


EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

November 29, 2019 Vol. 46 No. 47 www.larouche.com \$10.00

What Will Americans Do Now?



Founder: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. (1922–2019)*
Editor-in-Chief: *Helga Zepp-LaRouche*
Co-Editors: *Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher,*
Robert Ingraham, Tony Papert
Managing Editor: *Stephanie Ezrol*
Editorial Staff: *David Cherry, Charles Notley*
Technology: *Marsha Freeman*
Transcriptions: *Katherine Notley*
Ebooks: *Richard Burden*
Graphics: *Alan Yue*
Photos: *Stuart Lewis*
Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Asia: *Michael Billington*
Economics: *Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher*
History: *Anton Chaitkin*
Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*
United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*
Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*
Lima: *Sara Madueño*
Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*
Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*
New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*
Paris: *Christine Bierre*
Stockholm: *Ulf Sandmark*
United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Richard Black, Leni Rubinstein*
Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirms@larouchepub.com
www.larouchepub.com
www.executiveintelligencecivereview.com
www.larouchepub.com/eiw
Webmaster: *John Sigerson*
Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*
Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly
(50 issues), by *EIR News Service, Inc.*,
P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.
(571) 293-0935

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH,
Bahnstrasse 4, 65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650
Homepage: <http://www.eir.de>
e-mail: info@eir.de
Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557
eir@eircanada.ca

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,
basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:
eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz 242-2
Col. Agricultura C.P. 11360
Delegación M. Hidalgo, México D.F.
Tel. (5525) 5318-2301
eirmexico@gmail.com

Copyright: ©2019 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement
#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to *EIR*, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

Signed articles in *EIR* represent the views of the authors, and not necessarily those of the Editorial Board.

What Will Americans Do Now?

EDITORIAL

House Closes Out Impeachment Charade as Members Head to Districts

What Citizens Do Now is Critical

Barbara Boyd's live [webcast](#) interview with Matthew Ogden on Saturday, November 23 provides the latest political update on the impeachment hearings, and the story behind the story, with extensive video documentation.

Nov. 21—The initial phase of the House Impeachment show trial paused today with the testimony of anti-Russia hawk Fiona Hill and State Department bureaucrat David Holmes. Members of Congress will be out in their Districts through December 3. While most believe that the Democrats will now draft articles of impeachment and move the process to the Judiciary Committee, even that is uncertain, as the *New York Times* on November 21 called for the Democrats to slow down and force more ridiculous testimony down the throats of the American people, who remain unconvinced that the President should be impeached.

Hill's testimony was part of a coordinated PR campaign in Washington to resurrect the bogus "Trump is an agent of Putin" hoax. Hill claimed that Ukraine interference in the election was a Russia inspired-hoax, and the media, including Fox, responded by saying that she was the "star of the show." Hill also showcased the narrative that Rudy Giuliani and others investigating Ukrainian interference in the 2016 election, were really doing so for personal gain. The same brainwashing campaign involves a renewed assault on Congressman Devin Nunes, as a dupe and a fool who does not understand Russian active measures.

For those charmed by Hill's recounting of her background as an English coal-miner's daughter whose low-

class British accent would have stymied her ambitions in Britain—take a look at the background of this woman. She was the National Intelligence Officer on Russia and Ukraine in both the George W. Bush and Obama Administrations, in which she advanced cold-blooded policies that placed the world on the edge of war. While claiming to believe that Russia and the U.S. must work out new relationships, she personally hates President Putin, and is an active participant in the Brit-



Dr. Fiona Hill and Gordon Sondland, U.S. Ambassador to the European Union, testifying before the House Judiciary Committee's Presidential impeachment inquiry on November 21, 2019.

ish campaign to overthrow him.

David Holmes, counselor at the U.S. Embassy in Kiev, provided his self-important account of U.S./Ukraine relationships as a prelude to his claim that he overheard the President asking Gordon Sondland, over Sondland's cell phone, not on speaker, whether Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky was going to do an investigation, after Sondland informed the President, "Zelensky loves your ass, he'll do anything you want him to."

Holmes' presentation as to how he could hear this exchange was singularly unconvincing, as was his explanation of why his all-important overhear was not presented until last week, after his boss, Ambassador William B. Taylor, Jr., had testified, but never referenced this conversation—despite Holmes' having briefed him on it.

What is lost in this is the impact of this testimony in Ukraine, where President Zelensky is in a showdown with the neo-Nazis and nationalists over his efforts to negotiate a peace with Russia; where the IMF is demanding hugely unpopular austerity measures as a condition for further aid; and where various British imperial writers are predicting a new Maidan. Holmes' recitation that Zelensky will do anything for the American President, hardly plays well with those out to prevent peace at all costs. That is deliberate; it is orchestrated to that end.

Barr vs. Pelosi

On November 15, the Attorney General of the United States, William Barr, portrayed a United States in the midst of an insurrection that could destroy the country. He claimed, correctly, that the aim of this insurrection is to debase and forever weaken the American Presidency and turn this nation into something akin to a parliamentary system. In his writings on the Bill Clinton impeachment, Lyndon LaRouche cited exactly this intent. Attorney General Barr also noted that whole sections of the judiciary have ceased to function as anything other than a rubberstamp for RESIST policies.

The Speaker of the House, Nancy Pelosi, on Sunday's talking head shows, repeated over and over again that the President of the United States is an "imposter" because Putin really steered all of Trump's 63 million voters. In the meantime, nothing is happening in terms of rebuilding the nation's infrastructure; creating the types of productive jobs that can sustain entire families at a healthy income; creating an educational system that cultivates and nurtures genius; fixing the broken health-care system; or undertaking the crash mobilization for space exploration necessary to ensure the nation's future.

Go With LaRouche's Method, Policies, and Programs

Doing so is now urgent. It must be financed through a national banking system and a New Bretton Woods world credit system, and will use Glass-Steagall to ensure that citizens don't pay for the insanity of Wall Street and the City of London. That is the answer to the ominous clouds of financial instability created by the unraveling of the newest worldwide bubble. That is LaRouche's policy.

The only voice of sanity in last night's Democratic debate was Tulsi Gabbard, going directly after Hillary Clinton and her Democratic Party's policies of forever wars. As LaRouche had forecast, the Democrats have



Attorney General William Barr has opened an investigation into possible criminal activities by the anti-Trump coup plotters, while Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi, in a fit of semantic satiation, repeats the nonsense that Trump is an "imposter."

become like the Democratic Party of Athens, those who killed Socrates and are now out to obliterate any possibility of reason by wielding identity politics and the Green New Deal. They are the fascists Lyndon LaRouche so pointedly portrayed in "The New Left, Local Control, and Fascism," published in 1968.

The field is wide open for the LaRouche movement. People are repulsed by the insurrection described by the Attorney General. Americans need a program and a method of thinking that can actually solve this mess. The long-anticipated Horowitz Report is now scheduled for release on December 9. Preceding it with a highly-effective intervention during this Congressional recess—an intervention designed to prevent impeachment from getting off the ground, while showcasing the LaRouchian economic recovery that the impeachment charade is designed to prevent—is the path to a real breakthrough for the Republic.

WHAT WILL AMERICANS DO NOW?

Cover
This Week

Alonzo
Chappel,
1859, Battle of
Bunker Hill,
June 17, 1775.



2 EDITORIAL

**House Closes Out Impeachment
Charade as Members Head to Districts**
What Citizens Do Now is Critical

I. Trump's Removal Would Mean War

**5 Earth Is Being Invaded by Hordes of
Heavily Armed Veracities**

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
December 25, 2000

7 ENERGY-FLUX DENSITY CONCEPT

**Nuclear Energy Now and Fusion
Later, or It's Genocide**

by Ramasimong Phillip Tsokolibane

10 SCHILLER INSTITUTE WEBCAST

**Exposed! Those Running the Coup
Against Trump Want War with Russia!**

Featuring Helga Zepp-LaRouche

**18 New Nuclear Report Is a Lesson in
Global Inequalities**

by Paul Gallagher

II. How LaRouche Changed Lives

**20 European Conference in Memory of
Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. (1922-2019)**

Program

**The Future of Humanity as a Creative
Species in the Universe**

Panel III

**21 The Power of Reason: The Living
Legacy of Lyndon LaRouche**

by Dennis Small

26 LaRouche and Science

by Jozef Mikloško

**29 The Man Who Should Have Been
President of the United States**

by Theo Mitchell

**31 Fatherland, Nation, and State as
Seen by Progressive Catholics and
by LaRouche**

by Nino Galloni

**34 The Importance of Lyndon
LaRouche's Ideas for the Arab World**

by Hussein Askary

**39 Remembrance of the Great Humanist
Thinker Lyndon LaRouche**

by Fouad Al-Ghaffari

40 LaRouche, a Florentine Mind

by Claudio Giudici

**42 WHERE IS AMERICA HEADED?
The LaRouche Solution as the
Way Out of Chaos**

by Harley Schlanger

Panel IV

**47 The Necessity of a Classical
Renaissance for Our Youth**

by Diane Sare

**52 LaRouche and the Unity of Art and
Science**

by Antonella Banaudi

**54 TRUE FREEDOM THROUGH TRUE ART:
The Negro Spiritual's Unique
Contribution to Classical Literacy in
America**

by Elvira Green

**56 Here and There, Now and Then,
God Makes a Giant Among Men**

by Jack Stockwell

**57 Memorial Concert for
Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.**

**58 A CALL TO THE U.S. PRESIDENT
President Donald Trump: Bring
Justice to the World—Exonerate
Lyndon LaRouche!**

**59 Conference Dialogue—Statecraft,
Science and Culture**

by Marcia Merry Baker

62 NEW PAMPHLET RELEASED

**U.S. McCarthyite Attack on China is
an Attack on President Trump**

I. Trump's Removal Would Mean War

DECEMBER 25, 2000

Earth Is Being Invaded by Hordes of Heavily Armed Veracities

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The following is a never-before-published briefing, by Lyndon LaRouche to his associates on December 25, 2000, as a strategic lead for his association's daily briefing. A footnote has been added to aid the reader.

Santa Claus did not come this year, but keep your eyes peeled for action, none the less. The place you are standing is about to be bombarded by heavily armed hordes of veracities.

As the world's most successful economic forecaster of nearly a half-century, I can now reveal to you, that one way or the other, we can be certain about two things. First, the Year 2001 will see the most radical changes in more than a century, and, second, those changes will not be gradual. What those changes will be, is not yet decided. If my enemies succeed, everyone, including those enemies, will be made terribly unhappy. If you are fortunate, only a certain some people, will be terribly unhappy; all my friends and allies will be happy. Meanwhile, watch out for veracities; they are coming on fast.

I shall be a bit more specific.

About the time the top leadership of the Republican Party began to be taken by Richard Nixon's Southern Strategy, a new breed of teacher and social worker moved in with the purpose of taking over, not only our universities, but also our public schools. They came like body-snatchers out of some 1950s Hollywood science-fiction fantasy, grabbing the minds of more and more of our young. If you wish to know whether or not the child or university student staring at you is one of the victims of

those real-life body-snatchers, watch for the sure-fire warning-signs. The demon reveals his presence from the mouth of the victim, by the words, "There is no such thing as truth. There is only opinion." Sometimes "opinion" is called "information," such as the information which led the sucker to buy into the Nasdaq's collapse.

Unless there is a very radical change, that demon, speaking from the mouth of that young person, or the victim's adult controller, is your future. In that case, everyone will soon be made most extremely unhappy.

That is why the veracities are moving to invade our Earth right now. Unless they are beaten off, most people will begin to become happy.

Take the case of the so-called "new economy," as an example of what I mean.

The Disease Called 'Information'

For more than half a century, more and more dupes had been brainwashed into believing in a non-existent particle called the "information." In the early 1960s, the victims of that brainwashing took over a significant chunk of the university-student population. The victims of that brainwashing believed that physical science and technology were about to be replaced by a strange creeping horror which they named either "cybernation" or "information society."

During the late 1970s, creeps such as Newt Gingrich, Al Gore, and Alvin Toffler got together in an "information society" cult circle which called itself "The Third Wave." During the past ten years, the spread of that "Third Wave" cult played a leading role in destroy-



The demon: "There is no such thing as truth. There is only opinion."

ing much of the real economy which had still existed at the close of the 1980s. Vice-President Al Gore had made that a leading feature of his political propaganda platform, for all that did for him. This past Spring, the “Third Wave” lunatics went whole hog, and renamed “information society” the “new economy.”

Then, since the “new economy” jumped off the heights of the Wall Street skyline, it has been falling, and falling, seeming to soar, briefly, as sky-divers sometimes do, but falling, falling, and falling. It’s still on the way down, and accelerating as it goes. Finally, some people have recognized the gravity of this plunge.

There never was any truth to that “information society” bubble. There was no truth in it; it was all just opinion.

There was never any truth in the contention that either Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan, or Abby Cohen¹ are geniuses; it was just a matter of opinion, opinion as spelled “B-i-g—B-r-o-t-h-e-r.” There were two pieces of popularized lunacy behind the ugly rumor that Greenspan “is a genius.” First, people overlooked the vast financial-pumping project called “feed the Y2K monster,” which was the source of the impetus on which the Nasdaq index and similar pyramid-club-type schemes depended.

Second, the first fix of a certain drug may give a sick man a boost of energy; sooner or later, the repetition of that kind of “fix” will send the man to the morgue.

The problem was, that the body-snatchers taking over our educational systems, threatened to drug the kiddies with Ritalin or other terrible drugs, if the kiddies

1. Editor’s footnote for *EIR* publication: Abby Joseph Cohen has worked for Goldman Sachs and other major financial firms. She began her career as an economist at the Federal Reserve Board in Washington, D.C. and is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, according to her biography provided by the All American Speakers Bureau.

ever tried to bring up the word “truth” within two blocks of any school or university campus. The poor brain-washed victim of that sort of social-work counseling was drugged into accepting opinion, instead of truth.

Even today, there are many people who are brain-washed into the opinion that “free trade,” “global warming,” and “globalization” are inevitable, unstoppable. Not true, all are about as inevitable as the situation of a man falling within an empty elevator-shaft; the bottom is what is inevitable. Like the doom of the “new economy,” those kinds of mere opinion are the world’s biggest problem; the bottom for all of these trajectories is now coming up fast, very fast. Unless the veracities are able to take over soon, no one on this planet is going to be happy, for a long time to come.

And, Back Among the Bushes?

Naturally, there are now people playing the same old game with a new toy. The toy is called President-elect Bush. In just the same way people were betting the works on the “inevitability” of the “new economy,” people who are supposed to be grown-up and sane, are playing with a faddish new doll, the so-called “President-elect Bush” doll. You might suspect, that those freakish faddists are reacting to that doll, as if it were a new Nintendo line in game-toys.

Look at the eyes of many so-called seasoned politicians around Washington, D.C. these days. Al Gore’s political corpse was hardly certified by the Supreme Court morticians as cold, before these folks forget all about the month-long election crisis they just lived through. There are fixed-state eyes, and wild-eyed eyes all around the U.S. national political scene.

Just to clear your head, ask yourself one question which ought to be obvious to you all. “What if there is no policy which an incoming Bush administration would be capable of tolerating, under which the United



Public domain
Alan Greenspan



Al Gore



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

The “Third Wave” became the “Information Society” which became the “New Economy.” New suits and new faces for a dying Wall Street.

States would not more or less disintegrate, early and often?” What happens to all the “President-elect Bush doll” fetishists, then?

As of now, it is likely that no Bush administration would be capable of adopting any of the radical policy-changes on which even the short-term financial, economic, and political stability of the U.S.A. depends. If

that is the case, must we not say, that virtually none of the leading Washington wiseacres are actually playing with a full deck?

Looks like just one more incoming assault from heavily-armed veracities.

Settle in for a Happy New Year; at least, that’s what it is called, according to widely accepted opinion.

ENERGY-FLUX DENSITY CONCEPT

Nuclear Energy Now and Fusion Later, or It’s Genocide

by Ramasimong Phillip Tsokolibane, leader of LaRouche South Africa

Nov. 12—I have waited until now to comment again on our nation’s energy policy, to see whether any clarity might emerge from the government of President Cyril Ramaphosa. But I now believe that I can wait no longer, and shall here attempt to provide the leadership our present government seems unable to provide.

The late Lyndon LaRouche, who founded the movement I represent, was the world’s leading physical economist. He developed the concept that the key to economic progress, and human progress in general, was increasing the intensity of energy available to people—what he called an increase in *energy-flux density*—and increasing also its absolute amount.

Increases in *energy-flux density* make possible the development of an economy and of its physical-economic output, thereby enabling the improvement in well-being of human society.

Moving From Less to More Energy Density

That is why we must move from energy systems using less dense to more dense flows of energy, as we have done throughout history—that is, we moved from burning wood, to burning coal, to burning hydrocarbons derived from crude oil. But an increased density



CEC
Ramasimong Phillip Tsokolibane

of energy flow also requires combining the energy source, such as coal, with a technology to capture and control its energy. Instead of just burning coal in an open fire, we added a boiler to convert the heat to steam. Turbines then convert the steam to mechanical power, to drive machinery for various purposes, and with additional advances in technologies, to generate electricity, which can be widely distributed. These advances in technology for energy capture produce exponential increases in available energy-flux density.

Now we must make another upward shift to the most-dense currently available source of energy, controlled nuclear fission reactions. At any given time, we will use a mix of these energy sources and related capture and distribution technologies, of course. But in order to achieve a general improvement in the human condition, through higher rates of physical-economic production and distribution, at increasing levels of efficiency, we must increase the density and quantity of the energy we produce.

Mr. LaRouche further demonstrated the correlation of the rate of increase of energy-flux density to the rates of increase in relative potential population densities, measured over a given area of land. From this scientific understanding of the physical economy, it

should be easy to see that lowering the energy-flux density of our baseload fuels and the associated technologies, and limiting their availability, is a prescription for genocide.

Ravings of Climate-Change Hysteria Leads to Mass Murder

Efforts to substitute more expensive, but less-dense means of energy production for the more intense energy sources that are already in place, will collapse levels of physical-economic production and lead to a population holocaust at a level that would have made Hitler green with envy.

The ravings of brainwashed and delusional climate-change hysterics, with their claims of a coming global warming catastrophe—claims supported only by pseudo-science—drive the fears of the uninformed. These ravings serve as a cover for the likes of His Royal Virus Prince Philip and their blood-curdling, ghoulish desire to rid the planet of billions of “undesirables,” especially those of us with darker skins. Such policies, as with their pushing of their drugs on us, are designed to induce us to support their policy decisions that are intended to kill most of us.

As my movement has thoroughly documented in a recent [report](#), ‘CO₂ Reduction’ Is a Mass Murder Policy, this evil movement, supposedly “to stop climate change,” was created and is supported by the imperial financial oligarchy and its paymasters—paymasters such as that former helper of the Nazis, now billionaire, George Soros. Their proposals for so-called Green investments cannot save their bankrupt financial system, but they can be a hammer to force nations to adopt policies that will lower energy-flux density and thereby promote genocide, while getting their victims to pay for their own extermination through taxes and austerity.

Such pathetic dupes as Greta Thunberg, and her Lord-of-the-Flies-like children’s crusade for radical carbon reduction policies, and the fake media that promote them, are meant to intimidate the misinformed masses to support this new Green fascism. Population



Stephan Roehl

Billionaire speculator George Soros

reduction is not some monstrous mistake, but the evil intent of a decadent oligarchy that wants to preserve its power and privileges in a world of less than one billion people.

‘Green Finance’ Plan Put Aside, for Now

This brings us to the recent “Green finance” plan that the Ramaphosa government was recently considering, a plan to establish a fund of R160 billion (\$11 billion) backed both by the big banks and so-called “development finance institutions.” It would lend to Eskom, South Africa’s government-owned electricity monopoly, at favorable rates, *provided Eskom will accelerate the shutdown of coal-fired power plants in favor of more expensive and unreliable windmills and solar power.*

The “Green finance” plan, which was supposed to be President Ramaphosa’s sacrificial offering to the UN Climate Summit on September 23, was withdrawn just before the summit. Although it was written by the Eskom Sustainability Task Team appointed by the President and was [touted](#) in the international financial press, it has not been made public and its name, if it has one, has not been published.

Despite the other massive deficiencies in the government’s energy planning, the “Green finance” strychnine plan has at least been put aside, for now,



GCIS/Kopano Tlape

Cyril Ramaphosa, President of the Republic of South Africa.

thanks especially to the courage of Energy and Minerals Minister Gwede Mantashe. No one should imagine that it is now gone for good, since this comes not from South Africa, but is part of a global policy push by London and Wall Street, as shown in the [article](#), “Forget Greta: The Central Bankers Are the Real Eco-Fascists,” in the *Executive Intelligence Review* of October 4, 2019.

Such policy recommendations, based on the substitution of less-dense and unreliable energy sources (wind, solar) in place of coal and petroleum, should be rejected as both economically disastrous and unscientific, from the standpoint of LaRouche’s science of physical economy. The true intention behind these recommendations can be seen in their total rejection of nuclear energy as a “renewable” energy source (their words), even though nuclear power plants emit zero carbon dioxide, only water vapor.

This attack on South Africa is a strategic attack on all African development, the which cannot take place without South Africa playing a crucial role.

A Growing Africa Needs Much More Energy, Not Less

It is only through a vast increase in energy throughput that the development of Africa—guided by one or more large programs such as China’s Belt and Road Initiative—becomes possible. Energy is the key to everything, including the provision of limitless potable water through such means as nuclear-powered desalination. Nuclear power is the key to lifting most of Africa’s current population out of the murderous underdevelopment imposed by the global financial cabal, centered in the City of London and its Wall Street satrapy. The energy program for Africa must make use of coal, petroleum, and natural gas in clean-burning plants, and we must vastly increase the amount of power provided by building clean, safe nuclear energy, including from the small modular (pebble bed) designs coming from our own scientists and our own nuclear industry.

The Republic of South Africa has vast supplies of coal; it must use its coal wisely to generate power. We are among the few places on Earth that have large de-

posits of uranium and thorium that can be used for nuclear fuel, and we must use them wisely as well, not only for our own needs, but for all of Africa. South Africa has the largest number, per capita, of scientific and engineering cadre in Africa, who are highly regarded globally in their fields.

If the world is to defeat the anti-scientific climate change movement—and the intended genocide that its carbon reduction and anti-nuclear policies will bring—then South Africa must play a critical role in achieving that victory.

Put Eskom at the Center of Energy Development

And if the world is to move as quickly as possible to the next, higher platform of productive, economic activity by harnessing nuclear fusion—the same process



cc/Pipodesign/Philipp P Egli

Koeberg Nuclear Power station, about 30 km northwest of Cape Town, owned and operated by Eskom, in 2006.

that powers the Sun—our nation must play a crucial role in the international research and mobilization to bring that about.

I urge our government to reject the dangerously incompetent recommendations in this (or any) “Green finance” plan, and to work with our highly competent scientists and others in developing an energy program for a bright future. We must continue to resist all misguided efforts to break up, privatize, or otherwise dismantle Eskom, and instead place it where it belongs—at the center of a new energy development policy for our people and for all of Africa.

I stand ready, along with all my colleagues in the LaRouche movement, to assist in this effort. To do otherwise is to accept a new genocide, and I for one, will never do that.

Exposed! Those Running the Coup Against Trump Want War with Russia!

This is the edited transcript of the Schiller Institute's November 23, 2019 New Paradigm interview with the founder of the Schiller Institutes, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, by Harley Schlanger. A [video](#) of the webcast is available.

Harley Schlanger: Hello. My name is Harley Schlanger from the Schiller Institute. Today is Saturday, November 23, 2019. Welcome to our weekly webcast with the founder and Chairwoman of the Schiller Institute, Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

The Continuing Absurdity of the Push to Impeach Trump

As we're coming to you, the world is in the midst of turmoil. There's upheaval everywhere. We're seeing the collapse of the neo-liberal system. And at the center of this is the continuing absurdity of the push to impeach President Donald Trump, now taking place in the House Intelligence Committee, moving ahead with an impeachment even though there's been no evidence presented yet of an impeachable offense.

Helga, you've been following this: This goes from the absurd to the even more ridiculous, doesn't it?

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: It is quite amazing, because if you listen to the Democrats or the mainstream media in the U.S. and also in Europe, you would think that the impeachment of President Trump is a "done deal," that his guilt of colluding not only with Russia but now with Ukraine, bribing the Zelensky government, and that it's only a question of a very short time before Trump is out of office.

Now, that is not necessarily the course of action, because what you have right now, is two completely opposite narratives of what is going on, and what has been going on. The Democrats are on a rampage: It is unbelievable.

I did actually bother to watch to a couple of the hearings with this William B. Taylor, Jr., and George Kent. If you know what is going on, what is at stake strategically in the effort to get a war with Russia, you could not help but see that these people are absolutely pushing the idea that Russia is an evil monster, that Russia is already committing all kinds of atrocities. While in reality, there are two completely different stories:

One is that President Trump is having all of these troubles—with Russiagate, and now the Ukraine story, with the impeachment—because, in the 2016 election, he said he wanted to have a decent relationship with



George Kent, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs (left) and William B. Taylor, Jr., U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine, testifying to the House Intelligence Committee in the impeachment inquiry of President Trump.

Russia; that his initial relationship with China was very good, that he is committed and, despite all difficulties, started to end the endless wars, the interventionist wars of Bush and Obama before him; but what these people try to maintain, both in Russiagate and now in the Ukraine story, is as House Speaker Nancy Pelosi said it, that Trump is really a Putin agent! "All roads [with the President] seem to lead to Putin." Now, this is completely ridiculous.

William Taylor and Kent both portrayed the events in Ukraine, the people who actually did the Maidan coup, which was a Nazi coup, as comparable to the American Revolution! They went so far as to say that the people in east Ukraine fighting against Russians are



CC/Aimaina Hikari

Demonstrators attack police with stones and Molotov cocktails in Kiev, Ukraine on February 18, 2014.

like the Minutemen in the American Revolution or are like Baron von Steuben and the Marquis de Lafayette.

I have never heard the truth turned upside down so unbelievably much. But I think the good news about it, is that in all of this hearing—which was public now for the last week, and was a closed, Star Chamber investigation before that, led by Adam Schiff, who is the head of the Intelligence Committee in the House—they were not able to establish any proof which would provide grounds for impeachment: It’s all hearsay, it’s all just assumptions, but no actual proof that Trump did anything which would deserve his impeachment.

This is not the end of the story. Trump is very feisty; he basically said just wait for what happens in the next several weeks with the investigations of Attorney General William Barr, of U.S. Attorney John Durham, and the Department of Justice Inspector General Michael Horowitz’s report on alleged FISA Court abuse by the FBI, which is scheduled to come out on December 9. Trump said that you haven’t seen anything like it yet; this is going to be the biggest scandal in the history of the United States.

This is what’s in store and why the Democrats and the intelligence community are so absolutely hysterical. Because if all of these things come out, well, then the only ones who have been caught in wrongdoing are them. So, this is very, very fascinating—this is coming down to the wire. This is showdown time.

The Ukraine Operation

Schlanger: Helga, I think it gets to the point you were making about understanding the history of the sit-

uation, because this just didn’t happen when Trump became President. The operation with Ukraine is a longstanding one, run by the same people, including Taylor and others, Fiona Hill, to destroy the country. We got a very good [report](#) on this from Natalia Vitrenko at our November 16-17 Schiller Institute conference. [See *EIR* Vol. 46, No. 46. Nov. 22, 2019, pages 26-31.] It’s clear that Ukraine was destroyed after the fall of the Soviet Union, and then it was destroyed *again* with the Maidan coup, with these same people doing it!

If you can go through a little bit of this history, I think it would give our viewers and readers a sense of why it’s so incredible that they’re trying to present the story that they’re trying to protect Ukraine, help Ukraine, and preserve it as a buffer zone against an aggressive Russia, when in fact, they’ve actually destroyed the country.

Zepp-LaRouche: Look at the résumés of these people—Taylor, Kent, and Fiona Hill—they were all working in different capacities around the State Department. Ukraine cannot be separated from the policy levied against the members of the former Comecon, the Soviet Union. It was the intention of the Bush I and Bush II administrations then; and unfortunately not much interrupted by Bill Clinton for that matter, to apply “shock therapy.”

In 1991, Ukraine was the 10th largest economy in the world. It had a full-set industrial production capac-



CC/Oleg V. Belyakov

Production of the AN-132D, an advanced, twin-engine turboprop, by the Antonov State Company, Ukraine’s only aircraft manufacturer, was suspended on April 29, 2019.



DoS

Former Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs, Victoria Nuland and former U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine, Geoffrey Pyatt, greet Ukrainian President-elect Petro Poroshenko, June 4, 2014.

ity, including up-to-date airplane production. “Shock therapy” dismantled all of this; the living standards of people were forced down, and down, so that by 2013, when the EU pushed the EU Association Agreement with Ukraine, then President Viktor Yanukovich could not sign it, because it would have destroyed Ukraine, by opening its market up to EU goods without any borders, without tariffs, without limitations.

So, Yanukovich backed out at the end of November 2013, providing the pretext for the Maidan demonstrations. Given the fact that the living standards of the population had been so degraded, there was some legitimate social ferment.

It started first with some demonstrators, but within days—and we reported it at the time—Nazis from the Bandera tradition were brought in, and also some terrorist elements were flown in from Syria, from the Middle East—not the Syrians, but the so-called “rebels” against the Syrian government. At that point the demonstrations became more violent. And then, on Feb. 22, 2014, a coup!

Incredibly, an operation which had been kept alive

but in the dark in the postwar period by the CIA, by the BND [German foreign intelligence], was let loose. This was the Azov Battalion: Andriy Parubiy, the really hardcore people, regarding themselves openly as Nazis, using swastikas, using the symbols of Stepan Bandera. We documented this at the time. They had headquarters in Munich.

Well Known to the West

All this was very well known to the West. There is no way any Western government can say that they did not know that Nazis were brought into the Ukrainian government with President Petro Poroshenko. And you know, Victoria Nuland, is on the record saying the State Department spent \$5 billion supporting NGOs building up to what happened in the Maidan. They decided to bring in their guy “Yats,” as she said it in this infamous phone call with Geoffrey Pyatt who was the U.S. Ambassador in Kiev at the time, referring to Arseniy Yatsenyuk.

So it was absolutely known what was being made to happen, and therefore, for these State Department officials, who were first involved in the shock therapy, destroying Ukraine as an industrial nation, and then being part of the State Department-run coup that brought in this Nazi government, simply because they were known to be anti-Russian, it really takes some nerve to appear in a House witness chair and call von Steuben and Lafayette as being the same as

the these people in the Azov Battalion! This really is incredible. And I think they count on people having short memories, that people in the United States generally tend to not know much about Ukraine and other countries; so they are peddling a narrative that is the total opposite of what really happened.

I don’t know how far the historical analysis of William Barr and Rudy Giuliani and these people will go—but Giuliani was in Ukraine, he met with some of the investigators and prosecutors there, so there is at least the



Azov News

Members of the Azov Battalion pose before NATO and Nazi flags.

possibility that all of this will be unearthed, and the nature of what really happened will come out.

I can only advise you, our dear viewers and readers, we have published everything in many languages since 2014, so help us to get the true story out, because what is really at stake is the future of Ukraine. During his election campaign Zelensky promised he would try for a rapprochement with Putin, and in that way end the war in Eastern Ukraine. But, now the IMF is demanding the same kind of vicious austerity of the previous administration: more social cuts, more cuts in pensions, and increasing the retirement age, thereby creating an environment for a second Maidan. Meanwhile, he has practically the gun of the Azov Battalion to his head. Under such pressure, they expect Zelensky to either do what they want, that is, not make up with Russia, or risk being toppled. At issue here is war or peace.

Schlanger: That became clear when Fiona Hill was in the witness chair. She has worked under both Bush and Obama. How she ended up in the Trump Administration is beyond me. But she said, repeatedly, that it's "Russia, Russia, Russia!—Forget Ukraine, this is a paranoid conspiracy theory about Ukraine."

Well, we'll find out, when Barr and Durham finalize their report. But I think it's also worth noting in the case of Fiona Hill, that in attacking the Russians, she said that we know that the Russians were involved in the Russiagate, in the 2016 election—why do we know what? Because Mueller said it. Because it was supposedly proven. Once again, it was never proven!

The IMF, 'Shock Therapy,' and the Bidens

Now, Helga, the next matter I want to bring up, is sort of a segue into the next topic to discuss, is the role



CC/president.gov.ua
Ruslan Ryaboshapka, Prosecutor General of Ukraine since 2019.

of the International Monetary Fund, which was working together with people like Taylor, who was coordinating with the IMF some of the transition through shock therapy. And now we're seeing everywhere around the world, the IMF policy causing popular rebellions. The IMF was at the center of the destruction of Ukraine, wasn't it? It's also at the center of all these rebellions taking place around the world.

There is now a new prosecutor general. His name is Ruslan Ryaboshapka, and he is expanding the investigation into this firm Burisma. The founder of Burisma is Mykola Zlochevsky, who is now being accused by a prosecutor, Kostyantyn Kulyk, who is charging that the money given to Hunter Biden, the son of Joe Biden, when he was on the board of this firm Burisma, together with some other people—altogether \$16.5 million—was for what? Well, just for having the name "Biden." Ordinary people don't earn that kind of money so quickly.



Gage Skidmore
Former U.S. Vice President Joe Biden.

This case has now been brought up by two Ukrainian parliamentarians, Andriy Derkach and Oleksandr Dubinsky, who are claiming that this was money embezzled from the Ukrainian people, and that they are conducting an investigation in the Ukrainian legislature, the Verkhovna Rada.

This is very interesting, because you would think that in the middle of an impeachment proceeding against the sitting President of the United States, the media would pick up on that, and say, "Oh, there is a new angle, we have to see." But just the opposite has happened: First of all, the major media did not immediately report on this. But it

went all over the place in Twitter and social media. Then, there was an incredible campaign, including by Fiona Hill, to say these two parliamentarians are not credible; they tried to close down the websites and Twitter accounts of people who would retweet this. So, people took it off by free will to avoid being shut down completely!

Now, I would say, all this needs some more investigation. Burisma is in the middle of it, and I think we haven't seen the end of it yet, because the accusation against Trump is that he demanded from Zelensky that the wrongdoings of Biden be investigated. But if now, from Ukraine itself, it has come out that there was embezzlement of taxpayers' money, of the money of the people of Ukraine, this is a very, very big story.

Also the main oligarch, so to speak, in the background of Zelensky, Igor Kolomoisky, was a complete supporter of the Maidan coup in 2014, but now, in a recent interview by the *New York Times*, of all places, says that he is now backing Zelensky's rapprochement with Russia, because Russia is less bad than the West. So he has switched sides completely.

All I can say is, I urge you, viewers and readers of this program: Please study the history of the Maidan coup and what really happened, because it is the key to the present crisis, and it may be the turning key to completely discredit the people who are committing, first, the Russiagate, and now the Ukrainegate against Trump. And you may, before Christmas, have a completely opposite story than what the media want you to believe.

Schlanger: And just to remind our viewers and readers: Joe Biden was the point man for the Obama Administration in Ukraine, working with Nuland, the former Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs; working with green multibillionaire George Soros; working with, among others, "former" MI6 agent Christopher Steele, who also shows up very infamously, in the 2016 Russiagate story.

Hong Kong, and the Hong Kong Human Rights and Democracy Act

Concerning the Maidan-style coup in Ukraine, isn't this what's now unfolding in front of our eyes now in Hong Kong? It's getting very serious there, very dan-



CC/Studio Incendo

Hong Kong protests on the streets of Hong Kong on September 29, 2019.

gerous. What are the latest developments?

Zepp-LaRouche: Yes, I mean the violence has gotten much worse recently. The demonstrations may have become smaller, but the hard-core terrorists became more determined, so the situation is really, really bad, and now the two Houses of the U.S. Congress have voted up the "Hong Kong Human Rights and Democracy Act of 2019." If signed by President Trump, the Act will deny Hong Kong its special status, which would have severe implications for business activity, and now, since the bill passed the Senate via unanimous consent, and by 417-1 in the House, Trump is under pressure to sign it.

Now, when Trump was asked on "Fox and Friends" if he would sign the Bill, and "stand up for Hong Kong," he replied:

We have to stand with Hong Kong, but I'm also standing with President Xi. If it weren't for me, Hong Kong would have been obliterated in 14 minutes. [Xi's] got a million soldiers standing outside of Hong Kong that aren't going in only because I asked him, "Please don't do that. You'll be making a big mistake." [The Act] is going to have a tremendous negative impact on the trade deal. And he [Xi] wants to make a trade deal.

So Trump basically refused to take the side of prov-

ocations in Hong Kong.

He probably will sign, because the Bill was passed with a veto-proof majority, but he also said, he is still trying to maintain a positive relationship with Xi Jinping.

Now, the Hong Kong situation is an existential threat for China: This was expressed very clearly in *Global Times*, in which it is reported that this act goes to the core of China's interests, that what is happening now in Hong Kong is about to destroy the place completely. It could be "erased from the modern world"—using this very strong formulation—but, if this continues, the mainland has no other choice than to intervene; if the life of more people is destroyed, there is no other choice, even if it means damaging China's relationship with the United States.

Now, that is where we are at. And you can actually see that it is the same forces who made the Maidan in Kiev in 2014, who are now trying a Maidan in Hong Kong, by basically forcing the mainland to intervene; they're the same forces who did the coup in Bolivia, *and* they are the same forces who are committing the coup against President Trump.

Once you understand that, then everything falls into place and you will not fall for the propaganda against Russia, against China, against Trump. People should think: Why is it that the same media are all vilifying and demonizing all of these three different presidents, Putin, Xi Jinping, and Trump, *in the same way*?

Well, the key to it is, you have a New Paradigm emerging with China's Belt and Road Initiative, with the tremendous development around the world that has occurred over the last six years, *and* the fact that the Western system is in its final phase before the bigger crash than 2008 happens. All of this dangerous nonsense is really but a geopolitical game, to prevent that New Paradigm from coming into being.

Schlanger: I think the remarks by President Trump about why he's not so strongly pushing this Hong Kong bill, and why he wants to work with Xi Jinping, show

why it is they're trying to get rid of him.

New Pamphlet Released

Now, *EIR* has just released a 24-page [pamphlet](#), called "End the McCarthyite Witch Hunt Against China and President Trump." LaRouche PAC is reporting on the Ukraine story and the impeachment with updated webcasts and postings. This is absolutely essential material to understand what's happening.

Now, Helga, this chaos is spilling all over the world, but we see it especially now in South America. What's going on there, right now?



Courtesy of Helga Zepp-LaRouche

The contrast couldn't be greater: On the left, a man pulling a rickshaw in 1971 near Shanghai. On the right, an ultra-modern Fuxing high-speed train, arriving at the Shanghai Railway Station.



CG/MINXANL

Zepp-LaRouche: You are witnessing a mass strike ferment throughout the world—demonstrations in Pakistan, Iraq, Lebanon. On December 5, many French trade unions and the Yellow Vests will engage in mass strike actions that are scaring the Elysée, because French President Macron fears that he may be ousted because of this mass ferment. These are reports I just got from France. And Chile; then the situation in Bolivia, and Colombia, so you really have a global pattern of mass strikes.

Let me just come back to this pamphlet you just mentioned: I urge people to get this very important pamphlet.

I think one of the most important things in it is a picture I took in 1971. I'm very proud of it because I took this picture when I was visiting China that summer, in the countryside outside of Shanghai. It is of a man pulling his rickshaw piled high with lots of stuff on it, very poor, very undeveloped. And then, right next to that photo is one of a high-speed train. The Chinese are now building a maglev train between Guangzhou and Shen-

zhen, a distance of 128 km, a trip that will take less than 20 minutes.

So, if you compare these two pictures, from 1971 and now, you should get a good sense of the incredible development China has undergone in the last 40 years, and why the Chinese model of economic development is so effective, because China is sharing this experience with other developing countries, sharing space technology, and other high technology.

So, I think that our new pamphlet is something absolutely to get. It also discusses why there is such demonization of China. So please get this pamphlet. We will very soon be putting the link to it on the website, since it was not yet clear where exactly it will be published. You can also order it, and you should help us to distribute it internationally. I just wanted to say that, because the IMF is spreading misery, and causing upheavals around the world! But the Western elites are absolutely unwilling to consider that the neo-liberal policies are failing, and this is the end of the system, which is why they are so absolutely hysterical.

European Schiller Institute Conference: Day 1

Schlanger: If there are any of you out there who still have any doubts about the role of the Schiller Institute, since it was founded in 1984, as taking up these fights and being at the center of these fights, I would urge you to look at the videos of the [conference](#) just held by the Schiller Institute in Bad Soden, Germany.

Helga, this conference was especially dedicated to the life work of your husband, Lyndon LaRouche. And as one who attended and addressed it, seeing these videos of Lyn from the 1970s, the '80s, the '90s, and putting that power of his ideas against the crisis today, one cannot but help but be struck by how fresh those ideas from 50 years ago were; they're still not only valid today, but will be so for another 50 years.

I'd like you to say a few words about this conference, because to me it was an extraordinary event, with people from all over the world, who left with a sense of optimism, in spite of this crisis we've been talking about today.



EIRNS/Chris Lewis

Watching a video clip from a webcast address by Lyndon LaRouche, during Panel I of the Schiller Institute's conference in Bad Soden, Germany, on November 16, 2019.

Zepp-LaRouche: Yes. This conference, which was one of the memorials we are doing for my late husband, Lyndon LaRouche, fulfilled its mission to be on the highest level. I talked with several participants, and the response was almost the same, in principle, by many, who always told me, "This was *so* much more than I expected."

I think it's really true.

In the first day of our conference [reported in *EIR* Vol. 46, No. 46, Nov. 22, 2019] we had an important strategic discussion, which featured Natalia Vitrenko from Ukraine; a very high-ranking Russian from the Far East Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences; a high-ranking Chinese diplomat; Italian professors; and people from several other countries. We discussed the New Silk Road, and the development of the Balkans.

We had a very important panel on science: Will Europe be able to play a role in the future of science, given the fact that the EU is blocking every real breakthrough? And then it was very beautiful that two younger members of our association represented the so-called "Basement." This was the science project of Lyndon LaRouche, the idea that you have to reestablish the fundamental breakthroughs in the history of science, in order to define the next necessary breakthroughs in space and fusion technology. Megan Beets and Jason Ross presented the methodological approach of Lyndon LaRouche.

And if you want to know the secret of why Lyndon LaRouche was such an extremely successful forecaster, which many people commented on, on different as-

pects, where he made a prognosis which was absolutely on the mark, it is in this scientific method. So if you want to also become a good forecaster, there is actually a way you can find out about it: Go to the Schiller Institute [website](#) and look at the proceedings of this conference.

Naturally, we had a *beautiful* concert, which was, as many people in the audience exclaimed, “World class.” We had Antonella Banaudi presenting some songs from the Schumann cycle, *Frauen-Liebe und Leben*. Then we had the Schiller Chorus presenting Schubert’s Mass in G Major. As a clearly crowning event, Carlo Levi-Minzi’s playing of Beethoven’s Sonata in E Minor and Schubert’s Fantasy in C Major (the “Wanderer Fantasy”) was absolutely breathtaking. People were completely floored by the quality of the entire concert, in honor of Lyndon LaRouche.

European Schiller Institute Conference: Day 2

The next day, we had several, absolutely delightful presentations by important people who knew Lyn: One of them (Claudio Giudici) being the chairman of the Italian taxi trade union; another, a former State Senator from South Carolina (Theo Mitchell), who absolutely was in the fight for the exoneration of my husband since he came out of jail in 1994. Theo Mitchell is one of the outstanding Afro-Americans. When the true history of the United States is written, if it will ever be written in what really counts, he will be an outstanding person in this history.

Jozef Mikloško, a former Deputy Vice-Prime Minister for Human Rights of Czechoslovakia, who put in *a lot* of effort to free my husband from jail. He recounted how he met Lyn 17 times; and then many other people. So the picture was really, very elevated. We received a short video message from Jack Stockwell, the radio talkshow host on whose program Lyn was on live that morning when the two jets struck the World Trade Towers in New York City on September 11, 2001, who reported not only that Lyn identified where to look for who was behind the attack. Stockwell also said how Lyndon LaRouche changed his life. That was a recurring theme: Many people reported what an incredible impact Lyndon LaRouche had on their lives.

The conference ended very beautifully with a panel on Classical art, which included Diane Sare, the head of our Manhattan chorus project in New York; Antonella Banaudi, who spoke about what she learned from LaRouche on the science of music; and Elvira

Green, who sang mezzo-soprano with the Metropolitan Opera in New York City for 30 years, and who spoke about the unique musical contribution of the United States with the Classical spirituals. A very lively discussion ensued about why art has to be beautiful and why a cultural Renaissance right now is the most important element in the transformation in world history we are shaping.

Everyone came out of this conference completely strengthened, as you said, optimistic, because it reaffirmed the method with which to think. Despite the fact it was a memorial for my husband, naturally a sad event as such, he was alive in spirit and people came out of this conference completely strengthened. And it ended with a resolution, an appeal for the exoneration of my husband.

I really want you to join our movement. Don’t be passive! This is a moment to be active every day, because every day history is changing, and you have to be an active part to be happy. If you’re just watching things, then you get frightened and you think, “Oh, this world is spinning completely out of control.” But if you act together with other people in the effort to give history a positive direction, it makes everything so much easier. So, join us, call us, get involved with us, and let’s talk again very soon.

Schlanger: Just to put a footnote on the final point you made, Helga, seeing these videos of Lyn made clear, that the proper way to fight is through seeking happiness and through love of humanity—not anger, not bitterness. That gives you the toughness. I think that came through in the conference. And Helga, your role in it was extremely important. Again, go to the Schiller Institute website, [schillerinstitute.com](#), where all four panels are now posted.

Helga, anything else you want to say?

Zepp-LaRouche: Well, I think it’s important to have a vision for the future, and base yourself on the best traditions of what humanity has produced so far. And then, you are confident that we can, indeed, create a new era of civilization, and that is very much worth fighting for.

Schlanger: Till next week, Helga, thank you very much, and we’ll see you again.

Zepp-LaRouche: Till next week.

New Nuclear Report Is a Lesson in Global Inequalities

by Paul Gallagher

Nov. 11—The World Nuclear Association published its annual “World Nuclear Performance [Report](#)” in October on the ongoing construction, connection and shut-down of civilian nuclear power reactors around the world.

Taken on a global basis, the report does not show much progress for nuclear power capacity in 2018. But in total electric power generated by nuclear reactors, there was a big jump in three Asian countries, China, Japan, and South Korea. The report, together with other information on electric power performance around the world, demonstrates a huge global gap between the quality and reliability of nuclear power, and that of wind and solar—which now account for most of the electric power capacity being added in the world under the deindustrialization and depopulation policies of “climate finance.”

Nine new reactors were completed and connected to grids worldwide in 2018—about a third of the international nuclear industry’s capacity to build them. Five reactors were taken off-grid in Europe, the United States and Japan, however, so the net worldwide gain was just four reactors and 4,000 megawatts (MW) of electric power. These represented increases of just 1% in each case. Construction was *started* on only five nuclear power plants during 2018, making a total of 55 under some stage of construction.

But the *amount of power generated* by the world’s nuclear reactors grew by 9% in 2018 to 2.6 billion MW hours for the year. In Asia that power generation growth was a full 12% for the year. Why? Because if you want to bring electricity to people and make their economies grow, nuclear power works far better than wind and solar—and so does coal power.

In fact, as of 2018, nuclear reactors represented just one-seventeenth of the world’s electricity capacity of 7 million MW; but they generated one-eighth of the elec-

tricity used by the world’s population in that year, which was 21 billion MW hours of power. Nuclear reactors pulled more than double their weight compared to the world average performance of an electric power source.

The Productivity of Power

The reason is clear: Most of the power capacity being added to electricity grids around the world is wind and solar. Environmental lobbyists and solar producers brag that this means these throwback technologies are no longer throwbacks, but have taken great



Sanmen Nuclear Power Station, located in Zhejiang, China.

CC

leaps in efficiency, lowered cost, etc. However, the facts, up to 2018, are otherwise. Total solar power *capacity* reached 450,000 GW in 2018, but this capacity *generated* just 500 million MW-hours of electricity use. Thus, with solar, about 6% of the world’s installed capacity in 2018 generated just 2% of world electricity use. With nuclear, as we noted above, about 6% of the world’s installed capacity generated 12% of world electricity use. With wind power, 8% of the world’s installed capacity generated just 4.4% of electricity use.

That means that most of what is being added to the world population’s electric power sources each year, is essentially “junk power” compared to far more efficient, reliable and energy-dense nuclear power. The “junk power” continues to be added in larger and larger

amounts because of the growing influence of environmental fraud on governments and businesses, and through the domination of considerations of immediate capital cost, disregarding the quality and productivity of power, its useful lifetime, land use, etc.

Nuclear plants operate with normal periodic maintenance for 50 years, three to four times as long as wind turbines or solar panels, before incurring the capital cost of replacement. Moreover, solar farms need 15 times the land area nuclear plants need for the same capacity, and 50 times the area needed by nuclear to generate the same amount of power; wind farms need 150 times the area for the same capacity, and 300 times as much area for the same [generation](#).

So, for a given area of land dedicated to generation of electricity, nuclear power has *hundreds of times greater energy density and power density* than these forms of “junk power.” Electricity per capita and per area of land is the most important underpinning of economic development. Nuclear is the highest quality and most productive form of electricity generation available so far; more advanced fission reactors and, above all, fusion power reactors will surpass existing fission technologies.

Thus it is a travesty that the prefecture of Fukushima in Japan, where a nuclear reactor was sent into a partial meltdown by an earthquake and deadly tsunami in 2011—the tsunami killed 25,000 people, the nuclear meltdown, none—is planning to build ten solar arrays and 11 wind turbines on the area around the remaining undamaged reactor. The reactor, which is shut down and will remain so, has a capacity of 1,200 MW electric, and would generate ten times as much electrical power for the residents of surrounding areas, as the planned wind and solar junk.

China Leading

In Asia, three countries dominate the growth of nuclear power, and also lead the world in this. China outpaced the world in connecting new reactors, adding seven reactors in 2018 with 8,300 MW new capacity to its grid, and *nuclear electricity generation rose 19%* in that one year, to 275 million megawatt hours.

Nuclear electricity generation in China in 2018 was 4.5 times the level it was during the financial crash year 2008, the point at which China began to issue new *productive* credit through its large state commercial banks

at a record rate, and since which time it has driven the world’s economic growth, according to UN and IMF reports.

India and Pakistan are the other countries with rapid growth in power generation by nuclear reactors—nearly tripled since 2008 in India; and quadrupled in Pakistan—although these countries have an order of magnitude less installed nuclear capacity than does China.

In China, the comparison between nuclear power efficiency and that of wind and solar is crystal clear. All three forms of electricity are widely deployed there. On average, each kilowatt of installed nuclear capacity generated six megawatt hours of electricity during 2014, compared to four megawatt hours for coal and three megawatt hours for hydroelectric power; by contrast, in the same year, each kilowatt of installed solar power generated, on average, just one-third of a megawatt hour!

So, before taking account of the large land areas solar and wind farms take up, nuclear reactors in China in 2014 were 18 times as productive as solar farms;



USAF

A 14 MW solar photovoltaic array at Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada.

coal-fired reactors 12 times as productive.

The sabotage of nuclear reactor construction, with hyper-regulation and environmentalist blocking actions causing huge delays and added costs outside a few Asian countries, has created a loss of capacity which is a tragedy for underdeveloped countries’ populations. The overall pace of connection of nuclear reactors is negligible compared to the estimated 10 million MW of new power needed worldwide, to close the fatal gaps in electricity use per capita which shorten lives, and cause unnecessary deaths, of many millions. If this continues, and the “climate finance” campaign of Michael Bloomberg, Mark Carney and the like to shut down coal-fired power production succeeds, the result will be genocidal.

II. How LaRouche Changed Lives

Schiller Institute Conference
November 16-17, 2019

The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe

Saturday, November 16

Panel 1: Time of Strategic Upheaval: Will Europe Be Able to Help Shape the New Paradigm?

We Can Shape a New Era of Mankind!

Helga Zepp-LaRouche, *Chairwoman of the Schiller Institute*

The Potential of the New Silk Road for Europe

Wang Weidong, *Minister Counselor, Director of the Commerce and Trade Department at the Chinese Embassy in Germany*

LaRouche's Science of Physical Economy as the Key to Solving the Problems of the World, Eurasia, and Ukraine

Dr. Natalia Vitrenko, *economist, Leader of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine, Former Member of Parliament, Ukraine*

Russia in the Chinese "One Belt One Road" Initiative: Possibilities and Prospects

Professor Andrei Ostrovskii, *Deputy Director of the Institute of Far Eastern Studies of the Russian Academy of Sciences*

The Italy-Tunisia and Italy-Albania Bridges:

Connecting the Belt and Road Corridors

Prof. Enzo Siviero, *Director, eCampus University, Italy; Vice President, Réseau Méditerranéen des Écoles d'Ingénieurs*

Developing Relations between Greece and the Belt & Road

Leonidas Chrysanthopoulos, *Ambassador ad honorem, Greece, former Secretary General of the Organization of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation*

Pragmatism Against Ideologies

Col. Alain Corvez, *ret., Consultant in international strategy, France*

Panel 2: The Fundamental Scientific Issues of the Future and the New Space Silk Road

Can Europe Play a Key Role in Science?

Jacques Cheminade, *President of Solidarité et Progrès, former Presidential Candidate, France*

The Moon Village: Next Step Toward a New Era for Mankind

Sébastien Drochon, *Space Policy Director, French Schiller Institute*

LaRouche's Discoveries: Educating a New Generation

Megan Beets and Jason Ross, *LaRouche science team*

In Defense of African Sovereignty

Henda Diogène Senny, *President of the Pan-African League - Umoja*

CLASSICAL CONCERT

A Tribute to Lyndon LaRouche

Works of Beethoven, Schubert, and Schumann

Sunday, November 17

Panel 3: Who is Lyndon LaRouche?

The Power of Reason: The Living Legacy of Lyndon LaRouche

Dennis Small, *Ibero-America Coordinator, Schiller Institute*

LaRouche and Science

Josef Mikloško, *Former Deputy Prime Minister of Czechoslovakia*

The Man Who Should Have Been President of the United States

Theo Mitchell, *former South Carolina State Senator, USA*

Fatherland, Nation and State as Seen by Progressive Catholics and by Lyndon LaRouche

Nino Galloni, *former Director General of the Budget and Labor Ministries, Italy*

The Importance of Lyndon LaRouche's Ideas for the Arab World

Hussein Askary, *Southwest Asia Coordinator for the Schiller Institute*

LaRouche, a 'Florentine' Mind

Claudio Giudici, *Chairman, Uritaxi (National Taxi Trade-Union), Florence, Italy*

Where Is America Headed? The LaRouche Solution as the Way Out of Chaos

Harley Schlanger, *former spokesman for Lyndon LaRouche; Board Member of the Schiller Institute*

Panel 4: Beauty and Classical Art as Mankind's Vocation: The Cultural Silk Road

The Necessity of a Classical Renaissance for the Youth of the World

Diane Sare, *Director of the Manhattan Chorus Project*

LaRouche and the Unity of Art and Science

Antonella Banaudi, *Soprano and singing teacher; expert on the Verdi tuning*

True Freedom Through True Art: The Negro Spiritual's Unique Contribution to Classical Literacy in America

Elvira Green, *Mezzo-soprano, 30-year member of the Metropolitan Opera; founder of the Spiritual Renaissance Singers of Greensboro, North Carolina, USA*

Panels 3 and 4 are published in this issue; panels 1 and 2 appeared in the previous issue of *EIR*, November 22, 2019.

Who is Lyndon LaRouche?

The Power of Reason: The Living Legacy of Lyndon LaRouche

by Dennis Small

Mr. Small is Coordinator for Latin America of the Schiller Institute. We present here his edited remarks as prepared for presentation on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute Conference, "The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe," in Bad Soden, Germany. Links and subheads have been added.



Dennis Small

Johanna Clerc

Earlier this year, on the 30th anniversary of Lyndon LaRouche going to jail on January 27, 1989 to serve five years of a 15-year sentence for crimes he never committed—and which the British and Bush administration forces that threw him in prison knew perfectly well he never committed—the LaRouche organization internationally relaunched our long-standing demand that LaRouche must be exonerated.

When Lyn passed away less than three weeks later, on February 12, 2019, and we decided to redouble our efforts for exoneration, even some among our friends and supporters told us sympathetically: "It's true LaRouche was treated unjustly, but that's now water under the bridge. It's time to move on and focus your energies on solving the problems the world faces—the global economic crisis; the perpetual wars and danger of thermonuclear confrontation; and the moral and cultural crisis facing our youth, including drugs."

LaRouche's Exoneration Is the Central Strategic Issue of Our Time

Those well-meaning people could not be more wrong. The exoneration of Lyndon LaRouche is the central strategic issue of our time.

In the memorable words of his appeal lawyer and former U.S. Attorney General, Ramsey Clark:

[The LaRouche case involved] a broader range of

deliberate and systematic misconduct and abuse of power over a longer period of time in an effort to destroy a political movement and leader, than any other federal prosecution in my time or to my knowledge.

It is also the case that a number of LaRouche's associates were sentenced to prison terms ranging up to 77 years, in a series of related federal and state prosecutions.

But the greatest travesty of justice was the one done against the peoples and the nations of the world, and against the very concept of Man which has been the central commitment of LaRouche's life's work. In his allocution before Judge Albert Bryan prior to sentencing on Jan. 27, 1989, LaRouche warned:

This group of trials by shotgun methods [is an] attempt to eliminate me from the political scene. This has already done great damage to the United States. The time has come that this evil and reckless prosecution be brought to a halt before much greater damage is done to our United States.

That damage, however, was done, depriving the American people of their most illustrious statesman and economist, the adoption of whose policies over the last 50 years would have made the world an entirely different place than it is today. It is that injustice which must now be undone if the planet is to survive. Justice for the man means justice for his ideas.

Today, I hope to give you a sense of both by showing you brief excerpts of LaRouche's last public speech before going to jail, and of his first speech upon release, five years later. Think of the Mind that could produce

those two “bookends.”

So, what was LaRouche’s crime? He proved Satan wrong. Let me explain.

In 1998, LaRouche published an [autobiography](#) titled, *The Power of Reason*—not *The Power of Money*, or *The Power of the Media*, and certainly not *The Power of Positive Thinking*. The very first words of the Author’s Foreword to that book explain:

During the course of the past nearly twenty years, I have become perhaps the most controversial among the influential international figures of this decade. Unlike all of the other leading candidates for the U.S. presidency since 1945, I am an influential original thinker.

LaRouche proved that true knowledge does not derive from sense perception, but rather from Man’s Mind. Man’s creative powers are the most powerful force for Good in the universe, and they find their expression in his unique ability to endlessly increase his Potential Relative Population-Density. As LaRouche himself summarized this central discovery, in a November 21, 1993 [essay](#), “On LaRouche’s Discovery”:

The central feature of my original contribution to the Leibniz science of physical economy, is the provision of a method for addressing the causal relationship between, on the one side, individuals’ contributions to axiomatically revolutionary advances in scientific and analogous forms of knowledge, and, on the other side, consequent increases in the potential population-density of corresponding societies.

Doing the Good

Doing the Good, for Lyn, was identical with rigorous scientific truth. The same creativity which “bends the stars like reeds,” as he once wrote, endows Man with free will and thus morality, which finds no greater happiness than in doing the Good. Everyone who knew Lyn knows that he had an abiding love of truth, love of humanity, love of doing the Good . . . and love of a good fight.

In his final public [speech](#) before his December 16, 1988 conviction, delivered scarcely one week earlier to a Food for Peace conference in Chicago, LaRouche was unswerving:

Lyndon LaRouche [video]: There is no part of society, no constituency, which does not have the

same interest. That is, the people of no nation has any different interest than that of any other nation in this matter. We are speaking of the future of hundreds of billions of unborn souls, without whose success our lives mean nothing. That is the common interest which unites each and every one of us, such that there is no distinction among any of us on this issue, on this cause, on this interest.

If we fight so, if we fight with love of humanity by thinking especially of those hundreds of billions of souls waiting to be born, and thinking also of those whose martyrdom and other sacrifice gave us what was our potential and our debt to them, respecting what we pass on to the future; and if we think of our lives, not as something lived from moment to moment, but as a very small piece of experience, with a beginning and, not too much later, an end; and think of our lives not as things which are lived for pleasure in and of themselves, but as an opportunity to fulfill a purpose—a purpose which is reflected in what we bequeath to those hundreds of billions of souls waiting to be born, in their condition.

Such that if we at any point were to cut short our mortal life by spending it in such a way which insured the cause of those hundreds of billions of souls yet to be born, we could walk to death with joy, because we had completed our life, fulfilled it. We might have been denied the chance of fulfilling it a little bit more, but nonetheless we had fulfilled it. . . .

We are each little; we are each individual. But if we know we are united to this effect, then we know that what each of us as an individual does in this united way will be caused to prosper. Thus, in this terrible moment of humanity, when civilization as we have known it for hundreds of years threatens to be removed from us in the coming 2-10 years or so, we have the risk of losing civilization; but we also have the possibility of a heroic solution to this crisis; of becoming generations which, in our time, faced with the cup of Gethsemane, accepted it and thus perpetuated, in the imitation of Christ, the cause of the salvation of future souls.

The Quintessential American

In this commitment, Lyndon LaRouche was the quintessential American. I will prove that to you, by citing the words and thinking of that other quintessen-

tial American, the philosophical founder of our Constitutional republic, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz. In his 1702 “Meditation on the Common Concept of Justice,” Leibniz wrote:

“Justice is goodness conformed to wisdom. . . . And wisdom, in my sense, is nothing else than the science of felicity”—not the hedonistic pleasure-seeking that the likes of Hobbes and Bentham had promoted.

Leibniz further wrote, “One must shun the pleasures of the senses, as one shuns a stranger, or sooner, a flattering enemy. . . . Thus the sovereign wisdom has so well regulated all things that our duty must also be our happiness.” And true happiness is “the exercise of our will to act always according to our understanding . . . and the advancement of the common good. . . . For only so much of our life is to be valued as truly living, as the good we do in it.”

This Leibnizian outlook permeated the thinking of the Founding Fathers of the United States, including Cotton Mather, the most prolific intellectual figure in colonial America whose most gifted protégé was none other than Benjamin Franklin. Mather wrote in his 1710 [book](#), *Essays to Do Good*:

It is an invaluable honor, to do Good; it is an incomparable pleasure. A man must look upon himself as dignified and gratified by God, when an opportunity to do Good is put into his hands. He must embrace it with rapture, as enabling him to answer the great End of his being.

Like Leibniz, Mather was emphatic in his assault against hedonism:

Rulers who make no use of their higher station, than to swagger over their neighbors, and command their obsequious flatteries, and enrich themselves with the spoils of which they are able to pillage them, and then wallow in sensual and brutal pleasures; these are the basest of men.

The Pursuit of Happiness

Thus, the U.S. Declaration of Independence’s Leibnizian invocation of “Life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness”—not “Life, liberty and the pursuit of as much sensual and brutal pleasure as I can cram into my meaningless existence.”

Compare this truly human outlook to the bestial identity of man violently promoted by the British Empire and its exponents such as the Aristotelean Thomas

Hobbes, who in his 1651 [book](#), *Leviathan* wrote:

There is no conception in a man’s mind which has not at first, totally or by parts, been begotten upon the organs of sense. . . . To this war of every man against every man, this also is consequent: that nothing can be unjust. The notions of right and wrong, justice and injustice, have there no place.

And that in turn led Hobbes to his overt defense of evil:

Private appetite is the measure of good and evil. . . . Felicity is a continual progress of the desire from one object to another.

So if Man is thus defined by his senses and his bestial appetites, then he can easily be turned into a slave, Hobbes argued, by offering him sufficient pleasure (or money) and promising to minimize his pain. You may be familiar with an earlier formulation of this same view: “Every man has his price.”

Who is the author of that gem? Satan. But Satan, you see, is wrong.

To understand why the exoneration of Lyndon LaRouche is the overriding strategic issue of our time, consider where the world would be, had LaRouche’s policies been adopted. Let’s limit our attention to two policy areas.

First, “the Leibniz science of physical economy.” Hundreds of millions of people who have died or been ravaged by extreme poverty and disease over recent decades would today be alive, prospering, and contributing to Mankind’s development, had LaRouche’s financial and economic reforms—his Four Laws—been implemented when he first proposed them back in the 1970s. Consider what has happened with world poverty since 1981.

Over the last 37 years, China has lifted some 850 million people out of poverty, according to World Bank figures. That is more than 10% of the entire human race that is now in a position to make a valuable contribution to mankind’s productivity and help shape its future. In the rest of the world, there are still over 600 million people living in extreme poverty. China went from having 46% of the world’s poor people in 1981, to 5% in 2017, to essentially 0% today.


Not only has poverty been wiped out in China, but the population is large and growing, and its life expectancy has increased over the last five decades from 44 years to 76 years.

Add to that the overall rise in educational and scien-

FIGURE 1

Extreme Poverty: China and World

(millions, % of total)



tific capabilities of that growing population, as reflected in parameters such as a rising literacy rate that has far outstripped the world average, and a clearer picture emerges of a nation with the planet’s most rapidly rising Potential Relative Population-Density. That, and not GDP, is the true metric of physical economic potential, as Lyndon LaRouche repeatedly demonstrated.

If China Can Raise Itself Up, Why Can’t We?

So, if China can do it, why not we? China’s economic policies are essentially those of Leibniz, Mather, Hamilton and LaRouche—with Chinese characteristics. Instead, in the trans-Atlantic sector we have tolerated the imposition of genocidal IMF policies on developing sector nations; of Malthusian “green” policies; and of endless bailouts of the \$1.5 quadrillion speculative financial bubble which now threatens to blow out the entire international financial system.

LaRouche not only forecast the breakdown crisis of the trans-Atlantic system like no other economist; he also provided detailed programmatic studies for every region of the planet, with the policies required to launch a scientific renaissance.

A second policy area: cooperation among the U.S. and other great powers to push forward the frontiers of Man’s scientific knowledge, with fusion-powered space exploration and colonization. LaRouche’s SDI, adopted as policy by President Ronald Reagan in 1983, was a detailed proposal to put an end to the era of geopolitics, perennial wars and threatened thermonuclear annihilation, by initiating cooperation between the United States and the Soviet Union on new physical principles for missile defense and broader civilian applications.

FIGURE 2

China: Infant Mortality

(per 1,000 live births)





FIGURE 3

China: Life Expectancy

(years)



Because LaRouche’s Policies Were Not Adopted

Because that policy was defeated by the British in the mid-1980s, the planet has remained in the clutches of British Hobbesian policies of manipulated “war of each against all,” with millions upon millions of lives wrecked by war, forced migration, terrorism, and the like—especially in Southwest Asia and Africa.


Had LaRouche’s policy been adopted, Mankind today would be well into the fusion era, and we would probably already have the first human colonies on Mars. Youth would not be facing a future of drugs, counter-cultural decay, and the pursuit of ever-more-degrading pleasures *du jour*—courtesy of Satan and his internet—but they would be training as astronauts, engineers, and classical musicians. They would be thinking of how to do Good with their lives.

In short, the exoneration of Lyndon LaRouche, of his ideas, will have a revolutionary effect on Mankind’s future, equivalent to the impact that the reintroduction

FIGURE 4

China: Literacy

(% adults)



of Plato to Europe had on the Golden Renaissance, as Helga Zepp-LaRouche has emphasized.

The LaRouche Legacy Foundation

It is with that in mind, that we have established the LaRouche Legacy Foundation, which will begin publishing LaRouche’s collected works and preparing a digital archive of his life’s work. The mission of the Foundation is to help bequeath to future generations the living legacy of Lyndon LaRouche:

[to] further the scientific and literary work of the American physical economist, Lyndon LaRouche, Jr., help achieve international familiarity with his work and further his scientific advances ... for the purpose of furthering an understanding of and appreciation for physical economy, physical science, historiography, the role of classical culture in nurturing and enhancing human creativity, and other related fields.

LaRouche on the Power of Creative Reason

Let us close by listening to Lyn himself discussing the power of Reason. This is from the first [speech](#) he delivered after being released from prison, at a Schiller Institute conference in the United States on Feb. 19, 1994:

Lyndon LaRouche [audio]: Freedom and economic development are interdependent. They are the same thing. What is economic development? Is it having something? Is it a cargo cult? Economic development is utilizing the principle of the human mind. Remember, all of this business about humanity, his force and so forth: the only power that humanity has lies within that which

makes man individually in the image of the Creator: the power of creative reason. The only thing that differentiates man in science from an animal, is reason: the power to make scientific and artistic discoveries; to develop man’s behavior through these conceptions, these discoveries. The only power and the greatest power in the temporal universe is the power of ideas—not formulas, not recipes, not force, but ideas.

The only reason the human race has survived what it has survived: ideas. That’s the force we use. The power of economy lies entirely in ideas, in scientific and related discoveries which give man increased power over nature. There is no possibility of development without the expression of the freedom to generate, to transmit, to assimilate and to practice better ideas. . . .

Development and freedom are the same thing, because they both involve the freedom of ideas for change. Not libertarianism, not the right to change your sex ten times a day, but the right to use your mind to develop, assimilate and apply different choices of ideas to improve human practice, morally and physically. That is what economic development is—which requires infrastructure. You’re not going to develop a desert by sitting there and having ideas—you must have water. You must therefore use reason to get yourself water. You require power; you must have power; you must have sanitation; you must have all of these other things. So you apply the power of reason with ideas. . . .

What happens then, as in this situation in South America and elsewhere, and in the United States, and in the British Isles, and on the continent of Europe, and in Russia, and in Eastern Europe, and in China and elsewhere soon tomorrow—that around this world people are faced with the reality that what has happened cannot continue. And they are looking and will be looking for the ideas. And there are people I know throughout black Africa who are very well aware of what we are doing. And who would respond, who have tried to respond in the past, but they are terrified—and my being in prison didn’t give them any courage either. Because they said: Look at that fellow. He’s the only fellow in the United States who is for this, and they stuck him in prison. He’s finished! There’s no one to turn to, they said.

Well I’m free. I may be dead tomorrow, but I’m free today. We know how to win, and we shall

win. But we do not have the almighty power to decree when victory will occur. We must do what we should do to bring about victory. And have confidence that the opportunity will be presented to us—we will have the opportunity. Let us be

prepared, let us be persistent, let us work through frustrations and defeats. Let nothing deter us. We will fight, and we will fight until we win. Because that is our duty, and that is our hope, and that will become our victory, whether we live to see it or not.

LaRouche and Science

Jozef Mikloško

Dr. Mikloško is the former Vice Prime Minister of post-communist Czechoslovakia before it divided into Czechia and Slovakia. We present here an edited translation of his remarks presented on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute conference, “The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe,” in Bad Soden, Germany. Subheads have been added.



Johanna Clerc

Jozef Mikloško

Thank you—you’re clapping, and you don’t even know what I’m going to say. It is too early!

Thank you very much. Dear Helga Zepp-LaRouche, dear friends, ladies and gentlemen. It has already been said that I am Slovak. First, a minute about our situation. Today it is exactly thirty years after our “velvet revolution” in the former Czechoslovakia, on November 17—our national holiday. At that time a tranquil snow fell and released us from 40 years of dirty communism. At that time, Marxism-Leninism disappeared from our history. That was the best day in my life. That’s why I have to speak at a party in Bratislava this afternoon, so I have to leave a little earlier.

We in Slovakia have many problems, like all states in Europe, but we are free; that is very important, we are free, free people. We can organize our lives according to our own ideas, we can speak freely, write, publish, do business, travel.

I was President of the Slovak Christian Seniors for twelve years; three days ago I passed that on to someone else. Our pensioners have on average a 430 Euro pension. We do not complain, we live, and we are free.

LaRouche, An American Sakharov

Lyndon LaRouche was an American Sakharov: politician, economist, mathematician, writer, and musicol-

ogist. He wrote dozens of books and thousands of articles. He was a generator of new ideas, a fighter for the salvation of Christianity, the family, and life.

I met him 17 times—in America, in Germany, three times in Rome, where I was an ambassador. He said that the United States and Europe lack vision. It’s not only about money and the economy, but about spiritual and moral values. In my book, *Velmi prisne tajné—Ako sme boli slobodní (Very Top Secret—As We Were Free, 1999)*, I dedicated more than 90 pages with 20

photos to Lyndon LaRouche and his institute, which I later handed over to Ms. Helga, along with other materials I wrote about this great personality.

His views have triggered great criticism and slander, especially in the United States, and also in Germany. His movement was severely persecuted, especially in America. I recall October 6, 1986, when the then government tried to shut down the movement’s Leesburg headquarters, with 400 police officers, and to arrest Lyn. This was followed by a political trial and absolutely unjustified punishment. When we were beginning to carry out our biggest revolution against communism, he was sentenced to 15 years on December 16, 1989, and five of his colleagues were sentenced to a combined 209 years in prison. Mike Billington was the record holder, by the length of his sentence, then.

There were so many irregularities in the trial of LaRouche and his colleagues at the end of the 1980s, that the international community often protested that it was unfair and politically motivated.

I became acquainted with his case in 1990, when I served as Vice Prime Minister of the Government of Czechoslovakia for Human Rights. I wrote several letters of protest to the highest officials in America, along

with former politicians in several countries. I am proud that I played a small role in the liberation of Lyn in 1994, five years after his conviction, and later for the rest of the “Virginia Five.” [Applause.] I visited them all in prison, and I wrote about it, in this book.

In September of 1995, I attended a hearing in Washington where the case was presented to an international tribunal of prominent judges and lawyers, including Ramsey Clark, former Justice Minister of the Johnson Administration. The commission concluded that there had been a great deal of abuse in investigations and prosecution in this case, which aimed at condemning and eliminating LaRouche and his movement.

When Lyn celebrated his 75th birthday, September 8, 1997, we hosted a gala program with prominent singers, musicians and politicians in Washington. That’s a little self-praise, but then I was the master of the ceremonies. I have never seen such stars of the Metropolitan Opera in my life, and together with them I sang Glory, Glory Hallelujah. It was a fantastic concert, and a fantastic time; I have many photos of it. My grandchildren always admire me.

I have 13 grandchildren and five great-grandchildren, and a wife with whom I have spent 55 years. And as we celebrated that, my wife said, “Thank you! 55—but you have not been home for five years!” (Excuse me—that does not fit here.)

LaRouche’s Moral Principles in Economic Development Policy

In the political program, Lyndon LaRouche did not mince words. The economy should produce, not speculate. Politics and the economy must be bound by moral principles.

He also came up with the idea of projects for the development of Europe, Asia, and Africa; debt relief for Latin America; and projects for the development of Eastern Europe. His proposals for the reform of the financial system were an alternative. He predicted the crisis, especially the malignant effect of derivative speculation. Today you print fiat money in the U.S. and in Europe; this is now called “quantitative easing.” That’s terrible, they print and print and print helicopter money. They spend 60 billion a month free of charge for the states of Europe. This reduces the interest and pumps the money into the bad old central bank system, which saves the bad banks. The financial system is separate from the productive economy.

When I left, I phoned our first Prime Minister, Jan Čarnogurský, and told him that I was coming here. And he said: “Say hello to Helga and all the people who are

there”—he is unfortunately in a different place today—“but tell them, that all the prophecies of Lyn for Europe since 1986 have come true.” The Prime Minister of Slovakia said this, and I’m proud of it.

On the 30th of November we always have a meeting of Czechs, Moravians, and Slovaks in Brno in Moravia, all Christian politicians of that time. We will talk about it there as well.

Six times I visited him and the other members of the movement in the American prisons.

In 1994, Slovakia was the second country that Lyn visited after his detention. On his second visit to Slovakia in 1996, he wrote:

Slovakia is a happier country than others. It has not lost the sense of spiritual values; it has a national identity. You need honest and determined leaders. When I heard the crowd of 50,000 singing on the pilgrimage in Nitra, I understood that this is a good country.

Everything is still like this. We lack honest and determined leaders. But hopefully they will come. And I would like to say that there are 20 such pilgrimage sites in Slovakia, and in Levoča there were half a million Slovaks, it’s a center in Slovakia for these pilgrims.

Let me now turn to Lyn’s 1998 draft to overcome the crisis, that is still interesting today. Really, it still applies! Let me talk about his principles.

Today is the time of a dangerous financial and economic emergency. Only preventive measures can prevent a collapse of civilization. Every nation must insist that there is no higher political authority than the sovereign nation-state republic. Supranational institutions such as the International Monetary Fund have authority only as a forum for consultation between sovereign nation-states. Each nation retains sovereignty over its financial, monetary and economic affairs. These are the principles.

During such a crisis, no international loans should be issued. State-subsidized loans for infrastructure, the productive sector, agriculture, world trade should be issued at an interest rate of no more than 1 to 2% per year. The banks must cancel the derivatives, as an accounting fraud. No international authorities are necessary for the conclusion of international agreements. The global economy is stifled by an overdose of supranational institutions.

Lyn always proposed a new Bretton Woods deal that would establish fixed but adjustable exchange rates; the ability to restrict convertibility, if the national govern-



Pieter Brueghel the Elder

Euntes in Emaus (The Way to Emmaus). Three pilgrims searching for Emmaus, the Roman name for the “City of Victory” mentioned in the New Testament where Jesus is said to have appeared after his death and resurrection.

ment considers it necessary.

As a layman, I realize that it is immoral for someone to get as much money in a few days of speculation as other people get through hard work in their entire lives. [Applause.]

The collapse of the American hedge fund Enron and many banks is just the tip of the iceberg.

Now, at the end of my speech, there are some remarks from Lyn, which are not well known, but as a Christian, I have mentioned this idea in my books and in my blog, and I want to mention it here as well. You may be a little surprised that Lyn really said this. He was a devout Christian who spoke about his faith.

Imago Viva Dei

Let me return to some of his ideas. Man is created in the image of God; thus every individual has to be valued highly. We must stop the killing of unborn children. Everything is decided in childhood. Children have to be educated to be creative. Today nobody has time for the children, so television and the internet make them stupid. [Applause.]

I do not watch television, but I’m a bit addicted to the internet. Every day I post 10 to 20 statuses with video on

Facebook—unfortunately, but what should I do? But if someone’s with friends, tell them you’re with the Schiller Institute, then we’ll talk about it. I have also sent some news from this conference, because if you are not on the internet, then you do not exist. [Laughter] Pardon!

The world kills the souls of the children. The preservation of the family must be protected. The most important thing in education is the knowledge of classical music, history and mathematics.

Some reminiscences have been published in Slovakia, by some of our priests and bishops, with absolutely open and harsh criticism. And I mean, that’s very important that one talks like that. Because when the people who saw it die, then in 100 years the story is very different. For the last year or two, I’ve always said that you really have to tell the truth about history. The truth frees us.

The best cultures in Europe—that’s another idea from Lyn—the best cultures in Europe came from Christianity. Uneducated people know nothing about history, culture, science, art. Only stupid people believe what’s in the newspaper and on television. We have a lot of newspapers in Slovakia, but now the owners decide what to say. That’s why you cannot read some of them. It’s all half lies and half-truths.

Then an alternative or the internet is very important. Of course, there are many stupidities, but there you have to choose and read different sources.

The ideology of hedonism is sick. The free market rapes the weaker, destroying agriculture, industry and finance. The third way in the economy is an economic policy based on Christian principles. Money, counter-culture and unlimited freedom lead people into prison. The compromise with evil and tolerance for evil must stop. The comment on the lie is a lie. As in mathematics, if an axiom is not good, then all the theories are bad; a proposition is not truth.

Let us begin a revolution in Christian love, as the Good Samaritan did then. And the last from Lyn, it's a bit poetic: What is the goal of Man? He comes into the world as an angel, and he should leave it like an angel. That's what Lyn said. [Applause.]

Dear friends, that is the end of my speech. Honor the memory of Lyndon LaRouche! He will always be in my heart.

And now I want to give these books to Helga, with a little comment. This one is the book of 1999, ten years after the turn of 1989. There are 90 pages about Lyn. Unfortunately, it is only in Slovak.

The Man Who Should Have Been President of the United States

by Theo Mitchell

Theo Mitchell is a former South Carolina State Senator and Democratic candidate for Governor of South Carolina. We present here his edited remarks as prepared for presentation on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute Conference, "The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe," in Bad Soden, Germany. Subheads have been added.

Guten tag, meine Freunde! Especially, The Honorable Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who should have been a United States First Lady, decades ago.

I am honored to be here in Frankfurt to commemorate the life of one of the world's greatest forecasters in any of our lives, Dr. Lyndon LaRouche. No matter how one may have felt about him in the past while maybe down, Lyndon had a way to lift us up. With his photographic memory and always active mind, Lyndon taught us that the reality of our existence was, "To be kind to each other."

Whether or not it was the reality of our existence, or rather "a moral lag in the development of the nation in the heart of America," as Gunnar Myrdal, Swedish economist, sociologist, author of *An American Dilemma: The Negro Problem and the Modern Democracy*, said, it cannot be doubted as to how much we



EIRNS/Johanna Clerc

Theo Mitchell

must depend on the bravery of the few, like Lyndon LaRouche, to right the wrongs of the many.

Time after time, Lyn, as we called him, championed the causes of the many, especially regarding the collapse of American law, and the resurgence of morally indefensible practices wholly inconsistent with the United States Constitution, even going to prison for such. His head may have been bloodied, but was never bowed. Lyn begged for nothing from anybody. He even refused to relax his feet from the prison shoes he was made to wear for five years while incarcerated.

Lyn knew something had to be done to correct patent wrongs, but by whom? Thus, the LaRouche Movement, which infected thousands, then and now, with the "right stuff." Some of us will never forget, having been taught by Lyn, that in the end, people like Don Fowler, former Chairman of the Democratic National Committee (DNC), will, also, pay for their jealousy in denying Lyn his rightful place in national politics. Lyn, who, in my opinion was the "best" candidate for the office of the Presidency of the United States. Fowler and his cronies kept Lyn off the ballot as a candidate in the election years of 1980, 1984, 1988, 1992,

1996, and 2000, even though he always received matching funds.

Lyn was a legitimate candidate, who deserved to have delegates to the Democratic National Convention, having won over 15% of the popular vote in the 1996 primary in Louisiana. Additionally, in Arkansas in 2000, the DNC took Lyn's delegates, who represented many that had voted for LaRouche and simply gave them to Al Gore, for whom they had not voted. But Gore in the 2000 presidential election never took the state of Arkansas, as he also refused the support of former President of the United States, Bill Clinton who was from Arkansas, but had just been impeached by the Congress. Consequently, by betraying LaRouche and Clinton, Gore destroyed himself when he lost that state.

Former United States Attorney General Ramsey Clark, who later represented Lyn, as I had earlier, said that Lyn had "courage and resilience." He went on to say that Lyn had great integrity and honorable standing; that he only wanted to improve America, not destroy it.

Mr. Clark went on to say, "that the DNC under Fowler was underhanded, hypocritical, backstabbing, and clandestine, in keeping Lyn off the ballot in several states."

Hearings Investigated DOJ Misconduct

In 1995, together with Attorney General Clark and several other prominent individuals, I participated in two days of Independent Hearings to Investigate Misconduct by the U.S. Department of Justice. The hearings focused on two exemplary cases.

The first case: The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)-designed operation, code named by them "Operation Frühmenschen" or "Operation Ape-Man" or "Primitive Man," which systematically targeted black and Hispanic elected officials, because they were deemed to be "inferior intellects, having no morals or character" that the white majority should honor or respect. I myself was targeted but saw through the FBI sting operation which targeted every black state legislator in South Carolina, and resulted in indictments of 28 of my colleagues, temporarily wiping out the black state legislative caucus of the state of South Carolina. The LaRouche movement documented at the time of the hearings, that 80% of all black and Hispanic officials in the United States were either being investi-

gated, on trial, under indictment or incarcerated!

The second exemplary case was that of Lyndon LaRouche, prosecuted because of his political views and his solutions to the economic, political and moral crisis, not just in the United States but internationally. We warned at that time and subsequently that these injustices against targeted individuals, like Lyn, if left unchecked, could threaten the very foundations of our constitutional government. We are seeing this very problem play out today in every area of our justice system, top to bottom.

'We Gather Here Today to Honor a Real Man'

Lyn, having passed this way, appears to have been "divinely inspired." For example, his predictions on health care have come true and his predictions on the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which essentially paved the way for the Voting Rights Act of 1965 that caused the United States Constitution to be upheld. Further, Lyn's attack on Jim Crow in the South came true. As a result of Lyn's work, we now have more Black elected members of legislative bodies around the United States since Reconstruction.

Helga, there must always be the effort to exonerate Lyn, for he did nothing wrong, except tell the truth, something which evil doers could neither accept nor understand. His challenge to Wall Street was never accepted by the greedy or the sinister. His attack on the housing industry and social policies were even attacked by his own Democratic Party. Lyn, too, predicted the reunification of Germany and the fall of the Berlin Wall.

In closing, we gather here today to honor a real man, whose life was dedicated to helping his fellow man, who displayed unexpected courage in very trying times. Yes, even now! Lyn's work isn't over. Time has proven and will continue to prove that he was right, and his people will eventually enjoy his having been here amongst us! So, did Lyndon LaRouche have historic significance for the United States? The answer is yes, and it has manifested itself many times over. The time I have here today is too short to enumerate all his successes. Finally, while we are here praising Lyn for his magnificent life, we cannot forget the lady who was chief in contributing to his success, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who should have been not only a United States First Lady, but also the Chancellor of Germany.

Fatherland, Nation and State as Seen by Progressive Catholics and by Lyndon LaRouche

by Nino Galloni

Mr. Galloni is former Director General of the Budget and Labor Ministries in Italy. We present here his edited remarks as prepared for presentation on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute Conference, “The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe,” in Bad Soden, Germany. Subheads have been added.



EIRNS/Johanna Clerc
Nino Galloni

In my intervention I would like to demonstrate the modernity of the contribution of two major representatives of Italian progressive Catholicism, Giuseppe Dossetti and Luigi Sturzo; and of a Christian thinker, Lyndon LaRouche, whose brilliance we celebrate today.

Indeed, I have known Mr. LaRouche’s work, and frequently met Lyndon himself and his collaborators, in every part of Europe and of the planet in the course of about fifteen years; I met Dossetti just two times and I never met Sturzo.

My father—a statesman who played a crucial role in the history of the Italian Republic and was a scholar of international repute in the political and agricultural sciences, evaluated LaRouche’s works and became LaRouche’s friend—was a follower and a pupil of Dossetti and, like all Christian Democrats (DC), respectful of Sturzo’s thought and coherence.

Italian Politics

I did not miss the critical nuances relating to not only Dossetti and my father, but even those of DC leader, Alcide De Gasperi—criticisms that have been kept somehow secret, or perhaps better said, overlooked—between the end of World War II and the post-1978 period, following the assassination of DC President Aldo Moro—with the results that I will try to

explain. In the 1980s, Italian politics split into two blocs: a right wing, close to Sturzo, and a left wing that looked to Dossetti but was incapable of understanding Dossetti’s full revolutionary message.

Both factions, which alternated in government over the past thirty years, have been incapable of understanding Italy’s national needs and have led us through a steady worsening of things.

The difference between Sturzo and Dossetti will be evident in the following treatment and can only be solved with what I call the “LaRouche solution,”

without which we will either be forced to deny the social functions of the state as described in the Italian Constitution—which broke with both the liberal and dictatorial traditions—or to accept a globalism with a beautiful theological basis but a very bad political perspective.

I will proceed in an orderly fashion to be clearly understood. This is not easy, but it is necessary, to establish whether Catholics can push their own view of economic questions, which is anti-free market and anti-degrowth—not mistaking Saint Francis for the Prince of Wales—as well as socially Christian.

1. When Benito Mussolini took power in 1922 thanks to the support, among others, of the crown, De Gasperi backed him because he thought Mussolini could help to re-establish the state of law that was being eroded in the four years following the end of World War I. Sturzo, instead, was very hostile from the beginning, because he saw in Mussolini’s “radical socialism” an effort to put the state—the big Leviathan—and state authority, over everything. Fascism soon dropped its act, with the assassination of socialist deputy Giacomo Matteotti in 1924. Things became clear. Luigi Sturzo,

Mussolini's enemy number one, had to flee into exile and his relationship with De Gasperi—who remained in Italy and found protection in the Vatican—was never repaired.

2. At the end of World War II, a referendum established the Republic as the form of state and introduced an autonomous statute for Sicily as a region in the new state. Sturzo, a Sicilian, was very enthusiastic for the latter solution, which would neutralize the strong separatist movement.

The Role of the State

3. Sturzo, however, was very critical of the contents of the Constitution, drafted one year later, despite the fact that its contents, strongly influenced by Dossetti, were not much different from the contents of the very Sicilian statutes Sturzo had approved.

Sturzo's opposition was due to two related reasons: Dossetti's work was aimed, in dialogue with the other political forces that had played determining roles in the antifascist struggle, to change the profile of government to a more active role, committing the new-born Republic to a whole series of fronts (social, business, anti-war, and educational among others), which Sturzo saw as being the prerogative of the private sector and families first, of local authorities second, and only lastly that of the State, based on the "subsidiarity" principle often presented in Papal Encyclicals.

Dossetti and the 1948 Constitution turned this approach upside down, acknowledging that government must provide for responding to the needs of the people and society as a priority. The second reason lay in the continuity of the role of the state under fascism—or better, with the central role of state intervention in the economy—which was eventually represented in the *Cronache Sociali* [a journal of sociology and politics] group by such figures as DC leader Amintore Fanfani and economist Federico Caffé.

State conglomerates and agencies including IRI (Institute for Industrial Reconstruction), Eni (National Hydrocarbons Authority), Cassa per il Mezzogiorno (Fund for the South), and others, in the spirit of the culture established in the 1930s by U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt, could not accept the liberal economic approach, according to which the market will solve all economic problems.

The 1930s marked a shift to an anti-free market direction because in that decade of continuous and seri-

ous economic crisis it became clear that the free market not only did not solve the problems but was itself the cause of problems.

This lesson was totally forgotten several decades later, and the free market appeared to be the solution to issues that had emerged during post-war development. Thus, free-market policies, starting in the 1980s, dealt with problems determined by the growth of international markets by killing growth!

4. Thus, after the end of World War, II Sturzo held to his free-market and autonomist positions, even criticizing—as we have seen—the 1947 Constitution, which had inspired the 1948 "Universal Declaration of Human Rights" promoted by Eleanor Roosevelt.

5. Eventually, Dossetti made a decisive contribution to the Vatican II Ecumenical Council, in which Catholicism as a religion opened up not only to the "Peoples of the Book," but to all other Confessions as well, thus generating another split, which ultimately produced two Popes: one unconditionally in favor of anyone claiming to be against Mammon, and pro-environment; and another one who refers to a tradition that is open to social issues but at the same time emphasizes theological distinctions among religions.

Concerning the latter, it was Pope Benedict XVI's speech in Regensburg that unleashed condemnations by real or alleged progressives against him.

Free-Marketeers Dismantle Bretton Woods Agreements

6. With the return of free-market policies in the United States and Europe at the end of the 1970s—especially the Tokyo G7 in 1979, which dismantled the last bulwark of the 1944 Bretton Woods agreements that had ensured 35 years of extraordinary economic and political development—the split between Sturzo (already dead) and Dossetti (now only an outsider) became even more serious.

The left failed to implement Dossetti's teachings, which are embedded in the Constitution, and could have been applied once financial means were available in the 1970. The right wing misused Sturzo's liberal and subsidiarist approach to dismantle the policy of universal welfare for what was called residual welfare (aid for the poor), and abandon the national industrial strategy, which had made Italy great, thus abandoning any useful role of government in the economy, in research and development, and in infrastructural progress.

7. However, Dossetti was in favor of overcoming the nation-state in the name of perspective ecumenism. The latter was based on solid theological principles, albeit principles not shared by everyone in the Catholic world. This approach risked being part of the project to dismantle national states pushed by big corporations and by the centers of financial power.

In this, the faction on the left that had not accepted free-market theories and had remained consistent with the social values of the workers' movement, did not understand that defending an intact nation-state, serving the general interests and not the multinationals, represented a major bulwark against injustices and for responsible development.

Catholics Divided

8. After the assassination of Moro, Italian politics seemed to cease understanding and shaping changes, and instead bent to the "Northern Wind," as was said forty years ago, going back to failed free-market policies.

The Catholic world, no longer able to express itself as a political force in line with Italian traditions, different from the more conservative forces in other European countries, became more and more divided and unimportant, incapable of choosing between Sturzo's anti-statist and Dossetti's globalist views. Some Encyclicals and some Popes defended the principles of the "Church Social Doctrine," but then, only in the context of free-market economists, indifferent to Evangelical principles.

It was with this background, in considering these strategies, that I was introduced to Lyndon LaRouche. A major collaboration and a friendship ensued, involving my father and my entire family. However, the Catholic world remained split between the incarnation of the evangelical message in economic policy and the homage to a free-market approach that could offer only archaic anti-communism.

The 'LaRouche Solution'

9. We come, therefore, to the "LaRouche Solution," consisting of combining economic development perspectives, in which the state plays a major and appropriate role, but without provoking that aggressive and racist nationalism which stands accused of having led to world wars.

In fact, Lyndon LaRouche's stance stems from the

1648 Treaty of Westphalia: All states are sovereign (*superiorem non reconoscentes*) and, this is the point: all agree to respect each other's sovereignty.

In other terms, once each state has defined its national sovereignty, it wants the same situation for its neighbor: to neither subvert nor vanquish the other state, based on a putative superiority.

Wars occur when a very large growth of economic capacities combines with a culture of superiority of one nation over another; if the economic policy is not achievement-oriented but is instead based on some superiority, those capacities are unleashed against neighbors, often in a military fashion.

The USA made a mistake after World War I, when it imposed a debt on Germany, unleashing the dynamic of frustrated economic development and feelings of revenge, which produced Nazism (or in Italy, the so-called *vittoria mutilata* (crippled victory)—that created a basis for fascism to develop.

The differences in the United States' behavior towards Germany, Japan, and Italy after World War II were therefore the foundation of the current *Pax Europea*. But the weakening of Mediterranean states in the European Union (where northern racism towards the South prevails) has provoked an insufficient development of Southern economic capacity, with imbalances within the EU itself.

Thus, the "LaRouche solution" means opposing the plans of the globalist multinationals and financial powers, but without pushing chauvinism and conflict; on the contrary, healthy competition among sovereign nations, which respect each other, provides the basis for international agreements on major issues such as energy; international and intercontinental infrastructure; and scientific and technological research.

Thus, combining the democratic strength of nations with a sense of a proud fatherland, with respect for other nations, and with growth that acts responsibly (towards nature), while expressing the potential of each country—peace among nations then rises to be not merely a finality, but, above all, a tool of international relations based on mutual respect and adequate development for everyone.

It is the "win-win" model, which has begun to prevail over the obsolete *mors tua vita mea* [your death is my life] and brought comfort to the last period of Lyndon LaRouche's earthly life.

The Importance of LaRouche's Ideas for the Arab World

by Hussein Askary

Mr. Askary is the Southwest Asia Coordinator for the Schiller Institute. We present here his edited remarks on Nov. 17, 2019 to the Schiller Institute Conference, "The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe," in Bad Soden, Germany. Subheads and links have been added.

Ladies and Gentlemen, Mrs. Helga Zepp-LaRouche,

It is a great honor for me to be speaking at this conference.

Lyndon LaRouche and Helga Zepp-LaRouche have a special place in the hearts and minds of the people of Yemen, as you heard from our friend Fouad. He could not come to this conference or previous conferences because the Saudis have been bombing the airport, forcing it to remain shut down. Thousands of people have died because they cannot travel abroad for medical treatment, as he was saying.

In spite of all this suffering, we have people in Yemen, especially young people, schoolchildren, studying the ideas of Lyndon LaRouche.

This photograph [Figure 1] is from our last physical meeting with Lyn, actually here in this same venue last year. In this photo we have a message from children in a school in Yemen who sent us these things as a greeting to Lyn and Helga. As part of their school program they are studying the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals 2030, whose 17 goals are depicted in the color boxes. But some of the parents and teachers insisted on superimposing at the top what they call "LaRouche's Five Metrics of Progress," because, without these, they say, we would not be able to fulfill the goals of development. This is a fascinating thing going on in Yemen, right in the middle of the worst



Johanna Clerc

Hussein Askary

humanitarian crisis in the world.

The prophet of Islam, Mohammed (God's blessings be upon him), whose birthday was celebrated last week across the Muslim world, says:

When the son of Adam [a human being] dies, his or her actions in and upon this world cease, except for three:

1. A flowing (continuing) charity, such as a school, a mosque you built; helping the poor; making sure there is financing after you die; or
2. Useful knowledge/science; or
3. A good posterity who pray for you, in their continuing physical existence.

Lyndon LaRouche's soul enjoys all three of these ties to this material world and to immortality. How?

First, through his international organization which is helping people around the world to create a better

FIGURE 1



EIRNS

Helga Zepp-LaRouche and Hussein Askary display greetings received from Yemeni schoolchildren in 2018.

future. This is the charity.

Second, through his scientific, economic and philosophical ideas that have given the world a New Silk Road that is evolving right now into a World Land-Bridge, revolutionizing the world economy. We heard a fantastic description of that knowledge in yesterday's presentations, which is being continued by the LaRouche Youth Movement.

And third, through all the young people in many countries around the world whom Lyndon adopted as his own children, making them his posterity who are now thinking about him and praying for him. It's not the kind of prayer you have in church; it's like what we saw yesterday.

I have worked with Lyn since 1995. My first meeting with him was in that year, when I attended a conference of the Schiller Institute here in Germany. It was the most shocking encounter for a young, Arab-Muslim man. In one of the breaks at the conference, Paul Rasmussen, one of our members in Denmark, literally pulled me by my hand and dragged me to Lyndon. He told him: "This is Hussein from Iraq. He knows a lot about Islamic philosophy" (which was not true). [laughter]

Lyn lit up. He always like to meet young people. We started talking. Suddenly, he said, "Islam is a synthetic religion!" So, it's not original? I thought. And then, in his typical manner, Lyn came closer to me and, in his famous pause, with his finger pointing upward he said to my face: "And, God does not speak Arabic!" (Meaning that the *Quran*, the Muslims' holiest scripture, cannot be the literal word of God/Allah.) What?

I needed some backing here. Is what this old man is saying, true? I had brought along another Muslim guy from Eritrea, so I turned around. But he had disappeared. He had left us. I never saw him again. Maybe he thought that Allah would send a thunderbolt right through the roof of the conference hall and strike LaRouche and everyone around him, for saying these kinds of blasphemies. That did not happen, of course. Allah is not Zeus. He does not have thunderbolts to shoot at people. As we know, Allah is Truth, Justice and Mercy.

Did Lyndon say he knew more about Islam than me or anyone else? No! He did not say that. He never claimed that. He was using his rational mind, and, obviously, the lessons he has learned from dealing with Christian fundamentalists.

Concerning the first point, that Islam is a synthetic religion: It is not difficult to prove. It's correct. Lyn was right. Prophet Mohammed himself never claimed he

had come with something new or original. He said that he was continuing the work of Abraham, Moses, Jesus the Christ and all the saints. Islam was a synthesis of all the previous monotheistic religions. And that is not a bad thing!

Concerning the second point, that God doesn't speak Arabic: That was a tough one. I had to go and do my homework, studying a lot of Islamic philosophy. I discovered that the issue of the *Quran* being "the literal word of God" was hotly disputed by Muslim scholars and philosophers during the Islamic Renaissance, in the 8th through the 11th centuries. Especially by philosophers such as Ibn Sina. I read a lot of Ibn Sina and found that he agreed with this viewpoint. Because God does not talk to our ears with words, but through our creative minds. "Revelation"—which in Arabic, *Al-wahi*, also means "inspiration"—is the attempt, according to Ibn Sina, by the human creative philosophical mind to reach out to the stars in heaven and grasp and bring back the divine knowledge. Not receiving it passively through Archangel Gabriel, as the priests have told us.

This is Lyn's method. He was sometimes very irritating for people, just as Socrates was irritating to people, because he didn't accept axioms and beliefs at face value. You have to prove them.

You Must Prove Your Beliefs to be True

All my subsequent work with LaRouche, and all my personal work, whether in writing or organizing other people, has been based on the scientific method of figuring out if what one believes in, is true or not, and can be proven.

Lyn's ability to forecast strategic and economic developments was based on this and a profound understanding of both human nature and historical developments. The man knew a lot about history.

Another important thing we learned from Lyn is how to intervene to *change* things. Not just *describe* them. If you rely on previous experiences, or the current situation, the world looks hopeless. You need to bring something back from the future to be able to determine what direction you or your nation needs to move. It is the future, or our *vision* of the future, which should determine our actions today. So, the whole time, his and our mind is in the future, looking at the present and the past.

And therefore, my continued relationship to Lyndon is situated in the future; everything I do now is part of *his* future. In this sense, he is in the simultaneity of eternity. Everything we do with his ideas is an extension of

his life into the future, into immortality.

We have been through many great moments and terrible crises during the past 24 years I have been working with the LaRouche movement and the Schiller Institute. LaRouche warned, for example, that the Israeli-Palestinian peace process would collapse if economic development on a large scale was not prioritized. But the worst of these crises, from my standpoint, was the invasion and destruction of my home country Iraq by a combination of U.S. and British forces. We stood against the invasion before it happened, and we have continued to propose solutions after the fact. We have never given up on the Iraqi people.

‘The LaRouche Doctrine for Southwest Asia’

“The LaRouche Doctrine: Southwest Asia,” which was formulated by Lyn in 2004, and also in an [interview](#) I conducted with him for *EIR* on April 24, 2004, was an attempt to make the best of the situation after the invasion of Iraq in 2003, and not let things slide into total disaster. Unfortunately, the worse disaster came in 2006 when U.S. Vice President Dick Cheney declared the coming sectarian conflict. He actually *announced* it, that it was coming.

‘Operation Phoenix’ for Syria

Then came the invasion of Libya in 2011, and right after that, the attack on Syria. But even in the darkest moments of that situation we proposed [Operation Phoenix](#) for the reconstruction of Syria and to connect it with the New Silk Road. Phoenix is the mythical bird that rises from the ashes, as Syria is rising from the ashes today.

In 2014, Syria was on the verge of total destruction. My colleague, Ulf Sandmark, took a personal risk traveling to Syria, twice, in the heat of that crisis, to present LaRouche’s and our organization’s ideas to the highest levels of the Syrian government. He met [Figure 2] with Dr. Bouthaina Shaaban, the chief advisor to Presi-

FIGURE 2



The Schiller Institute’s Ulf Sandmark met with several high-level officials in Syria. In the left photo is Dr. Bouthaina Shaaban, (center) chief advisor to Syria’s President Bashir Al-Assad; and in the right photo, Prime Minister Imad Khamis, (left) receiving a copy of the Arab-language edition of *The Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge*.



FIGURE 3



dent Bashir Al-Assad. Imad Khamis, the Prime Minister of Syria [Figure 3] was given a copy of the Arab-language edition of *The Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge*, by Ulf. Many ministers now have this book. I know this from third-party sources.

The Syrian government is not saying that they will do exactly what we have proposed, but it’s in the same direction: joining the New Silk

Road, rebuilding the infrastructure, and investing in industrial and agricultural production.

‘Operation Felix’ for Yemen

Last year, I helped draw up a Yemen reconstruction plan, [Operation Felix](#).

The word “Felix” we took from the name *Arabia Felix*, the Roman name for Yemen: “The Happy Arabia,” because it was so prosperous at the time. Therefore, we wanted to inspire the Yemenis, that even in the moment of darkness, they should think about and rebuild the “Happy Yemen.”

Operation Felix has now been adopted by the

Yemeni General Investment Authority, and it's a hot topic of debate among the many different parties fighting each other. Even the Saudi-backed government people are studying our reconstruction plan.

While everybody else was talking only about how the Yemeni crisis is the worst humanitarian catastrophe on the planet—which it is, a crime against humanity—I and my friends in Yemen are focussing on planning the road to peace. Because, without a vision of the beautiful future the Yemenis and their children can have, it is difficult to motivate them to sit down at the negotiation table.

China is now interested in the Yemeni situation. They were previously very passive. But now, because our proposal involves the construction of transport corridors, developing agriculture and industry and mining, but also using Yemen as a location on the Maritime Silk Road *and* the Eurasian Land-Bridge, the Chinese understand this very well, and they have become very interested in taking part in the peace process and negotiations.

This has been advancing in a very good manner. Of course, the war has to be stopped—the bombing and the blockade on the Yemeni people.

Right now, there are young people demonstrating in the streets of Lebanon and Iraq, demanding justice, a decent life and a future. Maybe we can tell them what to do or send them a ten-page proposal. But I think that's no longer enough.

LaRouche School for Physical Economics for Arabs

And here comes the most exciting part of my collaboration with Lyndon LaRouche. I want to make an announcement. Beginning in December, I will be taking up the challenge of starting an online LaRouche school for physical economics for Arabs. [applause] I'm sorry if you feel discriminated against, but if you don't speak or read Arabic, you can blame your education system for that. [laughter]

The school will be a subscriber service, because it has to be financially sustainable. People must subscribe



The Arabic-language edition of Lyndon LaRouche's 1984 text on elementary mathematical economics, So, You Wish to Learn All About Economics?

to be members of the school, so they can receive instructional material and follow and participate in regular online classes and discussions I will give in Arabic. I will also translate classes from our associates to exchange with our subscriber members, with a focus on young people.

We will be working with individuals, but we will also attempt to engage collaboration with universities in the Arab countries, to use this material, to use LaRouche's ideas, and package it as part of their offerings. I can say that we already have some universities interested in this project, this experiment, to see whether what we are doing can fit into their curriculum.

I have already translated into Arabic, LaRouche's 1984 [book](#), *So, You Wish to Learn All About Eco-*

nomics? We will make it and the [mega-book](#), *The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge*, published by EIR in 2014, available online to all of our school subscribers.

The most important part of *The New Silk Road Becomes the World Land-Bridge* is not all the great projects being built or otherwise described in its 400-plus pages, but the metrics of progress which LaRouche has developed.

Both physical books can also be ordered for a charge by subscribers or anyone. We have also other video material by LaRouche and his associates that will be translated and explained in the class forms.

We're going to do this for a year, maybe two. Hopefully, we will have an interesting result.

Besides, [Figure 4] I am going to give free, regular strategic and economic analysis on global developments to the Arabic-speaking audience, on YouTube and other social media, in order to motivate them to join our school.

All of it will be based on the work and thoughts of Lyndon LaRouche, of course, and as developed by his associates.

As I asked a friend about the demonstrations in Iraq and Lebanon against corruption, What will you do next when you take away the corrupt people? Where are the people with the scientific ideas who will build your

FIGURE 4



Hussein Askary explaining the Silk Road/Belt and Road concept to a Yemen audience in a classroom setting.

countries? There will be a new void that would be filled by ignorant or corrupt people. That's the risk. Every time you make a regime change, you risk getting something worse. Or, somebody might come up with the brilliant idea of getting economists from the bankrupt EU or U.S.A. to run things, so-called "technocrats"! A very nice word. People educated by the IMF or World Bank in American universities.

Therefore, we need to educate people. This should be a priority, especially young people in the Arab world (as far as I am concerned), and also replicated elsewhere, about physical economics. We can no longer and should no longer try to dictate to other people how they should run their own affairs. But we can inspire them with

knowledge and a passion for the good of humankind.

Honestly, I think LaRouche's economics should become part of the university curricula around the world.

Show Gratitude for Those Who Came Before

In conclusion, I would like to say that we should always show gratitude to those who came before us and who dedicated their lives to beautiful and great ideas. How do we pay them their due? As Friedrich Schiller emphasized, every generation receives gifts from the previous generations, and these gifts should be enriched and passed on to the next generations. This way, our having lived acquires a meaning, a lasting meaning. And we can die with a smile on our face, as Lyn always said.

I want to particularly express my gratitude to a few of the people with whom I worked directly and developed a personal relationship, who have now passed on. Their character and their work inspired me a lot, especially when I was young: Gail Billington from the U.S.; Tore Fredin from Sweden; Doug DeGroot, who dedicated his life to working on the questions of Africa; Mark Burdman, a fantastic human being with whom I worked very closely; and of course, Lyndon LaRouche. These people left a deep imprint on me and I promised them to continue my work and do my best.

Thank you for your attention, and please tell all your Arabic-speaking friends and their relatives that we will have this school starting next month, and that they should join us and educate their children.



Some LaRouche associates who have passed on, whose character and work deserve special remembrance and gratitude (l. to r.): Gail Billington, Tore Fredin, Doug DeGroot, and Mark Burdman.

Remembrance of the Great Humanist Thinker Lyndon LaRouche

by Fouad Al-Ghaffari

Mr. Al-Ghaffari is Chairman of the BRICS Development Network in Yemen. This is the edited translation of the transcript of his video message, sent from Yemen, to the Schiller Institute conference in Bad Soden, November 17, 2019.

Dear Sisters and Brothers in the Schiller Institute,

Thank you for inviting me to participate with you in this commemoration event in remembrance of the great humanist thinker Lyndon LaRouche.

You were with us when we issued the first statement denouncing the war of aggression against our country on March 26, 2015, in which we said the war is a threat against the BRICS.

You were also with us in conveying to the world the speech of our martyred President Saleh Al-Sammad at the Sab'een parade square, in which he saluted the BRICS nations on September 21, 2017.

Many times, have we received invitations from you to travel to attend your conferences, but the closing of the Sana'a International Airport has prevented us from joining you. It is now closed for the fourth year. My travels are not a priority, but that of the thousands and thousands of sick people are. They need to travel for treatment, and thousands have died already. Hundreds of thousands are waiting for the airport to open.

However, in spite of all the suffering, Sana'a raised the slogan of "one hand to defend the country and one hand to build it," on March 26, 2018.

In spite of all the suffering, Sana'a is now witnessing an unprecedented state of internal security and safety, which, it can be



Courtesy of Fouad Al-Ghaffari
Fouad Al-Ghaffari

argued, is the first element of credit worthiness.

From Sana'a, we, the "Youth Government" and the "Yemeni BRICS Network for Development," presented the vision of the reconstruction of Yemen, Operation Felix, in collaboration with the international Schiller Institute for rebuilding Yemen and connecting it to the New Silk Road, and the Belt and Road. A beautiful and important picture of the deceased Lyndon LaRouche adorns that report.

LaRouche's "Five Metrics of Progress" of the BRICS nations is being discussed in schools and universities in Sana'a by those who love and admire him.

Coordination with the BRICS nations was included in the Yemeni "National Vision 2030 for Building the Modern Yemeni Nation," which was issued by the government, thanks to the efforts exerted by Minister of Foreign Affairs Hisham Sharaf; the veteran fighter for independence Dr. Saleh Sayil, Chairman of Political Committee and International Relations of the Shura Consultative Council; and Engineer Khaled Sharafuddin, Deputy Director of the Yemeni General Investment



Courtesy of Fouad Al-Ghaffari
Fouad Al-Ghaffari (standing left), speaking at the seminar launching the "Operation Felix" report, held in the capital, Sana'a, Yemen, June 6, 2018. Seated at the far right is Engineer Khaled Sharafuddin, Acting Director of the Yemeni General Investment Authority.

Authority. These issues were also taken up during our meeting in early September 2019 with the member of the Supreme Political Council, Mr. Mohammed Saleh Al-Nuaimi.

On October 16, Sana'a's chief negotiator for the Yemeni peace process, Mr. Mohammed Abdul-Salam informed the Chinese Ambassador to our country, H.E. Mr. Kang Yong, about our country's historical and strategic presence on the Silk Road, and now the Belt and Road Initiative. This has fulfilled the June 2016 promise by Mrs. Helga Zepp-LaRouche, Chairwoman of the Schiller Institute, to make Yemen a "pearl in the necklace of the new Silk Road."

What I am saying here is not mere rhetoric, but a spirit of defiance, a spirit of optimism. A free spirit that

wants to stand on its own freedom and its own currency.

This is what Lyndon LaRouche talked about in his last 60 years, that each nation must have its own sovereign currency. But then, all nations can meet around a basket of currencies under a new Bretton Woods System for building the World Land-Bridge.

We salute your gathering for the commemoration of the soul of Mr. Lyndon LaRouche, who, even if he is absent in person, is attached to the mind of anyone who read his thoughts. Although he is not here with us in person, he has left behind a great team. While we miss him saying, as he did before, that "this is the greatest event in the world today," we are sure after his passing away, many great events in the world in the future will have written all over them "LaRouche was here!"

LaRouche, a Florentine Mind

by Claudio Giudici

Claudio Giudici is Chairman of the Uritaxi (National Taxi Trade Union) in Florence, Italy. We present here his edited remarks as prepared for presentation on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute Conference, "The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe," in Bad Soden, Germany. Subheads have been added.



EIRNS/Johanna Clerc
Claudio Giudici

I would have liked to give this speech in memory of Lyndon LaRouche in English, but given the people I represent in Italy and the already atypical concepts, I believe that my action can be more effective if I speak in my mother tongue.

I met Lyndon LaRouche during the financial crisis of 2000, before his famous forecast of January 2001, when he hypothesized that we should expect a "new Reichstag fire" in the United States, under the leadership of the neo-cons. So, when the Twin Towers were knocked down the following September 11th, those who, like me, knew that warning, were ready to understand the process that started from that moment.

From that day, in fact, a process of radical colonization of the Middle East and a process here, here in the

West, of a radical brainwashing in the name of a constant terrorism alert, started. Thus, the season of preventive wars, of humanitarian wars began, with the paradoxical contrast of ever less humanity in the management of those same states that wanted humanitarianism to become a mission, but for others! In fact, in the meantime, these very people dismantled the welfare state and labor rights and proceeded quickly, with free-market recipes, towards that "controlled disintegration of the economy" advocated by the Council on Foreign Relations.

tions.

LaRouche's Principles

In 2003 in Milan, I had the opportunity to meet LaRouche personally. In the same weekend I was invited to a lunch with Romano Prodi [then President of the European Commission], but I preferred to personally attend, live, a meeting with this revolutionary man. And speaking of revolution, LaRouche, like all real revolutionaries, was radical in ideas, but moderate in methods, and most important, creative thanks to his understanding of classical culture.

Let us look at creativity in action. We recently saw

an example of LaRouche’s method, by a young activist at a district meeting of U.S. Congresswoman Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez. That young activist, by resorting to the art of acting and the use of paradox, would have made Rabelais proud—bringing out the madness inherent in anti-human Malthusian decarbonization policy, which shrilly claims that mankind is an enemy of the planet, rejecting our highest expressions in the traditions, philosophies, and millennia-old teachings that now guide the world that, in its entirety, is on the road to progress for all of mankind. Man, as the highest stage of the evolutionary and anti-entropic process of Creation.

I have studied and learned much from the principles of LaRouche’s philosophy. He is the greatest in our cen-



At a town hall meeting of Congresswoman Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez in Queens, New York, on October 3, 2019, LaRouche PAC activist made the “modest proposal” that in order to reduce CO₂ emissions, we need to start eating babies. AOC didn’t want to rule that out. The event went viral.

tury to continue the enrichment and development of the Judeo-Christian tradition and the Platonic tradition, through Humanism to Kepler, Leibniz, the American System of political economy, and the works of Franklin Roosevelt.

As he was a politician and economist, one might think that talking about LaRouche means talking about these two branches of the human sciences, but one would fall into the error of our time to consider man in utilitarian terms, as a specialist in merely some sector knows only enough for that sector! Maybe that’s why technocratic governments have failed so miserably.

LaRouche was instead a universal thinker and for those like me who come from Florence, it is easy to think, to explain what he was, by looking to men like Leon Battista Alberti, Leonardo da Vinci, or Lorenzo the Magnificent, all brilliant exponents of that Florentine Renaissance, which LaRouche often quoted. This was primary. His philosophical, political, and economic vision required an eternal mission for humanity: to invest in the capacity for cognitive-creative reason of every man, in order to guarantee the “integral development of every man, of the whole man” (borrowing an effective formula from Pope Benedict XVI).

Integral vs. Sustainable Development

And it is on this that I would like to dwell: the integral development of man as opposed to sustainable development.

As Plato teaches, man is a collaborator of God in the development of Creation. The notion of sustainable development is that man is almost an accident of nature—not its most advanced product that brings the biosphere to the noblest level of noösphere!—but an element to contain, keep at bay as can be done with an over-excited dog. And it is this latter view in fact that underlies the basic vision that permeates the new doctrine from the manipulation of an innocent girl like Greta Thunberg, and finds its supposed noble formula in the notion of “new humanism”—as assumed in Italy by Prime Minister Conte and by Pope Francis. That expression was forged by the 20th century French writer Edgar Morin, but it is nothing more than the Sarpian game of giving man some development, a bit of science and technology, while insisting, do not overdo it!

And that is where LaRouche, whose exoneration is demanded by his political movement and the Schiller Institute, can potentially break the games that the elites are preparing.

Study the Long Cycles of History

There is a cultural and scientific pessimism that dominates the current cultural model, and if we look at the short cycle of history (say the last 50 years) especially in the West, there is nothing to be happy about; but if we look at the long cycle, then, we must be optimistic and consider how much the human species has grown in terms of civilization, morality, and economic,

democratic and social potential.

If we want to get out of this short history cycle, we have to tap into the great periods that brought us out of the dark ages. We have to look at the Florentine Renaissance, that upon its rediscovery of Platonism, found its cornerstone, and in the post World War II period found its moral impetus in Christianity. Both these periods were periods of reconstruction. And how did they rebuild?

Starting right from the premise that LaRouche placed as a pillar of his political and economic vision: the *imago viva Dei*, that is, an anthropocentrism connected to those universal physical principles that are the expression of a pre-existing principle of Truth, of a God. And so, Man is not the enemy of our time, the serial polluter as today's dominant cultural complex describes us, but, rather, its solution, if we operate in respect of those laws, of Truth.



creative commons/sailko

Brunelleschi's dome, seen from the bell tower.

And since these are not just nice words, then we need to invest in Man, in every man, in the whole man. This is why it is essential that we move from the financial paradigm of money to the new paradigm of the physical economy as a measure of economic capacity. In this new light, what matters will not be the debts of the states, but how efficient are the education system and the health system, the infrastructural system and the productive system.

This was the vision of great humanists like Thomas More, of Leibniz himself, who advocated a society of arts and science. And to find that way again, LaRouche also told us how to do it in political economy: to move from monetary systems to credit systems that will pay for themselves, thanks to the constant technological-scientific progress of the physical economy.

WHERE IS AMERICA HEADED?

The LaRouche Solution As the Way Out of Chaos

by Harley Schlanger

Mr. Schlanger, a former spokesman for Lyndon LaRouche, is currently a Schiller Institute Board Member. We present here his edited remarks as prepared for presentation on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute Conference, "The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe," in Bad Soden, Germany. Subheads and links have been added.

To address the question, "Where is America Headed?" a good place to begin is to look at what Roger Stone



EIRNS/Johanna Clerc

Harley Schlanger

said in commenting on the death of Lyndon LaRouche. Stone was a longtime friend of President Donald Trump, and was just convicted as the last of the defendants in the fraudulent Russiagate prosecutions of legal hitman Robert Mueller. He was targeted largely due to his role as the key strategist for the Trump campaign.

Stone first encountered LaRouche in New Hampshire in 1980, when he was the coordinator in New England for Ronald Reagan's presidential

campaign. Asked about his relationship to LaRouche, Stone said he was “very familiar with the extraordinary and prophetic thinking” of LaRouche, adding that LaRouche’s ideas had an “important backstage role” in electing Donald Trump.

Many who have followed LaRouche over the years have expressed surprise that he and his movement have defended Trump since his election, against the regime-change coup run by British intelligence in league with Obama’s intel team, to remove him. Trump’s public persona, as portrayed by hostile media, as a crude, ignorant, ego-driven bully, seems light years away from that of the thought-provoking, philosophical approach of LaRouche. Yet, it should be noted that the ongoing attempted coup is being run by the same network, and some of the same people, such as Robert Mueller, who ran the railroad which put Lyndon LaRouche in prison in 1989.

In talking about Trump’s victory in a February 2017 [interview](#) by Joseph Ford Cotto for the *San Francisco Review of Books*, LaRouche identified the reason for his support. Speaking of Trump’s campaign promise to return to the American System of economics, LaRouche said:

What Trump has done by his success here, is to build up the possibility of a revival of the U.S. economy. . . . The vote for Trump in the U.S. is one of the many expressions of populations being fed up with being victims of the system of globalization, which has made the poor poorer, and destroyed the middle class. Trump gave expression to that sentiment.

For the U.S. to survive the turbulent period ahead, in which populations around the world are rising up, and traditional political parties are disintegrating—as here in Germany—in the face of the implosion of the neo-liberal economic and financial system, it is urgent that Trump return to that promise to revive the American System and implement it as the policy of his administration. Which means that the real history of the uniqueness that has defined America in its best moments—which is most clearly reflected in the life’s work of one



EIRNS

Lyndon LaRouche explains his Typical Collapse Function, the “Triple Curve,” in a LaRouche PAC webcast on August 1, 2009.

of its greatest thinkers, Lyndon LaRouche—must become known to a growing number of its citizens.

And that is the long history of the battle between a classical, republican, humanist conception of man, against an imperial, oligarchic view.

LaRouche’s Success as an Economic Forecaster

LaRouche often remarked that his unrivalled record as a forecaster stemmed from his rejection of the axioms of neoliberalism, and his refusal to accept the tyranny of “popular opinion.”

Let me interject here a brief note about his unparalleled ability to forecast. On the eve of the 2000 election, between the Green lunatic Al Gore and the future war criminal George W. Bush, Lyn was asked at a youth cadre school what he thought would be the result of the following Tuesday’s election. Without a moment’s hesitation, he said, “We won’t know right away; in fact, we might not have the result for weeks, or months.” That was it. The room reacted with stunned silence. Later, after he was done, I was asked, as his spokesman, what he meant. After stumbling around for an answer, I was forced to admit, “I have no idea.” Remarkably, it turned out he was right!

In his economic forecasting, he always worked from the top down. He always said that you must forget statistics, systems analysis, and the algorithms of the Quants. You must begin by recognizing neoliberalism as a disease, not an economic theory, which has its modern origins in imperial Venice.

The Bedrock of National Economic Sovereignty

While continuing the overall outlook of the bestial Roman Empire, the Venetians were confronted by a new enemy with the emergence of the modern nation state, a product of the Golden Renaissance, a movement which united the scientific-philosophical conception of man developed by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, with the scientific-artistic brilliance of Leonardo da Vinci. The political form of the nation state which emerged from this unity, LaRouche said, is the idea that government—

must be morally accountable to be the instrument of promoting the General Welfare of all the people and their posterity, their cultural development, their welfare, the improvement of the land.

This concept, which became the bedrock of the idea of national economic sovereignty, provided the basis for the advances in statecraft associated with King Louis XI of France in the middle of the 15th century, and Henry VII of England, at the end of that century. It was this tradition, three centuries later, which informed the Founding Fathers of the U.S., and is embedded in its founding documents, the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution.

The Venetians immediately recognized the threat these developing sovereign nations posed to their imperial system, which was based on slave labor and free trade. As it became impossible to run a global empire from Venice, the Venetian system moved north, and was revived as Anglo-Dutch liberalism, consolidated by its takeover of England in 1688. The British East India Company, after 1763, became the economic base of this empire, which was soon consolidated as a global empire.

John Locke No Mentor of U.S. Founding Fathers

One of the chief spokesmen for this empire was John Locke, who is often falsely identified as the leading philosophical influence on America's Founding Fa-

thers. Shamefully, this is now taught as gospel in American universities, and accepted by most economists and political scientists.

In fact, Locke was a leading apologist for the Empire. He was an investor in the Royal Africa Company, which was at the center of the slave trade. His 1669 tract, *Fundamental Constitutions of Carolina*, written while serving as secretary to the arch-imperialist Earl of Shaftesbury, is a defense of government by feudal aristocracy. He was instrumental in the establishment of the Bank of England in 1694 which, in its official history, states it was “founded as a private bank to act as banker to the Government.” In 1696 Locke was appointed by the King to the Board of Trade, the original enforcer of British free trade dogma, epitomized today by the enforcement arms of private financial institutions, the International Monetary Fund, the Bank for International Settlements, and the World Trade Organization.

In reviewing this history in a [webcast](#) on October 12, 2005, titled “Truth in Forecasting: Create an Economic Recovery in America,” LaRouche exposed the difference between the Lockean system—which is the British system still today—and the American system. The control of money by private interests, and the subordination of *physical economy* to *Monetary Interests*, is the key distinction.

The British System, Left and Right

Under the British System, value is measured arbitrarily in monetary terms, which are subject to manipulation, as we see in the post-1971 end of the Bretton Woods System, to the run-up to the 2008 Crash. And we see manipulation again today, when unsustainable debt is protected at face value on the books of banks and other financial institutions, protected by unlimited monetary creation through such fraudulent bailout practices as “quantitative easing,” negative interest rates, and overnight funds injected into so-called repo markets. In that 2005 webcast, LaRouche said that in contrast:

[In a republic,] the performance of money will be measured by physical economy.... How do we run the money system ... to promote trade and investment, to increase productivity, so as to increase the opportunity for development?

He came back to this theme in a [speech](#) in Berlin on

March 2, 2006, “The Urgency of the American System Today.” There he said that governments based on monetary systems, as in the U.S. at present, and Europe under the European Union, whether supposedly leftist “Keynesian” or neoliberal “Friedmanite,” are those in which—

the government is a subordinate of a central bank. The central bank is largely a creature controlled by private financial interests . . . unless the government is very powerful and has a lot of support, *they* control the government.

He added that the British Empire system today combines this private control over monetary policy with so-called “globalization,” which dominates the policies of governments in the trans-Atlantic region.

That means, that we’re going to have to go to a *Eurasian* orientation for Europe, in terms of economy. We must not have globalization. Globalization is death. It’s a form of imperialism, under which no one has any sovereignty over anything; and groups of bankers, like the Lazard Group in France, run the world—and eat the world, and eat the people in it. So therefore, it has to be sovereign nation-states.

Let those words sink in for a moment—“It has to be sovereign nation-states.” Only in a nation whose real history has been deliberately distorted and lied about, to the point that its leading politicians would embrace John Locke as the mentor to our Founding Fathers, could the idea of national economic sovereignty be denounced as a “racist” doctrine. Yet that is precisely what those attacking President Trump charge, on the rare occasions when they actually try to engage in debate.

In their imbecilic rants against Trump, what they are really attacking, is the concept of America which has been championed by LaRouche, who said in his October 2005 webcast:

The United States is the only nation, the only national system, which, with the American System



EIRNS/Chris Jadatz

China, India, the U.S., and Russia—the Four Powers needed to initiate a New Bretton Woods Agreement.

of political economy, has ever successfully challenged the British System.

The future of the United States depends on understanding, on the most profound level, what those words mean, so we may choose the LaRouchian solution today, to win the battle against the British Empire, run by its private financial controllers in the City of London and Wall Street. That President Trump has recently described these forces in the terms used by President Eisenhower, as the “Military-Industrial Complex,” in speaking of why he made the decision to break with the last two decades’ policy of U.S. involvement in “unending wars,” is a step in that direction, but does not go far enough.

LaRouche’s Four-Power Solution

The President should ponder, along with you, the implications of the following statement by LaRouche, from a webcast on November 11, 2009, given after it had become clear that the incoming Obama administration would continue with the same failed, and disastrous policies, of the Bush administration, which led to the Crash of 2008. I believe this clear conception for the future is what Roger Stone had in mind, when he said LaRouche provided an “important backstage role” in Trump’s election victory. Listen to Lyn from that [webcast](#):

What I’ve been involved in, recently, has been the development of a solution. The solution, and it’s the only shot you’ve got, is a Four-Power agreement, prospectively, among the United States (with a change in the current Administra-

tion's policy), Russia, China, India, and some smaller countries which would be willing to participate in this. This would represent governments of the world which account for about half or more of the population of the world. So that, if an initiative is made—this includes the United States, Russia, China, India, and other countries—if an alliance of these four and other countries occurs, that is sufficient power to bring down the present world system, and at the same time, institute a new one. . . .

However, if we have the guts to put the system through bankruptcy reorganization, this nation can survive. It will survive on the condition that we make an alliance to break the power of the British Empire, and the British interests internationally. That means, making a partnership with Russia, China, India, and other countries, to eliminate the present world monetary system; eliminate the present monetary system, and go to a credit system, which is the system adopted by the United States, before our Consti-

tution was actually formed, under Alexander Hamilton, in dealing with the war debt of the United States in the early 1780s; it then became an integral part of the foundation of our Federal Constitution, at a later point. So, if we go back to our Constitutional standard of a credit system, and join with Russia, China, India, and other countries, and also get a bloc of a credit system, we have the power then, with the support of other nations who are looking for a solution, to eliminate the imperial system, the monetarist system, which runs the planet today. That's our only chance.

If we don't have the guts to do that, we have nobody else but ourselves to blame for not doing it. And that's where we stand.

Today, the future of America depends on participating in that Four Power Agreement conceived by La-Rouche, in cooperation with the Belt and Road Initiative. It is time the United States, as a nation, returns fully to its anti-imperial roots, and goes with La-



EIRNS

Former State Senator Theo Mitchell speaks in Panel 3.

Beauty and Classical Art as Mankind's Vocation: The Cultural Silk Road

The Necessity of a Classical Renaissance for Our Youth

by Diane Sare

Ms. Sare is the Director of the Manhattan Chorus Project. We present here her edited remarks as prepared for presentation on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute Conference, "The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe," in Bad Soden, Germany. Subheads have been added.

I'd like to thank Helga for giving me an opportunity to speak about something which is very dear to me—the necessity for a renaissance of Classical culture. This is urgent for all generations, and particularly now, because we are in a moment of epochal change, as Helga has said before, and my greatest fear is that we could fail to realize the full potential of this moment because we lack poets, or we suppress our inner poet, in the name of "being practical." We need artists and dreamers who can envision the full potential for mankind, without having experienced it first—like Alexander Hamilton, doing accounting for a sugar plantation in the Caribbean, seeing hideous suffering under slavery, but having a vision for a form of government which upheld the principles of the divine nature of mankind.

We also need to rediscover our sense of irony and our sense of humor. The so-called news media—and it is "fake news"—and it's actually worse than that, because it's now run, quite openly, by rogue intelligence agents, like the former CIA Director, the torture-loving John Brennan (whose brother threatened to beat up my hus-



Johanna Clerc

Diane Sare

band in New York City by the way) who now is a major commentator at CNN. And Josh Campbell, associate of James Comey, at the FBI, who's now at MSNBC—and I'm sure there are many, many more.

So, is it any wonder that when President Trump announces that he wants to get our troops out of Syria, and Afghanistan, the press goes berserk? They come up with arguments about why we should occupy Syria forever, and partition it into pieces, and declare war on Turkey, which happens to have a bunch of our nuclear weapons. They accuse Trump of causing war, but he

was not the one who gloated over the torturous murder of Qaddafi, and said, "What difference does it make?" of the Americans who died in Benghazi.

Many people may think that President Trump does not look or speak like a poet—although, I find that there is a poetical quality to some of the things he says and does—good biting irony and humor. I'm not certain that a less abrasive personality could have survived the onslaught of the hyenas in the news media and been able to rally tens of thousands of despairing Americans to start acting like citizens again.

Imagine the fun that Shakespeare would have had with a character like President Trump, who together with Putin, Xi and Modi (leaders who are also loved by the fake news) could become the architects for a noble new paradigm for mankind, and for a world without war.

The Bestialization of People over Decades

To have a renaissance, I think we first have to be close to dead—otherwise, how could we have a rebirth? Do we meet that criterion here in the West? Last week, I read a horrible story about two young men, ages 17 and 19, who chased a 79-year-old man down the street and filmed themselves beating him until his ribs and leg were broken, and he was sent to the hospital in critical condition. A couple months ago, a high school student in Long Island was beaten to death on the sidewalk in front of his school, while 50 other students looked on, filming the incident.

What is *wrong* with us? Our children have become cold-blooded murderers! Is this horrible cruelty and violence caused by guns? You might argue that individuals who would participate in crimes like these shouldn't have guns, but you cannot argue that guns are the cause, and arguing about guns or no guns will have no effect on this.

I would like to remind you of an [article](#) that Lyndon LaRouche wrote in 1999 after the Columbine High School massacre. It was called, “Star Wars and Littleton.” In it he asserts that children are being turned into terrorists, and identifies two factors that are crucial, if you want to produce a killer.

He wrote:

While you might be watching any small portion of the Star Wars series, the most crucial epistemological issue stands out clearly at first glance. At that moment, you have merely to ask yourself: ‘Do these creatures look human to you?’ How could anyone excuse himself from overlooking the significance of that question?

How does one corrupt innocent children into becoming psychotic-like killers? The quick answer to that question, is: *dehumanize* the image of man. The details of the way this leads to the production of youthful “Nintendo” terrorists, are a more complicated matter. Nonetheless, it is no oversimplification to say, that once

that first step, dehumanizing the image of man, is accomplished, the axiomatic basis has been established, to make war, and killing, merely a childish game played according to a childish mind's perception of the importance of obeying the rules . . .

To dehumanize people, you create confusion about the difference between man and beast, and then you impose on society an arbitrary set of rules of conduct, which have no ability to take into account the most fundamental principle of the universe—the principle of change, and above that the ability for human beings uniquely to change their species characteristic and even their own personal identity.

We ran into this problem around the “Homeowners and Bank Protection Act,” which Lyndon LaRouche designed in 2007 to stop a bank collapse and keep millions of Americans from being foreclosed on, and thrown out into the streets. The biggest argument we got against this was, “Why should we protect them? They *signed* the mortgage, didn't they?” Assertion of arbitrary law—the fact that the banks had committed willful fraud to rip off unsuspecting elderly or poor people, was not considered an adequate reason to nullify the contractual agreement.

I am convinced that the deinstitutionalization of the mentally ill, which began in the 1970s in the United States, was done to try and make us look at the poor as less than human. “Crazy”—and many of them were.

Think about how you react to the word “homeless person.” Is that not synonymous with “crazy person?” And somehow, that is supposed to justify that we leave them on the streets to die of cold or disease, or commit violent crimes against other people.

The prisons in the United States are filled with people who are mentally ill, and many of them go untreated. So again, the rights of prisoners can be ignored, because they are “bad people” or “crazy people.” You don't have to worry about the fact that people can be



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

On the street in the capital of the United States, December 2010.

held in prison in the United States for years before they even have a trial because they are too poor to afford to post bail. Last winter in Brooklyn, during the so-called “arctic blast” when temperatures were minus 5 and 10 degrees Celsius [23° and 14°F], the prison had no heat for a week!

From there, it is not hard to map the same degradation onto refugees, or the poor, or handicapped, and so it goes.

The Nature of Creativity

But what is the true nature of man? This is the area of LaRouche’s breakthrough in the relationship between human creativity and physical economy. And there is a paradox here—Einstein wrote a delightful short paper about it—because a crucial discovery occurs in the mind of a single individual; it is not a collective act. But the individual would never be able to arrive at that breakthrough without the benefits of being part of a human society. Also, that discovery is only of value so long as the society is able to assimilate it. This was the breakthrough of the American Revolution and our Declaration of Independence asserting the right to “Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness.” The government exists to protect the possibility of individual creativity, which can, in turn be assimilated by the society as a whole.

Can we “demand” that people be creative? Let’s listen to LaRouche on this question. This is from a [speech](#) he delivered in May 1994, shortly after getting out of prison at the founding conference of a proposed “National Conservatory of Music Movement.” (That conference was the feature item in *EIR*, Vol. 21, No. 25, June 17, 1994.)

Mr. LaRouche said:

We now have in this country, and throughout the world, oppression. But the worst oppression is the oppression of the soul. Worse than mediocrity, the destruction of the sense of personality, the destruction of the ability to concentrate, the destruction of the recognition that one’s own self is in the image of God. The inability to recognize one’s own creativity. The inability to relive the experience of discovery of a great discoverer of the past, even simply the Pythagorean Theorem or something of that sort, where, by knowing that the child has himself or herself replicated

the experience of discovery of a great discoverer, the child knows: “I, too, have that power of creativity.” And when the child does that with a number of cases, the child says, “I have this creative power which I associate with God the Creator! I am in the image of God! It is true. Moses is right. I’m in the image of God—and so is he, and so is she.”

And then the child wants to celebrate it. And what better celebration than a poem? And what better poem than one that is sung properly?

And we require music. It’s a part of our mind. It’s proximate to our powers of creativity.

Imperial Opposition

There is a mythology—too widely accepted today—that creativity is unintelligible, subject to whim, and cannot be conjured up on demand. Bach, Haydn, Einstein, Kepler and all other true geniuses, including Lyndon LaRouche, would, of course, all vehemently object to this notion—as they demonstrated with their incredibly productive lives—but it’s popularly accepted opinion that we have to wait until we are inspired at some arbitrary time, or take a micro-dose of some very powerful psychotropic drug—so what then *is* creativity? Is it “innovation”? How does one measure it? How does one measure whether something is good for mankind? This is the area of LaRouche’s discoveries, in the relationship between creativity and physical economy—that you can increase the potential relative population density—that more people can live longer, happier lives per given area of land, and that this growth continues from generation to generation.

Take the Apollo Mission of President Kennedy. It wasn’t just a competition, although Sputnik may have been the initial shock. What was required of the American people to successfully land on the Moon, and return safely to Earth, was a full-scale mobilization of thousands, and the deployment of the best minds on the mission. As Americans saw themselves rising to the challenge, the effect was infectious—optimism always is. Accomplishing goals for the advancement of mankind which appeared impossible only decades earlier inspires a thirst for more and greater accomplishments.

It is not a coincidence that at the time we were engaged in this bold endeavor that the Civil Rights Move-

ment gathered strength, that African nations decided that they could secure their sovereignty and independence, and the Peace Corps was founded—we believed that poverty could be eliminated. Love of mankind, as demonstrated by Martin Luther King, Jr., as a student of Mahatma Gandhi and Christ, was not considered something born of a naive Pollyanna, but rather as a force of natural law.

This inspired view of mankind was too much for Zeus—otherwise known as the British Empire, and a massive onslaught was deployed to transform the culture of the United States from one of optimism to despair.

This too was no small effort—the Cuban Missile Crisis, the Kennedy assassinations, the King and Malcom X assassinations, the Vietnam War, MK-Ultra pushing drugs on the college campuses, the introduction of the environmentalist Earth Day, the funding of the Beatles. By 1972, the last time a man walked on the Moon, a year after Nixon decoupled the dollar from gold, we were a colorful mess of overgrown flower children and organization men, prepared to do everything necessary to satisfy ourselves—seeking pleasure and avoiding pain. People were prepared to cannibalize their own parents and children just to get ahead.

The Chorus: Social Creativity

Happily, since it is the nature of human beings to love the good and the beautiful, in spite of the ugliness and destruction, Lyndon LaRouche was able to build and recruit to a small but very powerful organization, which you see in part at this gathering, and you see growing once again in the United States. President Trump is an optimist, because he is a fighter, and that also inspires a certain quality of fight in the American people, but there's more. Listen to LaRouche from his 75th birthday:



NASA/JSC

Astronaut Neil Armstrong in the Apollo 11 lunar module, resting on the Moon's surface on July 20, 1969.

We are at the point where people want to know what to do. But it is very hard to explain what to do in the form of linear instructions—of course you know that Lyndon and Helga Zepp-LaRouche have written programs for every corner of the globe and all the way up to the first colony on Mars. But unless people can *think* creatively, none of this will work. We are not automatons.

Music is crucial for this. When he recruited his second youth movement early in this

millennium, LaRouche advocated the formation of choruses, and in discussion with John Sigerson developed a pedagogy around the Bach motet, *Jesu, meine Freude*.

Both of my co-panelists made critical contributions to this process, which I think they will tell you about when they speak—Antonella Banaudi brought



NASA

President Lyndon Johnson and Vice President Spiro Agnew watch the launch of the Apollo 11 Moon Shot at the Kennedy Space Center on July 16, 1969.

her knowledge of Italian *bel canto* to the Boston music group working on the Bach, in particular, to great effect, and Elvira Green, with our beloved late Sylvia Olden Lee, brought the knowledge and history of the Negro Spiritual (and much more), but the Negro Spiritual is so very important in uplifting anyone who



Schiller Institute

Sare conducts the Schiller Institute NYC Chorus in a 9/11 memorial concert at the Creative Cultural Center, St. Veronica, New York, New York, on September 8, 2019.

has suffered oppression.

Finally, as part of Mr. LaRouche's 2014 initiative to return to Manhattan as the center of the United States, in the tradition of Alexander Hamilton and the Constitution, we created a [chorus](#), which has become crucial to the organizing of the whole area. It has chapters in New Jersey, Brooklyn, Queens, and Manhattan, and when we get together, we are between 80 and 140 singers—more than that if our choruses from Virginia and Boston join in.

LaRouche proposed that we need to reach the level of 1,500 people, which we are still trying to figure out. I think anyone who knew Lyn has found themselves to be challenged at least on occasion by his vision!

What he explained is that the purpose of this chorus is not simply the effect on the so-called audience—and it has happened that much of our audience is now people who have sung in the chorus at some time, so it really is like an extended chorus of a few hundred—but he spoke often of the question of “placement of the voice,” which he meant both literally and metaphorically. Of course, we always sing and perform at the Verdi tuning of A = about 430 Hz, and we strive and struggle to achieve the Italian *bel canto* placement of our voices, to be able to sing beautifully and naturally. But placement is more importantly an idea of the mind—it is an idea through

which we each can participate in truth and beauty.

And Lyn knew this. He spoke about the way that the chorus would work, with the proficient, skilled singers leading the way, and the new singers developing confidence as they sang in a section with the stronger ones. The amazement expressed by our amateur singers after a concert, who often would be completely overwhelmed by their internal knowledge that they had participated in something of universal beauty, which they couldn't have produced alone, but in which their seemingly small role, or small—but well-placed—voice was indispensable.

I would like to close with a very short [video](#) of a sample of our progress, from our first public performance, a “sing-along” of Handel's *Messiah* in a local church, after a grand jury failed to indict the police officer who strangled a black man to death for selling cigarettes. We opened the concert with the canon *Dona nobis pacem* (give us peace). Sadly, two policemen were shot and killed the day of our concert. The video concludes with our memorial in almost Siberian weather for the members of the Russian Alexandrov Ensemble who died on Christmas Day 2016 in a plane crash in the Black Sea, to our recent concerts in 2018 and this year.

You see we have come a long way, but we still need about 1,000 more singers.

LaRouche and the Unity of Art and Science

by Antonella Banaudi

Ms. Banaudi is an operatic soprano and teacher. We present here her edited remarks as prepared for presentation on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute conference, "The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe," in Bad Soden, Germany. Subheads have been added.

Thank you for this precious time, during which I will try to give an idea of the importance of Lyndon's action for the good and the progress of humanity.

In 1737 in Leipzig, Lorenz Christoph Mizler, who was a student of J.S. Bach's, created a semi-secret society of the musical sciences. In order to be admitted, one had to present one's own musical composition of a mathematical nature, and a portrait of oneself. Mizler's aim was to show the connection between music and mathematics. The motto of the society was in fact, "Music is the Sound of Mathematics," a bit like saying that the word is the sound of poetry or that mathematics is the language of physics and science.

The aim of the society was to take music back to its Pythagorean origin, which is why it also attracted the participation of Georg Friedrich Handel. J.S. Bach officially entered the society in 1747; his *Art of Fugue* and his *Goldberg Variations* are brilliant expressions of music made according to the principles of geometric and arithmetic symmetry, as were compositions by Mozart, Beethoven, Schubert, up to Stravinsky.

These symmetries are similar to those of the golden ratio, whose highest expression is the canon. Another type of canon is that of Vitruvius, brilliantly illustrated by Leonardo da Vinci in his drawing, the "Vitruvian Man," a condensate of mathematical and harmonic knowledge of the human body.

Leonardo also studied the phyllotaxis that proceeds according to the principles of the golden proportion expressed by the irrational number $0.618\dots$, which was always considered as a representation of the universal law of Harmony. The ratio of the golden section or golden proportion is expressed in the golden spiral that shapes our cochlea, which then sends spiral signals to



Johanna Clerc

Antonella Banaudi

our brain and our mind by obeying a natural structure and shaping it in turn, causing us to unconsciously recognize the golden language, the beautiful proportion, when we encounter it in Art. The same applies to sight, because similarly, the cones that collect the light signals are also a golden spiral.

A close relative of the golden section is the Fibonacci series that orders sequences in plant and human life. For example, all proteins have five sides created according to the golden ratio; even the helix of DNA is in golden ratio, expressed with Fibonacci numbers. Some

spectacular galaxies are clearly in the form of a golden spiral. The exit from a planetary orbit is calculated as a golden spiral, and so is the progression of the collapse of the stars, as well as the harmonic ratios of the Pythagorean scale.

If we listened to music in the golden proportion, this would be in harmony with biology and with harmonic perception. Lyndon LaRouche studied this geometric proportion in his fundamental *Manual on the Rudiments of Tuning and Registration*.

Through the Human Voice

He did so through the human voice which, in addition to being the basic instrument for music, was defined by him as a truly golden living process. We know that to sing well means to have an educated ear. When you study singing, you educate the voice and the ear together, just as we educate the mind to proportion and harmonic beauty. A beautiful voice is the expression of a beautiful ear, just as a beautiful composition is the result of a beautiful mind, and when we listen to it, we are actually connected to the beautiful mind that created it.

LaRouche and the Schiller Institute have clearly postulated how much the voice and the mind—through the ear which is naturally based on the golden ratio—are one whole. So even music, if it really wants to be an expression of the Muses and Apollonian Beauty, should be structured with golden relationships between the degrees. The *golden ratio* belongs to the knowledge se-

cretly transmitted, that is why it was defined as “sacred,” and LaRouche says that there is nothing mysterious or mystical about seeing how the golden section is an absolute value of the living processes.

As further confirmation of the discoveries of Fibonacci and Leonardo, Johannes Kepler—inspired by Plato’s *Timaeus*—published the monumental *Mysterium Cosmographicum* in 1597, demonstrating that the Solar System and the proportions of its planetary orbits derive from the five Platonic solids and the golden section, just as the angular speeds of the planets in their elliptical orbits are proportioned according to the same ratios as the fundamental musical intervals.

With respect to the astronomical values of Kepler, LaRouche defined the C at 256 cycles per second as “Kepler’s interval in the Solar System.” In 1800, Carl Friedrich Gauss introduced conical spiral action, instead of the pure circular action proposed by Kepler. And LaRouche demonstrated just that conical spiral action in the voice of *Bel Canto*, which means beautiful singing.

It seems that the physicist Joel Sternheimer, a passionate musician, showed that the elementary particles are organized according to an order closely related to the musical scale based on the C at 256 Hz. The frequency of the proton is 2.26876×10^{23} , a value that corresponds almost exactly to the G of the 69th octave above the C at 256 Hz. In biophysical optics research, it has been demonstrated that the maximum absorption of electromagnetic radiation of DNA corresponds to a precise value that is at the 42nd octave above the C at 256.54. These are just a few examples that confirm with present day discoveries how much the “non-aligned” physicists knew about the scientific intonation of 432 Hz for the A, the A desired by Verdi, a brilliant Maestro whose aesthetic taste and knowledge was based on the idea of a beautiful voice and music.

An Acoustic Laser of Beauty

There’s an enlightening definition of the voice by LaRouche, a definition I’ve always perceived, showing

that sometimes you know without knowing that you know. I summarize it: “The human voice is for sound what the laser is for light, it is an acoustic laser generating the maximum density of electromagnetic singularities by the action of ‘unity’.” Now I wonder: Is this why, when we listen to a beautiful voice, *it is as if* we had the projection in our minds of a world of colors and shapes, which needs no justification to exist other than Beauty?


So far, I’ve contributed only a little to remember Lyndon’s scientific and artistic commitment from which we all learn. For me, he is a Maestro, in the ancient classical, Socratic sense. A Maestro is someone who, by his example, recalls and enlightens what is latent in others. A Maestro is someone who makes of his life a work of art.

His action is both scientific and artistic, to seek and communicate knowledge—knowledge of the human being and of the laws that govern the world, laws to which man must conform to build a harmonious, just and happy society. On the pediment of the Temple of Delphi is written, “Know yourself and you will know the Universe and the gods,” because everything obeys the same laws.

Knowledge then becomes *consciousness*, in the sense of an ethical obligation to realize the principles that distance us from the life of the brutes, as Dante urged us in the Canto of Ulysses in the *Inferno*: “You were not made to live as brutes, but to follow virtues and knowledge.”

Surely LaRouche has given me much more than I can ever give back. He ignited in me a passion for all aspects of music as science, which are countless and fascinating—research that will constantly enrich and change my vision of the world.

My memory of LaRouche is one of admiration, esteem and affection, because whoever knows how to light the spark in the minds of men, a spark called forth by his torch, is animated by a deep love for the good of humanity, and Lyndon LaRouche has lit it in each one of us. That is why we are here.



Leonardo da Vinci's Vitruvian Man (1487), sometimes called the Canon of Proportions.

TRUE FREEDOM THROUGH TRUE ART:

The Negro Spiritual's Unique Contribution to Classical Literacy in America

by Elvira Green

Ms. Elvira Green is the founder of the Spiritual Renaissance Singers of Greensboro, North Carolina. She has sung as a mezzo-soprano on operatic, concert, and musical theatre stages around the world for more than forty years. We present here her edited remarks as prepared for presentation on Nov. 17, 2019 at the Schiller Institute Conference, "The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe," in Bad Soden, Germany. She opened her remarks with a poem by James Weldon Johnson. Portions of her presentation are based on James Weldon Johnson's preface to his work, The Books of the American Negro Spirituals. Subheads have been added.



Johanna Clerc

Elvira Green

O black and unknown bards of long ago,
How came your lips to touch the sacred fire?
How, in your darkness, did you come to know
The power and beauty of the minstrel's lyre?
Who first from midst his bonds lifted his eyes?
Who first from out the still watch, lone and long,
Feeling the ancient faith of prophets rise
Within his dark-kept soul, burst into song?

Heart of what slave poured out such melody as
"Steal away to Jesus"?
On its strains his spirit must have nightly floated
free,
Though still about his hands he felt his chains.
Who heard great "Jordan roll"?
Whose starward eye saw chariot "swing low"?
And who was he that breathed that comforting,
melodic sigh,
"Nobody knows de trouble I see"?

What merely living clod, what captive thing,
Could up toward God through all its darkness grope,
And find within its deadened heart to sing
These songs of sorrow, love and faith and hope?
How did it catch that subtle undertone,
That note in music heard not with the ears?
How sound the elusive reed so seldom blown,
Which stirs the sound or melts the heart to tears?

Not that great German master in his dream
Of harmonies that thundered amongst the stars
At the creation, ever heard a theme
Nobler than "Go down Moses."
Mark its bars.
How like a mighty trumpet call they stir the blood.
Such are the notes that men have sung
Going to valorous deeds;
Such tones there were
That helped make history when time was young.

There is a wide, wide wonder in it all,
That from degraded rest and servile toil
The fiery spirit of the seer should call
These simple children of the sun and soil.
O black slave singers, gone forgot, unfazed,
You—you alone, of all the long, long line
Of those who've sung untaught, unknown, unnamed,
have stretched out upward, seeking the divine.

You sang not deeds of heroes or of kings;
No chant of bloody war, no exulting paean
Of arms—won triumphs; but your humble strings.
You touched in chord with music empyrean.
You sang far better than you knew;
The songs that for your listeners' hungry hearts
sufficed
Still live,—but more than this to you belongs:

You sang a race from wood and stone to Christ.

From whom did these songs spring—these songs unsurpassed among the folk songs of the world and in the poignancy of their beauty, unequalled? It would have been a noble achievement if the American settlers, having a common language and heritage, seeking liberty in a new land, faced with the task of conquering untamed nature, and stirred with a hope of building an empire, had created a body of folk music comparable to the Negro Spirituals.

In 1619 (400 years ago), a Dutch ship landed twenty African natives at Jamestown, Virginia. As many as had survived the passage were immediately thrown into slavery. Here they were, cut off from the moorings of their native culture, scattered without regard to their old tribal relations, having to adjust themselves to a completely alien civilization, having to learn a strange language, and, moreover, held under an increasingly harsh system of slavery; yet it was from these people that this mass of noble music sprang, this music which is America's only folk music—the finest distinctive artistic contribution she has to offer the world.

The music of “Go Down, Moses,” “Deep River,” “Stand Still Jordan,” “Walk Together Children,” “Roll Jordan Roll,” “Ride on King Jesus,” as examples, is always noble, and the sentiment of the Spirituals is always exalted. Never does their philosophy fall below the highest and purest motives of the heart. All the true spirituals possess dignity.

There are doubtless many persons who have heard these songs sung only on vaudeville or theatrical stages and have laughed uproariously at them because they were presented in humorous vein. They may have thought of them as a new sort of ragtime or minstrel song. Therefore these spirituals must be clothed in their primitive dignity to be properly appreciated and understood.

Creative Genius in Song

Although the Spirituals have been overwhelmingly accredited to the Negro as his own, original creation, there have been critics who have denied that they were original. The opinion of these critics is unsound; it is neither based on scientific nor historical inquiry, but rather on an unwillingness to concede the creation of so much pure beauty to a people they wish, even to this day, to feel to be absolutely inferior.

In Mr. James Weldon Johnson's writings, he re-

ferred to the “miracle” of the Negro Spiritual emanating from the music which the American Negro heard their masters sing; chiefly religious music. The Negro spirituals were not conceived out of an indebtedness to their white masters. The power to frame the poetic phrases that make up the titles of so many spirituals indicate the power to create the songs.

When the Fisk Jubilee Singers toured England, Scotland and Germany, spending almost eight months in Germany alone, their concerts were attended by the most musically cultured and sophisticated people, as well as the general public. Their European concerts constituted both an artistic sensation and a financial success for Fisk University, neither of which results could have been attained had their songs been mere imitations of European folk music, or adaptations of European airs.

The late Sylvia Olden Lee, the renowned exponent of the Negro Spirituals, as well as a member of the cultural advisory board of the Schiller Institute, compiled a massive catalogue of spirituals arranged by her and her contemporaries. These included Hall Johnson, Thomas Kerr, Edward Boatner, Margaret Bonds, Undine Smith Moore, John W. Work, to name a few. Sylvia, with whom I worked for several years, travelled the world as a coach, musical historian, pianist and accompanist to many renowned artists, both singers and instrumentalists. *The Memoirs of Sylvia Olden Lee, Premier African American Classical Vocal Coach*, reads like a one-on-one conversation with Mrs. Lee.

A few of the classically trained African Americans who are, and were, committed performers of the Negro Spiritual in our era include: Marian Anderson, William Warfield, Robert McFerrin, George Shirley, Leontyne Price, Kathleen Battle, and the late Jessye Noman.

Indeed, however, the Negro Spirituals' unique, most relevant contribution to classical literacy in America lends itself to an ever-widening musical opportunity in that its multi-racial and multi-ethnic “Americans” are more open to embracing this musical experience by including these songs in their choral and solo repertoire.

This year marks the 100th anniversary of the founding of the National Association of Negro Musicians, an organization created by James Weldon Johnson, the author of the poem I recited as the opening of my statement. This organization was founded only two months after Johnson spoke in May of 1919 at the National Conference on Lynching. That conference was held at

Carnegie Hall. I am proud that next month a group of singers associated with the National Association of Negro Musicians will take the stage at the same Carnegie Hall to sing the “Ode to Joy” chorus of Beethoven’s Ninth Symphony.

Johnson knew and lived the lesson of the Spirituals—human dignity is the birthright, and the province of every human soul. This was Beethoven’s conviction,

and we will celebrate that. Yet, “... Not that great German master in his dream of harmonies that thundered amongst the stars at the creation, ever heard a theme nobler than ‘Go down Moses’.”

We have always known that it is through Beauty of the soul, that one proceeds to true Freedom. That is the freedom song that is at the heart of every Negro Spiritual: The Beauty of the human soul.

Here and There, Now and Then, God Makes a Giant Among Men

by Jack Stockwell

Dr. Jack Stockwell was for years a talk-show host on the KTKK radio station near Salt Lake City, Utah. This is the edited transcript of his video message to the Schiller Institute conference, “The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe,” in Bad Soden, November 17, 2019.

Guten tag! Here and there, now and then, God makes a *giant* among men.

My name is Jack Stockwell, and it was my special privilege to know of, and to know personally Lyndon LaRouche in the late 1990s. I have stood before him in conferences, I’ve interviewed him over the radio several times, sat with him and Helga at dinner more than once—and can honestly say, I do not need even the finger of one hand to count a more commanding and imposing character in my life.

My first response to Lyn in my first radio interview with him, nearly—more than 20 years ago—was to find the nearest Oxford Dictionary! In all my radio experience, I had never interviewed someone where I felt so compelled, to not miss a word that fell from him mouth.

I have never heard such a concise, clear and conclusive understanding, of not just world events, but world history, as he gave me the tools to cut through the morass, the disinformation, the outright lies of modern media. He gave me a roadmap, a thread, to follow in understanding the great dichotomy, between the lowest



Courtesy of Jack Stockwell
Jack Stockwell

evils and the greatest virtues of mankind. To me, it simply boiled down to a basic understanding of economics and the source of credit, and the intent of one man upon another.

As many of you know, I had asked Lyn to be a guest once again, on my show, on Sept. 11, 2001. We started the show, as usual, with me trying desperately to sound his equal. And Lyn, once again, making the complicated seem so simple!

I did not have a television in the studio, but several lines started lighting up, as one caller after another shouted, “Turn on the TV! Turn on the TV!” I told Lyn to hold on while I went to an internet news feed—and there, in front of me, the Twin Towers were under attack. I fell back in my chair, just stunned beyond expression, as I tried to force the words to Lyn, as to what I was seeing. And he simply reacted, with what seemed to me to be his basic understanding of everything, as business as usual—only this time, unfortunately, it’s on our own soil.

And then he said something stunning to me, right after that. He said, “They’re going to blame this”—within *minutes* of knowing what happened, he said, “They’re going to blame this on Osama bin Laden.” Without any evidence, “They’re going to blame it on bin Laden,” and words to the effect that this would be the excuse for an invasive war in the Middle East, and it would be all over the control of the oil. And one more

thing he said about that, he said: Middle Eastern terrorists would not have the capability of carrying this off all by themselves. They had to have inside help.

And much of this has been proven true to my satisfaction.

Awareness of the Potential of Mankind

Now, what I've said speaks to my history with Lyn, but what about now? Does that influence, the summit of greatness that he instilled—is it still there? Was he just a passing fascination of mine, that dwindles as time passes? No! Not at all. In giving me and my listeners something higher to reach for than some knee-jerk conclusion, or options thrown about by every wind of doctrine, my worldview now focusses upon the best among us, the higher expressions of mankind, in art, in music, and social relations. He's given me a world paradigm that reaches beyond this world, beyond the Moon, beyond Mars, beyond imagination.

His concepts of the American System of credit, of just the Preamble to the U.S. Constitution, were regular topics on my show. And I felt, by the time I left that daily

program, I had received a college-level education of the motive forces behind world events—and even more, a solution to the meaning of what it means to be human.

In some anthologies yet to be written, regarding the American political, social, and financial history, a name is going to figure prominently among those volumes yet to be written, the name most unfairly attacked, suppressed or otherwise defamed, as that voice exposed to criminality, the usury, the outright fraud, behind the current systems of government and finance.

His was an understanding that *all* Americans and all those that love freedom ought to bring into their lives quickly, while there is yet life to see.

Whenever I hear the name the “LaRouche,” I pause for a moment and silently express gratitude for two things: One, he raised my understanding and increased my awareness of the world, and of the potential of mankind, to a higher level than I had ever expected or imagined before. And number two: He was my friend.

Thank you, everyone, for letting me share a rare moment of appreciation for a true *giant* among men. [applause]

Memorial Concert for Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. (1922-2019)

On the evening of November 16, 2019, a three-part concert was held at the site of the Schiller Institute conference in Bad Soden, Germany. Helga Zepp-LaRouche welcomed everyone to the event, held in memory of our beloved Lyndon LaRouche.

Robert Schumann

From Frauen-Liebe und Leben, Op. 42

Seit ich ihn gesehen

Er der Herrlichste von allen

From Liederkreis, Op. 39

Wehmut

Antonella Banaudi, *soprano*

Werner Hartmann, *piano*

* * *

Franz Schubert: Mass in G Major, D. 167

Schiller Institute Chorus and Chamber Orchestra

Werner Hartmann, *conductor*

Soloists: Johanna Clerc, *soprano*; Cedric Gougeon, *tenor*; Sébastien Drochon, *bass*

* * *

Ludwig van Beethoven: Sonata in E Minor, Op. 90

Franz Schubert: Fantasy in C Major, Op. 15 (D. 760) “Wanderer Fantasy”

Carlo Levi Minzi, *piano*

A CALL TO THE U.S. PRESIDENT

President Donald Trump: Bring Justice to the World—Exonerate Lyndon LaRouche!

Helga Zepp-LaRouche addressed the concluding session of the November 16-17 Schiller Institute conference in Bad Soden, Germany, “The Future of Humanity as a Creative Species in the Universe,” to ask those gathered to support a resolution to exonerate her late husband, Lyndon LaRouche. The 300 conference participants supported the resolution, which follows after her remarks here, by acclamation.

As you have probably realized over the last two days, if not earlier, the difference between the slanders against Lyndon LaRouche, and who he really was and is, is beyond enormous. There is not one slander in the world that I can think of that has not been thrown against him. He has been called everything from KGB, CIA, Vatican agent, anti-Semitic, racist, youth cult leader—when Lyn became older than 90, they stopped saying that one, because it became sort of obvious that this was not the case.

Wilhelm von Humboldt, when he talked about Schiller after he had passed away, discussed the effect Schiller had had in terms of not only his writings, but also of the many personal discussions with him and how he touched other people’s lives. He said the real significance of Schiller was that totality; it was not just the writings, it was the many people he inspired and transformed through personal contact.

I have spent much time with Lyn during the better part of the last 46 years. We travelled to Peru, to Brazil, to Mexico, to Sudan; I think it was altogether about 40 countries, many of them many times, such as Italy. Also we went to many states in the United States; in the Presidential campaigns we normally would go to almost all 50 states. In the course of this, Lyn met so many human beings. If you look at some of the older videos, you see halls full of young people listening to him, absolutely mesmerized by the words of this great man.

We are up against a large enemy, and the mass media who declared Lyndon LaRouche *persona non grata*.

For this reason, in 1995, we convened a great Commission of Inquiry concerning, among other issues, the LaRouche legal case. Theo Mitchell was present, and also Jozef Mikloško. This Commission was conducted because it was realized that the LaRouche case was exactly like the prosecutions of hundreds of elected officials of the United States, state legislators—especially black people, African-Americans. They therefore declared LaRouche an honorary Fröhmann, something Lyn accepted with absolute pride, and took as his Badge of Honor.

If, after having participated in this conference, you agree that it is a question of absolute historic necessity that the name of Lyndon LaRouche be cleared, I ask you to support by acclamation of this conference, a resolution that we will formulate as an appeal to President Trump to immediately grant pardon or exoneration to Lyn. His work will stand on its own. But as I said at the Commission of Inquiry in 1995, the criminals cannot be allowed to continue to commit such crimes. The crimes, then, were committed by the same forces who are now making the coup against President Trump, who covered up 9/11, and who prosecuted Lyn. These are all the same forces.

The crime these people committed was not just that my husband, and innocent man, went to jail, as did a number of his colleagues; one of them, Dennis Small, is sitting right here on this podium. The real crime was that by slandering him and prosecuting him in this way, they prevented so many people in the United States and around the world from having unprejudiced access to the great ideas of my husband.

I’m absolutely convinced—you may agree with me or not—that Lyn was the greatest individual of his time. Together, we have met many great people; we met with Indira Gandhi, we met about 40 heads of state and many other exceptional individuals of many, many countries. But Lyn was a towering giant. And I, personally, I’m absolutely convinced that it *is* an historical necessity that his name be cleared, so that the

many young generations to come, and those living right now, have unrestrained access to his ideas, because, for our own humanity, this is absolutely necessary.

So I am asking you to help send this resolution, but

also to become active with the Schiller Institute, because we need many, many people to help us to realize the beautiful dream of Lyndon LaRouche. So, I am asking you for your confirmation to send this resolution to President Trump.

Resolution to Exonerate LaRouche In the Name of Justice for Mankind

In unique moments of history, a single decisive action can evoke justice for an entire era, for all nations. The universal poet of freedom, Friedrich Schiller, in his masterpiece *Don Carlos*, had the Marquis of Posa urge King Philip to “become among a million kings, a king,” and bring justice to the Netherlands and all Europe.

President Trump, the time has come to posthumously exonerate Lyndon H. LaRouche, who was railroaded and unjustly incarcerated from 1989 to 1994. This is needed both to bring justice for the man, and justice for his ideas. Each and all of our na-

tions, especially our future generations, need unfettered access to his unique discoveries in physical economy; to his contributions to classical culture and physical science; to his policies for great infrastructure projects and economic development—access that can only come from ending the decades of slanders and vilification, which only exoneration can provide.

The great, existential crises facing our nations and the world, and the unique contributions which the ideas of LaRouche can make to their solution, bestir us to call for the urgent exoneration of Lyndon LaRouche.



EIRNS/Chris Lewis

The Schiller Institute's November 16-17 conference in Bad Soden, Germany concludes with enthusiastic support for Helga Zepp-LaRouche's proposal for a resolution to be presented to President Trump to exonerate Lyndon LaRouche.

Conference Dialogue— Statecraft, Science and Culture

by Marcia Merry Baker

Nov. 23—In addition to extensive informal discussion among the 300 attendees, from 30 nations, over the two days of the Schiller Institute’s November 16-17 conference in Bad Soden, Germany, there were four question-and-answer periods for the whole assembly. The 23 panelists, three video messages, and many video and audio clips from Lyndon LaRouche himself, provoked a rich discussion. Prominent among the topics, were remarks and questions concerning Africa, Russia, space, nuclear energy, scientific musical pitch, and La-

Rouche’s perspective on development and God, as well as impromptu remembrances of LaRouche.

On the second day, as the conference was coming to a close, Helga Zepp-LaRouche expressed the strong view, that the fourth panel—“Beauty and Classical Art as Mankind’s Vocation: The Cultural Silk Road”—would have been better earlier on the agenda:

We should not have had this panel at the end, because it is the most important discussion. After the collapse of the French Revolution, Schiller wrote in his [aesthetical letters](#) that from then on, improvement in politics can only come from the aesthetic education of man. That’s the reason why I’m in politics. The populations are now awfully degenerate, stupid, and manipulated. Why are they not standing up against policies that will destroy us? They are numb. Schil-



ler and Lyn were in cohesion. People have to become better people through great classical art....

In the course of the discussion, several issues came up concerning Africa. It was reported that 14 countries in the France-associated CFA currency bloc are now seeking “to end their monetary slavery.” From the podium, Dennis Small drew out the principles involved, that all economic thinking has to start from physical economy, not money, and that control over currency is an issue of national sovereignty. “Credit is the future; money belongs to Satan.”

Another questioner, referring to the Russia-Africa Summit in Sochi in October, asked, “How is Russia’s approach to Africa different from that of France, and its long colonialist domination?” In reply, Prof. Andrei Ostrovskii, Deputy Director of Russia’s Insti-



EIRNS/Christopher Lewis

tute of Far Eastern Studies, who spoke during the conference's first session, referred to the long history of the Soviet Union in Africa, from the 1950s through to 1990. Then came the break. But now, as of 2019, Russia will develop new connections on the African continent. Zepp-LaRouche added that development in Africa is "where mankind must go," and Russia will help, with nuclear power in particular. She stressed the point that countries need to collaborate in advancing economic development, to be powerful enough to overcome the Wall Street/London grip. Two African audience members recalled that there was an early nuclear power project in the Congo, a research reactor in Kinshasa (in 1958), and Congolese uranium went to Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

There was also an exchange of views over the prospects of Pan-Africanism.

The status of economic development in Russia's Far East was a subject of much interest. In reply to a question about this, including whether there is a Glass-Steagall aspect to Russian banking, Ostrovskii said that banking cooperation between Russia and China is "the

weakest link" in their relations. For example, Russia's Sverbank does not even have a branch in China, just a representative.

Another line of discussion was provoked on the first day, when an audience member said that he was concerned about the threat of Albania harboring "Islamic terrorists in the heart of Europe." Members of the Albanian delegation took issue with the line of reasoning necessary to reach that conclusion, during informal discussion. Then on the second day, an audience member spoke of the historical contributions of Islam. For example, Averroës, in Andalusia in the 12th century, was active in science, poetry, and music. However, again in informal discussion, an Islam scholar pointed out that the merits of Averroës are questionable, while the great contributions of Ibn Sina (ca. 970-1037 A.D.) are in-

disputable.

The depth of the dialogue throughout the proceedings was indicated in an exchange over fusion energy research, and how discovery of a new state of matter is involved. Jacques Cheminade, former French Presiden-



EIRNS/Christopher Lewis

tial candidate and a conference speaker and moderator, pointed out that in all our studies and endeavors, the purpose is "not personal improvement, but to improve social conditions for society" to make advances. He said that "the principle of creativity is the principle of goodness."

NEW PAMPHLET RELEASED

U.S. McCarthyite Attack on China is an Attack on President Trump

Nov. 22—A [pamphlet](#) released Nov. 22 by *Executive Intelligence Review* shows that the same people who are running the attempted coup against President Donald Trump—both the Russiagate hoax and the phony impeachment hearings—are behind the anti-China hysteria in the U.S., and for the same reason: to sabotage President Trump’s absolute commitment to be friends with both China and Russia in order to end the British Imperial effort to provoke a military confrontation between the U.S., and Russia and China.

The McCarthyite hysteria against China is run through close collaboration between the Democratic Party leadership, neocon elements in the Republican Party (including members of Trump’s own administration, such as Vice President Pence, Secretary of State Pompeo, and FBI Chief Christopher Wray), and, of course, the entire corrupt press, both the “pro-Trump” and “anti-Trump” versions of the fake-news media. On Nov. 22, during an interview with President Trump on the “Fox and Friends” show, the journalists tried to bait the President into “standing up for Hong Kong” by supporting the outrageous “Hong Kong Human Rights and Democracy Act” passed last week in both houses of Congress with only one dissenting vote. Trump responded: “I will stand up for Hong Kong, but I will also stand up for President Xi. He’s a friend of mine, he’s an incredible guy.”

That bill is a provocation for war, by openly backing the terrorist gangs who are destroying the city of Hong Kong, a part of the sovereign Chinese State since its liberation from the British Empire in 1997—destroying



transportation systems, burning down hundreds of businesses, and killing or setting on fire people who oppose their Jacobin violence. President Trump has firmly opposed the violence, and said that resolving the crisis is up to the sovereign Chinese state.

The *Executive Intelligence Review*’s 24-page pamphlet, *End the McCarthyite Witch Hunt Against China and President Trump*, includes an introduction by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, President of the Schiller Institute, who is known in China as the “Silk Road Lady.” Zepp-LaRouche reviews the phenomenal development within China since the “reform and opening up”

under Deng Xiaoping, which is now close to reaching the goal of fully eliminating poverty in China. She demonstrates that President Xi Jinping’s New Silk Road—the Belt and Road Initiative—is a new paradigm for cooperation of all nations on Earth, extending China’s historic internal transformation into an infrastructure-based policy to finally bring real development to the formerly colonized nations of the world.

The pamphlet exposes the vile, racist campaign, led by FBI Director Christopher Wray, to accuse every Chinese person working or studying in the United States—and even leading American scientists of Chinese descent—as potential spies who must be investigated. Universities and scientific institutions have been ordered to spy on their Chinese and Chinese-American employees or face losing federal funding for their programs. The project has driven leading cancer and Parkinson’s disease researchers out of their jobs, while terrorizing people of Chinese descent across America.

Another chapter exposes the perverse Falun Gong cult as a front for the war hawks in the Committee on the Present Danger—China, led by Stephen Bannon, to induce Trump supporters to swallow the McCarthyite lie that China is out to take over the world and impose anti-American communist dictatorships worldwide. Another chapter documents the transformation taking place across Africa and South and Central America through the Belt and Road Initiative, where, for the first time in history, the formerly colonized nations have a reason to be hopeful that they can escape from poverty, as China has, through vast infrastructure projects—precisely the infrastructure denied them by the IMF-dictated “appropriate technologies” myth. America, too, would benefit greatly if President Trump can establish collaboration with China to help rebuild the rotting infrastructure in the United States.

The pamphlet also demonstrates that U.S. relations with China over the past century and more have always been in direct confrontation with British colonialism, as Presidents Abraham Lincoln and Ulysses Grant fought to use American System methods of infrastructure building to counter the British opium trade and colonial looting of Asia. China’s republican Founding Father, Sun Yat-sen, was a proud sponsor of the Hamiltonian “American System” against British colonialism, and China’s President Xi Jinping today is driving exactly such a policy to build modern industrial nations based on sovereignty and development. That fight for the American System over British imperial policies continues today, and will be advanced by President Trump’s efforts to resolve the trade issues and establish friendly relations with Beijing.

Moment of Danger, and of Great Potential

This intervention by the LaRouche movement comes at a moment of great danger, with the western financial system beginning to unravel, and British and American geopoliticians trying to provoke military confrontations with China and Russia. Nearly the entire U.S. Congress and all of the mainstream media are lying that Xi Jinping is a vicious dictator, oppressing his people, denying their right to practice religion, and using the Belt and Road as an imperial trick to take over the world. The fact that many, perhaps a majority, of Americans and Europeans accept this “big lie,” is an existential danger to the future of civilization. A war with China, and/or Russia, would mean the end of civilization as we know it.

The pamphlet quotes from a 1997 speech by the late statesman and economist Lyndon LaRouche, while the former Soviet Union was being dismembered by western bankers and carpetbaggers. Even then, Mr. LaRouche insisted on the urgent and special role of the U.S.-China relationship. He said:

There are only two nations which are respectable left on this planet. That is, nations of respectable power. That is the United States, particularly the United States, not as represented by the Congress, but by the President. It is the identity of the United States, which is a political power, not some concatenation of its parts. The United States is represented today only by its President, as a political institution. The Congress does not represent the United States; they’re not quite sure who they do represent, these days, since they haven’t visited their voters recently. . . .

Now, there’s only one other power on this planet, which can be so insolent as that, toward other powers, and that’s the [People’s] Republic of China. Now, China is engaged, presently, in a great infrastructure-building project, in which my wife and others have had an ongoing engagement over some years. There’s a great reform in China, which is a troubled reform. They’re trying to solve a problem; that doesn’t mean there is no problem. But they’re trying to solve it. Therefore, if the United States, the President of the United States, and China, participate in fostering that project, sometimes called the Silk Road Project, sometimes the Land-Bridge Project—if that project of developing development corridors, across Eurasia, into Africa, into North America, is extended, that project is enough work, to put this whole planet, into an economic revival.

Now is a moment of tremendous potential for a new paradigm for human progress. The LaRouche program calls for the great powers—China, Russia, India and the United States—to establish a New Bretton Woods system for universal human development. This is now within reach. The defense of President Trump against the ongoing attempted coup, and America joining in the spirit of the New Silk Road, are both urgent and feasible—and the alternative is unthinkable.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review EIR Online



EIROnline gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.

EIR DAILY ALERT SERVICE

EIR's new Daily Alert Service provides critical news updates and analysis, based on EIR's 40-year unparalleled track record in covering global developments.



SUBSCRIBE (e-mail address must be provided.)

EIR Online

- \$ 360 for one year
- \$ 180 for six months
- \$ 120 for four months
- \$ 90 for three months
- \$ 60 for two months

EIR DAILY ALERT SERVICE

- \$ 100 one month (introductory)
- \$ 600 six months
- \$ 1,200 one year (includes EIR Online)

For mobile users, EIR and EIR Daily Alert Service are available in html

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa

Discover Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____

EIR can be reached at: www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: fulfillment@larouchepub.com Call 1-800-278-3135 (toll-free)