

---

# I. The Traitors Stand Exposed

---

LAROCHE FIRESIDE CHAT

## The Ongoing Coup: Full Scale Intelligence War Breaks Out

by Barbara Boyd

**FLASH:** Less than 24 hours after the LaRouche Political Action Committee (LaRouche PAC) posted to YouTube the November 7, 2019 edition of its Fireside Chat, which included the opening presentation by LaRouche PAC's Barbara Boyd, YouTube sent a message saying the program was being "flagged for review," and would meanwhile be unavailable to the public. LaRouche PAC is appealing this unacceptable action, and has meanwhile made the broadcast available on Vimeo at <https://vimeo.com/371998534>

It now appears that the reason for the flag was that YouTube and Facebook have chosen to censor, without any legal basis, the name of the person everyone in Washington D.C. has identified as the fake

whistleblower, Eric Ciaramella. The initial information identifying Ciaramella appeared in the *New York Times*—information indicating his position and the time he was at the White House, without referencing his name. Now, any outlet with the gumption to mention the name of the person suspected of setting off this third round of the coup against Donald Trump has been censored, across the board, not just LaRouche PAC. Why is that? Well, Ciaramella worked with Joe Biden on Ukraine; he worked for John Brennan at the CIA during the same time period; and he was the Ukraine Desk on the National Security Council at the time the Obama Administration was orchestrating Ukrainian interference into the 2016 U.S. election on behalf of Hillary Clinton.

*We present here Mrs. Boyd's edited notes for the presentation she gave on November 7, 2019 on the weekly LaRouche PAC Thursday night Fireside Chat webcast.*

Nov. 7—Tonight, before I review the various, latest developments in the coup against the President, I'm going to start by talking about your assignment. It's to read and seriously study an [article](#) by Lyndon LaRouche, "On the Subject of Strategic Method," in which he discusses the superiority of his method of long-term economic forecasting versus statistical or objective modeling. It was published in *EIR* on June 2, 2000, after being presented to a conference of his International Caucus of Labor Committees and the Schiller Institute in Ger-



EIRNS/ Stuart Lewis

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

many on May 26 of that year.

It is the method of thinking he describes there that I want to take up. That is the method used in LaRouche's successful forecast of the breakup of the Bretton Woods system and the catastrophic substitution of the floating exchange rate speculative economy; it resulted in LaRouche's forecast of the 1987 stock market collapse; it resulted in LaRouche's forecast of German reunification when no one knew that was even on the horizon; and in his other successful forecasts. And, I assert, it's a method of thinking which you and I must master if we are to navigate this nation successfully out of our present mess, that navigation being critical for the entire world. It is grounded in the method of scientific hypothesis cou-

pled with an analysis of the cultural and economic dynamics which determine substantive change.

LaRouche reminds us:

We must never forget the determining factor: it is the human will in choosing, or failing to choose, appropriate kinds of voluntary, critical changes in policy which shapes the future of nations and of mankind as a whole. These are the decisions which have relatively decisive impact on the course of events, especially under crisis wracked conditions similar to those prevailing, world-wide today.

What is the character of the set of such decisive decisions? Well, he points to a set of such decisions, ones which were truly evil, as demonstrating what he means about critical decisions which have profound impacts even for generations.

The set of evil decisions he chooses are those of 1975-76 by the New York Council on Foreign Relations and Trilateral Commission, in something called the 1980s Project. That 1980s Project laid the basis for the destruction of the physical economy of the United States, our conversion to a consumer-driven, post-industrial society and, in the final iteration of that policy—something which we are still experiencing today—the hoped-for triumph of globalization and abandonment of sovereign national government in favor of the type of bankers' dictatorship recently proposed by Bank of England Governor Mark Carney at the annual Economic Symposium of central bankers in Jackson Hole, Wyoming, August 22-24, 2019.

Just this evening we learned that the plan for banker's dictatorship took a significant step forward with the announcement that billionaire Michael Bloomberg is entering the Democratic Party primaries in Alabama without "having decided" yet that he is running.

President Trump interrupted the long-term march which these fellows set into motion back in the 1970s, a march toward complete abandonment of our founders' grand experiment in that sovereign republic known as the United States.

In his May 26, 2000 speech, LaRouche said:

The method of that usual gang of Wall Street bankers and law firms was wrong, even evil, but these fellows had, at least, a vision of the culturally motivated changes of the U.S. and world so-

ciety which they intended to induce over a period of decades ahead.

They succeeded because our citizens were preoccupied with immediate events, in a self-limiting fashion, and refused to stretch their minds and vision to what future they sought to create, 50 years ahead. That smallness allowed evil to triumph.

LaRouche then asks the question, how can we foresee, and operate to influence, the cultural paradigms which will in turn decide the way in which populations and also other policy makers will respond to the global financial and political-economic crisis shock of the kind now rapidly approaching? And he says, well, there's really only one time when you can dramatically change things, and that is when the shock of events causes people to examine their mind-sets, the pre-existing axioms and postulates by which they order their opinion.

That, I submit, is the character of what we are experiencing now, as I hear what is happening with our organizers who are interacting with a provoked and receptive public. And, if you think the whole situation through strategically, like LaRouche did in this paper, you will soon realize that the only true way to eliminate the forces behind the coup against this President is by changing the entire chessboard, by aligning with Russia, China, and India to create treaties, centered on a new fixed exchange-rate monetary system, and issuing credit only for economic development and advanced scientific explorations of discovery which advance the productive capacities of all of humanity.

### **Seven Determinative Cultural Shocks**

In the article, LaRouche outlines seven determinative cultural shocks which led to the state of our nation under Barack Obama and which the Democrats now describe as "normal times."

He identifies first the use of nuclear terror to create a demand for world government, as envisioned by Bertrand Russell and other spokesmen for the British oligarchy, and paraded under the names of "globalization" and "the rule of law." The State Department witnesses now on parade against this President are all members of this particular cult.

He describes the second major shift as being the introduction of the rock-drug-sex counterculture in the wake of President Kennedy's assassination, with the afflicted boomers now occupying the key posts in our

government, while our useless rich elite frolic with such as Jeffrey Epstein.

The third radical change was the effort to halt technological progress through environmentalism, the labeling of scientifically progressive technologies as “dual use” technologies and thus restricting export to other nations, and the dismantling, through budget cuts, of key NASA initiatives.

In succession came the fourth change of paradigm, involving deliberate destruction and failure to repair basic economic infrastructure.

The fifth change was the eradication of classical education in schools.

The sixth change was the promotion of the idea of free trade, and the various treaties, like NAFTA, which decimated the U.S. work force, while abandoning any protections of U.S. industries. Of course, this “free trade” idea, which our politicians repeat mindlessly all day, is the idea against which our founders fought our revolution.

Finally, the seventh change was the abandonment of any form of national banking or long-range capital financing of physical-economic projects. LaRouche said that national banking, as then typified by the operations of the U.S. Export-Import Bank, in a sovereign nation-state serves the purpose of issuing long-term, low-interest credit to finance infrastructure and other vital needs of the nation. It used to be that such long-term planning was even done by the President and the Congress in the form of long-term capital budgets.

LaRouche describes this decadence in terms of a “fundamental conflict” between two geometries of axioms and postulates concerning what is seen as the nature of human beings. What he calls the Romantic set of views sees men and women as fundamentally evil, as beasts, with feral sensual impulses, who can’t think beyond sense perception in an immediate environment. That view is fundamental to the tolerance of empires in history, and is increasingly dominant in the U.S. today. The contrary, Classical mind-set, especially in its Christian manifestation, defines human beings as essentially good, as being above the beasts, as made in the image of the Creator of the universe and capable of creative change and discovery of the universe’s fundamental laws. That was the mind-set of the founders, which had to be dislodged and destroyed by the seven fundamental changes.

If you think about it clearly, President Trump has taken steps to reverse all of this, some steps strong, some



Lorie Shaul

*Democratic National Convention, July 7, 2016.*

steps tentative, but he is hampered by the cultural decadence even among his most fervent supporters. What is lacking here is the depth of intelligence and analysis you will find in your homework assignment.

The biggest problem, LaRouche says, is the abandonment of those forms of classical education and classical drama and music which were and are deliberately designed to feed and strengthen the human mind and human creativity, and cause us to step outside our immediate circumstances and master how to change those circumstances. Huge audiences chanting “USA, USA” over and over again, but who have been denied this remedy, should tell us that we are not educating in depth the generals who can actually win this war for our nation. Instead, these crowds can and will be manipulated and killed by the ability of our enemy to manipulate them through popular culture and popular opinion.

In this paper, LaRouche walks you through our actual American history and grand experiment, and that which actually made us great. He discusses how to create the appetite for this profound change in yourself and your fellow citizens. It involves, he says, discovering your own mind, discovering how to think and discover universal physical principles like the classical artist does when creating great ironies and paradoxes in music, leading you through to his or her discovered resolutions; or like the experimental scientist does in

making a new discovery of physical principle and figuring out how to prove it exists, how to validate it.

LaRouche recommends the dialogues of Plato as fundamental to this type of thinking. And it is this type of thinking which must be applied if you are not to mistake the forest for the trees in the present situation we face. This is what we need to do now with these Committees of Correspondence. We need to make them real, by the participants therein reading and studying this mode of thinking, the very same types of methods used by Ben Franklin and Alexander Hamilton in creating the Republic, modes of thinking fundamentally advanced by LaRouche. Like the original Committees on Correspondence, these groups go out and teach others to do the same, which is how this revolution can be made, just like our first one was.

Now with that exciting prospect on the table, let's review the developments this week. Please, read and devour the homework and call the organizers who talk to you the most with any questions.

### **This Week: First, Julian Assange**

The first development of the week concerns Julian Assange, who is being deliberately killed in a British prison as part of the coverup in the coup attempt against President Trump. In a statement published in this issue of *EIR*, it makes clear that Assange is the most critical witness to debunking the entire myth of Russiagate and they are now killing him—the ultimate obstruction of justice. Bill Binney has some things to say about this, also published in this issue of *EIR*. It is critical that those supporting President Trump realize what is at issue here and intervene to stop this, to restore Assange's health and to seek his testimony. This message has to get through. It is something also supported, prospectively, by Tulsi Gabbard, who opposed the arrest of Assange and his being charged under the U.S. Espionage Act.



*Benjamin Franklin*

### **Fake Whistle Blower's Lawyer Lets Cat Out of Bag**

Yesterday, a review by Fox News of the twitter accounts of Mark Zaid, the attorney for individual who is reliably reported to be fake whistleblower, Eric Ciaramella, showed that Zaid really laid out in a series of tweets, starting back in January 2017, the entire plot of what we now see unfolding before our eyes. Zaid tweeted then: "the coup has started" and "impeachment will follow ultimately." President Trump referenced Zaid's tweets in his Monroe, Louisiana rally on Wednesday night. In July 2017, Zaid said that

CNN would play a key role in the coup, and that, "We will get rid of him [Trump], and this country is strong enough to survive even him and his supporters." Zaid further promised that the coup would take place in a series of steps and that as one member of RESIST within the Administration fell, two others would take their place.



Donald J. Trump

*President Trump addressing a rally in Monroe, Louisiana, on Nov. 6, 2019.*



Mark Zaid, attorney for “whistleblower” Eric Ciaramella, April 5, 2019.



Michael K. Atkinson, U.S. Inspector General, June 6, 2018.

There is emerging evidence that this third stage of the coup, announced by the person reliably reported to be Eric Ciaramella through a Complaint dated August 12, 2019, was planned as a contingency substantially before. We have noted Sen. John Warner’s (D-VA) sudden apparent Damascus Road conversion to full-scale whistleblower support when the new Intelligence Community Inspector General, Michael Atkinson, underwent his confirmation hearing way back in January, 2019. Atkinson promptly rewrote the rules for whistleblower complaints to promote hearsay evidence. Atkinson otherwise is a veteran of the DOJ National Security Division, DOJ headquarters for the coup against the President.

Others have noted that Rep. Adam Schiff (D-CA) hired new staff around the same time and continued that hiring to include Eric Ciaramella’s buddies and lawyers associated with the anti-Trump legal blog, *Lawfare*. The big press organs of the coup, *BuzzFeed* and the Organized Crime and Corruption Reporting Project (OCCRP), had been tailing and trolling Rudolph Giuliani throughout his Ukraine investigations. OCCRP is funded by billionaire George Soros, the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and other State Department entities, and the British Foreign Office, and is cited repeatedly in the initial whistleblower complaint.

A look at key developments around the time of the coup reveals the following. On July 24, the former Spe-

cial Counsel Robert Mueller appeared before two House Committees, and by his vapid, confused and dis-oriented testimony, killed that aspect of the coup against the President. The President immediately stepped out of containment: speaking again about getting out of Syria, seeking meetings with the Taliban to get out of Afghanistan, seeking a meeting with Iranian President



Robert Mueller testifying before the House Judiciary Committee on July 24, 2019.

Hassan Rouhani, seeking new talks with North Korea, and looking for ways to collaborate with Russian President Vladimir Putin. How convenient that the Ukraine phone call occurred on July 25!

### **IG Report Timing & Durham Investigation**

Countering this development, Joseph diGenova and Victoria Toensing appeared on Fox’s Lou Dobbs show

Wednesday night to state that Inspector General Michael Horowitz's "highly anticipated" report is "far worse" for the FBI, DOJ, and others than even they imagined. They cited "very reliable sources" who have viewed the draft report. DiGenova is the former U.S. Attorney for Washington, D.C. and Toensing is a former Assistant Deputy Attorney General in DOJ's Criminal Division.



Gage Skidmore

Sen. Lindsey Graham

Contrary to the *Washington Post's* account of when the Horowitz Report will be released, diGenova said the hang-ups are related to coordination with a criminal grand jury now convened and hearing testimony under U.S. Attorney John Durham. Both diGenova and Toensing predicted that senior levels of the Obama DOJ and FBI will lose their law licenses and undergo criminal prosecution. Sen. Lindsey Graham (R-SC), briefed Wednesday by Attorney General William Barr on the contents of the Horowitz report, called the findings "stunning," and said that they demonstrate that the Justice Department and its processes had gone "completely off the rails" with respect to President Trump.

This is the development Congress is literally racing to check or contain by the present impeachment farce. They promise for next week even more confetti and distraction.

### Public Hearings Next Week

Shifty Schiff announced Wednesday that "public hearings" will begin next Wednesday and Friday concerning the Ukrainegate impeachment drive. U.S. chargé d'affaires in Ukraine William Taylor will testify on Wednesday, followed by State Department diehard George Kent. Taylor has admitted that he never spoke to the President about Ukraine aid and that his sources were a circle of State Department and National Security Council (NSC) bureaucrats, as well as the *New York Times* throwing a hissy fit over Trump's conduct of foreign policy.

On Friday, dismissed U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine, Marie Yovanovitch, will present her "Don't Cry for Me Argentina" account of her firing. Rather than support

the people of Ukraine, Yovanovitch's demonstrated fidelity is to the colonial regime of would-be Viceroy Joe Biden and Victoria Nuland installed in Ukraine. In particular, Yovanovitch admitted in her testimony that she was a close confidant of Arsen Avakov, the brutal Minister of Internal Affairs who supported and wielded the neo-Nazi groups, such as the Azov Battalion, against the Ukrainian people.

Yovanovitch also admitted that she intervened with Prosecutor General Yuriy Lutsenko to protect National Anti-Corruption Bureau Chief Artem Sutnyk from investigation and prosecution. Sutnyk and National Endowment for Democracy and State Department clown Serhiy Leshchenko are the two Ukrainians who worked with Ukrainian intelligence and the Democratic National Committee's Alexandra Chalupa in the press smear involving the non-existent "black ledger," which led to Paul



C-SPAN3

William Taylor, U.S. chargé d'affaires in Ukraine.

Manafort's firing and criminal investigation, as well as feeding the Russiagate fraud against Donald Trump.

Yovanovitch testified that her press office could have targeted "for research" journalists in the United States who opposed her, although she claimed that she, personally, did not do so.

Let me state it this way, to place all of this firmly in

mind of our readers. This entire impeachment scandal is based on a President hesitating to grant military aid to a country, riddled with extreme corruption in high places, which intervened in the 2016 U.S. elections on behalf of Hillary Clinton, a country where Joe Biden put neo-Nazis into power. The *EIR* articles on the Ukraine coup should be reviewed in addition to Oliver Stone's 2016 documentary, "Ukraine on Fire."

What *EIR* and Oliver Stone make abundantly clear is that Joe Biden's actions represent a continuing threat to world peace, even today. It is those actions which the entire State Department and NSC apparatus testifying against the President wish to defend to their last breath. Those reckless Anglo-American actions in Ukraine in 2014 put the world on the edge of war, and continued support of these policies does the same.



*U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine Marie Yovanovitch greeting Secretary of State Rex Tillerson on his arrival in Kiev, Ukraine, on July 9, 2017.*

## Michael Flynn Case Developments

Gen. Michael Flynn's attorney, Sidney Powell, who Larry C. Johnson rightly calls a honey badger for her ferocious legal approach in defense of Michael Flynn, has succeeded in demonstrating that the 302s (summaries of interviews) authored by FBI Agents Joe Pientka and Peter Strzok concerning the ambush interview of Michael Flynn at the White House in January 2017, were probably doctored.

Those 302s, in turn, formed the basis for the charge of making a false statement, to which Gen. Flynn pled guilty under duress. It turns out that the 302s were "edited" by Strzok's paramour Lisa Page and went through different versions after Strzok and

Pientka reported that Flynn was not lying in the key-stone interview in the case. Larry Johnson presents the latest on this in his November 6 [article](#), "More Evi-

## Solomon Reports on Biden, Burisma

John Solomon has received documents from the State Department that confirm what everyone knows already about Joe Biden's son Hunter Biden and Ukraine. Documents released to Solomon under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) show that Blue Star Strategies, a PR firm hired by Burisma, specifically lobbied the State Department to back Burisma, rather than condemning its corruption, by specifically citing the fact that Hunter Biden sat on its board.

The documents also show that prep for Yovanovitch's confirmation hearing as Ambassador in 2016 included questions about Hunter Biden's role at Burisma, and a recommendation that Yovanovitch answer any such questions by referring the questioner to Vice President Biden's office. Otherwise, Assistant Secretary of State George Kent, in his Shifty Schiff Star Chamber episode, is reported to have testified that he raised conflict of interest issues concerning Hunter Biden as far back as 2015.

VANTYFAIR · H I V E

**"THE TRUTH LOOKED BAD FOR DONALD TRUMP": THE ROGER STONE TRIAL IS DELIVERING MUCH OF WHAT MUELLER DIDN'T**

The self-styled "dirty trickster" is in the courtroom—but the president is definitely on trial. And even Steve Bannon took the stand and dropped a dime.

BY ABIGAIL TRACY  
NOVEMBER 6, 2019

dence that the Comey FBI was a Malevolent Clown Show,” posted on the *Sic Semper Tyrannis* website.

### Stone Case: Tucker Carlson Rips Judge

Roger Stone’s trial has started with the jury seated, opening statements made, and the first witness on the stand. Mueller’s FBI was on the case today, testifying against Stone, followed by the critical witness Randy Credico. Washington, D.C.’s jury pool is, of course, very contaminated against anyone associated with President Trump. Nonetheless, Judge Amy Berman Jackson refused to strike a juror who was press spokesman for Barack Obama’s Office of Management and Budget, and whose husband worked in the DOJ’s National Security Division, the division running the coup against Trump.

Stone faces two obstruction of justice counts: one count for alleged lies to House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence when he voluntarily appeared for testimony, and a second count for allegedly threatening a witness, Randy Credico. In addition, Stone faces a third charge, a false-statements charge for his alleged lying to Congress.

The House and Mueller tried to establish that Roger Stone was the critical link between the Trump Campaign and WikiLeaks and the Russians, and the coup masters are using it to re-surface the bogus narrative about Russian interference in the 2016 elections. Instead, Stone was attempting to establish the timing of the second WikiLeaks dump of documents concerning the DNC and Hillary Clinton, and appears to have been sold down the river by Credico, who could very well have been functioning, knowingly or unknowingly, as bait in a controlled intelligence operation.

Randy Credico had for years had an on-and-off-again friendship with Stone, despite their completely divergent political views. Credico claimed close access to WikiLeaks and Julian Assange, which he did have. He told Stone that Assange had “kryptonite” on Hillary. Stone claimed Credico as a source concerning what WikiLeaks was up to. According to Michael Isikoff—the FBI leak point who was used to bolster the phony



CC/Timothy Krause

Randy Credico, witness at the trial of Roger Stone.

Steele dossier for obtaining FISA warrants, and who collaborated with Alexandra Chalupa in the Ukraine-centered smear of Donald Trump and Paul Manafort—he, Isikoff himself, was the person who intervened into the Credico/Stone relationship to deliberately provoke Stone.

Here is what Isikoff [wrote](#):

At that point in the story, this reporter plays a minor role. In late 2017 and early 2018 I tracked down Credico after learning that Stone was claiming the comedian was his backchannel. After several

nights of drinks at his favorite downtown New York oyster bar, he agreed to go on the record and told me he knew nothing about what Assange was planning and therefore couldn’t have been Stone’s intermediary. When I went back to Stone for comment ... the GOP operative was enraged and began sending threatening text messages to Credico, implying that things could get ugly for the comedian and his beloved service dog, Bianca. “You are a rat. A stoolie. You backstab your friends—run your mouth my lawyers are dying Rip you to shreds,” Stone wrote.

The defense pointed out in the opening statements Wednesday that such exchanges were not extraordinary in the Stone/Credico relationship.

On November 6, Wednesday night, Tucker Carlson, on national television, unloaded on Judge Amy Berman Jackson, ripping the Judge as obviously biased, and demonstrating it by snorting at Stone’s defenses and issuing unconstitutional gag orders which effectively prevented Stone from defending himself in the venue in which his trial is taking place, while giving free rein to prosecutors to paint Stone as an arch-criminal operating on behalf of Donald Trump and Vladimir Putin.

*Mrs. Boyd is the author of the [pamphlet](#), “Robert Mueller Is an Amoral Legal Assassin: He Will Do His Job If You Let Him,” published by the LaRouche PAC on September 23, 2017, and many additional articles on the ongoing coup attempt against President Donald Trump.*