the story, the surface impressions that every citizen gets by merely observing what is going on around him. A slightly closer examination of the matter, statistical compilations and so forth, indicate the following: approximately 15 million of our citizens are participating in one active form or another in the outfits of this organized insanity; millions are habitual drug users; millions more claim to have had “mystical experiences” with cosmic forces; millions believe in “faith healing,” and so forth. There are literally thousands of “counterculture” newspapers and magazines which proclaim the coming of the “New Age,” the “Age of Aquarius.”

The President of the United States believes in UFOs. His National Security Advisor, Zbigniew Brzezinski makes speeches proclaiming the advent of the “New Age” and has written a book about it, called the “Technetronic Era.” The Joint Chiefs of Staff every morning read so-called intelligence reports on the biorhythm and horoscope status of the members of the Soviet Politburo. Senator Harrison Schmitt of New Mexico is using taxpayer money to conduct an investigation into the possibility of extraterrestrial beings having invaded the South West!

The House of Representatives has established a new congressional committee, the Congressional Clearinghouse On the Future which holds daylong encounter sessions with futurologists and other kooks like Marilyn Ferguson, Elizabeth Kubler-Ross, the death cultist, and Isaac Asimov, the science fiction seer. Congressmen come away proclaiming to the press that they have experienced a personality transformation.

To top all this, in the coming June of this year, a major international conference will be held in Toronto, Canada, under the title “First Global Conference of the Future,” which will be attended by over 4,000 social engineers, cybernetics experts and futurologists from all the think tanks we have mentioned above. The purpose of the conference: “The time has come to move from thinking and dialogue to action. This conference will become the launching pad for that important action to occur in the 1980s,” said the Chairman of the conference, the multi-billionaire Maurice Strong, Chairman of Petro-Canada, high-ranking British intelligence officer during World War II, one of the Chief executives of Dope, Inc., and former director of the United Nations Environmental Program. One of the chief speakers will be Dr. Aurelio Peccei, Chairman of the Club of Rome, a NATO thinktank on which we shall say more later.

Close investigation of the preparatory activities of the conference participants and the in-house studies of the social-engineering think-tanks that will participate, has confirmed conclusively that the “decisive action” of which Maruice Strong is speaking is nothing less than the mass scale brainwashing of the entire human species. All the kooks of the world are coming out in the most impressive Witches Sabbath yet in Toronto.

### Stanford Institute’s ‘images of man’

The technical study proposing the complete extirpation of mankind’s inner sense of identity, the tearing out of mankind’s innermost soul and the placement, in the vacant space, of an artificial, synthetic pseudo-soul, was prepared in May 1974 by the Stanford Research Institute. The contents were later used in popularized form in Marilyn Ferguson’s book.

The study is entitled *Changing Images of Man* (Contract Number URH (489)-2150, Policy Research Report #4/4.74, prepared by SRI Center for the Study of Social Policy, Willis Harman, Director). The 319-page mimeographed report was prepared by a team of fourteen researchers and directed by a supervisory panel of 23 controllers, including Margaret Mead, B.F. Skinner, Ervin Laszlo of the United Nations, Sir Geoffrey Vickers of British intelligence, and others.

The study begins with the argument that the fundamental concept of what mankind is, and the “image” that mankind has of itself, determines the behavior of mankind. To change mankind’s behavior away from industrialism into “spiritualism,” one must force first a change in mankind’s “self image,” its fundamental conception of what mankind is. To quote:

Images and fundamental conceptions of human nature and potentialities can have enormous power in shaping the values and actions in a society. We have attempted in this study to:

1. Illuminate ways our present society, its citizens, and institutions have been shaped by the underlying myths and images of the past and present.

2. Explore with respect to contemporary societal problems the deficiencies of currently held images of mankind and to identify needed characteristics of future images.

3. Identify high-leverage activities that could facilitate the emergence of new images and new policy approaches to the resolution of key problems in society (p. xxii)
We use “image of man” (or man-in-the-universe) to refer to the set of assumptions held about the human being’s origin, nature, abilities and characteristic, relationships with others and place in the universe. A coherent image might be held by any individual or group, a political system, a church or a civilization ... most societies have an image of man which define his social nature, for example. ... an image of man is thus a Gestalt perception of humankind, both individual and collective, in relation to the self, others, society and the cosmos ... For most, however, assumptions about the nature of human beings are held subconsciously. Only when these hidden assumptions are recognized and brought into awareness is an image of man contructed. Then the image can be examined carefully and with perspective, to be retained, discarded or changed.

Then the SRI report proceeds to declare that in our present society, the “image of industrial and technological man” is obsolete and must be “discarded.”

Many of our present images appear to have become dangerously obsolescent, however. An image may be appropriate for one phase in the development of a society, but once that stage is accomplished, the use of the image as a continuing guide to action will likely create more problems than it solves ... Science, technology and economics have made possible really significant strides toward achieving such basic human goals as physical safety and security, material comfort and better health. But many of these successes have brought with them problems of being too successful—problems that themselves seem insoluble within the set of societal value-premises that led to their emergence ... our highly developed system of technology leads to higher vulnerability and breakdowns. Indeed, the range and interconnected impact of societal problems that are now emerging pose a serious threat to our civilization ... if (our) projections of the future prove correct, we can expect the associated problems of the trend to become more serious, more universal and to occur more rapidly.

Therefore, SRI concludes, we must change the industrial-technological image of man fast:

“(Our) analysis of the nature of contemporary societal problems leads to the conclusion that ... the images of man that dominated the last two centuries will be inadequate for the post-industrial era.”

Therefore, the image of man appropriate to that new era must be sought, synthesized and then wired into mankind’s brains. The SRI report then conducts a summary review of the “dominant images of humankind throughout history” from 250,000 B.C. to now, and it identifies no less than nineteen “images of man” that dominated in various epochs. From each one of those it extracts such features as are useful in replacing the “industrial-technological image,” i.e., totemism and identification with animals in the Upper Paleolithic era is useful for today; the “farmer son of Goddess earth” of the neolithic era is useful; the Sumerian image of submission to ruling elites must be retained in the post-industrial image; the Old Testament image of man having “dominion over nature” is dangerous and must be dropped; the Zoroastrian image needs to “be worked on;” the Indian image of yogi is good, will contribute to the “self-realization ethic;” the Chinese Confucius image will contribute to the “ecological ethic” of our future society; the Greek dionysian/mystical image can contribute to deemphasize material overconsumption; the Greek apollonian image can help combat the “technological ethic;” the Christian image of the New Testament must be reworked; the Christian image of the Gnostic Gospels can contribute a new “self-realization ethic;” but, the image that emerged from the Italian Renaissance, the “economic man,” individualist, rationalist, materialist, seeking objective knowledge, this is inappropriate and must be dropped.
Following this, the SRI planners point out that the industrial nation-state is the one supporting the image of “economic man,” but:

The industrial state at this point has immense drive but no direction, marvelous capacity to get there but no idea of where it is going. Somehow the breakdown of the old images has seemed to lead more to despair than to a search for new images. Despite the pessimism implied by a lagging dominant image, there are numerous indications that a new, anticipatory image of humankind may be emerging:

- Youth involvement in political processes.
- Women’s liberation movement; black consciousness, etc.
- Youth rebellion against societal wrongs.
- Emerging interest in social responsibility of business.
- The generation gap implying a changing paradigm.
- The anti-technological bias of many young people.
- Experimentation with new family structures and interpersonal relationships.
- The emergence of communes as alternative lifestyles.
- The emergence of the conservation/ecology movement.
- A surge in interest in Eastern religious and philosophical perspectives.
- A renewed interest in “fundamentalist” Christianity.
- Labor union concerns with quality of the work environment.
- An increasing interest in meditation and other spiritual disciplines.
- The increasing importance of “self-realization” processes.

These disparate trends do not, when taken individually, signify the emergence of a new image of human being; yet when they are considered collectively, they suggest substantial societal stirrings which may eventually emerge into a new and guiding image.

This was published in May 1974, careful not to fully reveal their direct involvement in artificially manufacturing all these hideous, inhuman forms of countercultural zombie-ism. Six years later, however, in February 1980, Dr. Willis Harman, the Project Director of the SRI report, after lengthy collaboration with Marilyn Ferguson, induced her to come out fully into the open and boast that all this Sodom and Gomorrah was from the beginning the work of a deliberate conspiracy.

Crisis management: Aquarius goes public

The ordinary citizens react with horrified incredulity when presented with this situation. They simply refuse to believe that this conspiracy is at work. This in scientific terms is called hysterical reaction formation. On many occasions, for instance, when in past years I have discussed these matters with generally well informed and influential citizens, the virtually universal reaction was “Lies! I don’t believe it. I don’t accept conspiracy theories. Where are your facts!”

When in the course of time the facts were produced, and the conspirators themselves took to writing books, magazine articles and research papers to publicly proclaim that they have been for all these years engaging in this far flung, multibillion dollar conspiracy, most of our good interlocutors were still speechless.

The decent majority of citizens of the U.S. must force themselves to get acquainted with the facts of the conspiracy. Because the conspirators depend on producing a paralyzing shock-effect in order to succeed. In part, the reason that they have decided to come out and openly proclaim the conspiracy is to produce such paralyzing shock-effect.

The strategic foundation for the current form of the Aquarian Conspiracy were laid down during the 1966-67 period in a series of high level NATO conferences which at the time involved, among others, a famous NATO official, Aurelio Peccei, the then little known Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski in his capacity as a staff member of the State Department’s Policy Planning Group and most of the leaders of those multinational corporations we mentioned earlier. The final decisions of those NATO deliberations were to apply a series of long term policies throughout the Western World for the purpose of inducing a transition to a technologically “steady-state,” “zero-growth” “post-industrial society.”

The Soviet bloc was supposed to be skillfully induced to gradually evolve toward a similar type of “steady-state” equilibrium system. Dr. Brzezinski, in the aftermath of those NATO meetings wrote his famous essay, the “Technocratic Era” and Aurelio Peccei his famous...