

It's Time To Turn the Military-Financial Complex into Peace Production

by Dennis Small

This is an edited transcript of remarks delivered during the Dec. 14 International Peace Coalition meeting. Dennis Small reports on the development of a fact sheet for mass-circulation into today's growing international peace movement.

A taskforce from the Schiller Institute has been working on a fact sheet for how to turn today's military-industrial complex into instead a massive force for productive development for peace. I want to give you some highlights of what we've put together at this point, although we will need further help and research to bring this together in greater detail.

For starters, I think the main point I would like to propose is that this should not be referred to as the "military-industrial complex." This is a *military-financial complex*. It is run by Wall Street and I will prove that to you momentarily. The beneficiaries are Wall Street, the policies are Wall Street, and of course the City of London.

From Eisenhower on we've had an apparatus which: a) is the mechanism used to enforce a unipolar, Malthusian world order on the planet; or has tried to do that with endless genocidal wars. And b) is an incredible profit machine which basically is picking the pockets of American citizens to the tune of nearly \$1 trillion a year in the military budget, which goes mainly to the six largest military

procurement companies—the defense contractors—and from there into the pockets of Wall Street. That's what this thing actually is.

We are talking about a budget for fiscal year 2024 for the United States of \$886 billion. With these funds—close to \$1 trillion a year—you could have massive reconstruction of American infrastructure which is so needed. We could build a 42,000 mile network of electrified, high-speed rail lines, as Lyndon LaRouche has

long proposed. We could build NAWAPA [the North American Water and Power Alliance]; we could build schools; we could build hospitals. And we could do the same in other countries around the world in the Global South, which is so urgently necessary. Wall Street wouldn't like it, but it's very interesting that these defense contractors themselves are a repository of the skilled labor force—scientists and engineers—as well as the capital goods that are actually required for this reconstruction.

What we're looking at is the need to "beat swords back into plowshares." We

don't want to throw out the baby with the bath water; we definitely want to throw out the bath water. But the baby here which must be preserved and developed is those skilled capabilities, that capital goods sector, and so on. For example, in the case of the largest defense contractor, Lockheed Martin, half of their workforce is engineers and scientists. They have to be repurposed;



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

The policies driving military procurement and production come from Wall Street, and it is Wall Street that benefits from that military-industrial complex. Shown: the New York Stock Exchange, ironically in the presence of George Washington.

they have to be re-employed; that whole company has to be turned into a modern construction capability.

The Current Distribution

Now, let me just go through a little bit on this in terms of the six largest defense contractors, and give a quick idea of the systems they produce. Then, I will move into the other aspects—the financial control and the reconstruction.

Of the six biggest defense contractors in the United States, *Lockheed Martin* is the biggest, with \$59.4 billion in arms revenue in 2022, provided largely by the U.S. government. Lockheed Martin produces the F-35 stealth fighter, which is an incredible project with a million technical difficulties, but whose entire project will ultimately cost over \$1.7 trillion to buy, operate, and sustain those aircraft. That evaluation comes from the U.S. General Accounting Office; that's not something we cooked up and estimated, that's from the GAO itself. The price per unit is over \$100 million.

Imagine what you could do with those resources. One of the purposes of the F-35 as a modern stealth fighter, is to drop nuclear bombs onto targets, should that be decided by the ruling establishment. This is a nuclear warfare capability. Lockheed Martin also produces the F-16 fighter jet. The F-16s are currently being used heavily along with F-15s in the Israeli Air Force.

Raytheon is number two; they produce Tomahawk cruise missiles and the Aegis combat system, which is extremely important on the nuclear defense issue, and the THAAD anti-missile system. They produce interceptor missiles for Israel's Iron Dome; Patriot missiles, and so on.

Number three is *Northrop Grumman*. They produce the B-21 stealth bomber, which is also principally a delivery system for nuclear bombs for the proposed nuclear warfare against Russia and China. That's very important, because that too is a major capability that can and should be retooled, because Northrop Grumman has great capabilities in areas other than in the military-industrial complex.

Number four is *Boeing*. They make Apache attack helicopters, and the F-15 fighter jets which are being heavily used in Gaza and elsewhere. Five is *General*



Luke Air Force Base Courtesy Photo

Lockheed Martin's F-35 stealth fighter jets come with a million technical difficulties and an ultimate fleet cost to taxpayers of over \$1.7 trillion to build, operate, and maintain.

Dynamics. Six is *L3Harris Technology*; they produce command and control, communications systems, avionics, and so on.

As a side note, it is an open question as to what use can be made of the AI systems currently being used in places like Israel to multiply target generation by orders of magnitude. This is an important new component that warrants further consideration.

What we're talking about here also is a revolving door, as everybody knows. People go from the defense sector to the government and back to the defense sector,

and so on, picking up a cool couple of million bucks here and there along the way. The best known case is the current Secretary of Defense, Lloyd Austin, who was most recently on the Board of Directors for Raytheon. There are many examples of that. But there's something more important than simply this kind of revolving door. That's kind of the lower level of the control here. Where the monkey really sleeps is on the financial control apparatus.



DoD

Lloyd Austin, Secretary of Defense, an example of the lucrative revolving door among the military, government, and military contractors.

The Financial Aspect

Now go back to the top contractors, with Lockheed Martin being the first. The number one stockholder in Lockheed Martin is State Street Corporation. State Street owns 15% of Lockheed Martin stock. Number two is BlackRock; you've heard of them. BlackRock is

the number one asset management company in the United States.

The second largest contractor is Raytheon. Its top stockholders are Vanguard, which is the number two asset management company, and Capital Group, which is the fourth. Northrop Grumman—State Street and Capital Group. Boeing—Vanguard and State Street. General Dynamics—Longview Asset Management and Vanguard. L3Harris Technologies—BlackRock and Vanguard.

Are you getting the picture here? The top defense contractors, with their close to \$1 trillion defense budget in the United States, are owned by the major Wall Street and City of London asset management companies. The assets under management by these four major companies is close to \$23 trillion. In the case of Lockheed Martin, if you take the three largest stockholders—the third one being Vanguard—they own, among the three of them, 38% of all the stock of Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin is immensely profitable. Why? Because they’re a defense contractor, and your pocket is being picked through this procurement procedure to make companies like Lockheed Martin immensely profitable, and then the stockholders benefit. Are the people of the United States benefitting? Come on; give me a break. It’s the major asset management companies.

So, this is the control process here; that’s why I strongly recommend that we talk henceforth about the military-financial complex.

Opportunities and Importance of Retooling

While there’s much more to say on the question of retooling, let me just say one or two brief things about it. The capabilities involved in this are enormous. The combined annual revenue of the top six companies just last year was \$214 billion. The cost of a 120-bed hospital is \$300 million. The cost of a new school is \$45 million. Now, we don’t want to just spend that money on a bunch of hospitals and schools, because you wouldn’t have the personnel. You wouldn’t have the capabilities you need in infrastructure. But it gives you an idea of the order of magnitude here.

Ukraine, if the newest part of the Biden budget is approved, will have gotten \$66.6 billion from the United States. Do the math: you could construct 1,480 schools with that in this country and abroad. So, what you’ve

Major Stockholders in the Top 6 Military Contractors

COMPANY	#1 STOCKHOLDER	#2 STOCKHOLDER
1) Lockheed-Martin	State Street Corp.	BlackRock
2) Raytheon	Vanguard Group	Capital Group
3) Northrop Grumman	State Street Corp.	Capital Group
4) Boeing	Vanguard Group	State Street Corp.
5) General Dynamics	Longview Asset Mgt.	Vanguard Group
6) L3Harris Technologies	BlackRock	Vanguard Group

Assets Under Management (2023)

BlackRock (#1)	\$9.1 trillion
Vanguard Group (#2)	\$7.6 trillion
State Street Corp. (#4)	\$3.6 trillion
Capital Group (#10)	\$2.3 trillion
TOTAL	\$22.6 TRILLION

really got is a tremendous capability—both financially, but more importantly in terms of the skill levels and capital goods capabilities that can and should be developed.

In the upcoming fact sheet we’ll be presenting, we’ll go through examples of this. I’ll just mention two. The case of General Electric: they now produce engines for the fighter planes and bombers. The most astonishing is a \$970 million contract that they have for “next-generation” engines for the F-35A and F-35C nuclear-capable fighter bombers. And yet, GE also is capable of and is currently producing small modular nuclear reactors which can be built in a period of 24-36 months. This is exactly what you need for the Oasis Plan in Israel; it’s what’s needed in many countries around the world.

Similarly, take the case of Northrop Grumman, which has the contract for the B-21 bomber, costing—as best as anyone knows—about \$800 million per plane. Well, Northrop Grumman is also producing the HALO module for the Lunar Gateway project for space exploration, which costs about the same order of magnitude.

So, we are at the point where we have to beat swords into high-tech plowshares. We have to repurpose the entire military-financial complex budget into productive activities. This will serve the purpose of ending wars in two ways: Stopping the funding; and creating a development potential, which is the only way to ensure lasting peace.

Turn the Military-Financial Complex to Useful Production

by Richard Freeman, Paul Gallagher, Carl Osgood, and Dennis Small

Dec. 14—The military-industrial complex (MIC) is the principal instrumentality by which the British-American financier class, centered in the City of London and Wall Street, are able to produce, supply, and operate weapons used to try to enforce the unipolar world order through war, militarily and financially. Taxpayers in the United States and NATO nations support vast sums of money thrown away annually in economic waste and physical destruction.

This revenue stream provides a tremendous bonanza to *four Wall Street financial giants and the banks they partner with*, who are the dominant stockholders of all the biggest producers of bombers and fighters, tanks and APCs, missiles and bombs, guided munitions and drones, artillery and shells, etc. The bonanza is realized by profits distributed as dividends, fees, and interest on loans made by their partner megabanks.

In his book, *Understanding the War Industry*, researcher and author Christian Sorenson states:

The big banks and investment firms are in actuality, the foremost propellants of the war industry's influence.... When war corporations are merging or acquiring other corporations ... banks like Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley serve as financial advisors. The top five investors in shares of Lockheed Martin are giant financial firms.... They know war production is a reliable, steady investment.

Fact: The six largest U.S.-

based weapons producers alone have *\$214 billion per year in global arms sales*.

Fact: These six companies, in a typical recent year (2021), generated *gross profits of \$30.6 billion on \$301 billion in revenues*. Between 46% (Boeing) and 96% (Lockheed Martin) of those revenues came from selling weapons systems.

Fact: Taxpayers are now funding this flow of super-profits at the rate of \$858 billion for the United States alone, in 2023 alone, and are paying interest on Treasury bonds which borrow much of that sum. Total debt interest is at a rate of more than \$1 trillion per year right now.

Fact: Four Wall Street investment firms are the top shareholders of all the arms giants, as shown in **Table 1**.

TABLE 1
Top Shareholders of Military Contractors

Company	#1 Stockholder	#2 Stockholder	#3 Stockholder
Lockheed Martin	State Street Corp.	BlackRock, Inc.	Vanguard Group
Raytheon Technologies	Vanguard Group	Capital Group	Wellington Mgmt.
Northrop Grumman	State Street Corp	Capital Group	Vanguard Group
Boeing	Vanguard Group	BlackRock, Inc.	State Street Corp.
General Dynamics	Longview Asset	Vanguard Group	Newport Trust Co.
L3Harris	BlackRock	Vanguard Group	Capital Group Technologies
General Electric Company (GE)	Vanguard Group	Capital Group	Fidelity Mgmt. Co.
BAE Systems	Capital Group	BlackRock, Inc.	Invesco

Table 2 shows the top 6 weapons firms with their most recent total annual defense revenues and the portion coming from defense.

Their combined annual revenue of \$214 billion is 36% of total world military sales of \$597 billion, determined by the Swedish International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI).

Turning Waste to Economic Progress

Fact: From 2001–2020, just the Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terror (OCO/GWOT) Fund of the U.S. military budget—the fund which pays just for *American armed forces* deployed overseas in fighting the “endless wars,” totaled \$2 trillion-plus. The OCO/GWOT did not go through normal Congressional approval procedures, which made it that much easier for the string of illegal foreign wars to be launched.

The military-industrial complex contains in its ranks some of the most advanced capital goods and sci-tech capabilities needed for the economic reconstruction of the developing countries—and the infrastructure transformation of the United States.

It is time to put an end to the dangerous capability which is the MIC, and turn the swords into plowshares. This means both redirecting the financial resources into productive investment, but also re-converting and retooling the scientifically advanced portions of the military firms to produce an array of infrastructure, advanced space programs, fusion reactors.

Fact: With that \$2 trillion OCO/GWOT fund from 2001–2020, we could have built a 42,000-mile network of electrified, high-speed/maglev rail across the United States, as called for by Lyndon LaRouche and *EIR*, at a construction cost of approximately \$500 billion; built the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), at a cost of \$400 billion; and set up \$200 billion corporations modeled on the World War II Defense Plants Corporation, for nuclear fission, nuclear fusion, and a Moon-Mars project development. And there would have been funds as well to build schools, hospitals, clean water systems, and more.

TABLE 2

Top Military Contractors, by Revenue

Company	Military Sales Revenues	% of All Revenue
Lockheed Martin	\$59.4 billion	96%
Raytheon Technologies	\$39.6 billion	59%
Northrop Grumman	\$32.3 billion	89%
Boeing	\$29.3 billion	46%
General Dynamics	\$28.3 billion	77%
BAE Systems	\$25.2 billion	96%

Fact: The cost of a single B21 “Raider” nuclear bomber (about \$800 million) now being built by Grumman Aerospace, is nearly enough for that same company to complete the \$935 million main module of the Lunar Gateway orbiting space station (the “HALO Module”) a quarter million miles out into space, as the crucial stepping stone of the Artemis Moon-Mars mission of NASA.

Fact: With the funds lavished on General Electric Company (GE) to build the engines for the F-Series of fighterbombers, including the newest F35A, F35B, and F35C (overall, a series of fighter-bombers costing *more than \$1 trillion*), one of its subsidiaries, GE/Hitachi Nuclear Energy (GEH), could be building its small modular nuclear reactor prototype, the BWRX-300 reactor, for *power and desalination of water in desert areas* throughout Southwest Asia—including in the rebuilding of Palestinian territories—and in the desertifying areas of western North America.

Fact: Lockheed Martin designs, builds, and tests lunar and deep space exploration capabilities, including NASA’s Orion spacecraft, and creates early-warning weather and climate observation satellites, like the GOES-R series. As of January 2022, Lockheed Martin had approximately 115,000 employees worldwide, including about 60,000 engineers and scientists, i.e., more than half its total workforce.

Fact: The cost of building a 120-bed hospital is approximately \$300 million; and the average cost to build a new school is approximately \$45 million (including land acquisition, science labs in high schools, and so forth). How many thousands of hospitals and schools could be built, in the U.S. and

throughout the world, with the annual MIC budget?

‘A Theft from Those Who Hunger ...’

President Dwight Eisenhower famously coined the phrase “military-industrial complex” in his [farewell address](#) on Jan. 17, 1961:

We have been compelled to create a permanent armaments industry of vast proportions.... This conjunction of an immense military establishment and a large arms industry is new in the American experience.... Yet we must not fail to comprehend its grave implications.

Eight years earlier on April 16, 1953, Eisenhower had [said](#):

Every gun that is made, every warship that is launched, every rocket fired, signifies in the final sense, a theft, from those who hunger, and are not fed, those who are cold, and are not clothed.... It is spending the sweat of its laborers, the genius of its scientists, the hopes of its children.

The enormous financial resources today being wasted on military production must be repurposed to productive investment in this country and abroad, while its skilled scientific and engineering manpower, and its significant machine-tool and productive capabilities must be retooled for productive needs at home and abroad.

It’s time, once again, to beat swords into plowshares—high-tech plowshares.

Retooling Examples in Detail

Retool for the Oasis Plan: A major company profiting heavily from many military jet engine contracts, including the most expensive series of aircraft ever built, could be getting large advance contracts instead to build small modular nuclear reactors for desalination and power. The LaRouche “Oasis Plan,” to provide fresh water and new infrastructure throughout the region of Palestine and Israel, will need these reactors in this decade; so, too, will the huge task of desalinating water for the drought-plagued and slowly desertifying North American West.

General Electric Company (GE) has many multi-hundred-million-dollar or multi-billion-dollar mili-

tary contracts for engines for fighter planes and bombers, tanks, APCs and other military vehicles. What stands out currently is a \$975 million, 2023 contract for “next generation engines” for the F35A and F35C nuclear-capable fighter-bombers. The total costs for the F35 series of fighter-bombers over recent years has exceeded \$1 trillion. Along with the B21 “Raider” bomber, these planes’ purpose is to be able to carry out a nuclear “first strike” and start a nuclear war.

GE has other contracts, for the F-series of fighter planes’ engines, including a \$423 million 2023 contract for five years. And it is working on a \$1.6 billion 2021 contract for engines for the F-15EX fleet. And so on, into the billions.

But one of its subsidiaries, GE/Hitachi Nuclear Energy (GEH), has also developed a small modular nuclear reactor, the BWRX-300, a 300 megawatt boiling water reactor, which it says can be built in 24–36 months. It is building one for Ontario Power Generation at Darlington, Ontario, expected to be delivering electricity to the grid before the end of this decade. Instead of GE building engines for nuclear bombers, GEH could contract for many more BWRX-300 reactors to desalinate water for the desert of Southwest Asia. Though the “Oasis Plan” must start with gas-powered turbine plants along new canals to rebuild quickly there when war is stopped, nuclear power is much more efficient in that it requires a much smaller stream of fuel.

Beat Swords into Space Stations: It appears that in U.S. federal budgets, spending to major aerospace-defense corporations for *each* B21 “Raider” bomber—ready to carry “tactical” nuclear bombs—roughly equals the spending for a major module of NASA’s Gateway lunar station, to orbit the Moon.

Northrop Grumman has both lead contracts. In July 2021 it received a \$935 million contract to build the HALO Module (Habitation and Logistics Outpost), which together with a power and propulsion element will be the Lunar Gateway orbiting space station for the Artemis Moon-Mars mission. Grumman is having trouble with the HALO contract because it is fixed-price, and with design changes, Northrop Grumman is running over; in July 2023 it had to take a \$36 million charge against its annual earnings as a result.

There is no such limit on the B21, however, which is not built on fixed-price contracts; there, contract amounts are guarded military secrets, but it is report-

ed to cost the federal budget at least \$750–800 million per plane. We can say, “at least,” because the predecessor, B2 bomber—also built by Northrop Grumman—reportedly cost something like \$1 billion per plane. Some 20 of the B2s were delivered to the U.S. Air Force, each one having a cost in taxpayer dollars roughly equal to the contract for a fundamentally new space station main module, the first such to orbit the Moon.

The B21 is built to carry new B61-Mod12 “dial-a-yield” tactical nuclear bombs being produced for it.

Retooling, redirecting the military budget to beat swords into a grand new plowshare in space, is obvious for humanity, for the United States, and even for the companies involved.

The Largest Military Contractors, in Detail

1. *Lockheed Martin (LM)* is the producer of the F-35 stealth fighter, which is in production for the U.S. Air Force, U.S. Navy and U.S. Marine Corps, as well as for 16 other countries (including Israel, which is heavily using F-35s in its war on Gaza). Pentagon officials revealed during a Dec. 13 hearing of the House Subcommittee on Tactical Air and Land Forces that they shipped software updates to Israel for its F-35 fleet in the wake of the Oct. 7 Hamas attack and have continued to support Israel’s F-35s since. The F-35’s price per unit, including ancillary costs like depot maintenance, ranges from \$100.3 million to \$117.3 million for each F-35. The U.S. fields 450 F-35s and plans to produce 2,500 for domestic use and foreign sales. The U.S. General Accounting Office reports:

The F-35 Lightning II Joint Strike Fighter program remains DOD’s most expensive weapon system program [ever]. It is estimated that it will cost over \$1.7 trillion to buy, operate, and sustain these aircraft.

LM is also the producer of the F-16 fighter jet, which also makes up a large proportion of Israel’s air force, and of the AGM-114 Hellfire missile, the main armament of Apache attack helicopters, also flown by Israel. “Some 2,000 Hellfire missiles were delivered to Israel sometime between Oct. 7 and Nov. 14,” reported the American Friends Service Committee Action Center on Corporate Accountability. LM also produces the HIMARS rocket system, dozens of which have been

shipped to Ukraine, and is the prime contractor for the Sentinel ICBM.

LM also produces the Javelin, a portable anti-tank defense system, more than 10,000 of which have been shipped to Ukraine by the U.S. government. One Javelin system costs \$178,000, including the launch system and missile, according to the Pentagon’s 2021 budget. Each replacement missile costs around \$78,000.

Other products include: the JASSM, one of Lockheed Martin’s first and most long-range cruise missiles; the Trident II D5, the latest generation of the U.S. Navy’s submarine-launched fleet ballistic missile; and a number of military products strictly for the British military, including the Royal Navy’s CROWSNEST Airborne Surveillance and Control (ASaC) program. Lockheed Martin maintains 20,000 square meters of state-of-the-art production facilities in the United Kingdom.

2. *Raytheon Technologies (RTX)* is the world’s largest missile maker. Its long list of products includes many types of air-to-surface and air-to-air missiles, the Tomahawk cruise missile, and the several types of missiles used by the U.S. Navy’s Aegis combat system. It also builds the SPY-6 air and missile defense radar for the U.S. Navy and the TPY-2 radar for the U.S. Army’s THAAD anti-missile system. RTX also manufactures the interceptor missiles for Israel’s Iron Dome anti-rocket system. A batch of these missiles was recently transferred to Israel from the U.S. Raytheon missiles that have been shipped to Ukraine include the Patriot, AIM-120 AMRAAM, Sidewinder air-to-air, Javelin anti-tank, and Stinger anti-aircraft missiles, among others.

3. *Northrop Grumman* is the prime contractor for the B-21 stealth bomber, which just flew its first flight in November. It also supplies the Israeli Air Force with the Longbow missile delivery system for its Apache attack helicopters and laser weapon delivery systems for its fighter jets. It has also supplied the Israeli Navy with Sa’ar 5-class warships, which have participated in the assault on Gaza. Northrop Grumman also produces many types of artillery and other ballistic ammunition, as well as rocket motors for, among other products, the ammunition used in Lockheed Martin’s HIMARS.

4. *Boeing* is the manufacturer of the Apache attack helicopter and the F-15 fighter jet, both of which Israeli

forces have been using heavily in Gaza. Boeing also manufactures the GBU-39 Small Diameter Bomb—a 250 lb., GPS-guided air dropped munition, and the Joint Direct Attack Munition or JDAM—a GPS guidance kit used to turn unguided “dumb” bombs into precision guided weapons. Stated the American Friends Service Committee in a recent report published by its Action Center on Corporate Accountability:

Immediately after Oct. 7, Boeing expedited delivery of 1,000 smart bombs, and another 1,800 JDAM kits, to Israel. Both deliveries were part of a 2021 order that Israel made during its previous large-scale attack on Gaza.

A ground launched version of the Small Diameter Bomb has also been supplied to Ukraine.

5. *General Dynamics (GD)* is the owner of sub-

marine-builder Electric Boat of Groton, Connecticut. Electric Boat builds the Virginia-class nuclear powered attack submarine and will also be building the Columbia-class, nuclear-armed ballistic missile submarine. GD’s other products include 155mm artillery ammunition, large quantities of which have been supplied to both Israel and Ukraine, as well as casings for air-dropped bombs. GD is reported to be looking to open a munitions factory in Western Ukraine to produce 155mm artillery rounds.


6. *L3Harris Technologies* is a producer of command and control systems, communications, and avionics, including components for the F-35 and other electronic and space systems. L3Harris has deep business ties in Israel, including an attempt to buy the Israeli NSO spyware firm in 2022. The deal never went through, due to White House objections. L3Harris is supplying the VAMPIRE anti-drone system to Ukraine.

The Schiller Institute

has just released Volume 2, No. 1, of its new journal *Leonore*, which opens with the following from Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.’s October 20, 2002, article, “The Historical Individual”:

“The principal cause for the doom of any culture, is that mental disorder typical of popular opinion, which is to assume the validity of any assumptions currently adopted by a learned profession, or religious teaching, or more crudely adopted as ‘generally accepted popular opinion.’”

The 88-page issue, contains eleven articles, including the first English translation of one of the last letters by the 15th century scientific and political genius, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, which has been called his “religious last will,” and an original translation of Friedrich Schiller’s “On the Sublime,” described as “perhaps his most refined discussion of the process of the development of the soul.”



Preview the issue [here](#) and see the full table of contents.

The preview includes the ground-breaking article by Jason Ross, “Vernadskian Time: Time for Humanity,” which addresses “the paradoxes posed by Vernadsky’s scientific work,” which open the way to a an entirely new set of definitions of space, time and matter, taken from the standpoint of the human mind.

The journal is yours as a monthly Schiller Institute contributing member. Memberships start at \$5/ month. Sign up [here](#).

Eisenhower's 1953 'Chance for Peace'

War Producers Can Retool for Humanity

by Richard Freeman and John Scialdone

On April 16, 1953, just three months after his inauguration, U.S. President Dwight D. Eisenhower [spoke](#) to the American Society of Newspaper Editors, on "The Chance for Peace." Behind the scenes, Eisenhower was arranging for an armistice to end the Korean War, which would ultimately be signed July 27, 1953, although it left Korea divided in two.

The new President was looking for something more profound—an enduring peace based on "our firm faith that God created men to enjoy, not destroy, the fruits of the Earth and of their toil." In presenting his view, Eisenhower laid bare his belief that the factories that produced for war could be turned into productive facilities which would produce the necessities of human existence and peace. The statesman and physical economist Lyndon LaRouche referred to that phenomenon as "retooling" facilities for productive purposes.

We present here some quotes from Eisenhower's momentous April 1953 speech, delivered by a Five-Star General who, after having led the Allied forces in Europe as Supreme Commander during World War II, had declared on Jan. 10, 1946 before the Canadian Club, Ottawa:

I hate war as only a soldier who has lived it can;
only as one who has seen its brutality, its futility,
its stupidity.

Here is Eisenhower's implicit roadmap, seven years later, as a critique of policies at the time, to convert war industries from weapons to plowshares.

In that spring of victory [in 1945] the soldiers of the Western Allies met the soldiers of Russia in the center of Europe. They were triumphant comrades in arms. Their peoples shared the joyous prospect of building, in honor of their dead, the only fitting monument—an age of just peace. All these war-weary peoples shared too this concrete, decent purpose: to guard vigilantly against the domination ever again of any part of

the world by a single, unbridled aggressive power...

What can the world, or any nation in it, hope for if no turning is found on this dread road? The worst to be feared and the best to be expected can be simply stated.

The worst is atomic war.

The best would be this: a life of perpetual fear and tension; a burden of arms draining the wealth and the labor of all peoples; a wasting of strength that defies the American system or the Soviet system or any system to achieve true abundance and happiness for the peoples of this Earth.

Every gun that is made, every warship launched, every rocket fired signifies, in the final sense, a theft from those who hunger and are not fed, those who are cold and are not clothed. This world in arms is not spending money alone. It is spending the sweat of its laborers, the genius of its scientists, the hopes of its children.

The cost of one modern heavy bomber is this: a modern brick school in more than 30 cities.

It is two electric power plants, each serving a town of 60,000 population.

It is two fine, fully equipped hospitals. It is some 50 miles of concrete highway.

We pay for a single fighter plane with a half-million bushels of wheat.

We pay for a single destroyer with new homes that could have housed more than 8,000 people....

The hunger for peace is in the hearts of all peoples—those of Russia and of China no less than of our own country. They conform to our firm faith that God created men to enjoy, not destroy, the fruits of the Earth and of their own toil.

They aspire to this: the lifting, from the backs and from the hearts of men, of their burden of arms and of fears, so that they may find before them a golden age of freedom and of peace.