

EIRFeature

Know your enemy: The British-American- Commonwealth bloc

by Jeffrey Steinberg

On April 11, 1999, Lyndon LaRouche issued the following warning, about the latest British efforts to throw the planet into a new dark age:

“At present, the world is being pushed toward World War III. The sole cause for such a World War is Her Majesty’s present government of Prime Minister Tony Blair, the actual author of: a) The presently ongoing war against Iraq; b) The presently ongoing war against the rump state of Yugoslavia; c) And the effort to eliminate all capable military forces from this planet, to be replaced by a ‘new NATO’ controlled by Her Majesty’s Commonwealth empire, in concert with a U.S. government controlled by London’s Wall Street ‘cousins.’

“This now-looming threat of world-wide war does not mean a copy of models such as World Wars I and II, nor the imagined thermonuclear sequels of the 1945-1988 interval. It means a world war, ‘enhanced by’ nuclear and thermonuclear arsenals, which degenerates from several ‘local military conflicts’ into a form of warfare seen in the post-Wallenstein phases of the 1618-1648 ‘Thirty Years War’ in Central Europe, but world-wide. It would be a nuclear-enhanced version of the generalized, global ‘irregular warfare’ which I discussed, back during the mid-1980s, in the framework of Professor von der Heydte’s doctrine of modern irregular warfare. Such an outcome is inherent in the current British and U.S.A. formulations of the ‘new NATO’ doctrine already being implemented against Iraq and Yugoslavia.

“Notably, Russia and China (among others), are denouncing the application of that ‘new NATO’ doctrine as it is being implemented, because they recognize: a) That the attacks against Iraq and Yugoslavia are being conducted as rehearsals for threat of war against Russia and China; b) That the very nature of the world situation means, that failure to abort such ‘new NATO’ or kindred doctrines now would mean the spread of warfare globally, until it must reach the level of a nucleated firestorm of generalized warfare; c) That a new Balkans war, if it passes into the ground-war phase, must inevitably spread into a global conflict.



Leading lights of the British-American-Commonwealth policy grouping that is now propelling the world toward war: Margaret Thatcher and George Bush. They are shown here in March 1991, after Operation Desert Storm against Iraq.

“The driving force behind the currently ongoing implementation of this ‘new NATO’ doctrine, is a fit of lunacy which has gripped London and Wall Street since the August-September collapse of the LTCM-centered group of hedge funds. Presently, the world is dominated by the most lunatic form of hyperinflationary explosion in all history. Under the influence of the hyperinflationary explosion launched by the G-7 governments and central banking systems, during mid-October 1998, there has been a qualitative change in the mental condition of most members of the U.S. Congress, and others: into wild-eyed fantasies, reminding historians of the Seventeenth-Century tulip bubble and the early-Eighteenth-Century John Law bubbles of England and France. Under these lunatic conditions, the present wild-eyed drive toward a potential World War III was set in motion, jointly, by Her Majesty’s Blair government and by Vice-President Al Gore’s circle of Principals Committee cronies, beginning the exact-same October-November time-frame as three other events: a) The hyperinflationary bail-out scheme sometimes termed ‘Alan Greenspan’s Miracle;’ b) The drive for a ‘new NATO’ policy used in the war against Iraq, using the British Commonwealth’s faked ‘Butler report’ as a pretext; c) The post-election effort to eliminate President Clinton, by impeachment, making the super-ambitious Blair asset, Gore, President.

“The present situation finds most of the population only less gripped by mass insanity than we observe such relative sudden, radical changes in mental state among so many Democratic members of the Congress. In all my life, I have never

seen such widespread madness within the U.S. population, including the 1930s Depression years, as now. The weakening of the authority of the U.S. government by these developments, combined with the collapse of popular confidence in the governments of western Europe, has created a most dangerous strategic situation.

“It is urgent that these institutions and populations quickly return to sanity. The sooner the world’s present hyperinflationary financial bubble disintegrates, the better for us all. Meanwhile, let us rid ourselves of the influence of that would-be Circe of a failed British government named ‘The Clapham Common Committee,’ and back any efforts President Clinton launches to free the world from rule by the British Commonwealth and such Wall Street-owned lackeys of the British monarchy as loony Zbiggy Brzezinski.”

The BAC apparatus

In asserting that the British are steering the current war and depression policies of NATO, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), and the U.S. government, LaRouche is challenging the popular delusion that it is the U.S. government, headed by President Clinton, that has devised these policies. The actual evil agency, which LaRouche identified in his year 2000 campaign report *The Road to Recovery*, is the “British-American-Commonwealth bloc” (“BAC”).

Its headquarters is in London, but its power extends to the British Commonwealth of states—57 countries with 1.6 billion inhabitants—and beyond. Its agents and assets are in

key positions in governments, intelligence services, banking institutions, etc. in many leading countries of continental Europe, forming a powerful faction within the “European bankers’ club,” and the “Third Way” Eurosoci-alist movement.

Under the present Netanyahu-Sharon regime in Israel, the BAC has finger-tip control over that nuclear-armed “crazy state.” Just as BAC “Temple Mount” irregular warfare assets assassinated Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin to prevent the Rabin-Arafat-Clinton Oslo peace agreement from succeeding, the BAC will stop at nothing to ensure that Israel remains firmly in their treasure chest of geopolitical assets, following next month’s Israeli elections. Thus, while the world media, and far too many world political leaders, fixate on the admittedly horrific situation in the Balkans, one cannot rule out a new war in the Middle East, at British-Israeli instigation, timed to deliver a pre-May 17 election boost to the murderous Netanyahu-Sharon combine.

Treason in America

The British have historically maintained three power centers of treason within the United States: a) the New England “clipper ship” families who made their fortunes as junior partners of the British East India Company’s Far East opium trade; b) dominant elements of Wall Street, associated with the Morgan, Harriman, Rockefeller, and Warburg-Schiff interests, who speak through the Dow Jones *Wall Street Journal* and the *New York Times*; and, c) the Confederate legacy in the South, today associated with such Congressional Republicans as the recently retired Newt Gingrich, Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott (Miss.), British Mont Pelerin Society poster-boy Dick Arme-y (Tex.), and Senate Foreign Relations Committee Chairman Jesse Helms (N.C.).

The increasingly cartelized major media of the English-speaking world is another leading BAC asset, centered around the Hollinger Corporation and the jingoistic press empire of Rupert Murdoch, in particular.

Unfortunately, both the average citizen and leading politicians of most of the nations of the world today—including the United States—have not an inkling of an understanding of the BAC phenomenon. Instead, they view the current antics of NATO and the IMF as policies “made in Washington,” and associated with the Clinton White House and the Robert Rubin-led U.S. Treasury Department.

This has led to a new, virulent outbreak of anti-Americanism in many leading world capitals, much to the joy of the House of Windsor, the City of London, and their puppet-on-a-string, Tony Blair.

Clinton versus the BAC

Many of the most egregious policies now being carried out under the banner of the “new NATO,” and the hyperinflationary efforts of many of the world’s central banks, are policies *opposed*, on the record, by President Clinton, throughout his Presidency. For example:

- President Clinton warned, in 1993, that Russia needed “less shock and more therapy,” a repudiation of the IMF’s “Polish model” of shock therapy, associated with George Soros’s Harvard punk, Jeffrey Sachs.

- In July 1994, and again in 1998, President Clinton invoked a new “strategic partnership” with Germany, to help rebuild the economic foundations for peace in Central Europe. The British went berserk over the notion that a U.S. President had relegated the “Anglo-American special relationship” to also-ran status.

- The President has defined the economic development of Africa, including much-needed infrastructure development, and a \$100 billion debt moratorium, as an administration priority, while the British and allied European colonial powers have looted Africa’s raw-materials patrimony, and launched genocide that has claimed at least 6 million lives since 1994.

- President Clinton defied Chatham House and the London International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS), two leading BAC brain-trusts, by attempting to forge a “strategic partnership” with a China that London targetted for destabilization, in preparation for a future military confrontation—what BAC scribbler Samuel Huntington has branded “the Clash of Civilizations.”

- In 1993, President Clinton directly intervened *against* Britain and France’s geopolitical antics in the Balkans, achieving, several years later, in league with Russia, the Dayton Accord, which should have been the guidepost for his handling of the present Kosovo crisis.

- The President dared to intervene in London’s backyard, Northern Ireland, to press for an end to the decades-old conflict between Protestants and Catholics, which had been manipulated by British psychological warfare teams associated with the Special Air Services (SAS) and Tavistock Institute.

- Clinton has pressed for peace in the Middle East, where the BAC’s Israeli marcher-lords, Netanyahu and Sharon, have threatened first use of tactical nuclear weapons against a list of Islamic states. In February and November 1998, President Clinton, in collaboration with Russia’s Yevgeni Primakov and United Nations Secretary General Kofi Annan, prevented a senseless bombing campaign against Iraq, prompting both Margaret Thatcher and Tony Blair to scream, in chorus, “Never again!”

- When the Russia debt crisis of the summer 1998 proved, conclusively, that the so-called “Asia contagion” was, as LaRouche had warned from the outset, a systemic breakdown crisis of the world financial and monetary regime, President Clinton, in a Sept. 14, 1998 speech at the New York Council on Foreign Relations, advocated a “new global financial architecture,” a faint echo of LaRouche’s elaborated call for the President to convene a New Bretton Woods Conference.

The fact that, over the past year, President Clinton, under immense BAC attack, has failed to implement many of his own stated policy goals, should not be a cause of confusion

between American policies and BAC policies. The British-orchestrated attempt to oust President Clinton from office in an illegal, unconstitutional coup d'état, failed to install Al Gore into the Oval Office, but the President's survival came at a dear price.

U.S.A. should join the 'Survivors' Club'

The last best hope for the world to avoid war and further financial and economic catastrophe, is for a rejuvenated President Clinton, supported by anti-BAC forces in the United States and around the globe, to take the lead in crushing London and its lackeys, including those penetrated into his own administration and both political parties' Congressional caucuses.

As LaRouche noted in *The Road to Recovery*, the post-October 1998 actions of the BAC—the hyperinflationary binge, and the war drives in the Persian Gulf and the Balkans—have driven leading Eurasian nations, led by China, Russia, and India, to form a “Survivors' Club” of nations, opposed to the lunacy coming out of nearly all Western capitals.

In a recent commentary, published, in part, in the prestigious Russian financial daily *Kommersant* on April 13 (see p. 6), Lyndon LaRouche observed, “My hope, is that President Clinton will refresh his options for cooperation with Russia. The world is in a crisis, which can not be overcome without cooperation among a leading group of nations, including the U.S.A., Russia, China, India, and at least one or two nations of western continental Europe. Under those conditions, the vital interests of the planet as a whole can be defined in ways indispensable for solving the world's present economic crisis and avoiding the growing danger of a global spread of warfare. An agreement in principle, under which the U.S.A. and at least one relevant nation of western continental Europe enters into an agreement in principle for global economic cooperation, based on cooperation with the emerging pattern of Eurasia cooperation among China, Russia, and India, would represent the most powerful concert of power imaginable on this planet at this time. The cooperation among the nations representing such a concert of power, becomes the instrument to resolve the increasingly dangerous pattern of conflicts confronting us today.”

A combat manual against the BAC

To further that objective, *EIR* has prepared the following dossier on the BAC. It is vital that the BAC treason be swept from the corridors of power in Washington and on Wall Street, so that the United States, under a revived Clinton Presidency, can, as LaRouche has demanded, assume its historic, rightful place as a leading nation pursuing policies in the best interests of all of mankind.

For that to be achieved, the BAC must be defeated. Such a fight must proceed on the basis of sound intelligence. This dossier is intended to be a good start.

The American System vs. British treason

by Anton Chaitkin

The American republic's unique, pro-human economic and social character was born in the Revolution and shaped over time by such revolutionary economic nationalists as Benjamin Franklin, George Washington, Alexander Hamilton, Henry Carey, Henry Clay, Abraham Lincoln and, later, Franklin D. Roosevelt. The British assassination of President John F. Kennedy preempted his efforts to revive the tradition; and President William Jefferson Clinton has, on occasion, shown an “FDR impulse” to revive this essential American republican outlook and policy.

The progress of the whole society was the U.S. national mission, whose success required secure independence from the grasping enemy empire. Having lost the Revolutionary War (1775-83), having failed to reconquer its colony despite burning Washington (in the war of 1812-15), having backed the Southern slaveowners' rebellion and lost the Civil War (1861-65), Britain's aristocratic rulers in the late nineteenth century faced their most dangerous opponent, an energetic America setting the example of national freedom for Ireland, India, China, Japan, Russia, Germany, and Ibero-America; a world moving into alliance with America against Britain.

That notion of political freedom from imperial tyranny and slavery was rooted in vigorous economic development. The Trans-Continental Railroad project, which consolidated the United States as a continental republic during the middle of the nineteenth century, was exported to Eurasia, through such great projects as the Trans-Siberian Railroad. American diplomats such as E. Peshine Smith, and the American-trained German economist Friedrich List, spread American System ideas and technologies to Prussia, to Meiji Japan, to Tsarist Russia, and to China, where the economic grand design of Sun Yat-sen was explicitly based on the American System success. The 1876 Centennial Celebration in Philadelphia brought together republican statesmen, scientists, engineers, and artists from all around the globe, to partake freely of the scientific and technological revolution accomplished by the United States and its friends.

The desire of the United States, during the epoch of the Founding Fathers and their immediate heirs, to spread the republican ideal worldwide, was best expressed, in diplomatic terms, by Secretary of State, and, later President, John Quincy Adams, in his anti-British, anti-European-imperialist Monroe Doctrine. Up to the present day, whenever an American President has attempted to use the Monroe Doctrine and