

Editorial

Carter must go!

We are suspending our standard editorial format for this issue for the purpose of printing in this space Lyndon LaRouche's call to all American patriots to do their utmost to ensure the defeat of Jimmy Carter in this election. This call from a prominent Democrat addresses basic issues relating to long-term national policy as well as our national sovereignty. Carter has been assured of the endorsements of Hua Guofeng, Fidel Castro, Ruhollah Khomeini, and Leonid Brezhnev. These endorsements were made available in the context of all these figures' convergence toward a worldwide policy of "Dark Ages" fundamentalism exemplified by the mullahs' regime in Teheran. This publication and the influential circles of opinion associated with its readership potentially possess the critical margin of power needed to ensure Carter's defeat.

Why does Brezhnev wish Carter back into the White House?

Billygate-linked Armand Hammer, a long-standing, most privileged intimate of the Kremlin, has plastered Soviet Leonid Brezhnev's shameless endorsement of President Jimmy Carter's reelection over relevant portions of the news media.

As recent developments in East Germany exemplify, the Soviet leadership has reached a rotten accommodation with the Carter administration, selling out the United States's principal allies of both the Arab Middle East and continental Western Europe. Brezhnev's endorsement of President Carter's reelection is a product of that agreement.

This development represents a breaking point for every honest member of the Democratic Party and the national government. The issue is not merely that President Brezhnev has endorsed Carter's reelection, but that this endorsement is the reflection of a rotten agreement between the Carter administration and Moscow.

I. Carter's Iran policy

During the period of Henry A. Kissinger, the British Secret Intelligence Services (SIS) conducted

a policy later known as the "Arc of Crisis" into the U.S. executive branch. This was conducted through the seconding to the United States of a ranking official of the SIS's Arab Bureau branch, one Bernard Lewis. This policy was embedded in the Carter administration's doctrines from the beginning. Zbigniew Brzezinski renamed the "Bernard Lewis plan" variously "the Arc of Crisis" and the "Islamic fundamentalism card."

Under this policy, the Carter administration acted under direction of British SIS to destabilize the government of the late Shah of Iran beginning January 1978. From the beginning the Carter administration was committed to a longstanding, second-generation agent of the British SIS's India office and Arab Bureau, Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini. Carter administration special emissary, former Attorney General Ramsey Clark, exemplifies most visibly the policy of the Carter administration as a whole.

Acting in the context of documented incitement by Ramsey Clark, the Khomeini regime committed aggravated violations of international law tantamount to an act of war against the United States, in seizing U.S. diplomatic and consular personnel hostage in an act of international terrorism. The terrorists directly involved on behalf of the Khomeini regime were protégés of a collaborator of Ramsey Clark's, Professor Norman Forer.

Subsequently, the Carter administration has used the so-called hostage situation in Iran to manipulate both the United States and its electorate, and as a pretext for blackmail against governments closely allied to the United States.

The narrow objective of greed involved in this ongoing destabilization of the Middle East petroleum-exporting region was to drive the world price of petroleum to levels required by British North Sea petroleum policy, and by the interests of powerful speculators gambling heavily on "syn-fuels" porkbarrels in the United States itself. Without raising the world price of petroleum to the vicinity of \$100 a barrel, the ultra-inefficient

"synfuel" program currently sponsored by the Carter administration cannot be competitive, and the Carter administration's recently announced COPEC coal policy would be unworkable.

Now, as allied forces of Iraq, the exiled Iranians, and other Arab countries have moved to bring about the removal of the Khomeini obscenity in Iran, the Carter administration shamelessly moves to conduit strategic support to a Khomeini regime still perpetrating what are tantamount to acts of war against the United States.

II. Carter's deal with Moscow

Moscow is also supporting Khomeini. Libya, Syria, and North Korea, as well as the Carter administration client government of Zia's Pakistan are conduiting military aid to the beleaguered Khomeini "Jacobin terror" regime.

Moscow's principal nominal point of interest within Iran is the leading spokesman for that hideous plague of sodomic parasites known as the mullahs, Ayatollah Beheshti. Beheshti currently is in the embrace of the head of one faction of the nominally Soviet-linked communist party of Iran, the Tudeh Party. Both the head of the Tudeh Party and Beheshti are longstanding agents on British Petroleum's payroll, like Khomeini's forces generally.

Moscow's relevant policies are currently being directed with prominent involvement of the Soviet Communist Party's foreign intelligence "think-tank," IMEMO, and the Soviet KGB of General H. "Kim" Philby. Philby's father was a founding field executive of the Arab Bureau, and the younger Philby conducted an important operation for SIS's Arab Bureau in the Lebanon-Syria region immediately prior to his leap into Moscow. Philby's known policies today are congruent with those of British SIS's desired deployment of the Soviet Union to the present date. IMEMO, which created the U.S. Communist Party-linked U.S.A.-Canada Institute, is a British intelligence and policymaking center, shaped to its present form in association with Philby associate Maclean.

What is presently occurring is a headlong rush toward a "controlled confrontation" between U.S.A. and Soviet forces in the Middle East, as prearranged in effect under the terms of the rotten agreement between Moscow and the Carter ad-

ministration. The included objective is cutting of substantial portions of the world's Middle East petroleum supply.

This is not to imply that Mr. Carter is allied otherwise with Mr. Brezhnev. It is an adversaries' partnership, a deal whose understood objectives include imposing economic disaster upon U.S. allies of continental Western Europe and elsewhere, as well as the most massive petroleum hoax against the American economy and people to date.

Mr. Carter's and Mr. Brezhnev's administrations have strongly differing reasons for participating in the rotten agreement for "controlled confrontation." The agreement is operational nonetheless, and is downright evil as well as violently contrary to the most fundamental interests of the United States and its allies.

III. Armand Hammer: the Libyan connection

The key point of financial interest of the postponed Billygate investigation is the Bahamas Freeport Refinery acquired from the Carey Oil firm by Charter Oil. Prominent within this setting is longtime special Moscow friend Armand Hammer, whose Libyan Occidental Petroleum interests developed with at least de facto patronage by British Petroleum interests.

Libya's Colonel Qaddafi was rightly included among those Israel's Abba Eban identified to me personally as "clinically insane" heads of states, during our 1976 discussions of problems of Middle East peace. (There is no impropriety in reporting this fact now.) However, Qaddafi does not truly control Libya. He is a merely bizarre frontispiece for continued control of that nation's deployment by the same British-Venetian interests which controlled the King Idris government. The same financial interests control Libya's principal petroleum revenues to the present day, the interests with which Armand Hammer's Occidental Petroleum has a certain special relationship.

Poor, dumb, greedy Billy Carter was walked to his Libyan sheep-dipping through the Rome U.S. Embassy. The U.S. ambassador to Rome, Richard Gardner, includes within his most provocative pedigree his marriage to the daughter of the Luzzato who is de facto the Godfather's

Please turn to page 64

Continued from page 5

Godfather of Sicily, superior to the Michele Papa directly prominent in the Sicily-Libya aspect of the operation in which dumb but greedy Carter was used as a pawn of the Carter administration.

Libya is a Carter administration client, unified with Syria, a Soviet client. Both are running guns to Iran under included protection of Anglo-American influence over NATO.

It was truly a rotten election-period compromise which postponed continued investigation of Carter administration lies concerning Billygate until after the November elections.

Who received commissions on each barrel of Libyan petroleum run through the Bahamas Freeport Refinery for delivery to the United States? Are the names on the accounts in which those commissions are deposited merely nominees for some person or persons who do not wish such sums to show on U.S. income tax reports? Does any of this bear on security used for Qaddafi's loan to Billy Carter?

IV. Why should Brezhnev endorse Carter?

As far as Governor Ronald Reagan is concerned, my earlier nationally televised statements, and my statements to caucuses at the August Democratic Party Convention stand. He is, rather like former President Gerald Ford, a decent person, but circumscribed by a record of limitations in understanding such "abstract" matters as basic national and international policy.

A President of such limitations can be a menace under the influence of bad advisers, because he lacks the developed qualifications to detect the ways in which he is being manipulated according to profile. With proper counsel, persons of the character qualifications of a Ford or Reagan can be reached on the point of their patriotism or simple, personal moral sense, to act in behalf of national interests at critical points.

Carter, too, could be handled in a similar way. Although Carter has no manifest qualities, he is preoccupied with his public image, and can be handled on that point for good, almost as he has been handled for bad by his present controllers.

Generally, I weep for the United States that our citizens have become such unthinking political

sheep as to nominate and elect mediocrities and worse to the highest office. The United States's heritage, of Franklin, Washington, Hamilton, Monroe, Quincy Adams, and Lincoln, deserves a real President in the highest office.

Yet, I must define alternatives for the survival and interest of our nation for the case in which mediocrity or worse is elected President.

I wish my nation to survive, yet, I know that for the present decade the political sheeplikeness of many citizens may represent a lack of the moral fitness to survive under the conditions of crisis confronting us.

I can see into the mind of Brezhnev, so to speak, where I see a portent of what will probably occur unless we in the United States wake up at last. Brezhnev has calculated reasons for buying time with a rotten agreement of the sort now adopted between his government and the Carter administration.

Reagan, with all of his admitted limitations, probably would be personally horrified by so foolish and cynical a kind of London-orchestrated, controlled confrontation between U.S. and Soviet forces. Therefore, Brezhnev publicly endorses the fool he imagines himself to have, Carter, over an alternative administration which he fears might not continue the arrangement.

Carter's campaign desired Brezhnev's endorsement. The point, as Carter's recent campaigning underlines, is to represent Jimmy Carter as a "man of peace" relative to "warmonger" Reagan. Fidel Castro and Brezhnev agreed to the proposition. Billygate-linked Armand Hammer mediated his part in the rotten arrangement.

For the sake of true peace, President Brezhnev must be taught a lesson about U.S. politics.

United States interests are identical with those of our allies France and West Germany in the Middle East. We require a stable, secure, and neutral, Khomeini-free Iran, not controlled or carved up by either or both superpowers.

I ask my fellow citizens to elect good Democrats to the Congress and key state offices. I ask them also to demonstrate their concern for their children's and their grandchildren's survival, by giving a stinging electoral rebuke to President Brezhnev's meddling in the U.S. elections.