

# EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

January 7, 2011 Vol. 38, No. 1 [www.larouchepub.com](http://www.larouchepub.com) \$10.00

LPAC Now Brings the World's News!  
Britain Declared War on the U.S.: A Case Study  
Obama Moves To Implement Hitler's T4—By Fiat

**An Election's Terrible After-Taste  
The Global Crisis Now at Hand**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,

Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,

Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey

Steinberg, William Wertz

Editor: Nancy Spannaus

Managing Editors: Bonnie James, Susan Welsh

Science Editor: Marjorie Mazel Hecht

Technology Editor: Marsha Freeman

Book Editor: Katherine Notley

Graphics Editor: Alan Yue

Photo Editor: Stuart Lewis

Circulation Manager: Stanley Ezrol

#### INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg

Economics: John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher

History: Anton Chaitkin

Ibero-America: Dennis Small

Law: Edward Spannaus

Russia and Eastern Europe: Rachel Douglas

United States: Debra Freeman

#### INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: Javier Almaria

Berlin: Rainer Apel

Copenhagen: Tom Gillesberg

Houston: Harley Schlanger

Lima: Sara Madueño

Melbourne: Robert Barwick

Mexico City: Gerardo Castilleja Chávez

New Delhi: Ramtanu Maitra

Paris: Christine Bierre

Stockholm: Hussein Askary

United Nations, N.Y.C.: Leni Rubinstein

Washington, D.C.: William Jones

Wiesbaden: Göran Haglund

#### ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouche.pub.com

www.larouche.pub.com

www.larouche.pub.com/ei

Webmaster: John Sigerson

Assistant Webmaster: George Hollis

Editor, Arabic-language edition: Hussein Askary

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc., 709-A 8th St. SE, Washington, D.C. 20003. (703) 777-9451

**European Headquarters:** E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 1611, D-65006 Wiesbaden, Germany; Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: [eirna@eirna.com](mailto:eirna@eirna.com)

Director: Georg Neudekker

**Montreal, Canada:** 514-855-1699

**Denmark:** EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark. Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: [eirdk@hotmail.com](mailto:eirdk@hotmail.com).

**Mexico City:** EIR, Ave Morelos #60-A, Col Barrio de San Andres, Del. Azcapotzalco, CP 02240, Mexico, DF. Tel: 5318-2301, 1163-9734, 1163-9735.

Copyright: ©2011 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

**Postmaster:** Send all address changes to EIR, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

# EIR

## From the Managing Editor

In this, our first issue of the New Year and the new decade, we deliver an abundance of wisdom from Lyndon LaRouche, not to mention a number of significant reports from his associates. In our *Feature*, “The Terrible After-Taste of a Recent Election: The Global Crisis Now at Hand,” LaRouche takes stock of the current global calamity, and offers the solution, which resides in “the individual creative will”: “Excepting the creativity inherent to the evolution of the universe and of living species, only the willful higher powers of the human mind, as distinct from the functions of sense-perception as such, can provide the factor of human creativity upon which the success of the nation, or continent depends absolutely.”

Next, in *Strategy*, is “After This Day, Comes the End of Your Year: The Day After Christmas,” in which LaRouche emphasizes: “Unless the United States acts, pre-emptively, to re-install that Glass-Steagall law which President Franklin Roosevelt had revived from the original design for national banking under the U.S. Federal Constitution, the chain-reaction disintegration of the economies of the trans-Atlantic system were virtually inevitable.”

And, leading the *Economics* section, is LaRouche’s “The January 1 Deadline: LPAC Now Brings the World’s News!” The most important news, he writes, is that, as of Jan. 1, “President Barack Obama’s world is crashing down, and the world of Obama’s British masters, as well.”

To provide the leadership needed at this crucial time in history, we introduce you to the three new Congressional candidates, in “LaRouche Democratic Candidates’ Slate Vows To Revive America” (see *World News*).

In the *LaRouchePAC Special Report*, “Intrigue: Behind the Duggan & Kronberg Cases; Britain Declares War on the United States,” we turn over a rock and find the strange creatures who make up the *dramatis personae* of the British Crown’s case, *Kronberg vs. LaRouche et al.*

And, as we prepare to post this issue, we are happy to report that our campaign against Obama’s Hitler-T4 policy (see, “Obama To Implement Hitler’s T4 and Fascist Economics—By Fiat”) has hit home: The press is reporting that the Administration has withdrawn its order for end-of-life counseling (aka “death panels”).





## Cover This Week

*Peter Bruegel the Elder's "Mad Meg" (1562): from a Flemish folktale in which a peasant woman leads an army to pillage Hell.*



### 4 An Election's Terrible After-Taste: The Global Crisis Now at Hand

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. The ugly result of the Nov. 2 election was no accident. The cowardly failure of so many among the Democratic members of the Congress since that election, until now, was also no accident. That failure was, essentially, a failure to summon effective resistance to a virtually treasonous posture which had been demanded by a deranged, virtual British puppet, President Barack Obama.

Therefore, a fresh concept, and matching new methods, are urgently needed. LaRouche outlines here, the necessary conception which you must adopt, if you wish to keep the nation fit for human habitation. This will require a commitment to the kind of artistic and scientific creativity characteristic of such figures as Leonardo da Vinci, Albert Einstein, and LaRouche, himself.

## LaRouchePAC Special Report

### 36 Intrigue: Behind the Duggan & Kronberg Cases; Britain Declared War on the United States

In this report, we draw back the curtain, and provide additional details about the people and methods central to the plot behind the British Empire's case of *Kronberg vs. LaRouche et al.* However, it is important to note that both hoaxes—the Duggan and Kronberg cases—remain active only because they are standing operations against LaRouche, who is correctly perceived by the British Imperial Establishment as the Empire's, and its puppet Barack Obama's, major opponent.

## Strategy

### 47 Next, After This Day, Comes the End of Your Year: The Day After Christmas

LaRouche writes that, unless the United States acts pre-emptively to reinstate the Glass-Steagall law of President Franklin Roosevelt, to rid the U.S. economy of the trillions in voracious derivatives and other speculative investments, the chain-reaction disintegration of the economies of the trans-Atlantic system were virtually inevitable.

## Economics

### 52 The January 1 Deadline: LPAC Now Brings the World's News!

It is notable, writes LaRouche, that the world has just received the public announcement of President Barack Obama's Adolf-Hitler-like Executive Order 12333, enacting a virtual carbon copy of the 1939, T4 death-care panel law of Adolf Hitler, the beginning of the program of genocide for which culpable Nazi doctors and others were condemned to death at the Nuremberg trials.

### 55 Obama To Implement Hitler's T4 and Fascist Economics—By Fiat

### 58 Operation 'Euthanasia—Never Again!'

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, president of the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement (BüSo) in Germany, released this statement on Dec. 30.

## World News

### 62 Africa Is Not a British Zoo! Splitting Up Sudan Is Wrong

Dec. 30—The Southern Sudanese will vote on Jan. 9, on whether to secede from Sudan, or remain as part of a united country. If they vote for separation, as expected, the underlying issues between the North and the South will remain unresolved. In fact, the referendum may put Sudan and the region back on the path to war.

### 66 LaRouche Democratic Candidates' Slate Vows To Revive America

Since the Nov. 2 mid-term elections, it has become clear that humanity will not escape a descent into a New Dark Age, unless a new leadership emerges. Now, the newly announced slate of six LaRouche candidates for Congress, is ready to provide that leadership.

### 69 Dave Christie: NAWAPA To Develop U.S.A., South America, Asia

### 69 Bill Roberts: U.S.-Led Development, Not Killer Austerity

### 70 Diane Sare: Oust Obama: Create 4 Million New Jobs!

## Editorial

### 72 Start with Removing Obama

AN ELECTION'S TERRIBLE AFTER-TASTE:

## The Global Crisis Now at Hand

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

December 15, 2010

---

*This report presents and analyzes crucial facts, bearing on those urgently needed, immediate changes in U.S. policy which must be adopted, if we are to equip our republic with those means provided by the intent of our U.S. Federal Constitution, means which must be mustered, now, to prevent the early destruction of our republic by a presently accelerating, hyperinflationary, implicitly global, breakdown-crisis.*

*The principal threat to civilization, at this moment, is the combination of the just established conditions created by the result of the U.S.A.'s November 2nd election. These are the conditions created by the lack of a sense of the presently existing reality among the Democratic Party's Congressional team, then, as after that election, now. Most among those same Democrats continue to refuse to recognize, as do the Republicans generally, that the world now hangs on a condition of global economic breakdown of nations of the trans-Atlantic community generally, a condition broadly comparable to what struck Europe during moments preceding the breakout of the infamous 14th-century "New Dark Age."*

*This immediate state of our national crisis, is the fruit of certain changes planted into U.S. policy and practice, those changes which began to emerge in the immediate aftermath of not only the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, but which were, also, a product of what was a crucial change in U.S. policy at that time: a shift into a direction contrary to what had been the firm intention of that murdered President, and contrary to the advice to him by General Douglas MacArthur.*

*The assassination of President Kennedy in that setting, had triggered a process of change in U.S. policy, from that of the war-avoidance commitments of Kennedy and MacArthur, to what became a virtual decade of needless U.S. warfare in Indo-China, a decade from which the United*



White House/Pete Souza

*The principal threat to civilization, at this moment, writes LaRouche, is from the conditions brought about by the Nov. 2 election: the combination of Nerobama and the newly elected pro-fascist Republicans. Here, Obama (back to camera), with his White House team, in the Oval Office, the day after the election.*

*States as we had known it at that time, has never recovered, to the present day. Since the assassination of President Kennedy, the United States has been drawn, again, and again, and yet again, into a new, colonialist's type of forms of long warfare, which was, chiefly, adventures into which we were lured and trapped by the manipulations of the British empire.*

*Thus, since the assassination of President Kennedy, the policies of long, virtually "colonial" warfare in Asia and Africa, warfare into which, chiefly, sundry British agencies have bullied, or lured our republic, as in the instance of British Prime Minister Tony Blair's fraudulent prompting of a U.S. long war in Iraq. These actions have created a pattern in policies and practice, a pattern which has been the principal contributing factor in plunging our republic into orgies of a presently accelerating process of self-destruction of our nation and its general welfare, all continued since the assassination of President Kennedy, up to the present moment this is written.*

*We have now traveled that same downward pathway in U.S. policy far, far too long. We have gone so far in a downward direction, especially so since August 2007, that we older fellows might now wish to urge our aching bones to crawl, painfully, back uphill in history, hopefully to bring us once more to where President Kennedy's initiatives had carried us in his promotion of highly*

*creative economic policies of machine-tool design, as coupled with the launching of what was to have become the triumphant landing of men on the Moon. We are, therefore, now, a nation ruled, like many other nations of the trans-Atlantic regions, by a two-generations-long descent into a deep-rooted cultural pessimism.*

*Therefore, if these recent conditions are taken duly into account, my first duty in writing here, is, to tell the citizens of our republic to give up their present, self-destructive worship of their own cultural pessimism. To that end, our citizens must be inspired to bring themselves back to behaving as we had done under President Franklin Roosevelt, and act as that President had acted during his first*

*days in office, with such prompt and monumental achievements as to generate the virtually immediate launching of both the Glass-Steagall Act and also, the matching Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA).*

*What our citizens must now consider, most urgently, is the following.*

*The ugly result of the just-past Federal election, was no accident. The cowardly failure of so many among the Democratic members of the Congress since that election, until now, was also no accident. That failure of those fellow-citizens of ours during the relevant weeks immediately prior to the election, was, essentially, a failure to summon effective resistance to a virtually treasonous posture which had been demanded by a deranged, virtual British puppet, President Barack Obama.*

*The Congress's crucial failure to overturn the President's obstacles by an immediate mobilization for the re-enactment of the original Glass-Steagall legislation, has had two, presently most regrettable effects.*

*The President's own contributing part in creating this catastrophe, has been, first, his flagrant betrayal, for whatever motive of his own, of the immediately most urgent interests of our Constitutional republic, and, second, he has greatly magnified the gains of what must be recognized as pro-fascist elements, within the ranks of newly elected Republicans, as such moral disasters as that are presently typified by the case of Kentucky's*



*U.S. Senator-elect Rand Paul.*

*That combination of factors operating within the Legislative and Executive branches, must be considered, as being, at their least worst, the hopefully temporary, but deep and bitter demoralization among an apparent, present majority of a broadly disenheartened general electorate, a sorrow brought upon them by the manifest lack of response to reality from among most of our republic's currently official leadership.*

*Lest our citizens were to continue to sit like useless blobs, either only sullen, or openly griping, we must remind them, that it was the majority of the citizens' own votes cast which rewarded them with such fresh afflictions as the newly elected clones of Dick Armey, clones such as Kentucky's shameful backing for what I have already cited as the election of a Rand Paul whose presently, publicly avowed policies are, as a simple matter of fact, at least for the moment, a murderous fascism of the form descended from the murderous fascism already rooted in the infamous "creative destruction" policies of such as Friedrich Nietzsche, Werner Sombart, and Joseph Schumpeter.*

*Such horrid developments as those were really no surprise.*

*Tendencies toward fascism in the trans-Atlantic region, again, today, were not the only leading factor to be considered in the presently immediate aftermath of that recent election. Ten years have passed under the reign of the evils typified by two terms of President George W. Bush, Jr., as those have been followed by a half-baked term under Barack Obama so far. During the same lapse of time, what had been ten-year olds when President Clinton left office, in 2001, have now reached the age of citizenship in the year 2010. When this matter of generations is considered in the large, that generation of those who have become eligible, recently, for the status of citizens, now represents, by and large, a different cultural outlook than those predecessors who had become citizens prior to or during the time President Clinton was in office.*

*Through relatively little fault of their own, that new stratum of actual or potential voters which reached voter-age since President George W. Bush's first election, has been lured by its own expressions of deeply plunging cultural pessimism, into the likeness of a post-civilized generation, a pessimism fit to match the "wet dreams" of those who had been the activists of the degeneration known, since 1950, as The Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF). The effect of the present, im-*

*plicitly fascist legacy passed down by the CCFers, represents today, a new, deeply habituated cultural depravity within today's young generation, an existentialist depravity, whose outlooks converge on the existentialist plot of Peter Weiss's 1967 **Marat-Sade**, upon a commitment, now expressed as "no jobs, no work," and, therefore, little inclination, or ability to become active members of an actively "civilized" generation. Hence the pattern of suicides among the college-age student population, and also others, during the moral and cultural decline which has accelerated under the Presidents of the recent ten years.*

*In fact, we have the disastrously extensive loss of the role of the leading productive skills which had been formerly associated with the U.S.A. and Europe, a loss typified by the presently dwindling numbers of those still living veterans of World War II, as also from among slightly more than a generation later. These members of society, are now rapidly declining at such a rate that, were we to postpone a return to the mustering of skills associated with high energy-flux-density technology, by as much as a few more years, the possibility of a general economic recovery in North America, as also in Europe, could be postponed until a time generations to come, at best.*

*This presently declining pattern of both dwindling productive aptitudes and desires among our younger citizens, is to be taken as a warning that we must now act to change the direction in our culture, a change toward an emphasis on increasing energy-flux density in the prevalent modes of existence and productivity. Gimmicks of the dubious sort which pass for inclusion among the category of culturally pessimistic forms of "acceptable behavior" today, are worse than useless under the present circumstances of the currently accelerating, general economic decline throughout the trans-Atlantic sector of the planet.*

*A large minority of the world's population, now chiefly Asian, found among the world's leading nations, are tending to reverse the downward trend being experienced in the trans-Atlantic realm. These include China, India, and Korea most prominently, but also a few others which could or would walk the same pathway of progress. These include nations whose populations are also carrying a large ration of those who are yet far from what used to be considered as approximating a European standard of productivity of the sort remembered from prior to the 1968-1971 general downturn in the trans-Atlantic regions of North America*



Creative Commons/Ricardo André Frantz



European history, including its trans-Atlantic extension, has been chiefly divided among three successive types of maritime, monetarist rule: **Ancient** (represental by the Roman Empire, top left), **Medieval** (Venice: the Horses of St. Mark were looted from Constantinople during the Fourth Crusade) and **Modern** (the British Empire: in 1805, the British Navy won a decisive victory in the Battle of Trafalgar, establishing its supremacy on the seas).



## PREFACE

### A Tale of Three Empires

Consider the history of that monetarist form of empire-in-fact which has been commonly called Europe's own version of "European civilization" since the interim period of the reign of Alexander the Great. Consider this in the light of the present moment of an awesome, world-wide, economic breakdown-crisis in the existing order. This is an order whose immediate vulnerability is lodged chiefly within the trans-Atlantic community, but even the greatest and the most advanced of the Asian sector of the world could not long escape the presently oncoming, initial collapse of the trans-Atlantic system.

and western and central Europe. So, even the Asian nations inclined to increased emphasis on nuclear- and thermonuclear-powered technologies, could not presently resist the effect on them from a presently imminent, suddenly catastrophic breakdown-crisis now striking down the present trans-Atlantic region of the planet.

Therefore, a fresh concept, and matching new methods, are urgently needed. I proceed here, now, to outline the necessary conception which you must adopt, if you are willingly competent in the matter of keeping a nation fit for human habitation in the framework of the presently accessible level of human productivity per capita and per square kilometer.

European history, including the trans-Atlantic extension of European cultures, has been chiefly divided, so far, among three successive, readily named, categorical parts of an essentially *maritime* set of types of *monetarist* rule: **ancient**, **medieval**, and **modern** types.

Each of these three has differed qualitatively from the others in essential characteristics as categorical types; but, each encounters its own peculiar doom, in what takes the imperialist form which is inherently specific to all specifically European models of monetarist systems as such. Each from among such systems, were each prone to its "own, peculiar" choice of way, either to be superseded, or to be plunged into the ostensible, cultural "dead end," as into some new dark age.



That much said thus far, now trace the history of that monetarist empire-in-fact which has been commonly named “European civilization,” which dates as such, since the interim period of transition following the fall of the Persian Empire, until the death of Alexander the Great, and until the present moment of an onrushing threat of an awesome, world-shaking, general breakdown-crisis of today’s presently existing world order.<sup>1</sup>

This world’s history of European-centered culture among, until now, three successive imperial systems, is a succession readily named as that of a set of categorical parts of that culture: *ancient*, *medieval*, and *modern*. Each among those three phases, has been qualitatively different from the others, but each was coming toward its own peculiar quality of doom, in its own time, and in its own way.

The first category of this historical succession, had begun with the rise of the Hellenic power attained prior to the ruinous, long Peloponnesian War, a war from which ancient through modern aspects of so-called Greece have never fully recovered as a political system since that time. That part of European cultural history ended its first phase with the fall of the Roman Empire into what has been customarily classed as **The Dark Age**, from which an eastern phase of the Roman Empire emerged, until the precipitous decline of Byzantium since about A.D. 1000. However, in the meantime, between the Fall of the first Roman Empire and the advent of the Fourteenth-century “New Dark Age,” the coincidence of the ecumenical relations among Christianity, Islam, and Judaism, as during the collaboration of Charlemagne and Baghdad’s Haroun al-Raschid, plays a most remarkably exceptional role in its time.

A careful reflection on the essential role of Charlemagne in his time, compels what remains among today’s meager ration of actually thinking contemporary historians, to consider a glaring fact which most of them had contrived to overlook, until now.

The period of Charlemagne’s leading role, launched what was, for that historic moment, the appearance of the second great European development of a dominant

form of inland-based culture since the absorption of the defeated “Ionian” system, into that intervention by Alexander the Great’s role, a role contrary to what had been the intention of his father, in his leading role in the destruction of that Achaemenid empire based on “the oligarchical principle.” The failure of most European historians, including the trans-Atlantic varieties, to grasp the crucial importance of Charlemagne’s cultural revolution, is among the most indispensable among those cases which must be addressed for understanding the deep background of any or all parts of the scientifically true history of the world’s Europe-pivoted, trans-Atlantic region since the time from the fall of the Achaemenid empire, to the present date.

I am now obliged to step aside from what I had been tracing, here, thus far, as the unfolding process of root-development of the foundations of ancient and medieval European history-in-fact. To understand real European and world history competently, we must abandon the mere shibboleth of a *chronology mistreated as causality*, in order that we might now examine the implications of the case of Charlemagne’s revolution, seemingly “long before his time,” in its relevance for defining the process of the history of European civilization scientifically, rather than chronologically. To understand the role of Charlemagne in history, we must abandon conventional explanations of a sequence of developments in history, in favor of recognizing that a truly lawful study of history must be located as a special case of the application of a principle of physical relativity, rather than linearized sequences.

### ***Physical Relativity & Charlemagne***

In the course of my dialogue with a circle from among our republic’s best qualified economists, I shocked them, if only momentarily, by introducing the concept of economic “platforms,” rather than what had been a more conventional emphasis on the term “infrastructure.” The relationship of this correction which I have introduced to what have been, academically, “more conventional” categories of doctrines on the subject of economy, which have been maintained as a system of belief among economists and related professionals, which has been established and maintained on the pretext of conventionally taught mathematics and the treatment of related subject-matters, reflects a mid-Nineteenth Century adoption of what was actually the pseudo-scientific hoax which became known, since such mere mathematicians passing themselves off as

---

1. There had been maritime systems in Europe earlier, but, as in the case of Tyre, as an accessory of Mesopotamian interest, and of the alliance of Etruscans and certain Greeks with Egypt, the maritime power of the pre-Macedonian Mediterranean served as auxiliaries of the relevant land-based, largely agrarian powers, like the Sumer colonized by an Indian Ocean maritime culture, which had, themselves, often come, as did classical Egypt of the pyramids, into the Mediterranean as offshoots of a maritime culture.



*Human transportation has advanced from less effective modes, including ocean and riparian transport, to the evolutionary development of railway transport, and now, to magnetic levitation modes. Shown: a Viking ship (8th-11th centuries); and the MLX01, a modern maglev, at Yamanashi test track in Japan.*



Creative Commons/Yosemite

physicists, as Rudolf Clausius, et al., had demanded be adopted, then, as a mere mathematician's "Second Law of Thermodynamics."

We must now discard what are merely a commonplace mathematician's attempts to impose formal-mathematical determinism in domains of experimental physics in general; we must turn, instead, to emphasis on the higher-ranking domains of the quite different physical causality expressed by living processes, and, then, again, the radically higher, different qualities of the experimental notions of causality expressed in that Riemannian domain of human behavioral causality, as that was developed further by such stellar figures as Academician V.I. Vernadsky. We are thus impelled, as Bernhard Riemann concludes the crucially important, third and concluding section of his 1854 habilitation dissertation: "to depart the department of mathematics, in favor of the department of physical science."

That is precisely the nature of the seemingly insoluble crisis with which the case of Charlemagne confronts the customary selection of historians and economists,

still today. My own emphasis is on replacing, not only the term, "infrastructure," but the widely presumed notion of physical-scientific causality in economic processes, by the introduction of a conception which I have termed "platforms."

To illustrate the meaning of that distinction, consider the following.

In the world history of transportation, we have the following sequence in the order of ranking, away from the less useful, to the succession of the more effective economic performance obtained from a succession of extensions of *the generality of* basic transportation of the following succession of the general forms: **human and animal movement, ocean transport, riparian transport** (as by combinations of river and canal systems, as this was introduced as a national-economic system under Charlemagne), **the evolutionary development of railway transport** as, initially, into its development from a complement to riparian transport, to **full function rail, to transcontinental**

**rail, to magnetic levitation modes, to magnetic levitation as a mode of ultra-high-speed transport within evacuated tubes** (e.g., up to 1,000 miles per hour).

The most convenient illustration of the fact that a physical principle of national economy is at issue here, is the subject of why the British empire went to war against, and between Germany and Russia, over nothing so much as the "geopolitical" issue of the implicit economic superiority of trans-continental railway systems over oceanic modes of transport. (Air transport as such, is not competitive as a general system of transport, but, rather, a special one, with a special class of functions.)

This same *geopolitical* principle had already been posed, with mortal effects, by and against Charlemagne.

The case of comparative modes of general economic action through transportation, is paralleled by, and complemented by a second general category of physical economy. The subject in this case, is "energy-flux density."

The properly scientific use of the term “energy-flux density,” can be illustrated by the role of the increase of potential as proceeding from the use of sunlight or wind as a source of power, to the far more efficient use of combustion of fuels which are to be ranked in the equivalent of a fixed number of calories contained within an increasingly narrow cross-section of a channel through which a fixed number of calories of flow is confined. Nothing can match nuclear fission presently, except, foreseeably, the higher rank of thermonuclear fusion as a standard expression of power.

Similarly, a national system of general health-care is vastly superior practice applied to the population of the territory of a nation, than health-care systems which serve selected individuals within the nation. Hill-Burton should have never been superseded by insurance “companies,” or comparable, commercial health-care management systems.

Similarly, high-quality general education for all, is vastly superior in benefits of a national economy, than higher education selected for, or affordable only as a quality of education only for some.

Return attention, briefly, to the case of the history of the introduction of canal and railway systems inside the United States. Consider the Charlemagne revolution in economy in this light. Take note of the historical fact, that the European system of integrated rivers and canals, was already the model in terms of waterways, for the later development of national and continental railway systems. Note that the European continental riverway and canal systems for the areas inclusive of France, Germany, and Austria (for example) were not completed until the long-delayed 1990s completion of the link between the Rhine and Danube systems!

Do not overlook the element of economic insanity, which, in the case of the United States, was shaped by London and Wall Street policies for looting the interior of that nation.

In this case, public mass transport and power-distribution-and -production systems, predetermine the lines of development within a national, or continental territory. Public water systems, when organized on a regional or national scale, are less costly, in fact, for society than “private” ventures. Not only that, but the way such systems are charted and developed will be a cardinal factor in shaping national economic potentials within the nation, and will be both less costly and vastly more beneficial than any general sort of private policy-shaping imperative.

Return attention to the specific case of prototypes of modern national economy introduced as original leaps in progress of mankind. Here, we locate the role of the individual creative will in a national economy. What no machine can do, is actually create. Excepting the creativity inherent to the evolution of the universe and of living species, only the willful higher powers of the human mind, as distinct from the functions of sense-perception as such, can provide the factor of human creativity upon which the success of the nation, or continent depends absolutely.

In other words, the most effective approach to organizing an economy, is that developed in such exemplary cases as the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for as long as it was operating within the framework of the freedom which the original English charter allowed to the commonwealth itself. Notably, this success of Massachusetts under those conditions of sovereignty, depended upon the expression of that sovereignty in the form of the same notion of credit-system, as in the original case of Massachusetts and in the role of Alexander Hamilton’s design for a credit-system of a national-banking system of credit, which distinguishes the original Constitution of the United States, systemically, from the monetarist systems of presently, and traditionally British-controlled Europe, still to the present day.

Indeed, the intrinsic superiority of those potentials specific to the United States economy which had been, until recently, qualitatively superior to those of the European political economies, is shown in two principal, convenient cases. In the rise of a modern German nation, the impact of Friedrich List provided a systemic foundation for what Germany would later achieve, when allowed to do so, followed by the post-Philadelphia 1876 celebration economic miracle of the Germany economy which Chancellor Bismarck based on the guidance by the United States’, and the world’s leading economist of that time, Henry C. Carey.

So, to summarize the argument bearing on the case of Charlemagne, as we have pointed it out thus far, it was the development, under Charlemagne, of all of the categories of essential features of a modern nation-state economy, including the essential rudiments of organization of a modern national economy, which were already present.

What had happened in the immediate aftermath of the death of Charlemagne, was that the “platform” built into the underlying general “platform” of the economy, was being aborted through the role of forces centered in



Charlemagne's principal adversary of that time, the Byzantine empire which had set into motion the process of destruction leading into the Norman conquest of what is presently named the British Isles. This was the result of a cycle established then, and implicitly concluded with the pitiable failure of the remains of the Byzantine empire left by that Fourth Crusade leading into the subsequent, Fourteenth-century "New Dark Age."

The lesson to be adduced from the admittedly preliminary condition of what I have presented as a special topic, here, thus far, touches the rudiments to be brought into consideration under the heading of what I have presented as the case of the notion of "platforms" as the role of integrated national systems, rather than "infrastructure."

The corollary word of caution to be supplied, is that to be "free," the population and its territory must be developed up to the level of development required for useful promotion of the productive advances in the creative powers of the individual person. The promotion of the development of the individual economic enterprise within the economy, is properly a matter of principle; but, the development of the "platform" on which the individual enterprise depends, is to be treated, as Charlemagne attempted, as the "platform" on which successful private initiative depends for its successful expression.

Compare the exceptional page of European history marked out by the role of the great reforms of Charlemagne with those of modern Europe and the United States since the 1648 Peace of Westphalia.

The case of the Transaqua approach to the rescue of Lake Chad, should be considered as a prime example of this principle of the rights of sovereign nations to secure the means of their needed development.

### ***Back to Reading "Conventional" History***

We are now confronted by the prospect of the early appearance of the fourth, yet-to-be-settled, but possibly early successor to the presently collapsing system. This, in turn, confronts us with an implied choice between the greatest, and most advanced quality of human achievement ever dreamed by any known person thus far, or, the alternative choice of a prolonged dark age which would be comparable to, or even much worse than the infamous "new dark age" of Europe's Fourteenth Century.

This set of four maritime-rooted models up to the present time, presents us, in that fashion, on the one side, with three known, qualitatively distinct stages of a mon-

etarist form of Europe-centered civilization, and, in a fourth case yet to be determined, one way, or the other.

All three of the presently known phases in past or current European and trans-Atlantic systems, have been, essentially, of the quality of being both maritime and monetarist systems in their top-down characteristics, from their start, as distinctly monetarist empire-systems which secured their integrated forms as national phase-states of respectively separate nations, within an inclusive empire, as what were predominantly "inland" forms of monetarist practices in their essential character as virtual captives of the monetarist system operated from above the subject nations.

In this way, international monetarist systems which have been developed as supra-national maritime cultures, have been developed as an expression of the higher-ranking trans-oceanic maritime cultures, those of the class of "Peoples of the Sea" reigning over nations through an international system of finance and trade operating from above the authority of the individual nation within the system. Rosa Luxemburg<sup>2</sup> and the U.S. State Department's Herbert Feis<sup>3</sup> had been relatively unique, as much as outstanding, as they described this imperial model as the principle of what continues to be the modern British imperialism which has been continued, still, today.

This maritime-imperialist form of monetarist system, had emerged out of an implicitly long history of essentially transoceanic maritime life among coastal and insular points of contact, as between coastal and lower-riparian locations, as along the standard trans-Atlantic and Indian Ocean routes. There were also some significant trans-Pacific routes.

The significant extension of maritime cultures based on a concept of a predominantly closed system of changes within a guiding systemic form of "finite but not bounded" stellar array, can be traced, presently, as a form of organization of society, either during, or following the close of the roughly 100,000-year quasi-permanent glaciation of large regions of the northern latitudes. In this roughly 15,000 years of rise of the levels of the oceans by about 400 feet to post-great-glacial levels, there came expansion into what had been inland glacial regions, as accompanied by titanic shifts in cli-

---

2. **The Accumulation of Capital**, Chapter 30, "International Loans," 1913.

3. **Europe: The World's Banker 1870-1914** (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1930.)

mate and climates. Thus, we are confronted with the curious distinction of the “peoples of the sea” as “gods,” or “immortals,” and those of landlubber proclivities as ordinary, mortal men and women.

There is another implication of this just-outlined set of distinctions which must be at least briefly mentioned here.

The several kinds of evidence of the ancient trans-oceanic maritime cultures’ knowledge of certain great and still greater astronomical and related cycles, such as that of the cycles of migration of the North magnetic poles, and the famous great calendar cycle associated with the name of Plato, must be kept in mind in efforts to assess the underlying intellectual forces operating as if beneath the surface of the direct experience on cultures experienced as being approximately “specific” within the span of two or three generations.<sup>4</sup>

### ***The System of Economic History***

We can treat these—now identified—four categories of states of culture being considered, three known, and one to be added, as being akin in their respective distinctions from one another, as they are comparable to four different cultural types from among human biological species. The distinction among those “species” is not statistical in essential nature, nor merely nominal in other ways. To grasp this notion of specific distinctions with scientific precision, rather than merely “hand-waving” description, consider the following argument to be made at this juncture.

The key term for this purpose, is the principle of “fire,” as that has been a specific social category uniquely attributed to human behavior since, at least, no later than the relevant sociological issue was treated in Aeschylus’ **Prometheus** trilogy.

The concept of man’s use of fire, is the crucial distinction of man from ape for those archeologists seeking a crucial way of distinguishing ape from man in a

sampling of the use of fire relevant among comparable sampling of human-like remains met in ancient sites. That fact leads attention to the therefore provocative report, that the Olympian Zeus of Aeschylus’ **Prometheus** trilogy should have forbidden the use of fire by mortal man.<sup>5</sup>

To develop the argument, upon which the definition of cultures as being different *species in principle* depends, as I have done here, we must recognize that the qualitative changes which distinguish one human culture from another, correspond to species-distinctions, either in literal fact of the matter, or by analogous forms of distinctions of efficient principles expressed in a type of human practice. Examples of this method of assigning systemic distinctions among cultures, can be provided as successively higher forms of heat-principles marked out by distinguishing sources of employed forms of heat within the practice of a culture by the chemistry of successively ranked orders of “energy flux density” in the form of applied heat, or heat’s congruent equivalent. By changing the composition of “energy-flux density” per capita and per square-centimeter of cross-section, we have defined the basis for focusing attention upon the notion of the physically specific “level” of distinction of the cultural development of the population of a species of societal development.

That example of the role of energy-flux density in defining a culture, serves as a pedagogical model which should be found helpful in understanding the differences in essential nature of the distinction among different cultural-behavioral types among the human species, as when we contrast the range of behavior among animal species, to that of the social species of ranking specific qualities of differences among human cultures. It is particularly notable, that human beings can change the species of their culture, whereas lower forms of life can not do so voluntarily, as if by their own will.

Thus, the very notion of species must be defined as a matter of relative form of willfulness: the one essentially biological; the other, what the work of Russia’s V.I. Vernadsky defined as the Noösphere, as the phase-space afforded to the *human noëtic powers*. Only man can change his species of existence voluntarily, doing that without changing the animal-like specifics of the human beings in which such voluntary modes of change of species can occur as a change in physically-specific features of a culture. Hence, we have the implicitly

---

4. We need not worry ourselves over precise mathematical scales in this discussion. What is of crucial importance here, is to bring to bear the factors of large magnitude for their impact on the short-lived and constricted span of experience of the human individual, the immediate household and local or regional community. As Bernhard Riemann emphasizes in the third section of his 1854 habilitation dissertation, man’s potential capability for knowing what is sought as being true, or as fairly approximated estimates in the very large, or the very small, both of which are each beyond individual sensory experience, are keys to discerning those principles which are demonstrably true, but whose construction from experience reaches beyond direct sense-perception. It is necessary to be capable of hypothesizing the higher hypothesis.

---

5. I shall come back to that in a later chapter of this report.

theological distinction of man, as a representative of the Noösphere, from the creatures of the Biosphere.


Now, with those conceptual tools so identified, we proceed here, now, to treat the three specific categories of human culture considered from the vantage-point of three European cases, and the choice between contrasting future options, for a fourth.

The practical importance of the qualification which I have just introduced here, is illustrated in the most convenient way, by the clinical distinction between the transition from Roman to Feudal cultures, on the one hand, and the more complex transition from the Feudal, to the Modern European culture, on the other.

The first category of the succession defined by the sequence of the first three selected, previously known cultures which I reference here, appears in the rise of what became the hegemonic power within the Mediterranean region of a form of maritime culture which tradition associates with the Homeric **Iliad** and **Odyssey**. The notion of the hegemony of a specifically European maritime culture, is associated with the transition, via the role of Alexander the Great, in the process of development of the relative supremacy of a Mediterranean-wide, imperial form of maritime culture leading into the establishment of a Roman Empire. That latter development should be traced through study of the plotting, on the Isle of Capri, between the future Caesar Augustus and the priesthood of the Eastern cult of Mithra.

The development of the Roman empire, in its character as a Mediterranean-based, monetarist form of maritime empire, has been continued in globally extended European history to the present days of the British monarchy.

FIGURE 1



*LaRouche's concept of the economic "platform," as opposed to the conventional notion of "infrastructure," is one of integrated national systems, as illustrated in this graphic series.*

## I. Introducing Modern Physical Economy

My own principal, and relatively unique accomplishment in respect to an actual science of physical economy, has depended on my vigorous resistance to the notion of a "merely mathematical" science of economy.<sup>6</sup> My relevant decision to that effect was first expressed in early 1953, after, first, several years of actively rejecting the

6. This does not mean, as some foolish, would-be critics have alleged, that I have rejected mathematics. It means that competent mathematics depends upon the higher authority of physical science, as through the discovery of universal physical principles which supersede any form of mathematics contrary to those discovered principles.



attempts at defining an economy by two relevant acolytes of Bertrand Russell, Professor Norbert Wiener and John v. Neumann, that by my settling on the standpoint of the physics emphasized by Bernhard Riemann in the concluding, third section of his 1854 habilitation dissertation, the location in which he, in accord with his Berlin mentor, the great Lejeune Dirichlet, introduced the key to the development of an appropriately modern form of a science of physical economy.

From the beginning of adopting that approach to a physical science of economy, what my approach defined from the start, is implied in two crucial guiding principles. **First**, that no monetary system provides a functionally valid guide to defining a notion of economic value of anything other than a measure of the credulity of buyer and seller, alike. **Second**, the two-fold principle, that the proper measure of physical value, is relative to the economic processes of society considered as an indivisible whole, and in no other way, and to the fact that relative value can be described only in terms of rises and falls in relative values as value is definable in terms of the net rates of increase (or decrease) of the realized net productivity of the labor force of a society as a whole.

That is conceived and measured as either adducibly accomplished fact, or, as projectable changes, upward, or downward, may be defined over varying, relatively short-range, to relatively long range intervals of lapsed time.

### ***“Field Test: Auto”***

My first “field test” of this approach came in the performance of my function as an executive for a management consulting firm. It occurred in the course of my forecast made at the close of Summer 1956, of a deep recession to strike suddenly at some point between late February, or early March 1957. The principal subject for this first such forecast of mine, was the topic of that system of production, trading, and credit as represented for the combined new-car and used-car marketing under the conditions typified by the form of the existing contractual agreements between the automobile manufacturers of new cars, and the used-car market. I knew, all as part of my relevant expertise in the automobile and other markets at that time: that, the leading automobile manufacturers’ standard, was that the new car sales must be set, at that time, contractually, according to manufacturer’s assigned sales price; this created a set of critical imbalances among such elements as the later development of the use of a closing “balloon-note”

factor in financing of new car sales, with the consequences of this arrangement for the marketing of used-car trade-ins, as the effect of that arrangement was to be measured against the difference between the used-car inventory of trade-in on the franchised dealers’ lots, and the wholesale price of comparable used cars in similar condition accumulated on wholesalers’ lots.

This pattern in the domain of automobile marketing, intersected related patterns of behavior in relevant other market sectors. The effect of the interrelations was a clearly defined “bulge” in the financial market, a bulge like that produced by imagining the swallowing of a bellowing bull by a python: the similarity of that to the market’s condition was really difficult to overlook, but many wishful thinkers did succeed in overlooking that fact—up to a certain time.<sup>7</sup>

As 1957 approached, the combination of the accumulation of “36th-month” final notes with certain doubts of the relevant vehicles’ reaching a 36th month of useful life, was becoming a new meaning for the term “finality.”

The result was the collapse of the markets at about the time for which I had aimed my forecast about six months earlier. The deep national recession which began at the close of February 1957, dominated the U.S. national economy through the time of the inauguration of President John F. Kennedy, in January 1961.

My forecast of a recession dating from six months prior to the relevant event, should not have been considered as a spectacular achievement in itself; however, the subsequent persistence and exhibited characteristics of the continuing recession, were to be considered as a consequence of exceptional importance. By Spring 1958, the worsening of the continuing of the recession more than a year and a half after my original, Summer 1956 forecast, showed that I had now developed what later proved to have been valid projections bearing upon certain national economic problems to be considered as probable for the decade or more ahead. As my refine-

---

7. The prevalent practice of “statistical economic forecasting” suffers the systemic expression of the chronic fault, that it is in the specifically contrary nature of all lawful processes, that the expression of the intrinsic incompetence of mathematical-statistical methods lies in their specific incompetence of presuming that the past and present generate the systemic changes which may occupy the future time beyond the statistical patterns up through a present date. Thus, for example, the introduction of emphasis on the role of a new physical principle, or the termination of the practice of a previously existing one, is the usual cause of a more or less early, or more distant systemic change in the process being subjected to methods of statistical forecasting.

ments in the original forecast progressed over the course of the 1958-1959 interval, I had concluded that a new, deep recession could be expected, under certain conditions, as early as 1961-62, but, even if such a recession were postponed, as actually happened, to the end of the coming President's term, that if the now deeply embedded U.S. economic-policy-trends from the 1950s were either continued, or newly introduced, a continued, and systemic U.S. economic crisis were likely for near to the end of either the late 1960s, or beginning of the 1970s. The crisis which I had forecast for the closing years of the 1960s decade, since 1966 onward, was now almost certain for approximately the close of the decade; it struck suddenly in the Summer of 1971. When that had occurred, I was the only notable economist who had repeatedly warned that such a development was built into the prevalent policies of what had been notable economists of sundry shadings at that time.

The uniqueness of my successes in forecasting during the 1956-1971 interval, and beyond, is to be located, primarily, in my rejection of statistical "market" and related projections, in favor of the determining role of either changes, or lack of changes, in terms of physically-efficient principle, relative to conditions of attrition inherent in any extended practice within the bounds of a fixed species-like type of a physical-economic system.

The customary, stated, but errant belief of my putative rivals, even after some dust from the mid-Summer collapse had been settled after December 2nd of 1971, had been that the so-called "built-in stabilizers" should have secured the United States against such an event; that view of my rivals had persisted until then, through a pack of statistician's sophistries premised in militant disregard for essential physical principles operating, such as "it didn't happen exactly as I wish to read what you said" attempts at denials, past the Nixon Administration's August crisis, almost to the time of my celebrated, December 2, 1971 debate on this issue with Professor Abba Lerner at Queens College.<sup>8</sup> The closing remarks by Professor Abba Lerner left the impression on the faculty members seated in the front rows that

8. For example, the disregard of the fact, that the assassination of President John F. Kennedy had introduced the changes in policy which were expressed by the ruin of the U.S. economy, by the contrary-to-Kennedy policies associated with the Warren Commission's anti-Kennedy, British-steered actions in covering up, in effect, the intentional factor represented by the Warren Commission's own role in backing the utterly ruinous, virtually decade-long U.S. nightmare in Indo-China.

Lerner had definitively lost the debate to me.

However, since my widely celebrated defeat of Britain's Professor Lerner in that prominent event, I had been put on the trans-Atlantic map as a forecaster who had become a political figure in my role as a notable economist of the time. My leading adversaries, or, properly said, political enemies in the field, were centered, internationally, then, and, to a large degree, still today, in that 1950-launched Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF) associated with such colleagues of Professor Lerner as Professor Sidney Hook. The personal enmity against me from those same circles of trans-Atlantic affairs, has not only persisted to the present day, but has been greatly accelerated, internationally, since that time.

Since that time, I have produced a limited number of original forecasts for the U.S. economy: all successful in fact, and of special relevance in their importance to what have continued to be what might be considered as my rivals in the field. That advantage of mine on this account, has always lain in a particular aspect of physical-scientific method rooted in the work of Riemann, not in customary varieties of statistical method; that is the point of the distinction to be emphasized at this presently early stage of this report.

It is an extremely relevant fact to be considered here, that any effort to attempt to explain away the successes of my method of forecasting for the U.S.A. as also for other markets, has failed. Although my methods have been significantly improved by subsequent refinements over the intervening decades, the essential reasons for the relatively systemic uniqueness of my successes, have not been changed in respect to their underlying, Riemannian basis, nor has there been a principled error shown to exist in the principles which I have adopted for treating those sundry national and international systems of economy which my forecasting has taken into account. In the practice of economic forecasting, as my own performance to date has shown, there is a systemic difference to be acknowledged, between an imperfect, but generally correct apprehension of a true principle, and an intrinsically wrong choice of principle.

The following relevant illustrations have been selected out of respect for their special relevance to those opening remarks of this present chapter.

### *The War over Detroit*

The long wave of decline and subsequent fall of Detroit and of related manufacturing centers in the U.



*Among the most significant strategic accomplishments behind the revolutionary technological advances of the United States of the late-19th/early-20th centuries, was the development of the Transcontinental Railroad system, and the parallel development of Russia's Trans-Siberian Railway, as shown on the maps.*

S.A., and elsewhere, as during the course of the post-World War II decades, was rooted in an industry which had generated reasonable, sometimes brilliantly conceived benefits of improvements in machine-tool and related features of manufacturing; but, it was also an industry whose usually controlling, post-World War II motivation, had been that of a London-allied Wall Street financier's higher intention of destroying the railway systems of mass-transport of freight and passengers, as through the aid of flooding the markets and highway traffic-jams with the manufacture of automobiles. In the case of the United States, in particular, Wall Street's motive in destroying efficient mass transport systems, such as railway systems, was, very much a reflection of the same, specifically geopolitical, motives which had prompted the British empire's 1890 ouster of Chancellor Bismarck.

I explain.

The model of the Abraham Lincoln-sponsored development of the U.S. transcontinental railway system, had been spread into Europe, especially Germany and Russia, following the time of the 1876 U.S. Philadelphia Centennial exposition. The included impact of the post-1876 developments from this point onwards, was a set of revolutionary reforms of economic policy among a notable selection of other nations with also notable, combined scientific and related economic potentials. The cases of Germany under the leadership of Chancellor Bismarck, and a Russia inspired by the genius of Dmitri Mendeleyev, became the most notable such ef-



fects, historically, and politically, on this account.

Both of those latter nations had acquired impressive scientific capabilities, and continued to do so up to the threshold of the 1905 war, for Russia, and somewhat beyond 1914, for Germany. Both nations had been greatly impressed by the massive spread of U.S. methods of creating massively organized technological advances in manufactures, agriculture, and related technologies on a mass scale. Among these accomplishments, the most significant, strategically, was the U.S. development of a trans-continental railway system, a system whose most crucial strategic significance, was the fact, that transcontinental railway systems out-classed ocean shipping logistically, both technologically and systemically. So, we have the cases of the projected development of a transcontinental railway system, and the parallel development of Russia's combined Trans-Siberian railway and indus-



trial systems under the supervision of the Dmitri Mendeleev who had also participated in the 1876 Philadelphia exposition. That experience was recognized in London, as having the combined effect, combined with that of the U.S.A. itself, of a mighty, geopolitical, strategic threat to the continued existence of the British empire.

Not only World Wars I and II were the avowed, specifically “geopolitical” reaction against these railway systems; the British empire’s reaction against those systems, came quickly. Britain was determined to defend its role as a hegemonic world empire. The British intention to bring about the destruction of Germany and Russia, by aid of pitting the two against one another in warfare, was the most notable of the immediately direct reactions from London. Beyond that, the destruction of our U.S.A. itself was, and remains a principal target, through to the present day. The British empire represents, relatively speaking, possibly a marsupial (e.g., imperialist) order of economy, while we were created representing an evolutionary upgrade to the status of being a society designed for the comforts of actually human mammals.

### ***Britain, The Enemy of the Republic***

However, there have been only rare cases of actually competent efforts to gain a competent representation of the controlling processes over the span of the whole sweep of warfare beginning with, (a) the 1890 ouster of Chancellor Bismarck, through (b) the assassination of France’s President Sadi Carnot, (c) the manufacture of the hoax which was the Dreyfus case, (d) the British alliance leading into the British-Japan war against China, which broke out in 1894, and (e) the same peers’ 1905 Anglo-Japan warfare against Korea and Russia, all leading into (f) the Anglo-French organization of Balkan warfare, and (g) World War I in its entirety, and beyond. Nevertheless, these are all of one piece. So, (h) that openly internationally orchestrated assassination of U.S. President William McKinley, made possible the otherwise impossible U.S. successive phases of sympathy, and economic strategic cooperation with the British empire, set into motion by McKinley’s successor Theodore Roosevelt, and the crucial phase of directly military support from a U.S. expeditionary force for the British imperialist warfare against Germany which had been launched in 1914, but actually set into motion, step by step, with the ouster of Bismarck in 1890.

A similar pattern continued during the 1920s and beyond, in (a) the British-Japan naval-treaty agreement launched in the early 1920s, for Japan’s preparation for what was intended to become the destruction of the U.S. Naval base at Pearl Harbor, as known to the U.S. military planning of the 1920s, as in the celebrated case of General Billy Mitchell. (b) It was only when the British empire which had created and aided the strategic objectives of the Adolf Hitler regime, was (c) taken by surprise in the Wehrmacht “Blitzkrieg” victory in France, that (d) Winston Churchill of the British monarchy which had created Adolf Hitler in Germany, reached out in desperation to (e) the President Franklin Roosevelt whom Churchill hated, that Britain found itself clinging desperately to Roosevelt for (f) succor from Britain’s former creation, as by aid of Brown Brothers Harriman’s direct support for Hitler’s installation in the British founding of Nazi Germany.

That much said, when combined as one, World Wars I and II were the avowedly British, specifically (g) “geopolitical” reaction to the emergence of the trans-continental railway systems of the U.S.A., Germany, and Russia. (h) Even prior to 1890, the trigger which prompted the British monarchy to use relevant British royal family connections to bring about (i) the dumping of Bismarck, had been (j) Bismarck’s secret agreement with the Russian Czar, that he, Bismarck would wreck (k) any attempt to use the aging Habsburg Kaiser as the foil to unleash a Balkan war (l) intended to bring Russia into a military conflict with Germany.

In part, that British reaction was (a) already set into motion by Lord Palmerston’s extended period of pre-organization, and direction of the U.S. Civil War through aid of such agents as the uncle who would later guide the orientation of future President Theodore Roosevelt. British intelligence repaid the U.S.A. for its defeat of Palmerston’s Confederacy puppet with (b) an assassination of President Abraham Lincoln; but, even after the retreat of the Palmerston who had actually owned Karl Marx, and also some relevant continental European socialists of that time, (c) the British monarchy was determined to “correct” the victory of the United States over the British Confederacy puppet.

The combination of (a) the success of closing the gap for the U.S. trans-continental railway system, (b) the American direct hand in Bismarck’s economic reforms, and (c) the combination of the effect of those



White House



EIRNS/Mike Reeves

*Treason: The shutdown of the U.S. auto industry—in fact, the advanced machine-tool-based mass-production capacity of the economy—by Wall Street and London's puppet President George W. Bush, has achieved British Empire's goal of destroying the U.S. economy. Shown: Bush at a White House conference, December 2004; a GM plant in Dundalk, Md., closed in May 2005.*

reforms and of the development of Germany-Russia economic cooperation in development of transcontinental railway systems, essentially, complete (d) the package of British motivations for the efforts to destroy the United States (e) which were born in 1763, and (f) have persisted through the most recent steps in that direction, the role of U.S. puppet-President Barack Obama.

Since Lord Shelburne, the heir of the 1763 British victory in the Seven Years War, had received Edward Gibbon's **Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire**, the idea of a master plan for a British Empire to supersede the Roman has been the imperial institution embedded within the British monarchy, from that time to the present day. Notions along those lines had existed with that notion of a new Roman empire conceived by the critic of the failed Council of Trent, Paolo Sarpi, and had been carried from the Netherlands into England by William of Orange's bearing the banner of what was then the avowed New Venetian Party of Sarpi's followers. The exact same policy is that of the British empire's creation of the Euro system presently.

Hence, the legacy of Paolo Sarpi's role as a successor to the old Venetian party which had overtaken the Byzantine empire approximately 1,000 A.D., the empire which had succeeded the original Roman Empire founded by the negotiations between the future Caesar Augustus and the priests of the cult of Mithra at Capri.

### ***Treason in America Today***

Once President Franklin Roosevelt had died, and had been replaced by a notorious crony of Wall Street, Vice-President Harry S Truman, the systemic destruction of those Eurasian transcontinental railway systems which been founded, despite some British-led continental European opposition, was a bellwether and essential aspect of a relatively immediate sort of strategic objective of not only London, but London's traditionally "American Tory," Wall Street accomplice.

That British policy and its influence throughout the planet, has followed, up to the present day, the specifically fascist economic model of "Creative Destruction" which was inherent in the British imperialist motives for organizing two so-called "World Wars." This launching of European fascism occurred as a specifically "geopolitical" reaction, initially launched, with explicit British backing of Benito Mussolini, and British backing of Adolf Hitler's Nazis, against what had been since the rise of trans-continental railway systems, combined with the post-Queen Anne, British monarchy's intrinsically Sarpian orchestration of the so-called "Seven Years War" in Europe, and the post-1763 expressions of hatred of what was to become our United States.

That British system, whose incipience dates from the takeover of the British Isles by the New Venetian Party's William of Orange, is, as Lord Shelburne emphasized, a self-esteemed successor of the original Roman Empire

and its Byzantine and Venetian-controlled successor systems of monetarist imperialism. Our United States was created, in fact, as an outgrowth of that succession of the Plymouth settlement and the original charter of the Massachusetts Bay colonization, which constituted the initiation of the design originally defined by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, for building up a system across the ocean consistent with the great principles of an escape from feudalism known as the reform of the great ecumenical Council of Florence which Cusa's personal circles had inspired, as what was to become the trans-Atlantic European settlements in North America.

We were created as a nation, in what was to become our United States, following the Habsburg imperialists' ruin of the intention of Christopher Columbus' voyage, which Columbus undertook as his response to Nicholas of Cusa's intention of producing a form of republic consistent with such revolutionary designs as his **Concordancia Catholica, De Docta Ignorantia, and De Pace Fidei**, of the great ecumenical figure known as Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa who was the founder of modern physical science of such included among his followers as Leonardo da Vinci and Johannes Kepler.

Against that background, the particular point must also be emphasized, in the context of what I have just written, above, that, during the 1920s, the destruction of the U.S. railway system was already on the agenda of both Britain and its Wall Street accomplices in the Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson traditions. It was the opposition to those scoundrels by President Franklin Roosevelt and the U.S. mobilization for victory against fascism in World War II, which had brought the railways back, if temporarily. From the beginning of the post-war period under an established Wall Street opportunist, President Harry S Truman, the railways were targeted to be run down, and, eventually, virtually disappear.<sup>9</sup> That destruction of the railways, and the post-Kennedy Administration destruction of the U.S. economy, began in the shutting down of a city railway system in Los Angeles, a stunt from whose effects Los Angeles has not effectively recovered, to the present day.

---

9. The fraud-in-fact of the relative speed, comfort, and price of train-travel between the nation's capital, Washington, and New York City, and return, illustrates this fact most simply. Admittedly, the U.S. railway systems have been intentionally ruined, most notably since the 1950s negotiations between the New York Central and Pennsylvania railway systems, all this as a part of an intentional de-industrialization of the U.S. economy. Only foolish people rely on comparing prices in such cases as that. The question should be: "How did it get that way?"

Wall Street's chief geopolitical weapon against the U.S. railway system was the mass production of relatively cheap automobiles under, chiefly, the Wall Street pack of Anglo-American financiers' opposition to the rise of Henry Ford's achievements. So, by the middle of the 1950s, local gas stations had been added to the roster of authorized dealerships, shortly before the crash of 1957. The development of the U.S. super-highway system, and resulting vicious traffic-jams, was an important addition to Wall Street's geopolitical war against the still dominant, but already diminishing role of the national railway system.

There is often as much, or more folly than there is guile, in what are apparently, or actually high-level conspiracies, such as those against U.S. agriculture and industry in what a presently self-ruined Wall Street finance has done in its de facto effort to betray and destroy the United States under such as the Morgan gang's Alan Greenspan and U.S. Presidents George W. Bush and Barack Obama.

The British empire could not be competently understood, until we have restated the fact, that today's British empire, the empire which is acting to complete the destruction of our United States now, has been, and remains, for as long as it lasts, the living continuation of a system of maritime-centered, monetarist imperialism which led into the creation of the Roman empire, as that was done by way of conspiratorial meetings between the future Caesar Augustus and the priests of the Cult of Mithra conducted on the Isle of Capri.

That empire, was extended in the later forms of Byzantium, in the role of the Venetian monetarist system since about 1000 A.D., and since the mass-murderous invasion of the British Isles by the New Venetian Party then led by William of Orange, the invasion of those Isles which established, and has reigned as the British empire, from its role as a kind of "Rosemary's baby" in its seedling form under the butcher William, to the launching of the empire of the British East India Company, which was formerly constituted by the February 1763 Peace of Paris, all done as in the mode of the bloated Inter-Alpha Group presently, a Group which is a continuation of what has been the reigning imperial monetarist power of the world, with some very brief exceptions, from before ancient Rome to the present day.

It is therefore notable, that Chancellor Bismarck, who had come to understand this principle of a maritime form of monetarist imperialism, who understood



the nature of the British imperialist system, was not an enthusiast for the continuation of the Franco-Prussian War past the point that the British-created puppet-Emperor Napoleon III had capitulated. There was no actual strategic benefit for Germany in going beyond the dumping of the aggressor and British puppet, Napoleon III, in making France an embittered ally of Germany's intended imperial enemy, London. When one considers the second phase of the so-called "Franco-Prussian War," following the capitulation of the putative author of that war, the British puppet known as Napoleon III, and compares the damage to France during that second phase, particularly in the siege of Paris, one should readily understand why the former British special policeman Napoleon III, should have been sent by London to sacrifice himself in service of the intention of feeding a conflict between France and Germany which could be of advantage to no one except the British maritime form of monetarist imperialism.

The British imperial motive in organizing that Franco-Prussian war, could not have been overlooked by anyone who recalled and understood precisely, as Chancellor Bismarck had said and done after his forced retirement, that "Seven Years War" which had been organized in London to bring about the mutual ruin of the leading nations of the European continent. Since the fame of the Peloponnesian War, fools in the business of the planning and conducting of unnecessary use of warfare, especially poorly planned warfare, have often brought their own nations to a significant degree of ruin, or even worse, by launching a war against one another which is intended, as by imperial London since the ascent of the New Venetian Party's William of Orange, to ruin both, or even all of these contending parties, to the intended advantage of that enemy of both of them, the common enemy who is egging the rival gladiators on while the "Emperor" in the audience amuses himself by admiring the fray in the arena.

Notably, both President Kennedy and General Douglas MacArthur knew better than to be such fools, then back in 1963, as their successors in similar positions of the U.S. command have seemed seldom to have learned that lesson as clearly as General Douglas MacArthur had understood the folly of the U.S. entry into the protracted "land war in Asia," a piece of strategic folly so often repeated for those who should have been the witting, since the Peloponnesian War, through to the present day. So, since the assassination of President Kennedy, our wars have gone, as in Indo-China, as into

two Iraq wars, and into the follies of Afghanistan into which Zbigniew Brzezinski's curious sort of zeal had lured the thus self-ruined Soviet Union, and into the same Southeast Asian trap, organized jointly by the British and Saudi agencies, for putting the U.S. military into the same Afghan "booby trap."

Wall Street's aims for the destruction of the once-powerful U.S. automobile industry, were an aspect of the problem; but, the fact remains, that what we called "the auto industry" was much more than an auto industry. During World War II Detroit was the central point of reference, "the make anything or everything" industry, while the production of privately operated automobiles was cut back in a very large degree. When Detroit and neighboring industrial centers were virtually shut down, the vast amount of production floor-space of the United States was shut down, under U.S. President George W. Bush, together with the means for producing almost everything else that our economy required of its machine-tool-based mass production capabilities.

Now, through that act of virtual treason against our United States, Detroit has become the "Produce Nothing Industry." In other words, Britain says, "Shut down the United States," even if it is necessary to do this by selecting a U. S. President who will be fully complicit in doing just that. The method of such a President would be, what de facto fascists such as the Nietzsche followers Sombart and Schumpeter named as the weapon of "Creative Destruction." It is what some perhaps rarely washed fanatics praise as "zero technological growth." That goal can be facilitated by limiting the generation of power by means of modes of generating power at monstrously high, relative costs per kilowatt which will, by nothing more needed than the mode itself, obliterate civilization from this planet, and that rather quickly.

---

## II. The Power of the Human Mind

---

About forty years ago, I kayaked northward, down Canada's Abitibi River, starting downstream from a great dam, ultimately shooting through the thundering "Class 3" rapid at the passage from the Abitibi into the Moose River, and, thus, into the port at Moosenee on Hudson Bay. In former times, before I had become a public figure operating at some rather large degree of personal and political risk, I had climbed Mount Washington repeatedly, and, therefore, routinely, and made similar jaunts in states along the U.S.A.'s Atlantic

coasts, all which kinds of experiences had served, at that time, to provide an occasional change from the hurly-burly of what had been, formerly, a more or less large portion of my ordinary life, as around Boston, or, later, New York City.

The kayaking down to Moosenee was a good thing, some moments of serenity stolen from the ordinary, modern experience of sundry varieties of what, all taken together, was approaching that time that ordinary circumstances would no longer exist to permit me to do such ordinary things as spend hours of a solitary stroll through virtually the length of Manhattan, or a countryside, or what is often enjoyed as a comfortable hum-drum of daily routines.

There had been, therefore, past times now approaching thirty-five years ago, when I had preferred frequent long walks, and climbing hills and mountains, rather than riding trains or planes, or attempting to contend with teeming assaults of masses of automobiles on the senses and respiratory apparatus. In this fashion, now, as then, I would often place my thoughts at a convenient distance from disturbing intrusions, often passing the better part of a day at a psychological distance from the spurts of insistent threat of breaks in my concentration. The resulting combination of one thing and another, was the appearance of not who I was, but what I might have often been caused, in one way, or another, to some, to appear to be. All otherwise said and done, the experience itself, was, and remains, a good thing; to me; even hum-drum can teach us much, even by forcing us to think of escaping the grip of the boredom which habit engenders.

There have been some moments of my bearing the image of me defined by the rumor-mills typified by the network of those whom I had defeated in the celebrated, December 2, 1971 debate at Queen's College, including the backing for this from high-ranking figures of the British oligarchy, all silly gossip tied to its manufacture



*"Without the specific quality of passion which only systemically Classical modes of artistic composition can provoke, there could be no sustainable process of the creativity on which scientific advances depend." "St. Jerome," by Filippino Lippi (ca. 1493).*

by much of the leading daily press in the U.S.A., such as massive outpourings of sheer filth from **The New York Times**, and even, often, copied as the shallow foolishness from the mouths of foolish people in the U.S., and in continents abroad. This experience warns one, as it often did me in times past, to live and to act, for much of my time, at a distance from all silly distractions from the mouths of the frequently foolish; but, that distancing was also required of me on account of the need for avoiding distractions from the frequent, and relatively prolonged part of the passing days, entering into times devoted to large chunks of escape into serious matters, away from the business day. Retreats like those, into extended periods of concentration on the subjects of my work as an economist and in intelligence matters, were demanded, and

are even indispensable to those among us who have learned the meaning of experience applied to the conduct of one's mission in life.

Nonetheless, I have liked to visit people, when circumstances permit, and generally like the people with whom I visit, and who visit me. From reading Johannes Kepler's output, for all that suffering he experienced, his life was that of one who knew that part of life, and its happiest moments, very well. I also like to recall experiences such as climbing mountains and shooting the rapid at the junction with the Moose River.

Such were the effect of lessons from an experience which I enjoyed in my own reaction to reading William Empson's **Seven Types of Ambiguity**, as I had enjoyed doing that from my already established standpoint of a related, more frequent and extended experience of, and attachment to the work of Percy Bysshe Shelley and bits of John Keats, all of which would also come to shape the way in which I had come to regard Bernhard Riemann. Notably, classical tragedy, properly conceived and imagined, has a similarly beneficial effect on scientific thinking, a freedom from that which

I had experienced as that sense of a slimy feeling, from a feeling that my skin were crawling, an experience which exposure to Romanticism provokes. The blame for much of that latter sort of folly, belongs to those, unfortunately, many people who may have a notion of the dictionary meaning of the word “metaphor,” while only the very fortunate seem to have understood its scientific content. Mathematicians, for example, rarely do, and, as the Edward Arlington Robinson, stated to be President Theodore Roosevelt’s favorite American poet, once wrote, Miniver Cheevy also had reasons.

You might have wondered, at this point: why do I open this chapter of my report in what might seem to some of you, as this dreamlike way?

I tell you, that it is necessary to think exactly such thoughts as these bearing on the Classical-artistic imagination, if one is to clear away the obstacles to genuinely creative insights. The principal source of all scientific reason employed in discovery of true principles, takes its root in the role of the imagination in Classical artistic composition, the latter the domain in which, like Albert Einstein’s musical imagination, or that of Leonardo da Vinci before him, where the essential aspect of scientific creativity resides.

So, situate William Empson’s **Seven Types of Ambiguity** within reference to the work of such as Shakespeare and Shelley, on the subject of metaphor and kindred matters. That comparison exemplifies a place where the sanitizing habit of frolicking amid real ideas might be found.

What I have just written, in the paragraphs above, is a subject which might be described in a formal way. This has advantages, but also disadvantages. To be precise about this matter, there is a way to think, and a way to speak of what one has thought, or, at a minimum, might gain a prescience of what needs to be discovered as a thought. Without the specific quality of passion which only systemically Classical modes of artistic composition can provoke, there could be no sustainable process of the creativity on which scientific advances depend.

*Do not ask, “What should we think?” but “How might we think?”* Think of Heraclitus’ sense of human life as “a river into which no one may step twice.” Think, of Heraclitus’ aphorism in terms of the principle of reality which distinguishes competent, from popularized notions of the principles of economy. Discover what may lurk behind the mask which is usually considered to be literate speech. I shall come to the explic-

itly scientific connotations of such a distinction, soon, within this present chapter.

### ***Heraclitus on Economy***

The great fraud common to what appears to be virtually all among the contending views on the underlying principles of economy, is an inherently fraudulent presumption by Rudolf Clausius and his co-thinkers, an assumption which might often be referred to as the psychopathology of believing in a “Second Law of Thermodynamics.” That is the intellectually, and also morally defective notion, that frictional or comparable forces of attrition foredoom all systems to express a process of “running down” with wear and tear, over time. That is a principal source of the systemic quality of defects in the thinking of economists generally.

For example, such foul folly as that, is implicit in the practice of such as the late, and consummately evil Bertrand Russell, and by Russell’s devotees among the intrinsically fatal incompetence characteristic of that Cambridge systems-analysis outlook which is embodied in the Laxenberg, Austria-based International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA). In reality, as Heraclitus’ parable actually suggests, the reality is, that the continued existence of any existing physical-economy’s social process, demands the effect of a long-term increase in the relative anti-entropy of the physical process of economy, per capita and per cubic centimeter of cross-sectional measure of society’s action.<sup>10</sup>

Two aspects of that function are to be emphasized here. For a pair of relatively simple illustrations of that conception, consider the following:

First, all of mankind’s primary sources for consumption, are to be located in the accumulated concentrations of deposited left-overs from the former existence of living processes. By using primary sources accumulated as “raw materials” for human consumption, we are not using up the biochemical material deposited; but, we are, in a manner of speaking, dispersing it, thus appearing to “use up” an earlier richness of the relatively richest deposits known to be accessibly available to our present means; this, consequently, implicitly obliges us to expend increased efforts merely to “stay even” with the effects of such apparent depletion.

Secondly, to offset the entropic effect just referenced, mankind’s creativity and general ingenuity must intervene. A higher concentration of applied power

10. Compare this with the irony in Plato’s **Parmenides**.



were required merely to provide for a constant level of better than breakeven in the efficiency of production of the materials which a net fixed standard of living would appear to require. Actually, on the account of the dependency of progress, even the need to resist attrition by higher forms of production, requires both an increase of the human population, and an accompanying advancement in the net productive powers and productivity of human labor, as through the means of applied inventions and investments in human creativity, and, also, by increases of the capital-intensity in current modes of production. This works to such effect, that there is required an increase in both the energy-flux-density of power applied, per capita, and therefore of capital-intensity, too, that per square kilometer of territory, even to maintain a constant standard of net productivity.

In a successful design and development of a national and world economy, these considerations are crucial.

For example, those persons who claim that society should avoid high-energy-flux-density sources of applied power, by limiting us to such as windmills and solar collectors, are either being stupid, or may be malicious hoaxsters. The fact is, that the very act of entertaining the idea that there is a ratio of power, net of physically incurred cost, extracted from such devices as windmills and solar collectors, is a folly whose ruinous effect far exceeds all acceptable lower limits which any nation's population could tolerate. Windmills and solar-collectors have the inherent practical effect of an attempt at imposing virtual genocide against the nation harboring the practice of such capital folly. The emphasis on those means, can have no result, but the destruction, through relative bestialization, of the preconditions for the survival of a civilized society.<sup>11</sup>

---

11. Compare the incurred physical cost per kilowatt-hour among windmills and solar collectors, with those of hydroelectric dams and nuclear fission. Also compare the efficiency of chlorophyll's effects in the increase of the energy-flux density of the relevant environment with the loss of that gain through reversing the biological productivity of land-areas through degrading the area by desertifying the area by substituting the far less efficient, and far more costly method of the attempted generation by the effect of solar collectors. Chlorophyll typifies the vast superiority of growing, leafy plants, or, better, trees. Note that the intention of Britain's Prince Philip and of the Netherlands' Prince Bernhard, in launching the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), was, not to maintain a higher standard of living for the human population, but to reduce and contain the world's human population to no more than two billions living human individuals. A policy of windmills and solar collectors would do just that, early on, but a continuation of such practices which destroy more wealth during their operation, than is produced, means setting population goals in the direction of a return to a "stone age."

That destruction is what contemporary custom identifies as that fascist doctrine of "creative destruction" attributed to the doctrines of Friedrich Nietzsche and such among his followers in this doctrine as, most notably, Werner Sombart, and Joseph Schumpeter.

The fact that we must rely increasingly on shrinking of the richest resources available to us at any particular time, simply to maintain society, and that we must also increase the level of applied "energy-flux density" to make science-driven technological progress possible, adds weightily to the evidence that "green policies" of the sort demanded by advocates of the policies of the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) and comparable progenocide cults, must be outlawed, on the account of a charge of willful genocide, from practice, world-wide.

Actually, society needs more real "green" plant-life in respect to bring about: (1.) Rises in the level of energy-flux density in the order of nuclear-fission and thermonuclear fusion, increasing, world-wide, now, as needed, beyond honest doubt, even to maintain existing nations and their peoples; (2.) Increases in water throughput per capita and per square kilometer of territory, which can be done through modes such as the design for the National Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), and the Transaqua project presently; (3.) Accelerated rates of physical-capital intensity of investment in production and in basic economic infrastructure; (4.) Revolutionary qualities of human improvements in the interrelationship between life on Earth and the processes of inter-action, on the one side, between human and other life on Earth, and (on the other side) long-term processes whose desired, functional effects on life on Earth depend upon mankind's understanding of the factors of cosmic radiation located, on the one side, within the bounds of the galactic and Solar-systemic functions of cosmic radiation, and, on the other side, as if reciprocally, life, especially human life, as back here, on Earth.

In the meantime, we are, thus, already entering a phase in which the reading of the Periodic Table of Chemistry is premised on a system of that table of Chemistry which is to be viewed from the standpoint of space-time, rather than from the standpoint of a space-and-time in which there is really nothing even approximating the existence of "virtually empty space," a space filled with that cosmic radiation, among which "elementary particles" may be recognized as singularities of the system.

In such a prospective process of reform of the very



LPAC-TV

*The great bioengineering project of NAWAPA leads us to the question: What must the policies of the United States be toward the organization of new degrees of man's power to develop conditions both on, and nearby the planet Earth? NAWAPA will be a giant step into the galaxy, and beyond. Shown: an exploding supernova, sending cosmic radiation into "space."*

notion of a physical, rather than a merely nominally monetary system of economy, we must replace the inherently tragic sort of so-called traditional methods of social-economic "traditions," doing that with a willingness to meet challenges to what have been presently more or less axiomatic, but mistaken notions of man on Earth. We must do this, to meet those challenges from even the future states which mankind must conquer even during both the recent and that coming generation which we are already entering now.

That much said, let us now return to the matters under discussion before this parenthetical intervention had just been inserted by me.

### ***The Present Conception of Mankind***

It is virtually inevitable, but not necessarily very good in itself, that what we are customarily disposed to say, is closely related to the current variety of generally considered objects of our sense-experience. Yet, already, for reasons which I shall now illustrate, careful reflection on the role of our powers of sense-perception, especially when limited to the span of the conventional five senses, is, virtually, by definition, far from the domain within which actually productive thought might dwell. We should be reaching for the real ideas which are not matters of sense-perception, but thoughts "we

see as if in a darkened [and distant] mirror,"

We must think about a certain thought by Albert Einstein, on the subject of Johannes Kepler's uniquely original discovery of the principles of universal gravitation. Kepler's discovery recognizes that neither the sense-perception of sight, itself, nor that of harmonics, itself, is the truth of the real universe. Remember, that sense-perceptions are, actually, merely shadows which may sometimes appear to be, to some among us, as if cast by the unseen universe. That can be, as it was for Albert Ein-

stein, very good. Kepler had demonstrated by his unique discovery of universal gravitation: it is only by means of experimentally demonstrable intersections of two (or, more) contrasted mere shadows, each being not real in itself, that what is neither of the two, casts its own shadow of that conceptualized truth which actually exists in an unsensed, but true domain, our universe as such.

Return, thus, to the third section of Bernhard Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation.

Riemann connects two points of thought. The first thought, is the fact that sense-perception does not pierce the reality of the respective extremes of the very large and the very small. This leads to the concluding sentence of the dissertation, that to enter the domain of physics, we must depart the prejudicial premises of mathematics. We do not actually know the real universe as an object of sense-perception; those objects we meet in our senses, are not real in themselves; we can know the effects of changes which we can demonstrate, which are effects of the type we should consider principles, but which do not correspond to the shadowy images of sense-perception as such. We must come to know, that we can actually know the real universe, which exists in this way, as that being only as we are enabled to change it, as Heraclitus wrote.

## ***What Is the Human Mind?***

As if in a thought-experiment, set up the following organization of ideas.

For purposes of that thought-experiment, presume what are, unfortunately, usually classed as “the standard five senses.” At the same time, in your imagination, establish a mental image of your own personal identity as a creature which is capable of witnessing the behavior of your sense-perceptions: not what you sense as such, but, rather, your reactions to the setting among your five indicated types of sense-perceptions. Treat what the sense-perceptions presented to “the you” of your observing sense-behavior, as being a matter of virtual shadows, rather than the reality which may have cast that shadow. The “you” which is watching the shadow-like images, now interprets the behavior of those sense-perceptions, as sense-perceptual experiences which are no longer, ontologically, what you might confidently regard as being the relevant “real you,” but, rather the visible shadows cast by a domain which remains unseen.

Now, remember, from this point on, that sense-perceptions, at their best, are merely shadows cast by an unseen universe. The organs (agencies) of sense are a form of instrumentation built into the system of human sense-perception. Once we have recognized that those shadows are merely reflections of the function of sense-perception, rather than access to ontological sense-certainty, we have entered the domain of a competent practice of science.

Imagine that you are living comfortably within a (momentarily) virtually sealed space ship, imagining that that which passes for the space-ship’s own sense-instruments are then your mind’s only direct access to the world outside the direct experience of the ship at that time, rather than actually being you. You are now considered as incarnate within the sensed universe outside the ship, almost as if you were an immortal soul, and that “that you” is operating the space-ship under the conditions within the universe “outside,” through aid of the use of the instruments. What you sense of the world outside can be recognized only by aid of the method employed in Kepler’s uniquely original discovery of a principle of gravitation.

Now, think in the terms with which Riemann defines as the subject of the universe beyond sense-perception, in the unsensed aspect of the universe, as seeming to be the very largest and very smallest aspect of what you imagine you are experiencing as within “a

universe” outside the craft in which you imagine that you are traveling.

## ***Mind & Sense***

You exist. You are real in the respect that your sense-perceptions can be proven by methods which are not dependent upon sense-perception itself as such, methods for which sense-perceptions are, at their best, merely shadows cast by some reality according to the chosen mode of illumination of the effect of the presence of the unseen object.

Once we have said that much, we are self-confronted by an ironical fact, a fact which identifies the substance of the central point to be made in this present publication.

Pose the question: if sense-perceptions are, in each expression, to be presented as being merely varieties of the shadows of reality, what does that consideration say to the point of the nature of the actual being which we are, rather than being merely like a shadow cast upon a screen, as the Apostle Paul wrote of this, as “seen” through a darkened mirror? What remains, then, when the attributes of the living flesh fall away?

There is, in fact, a certain answer, which should be clear to any competent physical scientist, and to anyone who has comprehended the actual significance of the essentially underlying coincidence between discoveries of principle in physical science represented by the efficiency of the act of discovery of a universal physical principle: we are considering a discovery which is ontologically an act by a living human individual, but an act which persists efficiently as a still-living expression of the person, such as a relevant scientist, poet, painter, or Classical musician as in the Classical domain identified by J.S. Bach’s set of pedagogical preludes and fugues. That creative act of such ontological attributes, is the essential expression of the person whose biological existence has an immortal outcome which is separate from his, or her biological expression.

Thus, true science and truly Classical modes of artistic composition and its expressions, have common essentials, to the degree their actions are the expression of principles. To similar effect, the use of those discovered principles, is the expression of a creative human action which partakes of the creative act of discovery through which society’s use of that discovered principle is both specifically human and immortal in practice, when expressed in this way. The rediscovery of Bach’s actual principle of the fugue, as by a student, is already



an implicitly immortal act of that student.

In the matter of the class of principles specific to physical science, the accumulation of such discovered principles beyond the ontological reach of sense-perception as such, is already of the character of an immortal act of the category specific to human existence. Thus, those who infuse such notions of principle in students through their work in teaching, are imbued with an apprehension of immortality in that way. As Heraclitus' aphorism implies, humanity never steps into the same river twice. The water of human creativity is changing, as for the worthy teacher, by the very nature of the practiced effects of its inherently anti-entropic existence. Such is the true meaning of "the good," to be, in this modality, a conveyer of the intimation of immortality.

Thus, in this fashion, the person who knows his or her existence in the fashion of this practice which I have just presented, possesses an identity which is, as the Apostle Paul has said, inherently beyond the reach of mere mortality. It is called "nice" to speak of such matters in this comforting fashion. However, it is far more important, not only for that individual, but for that society, that society recognize those individuals who qualify in such ways as I have just indicated, who not only know this of themselves, but understand the immortality of the qualified sort of deceased person as being an efficient agency for benefit of the future society.

The case of the fertile mind of the great scientist or great Classical artist, is true, but does not yet quite reach the essence of the point to be made. The discussion must proceed hence to a place beyond the notion of saints sitting eternally at peace with their immortality; what is human life without a continuing sense of an active expression of immortality? Does the deceased teacher of creativity to students, cease to have an active existence in society once deceased? Creativity as such is eternally efficient for as long as mankind practicing the advances inherent in creativity, continues to exist. True creativity is, speaking ontologically, an immortal principle in and of itself. Those who have created, live actively in the creative advances of those who have preceded them. Such is already the essence of true, continuing reproduction of scientific progress and of Classical artistic composition in society.

So much for the pitiable Hamlet, who finds death an existentialist's desired relief for a sense of being a worthless character of his own, and also of his entire society's personal existence, as Shakespeare saw this clearly in a triumphant vantage-point of his own im-

mortality of what he composed as a warning to future mankind in such works as on the subjects of the pointlessness of the existence of a Lear, a Macbeth, or a Hamlet, except for each being a victim of the same evil which their existence had embodied. How enraged the triumphant vultures among James I's hooligans would have been by such a thought, or Paolo Sarpi's Galileo would have hated Shakespeare's insight into such matters, as Shakespeare had foreseen them and their like from his safe haven in a triumphant death, and thought once more, wearing an eternal smile of happiness, about many things. He would have thought, perhaps, about the lesson he had taught to the court of Richard III or might have thought about the headless ambitions of Henry VIII.

---

### III. What Is Life's Purpose?

---

There is no reason for the simple perpetuity of a changeless expression of human life as such. We live, as true human personalities, in the future which is to be created as the outcome of the perpetuity of those qualitative changes in the universe to which our present existence contributes. As the very nature of human creativity illustrates this point, a universal principle, once discovered, lives forever (at least, implicitly so) as the accumulation of an ordering of that creation of principled changes in the universe which embody an ordering within existence in the universe as a whole, a universe which Einstein defined as finite, but not bounded. *Heraclitus said: Nothing is permanent in the universe but change.* As the celebrated psychiatrist, Dr. Lawrence S. Kubie, once said to a colleague of mine, in the very early 1970s: *creativity is an intrinsic good.*<sup>12</sup>

That much said; we are now, thus, prepared to return to the subject of war in a fresh way

First of all: it is intrinsically a great crime against the very existence of all humanity, to launch, or continue a war which is either not necessary, or, a war which one does not intend to win in a reasonably finite range of lapsed time. Long wars such as that waged against Iraq, fought, since 2003, launched through a lie by then Prime Minister Tony Blair, which was a crime against humanity from the outset. In fact, while what is

---

12. Dr. Lawrence S. Kubie (1896-1973) "The Fostering of Scientific Creativity," *Daedalus* (1961); *The Neurotic Distortion of the Creative Process*, 1958. Formerly Professor of Psychiatry at Yale. A leading psychiatrist of the U.S.A. over more than four decades. Deceased in 1973.

called World War II, had become necessary, World War I as organized by the principal initiative of the British monarchy, was not, and neither, probably, was any protracted warfare since World War II.

Those rules to which I have just referred are not accepted by empires in the tradition of the British empire, a British empire which like all imperialist warring parties, creates warfare intended to be set into motion among nations duped into ruining themselves for the purpose of increasing the British empire's imperial grip on the world at large, exactly as Britain had set the pattern for such repeated behavior by her, as done by what was known as the so-called "Seven Years War" of 1756-63.

Take the case of Nietzsche and his doctrine, for example. The perpetual state of murderous "unpeace" between Israeli and Arab, has been a crime against humanity, as a perpetual state of war which makes criminals of all those who willingly practice it. The worst of all warfare, is of the form practiced by the Roman empire and by the chain of successors culminating, thus far, in the form of the British empire presently associated with the essentially evil, Inter-Alpha Group's international system. The reigning international monetarist system of that British empire's practice of hyperinflationary explosion of intrinsically worthless nominal value, which has been unleashed as the generation of currency of increasingly worthless content, has already shown itself, in recent decades, to express the most evil, and most mass-murderous intentions shown by those agencies which practice such conflicts spread about on what now approaches a more or less global scale.

Those from among nations who are able to do so, but who do not resist such a latter form of British practice of warfare, make themselves the self-criminalized accomplices of something proximate to the greatest possible crime against today's humanity, as do the related forms of actions of the British, or their agent George Soros, repeatedly. The principle to be invoked in such cases, is that murder by any means, is murder, while murder against a nation or people is the crime of mass-murder. Mass murder by means of the weapon of hyperinflation, as conducted through the promotion of



USAF/SSGT Myles D. Cullen

*"It is intrinsically a great crime against the very existence of all humanity, to launch, or continue a war which is either not necessary, or, a war which one does not intend to win in a reasonably finite range of lapsed time," LaRouche writes. Shown: a medical technician checks the condition of a soldier injured in a rocket attack in Baghdad, Iraq, during "Operation Iraqi Freedom," August 2004.*

intrinsically worthless debt, as that is practiced by the Inter-Alpha Group and its confederates, is as much mass-murder as any crime of which the Nazis were accused.

The same, presently lacking court of justice required for treatment of the foregoing statement on the class of criminality, creates a condition such as that practiced by a Soros-created private court, whose members must be tried for their part in the cases of those whose crime is the prevention of either, or both national sovereignty or scientific-technological progress in the conditions of life of even some, or many nations.

Such and kindred offenses represent capital crimes which the hand of overreaching power commits against, implicitly, all humanity. Those potencies which condone such crime as that, are as much criminals as those who initiate it.

Such matters as these which I have just indicated, go to the point, that the suppression of the increase of the power of existence of mankind, as in the case of suppression through the means represented by the action to prevent the promotion of scientific progress, is among the worst of all existential offenses against humanity. Indeed, by their expressed intention, the founders of the World Wildlife Fund rank high in the category of crimes against humanity, together with the criminal international drug-traffickers in the tradition in which that British East India Company became among the worst

of the criminals associated with “crimes against humanity.”

Notably, the importance of that sampling of categories of great offenses by nations and entities of comparable power and authority, is that all work to the effect of a major offense against not only some victims, but against that proper intent of society which must bind human society as a whole, is a major crime by those agencies. What I have set forth, to this effect, in the preceding sections of this present report, expresses the principled obligation of society as a whole to protect and promote what should be promoted as the highest of principles of law; this demands that the purpose of society is nothing less than the promotion of the cause of universal increase of the productive powers of all humanity, as I have stressed that point in the preceding chapter of this report.

This, the matter of what should be considered as the proper law promoting universal human creativity, which I have, thus, set before us afresh, again, as in the opening of this present chapter, has lately acquired the character of a qualitative upshift in practical meaning for humanity generally, but, especially, for the world’s great powers whose leading contribution to this end is the relatively most essential part of the effort presently, and beyond.

### ***NAWAPA as a Model***

Specifically, the promotion of great projects which provide for the maintenance of the general welfare of society, presents us with the quality of issue posed implicitly by the present feasibility of the installation of the NAWAPA mission in North America. This project has unique qualities appropriate for the defense and progress of the conditions of human life in a great part of North America. The essential elements of that installation reach to implications of practice beyond any feasible design presented by mankind on Earth heretofore. The implications of that project reach not only to the matter of the interrelationship within the composition of the Solar system, but a known interrelationship, bearing on the intimate details of the existence, to date, of life on Earth is combined with important relations of the Solar system with our galaxy.

The presently crucial contribution to certain details of attention to this set of relationships within our galaxy, is presently allocated to the work of my associates of what is called “The Basement Team.” My remarks here, in this present chapter, are intended, up to this point, to

serve the modest function of indicating the limitation of this report to significantly restricted policy implications of the more immediate of the global-systemic implications of a NAWAPA development, this for its effect on human life on this planet of ours as a whole.

The question which I address, accordingly, here, is posed as follows: What must the policies of the United States be, in the light of this evidence, toward the organization of new degrees of man’s power to develop conditions both on, and nearby the planet Earth? These are conditions which open up the opportunities represented by new, qualitatively improved options for overcoming many among the most urgent of the present environmental and economic challenges for the nations of our planet now, that rather rapidly.

What is to be presented here, in that light, is to be located, as a matter of principle, in the view of the work of Academician V.I. Vernadsky, a view which is, in turn, a reflection of the great Nineteenth-century revolution in science and its method, which is to be attributed specifically to the work of Bernhard Riemann. My special authority in this subject-matter of the modern science of physical economy, has, and remains my unique position as a successful forecaster, during slightly more than sixty years of largely successful applications in the domain of a science of physical economy. This has been, and remains a practice which is premised on the standpoint of reference provided by, most emphatically, the topics posed in Riemann’s celebrated 1854 habilitation dissertation.

### ***Man & Creation***

When we situate my own special competencies as a physical economist in their appropriate relationship to the specific achievements of V.I. Vernadsky, a fresh view of nearly everything follows. First, there is the matter of the nature of the principle of creativity as such, as the principle is distinct from any axiomatic form of merely mathematics as such.

There is nothing in mathematics as such which corresponds to the existence of an efficient causal factor in physical science: mathematics is a subject of physics, rather than the other way around. The discovery of any new physical principles defines a new mathematics. However, this requires attention to certain distinct, specifically qualitative dimensions of creativity.<sup>13</sup>

---

13. The problem here is, that the a-priori presumptions of Euclidean geometry, are not physical principles, and that there are no true physical



These dimensions include creativity in general, creativity in ostensibly non-living processes, the creativity specific to living processes as such, the creativity specific to plant life, the creativity specific to animal life, and the creativity specific to the human mind. This includes the interrelationship among those categories.

However, as a matter of contrast, Gottfried Leibniz, implicitly a follower of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa's **De Docta Ignorantia**, introduced a systemic approach to creativity in non-living processes, a discovery which is to be attributed to one of Kepler's proposed investigations by "future mathematicians," the Leibniz principle of creativity in mathematical physics, thus as developed to a certain stage in collaboration with Jean Bernouilli. The second of the two proposals by Kepler to his followers, was the matter of physical-elliptical functions, a subject treated among the generation of Carl F. Gauss. The domain of the issue of "life" was "broken into" by the work of Louis Pasteur, and so on, and on. The aspects of this subject which are specifically relevant to the present discussion here, are the matter of the difference between what is merely animal life, and the unique distinction of the creative-mental powers of the human individual and his, or her society.

Quantification of these respective domains, is subsumed by the principled character of the relevant domain itself. What then becomes immediately interesting, is the functional characteristics of the interaction among the functions of this array of distinctive qualities of physical states. The outcome of considering carefully that array of qualitative states, is that conclusion reached which states that there is a ruling, unifying principle of creativity in the universe in its entirety, as governing the universe as if from the top down. Next, in descending order, is the willful characteristic of human creativity, but such as it bears upon the interaction among the creativities of separate individual persons and the group-actions which they generate. After that, the obvious, descending order of subjects of lesser rank prevails.

*The competent development and practice of a physical economy so situated, is at the root of my work as a physical economist. Science is not a subject of mathematics; a mathematics of physical science is a subject of the subject of man.* Most of the blunders among economists, can be traced, properly, to a lack of comprehen-

sion of that elementary scientific principle of priorities.

The most pertinent of the conclusions to be brought forward here, is that all of our knowledge of the universe occurs in the qualitative form of human creativity, to such effect that what we know of the ontological states in the universe which are lower in scientific order than mankind, are known to us in the form and terms of the study of human willful behavior as the primary feature of all competent scientific practice.

A clearly necessary commentary on what I have just written in the preceding paragraphs follows.

Thus, having presented the points which I have just made above, we can not make decisions respecting the nature of man, from the standpoint of relatively lower forms of life, or of non-life, by choosing either of these latter as being a primary standpoint of reference for experimental knowledge bearing on the nature of mankind. "Man as made in the likeness of the Creator," is the best that man as such can do: all that we really know for our own part, as being a fair approximation of primary truth, is the principle of creativity itself, in the degree it is knowable by man. Everything that we can actually know is knowable to us through our knowledge of the truth about the defects inherent in our senses, the senses which are given to us, not as truth per se, but as the elements of the riddle which we must solve through playing clever tricks on our sense-perceptual capabilities, including, thus, the ability of our senses to take our thoughts to where our senses can not go, through the clever design and use of what we term "scientific instruments." What Riemann marks out as the concluding, third section of his 1854 habilitation dissertation is typical.

### ***Shift Gears, for a Moment***

What "we know," with no apologies to the hoaxter Descartes, is, essentially, that "I" exists. We rely on sense-perceptions, including some qualities of capability which go outside the presumed realm of the famous five, customarily selected types of sense-perception.

We are, to that extent, like the migratory creatures which use, unwittingly, the Earth's magnetic field to guide their periodic migrations. We can know that we, too, have powers whose definition goes in a similar direction, excepting the fact that, usually, conscious sense of those powers of perception has not been usually developed by persons up to the present date. For our pur-

---

principles in the system of the empiricism founded by Paolo Sarpi, the empiricism of what is known as British Liberalism.

poses here, it is sufficient to indicate that the domain of cosmic radiation, particularly the lower frequency radiation which lies within the lower end of aggressiveness, and which could be identified as of types not biologically hostile to human beings, is available to be considered for such purposes. In that direction, we also know, from relevant experimental work, that the physical behavior of living processes does not conform to the same, apparently lawful principles of physical science familiar to non-living behavior. (Consult your local cucumber, for example.)

Unfortunately, so far, society has been greatly remiss in its lack of attention to matters found in the context of the subject-matters under our immediate consideration here and now. An example of this is to be found in the long-postponed matter of attention to the fact that our universe exists in a domain, not of space and time, but the universality of that space-time which is expressed as cosmic radiation. There is no proven evidence of the actual existence of “empty” space, unless it were to be found beyond our finite universe’s non-bounds, a speculative thought which, for the present moment, enjoys no warrant of practical importance.

This brings our attention back to the essential point in the course of this entire, presently ongoing discussion here. As mankind, we are obliged to recognize what some might regard as an inconvenient truth, the truth that our sense-organs are far removed from the condition of actually knowing; at least that is so for any among us who are of the Riemannian persuasion.

Therefore, let us now examine our own minds within the framework of the presently stated premises of this report thus far.

*When old age shall this generation waste,  
Thou shalt remain, in midst of other woe  
Than ours, a friend to man, to whom thou say'st,  
“Beauty is truth, truth beauty,”—that is all  
Ye know on earth, and all ye need to know.*

—Keats, from “Ode on a Grecian Urn”



*Portrait of John Keats (above) by William Hilton; drawing by Keats of the Sosibios vase (ca. 1819); portrait of Shelley by Alfred Clint.*



*The most unfailing herald, companion, and follower of the awakening of a great people to work a beneficial change in opinion or institution, is poetry. At such periods there is an accumulation of the power of communicating and receiving intense and impassioned conceptions respecting man and nature.*

—Shelley, from “A Defence of Poetry”



*“Look at the concluding paragraphs of Percy Bysshe Shelley’s ‘A Defence of Poetry,’ LaRouche writes.*

*“Compare the content of those paragraphs with the relationship between the poet and the urn in John Keats’ ‘Ode on a Grecian Urn.’”*

### “The Captain of My Soul”

Look at the concluding paragraphs of Percy Bysshe Shelley’s **A Defence of Poetry**. Compare the content of those paragraphs with the relationship between the poet and the urn in John Keats’ **Ode on a Grecian Urn**. In the first example, a large body of individual persons is gripped by an influence, identifiable as “mass behavior,” whose existence is located in something which permeates the whole assembly of persons, primarily, and then penetrates the will of the individual in a subordinate degree. In the other of the two cases, the intimation of life in the images of the urn leaps across the intervening centuries, as if as a kind of immortality of the connection between the past and present. Both examples define processes which share in common bonds which unite the existence of compelling forces acting upon individuals across gaps in space and time, like warships acting in concert out of clear sight of one another, bonded chiefly by the compelling sense of the mission which unites their intentions.

With the radio-technologies of modern warfare, the relevant channels of cosmic radiation are directly

known to one another within the flotilla, but, despite all other considerations, the effect of the human relationship as such remains unchanged in principle although the means of coordination has been changed. The intention thus prevails over the difference in medium employed. The most significant of the avenues of physical-scientific research into the nature of the medium of such or comparable expressions of mass behavior, is, presently what is broadly classed as an aspect of “cosmic radiation.” A great nation, whether great and strong, or weak and small, is governed by the same quality of human relationship among the community of its individual parts, a common sense of mission, especially directed mission. Whatever the root, the categorical nature of the phenomenon of mass behavior as such is clearly established. The best definition available so far, has been Shelley’s implied definition in the concluding paragraphs of his **A Defence of Poetry**.

However, in the meantime, we are not helpless in the matter of related expressions of human behavior. Take the extremely provocative case of Helen Keller. I suggest your attention to my other published writings on this area of investigations.

As the widely considered case of the seemingly miraculous accomplishments in the Helen Keller case presents its evidence, the assistance provided to her enabled her to create substitute forms of function to fill up the gap which might be otherwise attributable to her ostensibly lost, “natural” sensory functions. If we could presume that the publicized facts in that case contain no relevant exaggerations or misstatements, then, the very notion of an axiomatic sort of “sense-certainty” is called into doubt. The question thus posed to us presently, is how must we define the relevant kind of design of experiment required for a trustworthy re-examination of the higher implications of the Helen Keller case for today?

There is some essential solution for the core of that challenge. That does not provide us an assured solution for all of the questions which might be attributed to the actual case of Helen Keller. Nevertheless, there is an approach, which the Keller case provokes, which does lead to desirable insights into some extremely important, scientific solutions for the systemic problems posed by the customary reliance on the authority of “sense certainty.” The argument goes as follows.

The most crucial of all of the issues of the known history of physical science, is the issue of the ontological basis for the notion of a universal physical principle

as such. Such is the challenge posed by the case of Aristotle, and, therefore, also, Euclid. There are, in fact, no physical principles extant in **Euclid’s Elements**, but hypotheses *a-priori*, instead.

Paolo Sarpi, the founder of what passes now for modern European sophistry, insists that he is free of the *a-priori* assumptions of the Aristoteleans, but I know that he was lying about this, as the case of the very-much-Aristotelean sophist, Bertrand Russell presents the evidence on that case. When Sarpi had addressed the masses in society, he insisted that he is not an Aristotelean, but, rather what Sarpi suggests, formally, is the medieval William of Ockham (Latin: Occam).

Sarpi’s policy respecting the edification of “the masses,” is identical with the core argument presented by Adam Smith in Smith’s own 1759 **Theory of Moral Sentiments**, that although we humans are “*endowed with a very strong desire of those ends [happiness], it has been intrusted to the slow and uncertain determination of our reason to find out the proper means of bringing them about. Nature has directed us to the greater part of these by original and immediate instincts. Hunger, thirst, the passion which unites the two sexes, the love of pleasure, and the dread of pain, prompt us to apply those means for their own sakes, and without any consideration of their tendency to those beneficent ends which the great Director of nature intended to produce by them.*”

Bertrand Russell, a man expert in the habit of lying, gives away Adam Smith’s show, by advancing an underlying assumption contrary to the line of argument presented by Adam Smith.

The truth of the matter, with which neither of that pair has anything to do, was well known to Niccolò Machiavelli, the actual, Sixteenth-century founder of modern strategy. Paolo Sarpi’s entire policy of Liberalism, is rooted in Sarpi’s opportunist solution for the great failure of The Council of Trent. I have referred to aspects of this matter in an earlier part of this present report, and on some still earlier occasions. I state the case again, now; this time, I refer to the specific situation which Sarpi and the followers of modern Liberalism, such as that of Smith, the British Foreign Office’s Jeremy Bentham, and Bertrand Russell, later, reflect.

### ***Nicholas of Cusa, His Century and Beyond***

As the Fourteenth Century’s Venice-organized “New Dark Age”<sup>14</sup> did then, and the Venice-centered,

---

14. What I have to say here, at this point in my account, has profound



presently British imperial system of Inter-Alpha Group banking has unleashed presently, the trans-Atlantic region of the world now, is presently teetering at the brink of a sudden, Weimar-Germany-like hyperinflationary triggering of an implosion which is akin, in effect, to what struck down Europe during the Fourteenth-century “New Dark Age.”<sup>15</sup> That was a shattering blow, a shock to existing political cultures of Europe, a systemic discontinuity in the ongoing history of European civilization. It was in the setting of this aftermath, that a series of developments, including, very significantly, the challenge represented by Jeanne d’Arc, affected the attempts at a Fifteenth-century Renaissance in a manner which led into the great ecumenical Council of Florence.

There came an accelerating effort at the revival of the significantly shattered Venetian power. In this setting, elements of certain Catholic orders mustered a murderous form of brutal religious fanaticism organized by a resurgence of Venetian monetarist power built up around the Habsburg house. The effect of these elements within both the church and the Habsburg system, was an eruption of religious warfare which coincided with the event of a relatively massive, and crucial expulsion of Jews from Spain, a development which set off a general epidemic of internal religious warfare in Europe. The insanity of England’s Henry VIII, was taken over by the leadership of what is fairly identified as the Venetian intelligence service, as that was expressed in the person of the Francesco Zorzi (a.k.a. English Giorgi) who moved into England in the role of the marriage-counselor of Henry VIII. The organization of the divorce of Henry from his Spanish Habsburg wife, set off the greater explosion of religious warfare throughout Europe which, ultimately,

---

relevance for foreseeing some crucial implications of the presently much-advanced, great, trans-Atlantic, economic and cultural breakdown-crisis in progress at the present moment this present report here is being written. The present option, includes that taken by Venice in its decision to detonate the great Fourteenth-century “New Dark Age.” Venice, which controlled both the monetary system of Europe, and also its principal dupes, the leading merchant-bankers of northern Italy and the Netherlands, switched the monetary basis of the European banking system, thus precipitating the Fourteenth-century’s vastly genocidal “New Dark Age.”

15. E.g., **A Distant Mirror** of Barbara Tuchman. See also, LPAC’s **New Dark Age** video, <http://www.larouchepac.com/node/11851>.



*The expulsion of the Jews from Spain in 1492, in the context of the resurgence of Venetian power within the Habsburg empire, set off an epidemic of fanatical religious warfare in Europe, which lasted until the 1648 Peace of Westphalia. Shown: “The Expulsion of the Jews from Spain,” by Emilio Sala Frances (1889).*

imately, virtually crushed the cause of Habsburg Spain.

These developments produced the great crisis within the Catholic Church which led into the prolonged Council of Trent. Trent was an utter failure.

At that point, the influence of Niccolò Machiavelli appeared as a crucial strategic factor in further development of European history of the century-and-a-half of the continuing religious warfare within Europe. Here, in the failure of the Council of Trent, came the influence of the revolutionary figure of the opportunist Paolo Sarpi, a Sarpi free of the encumbrances of principle. But, this was a Sarpi who grasped, and hated, the idea of the change which the rise of the great ecumenical Council of Florence had done to change the very nature of Europe.

There were two leading features of this change in culture which the evil Sarpi and his accomplices concocted with the intention of wrecking both the factions of the great Council of Florence, the faction associated with the founding of modern physical science by the roles of Filippo Brunelleschi and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa within the context of the great ecumenical Coun-

cil of Florence, and the faction expressed as the Council of Trent.

The key to the outcome of this set of circumstances, was the role which Niccolò Machiavelli had come to play. Machiavelli, who is to be fairly considered as a reflection of Cusa follower Leonardo da Vinci and of the lost cause of the Republic of Florence, had, essentially, created the foundations of modern European military strategy. However, it was the great ecumenical Council of Florence, which, especially through the continuing influence of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, had created the founding body of that modern European physical science which had changed the leading culture of Europe in Cusa's time and that of such among his avowed followers as Paolo del Pozzo Toscanelli who had advised Christopher Columbus on the implementation of Cusa's proposal for crossing the oceans, and who gave specific scientific advice to Columbus for crossing the Atlantic (to the opposite shore), scientific advice in the legacy of Cusa typified by the efforts of Luca Pacioli, Leonardo da Vinci and Johannes Kepler. These are typical of the influences associated with Cusa which shaped the culture of modern Europe following the great ecumenical Council of Florence. Machiavelli was a product of the influence of that tradition associated with the legacy of Cusa.

Notably, it is a fact of systemic significance for the case of modern history which I am summarizing here, that Francesco Zorzi, the marriage-counselor of Henry VIII, was the author of a famous attack on the **De Docta Ignorantia** of Cusa.

The keystone of Paolo Sarpi's response to the intrinsic failure of the Council of Trent, is the best choice for a relatively succinct pin-pointing of the guiding notion of Paolo Sarpi's reaction to the argument of Trent. Put as simply, but also accurately as possible within the time permitted here, Sarpi elected to attack both the legacy of Cusa and the contrary policy of Trent. Sarpi recognized that, by recognizing that the military and related strategies of Machiavelli were an implementation of the legacy of Cusa, that he must chart a strategy for himself which would destroy the Habsburg faction through using the secret of the success of a modern economy premised on the work of the Florence Council's adoption of the economic methods of modern European culture while parodying, but also destroying the scientific principles of Cusa with the same blows. He saw that he could create a power in Europe which would be a prostitute for Aristotle, by attacking Aristotle,

Plato, and Cusa all at the same time. Hence the purported resurrection of the silly Occam as a catch-all for the specific form of morally indifferent sort of Satanic sophistry of Paolo Sarpi, the origin of the heritage on which Adam Smith and the British empire were built. He was the author of the policy which launched the Seventeenth-century Thirty Years War.

Obviously, the net effect was not notably distant from Lord Shelburne's dream of a slightly modified revival of ancient Rome, later.

So, the Treaty of Westphalia made possible all that has been good in European civilization ever since. Now, the attempted destruction of the legacy of Westphalia, looms like a darkening sky of doom over not only Europe, but the entire trans-Atlantic region.

It is the compacted lesson in the history of modern European culture which I have just reviewed, which defines the historic setting in which the crucial scientific issues of today's world civilization, especially the trans-Atlantic region most directly, are to be situated.

### ***A Modern Science of Human Identity***

I shall now bring the formal conclusion of this report toward its close through completing the account of the actual human identity which the paradoxical case of Helen Keller provoked.

Pick the following two points of reference in the spectrum of human knowledge. The one point is the set of what are identified as the standard five sense-perceptions. The second is the mind's attempted simulation of the bare sense of personal identity. Here is where Descartes shows himself to be absurd. Think of the approximation of the sense of identity between the mind of the person traveling in a space-ship, who has no contact with the space-time outside the ship but through the medium of the instruments employed by the ship itself.

As I had reported, repeatedly, earlier, the discovery of a physical principle, as in the instance of Johannes Kepler's discovery of the universal principle of gravitation, requires a minimum of mutual negation of two qualities of sense-perception, as in that case of the notions of sight and harmonics, to define a principle which is neither of those two. The confrontation is not sufficient; there must be a proof that that attributed principle is a general case of that type. This assumes the mathematical or equivalent form of a formulation which lends itself to be a form of mathematical or comparable proof suited to be a test of the generality of the adduced can-

didate-principle. However, it is the initial discovery of that as a suspected principle which is the crucial point of “break-through.”

The experimental demonstration may be susceptible of a created mathematical formulation, as was the case for Kepler’s own discovery of the universal principle of gravitation, but it is that discovery itself, rather than the mathematical formulation, which is expressed as the generation of the notion of that principle.

Here lies the key to the distinction between valid mathematical formulations in general, and a crucial quality of discovery of a principle which can not be deduced, but must be discovered from outside the existing domain of already known mathematical principles. The discovery must precede the possibility of a “mathematical solution” for the discovered notion, as Kepler’s discovery, once again, illustrates the point. The discovery must be found outside the domain of existing known factors, rather than as a solution for a new combination of known factors, as the “outsideness” requires the aid of the equivalent of a vicarious hypothesis, as Kepler defined this along his pathway of incremental steps leading toward what turned out to be the discovery of the principle of universal gravitation.

The crucial feature of this process of discovery lies in the quality of “outsideness” of the mind which experiences this process.

Now, review what we have experienced, implicitly in the kind of process described. View this proposition from the vantage-point presented by Bernhard Riemann’s habilitation dissertation. Here, in this case, it is the exclusion of deductive mathematics from the defining of an actual physical principle, which is crucial. In other words, that a minimum of two qualities of sense-perception, which are not contained within any single principle of perception, are required to locate any universal principle.

### ***Some Convenient Considerations***

The discovery of principles so defined, creates a mode of action by the human individual which does not depend directly on any single quality of sense-perception, or combination of qualities of mere sense-perceptions as such. Thus, the discovered principle, if it is a valid discovery, “belongs to” the discoverer, or discoverers in combination, rather than to human sense-perception as such. Thus, through the discovery of principle, the human individual acquires a “meaningful”

personal, human identity.

This comes up in the matter of Classical musical counterpoint, as distinct from the popular alternatives for decent music or the related subject of Classical poetry. So, all decent artistic composition depends on the principle of Classical irony, as this standpoint is approximated with a certain attractive degree of excellence in William Empson’s **Seven Types of Ambiguity**.

Thus, we have put psychological distance between the human individual and a “thing-like” surrogate for an actually human identity. Broad categories of mental disorders can be attributed to failures due to such “thing-like” surrogates, such as “fetishisms” defined by attachment to a sense-perception or the like. In the instances of such fetishisms, the identity of the human subject is located within the relatively bestial quality of sense-perceptual experience as such, rather than the actually human identity of a person.

### ***A Crucial Additional Consideration***

So far, the dominant feature of my argument on this subject has been confined to treatments of the limited number of five commonly featured varieties of sense-perception, and to the principles which may be adduced from inherently paradoxical juxtapositions of sense-perceptual experiences. Thus far, we have said next to nothing about the effect of adding what are demonstrably additional qualities of sense-perception, such as those additional qualities to be recognized in the large domain of cosmic radiation.

As in the case of bird migration dependent upon a feature of cosmic radiation, there are a large number of types of cosmic radiation, within the relevant ranges, which have such a function specific to one or another type of living entity of either plant or animal life.

One might ask, what might be the relevance of this latter consideration to the case of Helen Keller? I believe that the answer to that question ought to be obvious in what I have written here, thus far.

### ***To Conclude, for Now***

Of the many added questions to be treated under this same title represented in this report, the most urgent to be considered here, the most important at this stage of progress in these matters, are those which pertain to the distinction of practical implications of human creativity, from those of the functions of lower qualities of life.





Creative Commons/Thermos

*"As in the case of bird migration dependent upon a feature of cosmic radiation, there are a large number of types of cosmic radiation, within the relevant ranges, which have a function specific to one or another type of living entity of either plant or animal life.*

*"One might ask, what might be the relevance of this latter consideration to the case of Helen Keller?"*



Library of Congress (1904)

What is already certain, respecting that, is the question of difference in quality of sense of the experience of the emotion associated with the creative powers essential to mature expressions of the mental-emotional function of human identity.

It should be considered as clear, that this is exemplified by the human individual who enjoys an efficiently creative sense of personal identity, rather than mere jolts from occasional creative experiences, jolts which tend, in their cases, to diminish with age, as often prior to the post-adolescence of the late 20s or early 30s. It should be clear, from my own inner qualities of experience in this matter, as from my observations of others, that with the lack of the broadening and extension of the willful use of creative mental potentials in the relatively earlier stages of maturation, the likelihood of development and of perpetuation of actually creative potential diminishes as years pass, or, tends to become restricted in respect to categories of interest.

For me, it follows from my own life-long experience in respect to such matters that we must attribute much of the moral failures of individuals and, most emphatically, cultural strains, to a lack of regard for the role of creativity in defining morality. The cultural disaster which I have observed in the relevant samplings of the trans-Atlantic world, first, since the period of the immediately closing period of World War II and the Truman years inside the United States, and especially

since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, and worse-and-ever-worse since 1968, bears witness that most of the moral decadence of our society is attributable to the cultivation of a kind of running-away-from those aspects of life which pertain to actual creativity. The willfully motivated moral degeneration in the flight away from serious engagement in the activities associated with Classical music in the Bach tradition and away from actually Classical treatments of poetry, drama, and creative aspects, as distinct from formal-mathematical aspects of physical science, have been undeniable correlatives of the moral and intellectual degeneration of the trans-Atlantic communities of North America and Europe.

We must therefore, think of the moral force of true creativity, and, therefore, of the moral need for a science- and Classical-cultural driver as the key to preserving and enhancing the moral qualities of civilization. It is urgent that we pull back from factors cohering with contrary trends, as a precondition for halting and reversing the moral collapse which grips society, more or less globally, in the presently accelerating worldwide breakdown crisis now.

So far, I have said enough on the matters presented in this report. Let us now reconnoiter, that we might ferret out additional evidence bearing on the broader subject of human and other spectra of cosmic radiation.

# EIR LaRouchePAC Special Report

INTRIGUE: BEHIND THE DUGGAN & KRONBERG CASES

## Britain Declared War On The United States

Jan. 1—William Shakespeare peopled his plays with scoundrels, buffoons, liars, cowards, and fools, ruled by such ambitions, fears, guilt, and madness, from which they conjured such twisted conspiracies that, when compelled into action, led to their ruin and that of their equally mad benefactors. When we meet such figures on stage, they bear a semblance to the behavior of characters we think we know, but their fictional circumstances distinguish them. Until, that is, we leave the theater and find such real life actors as Erica Duggan and Marielle (Molly) Kronberg, and those associated with them—dramatis personae in twin legal hoaxes brewed in London.

In “The Mighty Wurlitzer Implodes” (<http://www.larouchepac.com/node/16722>), we summarized the British intelligence steering of the crude propaganda campaign against Lyndon LaRouche and the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM) currently framed by the ongoing North London Coroner’s Inquest in England concerning Jeremiah Duggan’s death in 2003, and the related legal case of *Marielle Kronberg v. Lyndon LaRouche et al.*, which was dismissed by the



Among the *Dramatis Personae* in the case, is Baroness Elizabeth Symons (right) of the British Foreign Office, who is the wife of Phil Bassett, Tony Blair’s (left) chief Iraq War propagandist.

Eastern District of Virginia Federal court due to the misconduct of Kronberg and her attorney.

In November 2010, a Federal magistrate found that Kronberg and her lawyer acted in bad faith and abused the Federal legal system by failing to answer questions and refusing to turn over her e-mails and documents to

the defendants in the case. In December, a Federal judge adopted the magistrate's findings, but, like the magistrate, failed to order Kronberg to pay the legal costs incurred by the defendants due to her misconduct, and allowed her the opportunity to refile her case. LaRouche and his co-defendants are appealing the court's failure to throw the case out altogether, and to hold an evidentiary hearing to determine the relative fault of Kronberg and her lawyers in order to apportion the appropriate monetary sanctions.

Meanwhile, according to published reports, Kronberg has foolishly refiled her frivolous case, albeit with a new attorney, while she and her publicist, Dennis King, have engaged in a frantic effort to erase the magistrate's factual findings from all accounts of the case, going so far as to demand that Google News remove LaRouchePAC's press release from their search engine!

In this report, we draw back the curtain, and provide additional details about the people and methods central to the plot. So as not to lose sight of the most important plot line, however, please note that the ebbs and flows of these legal frauds are not governed by events in the court system, or even by the alleged subject matter of the cases themselves. Both hoaxes—the Duggan and Kronberg cases—remain active only because they are standing operations against LaRouche, who is correctly perceived by the British Imperial Establishment as the Empire's, and its puppet Barack Obama's, major opponent.

In pursuit of their vendetta, the British Establishment has utilized a group of frightened former associates of LaRouche's movement who were recruited to the networks of the British-controlled intelligence operation known as the Congress of Cultural Freedom (CCF). Led by ex-communists like Sidney Hook, Wall Street and City of London spooks like John Train, and fascist sympathizers like Hannah Arendt and Leo Strauss, the CIA-funded, but British-run, CCF steered the culture of post-war America and Europe away from the tradition of Franklin D. Roosevelt, and into the destructive direction of the British Fabian Society liberalism which characterizes the Nazi-like policies of Barack Obama today.

These CCF-Convertos, some of whom you will meet below, had fled the LaRouche movement in the wake of the massive attacks on LaRouche in the 1980s—an operation that, former U.S. Attorney General Ramsey Clark said, “represented a broader range of

deliberate cunning and systematic misconduct over a longer period of time utilizing the power of the Federal government than any other prosecution by the U.S. Government in my time or to my knowledge.” Like all intellectual cowards, these Convertos, many of whom are now associated with right-wing neo-conservative, nominally Catholic circles, are filled with such fear and loathing toward their former selves that they seek comfort in gang-like formations, feeding each other's spiteful frenzies, and are driven to prove to their masters that they are more “normal” than the “normal,” or more fascist than the fascists, a character trait played out in the account which now follows.

### **The Duggan Hoax**

In March 2003, Jeremiah Duggan, a young British student studying in Paris, committed suicide by running into traffic on a busy highway, while attending a conference opposing the Iraq War and proposing large-scale infrastructure development, sponsored by the Schiller Institute in Wiesbaden, Germany. The German police investigators determined the cause of death to be suicide, an obvious conclusion, initially accepted by Jeremiah's mother, Erica. Almost immediately thereafter, Erica Duggan, was picked up by the then-damaged and politically desperate City of London intelligence circles centered on Tony Blair and the British monarchy, whose long-standing hatred of LaRouche had been stoked by LaRouche's April 3, 2003 BBC and other exposures of the British intelligence frauds which led to the Iraq War.

The same circles today stand accused of covering up for the alleged murder of British arms expert David Kelly, in July 2003, who, on May 30, had seconded LaRouche's charges on BBC, saying that Blair had “sexed up” his Iraq intelligence dossier—this time from within the British defense establishment. At the time, Blair and company had claimed that Kelly committed suicide, a claim that has come unraveled as new evidence has emerged.

After returning to London, Erica Duggan was immediately surrounded by a coven of low-level retainers scooped from prior intelligence operations against LaRouche, some of them dating back to late 1970s; these included Dennis King, Chip Berlet, Steve Hassan, Rick Ross, and the suspect Jewish Defense Organization of convicted violent felon Mordechai Levy. Within weeks, Duggan was claiming that the German police had conducted a coverup in investigating her son's death, and



that Jeremiah was the victim of foul play or murder by individuals associated with LaRouche. *Washington Post* reporter April Witt, who joined the British campaign against LaRouche and the LaRouche Youth Movement with a 2004 *Post Magazine* piece, reported that the British government itself had provided Erica Duggan with a lawyer.

The bogus “evidence” claimed by Duggan to support her mad assertions, has been fully aired in her appeals all the way through the German legal system. Repeatedly these claims have been deemed unfounded. This process ended in February 2010, when the German Constitutional Court, Germany’s highest court, rejected Duggan’s claims as akin to a devious and unfounded conspiracy theory. The British Coroner who conducted the first British inquest also refused to disturb the thoroughly investigated conclusions of German police concerning Jeremiah Duggan’s death.

The media sewer spigots had, however, opened fully, following a January 2004 meeting between Duggan and Baroness Elizabeth Symons of the British Foreign Office. Symons, a crony of Dick Cheney, is the wife of Phil Bassett, Tony Blair’s chief Iraq War propagandist. After that meeting, Duggan and the London law firm working on her case engaged in a series of publicity stunts related to her various frivolous legal appeals, as a means of keeping her grotesquely false allegations against LaRouche before the public—even as the courts and responsible authorities repeatedly rejected the same allegations.

In December of 2009, however, Duggan’s claims were resuscitated, if only briefly, by the notoriously corrupt British legal system. Then British Attorney General, Lady Scotland, who, one year earlier, had opposed reopening the Duggan case, and who was now under personal political attack, reversed her previous decision, and announced her support for a new inquest into Jeremiah Duggan’s 2003 death. A London North Coroner’s investigation was opened with great fanfare, and the matter was referred to Scotland Yard for investigation. But the inquest has been moribund ever since, prompting bitter complaints from the Duggan camp. In her blog, Erica Duggan, whose head has been filled with wild conspiracy theories, opines that the first British Coroner engaged in a coverup; that German state officials and judges expressed hidden anti-Semitic and anti-British sentiments through their decisions in the case; and, further, that the same officials are beholden, somehow, to Lyndon LaRouche.

## The Duggan Case Begets the Kronberg Case

On April 11, 2007, Ken Kronberg, a leader of the LaRouche movement and the printer of its publications, committed suicide in Sterling, Va. Kronberg left no note explaining his action. A business card left in his car directed investigators to his company, PMR Printing. A sheet of paper provided telephone numbers for his wife, Molly Kronberg.

Unlike her husband, who was a creative intellectual, devoted to LaRouche’s ideas and policies, Molly bore a seething hatred of LaRouche, and a fervent love of all things British, particularly the right-wing Fabian Society-kind of British. Both graduates of St. John’s College, at a time when neo-fascist philosopher Leo Strauss held forth there, along with Donald Rumsfeld’s intellectual mentor Richard Goldwin, Molly clung to and romanticized her experience there, while Ken had a different view, and became inspired by the intellectual challenge posed to him by LaRouche.

As detailed below, Molly has written that, at the time of Ken’s death, she was demanding that he publicly break with LaRouche. She had already done so, contributing to and supporting the Presidential campaign of George W. Bush and Dick Cheney in 2004—although she lied to Ken’s political associates in 2005, when the contributions were first publicly reported, claiming they were an elaborate joke played on her by Ken’s cousin.

Following Ken’s suicide, Molly admitted her long-standing hatred of LaRouche to Loudoun County Sheriff’s investigators, noting that she had been disaffected from the LaRouche movement since 1989. She pointedly emphasized that Ken Kronberg did not share her views.

In the days before Ken’s death, Molly exchanged messages with ex-members of the LaRouche movement who shared her hatred of LaRouche and, particularly, of the LaRouche Youth Movement—people who obsessively needed to justify and cover their cowardice by endless ritualistic railing at LaRouche. Molly’s exchanges with them included chatter supportive of the British Duggan operation, and a request for a psychiatric referral for Ken due to his mental state. Molly, of course, did not raise her concerns about her husband’s mental state with any of Ken’s political associates.

The week of his death, Ken had decided to shut down the printing operation he had run for over 25 years, and to lay off its employees. He had not shared this decision with others at PMR, or with leaders of the



*The actions and tone of the anti-LaRouche cabal strikingly resemble J. Edgar Hoover's early public relations efforts. Its members have therefore been dubbed the "Junior G-Men"—"Junior Gs" for short.*

LaRouche movement. He faced serious legal jeopardy from the IRS for non-payment of withholding taxes. He had had a recent adverse medical diagnosis.

In her Internet exchanges the day before the suicide, Molly wished for the financial demise of the LaRouche movement, while admitting that this would be personally uncomfortable because of Ken's financial entanglements. According to her subsequent Internet posts, she was also demanding that Ken hold a press conference and publicly attack LaRouche over his company's financial difficulties. She offered to hold the press conference herself to attack LaRouche, to which she says Ken replied, "Ah—Erica Duggan move over—eh?" Molly Kronberg insists, however, that Ken discussed his suicidal intentions with her, "only obliquely."

Within days of Ken Kronberg's death, Erica Duggan,

probably impressed with the opportunity to boost her own operation on a new front, contacted the Loudoun County Sheriff's Department to claim that Kronberg, like her son, was a victim of LaRouche. Molly, in lock-step, repeated the same claim to Sheriff's investigators on April 23, 2007. The Sheriff's Department, however, rejected Kronberg's claims, as without factual foundation.

## Enter the Junior G-Men

During the period April-December 2007, Molly Kronberg, working closely with brainwashed former LaRouche associates Criton Zoakos, Linda Frommer, and Paul Kacprzak, long-time LaRouche poison pen Dennis King, and others, sought to exploit the effect of Ken Kronberg's suicide, both on his close friends within the LaRouche Movement and publicly—all in an effort to "finally" destroy LaRouche. Thanks to the limited discovery pried from Kronberg et al., in the recently dismissed case, we now know more of the details about this effort. Because the subsequent actions and tone of this cabal strikingly resemble J. Edgar Hoover's early public relations efforts, we have dubbed this group the Junior G-Men—Junior Gs for short.

Early on, literally, in the first hours after Ken's death, the Junior Gs settled on the false and insane narrative they would repeatedly publish: Ken Kronberg heroically leapt to his death, to draw attention to his company's financial difficulties caused by the evil Lyndon LaRouche, i.e., "LaRouche killed Ken." Not only was this group intent on fabricating a myth around Ken Kronberg's completely irrational action, they were equally intent on wiping out any memory of the actual Ken Kronberg, as known to his friends and political associates.

Molly insisted, in an e-mail message, for instance, that there be no discussion of any mental illness suffered by Ken, because such an explanation "lets LaRouche off the hook." Zoakos instructed Molly, by e-mail, that she and only she was the owner of Ken's legacy and memory. Subsequently, Molly made the deranged assertion, in an Internet post, that by killing himself, Ken really meant to kill LaRouche, and to indirectly express his empathy for Jeremiah Duggan. Thus, the actual Ken Kronberg was obliterated. In his place was a grotesque caricature—a martyr, ultimately, for the cause of empire.

Consistent with their crazy mythical narrative, the Junior Gs insisted that an internal LaRouche publica-

tion, the Morning Briefing of April 11, 2007, contained a suggestion by LaRouche that Ken Kronberg commit suicide, and that Kronberg had dutifully complied. The document in question, of course, says nothing even remotely close to that. Obviously, LaRouche is not a wizard nor was Ken Kronberg a zombified pod person. The Junior Gs' efforts to sell this crazed fable to law enforcement or civil lawyers as a wrongful death legal claim, beginning within hours of Ken's death, accordingly, flopped, completely.

Undeterred by reality's constraints, the Junior Gs continued their campaign. This is, after all, a British-steered and -supported psychological warfare operation, where down is up and up is down; what is, isn't; and what isn't, is; and power is everything. It also deploys individuals who have become quite "labile," in the words of Linda Frommer.

### Some Dramatis Personae

The pathetic and hyperactive Paul Kacprzak, aka xlc4life, who plays a leading role on FACTNet's LaRouche discussion board; other private LaRouche message boards for former members obsessed with justifying their betrayal of their former principles; the LaRouche Planet website; and, as we shall see below, in coordinating operations against LaRouche and the LaRouche Youth Movement in conjunction with the Duggan campaign, was a failed political organizer. He functioned for years as a courier between LaRouche political organizers and their contacts in New Jersey, picking up checks and dropping off political literature. Currently, when not posting as xlc4life on the FACTNet Message Board, and acting as the social maven for the anti-LaRouche movement, he expresses himself through comments on professional wrestling magazine sites, apparently still believing that professional wrestling is a real sport.

Kacprzak became important in the British-steered anti-LaRouche effort by serving as the frontman for April Witt's 2004 *Washington Post Magazine* attack on the LaRouche Youth Movement centered on the Duggan hoax.

Both Zoakos and Kacprzak turned tail and ran from the LaRouche organization, in reaction to the U.S. gov-

The screenshot shows the FACTNet website interface. At the top, there's a banner for Walt Disney World Resort hotels with a "Save up to 40%" offer. Below that is a navigation bar with links: Wrestling Observer/Figure Four Online, Newsletter Archive, Audio Archive, THE BOARD, and Subscribe. A social media bar follows with "Follow @bryanvalvarez and @wonf4w on Twitter" and a "NEED TO RENEW?" section with fields for Username and Password. The main content area features a post by Paul Kacprzak titled "Paul Kacprzak talks reaction to Brock Lesnar's viewers on health care". The post includes a small image of Paul Kacprzak and text discussing health insurance for UFC fighters. To the left of the post is a sidebar with an "Advertise with us!" button and a "ON SALE NOW!" banner for "DEUSA MANIA GOES SOUTHBOUND" tickets.

*Paul Kacprzak, aka "xlc4life," plays a leading role on FACTNet's LaRouche discussion board. In his free time, he opines for professional wrestling magazine websites, like this one.*

ernment's massive 1986-88 attack on LaRouche. Although he formally left the movement sometime after the main prosecutorial events, and boasts that he has been deprogrammed, Kacprzak attracted no government interest following his departure, probably because he had no lies he could credibly sell. He now claims, however, to have known everyone and everything.

Zoakos is a different story. Facing heavy government pressure, including live deportation proceedings involving false statements on his immigration papers, Zoakos became a government witness against LaRouche in 1987. Zoakos, who once wrote a devastating critique of Aristotle based on Plato, now defended the philosopher of empire, joining his controller, former member Costas Kalimtgis, who now pushes the evil Greek from the University of London and other locations. Once accurately dubbed "Cretin Bombasticos" by a student newspaper, Zoakos suffered from a core cowardice which revealed itself as a propensity to either engage in bombast, or faint under conditions of stress. Quite the ladies' man in his day, Zoakos's affairs included Linda Frommer and Christina Huth, both now LaRouche-haters and active in the Junior Gs. Characteristically, Zoakos attempted to evade the banality of his betrayal by claiming that his split with LaRouche was due to a heated philosophical disagreement over the nature of the *Filioque*.

According to a release from Jude Wanniski's Polyconomics, Zoakos was a consultant for the U.S. National Security Council after leaving the LaRouche





EIRNS/Suzanne Klebe

*Criton Zoakos became a government witness against LaRouche in 1987, after facing heavy government pressure, including live deportation proceedings involving false statements on his immigration papers. He was, in earlier times, accurately dubbed “Cretin Bombasticos” by a student newspaper. Zoakos is shown here with former Loudoun County Deputy Sheriff Donald Moore, on Jan. 27, 1989, the date of LaRouche’s unjust imprisonment.*

movement. He also worked with Wanniski at Polycconomics, consulted for the spooky Potomac Institute and Dr. Norman Bailey, did a stint with the *Asia Times*, and now consults for various Wall Street hedge funds. Politically, he became a Catholic neo-conservative.

Frommer, whose personality, for those who follow Peanuts, is identical to Lucy Van Pelt at her worst, suffered a somewhat later conversion to rabid support of George Bush and Catholic neo-conservatism. She joined a split from the LaRouche movement, run by right-wing fascist Catholic assets in the intelligence community and put into operation during LaRouche’s imprisonment. According to former friends, Frommer’s flight from principle was also the product of a sour marriage and divorce; family counseling by the nominal leader of the Catholic splinter group, Fernando Quijano; money and family pressures; and an affair with someone at the U.S. State Department. Quijano is also an active Junior G.

### **The Junior Gs’ 2007 Campaign**

For purposes of their planned media campaign, in April of 2007, Zoakos and Kacprzak urged that Frommer act as Kronberg’s liaison for press operations, once

Kronberg emerged from a short period of grief. Molly agreed.

From April-August of 2007, Molly faked her continued membership in the LaRouche movement in order to argue to Ken’s grieving friends that LaRouche had killed Ken or otherwise abused him. She received frequent advice from Zoakos and Frommer on how best to turn the trauma and grief of “the LaRouchies” over Ken’s suicide against LaRouche. Condolence letters were analyzed, and every statement made by a “LaRouchie” was sifted for possible points of manipulation.

Within days of the suicide, Molly began posting the Junior Gs’ monstrous fabrications about Ken’s death, under the pseudonym “Eaglebeak,” on the FACT-Net website, and as Rachel Holmes on the Skull/Bones website; she started a Ken Kronberg Memorial website as a feeder for “future use by journalists,” and began editing various LaRouche Wikipedia sites, including a page dedicated to Ken

Kronberg. She was also feeding her personal copies of LaRouche organization internal documents concerning Ken’s suicide, for posting on Dennis King’s politically and graphically pornographic LaRoucheWatch website.

King, in a twisted tract, proposed that LaRouche had practiced a type of voodoo or black magic on Kronberg by which he induced him to commit suicide. Molly endorsed this statement. Otherwise, King hyped the Duggan hoax on his website, having played a major publicist role in the British intelligence drama since 2003.

King’s absurd claim that LaRouche induced Ken Kronberg to commit suicide, repulsed even LaRouche-haters posting on the FACTNet site, who have recently, again, mocked and satirized it. They compared it to King’s oft-repeated absurd assertion that every time LaRouche attacks the British Empire, he actually means to attack Jews. Molly was urged to break with the discredited King. She, instead, embraced him.

King got his start as a poison pen against LaRouche for the late Roy M. Cohn, the organized-crime-connected lawyer and hatchet-man for Sen. Joe McCarthy’s

infamous 1950s witchhunts against alleged communists. King is the author of a defamatory book about LaRouche which received funding from the neo-con Smith-Richardson Foundation as a result of introductions by John Train and Richard Mellon Scaife. As a reward for his anti-LaRouche activities, King was given a stint as editor of *New America*, the magazine of the Social Democrats U.S.A. SDUSA is a wholly owned political subsidiary of the Fabian side of Anglo-American intelligence agencies which morphed into the CCF.

In August of 2007, a short memo was published as a LaRouche movement internal document, which responded to the Junior Gs' frenetic four-month campaign. It noted Molly's two 2004 contributions to Bush/Cheney at a time when her husband was fighting their fascism with all of his heart. The memo questioned whether anything more needed to be said about the issue of Ken's suicide. Since it had been clear for some time that Molly was feeding her copies of internal documents to King, her access to an internal mail system was disabled.

Her spy vs. spy fantasy fractured, Kronberg dropped any pretense of friendliness toward her former associates, a game which had a short fuse, in any event, given what is now known about her activities and her intent. She plastered the internal document referencing her Bush/Cheney contributions all over the Internet. Dennis King screeched that LaRouche was now "sliming" the widow Kronberg, just as he had "slimed" Erica Duggan.

### Avi Klein and the 'Washington Monthly'

The coup de grace for the U.S. side of the British campaign against LaRouche was supposed to have been an article authored by Abraham Dov Klein in the *Washington Monthly* magazine of November 2007. At the time of his initial contacts with Molly, shortly after Ken Kronberg's suicide, Klein had served an apprenticeship in right-wing Republican spook circles, writ-

ing for the *American Spectator* and the *Homeland Security Daily Wire*. Grant Lally, one of the publishers of the *Security Daily Wire*, leads the Irish-American Republicans, an organization accused of dirty tricks on behalf of George Bush during the 2000 Florida Presidential election recount. Lally was listed as a director of the Bay of Pigs Museum and Library chaired by former CIA officer Felix Rodriguez, notorious for his ties to George H.W. Bush and the Iran/Contra fiasco.

Klein's editor at the *Daily Wire* was Ben Frankel, who, Washington sources note, has prior ties to Israeli intelligence. Frankel was founding editor-in-chief of *Security Studies*, a quarterly journal of international security affairs published by the British company Routledge.

It appears that Klein's article, "Publish and Perish," was sheep-dipped through the *Washington Monthly*, in order to poison LaRouche's channels in certain Democratic Party circles. Paul Glastris, the editor of the monthly, thought Klein's article documented "the end of the LaRouche movement," since Kronberg's death meant that LaRouche would no longer have ready access to print publications, and LaRouche had failed to master the Internet. Kacprzak and his allies on FACTNet reflected the same idea at the time

of April Witt's 2004 *Washington Post* investigations. They called for financial warfare against Kronberg's printing operation as an effective way to end the LaRouche movement.

Molly was the major source for Klein's article. It claimed that Ken Kronberg was a martyr because PMR Printing's LaRouche clients failed to pay their bills. Consistency never being a mainstay of this operation, the same article also falsely claimed that LaRouchePAC had committed various FEC violations by overpaying PMR.

In an e-mail after the article appeared, Molly pressed Klein to follow up with John Train, noting that Train had already introduced Klein to a literary agent. She urged a documentary as the next step, naming two



*John Train, a top London-Wall Street spook and economic hit man, was a key figure in the British-controlled intelligence operation known as the Congress of Cultural Freedom. He helped such low-life characters as Dennis King to publish defamatory lies about LaRouche.*

## Secret Agent X-9

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

*For the song "Secret Agent X-9" by Modest Mouse, see Sad Sappy Sucker*

*For the pulp magazine "Secret Agent X", see Secret Agent X*

**Secret Agent X-9** was a comic strip begun by writer Dashiell Hammett (*The Maltese Falcon*) and artist Alex Raymond (*Flash Gordon*). Syndicated by King Features, it ran from January 22, 1934 until February 10, 1996.

X-9 was a nameless agent who worked for a nameless agency. X-9 acquired the name "Phil Corrigan" in the 1940s and decades later the strip was renamed **Secret Agent Corrigan**. The nameless agency was also briefly the FBI when the FBI was in vogue, but when the FBI became less popular, references to it were dropped and the agency was nameless again.

The strip was something of a combination of a secret agent and private eye adventure, and went back and forth between the two. Despite the initial combination of talents, the strip was never a success, and perhaps the confusion about what kind of strip it actually was contributed to this. By the next year, Hammett and Raymond had both left the strip.



*Dashiell Hammett, according to Wikipedia, started this comic strip as part of an early public relations effort for the FBI, like that of the Junior Gs.*

former LaRouche associates, Ken Mandel and Dan Polin, as potential producers. Mandel, like Zoakos, was among the stable of cowardly ex-members recruited by the prosecutors of LaRouche. Both Mandel and Polin had received funding for their subsequent political film careers through John Train's foundation. Klein responded to Molly's plea that he contact Train by saying they had already touched base, and Train had offered unspecified funding.

Train, a Wall Street financier with deep ties to British intelligence, was a player in the Congress of Cultural Freedom and other "white shoe" Cold War intelligence and propaganda games. He convened salons with major media figures and moguls following Ronald Reagan's March 1983 endorsement of LaRouche's Strategic Defense Initiative proposal, in order to map and implement a defamation campaign against LaRouche. The self-described intent of the Train salon's defamatory campaign was to create the environment for what became William Weld's (U.S. Attorney for Massachusetts) 1984-86 Boston Federal grand jury and prosecution of LaRouche.

At the time of the Klein article, Kronberg also conducted an interview with Chip Berlet for the Political Research Associates website, again alleging that LaRouche was responsible for Ken Kronberg's death. In that interview, Molly stated that she had opposed LaRouche since the 1970s, and lamented, "To this day, I can't figure out why Ken retained his loyalty to LaRouche."

The Junior Gs otherwise feverishly worked to pro-

mote Klein's article. Among their efforts was a mass spam e-mail to members of the LaRouche movement, prepared by Kacprzak and Molly using Molly's internal e-mail list.

### The Junior Gs—2008

Of course, neither LaRouche PAC nor the LaRouche Youth Movement evaporated in a puff of smoke as a result of Klein's piece, regardless of the Junior Gs' contrary fevered hopes. Requests for law enforcement investigations under a variety of pretexts which accompanied the piece were also rejected. Instead, in the midst of the full-blown international financial collapse which detonated in the Summer of 2007, LaRouchePAC and the LYM found increasing support from state and local officials for LaRouche's Homeowners and Bank Protection Act (HBPA), the only sane response to an otherwise unsolvable economic collapse.

In January 2008, Molly nonetheless puffed herself up, and manically declared that the 2007 activities of the Junior Gs had already "destroyed LaRouche's legacy." According to her, the increase of former members posting on the FACTNet Message Board; the birth of a new website, LaRouche Planet, "run by ex-members"; control of Wikipedia pages by editors hostile to LaRouche; Dennis King's weekly rants; and other blogs featuring her posts, were markers for this "victory." If you imagine a venomous toad, swelled up and constantly teetering on the edge of explosion, you have a graphic picture of the state of mind at work here.



The subject of intelligence community penetration and control of Wikipedia is far too large for our present purposes. Suffice it to say that the subject is widely debated on the Internet, and that the original editor of the Jeremiah Duggan Wikipedia page, Slim Virgin, aka Linda Mack, was accused of MI5 parentage by former John F. Kennedy press secretary Pierre Salinger. Mack also edited the original Wikipedia LaRouche pages. In 2007-08, Chip Berlet, Dennis King, and Molly spent hours every week policing Wikipedia sites devoted to LaRouche topics, stripping them of anything resembling truth.

A few former members of the LaRouche movement did migrate from the private Yahoo LaRouche message boards they frequented onto FACTNet as a result of the Junior Gs activities. Otherwise, FACTNet's major LaRouche posters were Molly, Kacprzak, Yves Messer, and Kevin Coogan. Coogan purportedly joined, and then, almost as immediately, left the LaRouche movement in the early 1970s. Whether he was working for the League for Industrial Democracy, the ADL, Leo Cherne's Freedom House, or some related intelligence front during his brief tenure remains an open question. Today, he divides his time between a career as an investigative journalist, published through an anarchist collective, while, at the same time, serving as a senior advisor to a California Homeland Security company dominated by former ADL and CIA operatives.

Many of Molly's fans on the FACTNet LaRouche discussion board were never in the LaRouche movement. Yet, they spend a substantial amount of their waking hours obsessing about LaRouche. These include Christine Wellman, a frustrated Robert Beltran groupie and former IRS employee, who has attempted to demonize the former Star Trek actor's friendship with LaRouche; Jeff Sharman, a former Amazon programmer and Seattle bookseller of "classic" comic strips, now living in the San Francisco Bay Area; Dennis King; and the volatile Peter Tennenbaum. Tennenbaum openly speaks of his worship of Erica Duggan. He is the obsessed brother of Jonathan Tennenbaum, who, unlike Peter, once was, actually, in the LaRouche movement. Demonstrating his obsessions, Peter issued a famous call on FACTNet for Jonathan Tennenbaum to commit suicide, because Jonathan suggested to Erica Duggan that she seek psychiatric help.

The LaRouche Planet website is run by Britain's Yves Messer, formerly associated with LaRouche's

*Fusion* magazine in France, with assistance from Kacprzak and Molly. Messer is a fervent admirer of modern art, which the Congress of Cultural Freedom did so much to promote. As a result, the LaRouche Planet website exhibits the graphic degeneracy of someone whose mind is permanently stuck on the image of Duchamp's toilet and similar motifs. LaRouche Planet hypes both the Kronberg and Duggan cases. It features a "Wall of Sanity" hailing the actions of Molly Kronberg along with confessed FBI informant, convicted thief, and proponent of the Iranian Revolution, Gregory F. Rose—both for speaking out publicly against LaRouche as ex-members.

Throughout 2008, the Junior Gs plotted to steal, publish, and deconstruct internal briefings and discussions of the LaRouche movement on the Internet. They shadowed and photographed LaRouchePAC organizers engaged in discussions on street corners throughout the U.S., and monitored and intervened in LaRouchePAC organizing for the HBPA. Through Kacprzak crony and lawyer Janine Benton, another ex-member, they purchased and posted trial transcripts from the LaRouche criminal trials, "proving," in their view, the validity of the infamous LaRouche prosecutions. At the same time, Molly and Kacprzak continued their incessant e-mail spamming of the LaRouche membership.

Not content with their sick fabrications concerning the deaths of Kronberg and Duggan, the LaRouche Planet website and FACTNet feature claims that Lyndon LaRouche is somehow culpable in the deaths of anyone in the LaRouche movement who has died over more than a 30-year period. This mad, macabre allegation covers anyone who died of cancer or other diseases, or in traffic accidents. When two LaRouche organizers were killed while attending to their vehicle on a Michigan highway in 2008, the Junior Gs loudly proclaimed that LaRouche was at fault, and Kacprzak called law enforcement officers investigating the case to suggest this. The fact that the driver of the vehicle which ran the organizers down was convicted of two counts of vehicular homicide had absolutely no effect on the continued life of this fabrication.

In October of 2008, Molly Kronberg appeared with Yves Messer and others at an event sponsored by the Duggan campaign in Berlin, Germany. There, she lied, in remarks posted on YouTube, that LaRouche had deliberately driven Ken Kronberg to suicide, and that the German political organization received fraudulent

funds from LaRouche activities in the U.S. The stated goal of the British-sponsored Berlin event was to obtain a legal ban of the German LaRouche political association by the German state.

### Kronberg's First Legal Complaint

In the first months of 2009, Kronberg published two tracts on the Internet, falsely claiming that female members of the LaRouche movement were coerced into abortions, as well as a defamatory tract, "Pawns of His Grandiosity" for a seminar put on by friends of Erica Duggan at the University of Northampton in Britain. She now described herself as being on a "jihad" against LaRouche, resurrecting, what she knew to be long-discredited charges from the FBI's 1970s COINTELPRO program against the LaRouche movement, while seeking to become Duggan's advisor and confidante. She stated that, "my concern is to do everything I can to break up the LYM, louse up the Labor Committee, and make Lyn appear to the world as a monster, moron, and laughingstock."

True to this statement, Kronberg and the Junior Gs, including ex-members Michael and Marla Minnicino, allied themselves with Steve Hassan's Boston-area deprogramming center—using parents against LYM members in order to break up the LaRouche Youth Movement.

In February and March 2009, LaRouchePAC responded publicly, for the first time, to the Junior Gs' attacks, issuing press releases which referenced Molly's perfidies all the way back to her 1979-80 actions with Costas Kalimgtis in setting the stage for the tax conspiracy counts in LaRouche's Alexandria indictment. The fact that the press releases charged that she testified falsely about these events at LaRouche's Alexandria trial, "opened LaRouche up," in the words of Dennis King, to the lawsuit the Junior Gs had been



*Molly Kronberg hired John Markham (above) as her lawyer, but the court disqualified him. Markham, a former member of a Satan-worshipping church, had led the prosecutions of LaRouche in the 1980s.*

trying to sell since 2007, a suit by Kronberg for harassment and defamation.

There was, however, apparently only one lawyer in all of the United States even interested in taking this case, according to Kronberg's subsequent court statements. That lawyer was former Federal prosecutor John Markham, who had led the prosecution of LaRouche in the 1980s. Markham, a former member of the satanic Process Church of the Final Judgment, and lawyer to Ahmed Chalabi, the intelligence agent who faked the intelligence for the Iraq War, already knew many of the Junior Gs. King had worked with Markham throughout the Boston and Alexandria LaRouche prosecutions. Many of the former member Junior Gs, such as Steve and Gail Bardwell, Ken and Janet Mandel, Criton and Vivian Zoakos, and Lana

Murawiec, had been personally recruited by Markham, during the reign of terror which was the LaRouche prosecution.

Markham, for his part, drafted a fraudulent and frivolous complaint—banking on LaRouche's prior confrontations with the Eastern District of Virginia Federal Court and the liberal initial Federal pleading rules to get the case past initial pleading challenges.

True to form, Markham's maudlin missive falsely portrayed Molly, as an innocent widow whom LaRouche started attacking out of the blue the night before Ken's suicide, allegedly because LaRouche had suddenly decided to retaliate for Molly's testimony against him in Federal court 20 years earlier. As a result of the conspiracy, hatched on April 10, 2007, the widow Kronberg was shunned by her neighbors, co-religionists, and co-workers in the bucolic enclave of Leesburg, Va., or so claimed John Markham.

Markham also asserted that LaRouche had also criticized and harassed Ken Kronberg—but not because of any issue between them. Rather, Markham insisted, La-

Rouche was only attacking Ken, in order to actually attack Molly—all for her Federal court testimony, more than 20 years earlier, in 1988.

Each time the LaRouche defendants responded to Molly and her Junior Gs' attacks, they engaged in a new overt act of the conspiracy, according to Markham, whether that response was a two-sentence remark in an internal discussion, an internal memo, or the public releases in 2009, situating Molly's role in the LaRouche prosecutions. The fallacy of composition, of course, is that Markham's concoction never told the Court anything about the actions of Molly or her Junior Gs, or that the overt acts of the hokey conspiracy—paragraphs or sentences in internal discussions in 2007 and 2008—were internal responses to their attacks.

Markham had to know, of course, that Molly, working through two others, had stolen the very internal documents cited in the Complaint, and herself labored to post them all over the Internet. The fact that the documents were meant for internal consumption only seems to have only stoked the perverse pleasure that the Junior Gs took from this activity. Markham also had to know that Molly and the Junior Gs had been involved in full-scale harassment, and worse, against the LaRouche defendants since before the start of the Complaint's phony conspiracy—but, being John Markham, he felt he had no obligation to lay this before the Court.

Further, Markham also knew that the central tenet of the Complaint was also false, as Molly herself had proclaimed on the Internet, that all the statements made by LaRouche, of which she complained, were made in response to her false public proclamations that LaRouche was responsible for Ken's suicide, not on account of any testimony by her at LaRouche's Federal trial.

Finally, Markham was so brazen as to claim that the reason why Molly had "severed" her ties to the LaRouche movement "in the Summer of 2007" was because she had discovered that LaRouche was diverting funds to support his "lavish lifestyle." The canard about LaRouche's lavish lifestyle was the big lie in all of the Federal and state prosecutions of LaRouche and his associates, and of associated government publicity efforts aimed at potential jurors. This included the 1989 prosecution by the State of New York of Marielle Kronberg, in which she was convicted of a felony scheme to defraud. Without a shred of evidence to support it, Markham notes in a memo to Molly, in September of 2009, that this allegation will "dirty up LaRouche." This was too much even for Linda Frommer to stom-

ach: She wrote back to Molly and Markham noting that she could not see how the lavish lifestyle allegation could be true.

Markham was disqualified in April of 2010 from representing Kronberg in the case. According to the judge's decision, Markham's role as the former prosecutor, in this current civil case against LaRouche, offended legal ethics and a reasonable person's perception of propriety.

After the disqualification, sustained by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, Kronberg, for all practical purposes, disappeared from her case. She provided evasive non-answers to interrogatories and flat out refused to answer questions concerning her internal document theft ring, or her relationship to the British Duggan effort, although she was ordered by the Court to do so. Having identified 9,000 e-mails responsive to the defendants' discovery requests—requests which would shed light on the activities of the Junior Gs' jihad against LaRouche—Kronberg refused to produce them, despite a court order to do so.

Appearing before Federal Magistrate John Anderson on the defendants' motion to dismiss her case, Kronberg attempted to blame her disobedience of court orders on the incapacity of her local counsel and the court's order disqualifying Markham. Anderson was obviously unimpressed, and recommended that Kronberg's case be dismissed without prejudice, and that she escape monetary sanctions only because he could not figure out how to apportion fault for bad faith and abusive tactics between Kronberg and her lawyer. He did not know, at the time he wrote his decision, that John Markham had drafted the statement which Kronberg read to him in open court, despite Markham's disqualification from participation in the case.

On the day Judge Anderson issued his findings, Kronberg obtained a new lawyer, who attempted to sneak Markham back into the case as his paralegal. Although LaRouche's attorneys vehemently objected to this new defiance of the Court's orders, the issue was not resolved before the case was dismissed.

This is where things now stand. Two fraudulent cases, Duggan and Kronberg, more than thoroughly exposed as frauds, kept alive through the use of self-hating low-lives desperately seeking to make themselves useful to what they, foolishly, believe to be their patrons' powerful interests. The stuff of great drama—or, the CCF's theater of the absurd?



## NEXT, AFTER THIS DAY, COMES THE END OF YOUR YEAR

# The Day After Christmas

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

December 26, 2010

*Now, See, with all your senses, the ominous pattern met among the victims of the British empire's Inter-Alpha System.*

*See the presently continuing attempts to push through settling certain accounts by January 1, 2011. See the present spectacles which should be taken as a warning of what might be expected some early day after tomorrow.*

*Hear the menacing overtones in the presently existing arrangements within trans-Atlantic monetarist systems. Hear the cries of a suffering people, in our republic, and around the world.*

*Feel the worsening pain of people in the world at large, a pain which becomes worse and worse, each week the mentally crippled, British puppet-President, Barack Obama remains in office.*

*Smell the rotting conditions under which more and more of our citizens are suffered to live under that President.*

*Taste the sense of helplessness which is spreading among our people for as long as that poor wretch remains in office.*

*Tell me, therefore: What do our minds tell us about that which their experience senses?*

---

December 31, by and large, is now telling us, that, throughout the world at large, and, certainly, for so

long as President Obama, remains in office, there is rapidly dwindling hope to be found for mankind within even the immediately oncoming condition of this nation, and, therefore, almost certainly, the world. While that President remains in office, it would be virtually certain that the coming weeks and their freaks will be soon bringing us into a far worse form of New Dark Age throughout the trans-Atlantic region than Europe experienced as the Fourteenth-century New Dark Age.

Said simply, and precisely: unless the United States acts, pre-emptively, to re-install that Glass-Steagall law which President Franklin Roosevelt had revived from the original design for national banking under the U.S. Federal Constitution, the chain-reaction disintegration of the economies of the trans-Atlantic system were virtually inevitable.

There should be no doubt among the sane, mature, and fully witting among the best-informed institutions of the world, that the policies in operation from Britain and its partners of the U.S. Wall Street gang, since the assassination of U.S. President John F. Kennedy, have been the undoing of the economies of the trans-Atlantic region. Those nations have been warned, that, under present trends, a sudden "general breakdown-crisis," or something much worse than even that, could be expected for some early tomorrow.

Such should have been the expected result of the frankly fascist policies of "creative destruction"



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

*"Throughout the world at large, and, certainly, for so long as President Obama remains in office, there is rapidly dwindling hope to be found for mankind within even the immediately oncoming condition of this nation, and, therefore, almost certainly, the world." Shown: the impact of Obama's policies, just blocks from the White House, in Washington, D.C.*

which have been launched by such followers of Friedrich Nietzsche as the economists Werner Sombart, and Joseph Schumpeter, among other notables, including my own personal opponents from among the former faculty of Harvard University and other academic or related notables. These include notables such as Larry Summers and the Professor Abba Lerner who had been my opponent in the December 1971 Queens College debate. In other words: the modern Nietzschean followers of the ancient Delphic cult of Dionysus, which was otherwise known in recent times as my prominent adversaries associated with the European Congress for Cultural Freedom.<sup>1</sup> In other words, we are menaced, now, immediately, by what is modern fascism, such as that "called by any other name."

I had just recently completed an important paper entitled "The Global Crisis Now at Hand" (see this week's *Feature*), which I had composed during what passed for my "free moments" of the interval December 15-22, 2010. Although that paper was a continuation of a thematic subject which I have been producing as a series

1. Cf. Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg, and Nina Ogden, "Nietzsche, Sombart, Schumpeter, and Fascism: Why Obama Wears the Moustache," *Executive Intelligence Review* (EIR), Aug. 27, 2010.

during the presently concluding year, the mission of this present, relatively brief supplement, is written in response to crucial new developments in the world economy which have taken shape during the just concluded week. I emphasize the ominous, panic-stricken fears concerning the consequences of the oncoming January 1, 2011 deadline adopted among highly relevant leading circles.

The great source of danger to humanity now, and I mean humanity at large, on a planetary scale, is that the present policy-shapers rely on belief in those footprints called events, rather than the creature which is leaving those tracks, called statistics, behind it. Thus, they seek a refuge from the present, in the past. Shakespeare, as in writing his *Hamlet*, knew that was the wrong place to relive.

### **This New, January Crisis**

The new crisis signalled by these developments around the theme of an indicated January 1, strategic deadline, could not be competently understood except by examining this oncoming new level of world strategic crisis on two contrasting levels. The one level, the intellectually much lower one to which I have just referred, identifies a process of crisis such as the presently already ongoing form of world crisis in terms of the sense-perceptions among leading and other players; whereas, the actually determining features of crisis are located within, and directed by influences and intentions which are seldom recognized for their true role among even leading players visible on the stage of public utterances and other events.

It were necessary to repeat, here and now, the words which Shakespeare put, in the end, in Horatio's mouth, that we might remember what was said, on matters like that crisis with which we are confronted here and now, as they were written by a very wise William Shakespeare in matters bearing on what he had lived to know in defiance of that pack of scalawags, led by Sarpian serpents of the like of Francis Bacon, who polluted the court of James I:



JFK Library

*The world has undergone a significant downshift, economically, morally, and intellectually, since the period of the leadership of Presidents John F. Kennedy and Charles de Gaulle.*

... let me speak to the yet unknowing world  
 How these things came about; so ye shall hear  
 Of carnal, bloody, and unnatural acts,  
 Of accidental judgments, casual slaughters,  
 Of deaths put on by cunning and forced cause,  
 And, in the upshot, purposes mistook  
 Fallen on the inventors' heads....  
 Of that I shall have, also, cause to speak....  
 But, let this same be presently performed  
 Even while men's minds are wild: lest more mis-  
 chance  
 On plots, and errors, happen.<sup>2</sup>

2. Shakespeare, considered at that time of his own authorship of the play, must be edited, in any printed tradition, for today, in accord with the meaning which coincides with the state of mind of Shakespeare in that time in his life when Christopher Marlowe was dead and James I filled the throne of his mother's assassin. Shakespeare, in that degree like Friedrich Schiller later, did not invent a fantasy, nor a morality play, but an actual principle of history, a view of history operating according to a principle unknown to the real-life characters who performed according to a script written by a mind beyond the comprehension of those historical or like figures who were put upon the stage. I have come to know with a certain degree of scientific excellence, that there is a vast difference between those skilled to play the part, or even write it, and those whose hand actually designs the play which, even the playwright often failed to understand, but, rather, only the authors of the authors.

The same must be said of the hidden motives and causes of the action which led into what followed, but which could have been prevented, had not President Kennedy been murdered in the clever, global scheme by which the destruction of our United States came about in Indo-China. The destruction had been done through the elaborate, international scheme by which a President Kennedy, no Hamlet, was eliminated from the stage. It was done so, lest that the war which he had prevented while he lived, not be unleashed by the United States against no other target more than the ruin of that great British scheme behind it all. The targetted was not so much President Kennedy, as the witting know in their bones,

as the United States itself.

Accordingly, that much said of both authors and the authors of authors, to understand these presently ongoing developments leading into the crisis presently aimed to hit in January 2011, we must trace a decades-long process datable from a period associated with the crucial developments such as British Prime Minister Harold Macmillan's February 1960 launching of his "Winds of Change" diplomacy. This process included key public developments such as the aborted, May 1960, Paris meeting among French President Charles de Gaulle, U.S. President Dwight D. Eisenhower, and Soviet Chairman Nikita Khrushchov. That was the event in which Khrushchov took his first public step leading toward the later, so-called U.S.-Soviet "Cuba Missile Crisis" of October 1962. The outcome of that process leading through the "Missile Crisis" preceded, and led into both the subsequent ouster of Prime Minister Macmillan which was done under the pretexts associated with the so-called "Profumo Scandal," an ouster of Macmillan which led, as if along a chain of events, into that assassination of President John F. Kennedy which cleared the way for the ruin of the U.S. economy through the exploitation of what were to become the effects of a ruinous, decade-long U.S. war in Indo-China.



Amid those historical bench-marks of the 1959-1963 interval, the entire period is to be regarded now, in retrospect, as a qualitative shift in leading world diplomatic and strategic affairs between the following ordering of key events: The post-“Sputnik” era, such interstices as the preliminary crafting of what was to become the “Nuclear Test-Ban Treaty” engaging President Dwight D. Eisenhower and Senator John F. Kennedy, Prime Minister Harold Macmillan’s launching of his “The Winds of Change” posture, and the abortive outcome of Soviet Chairman Nikita Khrushchov’s response to France’s President Charles de Gaulle’s and U.S. President Dwight D. Eisenhower’s proposals for discussion during the three’s Paris meeting of May 1960.

It is not those actual events, nor the players to whom I have just referred as featured in those dramas on the real-life stage of those times, which define the history of that interval. In the main, that set of leading figures in that drama, in that time, were better said to be re-actors, than those whose actions actually seemed to rule the stage of real history for a moment in mankind’s great crises. Just so, it is not the genius expressed by even the excellent and faithful performer of the fugue; it is that of none other than the composer Johann Sebastian Bach. In the great counterpoint of real politics, it is the composers, not the performers, who create the composition.

It is of compelling significance bearing on the subject of what I might title this onrushing, “January 1, 2011 Crisis,” that I have been recently occupied, with much reflection on my reflections on the subject of the war-time memoirs of France’s great President Charles de Gaulle, to compare the way in which he seeks, there, to adduce a set of defining principles which correspond to what were, relatively speaking, the mere decisions he made from occasion to occasion during the time each of his referenced actions were taken. He searched for the meaning located for him only in the integral reliving of his role as a subject of the history which subsumed the principled implications of the patterns attributable to a principle which should have been the perspective better chosen for shaping his decisions which had been made, so to speak, “under fire.”

Take my own best case, that in my own general practice, in which I have excelled above all my known rivals from the same period of time, the profession of a

physical economist. Often, I have reached the highly amusing discovery of discovering something which I had recognized as being a principle, only later. Take the case of certain discoveries of Louis Pasteur as a suitable example of the relevant “mechanisms” of the process of discovering what came later to be recognized as a discovery of a principled nature.

Life itself were lived better as a process of living through the discovery of the making of discoveries, as Plato is justly famous for that higher method of hypothesizing, especially when we turn to the subject of the powers of creativity unique to the relevant state of development of the human mind, as Plato himself points to this. This is, of course, what Shakespeare does in the concluding reflections presented by the character Horatio in *Hamlet*. This is the method of vicarious hypothesis, and the only actual method, by which competent qualities of economic and related forecasting can be conducted. That is the methodological principle which we must apply to the case of the developments from the launch of Sputnik, through to the fateful launching of the U.S. war in Indo-China and the break-up of the fixed-exchange-rate system and the relaunching of the British empire by Lord Jacob Rothschild’s Inter-Alpha Group as the new, New Venetian empire which has dominated the world’s monetarist system up to the present date.

It is that British empire which has reached the breakdown-point, at which it were likely to become a brutishly bankrupt, “Brutish former empire,” now. Now, the accounts are being cleared through January 1, 2011. It is likely, now, that “all Hell” is about to break loose, beginning with a general breakdown-crisis of the trans-Atlantic region of the world, and, then, the consequent general breakdown-crisis of the Asia-Pacific sector, too.

The question posed to us today, is, therefore, what is the new world, or the grim death of an old one, which we must now choose. Therefore, let this scene “be presently performed,” in the minds of true statesmen, “even while men’s minds are wild,” as now, “lest more mischief from plots, and errors, happen,” now.

There is no remedy in view which does not depend, presently on the following sequence of actions by the Federal Government of the United States of America:

1.) The removal of a malicious, mentally depraved Barack Obama from the position of President of the

United States, that according to the Section 4 of the 25th Amendment to the Federal Constitution of the United States.

2.) The immediate re-enactment of the original Glass-Steagall Law of 1933. Thus, freeing the U.S. economy from the violations of the U.S. Federal Constitution typified by the intrinsically hyperinflationary ruin of the United States of America by actions congruent with the role of the Inter-Alpha Group from 1971 to the present time.

3.) The consequent, immediate use of the constitutional rescue of the U.S. commercial banking system, to enable the U.S. Federal Government to meet its obligations to rescue the State and municipal governments of the Federal States of the United States from the unconstitutional and evil destruction of those Federal States.

4.) The use of the restored commercial banks to the principles of the Glass-Steagall Law of 1933 to assist the Federal Government in the implementation of a technologically updated design for the immediate steps toward realization of the greatest economic reform of any nation of the world to date,

NAWAPA. Then, in 1964, as now, the estimated employment for that accomplishment is approximately four millions additional employment in relatively rapid order.

These reforms would be the working model presented for engaging the sovereign nation-states of the planet in beneficial measures which would correspond, in effect, to bringing the nations and peoples of the planet to a higher quality of existence and opportunity for improved existence of mankind generally, thus far.

These actions embody exemplary contributions to the rescue of that present and future mankind which is menaced by the so-called “creative destruction” policies of the like of such followers of the Dionysian evil of Friedrich Nietzsche as Werner Sombart, Joseph Schumpeter, and their followers in the policies of practice of sheer evil of such as the current U.S. Administration of a mentally impaired sponsor of Adolf Hitler-like “health care” policies for “useless eaters” such as those of President Barack Obama today. Every nut requires its container; the White House is not a suitable such container.

## Lyndon LaRouche On Glass-Steagall and NAWAPA


The North American  
Water and Power Alliance

“The greatest project that mankind has ever undertaken on this planet, as an economic project, now stands before us, as the opportunity which can be set into motion by the United States now launching the NAWAPA project, with the preliminary step of reorganizing the banking system through Glass-Steagall, and then moving on from there.”

“Put Glass-Steagall through now, and I know how to deliver a victory to you.”

Subscribe to **EIR Online** [www.larouchepub.com/eiwr](http://www.larouchepub.com/eiwr)  
1-800-278-3135

For subscription rates: <http://tiny.cc/9odpr>



THE JANUARY 1 DEADLINE

## LPAC Now Brings The World's News!

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

December 28, 2010

---

*From Chicago to the White House, to Brazil, and to the entire trans-Atlantic world, the news of the world today is that, as of January 1, 2011, President Barack Obama's world is crashing down, and the world of Obama's British masters, as well. While Winter comes, the fate of London, Wall Street, the Chicago Board of Trade, and the trans-Atlantic world, is not far behind.*

---

The continued, now runaway, hyperinflationary crisis of Brazil and the BRIC globally, has become an immediate reality which threatens to bring down the economy of the world as a whole, that around the issues of the imminent, January 1st hyperinflationary crisis of the world of 2011. The spread of the 12% borrowing rate, is only the beginning of a pattern of mixed hyperinflationary explosion and virtually total economic breakdown, like that of 1923 Germany in effect, which now threatens to bring the entire trans-Atlantic world, and soon beyond, down, unless the drastic reforms which I have proposed are instituted in the virtually immediate future.

The pre-conditions for such a breakdown-crisis pivoted on the effects of the shift from the conditions of 2010 to 2011, are already pre-fulfilled at the present moment; only a slight delay in the actual arrival of January 1-2, 2011 had delayed the effects of the presently

accumulated state of de facto bankruptcy in key centers of the trans-Atlantic part of the world system. Hence, the dubious “magic” in the name of fiscal December 31, 2010.

The essential fact of the matter is, that the measures launched, in the aftermath of Wall Street's 1929-like, October 1987 stock-market crash, measures launched under the guidance of then incoming, Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan, had unleashed an intrinsically hyperinflationary trend in utterance of essentially worthless, speculative monetary assets. The effects of those Greenspan policies reached a peak in the wave of so-called “Federal bail-outs” of both London and Wall Street in the post-September 2007 interval. Under President Barack Obama, this wild-eyed monetarist insanity, has literally skyrocketed in the role of the Federal Reserve System's bail-out of the British-created Inter-Alpha Group since the January 2009 inauguration of a mentally frail London asset and dupe, President Barack Obama.

However, Obama being Obama, the mark of the bellwether is to be recognized in the role of now former British Prime Minister Tony Blair as the virtual mother, politically and in other respects, of Blair's implicit dupe, the mentally impaired President Barack Obama.

The center of what is coming down, is located in what was launched as a replacement for the Bretton Woods system as of approximately August 15, 1971.





LPAC-TV

LPAC-TV's Leandra Bernstein hosts "The World in Review," Dec. 28, 2010.

Only a U.S. return to President Franklin D. Roosevelt's Bretton Woods victory over John Maynard Keynes, at the Bretton Woods conference, could provide the stop-gap measure needed to halt a presently onrushing collapse of the trans-Atlantic portion of the world. At the present moment, only the immediate U.S. re-enactment of the Glass-Steagall law could force the measures needed, in both the U.S.A., and the trans-Atlantic region generally, to put the brakes on the immediately threatened, post-January 2011, hyperinflationary blow-out of the trans-Atlantic sector of the world.

The center of the immediate threat of a post-January 1 breakdown-crisis of the trans-Atlantic system, is located, immediately, in the role of the mentally impaired President of the United States; either President Obama must simply resign of, ostensibly, his own personal volition, or resign for reasons of health, according to Section IV of the 25th Amendment of the U.S. Federal Constitution. Otherwise, there is no visible hope in sight for a general breakdown-crisis, spreading, from the trans-Atlantic sector, into the Asian sector, during the course of the weeks and months immediately ahead.

It is notable, that the world has just received the public announcement of President Barack Obama's Adolf-Hitler-like Executive Order 12333, an Order of none other than that President himself, enacting a virtual carbon copy of the 1939, T-4 Death-care panel

law of Adolf Hitler, the beginning of the program of genocide for which culpable Nazi doctors and others were condemned to death at the Nuremberg trials. Admittedly, President Obama has repeated his own public, fraudulent denials of such an intention by him; now, the fact that such measures are launched specifically by him personally, puts both the guilty tradition of the Swastika and the personal guilt for the T-4-like genocide laws on both former, lying British Prime Minister

Tony Blair and his implied copy-cat, the President Barack Obama who wears, implicitly, both the moustache and *Hakenkreuz* of the Adolf Hitler of 1939-45.

Those with the power to act to halt these two great threats to all humanity, the "bail-out" policies of London and Wall Street, and the Obama copy of Hitler's T-4 doctrine, are implicitly accomplices in the relevant practice of crimes against humanity.

It must be emphasized and clearly understood, that the two subjects which I have emphasized here, the imminent physical collapse of the world's economy (beginning in the trans-Atlantic region and spreading into Asia and beyond), and what precedent defines as practices of genocide consistent with the Adolf Hitler model, must be halted and uprooted.

However, the fact that these offenses against humanity itself, are expressions of criminal intent among the authors of such policies, does not, in itself, provide a sufficient remedy for the crimes which I have identified here, in this statement of mine, thus far. The urgently needed remedies for the conditions of such threats to humanity must also be supplied.

No honest and fully sane patriot of the United States among those holding high office in the States or in the institutions of the Federal Government, can continue to be reluctant in taking those clearly definable, remedial actions needed to rescue both the United States of America and affected other nations, from the disastrous

state of affairs which Obama's continued occupation of the Presidency now virtually assures.

## The Remedy: A U.S. Credit System

The United States' most notable quality of responsibility, given the presently immediate threat to the very existence of the welfare of nations, is to be located, essentially, in the fact that the history of the crafting of the Federal Constitution of our republic, since the period under the leadership of the original Royal charter of the Massachusetts Bay colony, has given us the powers of a constitutional credit system, rather than the relics of the Roman empire and feudalism found, still today, as the outlook of the traditional practice of a monetarist system by most of the nations of Europe and the former Eurasian and African colonies of the British imperial system.


Presently, the only foreseeable remedy for the peril which the United States and Europe immediately share, lies in those great reforms which were set into motion, as revivals of the laws and related practices of a constitutional credit-system, on which the creation of our U.S. constitutional order has always depended, repeatedly, in the proverbial "long run."

The immediate implementation of the following measures by the United States itself would provide the virtually immediate opportunity for a "buying into" the advantages provided to such immediately prospective partners of the U.S.A. as what are freshly affirmed as the sovereign nation-states of Europe and the principal nations of Asia, China and India. Significant agreement from among those prospective partners of the U.S. return to a Bretton Woods-style of a fixed-exchange-rate system, would be sufficient basis for the extension of the same advantages to other nations to be included as equally sovereign partners of the initiating group from among the leading European and Pacific partners of the U.S.A.

The establishment of such a global system of partnership among sovereigns would require measures of internal reform of each of the sovereign partners, measures consistent with the 1933 U.S.A. enactment of the Glass-Steagall law. This reform would purge corrupt speculative debt from the books of account of sovereign governments, thus allowing the establishment of a *global, fixed-exchange-rate credit system* among the partners to the new treaty agreement. Such necessary reforms have been approached in varying degrees, in the past, and are imperatives demanded by the current global situation.

Those reforms, if taken rather immediately, would be sufficient to halt the globally general economic-breakdown-crisis presently approaching existentially terminal conditions. The durability of those reforms will then depend upon the powers of and among nations for creating credit used for increase of employment of labor-forces in the use of high-energy-flux-density application of power to forms of production and employment which increase the physical productive powers and means of existence among the potential labor forces of nations.

Admittedly, such absolutely indispensable directions in reforms among cooperating nations will cause a debriding of much of the world's nominal forms of monetarist assets from the books, and thus eliminate what are in fact the great masses of socially useless speculation and of the financial institutions associated with such forms of financial waste-matter. The perceived principle of moral law expressed in this way should be clear: humanity, as humanity, has no option but to cleanse itself of the imperialist form of monetarist practices which have controlled and ruined the great part of mankind's world today.

<b>LaROUCHE</b>	
THE FOREMOST	
ECONOMIST AND	
PHILOSOPHER	
OF OUR TIME:	
HOW COGNITION	
CHANGES HISTORY.	
<h1>The Power Of Reason</h1> <p>An Autobiography by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.</p>	
<p>Order from  <b>EIR News Service, Inc.</b>          P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C. 20041-0390          OR Order by phone,          toll-free: 1-800-278-3135</p>	
<p style="text-align: right;"><b>\$10</b>          plus shipping          (\$4.00 for first copy, \$1.00 for          each additional book). Virginia residents add          4.5% sales tax. We accept MasterCard and Visa.</p>	

# Obama To Implement Hitler's T4 And Fascist Economics—By Fiat

by Nancy and Edward Spannaus

Dec. 30—Lyndon LaRouche and *EIR* warned you back in 2009, that President Barack Obama's so-called health-care reform was a carbon copy of Adolf Hitler's T4 (Tiergarten 4) policy, a scheme for eliminating those the government considers to be "useless eaters," with "lives not worthy to be lived." Now, Obama is moving, by *fiat*, to put that policy into effect.

Although Obama succeeded in ramming through his Hitler health bill, including the "death panel," called the unconstitutional Independent Payment Advisory Board, last year, the opposition roused by LaRouchePAC and others prevented him from getting every fascist measure he and his British Blairite advisors wanted. Specifically, the Senate killed Section 1233 from the House bill, a measure that outlined an extensive program of "death counseling" to be paid for by Medicare and Medicaid.

No matter to the Nero-like Obama. Having gone around Congress to appoint Dr. Donald Berwick, an open advocate of Tony Blair's genocidal NICE (National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence) program, as director of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), without being subject to Senate confirmation, Obama had Berwick implement—by *regulation*—the measure Congress had rejected. Like the



*Sir Donald Berwick's appointment to head the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services was rammed through by Obama, without Senate confirmation; Berwick then implemented—by regulation—the death panel measure that Congress had rejected.*

dictator he would like to be, Obama simply rammed it through.

If not stopped, either by the removal of the insane Obama by the 25th Amendment, or other means, the regulation pushing this euthanasia-promoting procedure will go into effect on Jan. 1, and will be followed by many others. Nor is this the only fascist measure Obama is implementing by executive fiat. At the same time, he intends to have the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) use its regulatory power to ram through murderous cutbacks in so-called "greenhouse gases," usurping the will of Congress, and directly assaulting the American people's well-being.

Do you see now why LaRouche says Obama's ouster is the top priority for any sane, patriotic citizen in the days ahead?

## Pushing Euthanasia

The regulation CMS director Berwick promulgated in November, for implementation in January, is written to appear innocuous. It authorizes Medicare to pay for "voluntary advance care planning" as part of the annual physical examination ("wellness visit") provided for in the Obamacare bill. Unlike the original Section 1233, which called for such counseling every five years, the regulation encourages it on an annual basis.



It's like your doctor coming back every year, and asking, "Have you decided to forgo treatment yet? Aren't you ready to throw in the towel, and die?"

There is no question but that this is what Berwick and the Obama Administration intend. Berwick, who was recently knighted by Queen Elizabeth II for his role as a counselor to Blair's NICE program of rationing, has devoted years to attacking the medical profession and its so-called "over-treatment." Among his more telling statements, published in a recent article in the *American Spectator*, is:

"Using unwanted procedures in terminal illness is a form of assault. . . . In economic terms, it is waste. Several techniques, including advance directives and involvement of patients and families in decision-making, have been shown to reduce inappropriate care at the end of life, leading to both *lower cost* and more humane care" (emphasis added).

But it would be a grave error to see this regulation as a mere "suggestion," for, behind the raising of the so-called choice, is the clenched fist of the Federal government.

Under Obamacare, this death counseling is an element of the "Physician Quality Reporting System," a system of evaluation of physicians which determines how much they are paid. The number of individuals whom a physician convinces to sign "living wills," or other documents which commit them to forgoing treatment, will actually count as an element in their "quality" evaluation.

Doctors will be paid bonuses, or will be penalized, depending on how many patients they convince to agree to forgo costly medical treatment and die quietly.

As former New York Lt. Gov. Betsy McCaughey argues, this arrangement makes it clear that such counseling is not "voluntary," because the government is writing the script, and doctors are penalized if the government doesn't like the outcome. In a Fox News interview Dec. 27, she warned, "It's going to be a drumbeat, a drumbeat of 'spend less,' 'let's let you die sooner.'"

## Just Like the Nazis

Called on its sneaky move to implement the death-counseling plan behind the back of Congress, the Obama Administration has protested that they are doing nothing that the Bush Administration didn't do. Horrid as the Bush Administration was, that statement is false. While Bush did authorize one-time end-of-life counseling upon the entry to Medicare, Obama's policy is sys-

temically based on the premise of Adolf Hitler's policy: Identify sections of the population which are "useless eaters," who are costing too much to keep alive, and get them to agree to die.

Hitler too first promoted euthanasia as a "voluntary" measure, with mass propaganda films about how "inhumane" it was to keep suffering people alive. Wouldn't a "mercy" death be better, the Nazis asked—just the way the right-to-die lobby does today. Don't you want to prevent people from suffering?

But this also went along with massive Nazi propaganda about how expensive it was to take care of those allegedly incurably ill. The German population was bombarded with figures about the cost of caring for the sick—when the money could better be spent on the healthy! There's no qualitative difference between the Nazis' arguments, and those today, which assert that we shouldn't "waste money" on people at the "end of life," when it could be spent on "preventive care" instead.

In the Fall of 1939, Hitler formally made his decision. In a secret memorandum, written by him, but signed by his personal physician Dr. Karl Brandt, under the title, "The Destruction of Lives Unworthy of Life," the Reich authorized physicians to act "to the end that patients considered incurable, according to the best available human judgment of their state of health, can be accorded a mercy death."

The first round of mercy deaths under this program, called T4<sup>1</sup>, were not explicitly violent. They were done by *denying treatment*, actually starving to death those designated as incurably physically or mentally ill—the very same procedure that many "advanced care directives" call for. It was only later that the concerns of "efficiency" led to sending those considered "useless" to treat, to killing centers.

How long it would take the Obama Administration—if it is not stopped—to get to this point, is unknown. But the ubiquitous talk of cutting Medicare and Social Security to "cut the deficit" indicates it would not be long.

## Letting It All Hang Out

While the Obama Administration as such may be at pains to lie and say they are not pushing euthanasia, there is no such inhibition felt by Rep. Earl Blumenauer,

1. For a detailed report on Hitler's T4 program, see, Nancy Spannaus, "Hitler's T4 Program Revived in Obama's Health-Care Reform," *EIR* June 5, 2009.



*Rep. Earl Blumenauer proudly takes credit for introducing the end-of-life counseling provision into the original health-care bill; he boasts that he co-authored the provision with the pro-euthanasia Hemlock Society.*

the Oregon “Democrat” who proudly takes credit for introducing the end-of-life counseling provision (Section 1233) into the original health-care bill. Blumenauer says he co-authored the provision with the Hemlock Society—that’s “hemlock,” as in the poison that was administered to Socrates. That society has now been reincarnated under the name “Compassion and Choices,” the better to sell their death dealings.

Blumenauer is an outspoken proponent of euthanasia, including physician-assisted suicide. In a posting on his personal website, he promotes an October 1960 *Harper’s* magazine issue which directly advocates euthanasia, and decries what they called the prevalent “irrational, phobic, and sentimental attitudes about voluntary death.” Other articles in the issue sound as if they could have come from former Obama Office of Management and Budget head Peter Orszag, or the President himself, in their sharp attacks on skyrocketing health-care costs and medical “waste.”

Blumenauer reported in 2009 that he referred the *Harper’s* magazine to President Obama, to shape his thinking on health care—and then saw Obama cite the issue in his speech to the American Medical Association in June of that year.

According to the *New York Times* Dec. 26, Blume-

nauer was on the inside of reviving his end-of-life counseling provision through the regulatory route. The *Times* reports on an e-mail sent by Blumenauer to his supporters in November, weeks before the regulation was made public, saying: “Thus far, it seems that no press or blogs have discovered it, but we will be keeping a close watch. . . . The longer this goes unnoticed, the better our chances of keeping it.”

## NerObama

LaRouche warned in April of 2009, that Obama was a Nero/Hitler type personality, a narcissist under British control, who, as President of the United States, represented a deadly danger to the nation and the world. With his death-care bill, pursuit of losing wars, and murderous economic policies, Obama has more

than vindicated LaRouche’s warning.

Nor is the President “upset” with the fact that he no longer has a Democratic Congress to carry out his orders. As was obvious in the so-called tax deal he made with Republicans in the lame duck session, Obama is totally at home with the fascists on the other side of the aisle, whom he can use to bash the remaining FDR instincts of the Democratic party into submission.

But, where he feels it necessary, Obama plans to work not by deals, but by the exercise of raw Executive power, using Executive Orders, regulations, and whatever corporatist instruments he can create, to ram through his fascist policies. One of the most notable, besides the Hitler health deal, is his intended use of the regulatory power of the EPA to impose carbon-emission standards on power plants, which he couldn’t get through the Congress. This measure will be used to further rip up the productive potential of the U.S. economy—dismantling coal plants in favor of solar panels and windmills, which will take us back toward the 14th Century, in the twinkling of an eye.

Germans have been excoriated for decades, because they did not move to stop the genocidal horrors of the Hitler regime before it was too late. What will be said of Americans, if we do not stop Barack “Hitler” Obama in his tracks?

# Operation ‘Euthanasia’— Never Again!’

*Helga Zepp-LaRouche, president of the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement (BüSo) in Germany, released this petition on Dec. 30. It was translated from German.*

When the magnitude of the crimes of the National Socialists [Nazis] became clear in 1945, the horror of the entire world and of Germany was expressed in the words which were, at the same time, felt to be a sacred obligation: “Never again euthanasia!” Now, 65 years later, we are again confronted with potentially the same policy, which is passing from a clandestine rationing of medical care into an open “regulation,” of marking certain categories of patients, already not getting good care for a long time, now, not to get even adequate care. The latest announcement of the president of the German Medical Association, Prof. Jörg-Dietrich Hoppe, must be viewed as a break in the dam toward such a policy; it announces that the German medical profession, on the basis of an altered sentiment among physicians, will alter its professional code on the subject of assistance in dying. It will no longer be possible to maintain that assisted suicide, since it is not prosecuted under criminal law, is prohibited as unethical according to the physician’s code of conduct.

Professor Hoppe’s statement came only a few days before the director of the U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Dr. Donald Berwick, appointed by President Obama, introduced a new rule, effective Jan. 1, 2011, which, from now on, will compensate physicians in the United States, for any patients they persuade, under the pretext of planning for the end of life, to refuse life-saving measures in emergencies. This rule had been explicitly rejected in 2009 by the U.S. Congress, during the debate on the health-care law, after Lyndon LaRouche had warned that this policy was in the tradition of Hitler’s Tiergarten-4 guidelines of 1939. What now comes newly dressed up as a “regulation”—thus bypassing the Legislative branch—will, under conditions of brutal austerity policies, and in combination with the Independent Payment Advisory Board already known as a death panel, create a deadly mecha-

nism, subordinating the value of human life to the question of cost.

## A Radical Change

There is no doubt that Professor Hoppe is as much aware of the inhuman health-care policies in Great Britain and the United States, as he is of its connection to the gigantic bailout package for the banks which had gambled everything away, and the gouging of the living standards of the population to pay the costs of this bailout. One can only guess what pressures were applied on him: As recently as the 33rd annual conference of the German Medical Association in May 2009, in a dramatic keynote speech, he had demanded a public debate on the hidden rationing of health care that was already occurring in Germany, and that a decision must be made on this policy, either “to improve financial support for health care within the public, statutory health insurance, or to transparently and publicly accept the expert recommendation that we ration medical care.”

Previously, the 66th annual meeting of the German Legal Association had taken the position that the assistance of a physician in a death was not only permissible from the standpoint of criminal law, but even an ethically defensible form of terminal care. Hoppe had responded to this at that time by declaring it to be in the most profound conflict with the spirit and content of the physician’s duty: “Clearly and definitely to say: Assisted suicide is no task for a physician, and dear colleagues, may it never become one!”

Then, in August 2010, the Allensbach Institute published a survey, which claimed that one-third of the physicians surveyed pronounced themselves in favor of physician-assisted suicide. And now, when there can no longer remain the slightest doubt that the governments of the United States and the nations of Europe, as well as the European Commission, have decided that, through gigantic bailout packages for the banks, they will reduce existing government indebtedness by draconian cuts in health care, among other things, Hoppe wants to “liberalize” the physician’s code of conduct and make this the theme of the upcoming 34th annual conference of the Medical Association!

This author conducted an interview with Professor Hoppe during the 33rd Medical Association conference in Mainz, on this subject [published in *EIR*, June 5, 2009]. The following question was posed:



**Zepp-LaRouche:** “Is there not the danger, that if the financial and economic crisis massively increases, a sort of triage or rationing in health care, based on cost considerations, would again lead to euthanasia—as with the Nazis? In America and also in Great Britain, assisted suicide is quite openly discussed, and Obama advisor Ezekiel Emanuel has written about how much money could be saved, if doctors were allowed to actively assist suicide. I find this monstrous!”

**Hoppe:** “Yes, it certainly is. I made that very clear in my opening speech; the Medical Assembly approved it, and we will also craft a resolution on this topic. I believe that the Medical Assembly will absolutely stick to its guns on this, defending the position that we have adopted. Among our neighbor countries—one in the north, one in the west, one in the south—there are examples which show us how we do not intend to do it.”

## The ‘Slippery Slope’

Dr. Leo Alexander—a medical advisor to the prosecutors in the criminal trials against 16 Nazis who were held responsible at the Nuremberg Tribunals for their leading role, in the Hitler era, in the mass extermination of human beings whom they regarded as useless eaters—exposed the core of the philosophical principle which had led to these horrible acts, in 1949, only three years after that tribunal. He described it as “rational utility,” a Hegelian and Benthamite doctrine whose consequence was that ever-larger population groups were treated like cattle and killed, because they allegedly drew too many resources from society, or were undesirable in other ways. Hundreds of thousands of German citizens, not to speak of millions of citizens of other countries, were sent to their deaths on

## Nazis Plan to Kill Incurables to End Pain; German Religious Groups Oppose Move

By The Associated Press.

BERLIN, Oct. 7.—The Ministry of Justice in a detailed memorandum explaining the Nazi aims regarding the German penal code today announced its intention to authorize physicians to end the sufferings of incurable patients.

The memorandum, still lacking the force of law, proposed that “it shall be made possible for physi-

overnight has become a widely-discussed word in the Reich.

In medical circles the question was raised as to just when a man is incurable and when his life should be ended.

According to the present plans of the Ministry of Justice, incurability would be determined not only by the attending physician, but also by two official doctors who would carefully trace the history of the case and personally examine the patient.

In insisting that euthanasia shall be permissible only if the accredited attending physician is backed by two experts who so advise, the Ministry believes a guarantee is given that no life still valuable to the State will be wantonly destroyed.

The legal question of who may request the application of euthanasia has not been definitely solved. The Ministry merely has proposed that either the patient himself shall “expressly and earnestly” ask it, or “in case the patient no longer is able to express his desire, his nearer relatives, acting from motives that do not contravene morals, so request.”



A Nazi euthanasia poster (“This genetically defective person will cost the economy 60,000 Reichsmarks in his lifetime—Comrades, this is also your money”) and *New York Times* coverage, Oct. 8, 1933, on the Nazi policy and the Germans who opposed it.

the basis of this “principle.”

This belief in utilitarianism—many would probably describe it as pragmatism—has crept back in, in the past decades in the United States and Europe, and now plays a decisive role in health-care policy.

Dr. Alexander also warned of the danger of the “slippery slope,” on which there is no stopping once the first step is taken. He wrote:

“Whatever proportions these crimes finally assumed, it became evident to all who investigated them that they had started from small beginnings. The beginnings at first were merely a subtle shift in emphasis in the basic attitude of the physicians. It started with the

acceptance of the attitude, basic in the euthanasia movement, that there is such a thing as a life not worthy to be lived. This attitude in its early stages concerned itself merely with the severely and chronically sick. Gradually the sphere of those to be included in this category was enlarged to encompass the socially unproductive, the ideologically unwanted, and finally all non-Aryans. But it is important to realize that the infinitely small wedge-in lever from which this entire trend of mind received its impetus was the attitude towards the non-rehabilitable sick.

“It is, therefore, this subtle shift in emphasis of the physicians’ attitude that one must thoroughly investigate....”

In his 1949 article, analyzing the path of the Nazis to medical mass murder, Dr. Alexander found numerous warning signs that American physicians were also instilled with this “Hegelian, cold-blooded, utilitarian ideology,” which can correctly be designated as Nazi ideology. He noted: “Physicians have become dangerously close to being mere technicians of rehabilitation. The essentially Hegelian rational attitude has led them to make certain distinctions in the handling of acute and chronic diseases. The patient with the latter carried an obvious stigma as the one less likely to be fully rehabilitable for social usefulness. In an increasingly utilitarian society, these patients are being looked down upon with increasing definiteness as unwanted ballast....”

### **The Issue Is the Image of Man**

Today, it must cause the greatest alarm that, given an unprecedented breakdown crisis of the global financial system, which far overshadows the Depression of the 1930s, we have already slid so far down the slippery slope. We must assert with total clarity: The trans-Atlantic world is threatened with a new fascism.

There is a way out, and it is the package of measures that Lyndon LaRouche has proposed for some time. They include, first and foremost, the removal of President Obama from office under the 25th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and this, above all, because the so-called Obamacare violates the Constitution. This must be followed by the immediate re-introduction of the Glass-Steagall standard, and thus, a two-tier banking system, by which the toxic waste of the blown-out financial titles will be cleared away; and then, in the

tradition of FDR and the New Deal, the NAWAPA economic reconstruction must be realized for the United States, and similar programs for Eurasia, Africa, and Latin America.

If we put the physical economy back into the primary place, and with it, the creative human being as the essential source of the wealth of society, then we will never again have a view of mankind that subjects us to utilitarian thinking; rather, we will again be able to supply good health care, as was the case in America with the Hill-Burton Act, and in Germany, in the period before the health-care reforms of Ehrenberg, Geissler, Blüm, Seehofer, Schmid, Lauterbach, and Rösler.<sup>1</sup>

Nothing needs to be changed from the standpoint taken by the brilliant personal physician of Goethe and Schiller, the doctor Christoph-Wilhelm Hufeland, who warned 200 years ago:

“When a sick person is tormented by incurable ills, when he himself wishes for death, when pregnancy engenders illness and danger of death, how easily can the thought arise in the soul of the healthy person one: Should it not be permitted, yes even be one’s duty, to free that sufferer somewhat earlier from his burden, or to sacrifice that life in the womb for the welfare of the mother?”

“As good as such reasoning appears to be, as much as it may be supported by the voice of the heart, yet it is false; and a medical practice founded on it would be in the highest degree wrong and criminal. It well-nigh annihilates the very essence of what it means to be a physician. He should and may do nothing other than to sustain life—whether it is happy or unhappy, whether it has value or not, is no concern of his. And if he but once presumes to abandon this one consideration of his profession, the consequences will be incalculable, and the physician will become the most dangerous person in the state!”

All physicians and others engaged in health care, as well as all other citizens who feel bound by the principle, “Never again euthanasia,” are hereby called upon to make sure that the “liberalization” of the professional ethics of physicians announced by Professor Hoppe, be rejected for what it really is.

---

1. Former health ministers, politicians, and other medical policymakers in modern-day Germany.



# Keep Up with 21<sup>st</sup> CENTURY SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Featured in the Spring 2010 issue

## THE COSMIC RAY PROJECT

- **Kesha Rogers's Victory Signals Rebirth of A Mars Colonization Policy!**

by Sky Shields

What will continuous 1-g acceleration of manned spacecraft to Mars tell us of the hidden link of life on Earth to the broader cosmos?

- **Towards a New Periodic Table of Cosmic Radiation**


by Peter Martinson

True knowledge comes from the human mind, not sense perceptions, and it is this creative process that will lead us to an understanding of cosmic radiation and life processes.

- **ONWARD TO MARS: The Triumph of the Weak Forces**

by Oyang Teng

Meeting the challenges of a manned Moon-Mars mission will open the entire electromagnetic spectrum for human use, redefining cognitive science for the next century.



### Other Highlights

- **IN MEMORIAM: Edwin E. Kintner (1920-2010)**

### A Champion of Fusion and Fission

by Stephen O. Dean

- **The Overpopulation Fear Behind The Ban on DDT**

by Donald Roberts and Richard Tren

Excerpts from their new book, *The Excellent Powder: DDT's Political and Scientific History*.

### From Winter 2009-2010

- **The True History of the U.S. Fusion Program—And Who Tried to Kill It**

by Marsha Freeman

- **Radioisotopes: The Medical Lifesavers That Congress Is Suppressing**

by Christine Craig

**Subscribe!** Electronic subscriptions are \$25 for 6 issues, \$48 for 12 issues

Single electronic copy is \$5.

Available at [www.21stcenturysciencetech.com](http://www.21stcenturysciencetech.com) or send check/money order to

**21st Century**

P.O. Box 16285, Washington, D.C. 20041

- **IN MEMORIAM: Lance Endersbee (1925-2009)**

### Humanity Loses a Champion

by Robert Barwick

- **Fusion in Korea: Energy for the Next Generation**

Interview with Dr. Gyung-Su Lee

- **Vietnam Is Ready to Go Nuclear!**

Interview with Tran Huu Phat





# Africa Is Not a British Zoo! Splitting Up Sudan Is Wrong

by Lawrence K. Freeman

Dec. 30—Sudanese who can prove a lineage to the tribes of Southern Sudan will vote on Jan. 9, 2011, on whether to secede from Sudan to form a new state, or remain as part of one united country. If the referendum takes place, and if Southerners vote for separation, as many predict, the underlying issues between the North and the South will remain unresolved. In fact, the referendum, if it comes off, may put Sudan and the region back on the path to war.

The splitting up of Sudan, the largest country in Africa, which dominates the Horn of Africa and the Nile River system, is wrong: wrong for the people of Sudan, wrong for Africa, wrong for the world. It should not happen. But the British imperialists and their hangers-on demand it. It also has the potential to lead to an expanded, regionalized war, more deadly than anything we have seen thus far, as a result of Sudan's neighbors becoming militarily engaged.

## British Colonial Policy

Keeping countries divided, preventing their people from identifying with, and aspiring to, the principle of

FIGURE 1



national sovereignty, has always been the primary goal of British colonial policy.

Beginning in the 19th Century, the seeds of the present conflict in Sudan were planted, and the legacy of those colonial policies is still operating today, to manipulate the nation's people to attack each other, against their own self-interests. This "divide-and-conquer" tactic has included fostering, nurturing, and even creating, when necessary, as was done in Darfur, tribal micro-identities, based on so-called ethnic, religious, and geographical differences. Rwanda, Nigeria, and

Kenya are but leading examples of how these so-called "tribal distinctions" have been used to destroy nations from within, by steering the "pleasure and pain" motivation of each "aggrieved people" into deadly conflict against their own brothers and sisters. By the acceptance and defense of their narrowly defined tribal ethnicity as *their culture*, Africans defeat themselves.

When people are treated like animals, as is the case throughout Sub-Saharan Africa, and struggle just to simply survive under conditions of externally imposed

genocide, they are easily blinded from seeing the face of their real enemy. Instead, they strike with a vengeance against an artificial enemy image, identified as those “other tribes” now occupying their farms, never landing a blow against those who are really responsible for their oppressive conditions. Defense of tribal culture and ethnicity is what blinds them. Rage suffocates reason, and always leads to defeat. This is why we witness in Africa arguably the most bestialized, ugly, and brutal wars fought among people of the same nation.

The British Empire, then and now, has never respected the sovereignty of any African nation, because the oligarchs have never viewed Africans as human beings, entitled to the same rights and privileges bestowed on all of the Creator’s children. There is no shortage of evidence, of the hard-core racist beliefs towards Africans (among others), espoused by the British oligarchy, through the centuries into the present. They have treated Africans, through the manipulations of these “micro-identities” and tribal cultures, like so many species of animals caged in a zoo, unleashing their built-up rage from being treated like an animal, to kill their fellow countrymen, falsely perceived as enemies, i.e., a competing species.

From this author’s travels in Africa, and discussions with Africans, especially those from Sub-Saharan Africa, there is a crippling lack of identification with the nation-state as an unifying institution. Instead, they substitute a fanatical allegiance to a lesser identity, one chained to tribal culture and ethnicity.

The oppressors of Africa fear any form of nascent nationalism as anathema to their ability to control and manipulate the people of that country. Witness the barrage of demonizing attacks usually instigated and coordinated by George Soros’s Open Society, against any African leader who represents, if imperfectly, a nationalist sentiment capable of transcending tribal culture in favor of nationhood.

As referenced above, the present-day conflict in Sudan was set up by the British over a century ago, and codified into laws between 1922 and 1925, such as the Passport and Ordinance Act, which forbid the integration between British-created northern and southern Sudan, making it a criminal act for a northerner to travel into closed southern districts. All thoughtful Sudanese familiar with their history have to admit, that the British creation of “two Sudans” in one country, led to the present referendum on separation as the inexorable consequence. It is important not to forget, that in 1955, six

months before Sudan’s independence from the British in January 1956, war had already broken out between the North and the South in the divided Sudan governed by the British colonial powers.

We must reject as racist the very distinctions so commonly accepted in the debates about Sudan today. I reject the categorization of northerners as “Arab-Muslims”; southerners as African-Christians” and “African-animists”; and Darfuris as “African-Muslims.” Let us end this racist profiling based on religion or the blackness of their skin. For those of us who detest, and refuse to accept these racist British zoo classifications, let us proclaim once and for all, as loudly as we can: All the people of Sudan are African and Sudanese!

### **U.S. Adopts British Outlook for Sudan**

In recent decades, the United States—instead of emulating the traditional American “Good Neighbor” policy of promoting economic progress in less-developed nations, which President Franklin Roosevelt expressed towards Africa—chose to follow in the footsteps of the British. Susan Rice, currently U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, whether consciously or not, has carried the flag for the British policy towards Africa, on American soil. Prior to joining the National Security Council during President Clinton’s first administration in 1993, she had already established a thoroughly British pedigree, displaying a peculiar devotion to British colonial thinking towards Africa.

Rice, with a coterie of collaborators, has conducted a political jihad against the government of Sudan, in her multi-decade effort for “regime change” of President Omar al-Bashir. The campaign to oust Bashir was part of a larger British gameplan, whose real intention, was to break up Sudan into several new artificial entities: a state for Southern Sudan; an independent Darfur state in the West; and possibly one or more states in the East, effectively obliterating the nation of Sudan, which would ensure a new Thirty Years War in the Horn of Africa. If this scenario—carving up African nations into multiple divisions, which is what some genocidal lunatics hope to bring about in Sudan—were extended to Nigeria, and other diverse nations, then one could write off Africa, Untold of millions of Africans would be eliminated by war, disease, and famine, as a consequence.

Post-referendum destabilization is already underway. The campaign to dismantle the central government of Sudan has begun, even before the Jan. 9 vote,

with British assets from the northern opposition parties already calling for Bashir to leave office, for having failed “to hold Sudan together.” There will be efforts to recruit some elements of Bashir’s own National Congress Party (NCP) to support calls for his removal. International Criminal Court (ICC) special prosecutor Luis Moreno-Ocampo was, already in 2009, attempting to portray Bashir as a bleeding shark,” hoping to encourage defections “by other sharks” in the NCP.

U.S. support for the ICC’s fraudulent arrest warrant for Bashir, led by Rice from her pulpit at the UN, and endorsed by President Obama, make clear to all, that the U.S. wanted Bashir to be removed from office, despite the fact that preparations for the referendum were ongoing. Obama’s insistence that no U.S. diplomat can even talk to Bashir, has created an additional burden for those involved in negotiations for the Jan. 9 vote, because Bashir, in addition to being the head of state, is the representative for the northern-based NCP, and a signer to the Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA)<sup>1</sup> itself. So much for Obama and Rice’s desire for peace.

Rice makes little effort to hide her hatred of the Khartoum government, having preemptively rejected any possibility of meeting with Bashir during her October trip to Sudan as co-leader of the UN Security Council delegation. She shocked her fellow diplomats with her cheerleading encouragement for a pro-secession vote at a rally in Juba, the capital of the South. On the same trip, she also made an ass of herself in refusing to pay a \$15 exit fee at the Khartoum airport. So much for diplomacy.

U.S. government activity is myopically focused on ensuring that the referendum takes place, and minimally reflects the “will of the people” of the South. The expectation of a free, fair, and transparent election is barely mentioned by U.S. officials, although this greatly concerns the African Union (AU), since endorsement of the voting process by the AU is essential for international acceptance of the voting results.

The failure of the U.S., is that it has not articulated a

vision for the future of Sudan, and for Africa, one that embodies the commitment of the American System to progress, which President Franklin Roosevelt expressed when he envisioned “the greening” of the Sahara Desert, in his wartime discussions about the future of Africa. That optimistic, “can-do” American spirit of transforming nature for the benefit of mankind through large-scale water, energy, and transportation/rail projects, is woefully missing from U.S. strategic thinking today. This is the most glaring, systemic deficiency in U.S. policy, and its omission has deadly implications. If American leadership to develop Sudan had governed our policy over the last 20 years of the recent three U.S. Presidents, instead of following the British mindset, typified by Susan Rice, Sudan would be on the pathway to becoming a unified nation today.

An abrupt change in direction of U.S. policy towards Africa, would be signaled by an American commitment to finally initiate the Transaqua<sup>2</sup> infrastructure project to refurbish Lake Chad with water from the Congo River Basin.

### **No ‘Vote Dividend’ from the West**

Unfortunately, my friends in the Sudan People’s Liberation Movement (SPLM) will suffer a rude awakening should an artificially created Southern Sudan state come into existence. There will be no economic largesse from the West. The entire trans-Atlantic financial system, led by the demise of Eurozone is already a “dead man walking,” and may not even be around when the Jan. 9 vote takes place. Outside of oil-industry and related financial predators, only the Eurasian-Pacific Rim nations will have a desire, and be in a position, to invest in Sudan. Unless the emergency economic reforms stipulated by physical economist Lyndon LaRouche are implemented now, the vicious budgets cuts being proposed by President Obama and his supporters in the Republican Party will virtually eliminate what, in past times, had been considered an acceptable foreign-aid budget.

Despite all the attacks on Khartoum, and Khartoum’s admitted shortcomings in promoting economic growth, it has been the policy of the West, since the early 1970s, not to invest in vital categories of hard and soft infrastructure in the developing sector, except to facilitate the

---

1. The Comprehensive Peace agreement (CPA) was signed on Jan. 9, 2005 by John Garang of the South’s Sudan Peoples Liberation Movement/Army (SPLM/A), and by President Omar al-Bashir on behalf of the northern-based National Congress Party, ending more than 20 years of civil war. It established that in six years, on Jan. 9, 2011, there would be a referendum for the southern Sudanese to vote on whether to remain as part of a united Sudan, or to secede and form a new state. The United States pressured Sudan to make the CPA, but did nothing to help make unity attractive. The CPA is to be officially terminated on July 9, 2011.

---

2. See “The Transaqua Project: Making Africa Bloom,” *EIR*, May 1, 2009; and “The Schiller Institute Brings NAWAPA Approach to Chad,” *EIR*, Nov. 12 2010.





EIRNS

*Lawrence Freeman (second from left) led a Schiller Institute delegation to Sudan in February 1997; here, they visit a refugee camp in Roseires (eastern part of northern Sudan), where they met with local officials. Freeman found that the major problem facing Sub-Saharan Africans is “a crippling lack of identification with the nation-state.”*

removal of natural resources by the extractive industries, that has kept Sudan backward.<sup>3</sup> The idiotic, self-defeating imposition of sanctions against Sudan, affirmed the intent that Sudan would remain undeveloped, subjecting millions of Sudanese to live in some of the most deplorable conditions on the continent.

Despite the mandate in the CPA, requiring the South to be economically developed, no real growth has occurred, with over 3 million people living in the South still considered food-insecure (Sudan’s total population is about 44 million, of whom about 8 million live in the South). Nor should anyone expect that conditions of life will significantly improve, if the South secedes. The belief that the South will be showered with tangible economic support as a reward, is a serious delusion affecting too many people in Southern Sudan, and their advocates in the U.S.

3. The official policy to impose economic backwardness in underdeveloped countries was adopted in the United States, in 1975, under the impetus of U.S. National Security Advisor Henry Kissinger, in the form of National Security Study Memorandum 200. NSSM 200 outlined a covert plan to reduce population growth, through birth control, and, implicitly, war and famine, allegedly to ensure that the West continued to get cheap raw materials.

## Abyei, Flashpoint for War?

Presently, many important issues remain unresolved, including citizenship, Sudan’s \$36 billion of debt, the demarcation of the 2,100-kilometer-long border between the North and South, and the sharing of oil revenues. However, the most contentious issue surrounds the Abyei area: whether it will become part of the North or the South. A separate referendum on Abyei was originally scheduled also for Jan. 9, but was scotched when the two sides remained deadlocked over which tribes would be eligible to vote. It is still up in the air, whether and how the question of Abyei will be resolved in time.

Abyei is a small section of the yet undemarcated border; however, it embodies the tribal-culture conflict discussed above. It is the traditional home to the Ngok Dinka, who are settled there all year round, but it also provides grazing land for the cattle of the nomadic Misseriya for large portions of the year. The Ngok Dinka and Misseriya have generations-old agreements on the use of the fertile pastoral lands in the Abyei region, according to traditions established by their ancestors.

The SPLM insists that only the nine Ngok Dinka tribes be allowed to vote, which would ensure that Abyei will become part of the new Southern Sudan state. Not only is this unacceptable to the North, but it upsets long-held tribal traditions for the Misseriya, who would consider this a violation of their way of life, and their rights to freely bring their cattle south for grazing. There are 6 million nomads in Sudan who roam regions extending between North and South. Abyei and other border areas have already become highly militarized, and volatile.

Many military, as well some non-military people, familiar with Sudan, fear that, if Abyei remains unresolved, armed conflict between North and South will emerge, sooner or later. Abyei has been referred to as the “Kashmir” of Sudan.

The referendum for creating a Southern Sudan state solves nothing, except to create a “new paradigm” for how to tear apart another Africa nation.

# LaRouche Democratic Candidates' Slate Vows To Revive America

by Harley Schlanger

Jan. 2—From the run-up to the Nov. 2, 2010 mid-term elections in the United States, through the lame duck session of Congress, and on through the last days of 2010, it has become absolutely clear that humanity will not escape descent into a New Dark Age, unless key sectors of the U.S. population reject the free-market economic and political axioms that have been hegemonic over the last 40 years, and move, instead, behind the leadership of Lyndon LaRouche.

This is the message which is being taken to the population by the newly announced slate of six LaRouche candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives, a slate which is taking the form of a kind of “Presidential campaign,” at a moment when the utter vacuum of leadership in the nation has become evident to all but the most brain-dead members of our society.

Unfortunately, among those who can be considered brain dead are leading elements in both political parties, the swindlers and thieves of the London-based Inter-Alpha Group and their allies on Wall Street, and among the media and talking heads, who play a dominant role in reinforcing the failed axioms.

## LaRouche's Leadership

This was the central theme in LaRouche's LPAC-TV Weekly Report on Dec. 29, as he reviewed the failure of leadership since his webcast on July 25, 2007, during which he not only accurately forecast the collapse of the international banking and monetary system, but offered an alternative. At that time, and in the following weeks, LaRouche developed a strategy to implement a program, based on the actions of President Franklin D. Roosevelt in his historic first 100 Days' legislation, which reversed the Hoover Depression, and put the nation on a course of recovery.

Central to the LaRouche Plan, is bankruptcy reorga-

nization through a return to the Glass-Steagall principle of regulation, to wipe the trillions of dollars of toxic assets, still being traded by the banks, off their books, with the losses going to the traders themselves; and the generation of new, Federal credit, through the cleaned-up banks, into massive, job-creating, wealth-producing infrastructure projects, and to fund necessary programs of state governments. Had LaRouche's plan been adopted, our nation would now be leading the world into an economic recovery.

Instead, the Bush-Cheney-Paulson-Bernanke team chose to bail out the swindlers of the City of London and Wall Street, who had created the bubble in the first place. This policy was then continued by the team brought in by President Obama, which included both Fed chairman Ben Bernanke and Secretary of the Treasury Timothy Geithner, who had played leading roles under Bush-Cheney. It was reinforced by corrupted elements of the Democratic Party Congressional leadership under House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (Calif.) and Sen. Harry Reid (Nev.), who worked through Rep. Barney “Bailout” Frank (D-Mass.) and Sen. Chris Dodd (D-Conn.), to ensure that LaRouche's proposals for FDR-type reforms were sabotaged, with back-up by free-market Republicans, who whined about Obama, but blocked any effort to stop the bail-outs.

At every opportunity to vote in Glass-Steagall and proceed with an infrastructure-driven recovery policy, from July 2007 through the so-called lame duck session in 2010, the elected political leaders stuck to the London-directed free-market policies of bailing out worthless assets, while proclaiming, Hoover-like, that recovery is just around the corner.

That the American people were not fooled was demonstrated by the Nov. 2 vote, which represented a thorough repudiation of Obama personally.



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

Day After Christmas,” p.47 and “LPAC Now Brings the World’s News,” p.52), the policy of unlimited bailout has reached its limit. Led by the collapse unfolding in Europe, the panic among bankers and officials has finally hit the pages of the financial press. This collapse, in turn, has led to open calls for fascist economic policies, in the form of deadly austerity, both in Europe and the United States, including a “regulation” issued by Obama’s director of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Dr. Donald Berwick, for a revival of Hitler-style murder of useless eaters.

The LaRouche candidates immediately responded to this fascist onslaught, led personally by Obama, issuing statements calling for his removal from office (see the new candidates’ statements, below). The statements by the six candidates have gone out on the La-

*In his Dec. 29 LPAC-TV Weekly Report, Lyndon LaRouche put the failure of leadership in the U.S., since his July 25, 2007 webcast, front and center. To remedy that failure, six LaRouche Democrats have announced campaigns for Congress in 2012.*

But, instead of changing course, Obama joined with the new majority of House Republicans, to call for fascist austerity, including killing the sick, poor, and elderly, in a repeat of Adolf Hitler’s T4 euthanasia policies, so that the bailout can continue.

The exception to this insanity was the leadership of LaRouche, and his slate of three candidates Rachel Brown (Mass.), Kesha Rogers (Texas), and Summer Shields (Calif.), who ran for Congress in 2010 on the LaRouche Plan.

In the final days of 2010, that leadership slate was joined by three more candidates for 2012: Dave Christie (Wash.), Bill Roberts (Mich.), and Diane Sare (N.J.). This announcement was reported in last week’s issue.

### **The LaRouche Slate**

As LaRouche identified in two statements released last week, and published elsewhere in this issue (“The

RouchePAC website, and are also being distributed by teams of organizers nationwide, as the six are functioning as a unified leadership, speaking with one voice, much as a Presidential campaign would function.

Contrast this with the pathetic efforts of the putative Republican Presidential candidates, who are still trying to figure out how to spin Obama’s endorsement of the Bush tax cuts, and his vigorous support of the kind of murderous budget cuts that, in the past, no Democrat would ever support! While some are quibbling over specifics, most of them have been quick to welcome Obama to their side, creating a united front of leaders of both parties endorsing genocidal policies.

This is precisely what LaRouche warned would happen after the Nov. 2 vote, when he called on Democrats to use their majority in the lame duck session of Congress to go with Glass-Steagall and a policy of



Federal credit for infrastructure. Unfortunately, there were no FDRs among them, which prompted LaRouche to call on the three LaRouche Democrats who ran, with honor, in 2010, to put together an expanded slate for 2012, to act immediately, to fight for the FDR/LaRouche solutions to the accelerating global crisis.

### **City and State Battlefields**

The most immediate fields of battle will be the cities and states of America, most of which are headed for insolvency, with no hope of relief from the Obama Administration, or the Congress. As many as 100 cities may go bankrupt in the next months, with more than \$1 trillion of debt in various forms of bonds, headed for default, while layoffs of policemen, firemen, and teachers already threaten a breakdown into chaos.

As for the states, at least 47 of them are in violation of laws requiring balanced budgets, and cuts in health-care and welfare payments are already costing lives of the most vulnerable. Though big states such as California—which has been bankrupted by that transplanted Austrian fascist, outgoing governor Arnie Schwarzenegger—New York, and Illinois lead the way, devastating cuts are on the agenda for almost every other state.

In the past, the Congress was mobilized by state-level officials, who acted through state legislative action, as well as lobbying, to pressure Congress into action. This was true most recently, following LaRouche's call in August 2007, for a Homeowners and Bank Protection Act (HBPA), to deal with the popping of the housing bubble, and the subsequent explosion of home foreclosures and the blowout of the mortgage-backed securities market, which triggered the collapse of Lehman Brothers, and then, virtually every investment bank on Wall Street and in Europe.

City councils in more than 100 cities, and at least six state legislatures, passed a LaRouchePAC memorial resolution for the HBPA at that time, and there was strong support in a number of states for action in the Congress. That was when Pelosi and Bailout Barney joined with then-President George W. Bush and his Treasury Secretary, Hank Paulson, to stop LaRouche's proposal, and go instead with the bailout, which continues to this day, giving trillions of dollars to the bankrupt banks, while cities and states are left to rot.

The six LaRouche candidates are mapping out plans, with supporters, to hit the state legislatures as soon as they open in 2011, to take the initiative immediately, to use the reintroduction of the Glass-Steagall regulatory principle to end the bailouts, and channel necessary funds to the states, both to sustain necessary programs, as well as to initiate large-scale infrastructure projects, beginning with the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA).

The "shock effect" of having a unified voice, in all parts of our republic, mobilized for the LaRouche Plan, is the only hope that the Congress may be moved out from under its present corrupt and incompetent leadership, to act for the interests of their constituents.

This evaluation was reiterated by LaRouche, in a discussion with his associates last week, in which he emphasized that the candidates must directly address the population, to tell the truth, as that is the key function of real leadership. Here is what we must tell them, he said: "Some people have been robbing the United States and its citizens. They've been taking away their jobs, their health care, the very possibility of their future—all for the benefit of Wall Street and London."

He continued: "And now we have the facts in our hands," referring to a report released by the Federal Reserve, through Sen. Bernie Sanders' (I-Vt.) office: "What Wall Street is paying for, is London! We're supporting the British! We're bailing the British out! You're starving, we're losing jobs, you're suffering. Camden's going to shut down, other cities are shut down. Our unemployed can't find a job. Why? Because of London. Because this administration, and the previous one, are supporting London, supporting the British Empire! And destroying the United States, for the benefit of the British!"

He concluded by identifying what steps real leadership, directed by the six LaRouche candidates, will take, steps which have been blocked by the current incompetent and corrupt leadership. "We are going to take away their money," he said. "We're going to install Glass-Steagall, and that means that we're going to take away their money!"

Such actions cannot wait until November 2012, or even until the end of January 2011! It is for that reason, that the six-candidate Congressional slate is mobilizing now, to provide the leadership urgently required, if our republic, and the rest of the world, are to get out of the New Dark Age which they have already entered.

---

Dave Christie

---

## NAWAPA To Develop U.S., South America, Asia

Greetings from the Pacific Northwest. My name is Dave Christie. I'll be the Congressional candidate here in Washington State, as part of a nationwide slate of six LaRouche Democrats, restoring the virtues of our Republic, and launching an economic recovery, now. We will not wait around until 2012: We won't have a country by then.

We are situated here in Washington State, less than 500 miles from the jewel of the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), the driver for the economic recovery for the next generation. The NAWAPA system, in the Sawtooth Mountain pump system, over in the Sawtooth Mountains of Idaho, is going to radically transform this region: The project will require the construction of 23 dams, 26 gigawatts of power for the pumping facilities. The industrial capabilities that now lie latent in nearby Seattle and Tacoma will be re-enlivened with this development in the Sawtooth system.

However, it's not just this region which will be transformed: This region now will become reintegrated with an international perspective. Behind us, we see some of what the international perspective is, now, which is unfortunately shipping containers coming in, full of goods from China and Asia, however, leaving empty, because there's nothing to send them—except for Starbucks coffee. Anyway, we will be sending them back with the capital goods, and related equipment, for the development of Asia as a whole, in the reindustrialization policy that will be launched and fed into the NAWAPA system, here in this region. That is the vision of what Washington can be transformed into in this manner.

And, as we look south, all the way through our partners along this strategic corridor south to San Francisco, California, on through Mexico, we can even look south

to South America, through the Darién Gap, and we can see the whole West Coast integrated, all the way through our partners in British Colombia, ending the British colonial policy put in place by Adam Smith, propagandized in such tracts as *The Wealth of Nations* from 1776. And we can extend this development through Alaska, on through the Bering Strait, through Eurasia. And that is what this region can be radically transformed to do.

So, I ask you to join with me, and the five other LaRouche Democrats, to make this happen, now! We're not going to wait around, till the magic moment in 2012, when we're sitting in the Congress. Work with us now, to dump our poor, insane President, with his narcissist complex; throw him out. Bring back Glass-Steagall, kick the British out with their Wall Street bail-out process. Make sure our states and cities do not have to make the bone-cutting austerity policies that they're doing now: I know the city councils and the governors do not like these kinds of cuts—other than this psycho Schwarzenegger, down in California.

So, join with us, now: We're not waiting for 2012. These candidates, this slate, represents the fight, now.

*The video for this statement can be found at: <http://www.larouchepac.com/node/17006>*

---

Bill Roberts

---

## U.S.-Led Development, Not Killer Austerity

Hello, I'm Bill Roberts, and I'm announcing my candidacy for the U.S. House of Representatives in the state of Michigan.

The significance of my candidacy lies not within a single campaign in a single district of this nation. I now join a slate of six LaRouche Democratic candidates, who will be waging one unified campaign to provide the necessary factor of leadership required to remedy the now, otherwise disastrous political and economic situation.



*Dave Christie*

Among the already seasoned candidates, who have now re-launched their campaigns, are Rachel Brown in Massachusetts, who took on the notorious liar “Bailout” Barney Frank, and built an army of patriots committed to restoring the sovereignty of the American Republic; Kesha Rogers in Texas, who created a national shock wave by winning the Democratic nomination for the 22nd Congressional District of Houston, while defending the mission of NASA and demanding Obama’s immediate removal from office; and Summer Shields, in San Francisco, California, who is campaigning against the now-used-up Nancy Pelosi, has been a lightning rod in organizing a trans-Pacific orientation for U.S. policy, bringing together scientists, engineers, and builders, around a single framework for economic recovery.

In addition to these veteran candidates, the three new candidates joining the slate, are myself, Dave Christie, in the Seattle area of Washington State, and Diane Sare, in the state of New Jersey. Even as it has yet to be decided exactly where the Congressional Districts’ lines will be drawn in several states, more urgent factors than pragmatic political ones, have hastened the decision to immediately launch this campaign.

Due to the cowardice of Congressional Democrats, in refusing to oust Obama and pass the restoration of the original 1933 Glass-Steagall banking reform law, the rage of the American electorate has brought into Congress a disastrous slew of budget-cutting Republicans. President Obama, in an act that reflects his own, perceived political upper hand in this new arrangement, has already set to work, in tandem with a majority of Republicans, pushing a bone-crushing austerity agenda, aimed directly at the lives of the American people. It is high time that this psychotic re-incarnation of the Emperor Nero should be *removed*, for the safety of the American people, before it is too late; and it is time for sanity to be restored to the U.S. Congress.

With the global economic breakdown end-game now on our doorsteps, what is unmistakably clear, is that only a fight for a national solution, invoking the image of Franklin D. Roosevelt, can rescue this nation from peril. The era of trillions in hyperinflationary bailouts, and the constant drive toward the dictatorial shutdown of entire



*Bill Roberts*

communities and elimination of social safety-nets must end.

I appeal to you, the voter and American citizen, to join this fight, now, to bring the following actions into play:

First: Invoke Section 4 of the 25th Amendment, to remove Obama, immediately.

Second: Reinstate the original 1933 Glass-Steagall banking reform, ending the bailout of financial speculators; only states and cities in distress will be bailed out.

And thirdly: Build the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), putting 4 million Americans back to work, creating the economic platform of the future, *now*, and bringing back into play the machine-tool industrial capability centered in the upper Midwest region.

Thank you.

*The video for this statement can be found at: <http://www.larouchepac.com/node/17006>*

---

Diane Sare

---

## Oust Obama: Create 4 Million New Jobs!

I’m Diane Sare, and I’m a candidate for U.S. House of Representatives for the state of New Jersey. While it might appear at first, that this is an ordinary campaign announcement by a candidate seeking public office, as Lyndon LaRouche has repeatedly warned you, and as you should know by now, what you see is not even usually what you get. In this case, what you’re getting, is not one candidate, but a national committee of six American patriots, who will be running a unified campaign from coast to coast. We are launching our campaigns, now, even before some of the Congressional District lines have been re-drawn, because our nation faces a grave crisis, and we have a deranged dictator, Barack Obama, for President.

If the United States continues to be crushed under his



pro-British Empire agenda, the whole world has no hope of avoiding a prolonged Dark Age, where billions face death, in the relatively short term. Mankind, present and future, can no longer afford these failures. How many thousands of people will be killed, by the Nazi-like policies of this current administration, whether they are dying by a totally preventable outbreak of cholera, as in the refugee camps in Haiti, or here, at home, where millions of poor, sick, and elderly Americans are victims of Obama's "useless eaters" policy, as they're being cut off from unemployment compensation, Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid? Not to mention the destruction of our cities, as Obama's co-thinker, New Jersey Governor Chris Christie, is doing, enforcing the layoffs of thousands of first responders in New Jersey's major urban centers.




*Diane Sare*

way to explore the edges of the Solar System, and beyond.

Join us!

*The video for this statement can be found at: <http://www.larouchepac.com/node/17006>*

The six of us, plus those who join with us, from the ranks of current and former elected officials, will constitute a national leadership committee, to ever-so-gently warm the bottoms of the Congressmen, Harriet Tubman-style, to immediately—not in 2012, but the first weeks of the New Year—remove President Barack Obama from office, under Section 4 of the 25th Amendment; re-instate the FDR Glass-Steagall Act; and break ground on the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), thus creating 4 million new jobs, but also laying the path-






# FIDELIO

Journal of Poetry, Science, and Statecraft

From the first issue, dated Winter 1992, featuring Lyndon LaRouche on "The Science of Music: The Solution to Plato's Paradox of 'The One and the Many,'" to the final issue of Spring/Summer 2006, a "Symposium on Edgar Allan Poe and the Spirit of the American Revolution," *Fidelio* magazine gave voice to the Schiller Institute's intention to create a new Golden Renaissance.

The title of the magazine, is taken from Beethoven's great opera, which celebrates the struggle for political freedom over tyranny. *Fidelio* was founded at the time that LaRouche and several of his close associates were unjustly imprisoned, as was the opera's Florestan, whose character was based on the American Revolutionary hero, the French General, Marquis de Lafayette.

Each issue of *Fidelio*, throughout its 14-year lifespan, remained faithful to its initial commitment, and offered original writings by LaRouche and his associates, on matters of, what the poet Percy Byssche Shelley identified as, "profound and impassioned conceptions respecting man and nature."



**Back issues are now available for purchase through the Schiller Institute website:**

<http://www.schillerinstitute.org>, and [www.larouchepub.com](http://www.larouchepub.com). Please consult the websites for prices and availability.

### *Start with Removing Obama*

In his presentation on the LaRouchePAC Weekly Report Dec. 29, Lyndon LaRouche could not have been clearer. “There is no issue,” he said, “so important, so urgent, so significant, that getting Obama out is not the number-one issue for civilization, for the human race as a whole, at this time. And anybody who conceives of it as less, is not on the job. They’re either a coward or stupid, one of the two.”

The good news, of course, is that an increasing number of Americans, and international political leaders, have come around to agree with LaRouche on this matter. We’re not talking about official polls, but encounters with citizens on the street, including a growing ration of African-Americans. They can no longer bring themselves to defend the President who has slavishly served Wall Street, and led the American people down to Hell.

The bad news, is that many of these very same Americans, especially leading political figures, still refuse to take the public, aggressive, immediate actions required to remove the President from office. They—and maybe, you—are desperately looking for some other issue, some “little corner of the world,” to deal with, rather than take on the central obstruction to LaRouche’s program for reversing the worst physical-economic and cultural decline that mankind has faced in centuries.

There is no avoiding the Hitler comparison in Obama’s case. Forget the trappings, and look at the content of what this President has done, and the personality which he flaunts. Obama has exhibited delusions of dictatorial power from the start, and has behaved with deceit toward the entire institution of government. He did that with the so-called health-care reform, with the so-called financial reform, with matters of national security,

and military policy.

Even more important, is the *content* of the policies which he has implemented. It was always obvious to the cognoscenti, and Lyndon LaRouche pointed it out as early as April 2009, but now it is writ large: Obama’s policy toward human life and the state is a Nazi policy. He has fully embraced the Nazi philosophy that there are “lives not worthy to be lived,” and fashioned, with close British collaboration, an entire health-care policy based on that concept. *If he is allowed to carry through this policy, he will kill many more people than Adolf Hitler.*

So, Obama must be removed. Constitutionally, and peacefully, through the section of the 25th Amendment, which permits the removal of de-ranked Presidents for cause. We have no choice if we want to survive.

We again quote LaRouche:

“The issue is, you’ve got the entire world system about to go into a dive, into a Dark Age! Europe is the primary area of vulnerability, in terms of structure, because the European structure is much more shattered than that of the United States. In the United States, getting Obama *out*, would be sufficient, to proceed with steps, which *could* save the United States, and thus save Europe, and thus save the world.”


Those steps are ones adequately outlined in the rest of this magazine: 1) restore FDR’s Glass-Steagall law; 2) provide immediate urgent relief to the cities and the states which are now disintegrating; and 3) immediately launch the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), as the core of a world recovery program.

That’s the job for 2011. And it starts with removing Obama.

SUBSCRIBE TO

# EIR Executive Intelligence Review

## EIR Online



**EIR Online** gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Youth Movement, we are changing politics in Washington, day by day.

## EIR Online

Issued every Tuesday, EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

☐ \$360 for one year

☐ \$180 for six months

☐ \$120 for four months

☐ \$90 for three months

☐ \$60 for two months

☐ Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

—EIR Online can be reached at:

**www.larouchepub.com/eiw**

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Company \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_ Country \_\_\_\_\_

Phone ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail address \_\_\_\_\_

I enclose \$ \_\_\_\_\_ check or money order

Make checks payable to

**EIR News Service Inc.**

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa

Card Number \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Expiration Date \_\_\_\_\_