

LaRouche's obsession with the belief that the club is out to annihilate the Third World and compromise the power and influence of the U.S. by propagating anti-nuclear and anti-growth policies has led to a campaign of harassment against the club's members. At a U.N. meeting in New York last May, where Aurelio Peccei, the president of the Club of Rome was speaking, the Citizens for LaRouche group had pickets outside armed with signs and leaflets attacking the club for being "genocidal." They infiltrated the hall where Peccei was speaking and heckled him while he spoke. At their annual meeting at Marymount College, also last May, LaRouche's devotees picketed the U.S. Association for the Club of Rome. When they were expelled from the campus, the pickets turned to telephone threats. A prominent member of the association received [a] telegram: "Dear . . . having a wonderful time, wish you were here—Jim Jones."

In the Middle East, LaRouche's groups are playing a much more dangerous game. Scientists and engineers who speak out against rapid technological growth or show concern for the environment are branded as "communist" and "leftists" if they live in conservative states such as Saudi Arabia or "members of the terrorist Muslim Brotherhood" if they live in countries such as Iraq and Syria.

LaRouche followers sent "intelligence reports" containing these allegations to Arab embassies in the U.S. and to civil servants in the Middle East. They also present these reports at special seminars to which selected decision-makers are invited. One such seminar was held in Paris on 12-13 December under the title "The fight for progress and science."

In some circumstances this kind of rumour can lead to imprisonment or execution. Ali Kettani, director of the Islamic Foundation for Science, Technology and Development, said: "They are picking up names and circumstances and putting them together in a way that has nothing to do with reality. But figments of their imagination could have quite serious consequences for Arab scientists. I hope that Arab governments do not pay attention to these people."

Abdus Salam, Nobel laureate and director of the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, said: "It is easy for us to dismiss LaRouche as a crank. But for scientists in some Middle East countries, the accusations of his groups can have very serious consequences."

One Arab scientist who has been mentioned in more than one report of the LaRouche groups said: "Their reports contain unbelievable rubbish. But the problem is that not everyone reads them to discover this. A lot of the harm they have caused, and in particular the problems they have generated for me, is the result of hearsay and the rumours they have produced."

LaRouche's groups have many names. His Fusion

Energy Foundation campaigns for nuclear energy and projects itself as an organisation of cool technocrats devoted to a fusion future. It publishes *Fusion* and the *International Journal of Fusion Energy*.

LaRouche's clean-cut young devotees sell *Fusion* at airports and other public places across the U.S. and West Germany. It is a professional-looking, slick magazine. *Executive Intelligence Review* is a newsletter-type advisory service sold for \$396 a year with the pitch: "Don't you need to know what Lyndon LaRouche knows?"

All of this indicates that LaRouche has a complex and well-financed operation, though the number of committed adherents may be small—about 2,000 in the U.S. And, as Faye Beuby, acting director of the U.S. Association for the Club of Rome says, "they are decidedly not looking, despite their claim to be neo-Platonic, for impartial dialogue."

Who controls the New Scientist?

by Vin Berg and Sylvia Barkley

British science is both a cover for intelligence operations, and itself an intelligence operation. *The New Scientist* is exemplary.

One reason the magazine singled out for attack the *EIR* Special Report on "Prospects for Instability in the Arabian Gulf" is that report's documentation of the subversive operations being carried out through the International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, Italy, King Abdul Aziz and Cairo Universities, and the Islamic Foundation for Science and Development, which are associated with the *New Scientist's* controllers.

The magazine's three-man advisory panel sheds a more general light on its origins and purpose.

Sir Harold Montague Finnieston headed the British Steel Corporation under James Callaghan, and drove it into a state of "post-industrial" wreckage. Sir John Mason is a long-time Royal Air Force executive. Finnieston was made General Secretary of the British Association for the Advancement of Science (BAAS) in 1970; Mason is a BAAS Honorary General Secretary.

The BAAS was founded during the last century by the Cambridge Apostles with aid of the Aristotle Society. The Apostles is an elite secret society whose members are tracked into British intelligence leadership (H. "Kim" Philby, Donald Maclean, et al.). The Aristotle Society was headed for years by Bertrand Lord Russell, one of

the most important British intelligence executives of this century.

The third *New Scientist* advisory-panelist, Prof. Sir Michael Swann, is perhaps the biggest spook of the bunch. In 1973, Swann was made chairman of the British Broadcasting Corporation. Out of consideration for its psychological warfare and other intelligence-operations value, BBC chairmen and other leading position-holders are always high-level intelligence specialists. BBC was created at direction of Winston Churchill by "the man called Intrepid," Col. Sir William Stephenson, the Perminindex arm of whose Special Operations Executive was later indicted in connection with the 1963 Kennedy assassination.

In 1979, the BBC was thrown out of Iran by the Shah for its arrogant organizing of the "Khomeini Revolution" using St.-John and "Kim" Philby's Muslim Brotherhood assets, whose cover *EIR* blew off to the discomfiture of the *New Scientist*.

This spring, Sir Michael was raised to Michael Lord Swann.

Swann's sister, incidentally, married the Anglican Bishop of Truro. In 1944-46, prior to assuming high positions in the Church of England, the Bishop was with the Operations Research Group Division of Supply, a military unit run by the psychological warfare division's Tavistock Institute under Lord Beaverbrook.

As noted in this section, the Sardar article in the *New Scientist* was written at the instigation of Alexander King, co-founder of the Club of Rome, Director of the International Federation of Institutes for Advanced Studies, and for many years head of the secretariat of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), NATO's policy-controlling body. In a June 23, 1981 interview with *EIR*, King described one of his major accomplishments as the proliferation of "the new math" and the radical reform of French education. While the Club of Rome's Tavistock associates manufacture raving environmentalist movements, King himself orchestrates the more sedulous policy of "technology restriction."

It is worth noting that the magazine is particularly upset by humor, and in its May 13 issue carried an attack on the U.S.-based Fusion Energy Foundation for the latter's pro-nuclear bumper stickers. American utilities, recommended the *New Scientist*, should hire a lower-keyed public relations firm of their own. Interestingly, in May, the key P.R. firm for the utilities and nuclear power companies, Underwood & Jordan, had been taken over by Ogilvy & Mather, International, which represents the World Wildlife Fund, Royal Dutch Shell, three British government entities, and Seagram & Sons of Canada, and is headed by David Ogilvy, a former British espionage expert.

A profile of Mr. Sardar

Ziauddin Sardar's associates and collaborators establish his role as a liaison between Muslim Brotherhood and Club of Rome networks. Sardar's specialty as a science reporter is a fraud. The science that Sardar promulgates is the neo-Malthusian environmentalism that the Club of Rome and its Islamic sister organization, the Geneva-based Islam and the West, are attempting to infuse into the Muslim world, in order to undermine the pursuit of high-technology economic growth for the majority of impoverished Muslims.

In 1979, the British-born and educated Sardar was on the payroll of Islam and the West. Sardar himself has admitted to being a close friend of Pakistani physicist Abdus Salam, a member of the Club of Rome and father of the "Islamic" nuclear bomb project. Salam heads the Trieste-based International Centre for Theoretical Physics, set up to indoctrinate

young Third World scientists in zero-growth environmentalism.

Sardar is also known to work closely with the elite World Futures Society and its sister organization, the International Federation of Institutes of Advanced Studies (IFIAS). *EIR* has learned that Alexander King, NATO-linked British intelligence executive, chairman of IFIAS, and co-founder of the Club of Rome, directed Sardar to write the *New Scientist* article attacking *EIR* and LaRouche.

Sardar, not yet 30, is reported by London-based Arab sources to be the publisher of a magazine called *Al Yaqeen*, an outlet for the Pakistani ruling Muslim Brotherhood party, the Jamaat e Islam, officially distributed by the Pakistani embassy in Bonn and Grand Mosque in Paris. The same sources report that the London-based Muslim Institute for Research and Planning, with which Sardar works closely, is an extensive research and intelligence organization of the Muslim Brotherhood. This organization has been named as one source of plots to incite physical violence against LaRouche's organizations and *EIR* personnel.