
Interview: Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

U.N. and Tavistock gang set up Bosnia atrocities

Mel Klenetsky interviewed Lyndon LaRouche from Rochester, Minnesota for EIR radio on Monday, Jan. 11. The questions are in italic; we excerpt from the parts of the interview related to events in former Yugoslavia. Some questions and some answers have been slightly abridged.

Recently, the assassination of Bosnian Deputy Prime Minister Hakija Turajlic has brought up the thoughts that we're back in a 1914 situation, a World War I situation. It's been likened to the assassination of Archduke Ferdinand. What is going to be happening in Bosnia and in Yugoslavia, and what can be done to reverse the crisis, which seems to be deepening, every single moment?

The U.N. essentially, under French direction in this case, the French U.N. troops' direction, actually *arranged* the assassination. The French contingent of U.N. forces was responsible for taking the deputy prime minister from one location to an adjacent location. They placed him inside an armored personnel carrier. On the way out, they dismissed, by orders of the U.N. command, several of the armored contingents, elements, of that assignment, that contingent. On the way out shortly, they were intercepted by an "irregular," so-called, Serbian unit. And contrary to all rules, *they opened up* the rear of the armored personnel carrier, which they're not to do, and stood by while the Serbs shot the deputy prime minister.

They are not supposed to, in any case, identify a passenger in such a convoy. Nor are they, under any condition, to open the rear to allow inspection, which was the pretext under which they *arranged* the assassination of the deputy prime minister of Bosnia.

That incident in itself, is the issue. The issue is not the Serbs, they do that all the time. They're murderers, they're rapists—what not, everything you want; that is, these particular Serbs, the ones who are working under the communist-fascist faction of Serbians.

The point here is, the French unit, under U.N. direction, arranged the assassination. That is beyond question. The rules were broken. The rules were not broken once, by one accident; they were broken repeatedly and deliberately, in advance of and during the incident.

French complicity, under U.N. direction, in this atrocity and others, is key to understanding this situation. The government of France, specifically a government which is tied to a very specific freemasonic group, the Grand Orient Lodge in France, which is the same group which is tied to the Serbian lodges: this particular group, together with the British group around Kissinger's friends, such as Lord Carrington and now Lord Owen, the U.S. group—not only Kissinger's group but Cyrus Vance, the Russians, a group in the United Nations which is reflected in the Security Council by Secretary General Boutros Boutros-Ghali, and others—are all complicit, *intentionally, deliberately, before the fact* in this horror show in Bosnia.

This was done, to the deliberate purpose of destabilizing Central Europe. It was done as an *anti-Germany, anti-continental Europe operation*, initiated by British intelligence, with the complicity of the French government, and of the U.S. State Department, specifically Brent Scowcroft and Larry Eagleburger, who were the two key figures, and their co-Kissinger colleague, Lord Carrington, were the key figures visible in arranging, *before the fact*, this particular horror show.

Once that's in place, then you see the danger of a World War III. What has happened, is that a Russian faction, typified by former Defense Minister Yazov of the Gorbachov regime—not the Yeltsin regime, but the Gorbachov regime—set this into place on the Russian side. This has been a Russian pan-Slavic operation, with their little Serbian brothers, playing the Serbian front against the Balkans and against Europe—*precisely* the kind of thing against which I warned in a nationwide U.S. television broadcast back in 1988, as part of my campaign then. It has come to pass. And if it spreads into conflict involving Bulgaria, Greece, and Turkey—which will happen if the Serbs go into Kosova and Makedonija—in that case you'll have a generalized Balkan war, and who knows what can happen.

But the lines are already drawn. The interesting thing is that the allied powers of World Wars I and II, are the governments—that is, Russia, France, Britain, the United States—that have created the mess, and what we're headed for, is a Cold War-type conflict with the former Soviet Union in the Balkans.

Roots of Greater Serbian genocide

The situation in Serbia and Bosnia has been called genocide, you called it genocide just a few moments ago. Is there some kind of psychological warfare that is going on with the rapes, the murders, the beheading of children? This situation is unprecedented in the recent period. It takes us back to World War II and the Nazis.

We're dealing with a rather complex situation, in terms of the details. But the details come to a very simple fact. I say it's complex, because most people don't know some of the background facts of this, which make the thing fairly

simple to those of us who are professionally qualified.

The Yugoslav government, the Tito government, has always based its concept of warfare on guerrilla warfare. Remember that the communist military of Yugoslavia under Tito, was forged in guerrilla warfare. That is, despite its training in modern warfare, it is essentially a guerrilla or irregular warfare force. That's its greatest capability. And in the mountainous regions of Yugoslavia, this is particularly notable.

Now, the Serbian forces are divided into several elements. One is the major Serbian forces based in Serbia directly itself. And these are Serbian remnants of the former Yugoslav Army, which were dominated by Serbian officers to begin with, Serbian officers who are generally very close to the Soviet military, to the Red Army. Very close relationship, even continuing during periods of apparent conflict between the two states.

The Serbian reserves outside Serbia proper, within the former Yugoslavia, had been formed into Croatian, Bosnian, Kosovan, Makedonian, etc., special units, quasi-guerrilla type units. Irregular warfare with artillery plus, and with Serbian support. Now, the command for this guerrilla warfare was technically directed by a unit of the Serbian military or the Yugoslav military, which is formerly based in the Croatian capital of Zagreb. This unit in Zagreb was the psychological warfare unit of the Yugoslav forces, the unit which specialized in the dirtiest aspects of irregular or guerrilla warfare. The commanders of these units, especially, notably, in Bosnia, which are doing the worst atrocities currently; the commanders of the units which are doing the atrocities in Croatia, particularly in the case of Vukovar, where war crimes of a terrible dimension were created there: These people are *psychiatrists*—military commanders who are psychiatrists, associated with the Zagreb center of the former Yugoslav Army's guerrilla warfare/psychological warfare center.

These people were trained in these arts, by *British psychological warfare*—that is, the London Tavistock Institute, which is an outgrowth of the Rockefeller-funded London Tavistock Clinic (the Institute by the way itself was also assisted by the Rockefellers), and is associated also with the Frankfurt School types.

Now this group in Yugoslavia is not only connected to British intelligence, through people like Fitzroy Maclean, who is still alive (he was Churchill's man, the guy who Churchill used for the relationship with Tito during World War II, which is why the British supported the Communist partisans there, and also very close, however, to the Bertrand Russell crowd). These fellows are all very tightly interfaced with British intelligence. And these guys received their training from the Freudians of the London Tavistock Institute. And what they're doing, in terms of atrocities, is what Goebbels called, during World War II, *Schrecklichkeit*—war by terror.

On the mass rape, officials of various governments, who have been on the ground conducting surveys, indicate that not less than 20,000 women and children have been raped by Serbian guerrillas under the direction of these psychiatrists; that many of these women and children are retained in *rape concentration camps*, where they are repeatedly raped. And many of the children being raped, die, as a result of the horror of the rape. This goes together with the ethnic cleansing, otherwise [called] genocide; burning down the houses of anyone who is not a Serb, or killing people who are not Serbs, beheading people, terrifying them, driving them out. This is all part of terror, or is what Goebbels called *Schrecklichkeit*, using techniques which were developed, not only out of Zagreb by these psychiatrists, but developed in cooperation with institutions such as the London Tavistock Institute.

And that is the horror which faces us, this kind of warfare. And we're doing nothing, of course, to stop it. Some people are complaining about it, but we're doing nothing to stop it. The worst horror show of the 20th century is now occurring there, and in effect, United Nations forces, under a French commander, with the backing of Vance and Owen, and others, are condoning the continuation of the worst genocide, the worst war crimes, crimes against humanity of the 20th century, in this location. And that's the story.

This is an incredible story that you're telling, in terms of the French complicity. Is there an international investigation into this now going on?

Well, there may be investigations, but remember, publicly, since this is U.N. security, and since this horror show is being run *with the consent* of the Russian, British, French, U.S., and Israeli governments—as a matter of fact the Israelis are supporting it, the Greek government is also supporting the Serbs, and so forth and so on. Under these circumstances, the new world order which George Bush hailed with the collapse of the Iron Curtain back in '89 and '90, is the sponsor of this horror show. There are people who are complaining, there are fairly high-level people, a minister was fired in Germany for complaining about this horror show and the condoning of it; there are people in the British Parliament who are complaining about it, and elsewhere. And in France. But at the highest level, of the governments in power right now, the lid is on, and they refuse to accept the implications of these facts.

What is Tavistock?

In the recent period, this use of psychological terror by irregular forces has been seen in Peru, for example, used by the Sendero Luminoso [Shining Path]. Is this also a Tavistock operation? It would be also useful to clarify the Tavistock psychological testing operations that were used during World War II and how that continued.

We've warned about the Tavistock operation for years. We made a major exposé of that in '73 and '74. We published

a series of reports, which was captioned, collectively, "The Tavistock Grin." We've mapped the people in this. These Tavistock people are New Age; and people have to realize, to appreciate this, that Sigmund Freud was a very evil man, he was very clever in some respects in psychology and you can't deny his cleverness or some of the things he describes as psychological phenomena, pathological phenomena, actually occur. But Sigmund Freud was a very evil and very dangerous man. And he is one of the intellectual authors of the processes which have been applied in the field in this case.

Sendero Luminoso is, in effect, supported by a number of governments; for example, Amnesty International very actively has supported Sendero Luminoso and its practices. There are French intelligence elements which go way back, in the Ayacucho operation [at the University of Huamanga]. As a matter of fact, it was the standing joke, and it was a very ugly joke, that you couldn't become a leading member of Sendero Luminoso, unless you spoke French, unless you were a French professor. The teacher of Jacques Soustelle was very keen on setting this into motion.

What you're looking at here, is people who are specifically committed—personally, philosophically—to eradicating Christianity from this planet. And they're carrying civilization back deliberately, to a pre-Christian standard of bestiality, to *paganism*.

One of the Tavistock experts, or consultants to Tavistock, has commented on that specifically, that what this is doing, by the world tolerating these mass rapes—you know, you wink at a girl in an office these days, you can lose your job and go to prison, I guess; but if you commit mass rape in Bosnia, you'd probably have the blessing of the same U.S. courts that would support the complaint against sexual harassment in a U.S. office. That's the nature of things these days—insanity.

But what we're seeing is a breakdown in the morality, not only of the Serbs, of these communist-fascist types who are doing this; we're seeing a breakdown in morality of *all of the governments* which are participating in condoning and covering up this atrocity.

'An element of geopolitical malice'

Eastern Europe has been subject to political chaos and the economic policy that the West has offered eastern Europe has not been sufficient to deal with the social and political chaos that's occurring there. What is wrong with that economic policy, and how can it be changed?

First of all, there is a certain element of geopolitical malice in policies such as the IMF [International Monetary Fund] policies toward eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union, as well as incompetence. They've applied the same policy which they've applied to developing countries such as South American countries, which has ruined those economies; or to Africa, which has turned sub-Saharan Africa

particularly into a region of outright genocide through economic means—Somalia, Ethiopia, have been living with that for a long time. Kissinger started that war between Ethiopia and Somalia, back when he was secretary of state under Ford, and actually prepared it while he was under Nixon. So that war, that genocide in Sudan—I know Kissinger's role in causing genocide in Sudan, as well as in Somalia and Ethiopia.

Now, the interesting part about the policies, is that in core, the philosophy, the rhetoric, which is used to justify these policies, is the rhetoric of Margaret Thatcher and of most of our leading university economics departments in the United States today, as well as people like Sen. Phil Gramm or other people in the Congress, who have been pushing deregulation, who have been pushing radical free trade, such as Carla Hills, for example, who is an exponent of the same disease.

What they've done is two things. First of all, they have tried to bring the whole world into a homogenized arrangement under deregulation/free trade—which, incidentally, includes the intention to destroy the sovereignty of the United States itself. That's the intention of these people. And when they start moving jobs out of the United States, into Mexico or into the coast of China, because they say labor is cheaper there, and propose that a U.S. population with a much-reduced real wage level, instead of producing its own goods, will now buy them from cheap labor in Mexico or Communist China, you see that these fellows are very consciously destroying not only the American farmer, which they've done consciously, but destroying the sovereignty of the United States itself. So they're not really misguided in the sense of having some love for the United States. They have no love for the United States, not really. They're out to build a one-world mess.

Now what they intend to do, is to keep the domination not of the United States, but of the wealthy foundations which control the United States and control Britain and so forth, to make the Anglo-American section of these superwealthy foundations, the ruling force on this planet. And one of the things they intend to do, of course, is to destroy everything that was the former contending number two superpower—the Soviet Union. So therefore, they wish to destroy as much as they can of eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union, prior to the time that Russia, when it gets fed up with this nonsense, decides to go back and become an empire again. So that's part of the process.

But the gut of the thing, is that these economic policies, of free trade, deregulation, all this nonsense: This is what has destroyed the United States over the past 30 years approximately, since the assassination of Kennedy, particularly since about '65, '66, when this process of turning us into a post-industrial junkheap began. And that's what they're applying in eastern Europe and in the former Soviet Union—with malice.