

Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee — of the so-called black nationalist movement, itself a Ford Foundation-Institute for Policy Studies-created synthetic movement. With his fellow poverty pimp Jesse Jackson, Young emerged as one of the “new leaders” of the civil rights movement following the Justice Department-linked assassination of Martin Luther King. Young’s profile of being acceptable to both young, militant blacks and old-line civil rights moderates made him perfect for government-assisted elevation up the ranks of the civil rights leadership.

The Rockefeller family’s sponsorship of their new

protege continued when Young was brought onto the family’s Trilateral Commission. There, Andrew Jackson Young learned the real “color question”: making sure that U.S. greenbacks kept flowing into the coffers of the Rockefeller banks. Following his African tour, Young was asked by the House International Relations Committee what he thought about debt relief — without which millions of Third World inhabitants will die. “I don’t think debt relief is needed by African nations,” replied the pious preacher, “I’m against it because it leads to corruption.”

## Schlesinger Caught In Lies Before House Science And Tech Committee

James Rodney “the Robot” Schlesinger, Carter’s would-be energy czar, got his programs scrambled when he appeared before the House Science and Technology Committee hearings June 7 and consequently found himself trapped in several fat lies.

When questioned as to whether the plutonium fast-breeder was more environmentally sound than the light water reactor, Schlesinger replied, “I don’t know, there’s no way to tell the difference.” Congressman John Wydler (R-NY) then angrily reminded him of a memo released by Schlesinger only two weeks earlier — which Wydler pronounced. In the memo Schlesinger had definitively stated that the fast-breeder was more environmentally sound than a light water reactor.

Wydler also pointed out that the charts Schlesinger was using were radically different from those he had brought with him in previous weeks. Schlesinger thereupon thanked Wydler for “reminding him” of the contents of his previous memo, and continued: “The facts indicate that the fast-breeder *is* more environmentally sound than the light water reactor!”

Gary Myers (R-Pa.) took on the “non-proliferation issue” which the Administration sprung earlier this year in efforts to halt Europe’s fast breeder programs. Myers said he had recently visited Europe and discussed the fast breeder at the Joint International Atomic Energy Agency, where he was told that the agency had been taken off guard by Carter’s policy. “Is this how inter-

national cooperation works under Carter’s Administration?” he demanded. Schlesinger first replied, “Ask the State Department,” but when pressed cited the London Summit results as a “good example” of cooperation — “a number of countries had agreed to cooperate with the U.S. on the fast breeder and proliferation.

Congressman Dale Milford (D-Tex) then asked: “Which countries will cooperate? Name one.” Schlesinger: “I can’t.” At this point, Schlesinger was accused of outright lying to the committee. He pouted: “Well, they may not cooperate now, but they will in the future. Anyway, if they don’t cooperate and go ahead and build the fast-breeder, we can always built a replica of the French Phoenix here at a later date after they’ve developed the technology.”

The grilling shifted to other aspects of Carter’s energy package, particularly coal conversion. Schlesinger proclaimed its “cost effectiveness.” “Have you figured in the cost of transportation, etc. in this ‘cost effectiveness’?” queried Harold Hollenbeck (R-NJ). “No, we didn’t figure it in,” said Schlesinger.

Schlesinger’s Committee performance recalls his Rand Corporation paper, “Pieties, Arms Policy and the Scientist-Politician,” in which he advanced the proposition that the successful policymaker lies as much as he can get away with. Based on his performance June 7, it looks like this policy works little better than his windmills and solar energy-based conservation proposals.

## ABC, CBS Factions Debate — Risk War Now Or In 1980?

June 9 — A factional debate has broken out at ABC and CBS and spilled out over the airwaves over whether to support the Carter Administration’s plunge toward thermonuclear war confrontation in the immediate period, or go for a Hjalmar Schacht-style massive military build-up to prepare for war against the USSR around 1980. The debate roughly reflects the diverging

lines between bankrupt David Rockefeller’s Trilateral Commission which is running the Carter Administration, and the Nelson Rockefeller-Henry Kissinger circle who are trying to rope in Republican conservatives and industrialists with the Rockefeller family fall-back position of militarizing the economy and imposing vicious austerity on the population.

The factional struggle within the two television networks on the strategic situation and the war danger surfaced in the first week of June. On June 1, CBS Evening News, which earlier had been pushing the Carter Big Lie confrontationist story that the U.S. was technologically and militarily superior to the Soviet Union, suddenly ran a lengthy interview with Air Force Major General George Keegan (Ret.), who exposed that "staggering breakthroughs" by the Soviets in charged-particle and other nuclear weapons technology had "very serious" consequences for the already inferior U.S. strategic position.

Then on June 2, ABC's Howard K. Smith blasted the Carter Administration in his nightly commentary for ordering the Pentagon to suppress a film produced by the conservative American Security Council boosting an arms race, adding: "The film may be overstated. But the facts are undeniable. In the past ten years, Russia has furiously armed while we have languished. On land, for example, they outnumber us in tanks four-to-one. At sea, they have for the first time more warships than we... The removal of Podgorny, accompanied by ever sharper attacks on us, suggests a tightening of command under Brezhnev for an intensified contest. The new stamping out of dissidents seems to support that view... The evidence is too great to be disregarded. We had better stop disregarding it."

#### *What's Up at CBS?*

The preliminary shift in line on the war confrontation drive and strategic situation taking place at CBS is directly attributable to CBS magnate William Paley and provides collaborative evidence that Nelson Rockefeller is breaking with his brother on this issue, and thumping for war production build-up to try to salvage his family's financial as well as oil, coal and munitions interests. It is well known that Paley, the son of a cigar manufacturer, has been in a running battle with financier interests headed by Carter emissary Averell Harriman and David Rockefeller for control over CBS. Paley, who founded and built the company, owns more than 10 percent of CBS stock, but Brown Brothers Harriman, a private bank, exercises two-thirds control and David Rockefellers' Chase Manhattan and the Rockefeller-controlled Chemical Bank together hold a one-fifth interest.

Paley is also one of Nelson Rockefellers' closest collaborators. During the early 1950s Cold War period, Republican Paley did a well-publicized study sponsored by Nelson Rockefeller calling for government subsidization of expanded coal and oil production and the intensified looting of the Third World as part of a war-production push. In 1973, Paley joined and actively supported Nelson Rockefeller's Critical Choices Commission which demanded a \$100 billion energy boondoggle, Project Independence, featuring low-technology, labor-intensive schemes — like the old I.G. Farben coal gasification concentration camp projects — in behalf of the Rockefeller interests. More recently, Paley offered the Presidency of CBS to Rocky's accomplice Henry Kissinger. Paley, a former OSS officer, is also well connected to the "old boys" at the CIA.

Ongoing efforts by the Harriman forces and David Rockefeller to oust Paley at CBS have proved unsuccessful. Paley outflanked David in 1976, for example, when he unexpectedly refused to name Trilateral Commissioner Arthur Taylor to the post of CBS President. In addition, Paley has a well-established record of dumping his Fabian Harriman-linked correspondents when the heat is on from conservatives — attested by the Edward R. Murrow, Fred Friendly and Daniel Schorr cases among others. As a result, the David Rockefeller-Averell Harriman financiers have been conducting strident psychological attacks including David Halberstams' "expose" on Paley in the Atlantic Monthly, and CBS News Director Richard Salants' charge last week that "Paley worked with the CIA and then covered-up."

#### *The ABC Story*

The well-publicized tension between Fabian Barbara Walters on the one side and Harry Reasoner and Howard K. Smith on the other at ABC is not, as has been misreported, primarily a matter of personalities, but is reflective of a factional political split at the company exemplified in the Smith editorial. The banking interests — the David Rockefeller-connected Manufacturers' Hanover and Bankers Trust — have been contending for power with more conservative industrially based interests, particularly utilities and military-connected manufacturers associated with the Hoover Institution and the American Security Council. These latter forces are represented on the Board of Directors and stock positions of the company. This helps explain why ABC was favored by Richard Nixon and did not join the CBS-NBC "get Nixon" bandwagon until late in the game. The outcome of this factional warfare is likely to determine the decision of who goes or is reassigned in the Walters-Reasoner imbroglio. Reasoner is strongly favored by the more industrially based interests. Rumor has it that con artist Roone Arledge, heretofore producer of ABC's Roman Arena sports extravaganzas and now ABC's News Director, is leaning under heavy pressure from financiers to demote Reasoner and go big with the unstable "Jewish Mother of Network News" Barbara Walters.

While industrial interests at ABC have at least forced the actual strategic situation into the open with Smith's foolhardy war build-up call, NBC has thus far stuck to a straight Carter-Brzezinski war provocations line. This decision reflects the preceived interests of the bankrupt and desperate banking cabal in control of RCA and NBC which last year bounced Robert Sarnoff, son of the company's founder, for his strong position in favor of emphasizing and expanding RCA's research and development — particularly its work with the Pentagon's satellite program!

The bankers consortium now running NBC, all strong Carter backers, is headed by Chase Manhattan's investment bankers including the Rothschild linked Lehman Brothers-Goldman Sachs Group (one-half control), the Warburg-Schiff's Kuhn Loeb and Company, and the Meyer family's Lazard Freres.

— Bob Cohen