

## Soros and the String-Pullers Behind Germany's 'Hot Autumn'

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

*This is the introduction to a pamphlet issued by the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement (BüSo) in Germany, which is headed by Zepp-LaRouche. The pamphlet is titled "Stop the Hot Autumn of the Financial Locusts and Their Foot-Soldiers" (<http://bueso.de/heisser-herbst>). It has been translated from German, and subheads added.*

Just imagine: In Providence, R.I., at least 40 Democratic Party donors spent \$7,500 each for a dinner with President Obama; two extra chefs returned early from their vacations to prepare a gourmet lobster dinner; and then Obama spoke for 20 minutes, whereupon he took his leave before dinner, with the words, "I've got to go home to tuck in the girls and walk the dog and scoop the poop."

After these Presidential *bon appetit* wishes, it was certainly not only the baffled donors who agreed with gubernatorial candidate Frank

Caprio, when he angrily declared the day before that Obama could take his support "and shove it," because he was just treating Rhode Island like a money machine. Actually, this was just one more demonstration

of Obama's total lack of sensitivity to others; that a breaking point is imminent; and that the ominous reports from the source who writes, under the pseudonym "Uls-terman," about what is going on in the White House, are describing reality. The incident in Providence (which, by the way, means fate) could prove to be a fatal trend for Obama.

How could it be, that when the global financial system is in the last stages of collapse, the resident of the White House is a President who, after fewer than two years in office, has the worst poll results in history, and whom leading circles in the Democratic Party are convinced lacks any qualifications for



*The BüSo's party's new pamphlet, "Stop the Hot Autumn of the Financial Locusts and Their Foot-Soldiers."*

BüSo

the office? Anyone seeking to answer this question, bumps into George Soros and the MoveOn organization, which was founded in 1998 for a campaign against President Clinton, and which, since 2004, has been financed from the enormous ill-gotten resources of mega-speculator George Soros.

Soros had financed Obama in a big way, when the latter was still a Senator from Illinois. But when, during the Presidential campaign in the Summer of 2008, Hillary Clinton was winning more votes in the primaries, various organizations associated with Soros, such as MoveOn, the Open Society Institute, the Democracy Alliance, the Center for American Progress (CAP), and the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (Acorn), mobilized a massive campaign against Clinton and her supporters. The mafia-type methods used, of which many examples are now documented in videos on the website <http://www.wewillnotbesilenced.com>, finally became so massive that Clinton dropped out of the race.

### Shift to Germany

In Germany, a host of radical environmental organizations is currently up in arms against many projects, from the Stuttgart 21 railroad, to the extension of nuclear power plants' permitted lifespan, to the construction of coal power plants and bridges, just to name a few. Christoph Bautz, the director of Campact.de, promised the government a "hot Autumn," Greenpeace occupied the rooftop of the Christian Democratic Union's headquarters in Berlin, and a mock-up of a CASTOR container for spent nuclear fuel was driven from Gorleben to Berlin. What's going on?

First of all, we have to distinguish between local residents who are "green," either from lack of scientific knowledge or because they are just rage-balls, concerned only with their personal interests—that they don't want construction noise or have other gripes,



©World Economic Forum/swiss-image.ch/Remy Steinegger  
*Mikheil Saakashvili, President-Elect of Georgia (left), and his moneybags George Soros, at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, Jan. 22, 2004. Soros funded Saakashvili's "Orange Revolution," and a slew of other multi-colored revolutions.*

without thinking about the consequences for the common good or the national economy—and those who are mainly outsider "activists," whose actions are usually well funded. Only when we make this distinction, can we understand why, in France, millions of people have been taking to the streets for weeks to protest against President Nicolas Sarkozy's brutal austerity—i.e., in support of their own interests—while in Germany, a large number of projects vital to the country's existence as an industrial nation are threatened, paralyzed, and where the population is therefore demonstrating *against* its own real interests.

Upon closer examination, we find that a considerable number of predominantly London-based hedge funds and foundations have been financing the "activists" with substantial sums. We also discover that the "activists" are trying various methods to organize the town rage-balls away from their small local issues, and into a national anti-nuclear movement. How does this all fit together? And why are the "locusts," the most aggressive denizens of the financial sector, interested in building up the anti-nuclear movement in Germany?

### The Soros Dossier

The key to understanding this, is epitomized by George Soros—a man involved in so many different

“philanthropic” organizations, that, as an individual person, he must truly be Superman, Tarzan, and Hercules rolled into one—that is, if he were a normal person. A small sample:

1. his systematic siphoning off of Russian scientists following the collapse of the Soviet Union, through his International Science Foundation (FSF);

2. his massive operations in the former Comecon states to introduce “shock therapy” according to the so-called Shatalin Plan (1990);

3. his international campaigns to legalize narcotic drugs;

4. his Coca-95 Project to support drug trafficking in Bolivia and Peru;

5. his hundreds of billions of dollars of speculation with his infamous Quantum Fund, against such currencies as the pound, the lira, the baht, the peso, and the ringgit—precisely the speculation which sabotaged the European Rate Mechanism (ERM), paving the way for the EU’s Maastricht Treaty;

6. his subversion of Croatia, through his participation in 290 organizations there, according to Croatia’s former President Franjo Tudjman;

7. his geopolitical manipulations, with the aid of the European Council on Foreign Relations, which he initiated jointly with Joschka Fischer<sup>1</sup>;

8. his founding of the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI), jointly with Tony Blair—a supranational organization for the manipulation and control of raw materials;

9. the establishment of his Project Death in America foundation, which trains medical personnel to cut the costs of life-saving measures, and promotes euthanasia laws;

10. and finally, his New York City-based Open Society Institute (OSI), in which 29 countries now participate.

Wow! That’s a lot for just one person! Or, perhaps there is some common denominator among all these various organizations? Because if something looks like a duck, waddles like a duck, and quacks like a duck, might it, in fact, be a duck?

The British “philosopher” Karl Popper borrowed the OSI’s theory that so-called “closed societies” have to be opened with the aid of “democracy movements.”

---

1. Green party leader, German foreign minister (1998-2005) in the coalition headed by Social Democrat Gerhard Schröder. (All footnotes are added by *EIR*.)

A society is considered closed if, for example, it resists globalization—i.e., if it insists on controlling its own natural resources, or wants to strengthen its domestic market by protective tariffs and appropriate labor laws, or wants to develop its own independent energy capacity—in short, societies that resist British imperial interests. And by this, we don’t mean the nation of Great Britain as such, but rather the financial institutions of globalization.

One of the OSI’s chief activities is coordinating the activities of Internet portals that are used for mobilizing “democracy movements” in “closed societies.” In order to induce the collapse of such states, cell phones and the Internet are used to instantly assemble “flash mobs,” demonstrations of activists who are deployed against their targets as spontaneous, but networked masses of people. These spontaneous “activists” are normally well-supplied with stages, loudspeakers, equipment, costumes, buttons, and leaflets.

This was precisely the way the OSI and similar organizations staged the so-called “colored revolutions”—the “Orange Revolution” in Ukraine, for example, by which that country, previously one of the core republics of the Soviet Union, was to be wrested away from Russia’s sphere of influence. The “Rose Revolution” in Georgia, which led to the toppling of Eduard Shevardnadze and the takeover by Micheil Saakashvili’s anti-Russian government—and which came close to triggering World War III—would not have been possible without Soros’s OSI. Similarly with the destabilizations in various Central Asian republics, in Moldova, in Syria, and in a few other states.

Back in 2008, Yevgeny Morosov, board member of the OSI’s Internet Democracy Project, penned an article in the British financial oligarchy’s leading mouthpiece, the *Economist*, under the title “Rioters of the World Unite,” about this new protest method, which, in Genoa, Seattle, and Heiligendamm, for example, had supplanted the old, pre-planned protests.

## The ‘Hot Autumn’ Riots

Precisely this script is being followed now by the staged “popular revolts” against Stuttgart 21, against the extension of nuclear plants’ lifespan, and against the transport of CASTOR containers. Throughout Germany, training seminars are being held to teach blockade tactics; in the Wendland region of Lower Saxony alone, 11 camps were set up for training 30,000 blockers. A central coordination point for the deployment





EIRNS/James Rea

*A demonstration against prolonging the lifespans of Germany's nuclear power plants, Berlin, Sept. 28, 2010.*

and use of these foot-soldiers, is a group of activists operating out of Verden an der Aller, who organize flash mobs, telephone campaigns, blockades, and the like.

One of the central figures in this, is the above-mentioned Christoph Bautz, CEO of the Internet portal Campact.de, which promised a “hot Autumn” for Berlin. Bautz has traveled in the United States to study the *modus operandi* of Soros’s extremely aggressive organization MoveOn.org, which is mainly Internet-based. In both their style of operating and of their leaders, Campact.de, along with cooperating organizations such as Eco-Center and Movement Foundation, are carbon copies of their U.S.-based counterparts.

What does it mean, then, that these “activist organizations” are being financed by none other than the European Climate Foundation—an organization which was founded in the environs of the Copenhagen Climate Summit, and whose principal purpose is to package money from British hedge funds, and from foundations of families promoting globalization, in order to funnel these funds to the climate propaganda groups’ activists?

One thing is clear: If these groups succeed in sabo-

taging Germany’s completely inadequate “phasing out of the phase-out” decision,<sup>2</sup> blocking the construction of new nuclear plants, railway stations, bridges, etc., this will be the end of Germany as an industrial nation. In the present collapse crisis of the entire global financial system, it would mean that Germany would be eliminated as a factor in leading the way out of this crisis. But who in the world could possibly have an interest in doing that?

### The British Empire

What interest could London hedge funds possibly have in sabotaging infrastructure projects, and in shortening the lifespan of

Germany’s nuclear power plants?

The answer is: the British Empire!

We can already hear cries of protest, “But the British Empire doesn’t exist anymore!” And it is precisely this assumption which obstructs the view of reality. Because by “British Empire,” we don’t mean, of course, the residents of the British Isles; rather, we mean the entire nexus of international banks, so-called “independent” central banks, hedge funds, stock brokerages, insurance and reinsurance corporations, and cartels, which, taken together, constitute the financial institutions of globalization. For the sake of completeness, we could also add the institutions of the British Commonwealth and the army of private securities firms.

The British Empire, understood in this way, has long been working, even more intensively following the

2. The German government (Social Democratic-Green) decided in 2000 to phase out all of the nation’s nuclear power plants by 2020. The policy remained in place after a new government came to power in 2005, headed by Christian Democrat Angela Merkel, and including the Social Democrats. In 2008, Merkel began to openly oppose the phase-out. In 2009, the Christian Democrats and Free Democrats formed a new coalition government, and the phase-out is being delayed, but no new construction of power plants is allowed.

break-up of the Soviet Union, toward subjugating everything to the interests of globalization, and subordinating everything to maximizing the profits of these financial interests. Sovereign nations that act to protect their citizens' general welfare don't fit in with this scheme, and any government that opposes globalization and its interests, is to be destabilized or eliminated, one way or another. The author John Perkins described this vividly in his book, *Confessions of an Economic Hit Man* (2004).

It is in the very nature of the monetarist casino economy that comes with this, that bubbles must grow, lest they pop. So, when Deutsche Bank's Josef Ackermann promises his clients a 25% return on their investment, this is not so unusual for today's financial "industry." But this 25% return cannot be obtained by tying up one's capital in investment in long-term infrastructure projects; nor is it in the interest of the London financial center, when nations seek to secure their energy independence through longer lifespans of their nuclear plants, or, for example, by building more inherently safe high-temperature nuclear reactors.

### A 'Renewable Energy' Bubble

Instead, the financial sector has set its sights on so-called renewable energy, which, from the standpoint of the real economy and of the consumer, is completely uneconomical, but which will bring gigantic profits to the manufacturers of solar arrays and windmills, and especially to the hedge funds, which want to make this new trade in indulgences—i.e., CO<sub>2</sub> emissions cap-and-trade—into a new mega-bubble. So, the couple of million spent on buttons, demonstrations, and training camps for activists, injected via the European Climate Foundation's funding partners, is going to be a very lucrative investment indeed.

In the wake of the blow-up of the East Anglia scandal and the failure of the Copenhagen Climate Conference in December 2009, the lie of anthropogenic climate change is not going to be made any less a lie, by arrogantly repeating it *ad nauseam*. Prof. Harold Lewis's letter of resignation from the American Physical Society, in which he describes the corruption of this institution, should be required reading for all truth-seekers, along with the revealing e-mail correspondence of East Anglia University's Prof. Phillip Jones, whose flights of fantasy laid the basis for the assertions of the International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

Who can be amazed, then, that none other than Hans Joachim Schnellhuber, one of the most inveterate climate lobbyists, founder of the Potsdam Institute for Researching the Consequences of Climate Change, and advisor to Chancellor Angela Merkel and European Commission president José Manuel Barroso, is also chairman of the European Climate Foundation's (ECF's) Advisory Council? After all, back in 2002, he received an award from the British Royal Society, and in 2004, Queen Elizabeth dubbed him Commander of the Most Excellent Order of the British Empire. Furthermore, from 2001 to 2005, he served as scientific director of the construction of the Tyndall Center for Climate Change Research in Norwich, which cooperates closely with Phil Jones of East Anglia University.

The ECF's funding partners include such hedge-fund foundations as the Children's Investment Fund Foundation, the Arcadia Fund, and the Oak Foundation, whose advisory councils and boards are intimately allied with the financiers of the British royal family, especially Lord Jacob Rothschild and George Soros. George Polk, who earlier served on the board of a Soros-connected mobile communications firm, founded the ECF in 2007-08, and was its first chairman; he is now chief of Soros's Climate Fund Management, and presides over \$1 billion which Soros invested into "renewable" energy. Alan Parker, one of the earliest investors into Soros's Quantum Fund, has invested a few million into the Oak Foundation, which in turn helped Polk to build the ECF.

### Dump the Malthusian Agenda!

And with this, we come to yet another, more deeply-rooted reason why the financial oligarchy is so extraordinarily interested in nature, environmental protection, and climate. Is it not the case, that anyone with Internet access can read the unspeakable utterances of Prince Philip, that he would like to be reincarnated as a killer virus, so that he could contribute more effectively to population reduction? And, he also said that the world's population should be reduced to 2 billion, at most.

In the past, the British Empire employed colonial masters in its African and Asian colonies, to ensure that indigenous populations remained underdeveloped and would not assert their right to their own manufacturing, as their American colony did succeed in doing by win-

ning independence. But since the opening of the 20th Century, the Empire has donned environmentalist garb in order to achieve the same ends. Since that time, a plethora of organizations has been created, all dedicated to this aim, such as the Society for the Preservation of the Wild Fauna of the Empire, founded in 1903, out of which came the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), founded in 1948 by Sir Julian Huxley, which was the breeding-ground for a whole swarm of similar organizations. Then in 1961, Huxley and Prince Philip founded the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), which later spawned the World Wide Fund for Nature.

These organizations are the chief reason why many developing countries today are in such miserable shape today, and why over 1 billion people go hungry each day, and have a life-expectancy no better than during Europe's Middle Ages. Over the decades, they have blocked thousands of vital development projects. In 1971, Huxley and the WWF organized a conference in Ramsar, Iran, for wetlands preservation, and since then, their convention has been signed by 160 nations, whereby over 186 million hectares have declared protected areas—a territory five times larger than Germany!

How far this process has gone, can be seen, for example, by the fact that Lake Chad, which has shrunk to 10% of its former size in just a few decades, is part of one such protected area, where, up to now, any effort to refill the lake with waters from the Congo River via a canal system, has been blocked. A counter-example is an uninhabitable desert island off the coast of Abu Dhabi: Since the discovery of oil in the emirate 25 years ago, financing became available for irrigating the island, and lush vegetation has developed, with many flocks of birds now choosing chosen it as a stopping place in their twice-yearly migrations.

But the real issue is one's image of man. The minions of the British Empire believe that it's perfectly fine that they live in luxury as a privileged upper crust, while the masses are kept small in number and backward. Revealing in this regard, are the evil Joseph de Maistre's *Letters to a Russian Nobleman* (1815) and, from the opposing viewpoint, Friedrich Schiller's essay "The Legislation of Lycurgus and Solon" (1789). The oligarchical view of man dovetails with the green ideology of "Gaia," the eternal cycle of nature—an idea which Friedrich Nietzsche was quite fond of. Man

is only a custodian of nature, but not—contrary to what is stated in the book of *Genesis*—the crowning of Creation, who must rule over the birds of the air and the fish of the sea, and be fruitful, multiply, and replenish the Earth.

The reality of the physical universe is in harmony with that fundamental assertion in *Genesis*. Over billions of years, the universe has continued to develop anti-entropically, and man, as the only cognitive creature discovered so far, is not only infinitely capable of self-perfection, but can also, by virtue of his creative activity, improve the Biosphere, expand the domain Noösphere's reach, and thereby increase his potential relative potential population density, first on our home planet, and then beyond, with manned space flight and future colonization.

The axiomatic conceptual bases of the representatives of Empire, of the monetarists and positivists, of the ecology movement, and of the advocates of radical population reduction, do not perhaps match on every single point, but they have great affinity, because of their fundamentally linear, reductionist mindset. This is probably the reason why so many "greens" and "environmentalists" fail to recognize just whose wagon they're hitched to. The oligarchy, for its part, has always understood how to deploy mobs to achieve their own ends, whether these be Luddites or flash mobs.

The fact remains that the system of globalization is currently in its final collapse phase—the outcome, ironically, of the last 40 years of casino economy and anti-science, green policy. We are now threatened with a 1923 Weimar-style hyperinflationary explosion, only this time worldwide, and a concomitant descent into a new dark age. But that would make Maurice Strong, founder of the 1001 Club—the WWF's cash cow—very happy, since he has long believed that the planet can only be saved by destroying its industry.

For those who love humanity, there is another solution. In the United States, we could soon see the reintroduction of the Glass-Steagall standard, in the tradition of Franklin D. Roosevelt, which would give the signal for establishing a global two-tier banking system. Perhaps, in retrospect, the abortive meeting in Providence was a harbinger of the future. Then we can really begin reconstructing the world economy—for man, and for truly protecting nature, and for using scientific progress to improve the Biosphere!