

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

February 28, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 9 www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

USA, EU, Ukrainian Terrorists, Set Up Nazi Regime
Just One Congressman Needed To Start Impeachment
Hamilton Today: The Principle of Natural Economy

**Welcome President 'X':
A New President for the U.S.A.**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almarino*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Ulf Sandmark*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirms@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.executiveintelligencereview.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly

(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach

Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany

Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,

basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.

Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:

eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR , Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,

Col Plutarco E Calles,

Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,

Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights

reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without

permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement

#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.

Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editors

Will the American population tolerate a President who supports Nazis, such as those who have just taken over in Ukraine? If so, we are headed directly toward a thermonuclear confrontation with Russia, which spells a war of extinction for all mankind. If not, the American people will force Congress to move immediately for impeachment of British stooge Obama, enact Glass-Steagall, and set us on the path for a Hamiltonian recovery.

Lyndon LaRouche addresses this strategic reality with two in-depth papers in this issue (*Feature*)—both of which take up the crucial conceptions provided by Alexander Hamilton that must be revived today, once Obama is out of office. “A New President for the U.S.A.” presents Hamilton’s unique understanding of the principles of a physical science of economy, while “The Principle of Natural Economy—II” further elaborates, with reference to the legacy of Jeanne d’Arc and the great Italian Renaissance which formed the foundation for those principles. Together, these papers are indispensable for thinking about bringing about a real recovery.

On the war crisis per se, we bring you a chilling first-hand picture, from Dr. Natalia Vitrenko of Ukraine, of the Nazi resurgence which Obama, Nuland, and the EU are bringing into being (*International*). Dr. Vitrenko is now on tour in Western Europe, and we will have much more to report next week. We also provide insight into the future plans which the British empire has for a U.S. alliance with Saudi Arabia, expected to be consummated when Obama visits in March.

In *National*, we feature an exclusive interview by LaRouchePAC with international law professor Francis Boyle, who makes it clear that *just one brave Congressman* is needed to start impeachment proceedings against Obama. Otherwise, we reprise the recent conference hosted by LaRouche candidate for the Democratic Party nomination for Senate in Texas Kesha Rogers, on the anniversary of the Chelyabinsk asteroid explosion.

Rogers’ campaign, by the way, is currently major international news, in the wake of a local poll showing her leading her rivals—thanks to her longstanding, courageous campaign for Obama’s impeachment. The primary election is March 4.

Lastly, see *Economics* for what’s behind the “fracking” insanity—and for the latest advances on Glass-Steagall.

Cover This Week

*Obama on the
way out*



4 Welcome President ‘X’: A New President for the U.S.A.

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “What I am about to present to you, in these following pages has been, to the best of my knowledge never been published ever before, except in the implications of the work of a relative handful of great masters. . . . I shall demonstrate here, why [it] is that Wall Street’s ‘money,’ for example, has no intrinsically physical-economic value! It has no justified claim on the income of the citizens of the United States, in particular . . . there is no basis for anyone owing anything to the practices of Wall Street. . . .”

15 Hamilton in Today’s World—& the Crisis Today: The Principle of Natural Economy—II

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. Following the attempted impeachment of President Clinton, the British empire, operating through Wall Street, has now plunged the entirety of the Trans-Atlantic economies into bankruptcy. However, were Obama to be promptly impeached, for reason of complicity in this, there may still be time to prevent a war of thermonuclear extinction.

24 I Corinthians 13

International

25 Nazi Putsch in Kiev Presages Rapid Outbreak of WW III

A map of the encirclement of Russia and China by U.S./NATO forces, accompanying this report, shows the British imperial intention to crush the Eurasian region, opening it up for rapacious looting to try to keep the bankrupt trans-Atlantic financial system afloat.

27 United States and EU, with Ukrainian Terrorists, Establish Nazi Regime

A statement from Natalia Vitrenko, the chairwoman of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine.

29 Obama’s Trip to Riyadh: Will He Break Bread with the Wahhabis To Pursue Regime Change in Syria?

President Obama’s scheduled visit to Saudi Arabia at the end of March may be aimed at cementing the Washington-Riyadh resolve to widen the military involvement to remove the Syrian government under Bashar al-Assad.

National

- 33 Just One Member of Congress Needed To Start Impeachment**
In his Feb. 21 interview with LaRouchePAC, Prof. Francis Boyle pointed out that it would take only one Member of Congress to initiate impeachment proceedings against Obama. But, so far, none has stepped forward. LaRouchePAC is mobilized to make it happen.
- 35 Keshia Rogers for Senate Forum: One Year after Chelyabinsk: The Strategic Defense of Earth**
On the first anniversary of the asteroid impact over the city of Chelyabinsk, Russia, Texas Democratic Senatorial candidate Rogers sponsored “the only public forum addressing this critical issue in the United States of America.”
- 37 Apollo 17 Astronaut Schmitt Greets Conference**
- 38 Greetings from Russia’s Kirill Benediktov**
- 40 Franklin Roosevelt’s Credit Budgeting**
Part III of a lecture given on July 29, 2013, by LaRouchePAC’s Michael Kirsch.

Economics

- 43 Fracking: An Anglo-Dutch Policy To Destroy the U.S.**
As extreme drought conditions ravage the western U.S., the Anglo-Dutch empire and its associated oil companies are using up voluminous quantities of water in the technology of hydraulic fracturing, or “fracking,” to access shale oil and gas—further devastating America’s food supply. Excerpts from a report by the LaRouchePAC Science Team’s Ben Deniston.
- 46 Royal Dutch Shell/Big Oil Frack the U.S. into Ruin**
- 48 Glass-Steagall Drive Spreads Nationwide**
Three new memorials for Glass-Steagall were introduced into state legislatures over the last two weeks, bringing the 2014 total to 10, reflecting the fact that state lawmakers are not insulated (as Members of Congress tend to be) from the worsening conditions afflicting their constituencies.

Strategy

- 50 A Matter of a Week, or So?: The End of War; Or, the End of Man?**
By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
“If the British Empire, together with the Obama Administration, were not brought under ‘adult supervision’ within a matter of, as little as a week, or so, the overwhelming, if not absolutely certain prospect, would probably be extermination of the human species, probably as early as not much later than early March of this year.”

Editorial

- 54 The Sheep of Panurge**

WELCOME PRESIDENT 'X':

A New President For the U.S.A.

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

February 20, 2014

NO MORE 'GO ALONG TO GET ALONG!'

Monroe & John Quincy Adams

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The fact of the matter of our republic's history, is that all of our Presidents, since George Washington, until President James Monroe and John Quincy Adams, were not really fit to serve in that office. There were too many "ifs, and buts," such as President John Adams who had "also butts;" "slippery" Thomas Jefferson, who also had such faults; and James Madison, too: until there came President James Monroe, who came along (for two terms), and was followed by a true genius much in the likeness of Alexander Hamilton: President John Quincy Adams (who had created the forty-eight-state system as an integrated unit among his many achievements). After that, excepting some Presidents who enjoyed short lives in office: the rest, unlike Abraham Lincoln, were surviving heros such as Grant, or some relatively later victims of the Anglophile assassins and outright traitors, such as Andrew Jackson, and Wall Street's own Martin Van Buren.

Generally, there were scoundrels, or even outright traitors, such as Theodore Roosevelt (following a successful assassination of his rival, President William McKinley). The good Presidents, since President Grant, were usually dead, not long after arrival, like President William McKinley, who was shoved into his grave to make way for a treasonous Vice-President, Theodore Roosevelt, and for, later, an even scummier louse (a leader of the resurrection of the Ku Klux Klan, from inside the "White House" itself),



White House Photo/pete Souza

Barack Obama represents “a disease which has infested our public since the British agent who had assassinated Alexander Hamilton,” LaRouche asserts. He should be removed from office; then “let Valerie Jarrett escort him to London.” Here, the two at a White House meeting on Dec. 18, 2013.

Woodrow Wilson. President Harding had died (allegedly dead of eating oysters while traveling through the desert, by train), who was followed immediately by nothing but the treasonous Wall Street scoundrels, Coolidge and Hoover.

And, then, a truly great Franklin Roosevelt was succeeded in his own death-in-office, by Wall Street’s own Harry S (no-middle-name) Truman. President Eisenhower should have replaced Wall Street’s Truman; but that came too late for the best results; but, he did much good, nonetheless (in company with another great World War II Hero, President Charles de Gaulle of France). President John F. Kennedy was a great President, but, as has become more or less customary in the history of the U.S. Presidency’s non-scoundrels, he was assassinated, as Presidents typified by Abraham Lincoln and William McKinley, had been assassinated. All Presidents assassinated in their term of office, have been, essentially, victims of the British very-foreign office of that empire’s monarchies, as the British assassin, Aaron Burr, had brought the scoundrels Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren into the office of President.

That, in summary, has been the history of the Amer-

ican Presidency, up to the present time, Barack Obama is the most despicable of them all, and, accordingly, Barack Obama and his British guide, the Valerie Jarrett who had jerked him into office.

After all those, and related cases, of relevance, the conclusion must be, that there has been a great deal of error in assembling the composition of the Presidency, and much of the legislative branch as well. Wall Street has been, from the beginning, a prominent feature of a trend in our republic which had begun with the assassination of Alexander Hamilton by the British monarchy’s own customary assassin of his time, Aaron Burr.

The greatest shame of our system of government, this far, has been the turn of a “blind eye” to the various lunatics, or simply outright criminals, who have been selected (nominally, by alleged majorities our own citizens), for election to high office!

Hopefully, therefore, (presuming he is kicked-out of office now: summarily), Barack Obama should go all-the-way-down in history, as the worst excuse for a President ever conceived. He is, politically, to be, now, peremptorily removed from office; pending accounting for trial for reason of the crimes he has committed in office. Let him otherwise live where he wishes, preferably not within our United States; British custody would seem more appropriate. Let Valerie Jarrett escort him to London in due course of time; that should be her punishment. He, certainly, with now over 70% estimated unpopularity, should be satisfied to abandon—even escape—his present office, that with the greatest haste, to join the company of their Royal British mistress, in an un-nuptial flight.

That much said, is enough to be said about Barack Obama himself. He is only one among the worst representatives of a disease which has infested our public since the British agent who had assassinated Alexander Hamilton, Aaron Burr, a Burr who had never been apprehended for this crime, even when he had been entertained even by some among our Presidents.

The issue which I present here, in those illustrative terms, is not simply past errors committed in the name of our Presidency. The issue is, today, that it must never be repeated again: throw Obama out of office, for reason of more than cause enough for both his own impeachment and penalties for those specific crimes committed in office which must be addressed, for his complicity in specific crimes committed under his

occupation in his elections and in office, and for crimes committed by his accomplices while in office. The trial of the British and other accomplices in the assassination of President Abraham Lincoln since President Lincoln's own generosity toward British accomplices of wartime crimes is a relevant example to be considered in judging the criminality of the British empire's pet assassins.¹

The fact is: there has been something rotten (something very rotten, in fact), imported into our system of government from its founding: something which fits one particular illustrative case of major crime: the consent of acceptance of the assassination of Alexander Hamilton in the locality of New York City at that time, and, also, by those Presidents who had implicitly condoned the occurrence of that assassination and the assassin, Burr, himself.

To get directly to the root of the matter underlying these preceding remarks: It was not only Alexander Hamilton that was assassinated by the British agent Aaron Burr; it was the principle of our Federal Constitution, a Constitution within which the specific genius of Benjamin Franklin and Hamilton had represented a most crucial part. With the case of Hamilton: it was a principle of the U.S. Constitution which had been assassinated for a second time, in his case. Many generations of our U.S. citizens, have suffered, and continue to suffer, presently, from this cause. It is that principle which must be healed and restored now.

People as such, are never immortal in and of their own mortal condition in life. It is the principle associated with them, still, once their mortal life had ended, that since when their voice had been stilled forever: who have been the greatest geniuses of mankind's existence, have contributed those great principles of science and Classical artistic composition on which the future progress of the condition of the human species still depends, long after their own deaths, in a state of virtual immortality.

Indeed, many bad people have lived out their life as trash on this account. Their passing is regretted; but, we have wished that they might have made more of themselves. We do not think unkindly, if we recognize that

1. The justice awarded by President Lincoln, while he had still lived, and in the matter of the still-open crimes of the accomplices in his assassination, presents a relevant standard. The British monarchy's complicity in the matter of the assassinations of Secretary Alexander Hamilton and of the London-directed accomplices in the Lincoln assassination, are relevant cases-in-fact for consideration.

they merely perpetuated crimes against the mission of humanity entrusted to them. But, for the greatest artists and scientists, we require a much higher standard: one, fitting the image of the model intentions of such great Renaissance figures as Filippo Brunelleschi and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa. These typify the greatest missions to be fulfilled, within the scope of their lifetimes: individuals worthy of the rank of true saints for what they have meant for future mankind in their own time.

These qualities which we are right to demand from the membership of the bodies of our nation's self-government, must have the image of such an immortality in their mind's eye upon themselves. A blend of devotion, and pleasure in partaking in great deeds for the future of mankind, must now be finally adopted as the standard required for such as the President and legislatures of our system of government. Truth: not mere opinion, is the only honorable purpose of government, as it was for President Abraham Lincoln up to, and beyond the moment of his death.

The reign of the yokels must now be brought to an end!

I. Bill Clinton: A Case in Point

Not only was President William ("Bill") Clinton the victim of a hideous lie created by the British empire; but, the truth of the matter, insofar as I have some relatively expert knowledge of that truth, serves a common purpose. I am qualified, thereby, to report on facts known to me with a certain appropriately perfect knowledge of the circumstances under those victimized persons, who were not only President Clinton and his immediate circles, but the manner in which United States agencies, acting fraudulently, reduced President Clinton to a victim of a rotten scheme orchestrated, primarily, by the British intelligence services, but, also, with shared culpable complicity from members of the Republican Party and certain others at that time.²

What I do know, of my own knowledge respecting this particular matter, bears on two crucial points of evidence, both of which bear, implicitly: on an orchestrated scandal concocted by British intelligence ser-

2. I include the still-continuing Dodd-Frank conspiracy which had depended upon the fraudulent actions against President Clinton during, and following the term of his Presidency.



President Bill Clinton was destroyed by a British intelligence operation, to prevent him from carrying out LaRouche's approach to dealing with Russia and the world financial disaster. Clinton's good relationship with German Chancellor Helmut Kohl (shown here) would have been an asset in pursuing that collaborative approach.

vices, using a Republican leadership as its accomplice in this crime against the U.S. Presidency itself, rather than merely the subject of President Clinton as such.

The tale begins earlier, with a much earlier meeting of leaders of the Government of Russia, at that time. Then, I had been invited by those Russian leaders, to advise them on matters which I had suggested should be undertaken by President Clinton in the strategic economic interests of the United States. My proposal had been registered with President Clinton, but he had, then, postponed consideration of action on such a matter, to a later time.

The later time came. A wretched government of Russia, had had, at somewhat later time, become mired in a massive, international financial swindle. This later development was immediately a grave issue for the U.S. Administration under President Clinton. I had transmitted recommended advice, based on my earlier dealings with my Russian hosts of the special meeting which they had held for me, earlier.

President Clinton had, with consideration by others, accepted my outlook on the means for dealing with a crisis which was of deadly importance for Russia, but also the foreign relations of the United States. President Clinton had, publicly (amid his own immediate circles), expressed his determination to adopt my recommended

solution for the new crisis. This occurred during the month of August that same year: while I was still in Germany on other business. My personal representative was in direct contact with President Clinton during all occasions in the relevant communications between President Clinton and me, later, as earlier.

The goal of the evil perpetrators which that British conspiracy had launched against the United States, had originated with a thus, very well known, U.S.-situated operative of the British intelligence services, who had instigated an organizing of a wild-eyed public conspiracy against President Clinton. At a certain point in those events, the British operative "skedaddled" back to home nest (probably on a warning presented to him from "back home" in the Merry Old British Empire). Some leading members from the leadership of the Republican Party, at that time, were fully implicated in the fraudulent

scheme ("Wall Street's"), a scheme whose end-result was to force a cancellation of President Franklin Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall Law. All of the crucial facts have been and remain on the public record. More on this set of facts, is yet to come. I deal, here and now, with the most immediate features of the case at large.

A plot was organized, as follows. A certain young woman, with already foreknown predilections toward certain practices which she had proffered to relatively older gentlemen (on the West Coast of the United States) had moved, for family reasons, to the Washington, D.C., area, together with her mother, who had related personal affairs at that time. The Vice-President of the United States, at that time, Al Gore, had arranged the placement of this relevant young woman as an instrument inserted into the basement of the White House. This young thing was being supervised in her indecent operations there, in the White House basement, by another woman, who was directing the behavior of the young woman in conducting and advising the relevant sexual proclivities and their protracted exploitation.

What was the purpose of this plotting: all the way from the Queen's virtual bedroom, to Washington, by way of the leadership of the Republican Party, and the complicity of Vice-President Al Gore? Why!?

To force President Clinton to cancel Franklin Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall Law—so that the scheme of Bail-out, Bail-in, could later be used by Wall Street, ultimately in London's and Wall Street's criminal intention to destroy the economy of the United States. The intention for this crime was a scheme in which London and Wall Street were, immediately, the principal culpable agencies. The proceeds were delivered as an integral intention of the Dodd-Frank fraud which had led to compelling President Clinton to surrender the Franklin Roosevelt Glass-Steagall law. All of the major economic and related crimes perpetrated against the United States and its citizenry in that matter, were the fruit of the hoax instrumentalized against President "Bill" Clinton.

The majority of the silly members of the Congress associated with such accomplices as the Dodd-Frank team in the U.S. Congress, on this account, went through two phases. The first step in effecting the intended conspiracy, was a certain complicity in setting up the "sex trap" facilitated by Vice-President Al Gore. A crucial, additional crime, once Glass-Steagall had been neutralized by the Dodd-Frank hoax, was the hoax of the British-Saudi-launched "9-11" attack on the United States. The dummy used for the complicity in that event, was the merely nominal President, George W. Bush, Jr. (the dunce kept in the corner, signing papers), and, next, was the role assigned to Valerie Jarrett's selection of the strange creature presently known as President Barack Obama. "Bail-out" became the proximate result. Bail-in, is the intended, Wall Street death-stroke against the entity of the United States itself.

It all goes to show what "jerks" the majority of the public of the United States can be when they are not careful in demanding the actual truth of important matters of state. Everyone in the leading elements of the U.S. press had access to the role of the British intelligence services in setting this plot against the United States which the torture of "Bill" Clinton had represented. "Everyone in the U.S.," knew, similarly, of the Republican Party role in this hoax. The Republicans also had knowledge, through the mother of the "unnamed device" which was to be deployed for the attempted impeachment of President Clinton, a device transported from the West Coast into Washington, and used by Republican Party prominences, and Vice-President Al Gore: all of whom had been crucial in placing and setting the intended trap for President Clin-

ton into place: the trap without which, President Clinton would never have been pressed into complicity for cancelling Glass-Steagall.

'How and Why?'

What is the end-result? Look back to President Clinton's second term in office for additional evidence.

Look back (also) to the Russia crisis of that earlier time. Look back to British intelligence operations in setting the intended trap into motion. Consider the policy of the United States which President Clinton had intended as a means to avert the evil done to the United States: evil to be done by the cancellation of the Glass-Steagall Law still existing under Democratic President Clinton. Clinton's humiliation, under threat of impeachment for reason of fraudulent Republican and British schemes. Are you "Democrats," or, perhaps, "silly Demoncrats." Do "you guys" realize what kind of fools so many among you had become, to fall so low, and be so outrightly stupid and nasty (as like leading Republicans then in office), as that?

Do you wish to, now, tell me, that you have been competent legislators, and even despite the folly of both Republican and Democratic leaders of those two leading political parties of this republic?

It is long past time, for the invocation of higher standards of judgment and behavior, than that!.

There is, I concede, a (sort of) rational explanation of such damned foolish behavior. You did not *wish* to know better. Evidently, you did not consider it prudent, if you were to be considered to be clever schemers, rather than honest representatives of the solemn duties of legislation of a Federal U.S. Government: to, thus, make such asses-in-fact of yourselves, as that! Dodd-Frank? How low could members of Congress get?

II. What I Had Known About All This

At an earlier time than the events of the entrapment of President Clinton by British intelligence (with foolish Republican Party complicity), I had already been on the specific case which would lead, later, into the British scheme for setting up President "Bill" Clinton.

Earlier, during one of my visits to Moscow, I had been invited to be the featured guest at an assembly of the body of leading, relevant statesmen of Russia at that



EIRNS

Lyndon LaRouche's discussions with leading circles in Russia had prepared the groundwork for a new basis for U.S.-Russian economic relations. Here, LaRouche (second from right) addresses one of many seminars he spoke at during the mid-1990s. This one was held at the Russian Academy of Sciences in April 1994.

time. It was, as things sometimes go, a full-throated affair involving the interest of the President of the United States at that time, but also involving his adopted postponement of any action, other than exchange of information between my intermediary and the President.

The concern, which I had expressed in delivering my expert opinion as an economist, to both the Russia leadership bodies involved, and to my proposal to President Clinton (through standard channels of communication), came back on the President's agenda when a Russian government, at a later time, entrapped itself in an Anglo-American "Wall Street" type of financial swindle. That latter crisis had occurred during the Summer prior to the launching of the scandal against President Clinton. I came into the picture of U.S.A.-Russia economic relations, again, through the customary channels, as before, during the month of August preceding the use of the "device" placed into the White House by Vice-President Al Gore. The bait and trap were set in place, accordingly.

The British were already on the case (wildly, in the U.S.A. press, and the Republican Party). In the mean-

time, prior to that development, I had transmitted my encouragement on the Russia crisis, as early, through my customary channels to President Clinton. He, in the course of this, picked up on the plan of action in respect to Russia, which I had delivered to him (by a customary channel) during the period of the earlier proposal by me delivered to him out of the conclusions I had presented, and the Russian principals had accepted in the earlier "Blue Ribbon" conference which I had conducted in Russia. He stated publicly his enthusiastic response to my proposal for the needed action.

Then, the British-intelligence-prepared plot struck. The British intelligence agent involved, was whisked, for reasons of discretion, back to Europe, and, then, the witch-hunt against President Clinton, booby-trap, and all, led to the principal consequence intended, jointly, by Wall Street and London.

My proposal to President Clinton had been that which had been publicly described as his policy for dealing with the general financial-economic crisis of that time; it was the policy which could have succeeded. Wall Street and London wanted no such success for the United States. That, in brief, is how it all happened.

Now, as a result, the continued economic existence of our United States remains in jeopardy; the new diseases, known publicly as including George W. Bush, Jr. and Barack Obama, are merely polluting instruments used for the crime of Wall Street and London against our republic and its continued existence now.

After all, my record in economic forecasting of the future, has been impeccable over the course of decades of such experience. Why, therefore, had anyone competent in modern economic history, been surprised? This is what I do frequently in my long-standing profession as a skilled economic professional over seven decades.

More important: that is what I am doing right now! As follows, now.

III. Physical Science Itself

What I am about to present to you, in these following pages has been, to the best of my knowledge never been published ever before, except in the implications of the work of a relative handful of great masters. The uniqueness of what I shall have presented henceforth, has never been competently presented to any known audience, except in fragmentary aspects of what I have published in this connection earlier. Certainly no associate of mine has ever delivered a work of the necessary competence which I am in the process of delivering here. This, in fact, has been my speciality in economy: in excerpting the implications of Hamilton's own work; he had been limited in the scope of his own discoveries, then, until a time which came more than now two centuries later than his assassination, that done by British assassination-specialist Aaron Burr.

What has made so many members of our U.S. Congress appear to be so stupid, so much of the time? (To say nothing of the majority of our most recent two Pres-



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

“Alexander Hamilton ... was the only leading political figure of the United States (since Benjamin Franklin) who really understood the actual principles of a physical science of economy.” This understanding was reflected in his establishment of the Society for Useful Manufactures in Patterson, N.J., which was located next to its power source, the second largest waterfall in the Eastern U.S., the Great Falls shown here.

idents on record this far.) All this actually goes as far back in our national history, as, essentially the true geniuses of Benjamin Franklin and Alexander Hamilton, the ones who have shown the best insight into the physical principles of economy (approximately, that far) for all nations who might have wished to enjoy the benefits of these discoveries.

In the preceding chapters, I have emphasized the widespread stupidity among our elected National legislators. The proper name for such misguided legislators is “being practical,” which, translates, in evidence of practice: “They don’t really know what they are doing: and, more important, most have not wished to actually know.”

Alexander Hamilton, “the guy who saved the United States from going bankrupt,” (even under an otherwise true genius like President George Washington), a principle which was something well known, in fact, to Benjamin Franklin while he had lived (the man who made the United States possible), and Franklin’s best student, Alexander Hamilton.

Later, John Quincy Adams (who had had the advantage of having a great teacher, Benjamin Franklin; his father and mother were not), Abraham Lincoln (a scholar of John Quincy Adams), and, implicitly, also, William McKinley, Franklin Roosevelt, and John F.

Kennedy, understood such matters. Most others, with rare exceptions so far, to date, have not. The difference lies in the contrary notions of the meaning of “money.” The generally accepted concept of money, as by our typical citizens today, has absolutely no correspondence to reality, or to science in particular.

Here, in this present chapter, I shall carry the argument of Hamilton himself, to its properly amplified place in modern physical science today.

General Alexander Hamilton (to invoke his military rank in service), subsequently the genius-Secretary of the Treasury under President George Washington, was the only leading political figure of the United States (since Benjamin Franklin) who really understood the actual principles of a physical science of economy. He permitted no intrinsic attribution of value to money *per se* (nor do I). However, those were only during his times; new revelations, usually not understood then, came later, long after his decease.

I shall demonstrate here, why it is that Wall Street’s “money,” for example, has no intrinsically physical-economic value! It has no justified claim on the income of the citizens of the United States, in particular; the claims of Wall Street would be intrinsically fraudulent; there is no basis for anyone owing anything to the practices of Wall Street: you might properly expropriate everything they might claim in their capacity for financial dealings. They are, in fact, a fraud-in-itself.

Hamilton had already attacked that issue with absolute perfection in the four principles of economy which he introduced during his term of service as Secretary of the U.S. Treasury! In fact, Hamilton was specific in emphasizing that the margin of discrepancy between mere money and value; we must treat the net physical value, after accounting for factors of discrepancy, as the only “profit” of economy. In other words, every penny Wall Street claims as its own money is, intrinsically, worthless still today, and can be, and should be cancelled without notice (on balance of net, rather than gross). Money adds no value at all, unless the value is defined by the net *physical* gain of increase of the productive powers of labor by a society.³

3. The so-called “Marxists” never understood anything essential, either. Theirs was what might be named as relatively innocent incompetence in economy: “Value added” is only a confused, unscientific notion. *V.I. Vernadsky has been among the best of all economists known so far; he based it all on a demonstrated principle of life!* For the next best, to actually human life, which is the highest known rank of existence: see below.

What I have just stated this far, is not a mere opinion; it is the only truth that actually existed during and since Hamilton’s time. The history of the human species has proven this fact conclusively. That much Hamilton set forth uniquely, and that very well; but, since the course of later time—two centuries later, there is now much more to be known.

Were people (generally) capable of understanding the proof of what I have just stated, my labors here would have been greatly simplified. Do not blame me for this; blame the ignorance of your teachers. Those teachers fall into general classes. The smaller number of, and better teachers will readily accept the authority of my proofs. The dummies will refuse, of course.⁴ The competent will sort themselves out readily (but not completely), with perhaps a bit of intellectual exertion in the process. My subject here, is *physical science*, in the strictest meaning of the term “physical:” I mean *the unique principle of human life: the noëtic principle of human life. Only mankind has been a known species which had created its own life willfully, as I shall emphasize that specific point here.* That is implicit in the methods of the great V.I. Vernadsky; I go here, directly, to the point which is implicit in his own.


The Principle of Man: The Common Hoax

The most fatal of the errors of contemporary popular opinion, is the presumption of what is *called (unfortunately) mathematics as such*. Or, to situate the foolishness in broader terms of reference: there is the somewhat widespread idiocy of belief in *a deductive method of sense-perception as such*.

There are two leading “factors” (if you must insist on “factors”) which immediately refute a demanded blind faith in sense-perception as such.

These are properly named, as underlying non-deductive factors of reality, which are to be named: *life* and *mind*. These are, in practice, the superior powers, with respect to which, the rest of the so-called factors, and, are virtually shadows cast by the principled notion of *life* and *mind*; without those two superior agencies, you know, actually, nothing. This is most crucial, for any competent understanding of scientific

4. It is—or should be—readily acknowledged (admittedly with some working out the physical science involved), that Euclid was either an idiot, or liar, and that any physics student who admired Euclid had something wrong in their head, or, somewhere else.



“To cherish and stimulate the activity of the human mind, by multiplying the objects of enterprise, is not among the least considerable of the expedients, by which the wealth of a nation may be promoted,” wrote Hamilton in his Report on Manufactures. Here, one of the leading creative scientists fostered in the young United States, Antoine-Laurent de Lavoisier, studying respiration in his laboratory.

meaning of *human life*. In other words, “*popular opinion*,” is essentially ignorant opinion. All competent opinion depends, ultimately, upon those two primary factors. All physical science, such as that of Nicholas of Cusa: his student, Johannes Kepler, or Gottfried Leibniz (never those disgusting imbeciles, the *reductionists*: such as Aristotle, Euclid, Isaac Newton, Clausius, Bertrand Russell, et al., to name but a few).

I shall now emphasize the significance of that just-now-stated point, as follows:

The distinction of the human mind, and its behavioral capabilities, rests upon what a competent person today recognizes as the noëtic principle (*creation as supreme*). Life is one of these, and creativity as a *principle* (rather than *merely an object*). Life per se, and human creativity, are the principled notions for mankind, by means of which, mankind depends upon the specifically unique, *noëtic* powers of the specifically, uniquely human imagination.

Those are the (unfortunately) primary terms which delimit mankind’s power of true knowledge, this far in our knowledge of that universe which we inhabit. It is, therefore, human life (the only true expression of the superiority of the human mind over all other known living processes), which defines the scientific basis for

appreciating the meaning of the specific powers of the human mind. In other words, we are conceiving of the human mind as the uniquely (presently) known standard for our present state of progress in respect to our knowledge of the universe.

This implicitly quantifiable quality: that of relative human knowledge, brings our attention to the current limits of our effective understanding. Thence, all knowledge is bounded-in-fact by the discovery of higher orders of existence than we have known, heretofore, to have been those of our universe. It is from this standpoint, and only this standpoint, that we may actually

know ourselves by a process of discovery of universal principles, which consume, bit by bit, the previously unknown.

In this matter, there is no essential distinction of Classical artistic composition from a competent notion of physical science. It has been only through the actually criminal folly of an ignorant mankind, heretofore, that we might consider Classical art and physical science as being, relatively, merely distinct experiences of existence.

However, that is not enough to be said in and of itself. There is an implicitly additional consideration: “creativity *per se*.” That means *human creativity*, most emphatically. Here, we must emphasize the *unique* use, here, of the term *noëtic*. The term has two, inter-related, significances. One is the creative evolution of animal species (the *merely* animal species); the second, is that of the *human* species. Man has had an animal evolution, but only up to a certain, crucial point; human creativity, properly distinguished from the animal, is also the willfully voluntary creativity of our (so far) unique species.

That much now said, here, and now: defines the absolutely required, unique significance of the conceptual-term *human mind*. Here is where true science must always begin: it is the specifically *human* meaning of

the notion of *human mind*. *The human mind changes the universe*; perhaps in modest degree, but no other known species makes such *ontologically noëtic* changes *voluntarily*. That is where physical science properly begins its mission of science: *uniquely human, voluntary creation of a self-higher species*.

IV. The Human Mind & Economy

The very idea of human economy depends upon the notion of human creativity: typically, the increase of the productive powers of labor, *per capita* and per unit of territory *per capita*. This factor is represented, in practice, as the factor of *energy-flux density*. Mankind not only uses the elements of chemistry; mankind also creates the elements of chemistry in terms of reference to their expression and practice. Hence, the generalized notion of “energy-flux density.” This now includes the categories discovered by Max Planck and Albert Einstein: which had been, then, new, hitherto unknown conceptions. The use (and even the very existence) of such concepts depend upon their relevance for the functions of mankind operating within a mode of *qualitatively increasing energy-flux density*.⁵

A similar set of relationships exists for mankind’s true discoveries of principle. From this, we can adduce *a general principle of physical energy-flux density*. Truth becomes clear, when we take into account the Classical poetry and related means of an actually Classical type of truly humanistic energy-flux density, such as the example of Filippo Brunelleschi’s artistic composition of physical principles, and that like in the unique notion of design of the principles of “hanging ropes” (and the like) for the dome of Santa Maria del Fiore of Florence and the musically (physi-

5. The highly useful (once) but now outdated notion of a fixed table of elements has been now superseded by current scientific progress.



Saugus Iron Works National Historical Site

The physical economic principles developed during the Italian Renaissance found their home on this side of the Atlantic in the spirit and accomplishments of the Massachusetts Bay Colony. Here is an artist's concept of the layout of the “crown jewel” of that colony, the Saugus Iron Works, which applied the principle of energy-flux density to outproduce the best iron works in England by 1647.

cal) principle of the Pazzi Chapel;⁶ and, otherwise, the general principles of science created by the titanic intellect of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa. That great Renaissance has laid the true foundations of all the actually competent notions of modern physical science, the which must accompany deeper insights into the nature of the human species.⁷

My mission on this account, has been following the track leading from Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa to the voyages which Cusa inspired in Christopher Columbus, through the influence of the Bishop in Portugal: an association of Cusa which had opened the gates for the founding, in particular, of the Massachusetts Bay Colony of the Seventeenth Century, and the

6. Both of whom I have visited repeatedly during my stays in Florence, Italy, during the 1980s, while I was tracking the scientific work of the Italian school of Bernard Riemann’s influence on the physical science of Italy, and defending the hopes of the Vatican for the cause of Poland’s clergy.

7. This ranks near to the genius of the great Eratosthenes, who measured the size of Earth from reference to the Sun, and who inspired the great expedition of a flotilla, designed by the influence of Eratosthenes, into the territory now known as the celebrated ancient landmark site on the coast of Chile, dating archeologically from during Eratosthenes’ time.

United States of the Eighteenth Century.⁸

The connections among those notable facts of ancient, and also modern, world history, are specifically unique to the characteristics of the human species as a unique species. These connections are made apparent through the indivisible relationship between science and Classical artistic composition: anything different, is garbage to be thrown out from the kitchen of the human soul.

The progress of the human species, when considered against the backdrop of those typical connections which I have just referenced, should have impelled us to penetrate these matters more deeply, thus exploring the matters of fundamental evolutionary processes. The most efficient approach is that of biochemistry when that is measured in terms of the qualitative leaps in energy-flux density performed by the human species in its evolutionary progress to becoming yet a still-higher quality of human life. The effect of those leaps, is not merely quantitative, but qualitative in succession of ordering, as ordered in terms of a general notion of energy-flux density.

The proper measurement of this set of changes in state is human-evolutionary: man's command of ever higher physical states of existence of our species: always, consistently higher and higher energy-flux densities commanded by the will of mankind. This is opposed absolutely to the infamous theses of Zeus, which also means both the Roman Empire and what the British monarchy adopted as the New Roman Empire of Shelburne's time: an empire which had declared the British kingdom a New Roman Empire sharing all the essentially Zeus-ian characteristics of the old, still today.

That is, in fact, the British (or, better said, "brutish") empire of today: The Fall (of the Roman Empire) and the Rise of that British Empire which is the traditional enemy of the United States ever since the Dutch-English empire was first established, as such, as under the Dutch and British tyrants still operating under the Dutch succession which had risen out of the Dutch invasion of England and the chronic butchery of the Irish. The English people at large may have often improved, but the imperial monarchy wears successive genera-

8. The links from the Renaissance into the times of Shakespeare and the founding of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, are specific as to connections and type (also that of François Rabelais), as also part of those connections linked through the Massachusetts Bay Colony of the Winthrops and Mathers, with their overlaps in activities with the activities of Gottfried Leibniz and Benjamin Franklin.

tions with ever-uglier monstrosities—still, from the top, down. To join the Irish in this opinion, is not essential; but, being Irish helps greatly in clarifying one's vision in such matters.

From Ape to Man

The quickest clue to understanding the difference between man and ape, is to be found in what it might be excused to say: human biology, as absolutely differing from that of the apes, or other, inferior, forms of life. Simply, mankind is the only presently known species with human mental characteristics separating all human personalities (excepting perverts) from bestial ones. The proper practice of chemistry makes the essential difference clearer.

This could not have been made clear, until modern physical chemistry had taken its initially validated approximation, that for an Alexander Hamilton to have adduced an implicitly chemical basis in behaviorisms, for defining the differing pathways of development of human characteristics from those of every other known living species. The role of man in this role as *a voluntarily evolutionary potency*, creates, thus, a new characteristic of life in general, not resembling in any significant degree the characteristics of a mere animal or plant.

Consequently, presently (today, for example) we are enabled to "map," as if quite literally, the evolution of mankind as mental, more than biological otherwise. The irony of this is, that *it is human mental life* which defines human biological evolution, rather than animal standards of comparison. Our action, as "chemists" in a certain proper sense of voluntary (willful), is the means by which we, unlike any other living species presently known to us, this far, may evolve to higher states of existence by merely rethinking our practice, rather than being obliged to changing our already existing, physical-genetic modalities.

Thus, we are left the fact, that it is the mind of man which determines the physical existence of the human species, rather than the other way (the animal way). In this respect, art and science are indivisible, as the imagination is more essential to progress in chemistry, than chemistry itself. The increase of the effective energy-flux density of the human society is the measure of its humanity in practice. "Greenies" are, by devotion, more beast than man, Cruel thing to say? It's true, nonetheless. Greenies sent to the kennels? We would hope that that were not necessary; better, they should give up their anti-scientific quackery.

The Principle of Natural Economy—II

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Friday, February 14, 2014

The great genius on whom the successful establishment of our Federal Constitution had so much depended, General Alexander Hamilton, died of a British assassin's bullet on July 12, 1804. Many great Presidents of the United States, had died similarly. Others more had lived on.

If President Barack Obama remains in office much longer, it were highly probable that the human species faces an early extinction through thermonuclear warfare. Like the assassin Aaron Burr, Barack Obama has been a British instrument of imperial evil. However, his removal from office, by a just and necessary impeachment, might save not only our United States, but much of the world, besides; perhaps all of the nations.

Mankind has come into a time, during which the existence of weapons beyond all known precedents, lurks, while waiting, for some last moments before a President of the United States, might bring on man's greatest nightmare, that of human extinction, during the course of the brief remaining days now still ahead.

If President Obama were, happily, removed from office immediately (and there is, presently, excellent cause for this to occur), it were likely that, by his timely impeachment, that ouster would prevent a generalized thermonuclear holocaust otherwise

now threatening throughout the planet. Such a simple, single act, to remove his "British thumb" from "the button," would probably be sufficient remedy for the world's presently awful fears of those who are still actually sane throughout the world at large. Otherwise, unless the saner might step up to their own intention, not his, kiss humanity goodbye, while you still could.

The threat of such extinction-warfare is now rather immediate: as follows. This fact has a knowable history.

An Existential Crisis!

Since the attempted impeachment of President William Clinton, the world as a whole has been, consequently, driven into an overturn of President Franklin D. Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall Law. The history of this present crisis, has been, essentially, as follows.

Thus, with the influence of Wall Street's Prescott Bush, who had rescued dictator Adolf Hitler from German bankruptcy, and, thus, to be Germany's dictator (rather than a prison inmate), a chain-linked process, steered always by the British-Dutch empire, had used the essentially same British-directed black-mail trap (with complicit roles of the leadership of the Republican Party) against President Clinton.

The pressure on a harried and distraught President Clinton, under those aggravated circumstances of implicitly heavy blackmail from, first, the British monarchy's intelligence services, and, then, the complicit Re-



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

For Alexander Hamilton, in his setting forth of the principles of the U.S. Federal Constitution, money per se is the root of all evil.

publicans, and even some weak-minded (but Wall-Street money-hungry) Democrats, alike, prompted a distraught President Clinton to submit to the massive pressures to concede to the overthrow of Glass-Steagall, as was done, in fact, under “last-minute” pressure on President Clinton, from a bi-partisan cabal of greedy Wall Street opportunists, and finally many from each of both houses of an opportunist Congress in a filthy moment of opportunism.

However, President Clinton, earlier, had been correct in his policy launched during August of that same year as the eruption of the scandal: even, at a time before the rigged scandal had occurred when it had been British intelligence and its Republican lackeys, combined with an evil leading press, which had cooked up the scenario used in preparing to trap a surprised President Clinton into, ultimately, abandoning his nation’s urgently needed political-economic reforms. The incident, thus, had undermined, and actually worn-down his, earlier, justified self-confidence on the essential facts of the real matter at issue: the matter of the economic crisis which could, and probably would have been avoided, but for that British intelligence services’ operation against the United States which had used corrupt Republicans to bring off the affair which they had set up as a “man-trap” for Bill Clinton. It had been the Republican Party, operating under direction from the Queen’s own intelligence services, which had set up the entire affair intended to bring down President Clinton.¹

That change in law, undermining that precious, Glass-Steagall Act which had saved the United States from destruction, earlier, a cancellation of Glass-Steagall—was a repeal which has looted and ruined our United States since that time. This has been the law which President Franklin D. Roosevelt had employed to rescue the United States from bankruptcy, the virtual treason-in-fact which had been brought about by massive pressure from the Congress, at the closing moments of President “Bill” Clinton’s own bludgeoned Presidency, which had opened the gates for the actual,

1. The intention had been the British empire’s efforts to block President Clinton’s intention to block the effects of the Russian bond-market’s collapse on the world economy generally, particularly on the United States itself. The sex scandal was pre-orchestrated and implemented, foremost by the Queen’s intelligence services, with generous complicity from the Republican Party. This succeeded in pulling down President Franklin Roosevelt’s Glass-Steagall Law, at least, temporarily, up to the present time of our population’s current national economic distress.

criminally intended atrocities which have destroyed the economy of the United States, under Presidents George W. Bush, Jr., and Barack Obama.

It has been entirely those two, latter Presidencies which had succeeded President Clinton, which had brought the planet to the verge of a presently threatened total obliteration of the human species: through global thermonuclear war under the succession of the essentially nominal U.S. puppet-Presidencies of both the two terms of President George W. Bush, Jr., now, under the hideous spectacle of the Presidency of the first term, and re-election of President Barack Obama.

During that interval, following the attempted impeachment of President “Bill” Clinton, the British empire, operating through its actually trans-Atlantic puppet, the “Wall Street” gang, has now plunged, not only the United States, but the entirety of the Trans-Atlantic economies, into a succession of a “Bail-Out,” and, now, “Bail-In” forms of a hoax-in-bankruptcy. This crisis created by Wall Street and London, has been that trans-Atlantic, monetarist fraud, presently in an intrinsically hyper-inflationary runaway monetary inflation, which has now driven the British Empire and its North American lackeys, such as U.S. Presidents George W. Bush, Jr. and Barack Obama, “over the edge,” into a present, British-imperial directed intention to launch global, thermonuclear warfare between the trans-Atlantic and Eurasian regions of the planet in its entirety.

The entirety of the planet is, thus, now, in point of current fact, at the brink of virtual thermonuclear extinction of the human species: unless Wall Street and London are blocked.

The British-imperial intentions in this matter, which had been realized with the complicity of the Bush, Jr. and Obama Presidencies, have been to use the pressure of a threatened thermonuclear war, globally: to bend Russia and other Eurasian regions of relevance, into humble submission to nominally Anglo-American thermonuclear demands.

Since those developments, the British authorities of relevance in this matter, have, most recently, used their control over the merely nominally President (and mere British puppet), Barack Obama, to threaten to plunge the entire planet into thermonuclear mass-extinction, unless Russia, et al., submit to British imperial demands for the rescue of the British Empire from its own criminal-economic instincts. In short, to proceed with the immediate looting of Eurasia, for the rescue of the bankrupt Wall Street-London system. If Russia did not submit, global

thermonuclear warfare were immediately inevitable—unless President Obama were removed.

The threat of such warfare, is, presently, immediate, not more than a matter of a week or so.

Were Obama to be impeached, for reason of complicity in this, his greatest of all crimes against humanity this far in human history, the prompt ouster of that implicitly treasonous President, would probably be effected in time to prevent such a planetary-wide war of human thermonuclear extinction.

Any U.S. official who is an accomplice in supporting such Wall Street support for such thermonuclear war, must be charged with “Nuremberg indictments.” One Hitler, was already far too much.²

In that case, the non-survival of mankind, were a probable outcome.

If Thermonuclear War Were Averted

In that case (the benefit of Obama’s prompt impeachment), otherwise, there is much which mankind might live to enjoy, for many generations yet to come. We have now reached a dividing-point. Either Obama’s British imperial masters are defeated, as they well might be—without Obama in the U.S. Presidency, or humanity were probably no more. Modern thermonuclear, global warfare, is like that: not the mere nuclear warfare which President John F. Kennedy had averted, but a global extermination within the space of a few awful hours.

Presume that we enjoy the grace to be freed from the British imperial nightmare of thermonuclear warfare. We must then turn around, and look around us, on this planet, now. What a disgusting mess it has become, especially since President John F. Kennedy (and his brother) had been actually assassinated in “inside jobs,” conducted by dubious instruments, and which had also brought President Ronald Reagan to near death, in a like manner.

As with the case of the assassination of General Alexander Hamilton, the most important modern assassinations have been conducted by British killers of a certain likeness to the British spy and professional assassin Aaron Burr. The Queen’s own Barack Obama is much dumber than Aaron Burr, but the tiny krait snake (it only looks like a small worm) is among the most deadly

of them all, this side of the oceans. The World War II veteran, the Burma krait, is like thermonuclear war: one minute and you are dead.

Therefore, that much said, by way of introduction, consider the reasons for the human species, itself, to live.

I. If Hamilton Were Alive

Alexander Hamilton was a genius of extraordinarily brilliant accomplishments: a true heir-to-be of the titanic Benjamin Franklin and his mentor, Cotton Mather. Even, a true master-strategist such as President George Washington, had recognized the superior mind of Benjamin Franklin, and Hamilton had much of “the same stuff” in his own way. Very few Presidents of the United States have come close to Hamilton’s genius on this account; those who deny his genius, prove themselves to have been relatively stupid.

However, time has passed. The modern science, on which mankind’s future existence now depends, has been in process, now, since more than two centuries past. During that lapse of time, great discoveries in physical science have been made: the expression of a pattern marked by such geniuses as Carl F. Gauss, Gauss’ great heirs, such as Bernhard Riemann, and, later, the modern generation of physical science such as that of Max Planck and Albert Einstein. Chemistry has been most spectacularly important, especially for the principles of physical economy.

A lot has happened on that account, in science, since July 12, 1804. The chemistry known since the onset of the merely Twentieth Century, has, itself, undergone profound changes in its evolutionary development. The recent recognition of the feasible application of Helium-3 as a revolutionary ingredient in thermonuclear cooking, virtually “blows apart” the barriers to tremendous progress to come during the course of the immediate several decades. Mankind will still be constrained to remain, essentially, on Earth; but, we shall be enabled to take some trips, some direct, and, more, indirect.

That latter consideration, is the essential point of my argument, as here and now.

Alexander Hamilton’s Genius

Hamilton had made much qualitative personal progress during his lifetime; but, more significant is the fact, that within the genius he expressed in four great arguments presented in his capacity as Secretary of the

2. That would probably mean that the Wall Street swindle would be closed down (in bankruptcy) inside the U.S.A., with a return to Glass-Steagall.

Treasury under the President George Washington,³ and, in significant part, in correcting certain of President Washington's mistaken doubts in that matter.

What Hamilton had contributed, had been more than sufficient, then, for the challenges of the early Nineteenth Century; but then such exemplars as Friedrich Gauss, Bernhard Riemann, and others had achieved the bulk of their own scientific revolutions in that century; like that which, later, such as Max Planck and Albert Einstein had added, still deep into the Twentieth Century, and had brought progress in science, into successive great leaps upward.

Those criteria added during the course of the Nineteenth Century, had already been qualitative, rather than only quantitative, in effect. The Twentieth Century, as since the ouster of Bismarck from office, had already been a new quality of condition: *world warfare in the true sense of the term*. But for President Franklin Roosevelt, the downward plunge would have simply continued throughout the Twentieth Century: the only likely exception thereafter, would be President John F. Kennedy, his also martyred brother. Robert, and the marginal resistance against evil represented by the Presidencies of Presidents Ronald Reagan and "Bill" Clinton. Two terms under the merely nominal President, George W. Bush, Jr., and also the hideous Barack Obama represent, combined, a combined total of a relatively little more than three terms of office, three terms which have already carried the United States to the brink of a (largely) self-inflicted extinction.

The faults of the U.S. Presidencies since the inauguration of George Washington, have been prominent causes for the failures of the United States since the terms of President George Washington; the corruption represented by most among the U.S. Presidents since that time, has been largely a fruit of the British imperial targeting of our United States by (chiefly) British imperial influence, such as by the particularly evil British spy, thief, and murderer, the same Aaron Burr who had placed the loutish Andrew Jackson and the swindling



Hamilton, as Secretary of the Treasury, was "a personality without whom the Presidency of George Washington would not have survived." Here, Hamilton (right) works with Washington to prepare the President's Farewell Address; the painting by Allyn Cox is on the ceiling of the U.S. Capitol building.

Martin Van Buren, into the office of the Presidency. In fact, the majority of the Presidents placed into office during the existence of the United States, have been either outright traitors to the United States, or louts whose behavior served, in practice, the same ultimate intention. Not accidentally, it had been only reasonably good Presidents, or those who have been, like Alexander Hamilton, great Presidents in office who had been eliminated by British-directed assassinations.

However, one must be careful in blaming treasonously inclined U.S. Presidents. Some, like Jackson and Van Buren, were full-fledged, intentionally treasonous creatures. Other culprits were merely opportunists with only marginal devotion to anything but their own petty ambitions. Blame British imperialism, as in the case of the inherently treasonously brutish, Queen's own lout, Barack Obama, for example.

Some were less treasonous, intentionally, but merely, essentially, only with hope for hire for posts sought and desired. Most of the worst, have been explicitly treasonous agents, such as the Wall Street creatures such as the Prescott Bush who sired a son and grandson for intrusions into the Presidency.

However, once we have taken into account, the truly treasonous, or merely awfully corrupt Presidents on the listing, they all fit within a single category: of the not fit to have been elected. Here, the factor of personal cor-

3. (1.) He had persuaded General George Washington to serve as the First President of the U.S.A. (Sept. 1788); (2.) Report on Public Credit (Jan. 9, 1790); Report on a National Bank; (3) Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank; and, (4) Report on the Subject of Manufactures (Feb. 1791); the United States under President George Washington, would have, otherwise, collapsed.

ruption built into the training of the future citizen, stands out as the crucial factor to be considered. The lack of the good, is the road to Hell. Here, on this point, Hell, which is the kingdom of Zeus, includes killers and thieves alike.

The Principle of The Good

The notion of “the good” can be efficiently traced to a certain, only seemingly ape-like figure, who had distinguished himself, or herself, by cooking the family’s food; the principled issue is the principle of mankind’s reliance on the proper use of fire: or, in modern language: *energy-flux density*. The success of mankind, as a species, has always depended on the rise of per-capita energy-flux-density. That is today, the opposition to the so-called “green” policy of the more conventional sort of moral degenerate, such as Queen Elizabeth II of the Brutish Empire of today.

Alexander Hamilton, as recorded in the four statements of principle which he had presented under his post as Secretary of the Treasury of the original United States, is, as a matter of principle, a personality without whom the Presidency of George Washington would not have survived. President Washington’s own momentary hesitation on the subject of the **Opinion on the Constitutionality of a National Bank**, is exemplary. On this account, were there no other, Hamilton has been an essential genius in the spirit of such as Benjamin Franklin, and of Franklin’s own source of initial inspiration: that of Cotton Mather and his like.

Neither Cotton Mather, nor Benjamin Franklin, nor Alexander Hamilton, were *monetarists*. Monetarism is, intrinsically, as Jesus Christ had insisted, in effect, not Christian, nor anything like it. That is, by no means, a non-relevant issue in the matter of principles of economy.

II. Money as Essentially an Evil

In all of the essential arguments made by Alexander Hamilton, in his setting forth of the principles of the **U.S. Federal Constitution**, Hamilton never held up a monetarist principle for economy. Indeed, for him, money is the root of all evil: that is to say: *money-per-se*. Money, for him, is no more, intrinsically, than a *means for exchange*; his principle is a notion, not of money-per-se, but of *increase of the productive powers of human labor*.

This intends that the average of exchanges of goods

and useful services, for a medium of money, or, its like, must yield an increase of the net balance of the productive powers of labor expressed. This includes the consideration of investments in future intentions. The working effect is, and must ultimately, be, a net physical-economic increase in the relatively-defined ration of the productive powers of human labor. Any contrary intention, such as that fraud typical of Wall Street, is an implicitly treasonous violation of the principle of the United States, *in effect*. Those who wish to practice such usury as the Wall Street gang, should be exported to other places, if those places are silly enough to wish to have them.

“*Be fruitful, and multiply!*”⁴

The principles of economy on which Hamilton’s conceptions (in particular) are located are a matter of principle. The principle is *physical-economic*; not monetarist! *What, then, is that principle?* Since later centuries, our insight into that principle called productivity, has been increased in its dimensionality. In accord with that historical change in the practical meaning of increase of *productivity-per-capita*, physical science, over the course of the last two centuries (in particular) has acquired richer qualities of strategic meanings.

These changes, from relatively lower, to higher ranks of species of effective change, are located in what is fairly identified as the discovery of what are, in effect, universal physical principles. These principled changes in quality correspond to leaps in what a competent physical science for today identifies as higher orders of universal physical principles.

However, the meaning of “physical” principles requires some change. This, as I shall show here, involves important corrections of certain widely (and sometimes wildly) held qualities of mistaken, even prevalent opinions. Absurd forms of popular beliefs, often mistakenly regarded as fundamental, limit the notions of elementarity to simplistic interpretations which are more superstitions than matters of fact.

These include such fallacies as mathematics-per-se, and the inclusions of such as Classical musical composition and Classical drama. Focus attention on those instances for a time immediately following here:

The Human Mind

The popular, but ignorant, widespread belief, rests upon the presumption, that the human mind is delimited

4. E.g., “...be fruitful and multiply”!

to those agencies of merely sense-perception which are commonly attributed to the human brain. However, those actual discoveries rightly identified as discoveries of universal physical principles, do not meet the standards which might be properly imagined as expressions of a force such as the mere brain as such.

The problem which that distinction presupposes, is that the functions of the brain include the convenient foundations for a higher agency of true creativity: an agency associated with the discovery of efficiently *universal physical principles which are not, in and of themselves, sense-perceptual in nature. All are true discoveries of universal principle.* These higher forms, fall within that latter class. This, the higher class, is most commonly associated, in history, with functions of a class coincident with the notion of the specifically human intention of “fire”—i.e., *human creative passion!* No mere animal commands such a specific form of passion.

We, therefore, refer to matters of this category, accordingly. It is usefully identified as *the noëtic principle of human individual creativity.* Alexander Hamilton’s most notable discoveries of principle fit within that same class. Thereby hangs the specificity of my emphasis on his achievements here.

Relevant Experiences of Imagination

The distinction in quality, which separates man from beast intrinsically, is what is called the human imagination: the subject which exists efficiently among persons, but is unique to mankind.

Animals do have imaginations; humans do experience effects, on this account, comparable to those of beasts (as in dream-states of man and beast, alike). But, the human being encounters a different implication of the apparently fantastic: one to be known as the discov-



Library of Congress

“The proper objective/intention of the human personality, as distinct from the lower forms of life, is to achieve diminishing reliance upon merely sensory evidence.” Here, Helen Keller, blind and deaf since childhood, at her graduation from Radcliffe College in 1924.

ery of principles which exist efficiently, for mankind, which are not of the merely animal category. Here, on this specific point respecting sense-perceptual functions, we discover the truly principled keystone for defining the distinction of man from the higher form of beast. That is the distinction which defines us as human.

The proper objective/intention of the human personality, as distinct from the lower forms of life, is to achieve diminishing reliance upon merely sensory evidence. The case of Wilhelm Furtwängler’s last direction of the Schubert Ninth Symphony, is to the effect of that point; the Helium-3 potential functions’ use is another; ultimate, rather than proximate evidence is increasingly required. The fact that the implicit correlations on a grand scale must outweigh overemphasis on relative immediacy

of local evidence, is the natural, virtually inevitable standard for defining reality as distinct from proximate perceptions and deductions. This lies in a direction similar to the inferiority of tactics with respect to “grand strategy.” The lesser is often most immediately perceived; the ultimate outcome, and foresight of it are ultimately decisive.

That is specific to the case of the history of economic science when considered in long-scale terms of reference.

That specific feature of the matter is what is crucial for the case of economic processes generally. Essentially, more and more, and still more, the economic processes associated with human forecasting practices, depend, for competence, on the broadest strategic considerations, rather than the tactical ones of immediacy.

I have enjoyed some exceptional degree of manifest skills in such matters as these; as follows:

The competent strategist, as I have learned from my own experience, works, essentially, according a rela-

tively long-term view of history; whereas, the amateur places evidence for the long-term on a par with the relative immediacy of the lapse of the short-term interval. He, the amateur, thus, reaches an opinion in which he avows that no long-term passages are knowable. He, simply, does not recognize the difference. Thus, his conclusions converge, chronically, on a distinctness attributed to short-term effects. They dismiss the future reality, because, essentially, they deny that the future really exists as a knowable entity.

That is where the differences between those of my inclination, and also Alexander Hamilton's converged, and why those of contrary opinion, are almost universally wrong, most of the time.

The Physical Science of Forecasting

Therefore, the complementary solution for the effort to consider Hamilton's arguments respecting the future of the United States, can be translated into a study of the principles of forecasting. That means, in the case which I am presenting here, that we must sensitize ourselves, presently, to the subject of the matters which involve universal physical principles, which had been not accessible (as specific universal principles) during his lifetime's experience.

From the standpoint of comparing what had been his knowledge of principles, then: he was a leading genius in the actual science of economy. Today, more than two centuries later, there is much in the nature of knowably universal physical principles, the which must be presently considered, if we are to presently meet the requirements of discovery which presently accessible evidence, respecting universal physical principles, requires presently to be taken efficiently into account.

In the meantime, it is to be clearly recognized by all currently intelligent beings, that he had been right, in his economic policy-shaping, while all of his opponents had been terribly, or worse than terribly, wrong.

III. The Present & Future Economy

Take the case of the emergence of modern chemistry and physics, since the time of The Golden Renaissance as experienced in the time of Renaissance, as associated with the then emerging roles of Filippo Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa.

There are several relatively simple rules for understanding the broad scope of all of the leading issues of

humanity on the planet since the time associated with the conflict between Zeus and Prometheus. The Zeus-ian policy was to reduce the population of the human species, and to keep it suppressed, except for the oligarchical class, in bestial ignorance and impotence, as was done under the Roman Empire, and, the British empire of today is essentially a "carbon copy" of the design for that Roman Empire.

The Zeus-ian policy was to reduce the population of the human species, and to keep suppressed, except for the oligarchical class, in bestial ignorance and impotence, as was done under the Roman Empire, and the British empire of today is essentially a "carbon copy" of the design for that Roman Empire.

The contrary force, as identified by Aeschylus' Classical drama, was that of the "fire-bringer," Prometheus, the figure of all that we call "democratic," economically "progressive" society today. The great Promethean force of modern history, was that of what has been known to modern society as "the Golden Renaissance of the time of Jeanne d'Arc (who was burned alive by the evil Normans) and the Florentine Renaissance of such outstanding figures as the founder of modern physical science, Filippo Brunelleschi, and the great theologian and the leading creative personality of the world of that time, Nicholas of Cusa: who was then the prototype of the Christian (Promethean) alternative.

The British alternative of our present times was not only the echo of the Roman Empire; it was, originally, and still is modelled explicitly on the Roman Empire (still today). It was Cusa who had inspired the voyages of Columbus to the Americas, and, thus, led Europeans to escape the evil regimes in Europe at that time, and to seek to establish an anti-Zeusian (Promethean) system of actually human existence in the Americas: to inspire a system of freedom to replace the Zeus-ian evil we should now recognize as the British Empire still today. (The British imperial system, which dominates western and central Europe still today, is a Zeus-ian system of mass-murderous sheer evil, which must be transformed into a civilized society suited to the needs of the people of such nations as those of the British Isles.)

We are now in a matter of perhaps days, before a

great thermonuclear war suddenly envelops the planet. The dictatorship of the current British monarchy, and its lackey, President Barack Obama, are committed to the immediate launching of general thermonuclear holocaust, unless they are given “their way.” However, if that President were removed from office, and the trans-Atlantic Wall Street predators checked, the possibility of a British holocaust against humanity, were blocked physically: they would be without their support from the military forces of the United States; hence, the British evil would be blocked, and a civilized English government must, accordingly, be compelled to arise from the defeat of the Queen’s evil empire. If this means impeaching President Obama, so be it; he was only a British imperial puppet, anyway.

This Means a New Destiny for Mankind

For our United States itself, such an end to the global power of the British empire, would mean the opportunity for providing a true alternative to the presently prevailing, and already depraved conditions now prevailing throughout the trans-Atlantic region and Africa, alike. For example: the collapse of the bloated financial claims of the Wall Street and London axis of financial tyranny, would expose the sheer fraud of those trans-Atlantic predators: their trillions of dollars of shabby, fictitious assets would vanish; their power, if not their vanity, would evaporate; and that would be a very good thing.

The means to accomplish that cleansing purity of life, once again, lies simply in the immediate re-institution of the original Glass-Steagall Act enacted precisely as under President Franklin Roosevelt. The change can be seemingly instantaneous. We would then be, then, required to return ourselves to that Franklin Roosevelt tradition. The task would be tiresome, at first, but, nonetheless fruitful for us, and, more so, for generations to come. Give us even a single generation, and we shall make seeming miracles; give us two generations, and we shall be as if giants of great leaps in scientific achievements, on Earth, and beyond.

Now, as to Alexander Hamilton

What Alexander Hamilton had accomplished, despite his British assassin, Aaron Burr (and Burr’s accomplices, such as Andrew Jackson and Martin Van Buren), was to have provided four measures of economic reform which did, in fact, secure the continued existence of our United States, for the duration of the government of President George Washington, and

beyond. After that, and with the British assassination of Hamilton, things went badly, until the Presidencies of Presidents Monroe and John Quincy Adams. Despite the victory over the British Empire, with the defeat of the British-created Confederacy, but with the relatively frequent assassinations of our best Presidents since that time, we have not recovered our true mission as a republic. Corruption, chiefly supplied from the British Empire, has bewitched too large a ration of our own citizens and their children. Worse, for most of them, the role of the great Alexander Hamilton virtually does not exist: they dwell in horrid ignorance of their legacy and destiny, alike.

I have an additional concern, precisely on that account.

Hamilton’s great achievements, even greater in intention, than he was permitted to live to express, now lie remembered, only for the time of the years he had still lived. Since that time, across two centuries, and more, the fate of humanity has lain within great, even convulsive leaps in scientific and technological progress.⁵ This was often progress which existed, but which was, also often prevented from being realized, in large degree. When we might have considered the principles of scientific technology, what could have been, had it been permitted, was far greater than anything all but a very few among us today, could have even imagined.

If we consider that fact, and if we consider, also, the leaps in science which now reach, implicitly, at least, far beyond fundamental principles of the known universe known during Hamilton’s lifetime, we might, then, be enabled to realize, how much opportunity we have lost, and how much lies before us, to take up, now.

It lies entirely, essentially, in the discovery not of gimmicks, but discoveries of fundamental principles of future science now available us, but, which had been suppressed under the reign of the degree of corruption since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, of the sheer evil spread like the foulest of diseases among a U.S. population polluted with death in uselessly prolonged wars, wars which demoralized us and used us up, generation after generation since that time. Give us our own, true republic back, again! Remembering the true accomplishments of the murdered hero, Alexander Hamilton, should be sufficient inspiration enough – for a beginning!

5. As, consistently, since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.


IV. The Great Lesson To Be Learned

The inspired Jeanne d'Arc had mustered France to resist the evil Norman pestilence in that nation. Her King, a dissolute sort of moral weakling, betrayed Jeanne d'Arc to the evil Norman tyrants, who, Norman priests and all others of their tribes, alike, literally cooked her to death.

The news of this murder of Jeanne d'Arc had aroused the great ecumenical conference being convened at that time. The response of that ecumenical conference, had been the adoption of the policies and practices of what was to become known as **The Golden Renaissance:** whose legacy is known to competent scholars today, by such as the names of the great scientists Filippo Brunelleschi and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa; they created a devotion to the future of mankind which led, among other notable achievements of its devotions, to the expeditions of the great mariner, Christopher Columbus, and the forming of the germ of the future United States, first consolidated as the Massachusetts Bay Colony under the leadership of the founders of that quasi-sovereign fore-runner of our United States of America, under the leadership, then, of the Winthrops and the Mathers.

When the brutish Dutch forces had crushed the Massachusetts Bay Colony, a leading representative of that Mather family, Cotton Mather, charged a young Benjamin Franklin to depart the Dutch-polluted Massachusetts, and to go south, to Rhode Island, and then William Penn's Pennsylvania colony. Young Franklin proved, consistently, to be a great scientific and political genius, internationally renowned; without him, and such of his associated, true geniuses, such as followers of Franklin such as Alexander Hamilton and, later, John Quincy Adams, the United States could have been neither formed, nor victorious in its creation, nor continued to exist and prosper in its course as the progeny of the genius of such as the great engineer-scientist Filippo Brunelleschi and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa.

Everything good in the history of the Americas, thenceforth, has been located in the spark of these de-



"Neither Cotton Mather, nor Benjamin Franklin, nor Alexander Hamilton, were monetarists. Monetarism is, intrinsically, as Jesus Christ had insisted, in effect, not Christian, nor anything like it," LaRouche writes.

velopments from the virtue of Jeanne d'Arc's mission, and the founding of the great Ecumenical Council of Florence which gave us the heritage of such as Brunelleschi and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa. It was the recruited followers of Nicholas of Cusa's own trans-oceanic mission, which created the trans-Atlantic destiny of the Americas, most notably, the United States rooted in the Massachusetts Bay Colony of the Winthrops and Mathers. It has been those, including myself, whose continued devotion to those intentions, still carries the leading flag of humanity into whatever may be considered, presently, as the flag of mankind's future on this planet, and beyond.

The great Classical-Greek thinker, Aeschylus, has delivered to us, still today, a dramatic outline of the principled basis for defining the unique distinction of man from beast, and, from beastly nations and empires. Aeschylus was no mere poet, in any ordinary sense; his analysis, delivered in that drama, contains all of the essential issues which have been the contested intentions of man's struggle against the evil legacy of the notorious Zeus. The creation of the Constitution of our United States was premised on the products delivered to the design of our own Federal Constitution.

We, the heirs of that Constitution's intention, and of the intention underlying its foundations, have an obligation to cherish and maintain that great legacy, for the benefit of all mankind. We must make ourselves, therefore, foremost in our devotion of service to that won-

derful cause, and expend our lives, if necessary, to the accomplishment of the service of that great mission.

On this account, it has been the Christian legacy in its original expression in the ministry of Jesus Christ, a ministry (like the first chapter of Genesis) of devotion to the implicit intention for the existence of all mankind. That mankind is not merely a mortal creature, as the mere animals are, but is endowed with a kind of immortality which conveys the discovery of new universal principles of our universe, which our minds themselves must create, and perpetuate, when the “mortal coil” then exists no more. This properly immortal destiny of the human mind, so ennobled and immortal in its power to change the future, represents the quality of fore-knowledge upon which the continued existence of the human species depends absolutely.

The mission of immortal dedication proper to the human soul, as no other known species could accomplish this feat, is the highest principle of law in our known universe. It is to that, that we must dedicate the very mission of our living existence: in its future consequences. **I Corinthians 13:** is an excellent expression of this for practical uses.

However, our mission is to the service of all humanity, especially those devoted to the principle of human immortality even in death; but, even for the evil ones, who, we might hope, will not leave us with evil progeny. That is the only true principle of law under which mankind could properly be situated. We now live, with clearly attested evidence, in hand, on this account. Such is, in fact, the highest law of which we have, presently, knowledge.

I Corinthians 13

Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, and have not charity, I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal.

And though I have the gift of prophecy, and understand all mysteries, and all knowledge; and though I have all faith, so that I could remove mountains, and have not charity, I am nothing.

And though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor, and though I give my body to be burned, and have not charity, it profiteth me nothing.

Charity suffereth long, and is kind; charity envieth not; charity vaunteth not itself, is not puffed up,

Doth not behave itself unseemly, seeketh not her own, is not easily provoked, thinketh no evil;

Rejoiceth not in iniquity, but rejoiceth in the truth;

Beareth all things, believeth all things, hopeth all things, endureth all things.

Charity never faileth: but whether there be prophecies, they shall fail; whether there be tongues, they shall cease; whether there be knowledge, it shall vanish away.

For we know in part, and we prophesy in part.

But when that which is perfect is come, then that which is in part shall be done away.

When I was a child, I spake as a child, I under-



“St. Paul at His Writing Desk,” Rembrandt (1629).

stood as a child, I thought as a child: but when I became a man, I put away childish things.

For now we see through a glass, darkly; but then face to face: now I know in part; but then shall I know even as also I am known.

And now abideth faith, hope, charity, these three; but the greatest of these is charity.

Nazi Putsch in Kiev Presages Rapid Outbreak of WW III

by Nancy Spannaus

Feb. 25—The last time the British Empire, supported by its stooges (like Prescott Bush) on Wall Street, helped bring a Nazi government to power in the Europe, the result was tens of millions dead, a holocaust, in World War II. This time, with the Nazi putch in Ukraine, supported by Obama and the EU, the result is likely to be the extermination of the human race—unless British lackey Barack Obama is removed from office immediately.

Lyndon LaRouche had warned, just weeks ago, that the last week of February and early part of March, was the likely timeframe in which the world would move to the threshold of thermonuclear war. To stop that war, he stressed, the British must be deprived of their puppet in the White House, Barack Obama. Thus, he set the deadline for the removal of Obama from office as March.

As of this writing, an all-out mobilization to get Obama out of office is underway throughout the United States and in Washington, D.C., including radio ads, mass leafletting, and confrontations with Congress. But it's a race against time, as the British scenario to try to *break* Russia and China has entered a new, most dangerous phase.

No Local Crisis

Look at the accompanying map, to understand the context for this crisis. The encirclement of Russia and China shows the British imperial intention to crush the Eurasian region, opening it up for rapacious looting to try to keep the bankrupt trans-Atlantic financial system afloat. By military actions to strip nuclear powers Russia and China of their defenses, by terrorism, by

regime change, the Empire, in the spirit of Zeus, is demanding a surrender to its system of deindustrialization, depopulation, and death.

This means *global war*, a confrontation between a dying monetarist Empire and the Eurasian region, which is committed to scientific and technological growth—and is willing to fight to defend itself.

The current crisis has been building since the assassination of President Kennedy, in fact. It accelerated under President George W. Bush, who did exactly what British strategists told him, both strategically and in destroying constitutional government. The process accelerated under Obama, with the “Asian pivot,” the support for jihadi terrorists, and the pressing ahead with the ballistic missile “defense” programs, which Russia and China *know* is aimed at preventing them from having a nuclear second-strike capability.

Russia and China have made it clear, by actions as well as words, that they will *not* sit back and let that happen.

It is in this context that the neo-Nazi coup in Ukraine, incubated by the British and British agents in the U.S. since the end of World War II, takes on its significance. As the map shows, Russia *cannot* let Ukraine be the base for hostile NATO forces—and yet that is precisely what the Nazi putch in Ukraine, by forces known to be determined to confront Russia, portends.

Obama, who has control of the thermonuclear “button” for the West, holds the key. He must be impeached now.

U.S./NATO Encirclement of Russia and China



LaRouchePAC

Will Russia Move?

So far, the Russian response to the Ukraine coup has been measured. Moscow has recalled its ambassador for consultations, and raised very pointed questions about the legitimacy of the new leadership.

“This move means that we do not understand what is happening in that country and that there is a threat to our interests, the lives and well-being of our citizens. There are big doubts about the legitimacy of a whole series of organs of power that are now functioning there,” Prime Minister Dmitri Medvedev said on Feb. 24. “Frankly, the legitimacy of many governmental bodies is in doubt there. Treating masked men armed with Kalashnikov rifles, who are now circling Kiev, as a government—we would find it difficult working with such a government.

“Some of our foreign, Western partners think otherwise. This is some kind of aberration of perception when people call legitimate what is essentially the result of an armed mutiny.”

An official statement from the Russian Foreign Ministry on the same day elaborated: “The position of some of our Western partners doesn’t show genuine concern, but a desire to act out of geopolitical self-interest. There is no condemnation of criminal actions by extremists, including manifestations of neo-Nazism and anti-Semitism. In fact, these are being encouraged.”

The statement added that outside sponsors are ad-

vancing a regime change in the country, without a desire to find national consensus.

“We urge those embroiled in the crisis in Ukraine to show responsibility, and to prevent further deterioration of the situation, to return to the rule of law, and to stop the extremists in their bid for power.”

Leading circles in Russia have offered a concrete means to resolve the crisis: the Budapest Memorandum of 1994, which committed the U.S., Great Britain, and Russia to be guarantors for Ukraine’s sovereignty.

At the same time, Russia is acutely aware of the provocations which are being prepared by those in control in Ukraine. Russian-speaking Ukrainians in provinces such as Crimea have already expressed their fears of repression, and intentions to ask for Russian protection. An article in the eutimes.net on Feb. 22 reported that President Putin actually warned Obama in his Feb. 21 phone calls, that Russia was prepared to send over 250,000 troops into Crimea, to protect it from U.S.-EU aggression. While the report cannot be confirmed, Lyndon LaRouche considers it highly credible in the current context of confrontation.

Indeed, both British Foreign Minister William Hague and Obama National Security Advisor Susan Rice have been on the airwaves warning Russia not to take such military action—at the same time that they are supporting those in Ukraine who could carry out the provocations that would lead to it.

United States and EU, with Ukrainian Terrorists, Establish Nazi Regime

Statement of Chairman of the PSPU Natalia Vitrenko

Feb. 23, 2014—The entire world is disturbed by the events in Ukraine. The heart of the matter must be understood. The Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine (PSPU), as a left opposition party that has fought and continues to fight against the domestic and foreign policy conducted both by the Orange forces under [Victor] Yushchenko and by the alliance of the Party of Regions and the Communist Party of Ukraine, under [Victor] Yanukovich, finds it necessary to present our evaluation.

On Feb. 22, under the automatic-weapons barrels of the Euromaidan guerrillas and terrorists, the Parliament carried out a neo-Nazi coup d'état.

Flouting all the provisions of the Constitution of Ukraine and of international law, and trampling on European values, the Parliament exceeded its authority and committed acts that are subject to criminal punishment. Washington and Brussels, which have been telling all the world that the Euromaidan is a peaceful action by the Ukrainian people, who have made their choice for Europe and are defending democracy and European values, must admit that none of this is the case. They have supported a Nazi putsch, carried out by the guerrillas, terrorists, and politicians of the Euromaidan in the geopolitical interests of the West.

There are indisputable proofs that this is the case:

1. Changing the state system in an unconstitutional manner. This violates the European principle of the rule of law. In violation of Section XIII of the Constitution of Ukraine (which describes in detail the procedure for changing the Constitution) and without any participation by the Constitutional Court, the state system of our country has been changed by a Resolution of the Supreme Rada;

2. Exceeding its authority and flouting Article 19 of the Constitution, the Parliament has appointed supervisors for the Ministry of Internal Affairs, the Security Service of Ukraine, and the Prosecutor General's office.

These supervisors have been appointed for the pur-

pose of the Euromaidan's coercing the Constitutional institutions of the state, in order to satisfy the interests of the West in an unconstitutional manner;

3. President of Ukraine Yanukovich (whose policies our party has openly opposed for his entire four years in office) has been stripped of his Constitutional authority, in gross violation of the Constitution of Ukraine. The Constitution does not endow the Supreme Rada with the right to strip the President of his authority on the invented pretext of his having removed himself from office. The Constitution prescribes a procedure for impeachment. Again, however, guided not by the rule of law but by revolutionary expediency, and flouting the European principle of the presumption of innocence, Yanukovich has been removed from office and new Presidential elections scheduled, in violation of the Constitution;

4. The Parliament, protecting the Euromaidan guerrillas and terrorists, has amnestied and declared to be heroes all of its participants, initiating a process of giving them high positions in the government. This means that those who took up arms and killed innocent citizens and members of law enforcement who were guilty of no crime, those who seized and destroyed administrative buildings and weapons depots, and those who organized summary justice and committed blackmail and kidnapping, will escape any responsibility for their actions. Thus, the basis for the formation of a neo-Nazi, repressive state machine is being created.

Washington and Brussels should heed our warnings. We charge them, and the political forces they have brought to power, with full responsibility for the establishment of a totalitarian, Nazi regime in Ukraine, with the inevitable gross violation of the rights and freedoms of millions of our fellow citizens.

The USA and the EU should know that the political parties and movements that have seized power, among which are neo-Nazi forces (such as Svoboda and Right Sector), announced as they did so, that they were carry-



Solidarité et Progrès website

Natalia Vitrenko gives a webcast in Paris on Feb. 24, on the situation in Ukraine. She was hosted by the Solidarity and Progress party.

ing out a national revolution under the slogans “Ukraine for Ukrainians,” “Glory to the Nation—Death to the Enemies!”, “Knife the Muscovites and Hang the Communists!”, and so forth.

As of Feb. 22, those now in power bear complete responsibility for all Ukraine and for violations of the rights and freedoms of citizens.

The Euromaidan guerrillas and terrorists continue to seize administrative and government buildings in southeast Ukraine. Voters are being stripped by terrorist methods of the right to have the representatives they elected function in local councils. Civilians attempting to defend their elected officials are being mercilessly shot from Kalashnikovs as well as non-lethal weapons, as happened Feb. 22 in Luhansk.

The guerrillas, without possessing any government authority and in the absence of a state of emergency, have arrogated to themselves to block major highways with axes and cudgels, to run checkpoints, and to inspect vehicles and the documents of their passengers, barring those they disapprove of from leaving Kiev. They are blockading the access roads to airports, thereby grossly violating the European Convention on Human Rights and Basic Freedoms, which guarantees the inviolability of the individual, freedom of movement, the presumption of innocence, and the right to security and life. They are abasing the dignity and rights of the entire people of Ukraine.

On Feb. 23, representatives of those now in power announced the formation of a Ukrainian ethnic community: Everyone who uses the Russian language is to be stripped of the status of member of the titular Ukrainian ethnic community and subject to discrimination in civil and political rights.

The intention to ban the transmission of Russian Federation TV channels in Ukraine, as being mouthpieces of a hostile state, has been announced. This is how the new authorities are defending European values like freedom of speech and opinion.

Lists for “lustration” [political exclusion of officials from the former regime from occupying official posts] have begun to be drawn up. This mechanism will be used to strip the civil and political rights of those who do not share the neo-Nazi views of the new Ukrainian authorities.

Sickening vigilante justice continues throughout the country. Deputies of the Supreme Rada who are disapproved of are beaten (including hit with stones), and there is mass intimidation of elected local council members that their families will be destroyed (especially their children) if they fail to carry out the political aims of those now in power. The offices of political parties that are disapproved of are being burned on a mass scale, while political parties and public organizations that do not share the ideology and goals of the new Ukrainian authorities are receiving public threats of persecution and banning.

A Euromaidan guerrilla takeover of the Kiev-Pechora Monastery, an Orthodox holy place, and its transfer to the schismatic Filaret [Ukrainian Orthodox Church/Kiev Patriarchate], has begun. This would proceed to the seizure of all Ukrainian Orthodox Church/Moscow Patriarchate churches, with the goal of subordinating them to the Vatican.

On behalf of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine, I declare: We do not recognize as legal the coup d’état that has been carried out, and we do not recognize as lawful the activity of the new Ukrainian authorities. We condemn their total violation of the rights and freedoms of the citizens of Ukraine on the basis of ethnic, cultural, religious, or political factors.

We appeal to the European Parliament and the UN Security Council, demanding immediate intervention in what is transpiring in Ukraine, to defend the rights and freedoms of citizens, and to prevent the new Ukrainian authorities from unleashing a Third World War on the Eurasian continent.

Will He Break Bread with the Wahhabis To Pursue Regime Change in Syria?

by Ramtanu Maitra and Jeffrey Steinberg

Feb. 24—President Obama's scheduled visit to Saudi Arabia at the end of March may be aimed at cementing the Washington-Riyadh resolve to widen the military involvement to remove the Syrian government under Bashar al-Assad. All indicators suggest that the U.S. President is preparing to join hands with the jihadi-financing Wahhabi Keeper of Two Holy Mosques, Saudi King Abdullah bin Abdulaziz Al Saud. The outcome of such an alliance could set the stage for even greater bloodshed in the already devastated region.

According to a senior U.S. intelligence official, the Saudis are attempting to create the impression that they are once again "aligned with Washington," to pave the way for King Abdullah to make a strong pitch for regime change in Syria when Obama meets with him next month. According to the source, the Saudis are promoting the idea of merging the Riyadh-backed "moderate" Islamist fighters with the secularist Free Syrian Army, to wage war on both the Assad regime, and on the hardcore terrorists of the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) and the al-Nusra Front.

It is anyone's guess, considering the deceptive nature of the Saudi royal household, exhibited since 1979 in particular, whether Riyadh will indeed back the "moderates" in Syria against the hardcore Sunni Wahhabi-Salafi terrorists. It has been well established over the years that the Saudis are financing and arming hundreds of thousands of terrorists, mouthing the Wahhabi version of Islam—from Russia's Chechnya in the north, to Syria and Lebanon, to Africa—to use all possible violent means to undermine the Shi'a-majority Iran's influence in the Islamic world, and, at the same time, to serve the Kingdom's British and other imperialist allies' geopolitical interests. It is evident that the Obama Administration is getting ready to bring the United States further into that alliance.

Thousands of Jihadi Terrorists

There are also indications that the Saudis are angling to get Washington's approval. Since the "regime-change" operation in Damascus received the green light from Britain, France, the United States, et al., with the purpose of weakening the influence of Iran and Russia in Syria, thousands of Saudi terrorists, armed and trained in Afghanistan and Pakistan, have moved into Syria. The Saudi government admits that more than 1,000 jihadis have left the country to join the conflict in Syria, but Western intelligence sources in contact with the Kingdom say that the figure could be closer to 3,000, the London *Times* newspaper wrote recently.

In fact, there were reports of a number of "migrants," including Saudis, in Syria as the Ahrar al-Sham movement was emerging, around May 2011. Last December, the *Long War Journal* reported that the Ahrar al-Sham Islamic Movement announced that it, in conjunction with al-Nusra and ISIS, had targeted "the headquarters of [Hezbollah] and Abu al Fadhil al 'Abbas," a pro-government Syrian Shi'a militia, in the Sayyidah Zaynab and Hujayra farms area near Damascus. That indicates that the very same al-Nusra Front and ISIS that the Saudis are reportedly planning to wage war against, were in fact their creation.

The world came to know of all this, and the Saudis, of course, were aware that the world is aware of the presence of thousands of Saudi terrorists inside Syria, and of its financing for dozens of terrorist outfits operating in Syria.

Dumping Geneva

So, to put on a peace-loving face, Riyadh has applied a thick layer of cosmetics. In early February, the Saudi king outlawed travel abroad by Saudi citizens intending to join jihadist movements, threatening 20-year jail terms for violation of his decree, the *Washington*



Wikimedia Commons



National Security Advisor Susan Rice (above) has recently held a number of secret meetings in Washington with Middle East spy chiefs, among whom was Saudi Interior Minister Prince Mohammad bin-Nayef (left).

Post reported. This charade is deemed necessary to create the atmosphere for the official reunion between Riyadh and Washington, to re-energize their efforts to remove Bashar al-Assad.

In addition to the strength of the well-financed Saudi lobby in Washington, Saudi Arabia's large purchases of weapons from the United States, and its alliance with the powerful political lobby AIPAC (American Israel Public Affairs Committee) against Iran, the Saudis, like the Obama Administration, have in essence trashed the Geneva talks aimed at bringing the Syrian crisis to an end, which ended in mid-February. Washington and London called them an abysmal failure, while Moscow made clear that a conflict that has taken 100,000-plus lives, over almost three years, cannot be resolved by two rounds of talks. Surely it needs more negotiations. It is clear that London, Paris, Washington, and Riyadh want regime change, and nothing less.

So, the stage is being set up. David Ignatius reported in the Feb. 18 *Washington Post* that recently a number of Middle East spy chiefs were in Washington for secret meetings on the Syria situation with National Security Advisor Susan Rice. The key visitor was Prince Mohammed bin-Nayef, the Saudi Minister of Interior who has now replaced the ailing Prince Bandar bin Sultan as the chief of the Saudi covert operations program in Syria.

Also, a two-day (Feb. 15-16) meeting took place in Gaziantep, Turkey, near the Syrian border, of 30 leaders of the Free Syrian Army who make up the Supreme Military Command. The meeting, coordinated with the

events in Washington, reorganized the military command of the FSA, replacing Gen. Salim Idriss with Abdul-Allah al-Bashir. Al-Bashir, who defected from the Syrian Army in 2013, is based in Quneitra in the south of Syria. His deputy is Col. Haitham Afiseh, from Idlib province in the north. The two commanders, according to Ignatius, are working closely with the Syrian Revolutionaries Front, headed by Jamal Maarouf. Maarouf met last week inside Syria with Ahmad al-Jarba, the Saudi-backed head of the Syrian opposition coalition.

According to a report in the *Washington Post* on Feb. 19, Idriss was ousted because of his close ties to Qatar. Al-Bashir is Saudi-backed, as is the opposition's "Minister of Defense," Assad Mustafa. Mustafa, a former Minister of Agriculture in the Assad government, who retired to Kuwait, joined the opposition last year, and is now in charge of coordinating the arms flows to the rebels. Press TV reported Feb. 20 that Saudi Arabia is now preparing to provide more than 3,000 tons of heavy arms to the FSA. "Idriss was identified as someone we could not work with, and we made the strategic decision to suspend all support to the Free Syrian Army until it changed its leadership," Saudi Arabia's diplomatic liaison to the FSA told the news service DPA. "Now we can resume arms supplies in full and as fast as possible," said the official, who did not wish to be named, because he was not authorized to speak to the press.

The Kingdom had reportedly suspended its military aid to the FSA in November 2013 to protest the way that Idriss had distributed arms from Western countries and Persian Gulf Arab states. The military coalition, which was established in 2011 to operate against the Syrian government, has been weakened by the deep divisions among its members, and its failure to make major gains, Press TV reported.

Bandar Sidelined?

On Feb. 19, *The Wall Street Journal* reported that, according to "Saudi royal advisers," Prince Bandar has

been replaced as head of the Syria covert war effort by Prince bin-Nayef and Prince Mutaib bin Abdullah, the latter a of King Abdullah and the head of the Saudi National Guard. The *Journal* reported that Prince bin-Nayef is close to both Secretary of State John Kerry and CIA Director John Brennan, who first met bin-Nayef in 1999, when he was completing his tour as CIA station chief in Saudi Arabia.

Sidelining Bandar, if this report is indeed true, and introducing bin-Nayef as “close to both Kerry and Brennan,” may provide reassurance to the credulous, but the fact remains that the policy in Riyadh is still intact: backing the Wahhabi terrorists to undermine Iran, Russia, India, China, and the Islamic states, and a regime change in Syria by the most violent means.

This is why:

While it is true that Geneva II did not accomplish much, had efforts of the UN and Arab League’s special envoy Lakhdar Brahimi to get the parties to agree on humanitarian relief succeeded, the two sides might have held together. Residents in the old city of Homs and in the Palestinian neighborhood of Yarmouk in Damascus have been under siege for two years. It was hoped that safe passage could be provided for food and medicine, but this was not accomplished. The UN convoy came under sniper attack, according to Vijay Prashad in *The Hindu* Feb. 17. This sabotage of the Geneva II talks has been enabled by those who identify the terrorists as “rebels” and “activists,” and provide them with arms and cash.

What the world knows, but conveniently ignores, in order to allow the so-called “free world” to project itself as the champion of democracy, is that the terrorists were created by those very champions of democracy, funded by the Saudis, to undermine the erstwhile Soviet Union in the 1980s.

The terrorists were never eliminated because they are the foot-soldiers in the regime-change army, which is hurled against those whom the West does not like. They were used in Libya, and the world knows how much good that did for the Libyans. they were also used in Chechnya in Russia, Xinjiang province in China, and Jammu and Kashmir in the Indian subcontinent, to name a few.

As the *Hindu* article pointed out: “Al-Qaeda was not demolished in Kandahar and Tora Bora. Its hardened cadre slipped across to Pakistan and then onwards to their homelands. There they regrouped, reviving the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group, al-Qaeda in Yemen,

Ansar al-Sharia, Ansar Dine, and ISIS. The latter slipped into Syria from an Iraq broken by the U.S. occupation and the sectarian governance of the current government. There they worked with Jabhat al-Nusra and fought alongside other Islamist currents such as Ahrar al-Sham. It was inevitable that these battle-tested Islamists would overrun the peaceful protesters and the defectors from the Syrian Army—the Free Syrian Army (FSA)—who scattered to the wind in 2012.”

Israeli Help, and Arms

According to Franklin Lamb, visiting Professor of International Law at Damascus University, Susan Rice, in addition to her meetings with the Middle East spy chiefs reported by Ignatius in the *Washington Post*, met with Israeli National Security Advisor Gen. Yaakov Amidror, to discuss Syria, in August 2013. Israel, moreover, according to a Congressional source, has offered to help “behind the scenes” with airbases if needed, and with certain activities along the southern Syrian border with still-occupied Palestine.

A majority of Arab League countries, the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), plus Turkey, France, the UK, and some members of the EU, also support the No Fly Zone (NFZ) idea for Syria. Saudi Arabia has already approved large quantities of Chinese man-portable air-defense systems or Manpads, as well as anti-tank guided missiles from Russia, and more cash to help rebels oust Assad, according to an Arab diplomat. Meanwhile, the U.S. has upped its contribution to pay the salaries of preferred rebel fighters, reported *Al Manar* Feb. 22.

On Feb. 4, a U.S. Air Force plane, loaded with weapons for Syria militants, arrived in the Jordanian town of Al-Mafraq, where the U.S. has maintained a presence, with its Special Forces and CIA operatives, since 2011. The arrival of the weapons, reported by Jordanian media, came only days after it was reported that the U.S. Congress had quietly approved arms shipments to Syria militants to help them topple the government. Jordan will be providing facilities to store the weapons before they are delivered to rebels in Syria, one news report said.

According to the Jordanian *al-Waha* news, a new batch of 1,500 gunmen have completed training in a center there for fighting in Syria, and are now ready to join thousands of other foreign-backed militants in the war-torn country. The weaponry, including a variety of small arms, as well as some more powerful weapons, such as anti-tank rockets, are flowing in to the so-called

moderate militants fighting in the south of the country. As expected, the Obama Administration claims these weapons are sent for “moderate” militants.

On Feb. 14, Jordan’s King Abdullah flew to Sunny-side, Calif., to talk to President Obama. The White House emphasized that the king was not there to play golf, but to discuss handling of the civil war in Syria. “Jordan is an invaluable ally and close friend of the United States,” National Security Council spokeswoman Bernadette Meehan said. What Meehan did not say is that Jordan could be the jump-off point in case the NFZ on Syria is finally imposed.

The Saudi-Pakistan Connection

On Feb. 15, Saudi Crown Prince Salman bin Abdul Aziz took a 300-plus-person delegation to Pakistan. What emerged from his talks is that the Saudis want Pakistan to provide anti-aircraft and anti-tank rockets to Syrian rebels, a Saudi source told the Pakistani media on Feb 16.

Pakistan makes its own version of Chinese shoulder-launched anti-aircraft missiles, known as Anza, and anti-tank rockets. Anza I, Anza II, and Anza III, which is now under development, are variations of Chinese

Hongjian-8 and 9. The HJ-8 has been produced under license by Kahuta Research Laboratories in Pakistan since the late 1990s.

Earlier this month, Pakistan’s Army Chief of Staff, Gen. Raheel Sharif, visited Riyadh and met Prince Salman. General Sharif was appointed to his post by Pakistani Prime Minister Mian Nawaz Sharif.

Nawaz Sharif owes his position to the Saudis. He was facing life imprisonment in a case orchestrated by Pakistan’s then-President Musharraf. The Saudis forced Musharraf into accepting a deal whereby Nawaz Sharif would be released by Musharraf, on the condition that he and his family would live in exile in Saudi Arabia for a ten-year period. On Dec. 9, 2000, Sharif and his family left for Jeddah on a royal Saudi plane. He returned in 2007, and was elected President in 2013. Now, it is payback time, and Sharif and the Pakistani military will do anything to please the Saudi royal household.

Breitbart.com reported last week that Saudi Arabia has asked Pakistan to dispatch 30,000 troops to the Kingdom as part of a bilateral defense agreement that’s currently being formulated in a flurry of meetings between defense and military officials from the two countries. Prime Minister Sharif said: “In view of current challenges, there is a need to further strengthen defense cooperation between the two countries and a new era of strategic relationship needs to start.”

In addition, there were reports, including in the *Washington Times* Nov. 7, 2013, that the Saudis are seeking nuclear weapons from Pakistan. Ynet News said that Saudi Arabia has been investing large amounts of money in Pakistan’s nuclear-weapons development program for some time, and that Saudi officials may have the ability to deploy nuclear weapons faster than Iran could.

Such are the indications that the Saudis are preparing for a violent end to the Syrian regime; this is the background to the upcoming meeting between President Obama and Saudi King Abdullah.

According to Bruce Riedel, a senior fellow and director of the Brookings Intelligence Project, and a senior advisor to the last four U.S. Presidents on South Asia and the Middle East, King Abdullah will make a push for a more vigorous American effort to oust Assad when he hosts Obama. The Saudis have been openly disappointed that Obama has not used force to get rid of Assad, or provided more assistance to training and arming the Syrian opposition. The King hopes to disarm American concerns that the Kingdom is helping terrorists gain a stronghold in Syria.

EIR Special Report

Obama's War on America: 9/11 Two

NEW, UPDATED EDITION

A new, updated edition of the EIR Special Report, “Obama’s War on America: 9/11 Two” is now available from larouchepub.com. The expanded report is an urgent intervention into the ongoing strategic crisis brought on by the British/Saudi/Obama alliance behind the overthrow of Qaddafi, and the subsequent explosion of jihadist uprisings throughout Africa and the Arab world.



Price **\$100** (Paperback or PDF. For paper, add shipping and handling; Va. residents add 5% sales tax)
Order from **EIR News Service** 1-800-278-3135
Or online: www.larouchepub.com

Just One Member of Congress Needed To Start Impeachment

by Alicia Cerretani

Feb. 23—In his Feb. 21 interview with [LaRouchePAC](#), Prof. Francis Boyle of the University of Illinois made the point strongly, that it would only take one Member of Congress to initiate impeachment proceedings against Barack Obama.

Noting that the Republicans control the House of Representatives, and that “they can impeach Obama tomorrow, if they want to,” Professor Boyle explained:

“I think all we need is one courageous Member of Congress—probably a Republican—willing to introduce a Bill of Impeachment into Congress as soon as possible—into the House—and to get the ball rolling. I think there is a lot of support for it, once it’s in there.”

Boyle noted that he is experienced in these matters, having been counsel to Rep. Henry Gonzales (D-Tex.) when Gonzales introduced a Resolution of Impeachment against President George H.W. Bush. All we need is one Member of Congress, Boyle emphasized, “and that Member can even do it orally.” He noted that there is a special procedure under which a Congressman can get up on the House floor and read Articles of Impeachment right then and there, if that’s what he or she wants to do.

Impeachment Takes Priority

Indeed, as Chapter 14 of Volume 3 of *Deschler’s Precedents of the House of Representatives* states, impeachment proceedings have been initiated either by dropping a resolution into the hopper, or by offering charges on the floor under a question of Constitutional privilege. If a Member raises a question of Constitu-

tional privilege, the Member must offer a resolution directly calling for impeachment, not just an investigation. Such a privileged resolution takes precedence over all other business, and the Member introducing it is entitled to an hour for debate.

At that point, the House may refer the matter to the appropriate committee, but it is not required to do so.

Boyle says that, given the emergency nature of the situation, the House could impeach Obama directly, and send the matter to the Senate for trial, without even holding hearings. He suggested three matters which are so clear cut, that they could constitute Articles of Impeachment without needing hearings. There are:

1. Obama’s illegal and unconstitutional war against Libya without Congressional authorization;
2. Obama’s murdering U.S. citizens in violation of the Fifth Amendment, and threatening to murder more; and
3. Obama’s threatening war against Syria.

These issues are very clear under the Constitution, Boyle asserted, and the House could schedule an up-or-down vote on impeachment directly, without hearings, and then move the matter to the Senate. And given the urgency of the situation and the danger of nuclear war over Ukraine or Syria, this is what Boyle advises should be done.

Put Them on the Spot

There should be no shortage of Members of Congress prepared to take such action, if they “put their money



The time to impeach Obama is long overdue; and the list of his crimes is lengthy and growing. He is shown here pushing his Nazi health-care plan at a town hall meeting in 2009.

where their mouth is,” so to speak. At least 11 Republican Congressman have spoken publicly of the need for impeaching Obama, and there is presently a bill before the U.S. Congress, the so-called STOP bill (H.Res 442), which purports to “Stop This Overreaching Presidency,” and has already garnered over 100 sponsors.

H.Res 442, introduced last December, asserts, correctly, that President Obama has “frequently overstepped the limits placed on executive branch power by the Constitution”; that he refuses to faithfully execute laws; and that he is establishing “what is in effect an imperial presidency.” Yet, instead of initiating impeachment proceedings, as provided for under that Constitution for such threats to our government, the resolution merely mandates the House of Representatives to file a civil suit against the President in the U.S. District Court “for declaratory or injunctive relief” for three specific instances of overreach cited.

Given the imminent danger represented by Obama’s British-determined foreign and economic policy, one gets the strong sense that this bill is an electoral plank, *not* a serious effort.

Similarly, with the calls for impeachment that some Congressmen have raised.

There are at least 11 Congressmen who have gone on the record in favor of Obama’s impeachment. One of those, Steve Stockman (R-Tex.), has stated that he is considering introducing Articles of Impeachment against Obama. If the other ten Congressmen, three of whom are

also from Texas, were to join with Stockman in announcing their intention to introduce Articles of Impeachment against Obama, the ball would be rolling.

The list of the core potential initiators of impeachment proceedings in the House is as follows:

In addition to Stockman: Blake Farenthold (R-Tex.); Jason Chaffetz (R-Utah); Kerry Bentivolio (R-Mich.); Bill Flores (R-Tex.); Paul Broun (R-Ga.); Duncan Hunter (R-Calif.); Steve King (R-Iowa); Michele Bachman (R-Minn.); Louie Gohmert (R-Tex.); and Ted Yoho (R-Fla.).

The Test of Seriousness

Over the last week, LaRouchePAC and its supporters have confronted close to a dozen Congressmen, including some

of the loudest mouths against Obama’s abuses of power. The latter include Rep. Darrel Issa (R-Calif.), who has apparently led the charge in confronting Obama on both the Benghazi attack and Fast and Furious gun-running.

At a Feb. 18 town meeting Issa was holding in New Hampshire, LaRouchePAC organizers demanded that Issa, who chairs the powerful House Oversight Committee, move for impeachment, given Obama’s abuses of power and moves toward provoking thermonuclear conflict in the very short term. Issa openly opposed impeachment, qualifying by saying it’s never off the table, but that, like the government shutdown, it’s unnecessary and detracts from the “real” business of the House, which is using a scalpel to cut away government excess, and getting the courts to weigh in on the scope of Executive power and Executive orders

Clearly, for many Congressmen, there *is* a matter of fear. But, as LaRouche candidate for the Democratic Party nomination for Senate in Texas, Kesha Rogers, has shown, including through her two previous Democratic primary victories when she ran for Congress, a huge proportion of the American population not only hates what Obama stands for, but will respond to real leadership.

Latest reports show that in the range of 60-77% of the American people hate what Obama stands for, but they have no voice. The survival of the nation depends upon at least one Congressman standing up for principle—immediately.

One Year After Chelyabinsk: The Strategic Defense of Earth

by Harley Schlanger

Feb. 16—The Kesha Rogers for Senate campaign sponsored a forum in Houston, Texas, yesterday, on the first anniversary of the asteroid impact over the city of Chelyabinsk, Russia. Rogers is campaigning for the Democratic nomination for Senate (the Texas primary is on March 4).

The invitation to the event described it as “the only public forum addressing this critical issue in the United States of America. This is an open invitation to all members of the scientific community, and the science-minded public: We need to bridge the gap between politics and reality.

“The scientific community knows our nation and planet are unprepared for mitigating any serious threat from extreme space weather, asteroids or comets, and galactic shifts in climate. Instead of heeding this reality, most politicians are making the tragic mistake of continuing to cut NASA’s budget while giving away trillions to cover worthless Wall Street debts. Kesha Rogers is currently the only candidate in the United States who is publicly discussing the need for NASA to take up a robust planetary defense program in collaboration with Russia, China, and other nations, and also is taking on those who are blocking this effort.

“It is time to permanently take the lid off scientific progress, and put all of NASA at the front of our national priorities. Everyone knows that space exploration creates the new technologies that improve all other areas of society, and does so at a faster rate than every other field of research. That is why politicians beholden to Wall Street’s short-term profit motive have been told to put a lid on it. If we had actually followed through with what President Kennedy envisioned for the future, by today,



EIRNS/Sylvia Spaniolo
Candidate Kesha Rogers addresses the forum in Houston.

we already would have developed the technologies we need, to protect Earth from such threats as asteroids, comets, and geomagnetic storms. We would have already ended the tyranny of mere money, controlling human progress.”

Why Chelyabinsk?

Kesha Rogers set the tone for the day-long conference in her introductory remarks, in which she addressed the question of why a candidate for Senate is hosting an event to commemorate the asteroid strike on Chelyabinsk, Russia. There are two reasons, she said: one, to reflect on what happened, and what we can do to prevent a future, unexpected strike; and two, to address the broader crisis facing mankind, the danger of nuclear war. As Rogers developed this, it became clear how these two points are related, as collaboration between the United States and Russia, and others, to develop a defense of Earth from future such events, is also the way that nations can end the danger of war, by engaging in pursuit of the common aims of mankind.

This means we must back the initiatives laid out by Lyndon LaRouche, she said, to get rid of Green policies, and we must restore Glass-Steagall at once, as the first step in implementing an American System credit policy. Taking these steps will enable us to return to the optimistic outlook of President John F. Kennedy. My campaign, she said, is showing that the leadership exists to do this, and that we must not be held hostage to Wall Street.

Among the well-wishes to the conference came greetings from Harrison Schmitt (see box), who stressed the importance of the event and its attention to the asteroid discussion. An Apollo 17 astronaut, Schmitt wrote

the book *Return to the Moon*, served in the U.S. Senate, and has undertaken many other leadership tasks.

Marsha Freeman, Managing Editor of *21st Century Science & Technology* and Technology Editor of *EIR*, discussed “Krafft Ehrlicke’s Extraterrestrial Imperative,” via video-conference. That he could see the future very clearly, was demonstrated in his 1970 book, *The Extraterrestrial Imperative*. She stressed that while the late Krafft Ehrlicke was an excellent engineer and forward-



LPAC-TV

Marsha Freeman

looking space scientist, he was, most importantly, a visionary. If civilization follows the pathway of no growth, and a “closed world,” he wrote, it would lead to geopolitical power politics, extreme poverty, mass starvation, epidemics, ecological crises, and nuclear war—exactly what we face today. A pathway of growth, on the other hand, based upon advancements in science and technology, exemplified by the imperative to explore and develop space, will create an “open world,” global industrial revolutions, enlightened education, and extraterrestrial industrialization. Ehrlicke’s first target in this program was our nearest neighbor—the Moon.

The growth pathway, long abandoned by the United States, Freeman said, is now the chosen route for China, as evidenced by its project to explore and develop the resources of the Moon. She reviewed the Chinese history of, and motivation for, exploring the Moon, which began in earnest with the gift of a very small piece of a Moon rock, collected on the Apollo 17 mission by Harrison Schmitt, which was given to China as a gift. She quoted the father of China’s lunar program, Ouyang Ziyuan, on the need to survey and catalogue the resources of helium-3 on the Moon, to fuel the fusion power plants of the future.

The next panel featured the need for collaboration by the United States and Russia for the strategic defense of Earth. Kirill Benediktov, a prominent Russian historian and writer, sent greetings to the conference. He is currently a consultant on strategy and program development for the Russian Government’s Military-Industrial Commission. The video of his [presentation](#) on planetary defense to the Schiller Institute conference in Frankfurt, Germany, last year, was played. In that presentation, he had highlighted the proposal of Rus-

sian Deputy Prime Minister Dmitri Rogozin for collaboration on the Strategic Defense of Earth, which he had made before Chelyabinsk. What happened at Chelyabinsk showed that we “flunked the test,” and that there will be more such events, because we have only identified 2% of asteroids thus far. Either we develop a systematic strategy, or we face increasing danger, he said.



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

Ben Deniston

This led into a report by Ben Deniston of the LaRouchePAC Science Team, “Ten Million Chelyabinsk and What To Do About Them.” He reiterated the need for strategic cooperation, saying we need “a century perspective,” which goes beyond defense of Earth, to develop our planet and beyond. Deniston used a chart that showed the density of asteroids and other objects in our Solar System, to emphasize that there will be other close encounters with these objects, some of which are much larger than the one that hit Chelyabinsk, and much more threatening to civilization. In addressing what can be done, he used the example of how mankind has, at times, managed rivers. Today, facing threats from “rivers of asteroids,” we must develop the science to “manage the systems of these rivers of asteroids.”

The next panel focused on “Space Weather Dynamics” and featured two scientific experts in solar and space physics, Dr. Ramón López, from the University of Texas at Arlington, and Dr. Daniel Baker, Director of the Laboratory for Atmospherics and Space Physics at Colorado University in Boulder. They spoke of the importance of understanding the role of the Sun for Earth, and why electromagnetic events must be studied more aggressively. López emphasized that we must learn to predict space weather (he later said space weather should be part of the weather forecast on local news!), and we must be prepared to deal with it.

Baker made the point that natural hazards, such as those that originate in space, know no national boundaries, and that is why international cooperation is essen-



U. of Texas

Dr. Ramón López

tial. We are increasingly vulnerable to the effects of “electromagnetic events,” such as coronal mass ejections (CMEs), he said. He used the example of a CME in 2012, which fortunately went away from Earth. This should have been a wake-up call, he wrote in a paper at that time. Had this hit Earth, it would have had an impact twice that of the Carrington Event of 1859, and could knock out electrical grids, causing massive devastation.



U. of Colorado
Dr. Daniel Baker

The final presentation, by this author, was titled “How To Pay for It.” He opened by saying the question is not “Can we afford it?” but, “Can we afford not to do it?” He explained Lyndon LaRouche’s work on physical economy, to show that we can indeed forecast the future. He contrasted Alexander Hamilton’s approach to national credit to the “credit” policies that followed the death of President John F. Kennedy. Hamilton’s concern was with the future, and how to increase productive value. Today’s Wall Street approach to credit produces worthless paper, which is turned into “buying power” for the wealthy, paid for by looting governments and the population. This system is on the verge of a final disintegration, and Glass-Steagall must be implemented at once, to turn it around. As in the case of asteroid strikes, it will be too late to address it after it happens, he said.

A Call to Action

The conference continued with a dinner, then a stirring call to action by Rogers. She again counterposed the intent of Kennedy, in approaching the crises of his time, to the failures of political and institutional leaders today. Given what we have heard from our panelists today, she said, we cannot tolerate the gutlessness and ignorance of our so-called leaders. My campaign is a vehicle for you to act, as a citizen of our republic. With the immediate danger of nuclear

war, and a global financial meltdown, there is nothing that should hold you back.

She then called on the panelists to lead a discussion on the lessons of Chelyabinsk.

The questions were very pointed, and provided ample room for deliberation. For example, a question about the value of President Obama’s plan to land on an asteroid provoked general hilarity. There was also a question about whether we are entering a “little Ice Age.” López quipped that it’s better to be warm than cold, and Baker reviewed evidence of decreasing activity of the Sun.


Schlanger discussed the destruction of cognitive thinking and the importance of an education process which recognizes the innate wonder in children, their hunger for real ideas. This provoked an enthusiastic response from those in attendance, including Drs. López and Baker, who emphasized that textbooks are killers of young minds, and young people must be engaged in hands-on science, outside the confines of the present

Apollo 17 Astronaut Schmitt Greets Conference

Greetings to you all. I am sorry that I cannot be with you and join in the exciting discussions I know will take place during this conference.


Deep space, from the Moon outward, is the equivalent of Earth’s wildernesses of the 1800s. Deep space’s enormous influence on lives, science, and the future of humankind has just begun. Lunar science and energy enable a far broader range of human activities, including lunar and Martian settlement, planetary protection from impacts, and a rejuvenation of human freedom.

Good luck in your deliberations.



NASA/Debbie McCallum
Former astronaut and U.S. senator Harrison Smith at NASA’s Goddard Space Flight Center, 2009.

Best regards,
Harrison H. Schmitt
Apollo 17 Astronaut
Former U.S. Senator
Author, *Return to the Moon*



LPAC-TV

Harley Schlanger addresses an earlier event. "The question is not 'Can we afford' space exploration, but, 'Can we afford not to do it?'"

classroom approach. López said he has been part of a process developing new books for science education, which are written to feed that curiosity in young people, rather than just provide "answers." Baker lamented how we are losing capabilities because we have moved away from the boldness and adventure required in investigating the frontiers of science. He spoke of his frustration at training young Chinese-American scientists, because they cannot get jobs in the United States, but are offered labs and funds to pursue frontier work in China.

As the discussion continued, the scientists blasted both the cuts in funding, and the process by which research grants are determined. Baker said the best way to get a grant, with peer review, is to "play it safe." This is not science, he said; that requires boldness and innovation. You cannot be afraid that if an idea you have doesn't work, you will be penalized—it is in the unknown, as explorers, that we make breakthroughs.

"NASA used to be about dreams," he added, "about adventure and excitement. . . . Let the routine things be funded by private industry," while government should fund the most experimental approaches.

López added that he believes there are three categories of knowledge. First, is the "stuff you know"; second

is the "stuff you don't know"; and third, and most exciting, is to discover "the stuff you didn't know that you don't know."

In the end, Baker said that once Rogers is elected, he will be the first in line to get some grants, when she expands the space budget.

Greetings from Russia's Kirill Benediktov

Kirill Benediktov is a Russian historian, well-known science-fiction author, political analyst, member of the editorial board of the Terra America website, and regular commentator for the daily Izvestia. He currently serves as a consultant on strategy and program development for the Russian Government's Military-Industrial Commission. He sent these greetings to the Houston conference.

Dear conference participants, dear colleagues and friends,

Exactly one year has passed since the explosion of a meteoroid over Chelyabinsk, once again, reminded mankind of our extreme vulnerability to forces from outer space.

The history of our species has been marked by a number of global catastrophes of outer-space origin. I'll mention just one of them: the disappearance of the Clovis culture, which flourished in North America 14,000 years ago. It is likely that the demise of this culture was linked with the impact or explosion of a large object from outer space, on or above the ice fields in what today is Canada, approximately 12,900 years ago. The strike was so powerful that probable fragments of this celestial body have been located in New Mexico and South Carolina. The unusual form of these fragments—microspherules, nanodiamonds, and fullerenes—has led some to suggest that a large comet or swarm of comet fragments collided with the Earth.

That catastrophe interrupted the development of the American Indian tribes for a long time, but it did not annihilate mankind, because people were scattered across great expanses of the Earth's surface and were not in close communication with each other. Paradoxically enough, today's global, technogenic civilization is more vulnerable and offers a bigger target.



EIRNS/Christopher Lewis

Russia's Kirill Benediktov addressing a Schiller Institute conference in Germany, April 13, 2013.

This problem can be solved, but in order to solve it, we must overcome the profound crisis of mission-definition, of goal-setting, the lack of which has acted as a brake on man's expansion into space since the end of the Cold War.

For four decades, the Soviet Union and the USA fought for leadership in space research. Even though it lost the "Moon race," the USSR maintained its leading positions in the exploration of near-Earth space, rocket design, long-term orbital space stations, and the development of manned space programs.

This period ended with the collapse of the Soviet Union and the loss of its industrial infrastructure, along with a significant portion of the skilled manpower employed in that industry. The space industry plunged into deep crisis, with dramatic reductions in financing for space exploration.

The Lack of Mission Orientation

The simple question, "Why do this?" has blocked the development of cosmonautics, ever since the end of the space race between the USSR and the USA. Not only in Russia, but, importantly, also in the USA, the space program continues to advance only by inertia,

since the motivations of the 1960s and 1970s no longer hold any weight.

Without well-conceived long-term plans, the sector is doomed to running in place. The existing capacities, technologies, and financing will continue to be used for emergency objectives or for seeking answers to randomly arising challenges.

This crisis of a lack of mission orientation afflicts not only the Russian space program, but also, to a significant degree, the American. The U.S. space program today is far from having a global meaning or purpose. It suffers from disorderly planning and ad hoc financing. This is less noticeable in the unmanned programs (insofar as robotic missions are rather less expensive, they can achieve tangible results even if the financing is chaotic), but in manned space exploration the crisis is clearly at hand. Even a superficial look at NASA's "constructive criticism" website gives you a sense of the dimensions of this crisis. At the present time, the main objective of the U.S. space program is merely to maintain the sector as a whole, preserving jobs and not reducing employment in the older manufacturing programs. In other words, it chiefly fulfills a social function.

The space programs of India and China may be more mission-oriented. They are, however, structurally (albeit, not in terms of the technologies) at a stage of development corresponding to that of the Soviet and American space programs of the 1960s. Evidently, it is easier to define strategic goals for a national space program in its early stages of development. After achieving a certain level, however, such as the launch and operation of a habitable orbital space station, the goals and missions become vague, leading to a systemic crisis of the sector.

Both the USA and Russia need a clear, simple, and comprehensible mission for their space programs, answering that question of "Why do this?" in a way that is obvious and acceptable for everybody.

We think that the creation of a global early-warning system for the asteroid and comet threat could be at least one such mission. This system could be implemented as a supranational project under the aegis of the United Nations Organization. But the function of driver for the project should be taken on by the two powers whose achievements in space exploration can never be disputed by anyone: the United States and Russia.

I sincerely hope that this conference in Texas will be another step toward the future cooperation of our countries in mastering outer space and ensuring the security of our planet.

Franklin Roosevelt's Credit Budgeting

by Michael Kirsch

This is Part III of a lecture given on July 29, 2013, available [here](#). Click www.larouchepac/node/29274 for Part IV.

In Franklin Roosevelt's budget addresses of 1934-40, he is presenting the true understanding of debt upon which the Bank of the United States operated. And it becomes clear that Roosevelt generated increased productivity through that correct understanding.

So what does he do? As Hamilton did, when he became the veritable financier of the government, in the middle of the Revolutionary War or, as Alexander Dallas said when he came in as Treasury Secretary under James Madison, Roosevelt said the chief issue is restoring public credit. In his Jan. 3, 1934 budget address:

I have outlined the steps taken since last March for the resumption of normal activities and the restoration of the credit of the Government. Of necessity these many measures have caused spending by the Government far in excess of the income of the Government.

The results of expenditures already made show themselves in concrete form in better prices for farm commodities, in renewed business activity, in increased employment, in re-opening of and restored confidence in banks, and in well-organized relief.

Tax receipts were way down in 1932 and 1933 because the government had taken a hands-off approach during Herbert Hoover's Administration (1929-33). Therefore, even normal functions of the government could not be carried out without creating a mounting deficit. We had to borrow a lot more than our revenues. But, we saw, now, by using the credit institutions, we had better prices for farm commodities, renewed business activity, increased employment, and so forth.

FDR continues, characterizing it as follows:

This excess of expenditures over revenues amounting to over 9 billion dollars during 2 fiscal years has been rendered necessary to bring

the country to a sound condition after the unexampled crisis which we encountered last spring. It is a large amount, but the immeasurable benefits justify the cost.

It is by laying a foundation of confidence in the present and faith in the future that the upturn which we have so far seen will become cumulative. The cornerstone of this foundation is the good credit of the Government.

We would restore the public credit by creating a real physical surplus, which is the idea of a productivity budget, rather than monetary budget. And he concludes that address as follows:

If we maintain the course I have outlined, we can confidently look forward to cumulative beneficial forces represented by increased volume of business, more general profit, greater employment, a diminution of relief expenditures, larger governmental receipts and repayments, and greater human happiness.

Under Hoover: Higher Taxes; Reduced Revenues

Later, in his Jan. 3, 1936 budget address, he comes back to this same theme, saying that in 1933, in spite of huge tax increases by Hoover, "federal tax receipts had fallen to such a low level that even normal expenses of Government could not be carried on without creating a mounting deficit." He continues:

The national policy which we then adopted sought to stop the downward economic spiral by taking simultaneous action along a dozen fronts. The chief objectives were: To make bank deposits secure, to save farms and homes from foreclosure, to start public works on a large scale, to encourage home building, to increase farm crop values, to give useful work instead of a dole to the needy unemployed, to reduce all interest rates, to increase foreign trade in both exports and imports, to extend Government credit to railroads



Following the example of Hamilton, FDR reestablished the principle of public credit. "It is by laying a foundation of confidence in the present and faith in the future that the upturn which we have so far seen will become cumulative."

and other privately owned activities, to reduce unsound and generally disastrous speculation, to eliminate starvation wages, to seek a higher level of values, and then to maintain those values.

And, what he ends up saying in that 1936 budget address, is you start to see these increased tax receipts come in from all of the the economic activity that is going on, due to the increased spending. And he continues to make the point throughout. Let it be remembered, he said, I want to make a point that what we are seeing coming now is not from a few taxes: "I emphasize that the great bulk of increased Government income referred to above results from increased earning power and profits throughout the Nation and not from the new taxes imposed by the Revenue Act of 1935."

There were some new taxes imposed, but he is pointing out from the budget, that it was through the increasing earning power and profits that generated the increase in tax receipts. And, he also points out, that while a great increase of expenditures of the government was required by this crisis, a lot of it was in the form of loans, which would ultimately return to the Treasury—loans through the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and other lending institutions that were going to come back.

He concludes the 1936 address, saying:

The finances of the Government are in better condition than at any time in the past seven years. I say this because starting with the autumn

of 1929, tax receipts began a steady and alarming decline while, at the same time, Government expenditures began a steady rise; today, tax receipts are continuing a steady climb which commenced in the summer of 1933, whereas Budget estimates for the next fiscal year will show a decreased need for appropriations.

"Our policy is succeeding. The figures prove it. Secure in the knowledge that steadily decreasing deficits will turn in time into steadily increasing surpluses, and that it is the deficit of today which is making possible the surplus of tomorrow, let us pursue the course that we have mapped.

It is pretty elementary. He is borrowing from the public; he is borrowing from those banks which he has reorganized with Glass-Steagall, but the borrowed funds are going toward things that are definitely going to increase the productivity of the country. That should be an elementary idea. But today, people have become stupid: *They are thinking about a debt as an object*, unconnected, completely in a vacuum. They are not looking at the fact that the government has to appropriate things as in order to generate increased wealth.

And, people rail against taxation, while at the same time they are all for Wall Street's control of the economy, which acts itself as a tax on the people in every single transaction that occurs, through their their rigging, their speculation, and even the outright buying and hoarding of raw materials, of which JP Morgan was found guilty.

Budget Cuts and the 1937 Recession

In his Jan. 3, 1940 budget address, FDR reviews what happened to the progress that he spoke of in his 1936 address. He points out in 1940, looking back, that some people were eager to keep cutting the budget, which was the chief cause of the 1937 recession, in the course of making some other very important points.

He writes: "In the early thirties—prior to 1933—fiscal policy was exceedingly simple in theory and extraordinarily disastrous in practice. It consisted in trying to keep expenditures as low as possible in the face of shrinking national income." Sound familiar? "Persistence in this attempt came near to bankrupting both our people and our Government." And that was the "simple machine" policy of Andrew Jackson. Roosevelt continues:

Following 1933, the fiscal policy of the Govern-

ment was more realistically adapted to the needs of the people. All about were idle men, idle factories, and idle funds, and yet the people were in desperate need of more goods than they had the purchasing power to acquire.

People were out holding money, banks were doing nothing, because they had not been reorganized yet. They were sitting with real wealth, but they also had ballooned speculative wealth, and the actual wealth that banks had through the savings of people and larger productive entities could have been put into use, but had to first be reorganized.

He continues:

The Government deliberately set itself to correct these conditions by borrowing idle funds to put idle men and idle factories to work. The deliberate use of Government funds and of Government credit to energize private enterprise ... had a profound effect both on Government and on private incomes.

...The national income in four years rose 69 percent from 42 billion dollars in 1933 to 72 billion dollars in 1937, the largest absolute rise for

any four-year period in our history, not even excepting the rise during the World War.

And then he says, as mentioned above, that unfortunately, some people wanted to balance the budget, so they started pulling back the whole system that was being created.

Rapid progress was made toward a balanced budget. By the calendar year 1937 excess of Government cash outgo over Government cash income had dropped to 331 million dollars. Unfortunately, just at the time when it seemed that the Federal Government would be able safely to balance its budget on the basis of a national income of approximately 75 billion dollars, maladjustments in the economic system began to appear and caused a recession in economic activity. The recession was due to a variety of causes stemming in the main from over-optimism which led the Government to curtail its net expenditures too abruptly, and business to expand production and raise prices too sharply for consumers' purchasing power to keep pace. A large volume of unsold goods piled up.

He concludes with a brilliant statement, attacking the false view of debt which was holding back progress and was behind the assumptions, while providing the clear concept that was coincident to the actual increases of productivity that were generated. He writes:

Debt, whether individual, corporate, or governmental, cannot be judged in a vacuum; it must be considered in light of earnings, assets, and credit standing. When the increase in the national debt is viewed against the background of what was accomplished by the growth of useful physical assets, and of effective national earning power, and by the strengthening of the Nation's credit and morale, there is no economic ground for anxiety, so far as the national debt is concerned, as to the Nation's future. And if our citizens understand the capacity of the Nation to produce increased national income and act thereon with all possible faith and practical energy, they will be in a position to anticipate balanced budgets without curtailing essential social programs.

REVIVE GLASS-STEAGALL Now!



LaRouchePAC is now leading a nationwide effort to push through legislation for Glass-Steagall (www.larouchepac.com).

"The point is, we need Glass-Steagall immediately. We need it because that's our only insurance to save the nation.... Get Glass-Steagall in, and we can work our way to solve the other things that need to be cleaned up. If we don't get Glass-Steagall in first, we're in a mess!"
—Lyndon LaRouche, Feb. 11, 2013

WATCH the LaRouchePAC video:

'Glass-Steagall: Signing a Revolution'

SUBSCRIBE to EIR Online

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

toll-free: 1-800-278-3135

e-mail: fulfullment@larouchepub.com

Fracking: An Anglo-Dutch Policy To Destroy the U.S.

by Benjamin Deniston

All three major river basins of the western United States, the “breadbaskets” of the country, are undergoing year upon year of extreme drought conditions, threatening supplies of water for drinking, irrigation, and other essential uses. Yet the Anglo-Dutch empire and its associated oil companies are using up voluminous quantities of water in the technology of hydraulic fracturing, or “fracking,” to access shale oil and gas—further devastating the agricultural landscape. This policy has the full support of President Obama.

In the first of a series of broadcasts on this subject, the LaRouchePAC TV “New Paradigm for Mankind Weekly Report” on Feb. 19 detailed the effects of this policy, while posing the crucial alternative: the proposed North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA XXI) program. Moderator Michael Kirsch described this as “a contrast between a policy which is going to accelerate the depletion of all the resources on which this country depends, versus a policy which would augment resources for the foreseeable future for the country.”

Ben Deniston of the LaRouchePAC Science Team introduced his report by stressing that two Congressional campaigns by LaRouche Democrats are leading the policy fight for NAWAPA in the stricken Western region. “Michael Steger just announced his campaign in San Francisco, taking the front in California; and Kesha Rogers is running for Senate in Texas. Between these two leading candidates, we have an area from Califor-

nia to Texas that’s currently suffering a major crisis, but can become the basis for a driver program to save the U.S. economy.”

The following are excerpts from Deniston’s report. The full video is at <http://larouchepac.com/node/29901>

I want to present the situation with the water crisis and show that President Obama is acting on the behalf of the British Empire, the British monarchy, with the policy to depopulate and destroy the United States.... We have an area from California to Texas which is currently suffering a major crisis.

California: 95% of the state is in drought. This is the worst drought since they’ve been keeping records, so, 113 years. Other recent studies have shown, based upon looking at tree-ring sizes—where they can look back much further by this proxy data, and see what kind of conditions these trees were experiencing hundreds of years ago—they say it might be the worst drought in 500 years. As far as we know, at least as far back as we have records, this is the worst we’ve had.

Now, California produces one-third of the produce in the entire country, so this is not just a local, California crisis, but a threat to the national food supply, which is already in grave danger. And you’ve already had it announced, that 500,000 acres of productive farmland in the Central Valley are not going to be planted this year because of the drought.

Texas: In October of last year, there was a water

report put out on the Texas situation.¹ They're now saying that 26% of Texas community water systems are either under voluntary or mandatory water restrictions. That's one out of four of the community water systems in Texas! The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality released some figures, and they said that 46 of the state's public water systems could be out of water completely, within 180 days. So 46 of the regional community water systems could be dry within half a year; seven Texas communities could be out of water in 45 days. And we've already seen, since 2011, two central Texas cities *have* run out of water: Spicewood Beach and Barnhart, two small communities in central Texas, which are now out of water, and they're in a desperate situation.

This is just a quick synopsis of the threat we're seeing with the water crisis.

Obama's Record

What's Obama doing in this context? He's accelerating this insane policy of hydraulic fracturing, or "fracking," on behalf of the Queen and her interests.


This is a program where they use an excessive amount of water to try to get difficult-to-access natural gas and oil deposits, deep down in the Earth. They bring in water, pump it under the ground at high pressure, break apart the rock structure underground, to allow the gas and oil to be extracted. It's a very energy-intensive, and a very water-intensive process.

A report just came out from a group in Boston,² showing that 50% of the regions where fracking is oc-

1. Susan Combs, Texas Comptroller, *Texas Water Report: Going Deeper for the Solution*, January 2014.

2. *Hydraulic Fracturing & Water Stress: Water Demand by the Numbers*, Boston, Mass., February 2014. Ceres.org

FIGURE 1
Short on Supply: Urban Areas at Medium or High Vulnerability for Limited Water




American Geophysical Union; Texas Water Report, January 2014

Some of the largest urban centers in Texas are vulnerable to water shortages. A University of Florida report ranked daily per-capita water availability for 225 large urban areas across the U.S. Of the cities reviewed, San Antonio ranked last, or most vulnerable, and El Paso ranked as 10th-worst. State Water Plan projections show that many of these urban areas in Texas will continue to experience rapid growth. Denton-Lewisville's population, for instance, is expected to more than triple by 2050.

curing across the United States, are in severe water stress! So, you have a water crisis, and then you have a policy being pushed by Obama, where they're taking water, half of which is in regions where they're already short of water, and then pumping it into the ground in a crazy attempt to try and pull out natural gas and oil.

This has been accelerated by Obama: In 2008, when Obama came in, only 11% of natural gas production was from fracking; from this process of pumping water under the ground, totally wasting this water, to try and pull out a little more gas. So it was 11% when Obama came in; by 2010, it was 27%. So in two years it more than doubled. And the expectations are that at this rate, by 2015, up to 45% of all natural gas production in the

FIGURE 2
Lower 48 States Shale Plays



Energy Information Administration based on data from various published studies, May 9, 2011

United States will be under this fracking policy.

Obama is praising this as a great program. He made it a huge feature in his 2012 State of the Union speech, saying that the United States is going to be the new Saudi Arabia or something like that. Now, given Obama's support for Saudi terrorism, I don't know if he has other things in mind when he says that, exactly, but—

And I think it's useful to point out that some of the environmentalist support base of Obama is really freaking out around this; it's worthwhile to point out some of the ironies here. I just saw a short article on ThinkProgress.com, which came out in August last year; there's a big fight, because Obama's trying to open up all the Federally owned lands, to make them available for fracking, to push the boom even further. And all these people who got tricked into supporting him, thinking he's this great, progressive, environmentally concerned President—all these people are now freak-

ing out because he's pushing this policy. And not only is he pushing the policy, but he's using the EPA to cover up actual environmental concerns about this.

The [ThinkProgress](#) article cites a couple of reports, one in the *Dallas Star* in Texas, where the EPA reversed its investigations that were looking at how methane was seeping into the water supply, people's drinking water, and water they're using, and how in the fracking process these gases are spreading throughout the water table, because they're breaking apart the rock structure that held the gases and the water table separate. And you have cases where people literally, in different areas of fracking around the nation, they'll turn on the tap, and they can light their faucet water on fire, because of the methane and natural gas that's now seeped into the water system!

So there is a real concern there, but Obama's using the EPA to actually cover up these concerns.

There's another report, that EPA whistleblowers are now saying that top Obama Administration officials have censored investigations into these concerns in Wyoming and other places.

So people should get that there's a real irony here: Here's Obama's using the EPA to claim that plant food, CO₂, is a great pollutant, and he's using the EPA to cover up actual serious concerns about the effects of fracking.

And at the same time, they're now promoting the development of a natural gas export facility in Texas: They're destroying the U.S. water supply and land, to pull out this gas, and then they want to ship it out through Texas to other places. And I just have to add the insanity of this: that one of the big places they want to sell it to is Japan! Just think about the insanity of this top-down policy: Japan shuts down their nuclear power plants. They had the most energy-dense source of electricity—the amount of electricity you get per unit mass of fuel from nuclear power is way beyond anything else we have available—and they shut it down. And we're going to destroy our water supply to pull some bits of natural gas out of the ground in the United States, liquefy it, put it on a tanker, ship it halfway around the world, bring it into Japan, so they can burn it to get electricity. When they have nuclear plants right there, that they could just bring into operation. So the whole thing is just . . . nuts!

The Anglo-Dutch Empire

But the point is, Obama is operating as a total stooge of the British Empire, because the biggest proponents of this policy are companies like British Petroleum (BP) and Royal Dutch Shell. You've had the CEOs of both BP and Royal Dutch Shell praising Obama for his great insight and leadership, in leading the fracking boom in the United States. The CEO of Shell was in Texas, in 2012, showering praise on Obama's foresight for the natural gas revolution in the United States, the shale revolution in the United States, under Obama's leadership.

For people who don't know, this *is* the Empire. With Royal Dutch Shell, you're talking about a company that was consolidated at the beginning of the last century out of British and Dutch petroleum interests. The first CEO of Shell was a guy named Henri Deterding; he founded the Dutch branch, and was the CEO of the Dutch branch for 36 years, from 1900 to 1936. He was also infamous as an avid backer of the Adolf Hitler regime, part of this Anglo-Dutch apparatus that put

Hitler into power and supported him.

And when Hitler fell, and the empire started creating the environmentalist movement throughout the 1950s, '60s, and '70s, again Shell played a critical role. The fathers of the environmentalist movement are people like Prince Bernhard, a former card-carrying Nazi, Prince of the Netherlands. When he resigned from the Nazi Party, his signed his resignation "Heil Hitler," in the 1930s. He was one of the main founders of the environmentalist movement, founder of the World Wildlife Fund, working with Prince Philip, the Consort to the Queen of England.

Prince Bernhard, after they founded the World Wildlife Fund, headed it for the first term. Then he handpicked the former CEO of Shell, John Loudoun, to be the next head of the World Wildlife Fund, starting in 1977. And Shell has been a key instrument in promoting the environmental fraud on behalf of the British Empire's explicit depopulation policy, the genocide policy that they're pushing in the name of "environmentalism."

Shell has also been one of the biggest pushers of the cap-and-trade, carbon-trading scheme, to force developing nations to pay the Empire to release carbon emissions. So this is total insanity. . . .

Royal Dutch Shell/ Big Oil Frack The U.S. into Ruin

by Marcia Merry Baker

Feb. 24—Under the energy policies of George W. Bush and Barack Obama, the United States' nuclear power commitment has been subverted, in favor of drastically lowering the energy mode. The country is being physically and morally devastated by the shale boom—from hydraulic fracturing (fracking)—and killer biofuels; and by the anti-coal campaign, not to mention insane promotion of solar and wind power. This madness occurs in service of the Wall Street/London imperial financial networks; it is led by legendary, infamous names, including Royal Dutch Shell, Chevron, Halliburton, and Schlumberger, as well as lesser known, but

equally deadly players, such as Chesapeake, EOG, and Anadarko.

The new Big Empire energy boom apparatus has succeeded in overrunning the United States, to the point that, as of Fall 2013, the U.S. surpassed Saudi Arabia, to become the world's biggest producer of liquid fuel resources. On Oct. 15, 2013, Reuters covered this milestone, quoting the U.S. energy consultancy PIRA: "The United States has overtaken Saudi Arabia... U.S. output, which includes natural gas liquids and bio-fuels, has swelled 3.2 million barrels per day (bpd) since 2009, the fastest expansion in production

over a four-year period since a surge in Saudi Arabia's output from 1970 to 1974. It was the latest milestone for the U.S. oil sector caused by the shale revolution, which has upended global oil trade...."

The breakthroughs in shale gas and oil extraction technologies, in themselves, are advanced, such as horizontal drilling and fracking; but the energy policy involved is evil.


Driving the U.S. oil and gas production surge were thousands of new fracking wells put in over this period. Nearly half of them were done in water-short areas (January 2011 to May 2013, according to Ceres.org). Along with this came various Federal approvals for logistics demands by Wall Street and the City of London. For example, for port installations to provide for liquefied natural gas, to open up a new era of U.S. world exports. Obama has given approval to LNG ports.

In 2012, Royal Dutch Shell CEO Peter Voser laid on the praise for Obama's foresight on the "natural gas revolution" and "shale gale" that was underway to make the U.S. the "new Saudi Arabia." On a visit to Texas, Voser announced that Shell was going to pump more gas than oil that year, worldwide, for the first time in its long corporate history. Since then, the company has done so, though seeking a higher rate of loot on new shale ventures outside the United States.

Obama: 'You Can Keep Your Doctor'

President Obama has basked in his service-to-the-empire glory, claiming personal success for the London

FIGURE 1
U.S. Exports of Propane and Propylene
(Thousand Barrels per Day)



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration

energy policy that is destroying the United States. On his Nov. 16, 2013 Weekly Radio Address, titled, ironically, "Taking Control of America's Energy Future," he said:

"Shortly after I took office, we invested in new American technologies to reverse our dependence on foreign oil and double our wind and solar power. And today, we generate more renewable energy than ever, with tens of thousands of good American jobs to show for it. We produce more natural gas than anyone, and nearly everyone's energy bill is lower because of it. And just this week, we learned that for the first time in nearly two decades, the United States of America now produces more of our own oil here at home than we buy from other countries."

In reality, just like his infamous and hollow "you can keep your doctor under Obamacare" promise, Obama's claim that your "energy bill is lower" is a mockery.

Look at the case of propane:

Millions of Americans are now socked by shortages and hyper-prices for liquid propane (LP), because of soaring exports under Obama's Royal Dutch "success story." Monthly export volumes soared from 20,000 barrels (propane and propylene) in November 2008, to 410,000 in the same month of 2013.

The Midwest, the South, and New England are hit hard by severe shortages. Retail prices have soared from the range of \$2 per gallon, to up to \$5, if you can get it at any price, for farms, homes, schools, and other users.

Glass-Steagall Drive Spreads Nationwide

Special to EIR

Feb. 24—Three new memorial resolutions for Glass-Steagall were introduced into state legislative bodies over the last two weeks, bringing the total number of states in which such memorials have been filed during 2014 to 10. The pattern shows a substantial increase in the number of sponsors, and breakthroughs into new states which had not been among the 25 that saw resolutions introduced in 2013.

The motion reflects the fact that state legislators are not insulated (as Members of Congress tend to be) from the worsening conditions afflicting their constituencies, who are not buying any of the official propaganda about a “recovery” of the U.S. economy, now supposedly in its fifth year. Rage at Wall Street is growing across the United States—and LaRouchePAC organizers have made it clear that the way to stop Wall Street’s looting is to enact President Franklin Roosevelt’s 1933 Glass-Steagall law once again.

In fact, the urgency for the reinstatement of Glass-Steagall, which was killed in 1999, is even greater than most of the legislators realize. The Wall Street/London-centered banks which caused the 2008 crash to begin with, have not only *not* reformed, but have escalated their binges of speculation to create ever-more-unpayable debts, along with the looting of living standards. *All the major trans-Atlantic banks are bankrupt and scrambling to survive*—which is why not only are the banks fighting Glass-Steagall’s reinstatement tooth and nail, but the London royal oligarchy is pushing for confrontation with Russia, even potentially to the point of thermonuclear war.

The States in Action

Over the last two weeks, memorials for Glass-Steagall have been introduced in three more states: Kentucky, West Virginia, and Massachusetts. In Maryland, where a House resolution (HJR 8) had been put in on Feb. 6, with 50 sponsors, a companion bill (SJ8) was introduced into the Senate on Feb. 11. The official synopsis describes it as “Urging the United States Con-

gress to support efforts to reinstate the separation of commercial and investment banking functions in effect under the Glass-Steagall Act and to support H.R. 129.” The Senate bill has six sponsors, all Democrats from Prince Georges County or Baltimore City/County.

On Feb. 12, Democratic State Senator Perry Clark introduced SCR 149 in the Kentucky State Senate, a resolution which calls on Congress to reinstate Glass-Steagall.

That same week, in West Virginia, an updated, bipartisan resolution, “Respectfully urging Congress to reenact provisions of the Glass-Steagall Banking Act,” was introduced by Rep. Mike Manypenny, with 49 co-sponsors, 37 Democrats and 12 Republicans. By comparison, last year’s resolution had 32 co-sponsors. Five of last year’s signers did not sign on this time, and one has left office, meaning that there are 23 new names. The number of Republicans has more than doubled. The House is controlled by the Democrats (as are the Senate, and top statewide offices). Of the Democratic House leadership, three of the four top officers are co-sponsors (Speaker pro-Tempore, Majority Leader, and Majority Whip), as well as six of the ten Assistant Majority Whips.

The tenth state to consider a Glass-Steagall memorial is Massachusetts, where S 1824, dubbed the “Millbury’s Citizens’ Petition, Resolution Urging Congress to Enact Glass-Steagall,” will be heard at the Massachusetts State House Joint Committee on Financial Services on Feb. 26. All Massachusetts citizens are invited to attend.

This resolution, [S 1824](#), was first passed at a Millbury town meeting, by a gathering of 500 citizens lead by activist Terry Dotson (now a candidate for State Representative) early last Summer, and forwarded to the Statehouse by her local State Representatives. During the Fall, the chairs of the respective Rules Committees of the Senate and the House cleared it for consideration by the legislature at large.

A Glass-Steagall resolution passed in Massachusetts would carry tremendous weight, but even an honest debate in the Statehouse on the future of the nation will be extremely important. Citizens are permitted to make three-minute statements, and submit written testimony to the open hearing.

A Non-Partisan Issue

As in 2013, the pattern of support for reinstating Glass-Steagall crosses party, and even class, lines. On



YouTube

Of all people! Billionaire speculator Carl Icahn, on Feb. 11, called for a return to Glass-Steagall, in a TV interview: “A lot of my friends at these investment banks are going to be real mad at me for saying it, but I really think that was one of the problems in ‘08.”

what other issue could you get former Clinton Labor Secretary Robert Reich and billionaire takeover artist Carl Icahn to agree?

Reich, of course, has been a longtime, if pessimistic, supporter of Glass-Steagall. But then on Feb. 11, Icahn, a well-known, veteran investor and speculator in corporate equity and debt, called for the restoration of the Glass-Steagall Act, in a televised interview with Fox Business News.

“I think what they should do is go back to Glass-Steagall,” Icahn said. “A lot of my friends at these investment banks are going to be real mad at me for saying it, but I really think that was one of the problems in ‘08.”

Icahn is not the only top-level financier to support a return to Glass-Steagall. Others are the self-described slayer of Glass-Steagall Sandy Weill, and former Citibank Chairman John Reed, and, perhaps most notably, the City of London mouthpiece, the *Financial Times*.

What the Repeal Did

While the Obama Administration and top Wall Street spokesmen deny it, it has been thoroughly documented that Glass-Steagall’s repeal in 1999—a repeal taken by President Bill Clinton under the pressures put on him by the British intelligence operation aimed at destroying his Presidency through a sham impeach-

ment—was an absolutely crucial step in causing the crash of 2007-08. The famous Angelides Report of January 2011, among others, demonstrated how the bill that was passed to kill Glass-Steagall, Gramm-Leach-Bliley, eliminated the last barriers to a level of speculation which led to the devastating crash.

In a lengthy article in *Rolling Stone* Feb. 12, Matt Taibbi amplified the picture of what Gramm-Leach-Bliley did, showing that the legislation contained a number of hidden “time bombs” which have since gone off, and destroyed the availability of essential commodities such as aluminum, electricity, oil still in the ground, the tankers that move it across the sea, the refineries that turn it into fuel, the pipelines that deliver it; and zinc, copper, tin, nickel, natural gas, and precious metals. Taibbi called Gramm-Leach-Bliley “one of the most transformative laws in the history of our economy—a law that would make possible a broader concen-

tration of financial and industrial power than we’ve seen in more than a century.”

The “explosive” part of Gramm-Leach-Bliley was that it legalized new forms of monopoly. The law also contained a provision which permitted commercial banks to go into any activity that is “complementary to a financial activity and does not pose a substantial risk to the safety or soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally,” Taibbi says, and quotes University of North Carolina Law Prof. Saule Omarova, who says that from the standpoint of the banks, “pretty much everything is considered complementary to a financial activity.”

Banks that owned chains of business interests have been caught rigging prices in those industries; e.g., JPMorgan Chase and Barclays have been fined \$400 million for allegedly manipulating the delivery of electricity in California and elsewhere.

Another time bomb introduced by Gramm-Leach-Bliley was its “grandfather clause,” which said that any company that became a bank holding company after the passage of the law in 1999 could engage in, or control shares of a company engaged in commodities trading. No one is clear on what this “grandfather” clause means; e.g., in 2012, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York—the most powerful branch of the Fed, and primary regulator of these matters—wrote, “The legal scope of the exemption is widely seen as ambiguous.”

A MATTER OF A WEEK, OR SO?:

The End of War; Or, the End of Man?

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

February 18, 2014

If the British Empire, together with the Obama Administration, were not brought under “adult supervision” within a matter of, as little as a week, or so, the overwhelming, if not absolutely certain prospect, would probably be extermination of the human species, probably as early as not much later than early March of this year.

In this case, the practical issue is not merely casus belli, as such; the immediate issue, is: the immediate source of this threat of general bankruptcies of the British empire and its Wall Street appendages. The shift from “bail-out,” to the imminently fatal effects of “bail-in” is the actual root of the present timing of this crisis.

This has brought the British-Empire-dominated, trans-Atlantic region of the planet to a virtual certainty of extinction of its own system, unless the Eurasian part of this planet would “cave in” to being looted, like a virtual corpse—unless the London-Wall Street predators are enabled to loot “outside resources.” That is to say: the Eurasian world. Either way: time is running out for the British empire, and, therefore, also, incidentally, for its puppet, Barack Obama.

Probably, the early impeachment of Barack Obama might stop the war-drive; nothing short of such relief would be sufficient. The world as a whole is presently lodged within a true Zeus-Prometheus conflict: a con-

dition comparable, in characteristics, to the Fall of the Roman Empire, and a similar fate now threatening another Zeusian folly: the Roman-empire which is now the model, explicitly, for the virtually global British empire of the present time. Either way, Wall Street is deservedly doomed!

Therefore, let us speak of measures of prevention. It’s your own last chance—and, that now coming up very, very fast! The British and Wall Street shekels are now made of papier-mâché, and they will burn well, and wide, if money has its present way.

This is, perhaps, your best last chance to understand the principles of economic and other forecasting. Either way, the evil Zeus is, finally, soon dead. Money, after all, really, never had any intrinsic value.

I do not speak of profit, but of reason

The manifest intention of the purpose of the existence of mankind on Earth, is the existence and development of the human species to a degree of what must be presently considered as, implicitly, the goal of a higher reason. That does not make it anything less! Against the background of the principle of life-as-such, human life surpasses all the evidence of which we are presently enabled to know. Everything else, were nothing but the vanity of hopeless, or, even worse, fools!

The Creator has provided us with a certain sign of



From Goya's "Disasters of War" (1810-20), Plate 3 "Lo Mismo" ("The Same"). The series of etchings depicts the horrors of the Napoleonic occupation of Spain. Goya visited many battle sites around Madrid to witness the Spanish resistance, in what he described as "el desmembramiento d'España."

His pleasure in our existence; it were best, to pursue that implied warning. We have been given, this far, this much. Time is running out.

The characteristic of human life, intrinsically, is the human species' remarkable achievement, in contrast to all other species presently known to us. The achievement is to be identified, for purposes of known physical science, as what we properly identify as the human individual's voluntary creative powers: the which no other known living creature (known to us this far) has ever achieved. We are, to that extent, to be recognized as a unique force within our presently known parts of our universe.

What we might name (on account of our present ignorance) as the evolutionary magic of "chemistry" (as a process, not a mere thing). Name it "*the noëtic principle*" which is specific (*insofar as we presently know it*) to human life. It is that noëtic principle of the human individual (*insofar as we know it*), which is the principle of known life in general, but that, most clearly, specific to the noëtic quality specific to human life: in the relatively highest sense of the matter.

The issue thus posed to practice, is the conflict between what is rightly considered the Satanic principle of the legendary Zeus, as counterposed to the human

principle: that latter which has been associated with these attributes of the legendary Prometheus.

However, the most specific quality of distinction of the human mind from that of the beasts of all kinds, is to be located as a quality of immortality specific (to our present knowledge) in the following curious fact: that the meaning of human life lies beyond any mortal expression of the assigned mission of creativity as such. It lies in those transcendent qualities of human existence which we may identify, for convenience, here, as the practices of Classical art and Classical science.

Essentially, truth be known, Classical art and science are a single conception: the conception of the creative (i.e., noëtic) aspect of human life *per se*; they can not be separated in practice from one another. The most impassioned ex-

pression of this essential fact respecting the existence of the human mind, is, fairly to be identified with the doctrine associated with Jesus Christ and his leading Apostles, notably the broadly inspired follower, along the Damascus Road, the Apostle Paul.

Man, thus, realizes himself in death: in what he has created for the future destiny of that mysterious mission which continues the meaning of the life of the human individual, when that life in the flesh is no more. This fact is realized in that aspect of the passion of the great Classical artist and physical-scientist, alike, such as the cases of our modern Filippo Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa, and of the greatest composers of art, such as the followers of Johann Sebastian Bach, for example. When any among these were deceased, what remains for us is the noëtic principle which they have evoked for many generations of mankind yet to come.

The intrinsic evil of Zeus, and of his followers, such as the Roman and British empires, alike, and the Wall Street gangs and their British like, presently: is their wont for destruction of all that which is essentially sacred: the intrinsically noëtic principle of the human mind. Evil is the expression of the modern Zeusians: that of the ancient Roman Empire and its rebirth as the empire of the British monarchy, and Britain's Wall

Street instrument and the treason which it has spread so often, and so far among us, for example!

These considerations, which I have just broadly defined here, this far, bear upon the existence of a certain universal principle: not a thing, but a principle (such underlies the principle of life itself). It is those persons who “discover” such provable principles in an original way, who have earned the highest ranks within humanity: in the discovery of a truly universal physical principle. It is the passion embodied in such principles which partakes of the actual quality of human immortality.

The Principles Which I Have Known:

The human apparatus enables us to adduce the principles which I have just outlined, heretofore, in this location. That has been done, and can be done again, in an, appropriately, indirect way. Such qualities, when they are appropriately recognized, have a more ironical implication: they are not objects as such; but, their efficiency can not be competently denied. These are, therefore, properly denoted as universal principles, rather than merely deductions. These discoveries envelop us with the efficiency of their power: as all truly discovered universal, and truly physical principles of science do, for example.

The most remarkable feature of those qualities, is that they, as principles, transcend successive generations of human experience, and do that with a power whose sheer tenacity bespeaks a quality of an immortal force of human destiny.

Jeanne d’Arc’s Passion

This points out to us, the great lie of the Zeusian tribes—the Roman empire and its British echo, for example—as a principle of evil, still today. They are to be likened to those Normans who baked Jeanne d’Arc to death, following her torture by those Satanic creatures in perpetual infamy actually to be known as Norman “Christian” beasts of the clergy, or, today’s Wall Street and its own bestial tribes.

They, that clergy included, had denied Jeanne women’s clothes, and then mocked her, and blamed her for what they, alone, had done. They condemned her to death. They baked her to death, in an inferno; then, they had stopped the fire, to see if she were dead. When they had been satisfied that she was, in fact, dead, they had restarted the fire, to destroy the evidence that she had lived. The modern men and women of Wall Street differ little from such monstrosities as those.



The Satanic crime of the murder of Jeanne d’Arc (1431) sent shockwaves through Europe. “From this origin in history, there came the great Renaissance,” LaRouche writes. This French miniature depicts Jeanne in her military garb (ca. 1485).

When the news of this monstrosity against Jeanne d’Arc had reached the Catholic Church’s council, the assembled body shuddered with horror at this Satanic crime of the Norman interests, and shunned the French King who had betrayed her, as that King’s son, Louis XI, had also done, later, in an appropriate manner of use of the powers later entrusted to him.

From this origin in history, there came the great Renaissance which featured such geniuses of modern history and its science as Filippo Brunelleschi and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa: figures on whose work virtuous modern European science and Classical art have depended since.

We have a mission, especially we in our United States, now have a great mission, now set before us. We may eat, or we must die; we must choose, as others

before us have been presented with great choices, before our time.

In a like spirit: now end the terrible depravity to which so many among us have consented for so long!

President Franklin D. Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall tortured Wall Street; this time, Glass-Steagall must complete the job, and, for mercy's sake, for all humanity—now promptly! The human species has a mission within the universe; that mission must be served.

On Barack Obama

President Barack Obama has committed many crimes against the Federal Constitution of our United States. The rate of increase of the number of those crimes he has added to the list, compels the institutions of our Constitutional government to act accordingly.

If he were removed from office, on that account, the benefit of a moral act to remove him from office, would probably terminate the threat of a global thermonuclear holocaust: a holocaust from which probably no one would long survive.

The apparent issue posed by the British empire and its lackeys inside our own United States, is that of war. Any such war, as that now being mustered for warfare between the trans-Atlantic and Eurasian thermonuclear powers, would almost certainly mean the virtual extinction of the human species. An hour and a half of full-throttle combat would mean either immediate extinction of the human species, or a condition of continued life on Earth, which could not be sustained for long. Such a war would be the greatest crime ever perpetrated against both man and also the will of the Creator. The conclusion to be drawn should be obvious to all intelligent and sane persons.

Act accordingly.


The implications of a thermonuclear warfare conducted between the respective Trans-Atlantic and Eurasian powers, would be the greatest crime ever committed on Earth, a crime from which there could be no forgiveness—ever.

The notable feature of this present strife among the nations of the planet, is that the feasibility of warfare as we have known it, has now exhausted all reason for its continued existence. Mankind has reached a point of scientific and related progress within the foreseeable reach of the nearby planetary sector of our Solar system, in which the realization of the prospective powers of mankind now encompass the great power of the Sun itself, as expressed by the application of Helium-3. We

have now virtually touched, and soon achieved, that use of Helium-3 which envisages a power of thermonuclear fusion exerted under control of Earth, beyond anything hitherto known.

Whereas, I foresee no earlier colonial settlements of mankind with even the feasible reach of Mars, for example; man's efficient reach to employ the resources of the relevant, nearer aspects of our Solar system, can be used by mankind, in various ways, to the effect, that the human species is no longer confined in its practical reach to Earth itself. The resources which may be acquired from relatively nearby asteroids, the Moon itself, and other locations, are now becoming opened to us. We, on Earth, have not reached an end, but, that is only a relatively simple beginning of much greater feats to be placed in the pathway of human progress. War, as we have known it, has now out-lived its former meanings. The time for the bestialities of man's pasts, has ended—or, we will, very soon, have ended our own existence.

The issue is that of law—true law. The law which reposes in the specifically, and uniquely noëtic powers specific to the human mind. The only force which we must kill, at last, is Zeus himself (he, otherwise, more commonly known as Satan).



The Sheep of Panurge

A tale of the U.S. Congress and Barack Obama, with a nod to François Rabelais

Feb. 22, 2015—Literary critics are fond of taking aim at Panurge, the dear friend of Rabelais' Pantagruel, as a crafty knave and libertine. Yet, there is much to be learned from this knave, as the following story from February 2014 reveals.

It was at that time, in Washington, D.C., when Panurge encountered a leader of sheep, one Dingdong, whom he immediately understood to be a conniving liar up to no good, constantly bragging of the value of his sheep, his wife, and his own "dingdong," otherwise described by Rabelais as "a fine eleven-inch-long branch of red coral for [his wife's] Christmas-box." Dingdong was herding his sheep through the Halls of the U.S. Congress, while he dictated the laws of the land with no interference whatsoever.

Understand: "Dingdong" was a nickname for the creature inhabiting the White House (otherwise known as Barack Obama), so-named for his long, lanky appearance, and resemblance to the male member. It had been given him by none other than the Queen-Empress, who, lacking such a dingdong herself, had greatly desired to have one at her command. The "sheep," while maintaining a physical resemblance to members of the human species, and often addressed as "members" of Congress, otherwise demonstrated all the characteristics of the ovine class: bleating, sniffing, defecating in public, and otherwise stuffing their faces.

Taking an immediate disliking to the man, Panurge proceeded to bargain with him over purchase of one of the sheep. Dingdong responded with an explosion of rhetoric on the value of his sheep:

"Now I think on't, over all the fields, where they piss, corn grows as fast as if the Lord had pissed there; they need neither be tilled nor dunged. Besides, man, your chymists extract the best salt-

petre in the world out of their urine. Nay, with their very dung (with reverence be it spoken) the doctors in our country make pills that cure seventy-eight kinds of diseases...."

I'll spare you the rest of the speech, in which Dingdong praised other characteristics of the sheep. He then demanded the exorbitant sum of 3 livres.

Panurge paid up—and at that point the story takes the decisive turn. For no sooner did Panurge purchase a fine specimen of a sheep, than "without any further tittle-tattle," he threw it out the window. When the hurtled sheep began to bleat and make a sad noise, however, all of a sudden, something very strange happened. "All the other sheep ... crying and bleating in the same tone, made all the haste they could to leap nimbly" out the window. "It was impossible to hinder them: for you know that it is the nature of sheep always to follow the first, wherever it goes; which makes Aristotle, lib. 9. de hist. animal. mark them for the most silly and foolish animals in the world."

"Dingdong, at his wits' end, and stark staring mad, as a man who saw his sheep destroy ... themselves before his face, strove to hinder and keep them back by might and main; but all in vain: they all one after the other frisked and jumped.... At last he laid hold on a huge sturdy one by the fleece, ... hoping to keep it back, and so to save that and the rest: but the ram was so strong that it proved too hard for him, and carried its master" with it, to his timely end.


Thus were the sacred halls of Congress cleared by the crafty Panurge of both the sheep and their venal master—opening the way for the thorough cleansing of the premises, and their replacement with worthy representatives of the human species, dedicated to the principles of Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness.

May humankind be purged of its sheeplike nature forever!

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360 for one year
 - \$180 for six months
 - \$120 for four months
 - \$90 for three months
 - \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____
Company _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____
Phone (_____) _____
E-mail _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa
 Discover Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____