, posed the following question to Dr. Sauthoff at the conclusion of his presentation:

"In light of the recent Chinese lunar landing, there has been some discussion of mining the Moon for helium-3, to use as an efficient fusion fuel. Achieving helium-3 reactions is an engineering challenge due to the greater requirements for temperature, pressure, and plasma density, but one of the ways to possibly mitigate that would be with polarized fuel. So I was wondering

if, as part of the ITER, there is any investigation of polarized fuel?"

Sauthoff responded: "No, I'm not aware of any. The basic strategy is to find the easiest way to produce a self-sustaining fusion reaction, and deuterium and tritium are by far the most reactive. I spent 35 years at Princeton, and we had various collaborations with NASA about mining the Moon. There's an astronaut named Harrison Schmitt as you know, and Gerry Kulcinski from the University of Wisconsin, and a few others who are very strong advocates of this, and I believe that it is very important that we have a vision that we're going to be producing fusion reactors that will get better and better.

"But, quite frankly, we have to get ourselves up off the ground with something like the Wright Brothers, as opposed to a 747 as the first step. So, ITER is a good first step. It produces the heat, it demonstrates much of the technology, it doesn't produce electricity, it's just trying to study the physics of the technology for producing a fusion reaction. I'm sure there will be very many and better fusion reactors in the future, and polarized fuels give us one opportunity."


Nuclear NAWAPA XXI Gateway to the Fusion Economy

A 21st Century Science & Technology Special Report

By the
**LaRouchePAC Scientific
Research Team**

Articles include:

- A Call for an International Crash Program: Creating the Fusion Economy
- Increasing the Productivity of the North American Water Cycle
- Nuclear NAWAPA XXI and the New Economy
- Nuclear Agro-Industrial Complexes for NAWAPA XXI
- The Pacific Development Corridor: Maglev Through the Bering Strait
- The 'Common Aims of Mankind': A Strategic Defense of Earth



Available from
21st Century Science & Technology

Print **\$20** post-paid

PDF **\$10**

Print report with
one-year subscription **\$40**

6. <http://larouchepac.com/nawapaxxi>

HELGA ZEPP-LAROCHE

Only a Paradigm-Shift Can Avert World War III

Helga Zepp-LaRouche gave the following keynote speech Feb. 12 to a private luncheon in Washington, D.C. She was introduced by EIR Washington Bureau Chief Bill Jones.

Hello, good day. I would like to start with a prognosis of my husband, Mr. [Lyndon] LaRouche. And for those of you who know of him or who know him, I can say that Mr. LaRouche is differentiated from other economists and statesmen through the fact that his prognoses have never been wrong, both in terms of the prediction of the systemic collapse of the financial system, and many other occasions. And he has recently issued the warning that if the present policy in Ukraine by the United States, by the EU, and by NATO, is continued, that we may have a thermonuclear war by the end of February or the beginning of March.

Now, for some of you, this may sound dramatic, but the situation *is* dramatic. And just yesterday, two relevant Russian groupings, or in one case, a person, and in another one, a grouping, confirmed their absolute concern that there *is* a Nazi coup in Ukraine underway. One was Gen. Leonid Ivashov, who is now with the Geopolitical Institute, who basically accused the West of using Goebbels' propaganda; that he says that he hopes the Foreign Ministry of Russia is already aware of the fact that a war has started, and that the first phase of this war is an information war by basically lying.

Now, there are a lot of lies going on: One lie is that the West—and in the United States, to my knowledge, there

has not been one single newspaper article pointing to the fact that the so-called opposition in Ukraine is made of, largely, and dominated in terms of its violent aspects, by Nazi groups, people who openly refer to Stepan Bandera, the Nazi collaborator in the '40s, who helped to prepare the invasion of Ukraine, and such people as the Svoboda party and other groupings, right-wing extremist groupings, who openly follow this tradition.

Why is it that the West, at least in the United States, is portraying this opposition as something completely different, a freedom-loving people, who want to join democracy, who want to join the European Union, and where an “evil dictator,” [Ukrainian President] Yanukovich, who is sympathetic to an even more “evil dictator,” [Russian President] Putin, are trying to prevent these peace-loving people from joining democracy and the West? That is the picture which you get.

Why are they not telling the truth? That these people have committed violence, they have thrown molotov cocktails, they have occupied a ministry, they have organized violent takeovers throughout the whole country, they are wearing openly Nazi symbols, they have swastikas in their logo. I wrote recently an article, where I said, if the same thing would happen in Berlin, and the NPD, which is the neo-Nazi party there, would occupy a ministry, would have violent demonstrations in front of the Chancellor's office, organize militant takeovers throughout the whole country, what would the police do? They would smash it, and try to calm it down. So why is there such a lying presentation of this?



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

In her keynote address to a private luncheon in Washington, Helga Zepp-LaRouche presented a stark picture of the danger of global war, with special emphasis on the U.S.-NATO-backed attempted fascist coup in Ukraine.

NATO Expansion Aimed at Russia

Now, this has to be seen in the context of the effort to continue the NATO eastward expansion which started immediately after the collapse of the Soviet Union. When the Soviet Union collapsed, between 1989 and '91, there was an agreement probably between Mr. [Soviet President] Gorbachov and Mr. [German Chancellor] Kohl and Mr. [Vice Chancellor] Genscher, that there would never be an eastward expansion of NATO, and there would never be foreign troops east of the Elbe.

Then, in 1994, there was another agreement, the Budapest Memorandum, signed between the United States, Great Britain, and Russia, that the territory of Ukraine would be guaranteed by these three nations, and that if there would be a threat to the security of the country, they would come and protect it, *and* there would be no economic coercion.

Well, all of these have clearly been violated.

Now, how was this recent development triggered? When, at the end of November, at the EU summit in Vilnius, all of a sudden, Yanukovich, at the last minute, refused to sign the EU Association Agreement. It is very likely, almost certain, that one part of that agreement included a military part, whereby, in the medium term, NATO would have had access to the territory of

Ukraine; and that was one of the reasons why it became very clear that for Ukraine to sign the EU Association Agreement would have been practically suicide: First of all, it would have destroyed the Ukraine economy and turned Ukraine very quickly into the new Greece, eastward, west of the Russian border; because contrary to the mythologies, the EU is not in tremendous condition. The EU is disintegrating. There is a very great likelihood that the EU may not outlive this present year of 2014.

What then happened was a provocation, where these [right-wing] elements, which according to President Putin had been prepared for the [Ukrainian] Presidential election in 2015, were activated. And you know, this is not just a sudden eruption, but one has to see that the whole eastward extension of NATO really started with the collapse of the Soviet Union, when you had in the United States, existing in power, the neocons around Bush Sr.; and the neocons had the

New American Century doctrine, instead of using the historic opportunity which the collapse of communism had represented, because there was no more enemy, and it would have been very easy to establish a peace order for the 21st Century.

Instead, Bush Sr., and [British Prime Minister] Margaret Thatcher, and also [French President] Mitterrand decided to go in a different direction, and especially between Bush Sr. and Margaret Thatcher—they decided to build a new empire based on the special relationship between the United States and Great Britain, and go for a policy of regime change, against practically every country which would oppose that.

On the Edge of World War

In that context, in Ukraine, there developed 2,200 NGOs, who built networks of anti-Russian conviction, people who would in part be activists and get paid, like in Maidan, most people get paid \$50 a day plus food for their demonstrations, and others who are just full of illusion. Naturally, if you have young people who are hedonistic and you promise them freedom, then you can build up networks.

So over 22 years, these anti-Russian networks have been built up, and at the same time, you had the eastward extension of NATO, and also the EU, which have

become more and more integrated and identical.

And you have to see what is now the danger: You have a situation where Ukraine, as a result of this, is almost at civil war, and there can be a debate if the civil war has already erupted.

Now, the Izborsk Club, which is a group of influential Russian intellectuals, just issued yesterday, a memorandum (see *Documentation*) where they said that the aim is very clearly, to get rid of Yanukovich, to put [Yulia] Tymoshenko¹ or [Vitali] Klitschko² into office, based on a right-wing extremist coalition, drive out the Russians from Sevastopol, deny them access to the Black Sea, and basically have then a continuation of that: Destroy the industry in the eastern parts of Ukraine which are linked to the military-industry complex of Russia, and then carry out the Maidan tactic in Russian cities, and eventually get rid of Putin.

Now, it is very clear that this is an unacceptable situation for Russia, because you have to see that this is part of the military deployments. The U.S. missile defense system in Poland, in Romania, and two days ago, the deployment of the *USS Donald Cook* Aegis destroyer, are part of a missile defense system, where Russia has declared many times that it is *not* acceptable for them, because the aim is obviously not some missiles in Iran, but the very position where this missile defense system is, is aimed to take out the second-strike capability of Russia. And they have declared they will not allow that this U.S. missile defense system is being built beyond a certain point, because Russia would become indefensible after that.

So therefore, we are looking at a situation in the short term of a Russian counter-reaction. There are some people in military circles in Western Europe who think that you may be looking at a replay of what happened during the Beijing Olympic Games: that after the Sochi Olympic Games are over, that a Russian reaction could be like in South Ossetia in 2008.³ I would not count on such time-tables, because we are on the verge of World War III, right now, and it could happen much, much earlier.

1. Ex-Prime Minister, poster-girl of the 2004 Orange Revolution, currently serving a jail term on a conviction of exceeding her authority while in office.

2. Ex-champion heavyweight boxer, long based in Germany, promoted in European circles as the charismatic leader Ukraine needs.

3. Georgia attacked the capital of its autonomous province, South Ossetia, as well as Russian peacekeeping forces there, on Aug. 8, 2008, the opening day of the Beijing Olympics. Russia quickly bolstered its forces and drove the Georgians out of South Ossetia, which subsequently declared its independence.

In addition to the U.S. missile defense system, is the Prompt Global Strike doctrine, which is a doctrine which is basically using traditional ICBM missiles, but with non-nuclear weapons, also supposedly to be able to take out the second-strike capability. And you have to also take into account that the “Asia pivot” policy, the so-called Air-Sea Battle doctrine against China, is characterized by the same illusion, and utopian idea, that you can take out the second-strike capability of China.

Now, China has made very clear that they will not capitulate. In October, on one Monday, all the Chinese publications published maps where they pointed to the fact that they have 70 strategic submarines in the Pacific off the West Coast of the United States, and they could hit the entire West Coast with nuclear weapons as a second strike. And they have maps where you can see the radioactive fallout going all the way to Chicago, and then, how you would have a second line going over the North Pole, hitting the East Coast.

Similarly, the Russian joint chiefs of staff had a conference in Moscow—I think it was almost two years ago—where they showed video animations, showing that the U.S. missile defense system is targeting Russian capabilities, and why they can not accept that. And even the Chief of Staff General Makarov, at that point, said that the Russians may be forced to go into a first strike, when it becomes clear that if they wait any longer their position becomes indefensible.

So therefore, when my husband says that we are looking at a short-term confrontation and that we must change the agenda, all the evidence points to the fact that this is underway. And the fortunate publication of the YouTube discussion between Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland and Ambassador [Geoffrey] Pyatt, there was a big hoopla about it, naturally, and the Western media immediately tried to play it down and say, “Oh, she’s used this profane language.” Now, I’m not interested in the sexual preferences of Mrs. Nuland, but what I’m very interested in, is what it really refers to, namely, that this is a total interference into the internal affairs of Ukraine; it shows that they are managing, *by the minute*, how this process goes, and it is a total, total violation of every form of international treaty and diplomacy.

And it is not a surprise, because, if you look at the career of Mrs. Nuland: First of all, she is married to Robert Kagan, who is an arch-neocon. He was in the old Bush Administration, and one of the authors designing this idea of NATO eastward extension and encirclement

FIGURE 1

U.S./NATO Encirclement of Russia and China

of Russia and China. So that she would then be in Kiev, or wherever this phone call was made, and was caught, and then, there was a complete cover-up, and that there is absolutely *no* mentioning of the true Nazi character of coup in Ukraine, is really absolutely incredible.

I think that the immediate danger is not just in this long-term preparation, but it has to do with the fact that the Western trans-Atlantic financial system is about to blow. I think that the only reason why, after the collapse of Lehman Brothers in 2008, the system didn't go bankrupt, was because all the major central banks decided to go for quantitative easing, to print money, to do what the Reichsbank did between 1919 and 1923, just to print money; and at the same time, to go for bailouts. And they realize this is now not enough, so they have the mechanism of "bail-in," which we have seen in the Cyprus model, which means the expropriation of people

who have savings accounts above EU100,000. But if it comes to a crash, it would be even worse.

Now, all this money-printing, naturally, has the danger of hyperinflation, which is already building up in a gigantic bubble. And that is why there has been since a long time, a debate in the Fed and other places, about the need to reverse that. And there was a general recognition that you cannot reverse it, because if you start to take the liquidity out of this bubble, the danger is that it bursts.

Now, in the recent period, Mr. Bernanke decided to go for the so-called "tapering," meaning to reduce the liquidity injection from \$85 billion a month, to first, \$75 billion, and now \$65 billion, but, exactly as was to be expected, this has already shown tremendous reactions by the collapse of the currencies of the so-called "emerging markets," and capital flight out of these countries. And the BIS [Bank for International Settlements]

ments] recently put out a statement that they are totally against this “tapering” by the Fed, because it involves the danger of a catastrophic development, meaning a blowout of the system.

Now, we are on the verge of such a blowout, and that collapse of the financial system is what decides the speed of this adventure towards Russia.

Hell on Earth

So, I think what we need to do, is, first of all—and one of the reasons why we are having this luncheon; we have also prepared written materials for you—I think it is *extremely* important that the character of the coup in Ukraine is made known. Fortunately, there were some papers in Germany by now, and the *Guardian*, also *Time* magazine, *Stern*, and others, that published the Nazi character, even though in much too mild fashion. But it must become known: This is a Nazi coup, and this is the danger to world peace!

Then, in addition to that, we are working very hard, my husband in particular, to try every possible way to get patriots of the United States to return the United States back to its Constitution. And that is not for me to comment on, but there is a big effort by American patriots to do exactly that.

But I think internationally, it is extremely clear: If we continue on the present course of action—you know, the extreme financial free-market economy which has led to a situation where the gap between rich and poor has become intolerable. Recently a report was published that 85 individuals own as much as 3.5 *billion* people! Entire regions of the world are dying; Africa is dying; many other places are in a terrible condition.

If you take the entire region from Afghanistan, Pakistan, to Syria, to North Africa, to Central Africa—this is a region which is in *total* chaos. The policy of regime-change in Iraq, in Libya, in Syria, has created Hell on Earth: This area is dominated by terrorists, by people who are receiving their money from the money-laundering from the drug production in Afghanistan, which has increased by 40 times since NATO moved into Afghanistan 12 years ago. This is what sponsors the terrorism; this was what was responsible for the terrorism in Volgograd.

So I can give you many more aspects, but one thing is very clear: If we continue on the present course, the likelihood that human civilization will not exist beyond next month is very high. And we must have a dramatic, dramatic change of the paradigm: There is *no reason* why

we cannot have an international security agreement, including Russia, including China, in which the United States would cooperate in joint missile defense, with Russia, China, the Europeans, where we would concentrate on the common aims of mankind, such as defense of the planet against asteroids, comets, and other objects.

There are many issues where mankind *must work together*, if we are not going to make ourselves extinct, and it is the question: Do we have enough people who have the intelligence and the morality to recognize that in time, so that we can avoid a human catastrophe, and possibly the extinction of civilization?

Documentation

Izborsk Club: Stop Nazi Coup in Ukraine

by Rachel Douglas

Feb. 11—A memorandum titled “Save Ukraine!” will appear in tomorrow’s edition of the Russian weekly *Zavtra*. Written by experts for the Izborsk Club, an influential intellectual group accorded prominence by President Vladimir Putin in recent months, the statement defines a “fascist and Nazi creeping coup” in Ukraine as a strategic threat to the Russian Federation. While holding the United States and the EU responsible for the regime-change project in Ukraine, the memorandum calls for summoning the USA to crisis-avoidance consultations under the 1994 Budapest Memorandum on Ukraine’s sovereignty or, if Budapest Memorandum signers Ukraine or Britain refuse such a conference, undertaking emergency Russian-American diplomacy based on the precedent of the 1962 Cuban Missile Crisis—when the world went to the brink of nuclear war.

Several of the evaluations and ideas in the memorandum coincide with last week’s interviews and articles by Presidential advisor Academician Sergei Glazyev (“Glazyev Exposes U.S. Hand in Ukraine,” *EIR*, Feb. 14, 2014), and retired Gen. Leonid Ivashov, who are Izborsk Club participants and were among the co-authors of the club’s early 2013 military strategic white paper (see “U.S. Moves toward Nuclear First Strike Capability,” *EIR*, March 15, 2013).

The “Save Ukraine!” memorandum states that the situation in that country “is approaching a boundary limit, beyond which lies the danger of Ukraine’s going fascist.” This development leads, it continues, toward “transformation of Ukraine from a non-aligned, neutral and non-nuclear state into a new ‘hot spot’ for Europe and the entire world, and into a hotbed of instability and chaos on Russia’s borders.”

Detailing the recent concessions by Ukrainian President Victor Yanukovich and the actions of the EU and the USA, including those revealed in the leaked phone conversation between U.S. Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland and Ambassador in Kiev Geoffrey Pyatt, the memorandum says that these events are “creating the conditions for an illegitimate seizure of power by a coalition of political forces that do not represent the interests of the majority of the people of Ukraine.” Charging that “the U.S. leadership group on top of Operation Ukraine is comprised of high-ranking intelligence and diplomatic operatives,” the statement suggests that “Washington is most worried of all that Moscow, which has enormous reserves among the Ukrainian population, will suddenly wake up and become more active, wrecking the almost completed plan of establishing a totally anti-Russian government, up to and including the broad use of the fascistized followers of [Nazi collaborator Stepan] Bandera.”

The report outlines possible political scenarios for regime-change in Ukraine, either by the abrupt ouster of Yanukovich or through a “coalition government” process that would also end in his ouster. A new leader, possibly former Prime Minister Yulia Tymoshenko, released from prison, would “take over the leadership of Ukraine, on the radical nationalist platform of [Oleh] Tyahnybok and other right-wing fascist groups. An ideological turn of events of that sort . . . would be a way of forming an anti-Russian state on the Russian Federation’s border, as well as disrupting any comprehensive integration processes in the former Soviet area.”

‘Strategic Interests of the Russian Federation’

Under the subhead, “Consequences of the coup for Russia’s strategic interests,” the Memorandum outlines what “a new political and ideological regime in Ukraine, . . . based on an extreme nationalist ideology, as the only available mechanism for suppressing social tensions,” can be expected to do: “decisions which directly affect the strategic interests of the Russian Federation.” The list includes military expansion by the United States

and NATO that is unacceptable for Russia:

—Rejection of the presence of the Russian Armed Forces in Crimea, including at Sevastopol as the base of the Russian Federation’s Black Sea Fleet. The time frame will be set at six to ten months, which is insufficient for an orderly relocation of the military facilities to Russian territory in the vicinity of Novorossiysk.

—Purges of pro-Russian forces in eastern and southern Ukraine, leading to a flood of refugees into the Russian Federation.

—Annihilation of manufacturing capacities in Kiev, Dnepropetrovsk, Kharkov, and other Ukrainian cities, which do contract work for the Russian military-industrial complex.

—Stepped-up forcible Ukrainianization of the population on the left bank of the Dnieper [where there are large Russian ethnic and/or Russian-speaking populations].

—Expanded partnership of Ukraine with NATO and the appearance of U.S. and NATO bases in Ukraine, including Crimea.

—Establishment in eastern Ukraine of bases for training terrorists, who will begin to operate both in the Caucasus and in the Volga Basin, and possibly also Siberia.

—Extension of ‘Euromaidan’ techniques into major Russian cities, especially in ethnically defined constituent territories of the Russian Federation.

—Expulsion of the Russian Orthodox Church from Ukraine, accompanied by forcible seizure of churches and monasteries, resulting in a further decline of the authority of both the ROC and the executive branch of government within Russian society.

—Launching of prosecutions against Gazprom, Rosneft, and their executives, with the new Ukrainian government also suing Russia in Western-sponsored international courts under various pretexts.”

‘Catastrophic for the Future of Russia’

In the final section, “What is Russia to do?”, the authors state: “We consider the situation taking shape in Ukraine to be catastrophic for the future of Russia and the entire post-Soviet area.” Among the measures they propose that Russian political leaders take, “within the framework of international law,” are the following:

—an official ideological evaluation of the creeping coup as fascist and Nazi, infringing the rights of all peoples and ethnic groups living in Ukraine;

—an appeal to the Russian and Ukrainian peoples

to resist with all their might the fascist plague that is seizing power in Kiev, and to bring broad layers of the public into the political process;

“—direct social and economic assistance to all the regions of southern and eastern Ukraine, through launching bilateral programs and keeping low gas prices for Ukrainian customers, while withholding additional direct loans to the government of Ukraine;

“—calling on all Russian citizens to contact their relatives and friends in Ukraine, to mobilize them to join an overt political process against the Maidan, which is leading to a future fratricidal war;...

“—launch of a broad campaign on national TV channels to support the Ukrainian public and expose the fascist content of the coup that is under way, as well as the adverse economic consequences for Ukraine, especially its eastern and southern regions;

“—an open declaration to the world community on the unacceptability for Russia of the creation of a fascist, anti-Semitic state close to our borders, as well as making such statements at the UN and other international organizations;

“—an appeal by the Government of the Russian Federation, under the currently valid Budapest Memorandum on the Sovereignty of Ukraine, dated Dec. 5, 1994 (Article 6), to the governments of Ukraine, the USA, and Great Britain, with a decisive protest against U.S. interference in the internal affairs of Ukraine and a demand to convene a conference of the parties to the Budapest Memorandum in connection with the situation involving political aggression and measures of ‘economic coercion designed to subordinate to their own interest the exercise by Ukraine of the rights inherent in its sovereignty’;

“—in the event of refusal of one of the parties to take part in such a conference, the said memorandum should be declared temporarily invalid, with Russia entering into direct talks with Washington, citing the situation with the Caribbean Crisis [Cuban Missile Crisis] of 1962 as a precedent for the current events in Ukraine, and proposing to the USA to hold negotiations on developing joint monitoring of the political process and elections in Ukraine, as well as joint mediation of a settlement of the developing political crisis;

“—a proposal to the People’s Republic of China and other BRICS countries [Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa] to develop economic assistance plans for Ukraine and joint work in the entire post-Soviet area, in order to rein in any attempts at unilateral U.S. hegemony.”

In conclusion, they write: “Only such actions by the

Russian state and sane forces in the Russian and international community, together with the executive bodies of our two countries, can stabilize the social and economic situation in Ukraine and prevent social and political catastrophe in that country.”

Ukraine: The Budapest Memorandum of 1994

The following is the text of the Memorandum on Security Assurances, known as the Budapest Memorandum, in connection with Ukraine’s accession to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, signed Dec. 5, 1994.

The United States of America, the Russian Federation, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland,

Welcoming the accession of Ukraine to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons as a non-nuclear-weapon State,

Taking into account the commitment of Ukraine to eliminate all nuclear weapons from its territory within a specified period of time,

Noting the changes in the world-wide security situation, including the end of the Cold War, which have brought about conditions for deep reductions in nuclear forces.

Confirm the following:

1. The United States of America, the Russian Federation, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, reaffirm their commitment to Ukraine, in accordance with the principles of the CSCE [Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe] Final Act, to respect the Independence and Sovereignty and the existing borders of Ukraine.

2. The United States of America, the Russian Federation, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, reaffirm their obligation to refrain from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of Ukraine, and that none of their weapons will ever be used against Ukraine except in self-defense or otherwise in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations.

3. The United States of America, the Russian Federation, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, reaffirm their commitment to Ukraine, in accordance with the principles of the CSCE

Final Act, to refrain from economic coercion designed to subordinate to their own interest the exercise by Ukraine of the rights inherent in its sovereignty and thus to secure advantages of any kind.

4. The United States of America, the Russian Federation, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, reaffirm their commitment to seek immediate United Nations Security Council action to provide assistance to Ukraine, as a non-nuclear-weapon State Party to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, if Ukraine should become a victim of an act of aggression or an object of a threat of aggression in which nuclear weapons are used.

5. The United States of America, the Russian Federation, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, reaffirm, in the case of the Ukraine, their commitment not to use nuclear weapons against any non-nuclear-weapon State Party to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, except in the case of an attack on themselves, their territories or dependent territories, their armed forces, or their allies, by such a state in association or alliance with a nuclear weapon state.

6. The United States of America, the Russian Federation, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland will consult in the event a situation arises which raises a question concerning these commitments.

This Memorandum will become applicable upon signature.

Signed in four copies having equal validity in the English, Russian and Ukrainian languages.

Russian Ambassador to NATO: BMD Discussions ‘Exhausted’

Feb. 11—In a timely restatement of long-standing Russian policy on the U.S.-NATO Ballistic Missile Defense system, which is being deployed to encircle Russia and impose their strategic capitulation to the British monarchy’s policies, Russia’s ambassador to NATO, Alexander Grushko, told Russia 24 TV channel Feb. 10:

“We can go around in circles, convene meetings, but if we fail to resolve the fundamental issue of providing reliable legal guarantees of non-direction of the U.S. and NATO missile system against Russian forces of nuclear deterrence, we can expect no improvements” in the BMD discussion. RIA Novosti reported that

Grushko added: “If our partners are not ready to give us this information, then we have no chance to come to an agreement. I do not see any possibility of doing this.”

Both current President Vladimir Putin and former President (when the discussions were initiated) Dmitri Medvedev have stated in no uncertain terms that the unilateral deployment of the U.S.-NATO BMD is strategically unacceptable to Russia, and that they *will* take necessary countermeasures before the system is fully deployed.

Allen Dulles and OUN-B

CIA/MI6 Use of Nazis In Ukraine Ongoing?

by William F. Wertz, Jr.

Feb. 14—According to *Hitler’s Shadow: Nazi War Criminals, U.S. Intelligence, and the Cold War* (2012), by Richard Breitman and Norman Goda, U.S. intelligence documents released in 2010 reveal that on May 5, 1952, the Deputy Director of the CIA, Allen Dulles, well-known for running the Nazi Ratlines after World War II, which facilitated the escape of Nazi war criminals, wrote a letter to the U.S. Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization on the subject of Mykola Lebed, the chief of the secret police organization of Stepan Bandera’s OUN-B (Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists).

In the letter, Dulles wrote that Lebed was of “inestimable value to this Agency in its operations. In connection with future Agency operations of the first importance, it is urgently necessary that subject be able to travel in Western Europe. Before subject undertakes such travel, however, this Agency must be in a position to assure his reentry into the United States without investigation or incident which would attract undue attention to his activities. Your Service has indicated that it cannot give such assurance because of the fact that subject was convicted in 1936 of complicity in the 1934 assassination of the Polish Minister of the Interior and sentenced to death, later commuted to life imprisonment. . . . Your Service has indicated that, if the subject reenters the United States on a reentry permit, an inves-

tigation must then be conducted. . . . In order to remove the obstacles to the fulfillment of this Agency's projected operations and pursuant to the authority granted under Section 8 of the CIA Act of 1949, I approve and recommend for your approval, the entrance of this subject into the United States for permanent residence under the above Act because such entry is essential to the furtherance of the national intelligence mission and is in the interest of national security."

Both Bandera, who was also convicted for assassinating the Polish Interior Minister, and Lebed escaped prison in Poland when the Nazis invaded in 1939. Then, when the Nazis invaded the USSR on June 22, 1941, Bandera and Lebed declared a sovereign and united Ukrainian state in East Galicia. Lebed, having trained at a Gestapo center in Zakopane, was to be the new minister for security.

A Banderist proclamation in April 1941 claimed that "Jews in the USSR constitute the most faithful support of the ruling Bolshevik regime and the Muscovite imperialism in the Ukraine." Pogroms in East Galicia in the war's first days killed perhaps 12,000 Jews. In April 1943, Lebed proposed to "cleanse the entire revolutionary territory of the Polish population." On a single day, July 11, 1943, the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA) attacked some 80 localities, killing perhaps 10,000 Poles.

Dulles's letter makes no mention of Lebed's training by the Gestapo, nor his and Bandera's ethnic cleansing of Jews and Poles in Ukraine.

As documented by Breitman and Goda, the mission referred to by Dulles was, like today's, to wage war against Russia (then the Soviet Union) on Ukrainian soil, employing known Nazis. Their account raises serious questions as to whether this program was ever stopped as claimed.

Bandera himself was employed not by the CIA but by Britain's MI6, which worked with him until at least 1954. He was picked up in 1959 by the West German intelligence service BND, headed by Gen. Reinhardt Gehlen, who was the head of German military intelligence on the Eastern Front during World War II. Bandera's personal contact in West German intelligence was Heinz Danko Herre, Gehlen's one-time deputy. Herre admitted that West German use of Bandera was a "closely held" secret even within the BND, and that the relationship was "not cleared with Bonn due to political overtones."

Bandera had been trying to obtain a U.S. visa since 1955. Despite refusing to work with him, in October 1959, the CIA recommended that he obtain the visa. Ten

days later, he was assassinated, reportedly by the KGB.

While the MI6 and BND worked with Bandera, the CIA worked instead with Lebed, from 1950, until the so-called end of the Cold War in 1990, despite the fact that a U.S. Army Counterintelligence Corps (CIC) report from July 1947 had called Lebed a "well-known sadist and collaborator of the Germans." Lebed was initially moved by the Army from Rome to Munich after the war. He later relocated to New York and acquired permanent resident status, then U.S. citizenship, thanks to Allen Dulles.

Once in the United States, he became the CIA's chief contact for Operation Aerodynamic, which was the successor to the earlier Operation Cartel. These operations were for "the support, development and exploitation of the Ukrainian underground movement for resistance and intelligence purposes."

Beginning in 1953, Aerodynamic began to operate though a Ukrainian study group under Lebed's leadership in New York under CIA auspices. In 1956, this group was incorporated as the non-profit Prolog Research and Publishing Association. In 1956 alone, with CIA support, Prolog broadcast 1,200 radio programs totaling 70 hours per month, and distributed 200,000 newspapers and 5,000 pamphlets. Beginning in 1960, Prolog also employed a CIA-trained Ukrainian named Anatol Kaminsky. By 1966, Kaminsky was Prolog's chief operations officer, while Lebed provided overall management.

Lebed retired in 1975, but remained an advisor and consultant to Prolog. In the 1980s, Aerodynamic's name was changed to Qrdynamic, Pddynamic, and then Qrplumb. In the 1980s, Prolog expanded its operations to reach other Soviet nationalities. Allegedly, Qrplumb was terminated in 1990. Prolog, however, was allowed to continue its activities, but it was allegedly on its own financially, which raises questions as to whether this entire operation has continued through today.

In June 1985, the Office of Special Investigations (OSI) in the Department of Justice began investigating Lebed. The CIA, worried that an investigation of Lebed would compromise Qrplumb, protected him once again, by denying any connection between Lebed and the Nazis. As late as 1991, the CIA tried to dissuade the OSI from obtaining wartime records related to OUN-B from the German, Polish, and Soviet governments. Lebed died in 1998. He is buried in New Jersey.

What we are seeing in Ukraine today, is the same fascist policy pursued by Allen Dulles, this time under Barack Obama.

Glass-Steagall Will Strike the Financial Oligarchy at Its Root

Jacques Cheminade, former French Presidential candidate and leader of the Solidarité et Progrès political party, was interviewed on Voice of Russia, which posted the discussion on Jan. 27, under the headline “Jacques Cheminade: Frapper l’oligarchie financière à la racine” (“Striking the financial oligarchy at the root”).

VoR introduced Cheminade thus: “The oligarchy’s real role and strategy, the means to fight speculative finance, implementing a new international system, great projects for development, Russia’s role, nuclear war danger... For the Voice of Russia, French intellectual and politician Jacques Cheminade, who was twice presidential candidate, gives his analysis.”

Voice of Russia: Jacques Cheminade, hello. Could you introduce yourself to our readers? How do you situate yourself politically?

Jacques Cheminade: In terms of the French political universe, I call myself a “left Gaullist,” which means I defend the right of peoples to self-determination and economic and social progress. I am against an international financial and monetary system based on the issuance of fictitious capital, i.e., counterfeit money that allows neither social improvement nor real development of the physical economy.

Karl Marx, in the third book of *Capital*, chapter 25, analyzes this destructive aberration of capitalism, an analysis pursued by Rosa Luxemburg and Rudolf Hilferding, each in his own way.

Opposing the financial oligarchy, which is promoting such a destructive policy, and is driving for war, my objective is to catalyze French political forces bringing together the socialism of Jean Jaurès, the Gaullism of the Resistance, social Christianity in the Marc Sangnier tradition, and the radicalism of Pierre Mendès-France, not necessarily all together in the same government, but to wage a joint struggle against the main adversary. I act in the spirit of General de Gaulle’s speech in Phnom Penh (see box) and of Mendès-France in *La République*

Moderne, and even more so of the program of the National Resistance Council of March 15, 1944.

No one today in France is coherently polemicizing for such a policy, and I think of my role as that of a trail-blazer, to lead my country to the place it should rightly hold in the world.

A Lack of Journalistic Ethics

VoR: I would like to revisit the latest Presidential campaign in France, in which you were a candidate. Many were struck by the disdain and the aggressiveness in the way questions were asked [of you], for example, in an interview on the public TV La Chaîne Publique, LCP, or on the public radio, France Inter. What in your opinion is the reason for the lack of such elementary journalistic ethics?

Cheminade: There are three interrelated things. First of all, you have the tribal spirit dominating the media, political, and cultural world in Paris, which says I should not exist, not only because I am not a product of it, but I am in active opposition to it.

Also because the Atlanticist and oligarchical policy currently dominating official France cannot accept the existence in France of a friend of the American political figure Lyndon LaRouche; [a French leader] who represents a “certain idea of France,” as defined by de Gaulle; and who was sponsored by more than 500 mayors, which means some 1.5% of all the mayors [in the 2012 French Presidential election]. LaRouche, in the United States, is fighting against the party of the British Empire, or Anglo-American Empire, which also dominates France through its financial and cultural networks. That there should be a link spanning the Atlantic between those who oppose this system is simply intolerable for the “oligarchy of losers” which also dominates France through its financial and cultural networks.

Finally, because the theme of my campaign—“A World Without the City [of London] or Wall Street,



Jacques Cheminade, interviewed on Voice of Russia Jan. 27: "I think of my role as that of a trail-blazer, to lead my country to the place it should rightly hold in the world."

Great Projects for Tomorrow"—would have attracted the French, who are just waiting for a truly progressive, patriotic "outsider." Therefore, they had to create confusion around my ideas, by twisting my positions and attempting to ridicule my space program in particular, although it has the esteem of the European Space Agency.

VoR: Your main focus has long been the struggle against international speculative finance, the faceless enemy that François Hollande brought up in the Presidential campaign, in his Le Bourget speech. It is true that this world of finance has no face? How can you define it?

Cheminade: The face of international finance is that of an oligarchy which is mainly active today in the City of London and Wall Street, and which acts against the interests of peoples and nation-states, insofar as they mount resistance to the oligarchy's domination. It is served by the main leaders of the

"trans-Atlantic world," while the European Union, which European Commission President José Manuel Barroso called "a non-imperial empire based on mutual consent," is its "continental" ally.

The British Empire's strategy of "divide and rule" has been fully adopted by this oligarchy, which manipulates conflicts over mainly social issues and diverts them into religious conflicts—Jews against Muslims, atheists and Muslims against Christians, Shi'ites against Sunnis—and promotes different forms of racism (anti-Semitism and Islamophobia feed into each other).

The Importance of Glass-Steagall

VoR: In your economic program, you make the struggle against finance conditional on enacting a Glass-Steagall law, which would separate deposit banks from investment banks. Why do you think this measure is so important?

Cheminade: The return to Glass-Steagall is crucial for striking the financial oligarchy at the source. This system is centered on the fact that the "universal" banks are supported by the central banks—and in the Eurozone, by the ECB—which refinance them at a ridiculously low rate; and those universal banks rely on massive amounts of corporate depos-

its to guarantee their predatory speculative activities. If they are separated under different roofs, banks taking deposits and extending loans on the one hand, and banks trading on the financial markets on the other, that privilege will disappear.

A true separation will lead to exposing the physically fictitious nature of the overwhelming majority of claims held by the "investment" branches of the major banks considered "too big to fail." Those who gambled should no longer be bailed out, to the detriment of the taxpayers, nor bailed in, to the detriment of depositors, but will have to pay the price for their mistakes and their partially or totally criminal schemes. We will have to proceed with their bankruptcy in an organized and orderly manner, which is the only way to avoid their political blackmail. This is what the Glass-Steagall directly involves: It's not just a technical measure, but a political weapon to clean up the environment and build a world "without the City of London or Wall Street."

We can then implement a true policy of long-term, low-interest, productive public credit for physical and human infrastructure, creating the economic and social conditions for a recovery in the mutual interest of the peoples and the states.

Maurice Allais, the only French Nobel Prize winner in economics, was a firm supporter of such a system, which I discussed with him many times.

VoR: But hasn't progress been made, in particular, with the July 26, 2013 law relative to separating and regulating bank activities?

Cheminade: The so-called law on banking separation of [Finance Minister] Pierre Moscovici, of July 26, 2013, is, unfortunately, nothing but an economic sham, scarcely more sophisticated, as shams go, than the cardboard tanks of Saddam Hussein. Under pressure from the French banking lobby, which is closely connected to its Anglo-American counterpart, the law leaves our banking system in the same risky situation it was in at the beginning of the crisis, but this fake reform entails a very real austerity policy for workers and for the real economy.

On Jan. 30, 2013, at a hearing of the Financial Committee of the National Parliament, Mr. Frederic Oudéa, the CEO of Société Générale, admitted that the proposed reform would change very little for the business of the major banks. The separation, he said, pertains to “between 3 and 5% of our investment banking, which amounts to 15% of the bank's total income.” The calculation is simple for anyone: 5% of the total 15% of the total income of the bank comes out to 0.75%. In other words, the law does not apply to 99% of the Société Générale's business! For the other banks, the maximum figure is 98%.

The banks can continue to have subsidiaries in tax and offshore havens; they will simply have to provide figures of a very general nature. They can continue to organize products for their clients with which to speculate on farm commodity prices, and to carry out high-frequency trading (purchase and sale of securities on extremely short term) by engaging in “market-making” activities, which remain authorized in the main. As in Luchino Visconti's film “The Leopard,” they claimed to change everything and changed nothing.

De Gaulle on Vietnam: 'No Military Solution'

On Sept. 1, 1966, President Charles de Gaulle delivered a speech in Phnom Penh, addressed to Prince Norodom Sihanouk, in which he praised Cambodia for remaining neutral in the conflict that was then emerging between Vietnam and the United States, and warning that a military solution would not be possible. He said:

“France considers that the fighting that is ravaging Indochina, by and of itself, offers no end. In France's view, if it is unthinkable that the American war apparatus will be annihilated on the spot, there is, on the other hand, no chance that the peoples of Asia will subject themselves to the law of the foreigner who comes from the other shores of the Pacific, whatever his intentions, however powerful his weapons.



De Gaulle in Phnom Penh, September 1966.

“In short, as long and cruel as the ordeal must be, France holds for certain that it will have no military solution....”

The [speech](#), in French, is posted online.

Such cowardice lets the Socialist government buy some time, but in the upcoming financial and monetary storm, it doesn't afford them any real protection. But it does leave the European and worldwide political stage open to those, as Jean Jaurès put it, whose clouds are a portent of war, because their system by its very nature is incapable of ensuring mutual development.

VoR: In your program, you speak of a Bretton Woods, that is, an economic system of public credit disconnected from speculative finance, to serve great infrastructure projects. At a time when the G8 and G20 summits are seeking solutions to relaunch and stabilize the world economy, what are the major obstacles to setting up such a new system? Why can't they reach an agreement on that?

Cheminade: The obstacle is the financial oligarchy's control of the trans-Atlantic countries. If they are freed from this oppression, through Glass-Steagall, we will be able to implement an order of public credit bringing together different countries for great projects which will "produce productivity." After the Second World War, just as in the United States from 1933 on under Roosevelt, France also had such a two-tier banking system. That is how we could generate credit for reconstruction while preventing those funds from being used for speculation. At the time, we had next to no savings, but we were able to rebuild thanks to public credit for infrastructure!

The fundamental political and economic question is: Who controls credit and what it is used for.

Today, it is controlled by speculators linked to an oligarchy which is criminal because it is unable to ensure investment into the general welfare and future generations. With a global Glass-Steagall and the new Bretton Woods, we will have the means to use public credit for joint projects useful for all of mankind. For example, the [Strategic] Defense of Earth initiative presented by [Deputy Prime Minister of Russia] Dmitri Rogozin would be a driving force of such a new Bretton Woods.

Great Projects To Finance Human Creativity

VoR: Great projects are very important for you. What are they, what do they involve, what is at stake here?

Cheminade: Great projects are meant to finance human creativity; they represent the application of sci-

entific discoveries to new and more productive technologies per person, per kilometer, and per gram of the matter used. On a national level, the physical infrastructure needed to make use of such new technologies must be provided, while at the same time, setting up the human infrastructure needed to ensure the skills and the standard of living needed to implement such technologies. That means building schools, hospitals, laboratories, and housing.

Such an "optimistic," future-oriented vision is contrary to the perverted "green" ecology which assumes that less and less dense energy sources can be used. The oligarchy promotes "greenie environmentalism" because it spreads cultural pessimism and doubts about the creative capacities of man. It leads the enemies of its power into the dead-end of political and cultural impotence, and in that way, they become accomplices in promoting technological decline. Thus, the oligarchy, which depends on speculation, and is unable to invest in future discoveries and technologies, uses those who promote "sustainable" technologies and decry great projects, as "useful idiots."

Great projects define a "Promethean" strategy, not for the sake of dominating, but to develop what is human in man, his creative powers placed in the service of the general welfare and the generations to come. And to help him recover his self-respect by seeking the future.

We have proposed a number of great projects, which should add up to both a worldwide land-bridge and a platform for space exploration. Projects of this dimension should bring countries and peoples together, and ensure the mobilization for peace and the mutual development of the resources available to mankind. We must do in the 21st Century for peace, what we did almost only for war in the 20th Century.

Just to name a few of these great projects:

- A "Eurasian Land-Bridge," setting up development corridors and rapid transportation arteries from the Atlantic to the China Sea.
- The link between this "bridge" and the American continent through the Bering Strait, as proposed by Russian and American experts and technicians.
- The NAWAPA [North American Water and Power Alliance] project of our American friends, which involves bringing water to the central plains of the U.S. and California from the rivers of the Great North, water which is not used today.



"We have proposed a number of great projects, which should add up to both a worldwide land-bridge and a platform for space exploration," Cheminade told VoA. Above: an artist's concept of a bridge across the Bering Strait, connecting North America with the Russian Far East, and featuring maglev trains; below: The Extended NAWAPA into Africa, in an artist's rendition.



- Plans to exploit the immense resources of Siberia, in particular, by China and Russia.
- Plans to replenish the water in the desert or near-desert parts of Africa, such as refilling Lake Chad by diverting the flow from the northern basin of the Congo up to the Ubangi-Chari area (Transaqua), refilling the Tunisian and Algerian chotts [salt lake beds] with water, and restarting construction of the Jonglei Canal in South Sudan.

This should form a unity in diversity worldwide, to-

gether with space programs of a common interest for all of mankind, setting as a horizon the exploration, knowledge, and control of the inner Solar System (detection and control of asteroids and comets, meteorology, knowledge of other planets and their moons, exploitation of the helium-3 on the Moon for use in thermonuclear fusion power, etc.).

All responsible political leaders should think in terms of such a horizon, and not remain in the short or intermediate term, without questioning the parameters of a system unable to ensure a future.

A 'Cold War' Conspiracy?

VoR: In French political life, you are almost the only person who regularly speaks about the American strategic shield (ABM) set up in Europe, and you share the Russian viewpoint on this subject, and on many others as well. You are in favor of a rapprochement between France and Western Europe on the one hand, and Russia on the other. What do we have to share? Isn't the gap widening between Europe and Russia?

Conversely, you denounce the stronghold of Wall Street and the City of London. Isn't this a very "Cold War" conspiracy theory view of things?

Cheminade: We must, at all costs, prevent the gap between Europe and Russia from widening. To be frank, what I fear is the preventive-war doctrine adopted by NATO, in connection with the great economic crisis of the trans-Atlantic world. At the same time, faced with the threat of encirclement, I can understand, in light of Anglo-American military and political pressure, that Russia also had to adopt a preventive-war policy. I read in Ria Novosti of Feb. 5, 2010, that

“Russia reserves the right to use nuclear weapons in the event of an attack with nuclear weapons or other WMD against it and/or its allies, and in the event of aggression with conventional weapons that endangers the very existence of the State.”

Call it what you will, “conspiracy theorist” or “very Cold War view,” the fact is there. In other words, the end of the Cold War, which happened during the rule of an oligarchy which claims to be “liberal,” but in fact, serves a financial dictatorship, has paradoxically created the (theoretical) conditions for an American-Russian thermonuclear war.

That is why we have to get out of this dilemma very quickly! And put into place the Eurasian Land-Bridge, which I support as an instrument of peace through development in order to get out of a situation, that of the domination of the financial oligarchy in the trans-Atlantic region, which is leading us to war.

The French daily *Libération*, in its Jan. 23 issue, ran an editorial by François Sargent which reflects very well the state of aggressive thinking, to say the least, of these Western warhawks. He writes: “The European Union must not only condemn and unambiguously impose sanctions on [Ukrainian President] Yanukovych, a corrupt autocrat, but also [Russian President] Putin, who is attempting to reestablish a buffer zone of vassal states around Russia. The international community has already allowed another client of Putin, Damascus, to do what it wants. It is more than time to mobilize for the freedom of Kiev.” *Le Monde* has made similar comments, and together with the *New York Times* and the International Consortium of Investigative Journalism, devoted five pages, complete with color pictures and graphics, to denouncing the “hidden fortunes of the Red Chinese princes.” The latter are, of course, not irreproachable, but the offensive spirit displayed by these publications, which would never have done such a thing by themselves, is evident.

Have I misread, or is war being heated up? That is why I insist more than ever that peace must be defended, not vainly by words, but by projects of mutual development.

VoR: Your political movement is often considered by your detractors as conspiracy theorists and far right-wing. Your comment?

Cheminade: Those who say such things discredit themselves. Their aim is to prevent everything I just

told you from being heard in France. They leave the field open for people like Marine Le Pen or [Jean-Luc] Mélenchon, who, rather than thinking, just vituperate. The oligarchy, which does not fear them, gives them coverage in order to create the illusion that the only opposition to the oligarchy is destructive and has no real program.

Impact of the Presidential Campaign

VoR: During the 2012 Presidential campaign, you got 0.25% of the vote, 0.28% in 1995, when you also ran. Don’t such low results and the content of the current debate as carried out in the media, push you to envisage a different type of political action, or other forms of commitment that are more efficient, and do not necessarily involve the election campaign and the election?

Cheminade: I did not run in order to get votes, but to say what I had to say. It was heard by the French better than most think. The people who come up to me to shake my hand in the street when I’m traveling outside of Paris attest to that. They tell me: “You are the one who was right and who explained what is happening.” Many of the mayors who sponsored my candidacy now disseminate the Glass-Steagall principle and have their municipal councils vote resolutions supporting it. At our initiative, the General Council of the Ille-et-Vilaine Department voted up such a resolution for a true separation of banks.

I hold conferences all over France on that subject, and on the need to return to a vision of great projects and mutual development, both nationally and internationally. Our greatest limitation is the lack of financial resources, but it is also the proof of our honesty. For a long time, attempts have been made to discredit me, and the rejection of my campaign accounts by the Constitutional Council in 1995, on legally false grounds, while the accounts of [Jacques] Chirac and [Édouard] Balladur should have been rejected, attests to the relentless attack on my ideas, just as the slanders against me do. I take it as the homage that vice owes to virtue.

What is new is that, in the midst of the crisis hitting France, it is increasingly recognized that my approach is in line with our tradition of the public sector and public service, on the opposite end of the egoisms that have been unleashed by “financial liberalism,” whether on the right or on the left.

Saving the United States From Extermination

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Feb. 13—Any intention by Mis-President Barack Obama, to support the British empire (of the present, imperial Queen of England) would now be a globally extended thermonuclear-extinction of much, if not all, of the human species. There is no reason for such a war to occur now: the Eurasian sector, including Russia, has no war-like intention, were it not threatened with immediately global thermonuclear warfare. The option behind the foolish and deranged President Barack Obama, is that he is nominally a U.S. President (securing that position by greatly by British drug-trafficking means), and is more lunatic ape, in practice, than any other quality. If the United States were not under the lunacy of President Barack Obama, there could be no new world war now. The motive is not Obama's; it is that of the British-Dutch empire, an institution, declared by the office of monarch of the British Empire, then, at the time of the American Revolution, as a British dedication to the creation of an empire premised upon the explicitly chosen model, of the ancient Roman Empire. That is the crucial fact of history, for any member of the U.S. Congress who has actually no competent knowledge of the history of our Federal Republic.



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

Lyndon LaRouche

This current President was never anything but a British imperial stooge: a dupe with, apparently, no actual mind of his own: a dribbler of opinions, from his perch between the two sides of the White House, where he dribbles his expostulation of simple-minded rage against any who he fears does not worship him implicitly.

In fact, as a matter of history, most of the Presidents of our United States, to the present date, have been stooges, directed by British-centered financial interests, such as stooges of the British imperium-controlled, thieving, Wall Street: since the period of our American Revolution, and Tory agents of that time, such as the Aaron Burr, a professional British assassin, who had actually created the Presidencies of Andrew Jackson and Van Buren out of money channeled through the New York City region's British banking interests. Presidents such as Theodore Roosevelt, who benefitted from the assassination of President McKinley, and Presidents such as Woodrow Wilson (who relaunched the Ku Klux Klan, bigger then than ever before), Calvin Coolidge, Herbert Hoover, the Bush Presidencies, and, last, but worst, Barack Obama.

Our Presidency has spawned, in fact, more crooks

or outright traitors than all honest Presidents put together. President Franklin D. Roosevelt, John Fitzgerald Kennedy, and, good Presidents (personally) such as Ronald Reagan and Bill Clinton, have been the last good Presidents who had honored their post. Washington, James Monroe, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, and the William McKinley displaced by the evil Theodore Roosevelt, complete a broad-stroked outline of the rudiments of our Presidential history: the others were British stooges who did our Republic great damage, either by intention, or, by sheer foolishness and sheer opportunism.

Franklin Roosevelt was, lately, a magnificent hero among the heroes who had been deserving of their occupation of Presidential status.

The problematic cases have been, chiefly, two: evil Presidents, or insufferably foolish ones. The tribes of Bush and Obama, have been, lately (beginning with the Prescott Bush who had Wall-Street-funded the installation of Dictator Adolf Hitler), the very worst record in the Twentieth and Twenty-First Centuries: only Obama has been absolutely the very worst so far—if, indeed, any later Presidents might come to exist.

Read the collected, published works of our First Secretary of the Treasury, Alexander Hamilton, the genius who accomplished the basis for the survival of the Presidency of that genius George Washington, but was assassinated by a British spy and professional assassin, the same Aaron Burr who had foisted Presidents Jackson and Van Buren into office (and had ruined the previously prosperous United States under President Monroe, and the truly magnificent genius, President John Quincy Adams).

The Solution to the Crisis

There are, chiefly, several measures of reform which must be immediately installed, if we are to reverse the presently accelerated physical-economic collapse of a U.S. economy now about to be plunged into a general economic-financial breakdown-crisis. Hence the urgency which is impelling the British empire and its stooge, Barack Obama, into an immediate physical-economic collapse. The British empire's lust for world war now, finds its choice of timing in an awareness of the now immediate general economic-financial breakdown-crisis of the trans-Atlantic economy as a whole.

There are exactly four economic measures of economic reform which are now immediately required for a rescue of the U.S. society and its economy:

1. The immediate enactment of President Franklin Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall reform: without any alteration in the Glass-Steagall action introduced under President Franklin Roosevelt.

2. The eradication of the influence of Wall Street and of its fraudulent claims to require payment for Wall Street's and related nominal debts.

3. A physical-economic commitment to a physical-economic recovery based on an increase in the increase of the physical-economic energy-flux density mustered and applied for the increase of both, (a) the energy-flux density, (b) of both the per-square-centimeter sources of power for both production and per-capita productivity of the education and the employment of both the national labor-force and the per-capita productivity and welfare of the future labor-force (i.e., education).

4. The so-called "green policy" must be eliminated, and a return to physical-economic productivity, in the likeness of the spirit of President Franklin D. Roosevelt, restored in principle. The affirmation of the return to our American tradition, done in this way, is the only presently available basis for even the mere continued existence of our United States.


George Bush: The Unauthorized Biography

By Webster G. Tarpley
and Anton Chaitkin

The groundbreaking
and widely cited
exposé of the Bush
family, in a new
edition.

Now available in
PDF format from the
LaRouche Publications
Store.

\$19.95 Or order by phone: 800-278-3135



Rebuild U.S. Promethean Leadership To Stop Thermonuclear War

In announcing his intent to run as a Democrat for the seat currently held by House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi, in the 12th Congressional District of California, LaRouche Policy Committee member Michael Steger issued this statement Feb. 11, under the title, "A Declaration of Intent: Stop Thermonuclear War Now, Before It's Too Late!"

The one effective solution to the grave crisis confronting our nation, and mankind, immediately today, will be recognized by that small but growing number of individuals, a self-selected leadership, as in times of warfare, willing to break the chains induced by the cult of monetarism and Malthusian environmentalist depopulation, otherwise known as the cult of the tyrant Zeus. Only an immediate return to the Promethean tradition of Franklin, Washington, and Hamilton, as well as John Quincy Adams, Lincoln, Franklin Roosevelt, and the short administration of John Kennedy, will suffice, if we are to triumph over the imperial tradition of a Wall Street/London global financial empire, an empire now threatening, through neo-Nazi groups in Ukraine and Nazi-affiliated terrorist cells in Syria and beyond, a thermonuclear war of human extinction.

Consider the last 50 years: two full adult generations of Americans, who have developed, or, in most cases, degenerated, in the aftermath of President Kennedy's assassination, amid the cultural takeover by the international drug cartels and related terrorist and financial institutions. Who benefited from the Vietnam War? Who benefited from the loss of high-tech manufacturing and family farming? Who benefited from 9/11?

Over these last 50 years, our nation and European civilization more broadly, from North Africa and the eastern Mediterranean to the Nazi-run European Union and the British-directed Obama Presidency, have come to face near-total destruction in basic standards of living, production, and progress, while epidemics of disease, drug addiction, and perpetual war enforce Zeus-like calls to cull the herd of the most vulnerable members of our society, referred to in Wall Street circles as the undesirables—a policy euphemistically known as solving “overpopulation.”

On the other hand, a sleeping giant, or rather, in this case, a sleeping dragon, has awakened throughout the Asian world, with nations such as China, India, South Korea, Japan, and Russia, in their own respects, and with many im-



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

Michael Steger, a member of the LaRouche Policy Committee, is challenging House Democratic Leader Nancy Pelosi for California's 12th District Congressional seat.

perfections, but which have committed to an upward sense of progress, including an increase in population, as well as standards of living, through development of nuclear fission and thermonuclear fusion power, water transport systems, high-speed rail development, and space exploration, including the developing of lunar resources such as helium-3 for fusion energy.

It is important to note for any American patriot, that since the American Revolution, and especially, the Presidency of Abraham Lincoln, the nations of Asia, at one time or another, had looked to the United States and the Promethean legacy of European culture, for leadership in the direction of progress and human advancement.

Now, under the sadistic Presidency of Obama, otherwise known for his Tuesday kill lists and basketball diaries, these same nations of Asia, facing the threat of destruction by European civilization's collapse, are preparing for the Hitler-like launch of thermonuclear war, as Russia and China, most specifically, confront encirclement by nuclear forces (including forward-deployed Ohio-class submarines and their massive nuclear arsenals), and by NATO advanced missile defense facilities on land as well as destroyers equipped with Aegis BMD systems in the Mediterranean and Asian-Pacific waters.

But in addition to this military nuclear encirclement, an asymmetrical encirclement, again by old Nazi- and Saudi-sponsored circles in Libya, Syria, Iraq, the Caucasus, Ukraine, and western China, threatens, at this very moment, to ignite a thermonuclear launch from every nuclear nation, which threatens the entire human race.

The danger is far greater today, than when John Kennedy addressed the United Nations in September of 1961, when he said that "every man, woman and child lives under a nuclear sword of Damocles." We must heed his warning, and those of patriots like Lyndon LaRouche with his foresight today!

The Solution to the Crisis

The first step for any patriot, as George Washington defined patriotism, as above partisan concerns, is the immediate removal of President Obama, relegating him to official post-Presidential status similar to that of the despised George W. Bush. Only with Obama's removal will the Anglo-Dutch imperial threat of thermonuclear war be stopped. However, to eliminate the active legacy of that empire itself, further steps must also be taken.

Next, the immediate reinstatement of Glass-Steagall banking separation as Federal law. This legislation, already active in Congress and throughout the nation's state legislatures, will enable and expedite the bankruptcy of the major Wall Street and international financial racket houses, otherwise known as "too big to fail" or GSIFIs, in Dodd-Frank bail-in nomenclature. Once mankind is freed from the money-laundering facilities of the Anglo-Dutch criminal enterprise, colloquially referred to as "the Wall Street of lower Manhattan" in our political discourse today, our nation will be free again to assert its sovereignty, much as we did following Lincoln's victory in the Civil War.

Projects such as Thermonuclear NAWAPA XXI, an aggressive program of land, water, and nuclear power development throughout the Western States, as promoted by President Kennedy in its earlier incarnation, will begin to restore to the United States a manufacturing and scientific cadre critical for further steps towards lunar exploration, asteroid defense, exploration, and beyond. When scientific and artistic leadership, otherwise known as the rule of Prometheans, presides over U.S. policy, the Zeus-imposed disease of poverty and hunger can be eradicated globally once and for all.

As the current drought ravages California farmland, and threatens the lives and livelihood of millions of residents in California, action should have been taken yesterday! Plans for nuclear desalination to provide water to populated coastal areas, and enable adequate water for the Central Valley, are examples of immediate policies required to alleviate the crisis, and reestablish a progress-oriented advanced industrial economy in California and throughout the nation.

The drought in California, which is spreading through to Texas and the Rio Grande Basin, into the Plains States, and down into Mexico, may be the worst drought in 500 years, the most devastating this nation and Mexico have ever seen, but, for many Americans, it is small compared to the drought of leadership in Congress, which threatens not only our lives and our livelihood, but threatens the meaning of the lives of those who have already lived.

This is why I have declared my candidacy for Congress in the 12th District in San Francisco, California.

*Michael G. Steger
San Francisco, California*

Generalizing the Principle Of Government Credit

by Michael Kirsch

This is Part II of a lecture given on July 29, 2013. Part I appeared in last week's issue. The video of the entire lecture is at <http://larouchepac.com/node/27592>.

Each of President Franklin Roosevelt's credit lending institutions, like the National Bank, operated on the principle that they were to provide the possibility of a loan or the back up for an active agreement between the public and private sectors.¹ And a great example of that is the Commodity Credit Corporation. You see this characteristic in the Oct. 16, 1933 Executive Order by which it was created:

Whereas, the Congress of the United States has declared that an acute emergency exists by reason of widespread distress and unemployment, disorganization of industry, *and the impairment of the agricultural assets supporting the national credit structure, all of which affects the national public interest and welfare,* and

Whereas, in order to meet the said emergency and *to provide the relief necessary to protect the general welfare of the people,* the Con-

gress of the United States has enacted the following acts....

It is this tone of voice, which you had not seen since the time of Lincoln, of a government willing to come out and say, "*We exist, we are a power, that is the purpose of the nation;* the nation is not set up for Andrew Jackson's 'simple machine,' laissez-faire, and the idea that we are going to become tools of a private financial power."

The Commodity Credit Corporation was an example of using the powers of Congress to create the necessary means to effect the objects: "To carry out the provisions of said acts it is expedient and necessary that *a corporation be organized with such powers and functions as may be necessary to accomplish the purposes of said acts.*"² There were laws that were passed, these various acts just referenced, and every law has objects and purposes that it lays out. And then the government has the power to come up with whatever the means are that will be the best way to effect those objects, which is actually discretionary. And therefore corporations are formed as the means to effect the objects of the laws.

Today the idea of setting up a credit corporation by the government is something people do not have a clear sense of at all, because they are thinking in a monetarist way. They are thinking, "What has happened has happened, and it's not our job to come in and control the process."

The Commodity Credit Corporation, on the other

1. Roosevelt set up a group of credit institutions to promote the general welfare and to get the economy moving: the Commodity Credit Corporation; the Tennessee Valley Authority; the Public Works Administration to provide work relief on large public projects; the Agricultural Adjustment Act to restore agricultural income; the Emergency Farm Mortgage Act to save farms from foreclosure; the Emergency Railroad Transportation Act to help the railroad systems and to restore oil and petroleum from disaster; the Federal Surplus Relief Corporation to help farmers and the unemployed by purchasing surplus foodstuffs; and the Civil Works Administration for non-public works projects relief work, building schools, etc. Roosevelt wrote that all of these institutions were organized forms of self-help to allow the population to build their own way out of the crisis, with the hand of the government acting as a backing of the process, but not as a direct guide.

2. 1) The Agricultural Adjustment Act, May 12, 1933; 2) the National Industrial Recovery Act, June 16, 1933; 3) the Federal Emergency Relief Act of 1933, May 12, 1933; 4) the Reconstruction Finance Corporation Act, Jan. 22, 1932; 5) the Federal Farm Loan Act, July 17, 1916; 6) the Farm Credit Act of 1933, June 16, 1933; 7) the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932, July 21, 1932.



Philadelphia Historical and Museum Commission

Nicholas Biddle (1786-1844), nation-builder and president of the Second Bank of the United States.

hand, was the idea of taking parties in the private sector, and allowing the cycles of each part of them to be delayed to effect a transfer of wealth, to allow the output of the different parts of the productive system to actually mesh in their cycles. Rather than the policy that if they do not happen to mesh, then they both go bankrupt, and the government says, “That’s just the way things are.”

The object of the Commodity Credit Corporation was to contribute to the support of farm prices by enabling producers to hold on to their products, which might otherwise have to be dumped with the resulting price declines. Roosevelt describes its purpose: “*to help the farmers of the Nation by lending them money on their surplus crops so that they might continue to hold them instead of dumping them on already saturated markets.*”

The role of credit came into play, in the form of this government credit institution, and made it possible for banks or other local lending institutions to lend money to farmers, so that they would not be forced to sell their

goods immediately at a too low a price and flood the market. If the bank had discounted a bill of exchange for the farmer, but it needed the cash right away because of a demand *upon it*, then the Commodity Credit Corporation would purchase this bill of exchange that the bank was holding.

It was providing the context in which credit agreements could occur without the risk of total collapse, and, in reality, providing the context in which credit agreements could even occur. The cycle of the farmer could now be offset in order to ensure prosperity. And that was just one example, which a lot of these institutions reflected.

The direct comparison of this institution and the Bank of the United States is remarkable. Nicholas Biddle used the exact same language in 1811, when he was defending the first Bank of the United States during a debate in the Lancaster, Pa., House of Representatives. (Biddle was the third director of the Second Bank of the United States, who re-established Alexander Hamilton’s system, with John Quincy Adams, in 1823-25.) Speaking in the State House as a legislator in 1811, Biddle stated:

To my mind no principle of national economy is clearer, than that the most natural way of protecting the poorer classes of a society is by a [national] bank: an institution . . . *which enables the farmer to reserve his crops for a better market, instead of sacrificing them for his immediate wants*; and by loans, at a moderate rate of interest, relieves every class of society from the pressure of usury.

So you see *exactly the same idea*. You need a national credit institution which allows the economy to act on the time scale of the human mind. The human mind can know that this farmer is going to come to a season when he is going to have all of these goods, but that the rest of the economy is not ready for them at that time. Allowing these random cycles to determine what the prices are, and then to therefore collapse the living standard of your farmers and others, “just because,” is inhuman, and is against the idea of government, as the representative of the people.

If the government decides it wants to make that process more coincident with mind, and guide the process, then it sets up a credit-lending institution. It is

then guiding these relations, not only of agriculture, but transportation, production, etc. It was that whole system, which John Quincy Adams and Nicholas Biddle set up, which created a giant surplus by guiding different cycles of the economy, as Biddle did with the farming sector of the West. Bills of exchange, letters of credit, would be discounted in the western branches of the Bank of the United States, in his time, and then find their way east, where the merchants would be importing and exporting goods, and the Bank allowed the farmers to get the best prices for their goods.

By extending credit to the farmers, then, if they had a bad year, they did not have to sell off their farms because they had gone bankrupt. The nation was enabled to continue building the power of production: more farms, do not let the farms just collapse; more manufacturing, because of the credit from the bank; more internal improvements, because of lending for the canals.

Now what did this do? Biddle came in as Bank director in 1823 and reorganized the system. At this time, President James Monroe had agreed with Hamilton, regarding the powers of appropriation of money, “to lay and collect taxes.” What does it mean “to lay”? It means to put down; you can put forward a bounty or duty, but you can also collect taxes. Monroe came around to agree that the government could appropriate money for canals, that this was implied in the powers given to government in the Constitution. Benjamin Franklin, at the Constitutional Convention, had wanted it to be an explicit provision, to have the power to appropriate money for canals. Monroe came around in 1823-24. He started the National Survey Act, and Army engineers started designing canals and railroads. In 1825, the Erie Canal was completed, but other states were also launching projects in 1823 and 1824.

When Biddle came in as Bank Director, as the patriot he was, he and his associated nation-builders, such as his close associate Mathew Carey, launched the biggest industrialization and overall increase of production that the nation had seen up till then. And years into the process, especially under the Presidency of John Quincy Adams (1825-29), it created a huge national surplus from tax revenues.

Then Andrew Jackson came in as President, acting as a complete tool of Aaron Burr, Van Buren, John Randolph, et al.—the anti-nation-state interests,

which I have written about and will go through in a different presentation. But the key point of relevance here is that Jackson moved immediately to pay off the national debt, which he was only able to do by the work of Biddle at the Bank, who made sure, through the credit operations of the Bank and the management of deposits and funds, that the nation could keep generating enough surplus productivity to maintain growth, while at the same time sink all of this debt.

There was no reason to pay off the debt so soon. All it did was slow down the growth that we could have had. It was fine to pay off a lot of the debt, because you have to make good on it sometime, but the reason Jackson was made to do so by his controllers, was to obtain the “justification” to then drop the protection against foreign laws which had been given to manufacturers; to drop the development of canals and rails, which Jackson opposed early on, in 1830; to argue that the sale of the public lands, which had given money to the Treasury for internal improvements (roads, canals, and rails), was no longer necessary, and that they could now be given away for free to the states; and to destroy the Bank, which was deemed no longer necessary to coordinate the economy to create a surplus, because we had now paid off the national debt.

Biddle, as the head of the Bank, spent years working to generate a real physical surplus, by coordinating the cycles of debt and credit throughout the economy, and organizing all of the assets of the branches of the Bank, and all of the assets of the government, in such a way as to maintain productivity and a physical surplus. Reading through Biddle’s letters, and the history involved, one is presented with an absolute dedication and mastery of national economic cycles, managed day in and day out; the picture of a nation-builder looking at the whole economy in his mind, and regulating the Bank to generate this hard-earned surplus. And then these traitors, Jackson et al., look at the surplus as something that just happens to be there, randomly occurring, and decide to throw it all into paying off the debt immediately.

Involved here, is the distinction between monetary debt, as treated by Jackson et al., and credit debts, as Biddle used them, and as Hamilton did before him. The failure to understand the correct view of debt is something which Franklin Roosevelt addresses in his budget speeches, [which I will take up in Part III].

Editorial

Impeach Obama Now!

When the House Judiciary Committee in 1974 passed three bills of impeachment against Richard Nixon, it concluded each with the following solemn statement: “In all of this, Richard M. Nixon has acted in a manner contrary to his trust as President and subversive of constitutional government, to the great prejudice of the cause of law and justice and to the manifest injury of the people of the United States.”

Nixon, of course, was rightly accused of seeking to impose a dictatorship upon the United States, a danger even his Republican colleagues could not ignore. When the Republicans began to join in the impeachment, Nixon saw the handwriting on the wall and resigned.

How much more dangerous to the Republic, are the actions of a so-called President, Barack Obama, who is leading the nation to the very brink of thermonuclear war! Barack Obama is not only guilty of the same anti-constitutional actions as Nixon. The *consequences* of tolerating those actions are a threat to the very existence of the United States, and the planet as well.

You want to wait until he commits the crime? If so, you are insane, and you’ll take the world down with you.

Barack Obama has already met the standard for impeachment, several times over. He has shown utter contempt for the U.S. Constitution, on matters ranging from political appointments, to the mandated enforcement of the laws, to the power to make war. On top of that, he has shown the clear intent to commit *genocide* against the American population (and others), through his economic policies.

No less an authority than Alexander Hamilton, who was almost singlehandedly responsible for mobilizing support for the Constitution by the pro-

duction of the *Federalist Papers*, provides the condemnation of Obama. In *Federalist No. 65*, he wrote of impeachment:

“The subjects of its jurisdiction are those offenses which proceed from the misconduct of public men, or, in other words, from the abuse or violation of some public trust.”

And in an essay published in the *American Daily Advertiser* in 1794, he wrote: “If it should be asked, What is the most sacred duty and greatest source of our security in a Republic? The answer would be, An inviolable respect for the Constitution and Laws—the first growing out of the last. . . . A sacred respect for the constitutional law is the vital principle, the sustaining energy of a free government.”

Barack Obama, demonstrably, simply a stooge of Wall Street and London, has, to the contrary, pursued the course of subordinating the laws to his will. Examples are too numerous to cite—with the latest atrocity being his violation of anti-drug money-laundering laws. But even that vicious act, which will lead to untold menticide and destruction of the nation, pales beside the danger his remaining in office represents: the provocation of thermonuclear war.

Congress has a duty to act!


On Feb. 17, Lyndon LaRouche put down the challenge. Get 10 or 12 members of Congress to commit themselves *now*, this week, to impeachment. Get the ball rolling now! Put on the spot all those, Democrats and Republicans alike, who know that Obama is a threat to the Constitution and very existence of the United States, but who have *refused to act* in defense of their constituents and the nation.

We need impeachment now, not to punish Obama, but to protect our future. Do it now!

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

☐ \$360 for one year

☐ \$180 for six months

☐ \$120 for four months

☐ \$90 for three months

☐ \$60 for two months

☐ Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name

Company

Address

City State Zip Country

Phone ()

E-mail

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa
☐ Discover ☐ Am Ex

Card Number

Signature

Expiration Date