

Our Constitution versus Liberalism

“I don’t have to get to the Constitutional question,” replied Barack Obama to a question on his Libya war at his June 29 press conference. That’s what *he* thinks! The issue before the American people is all about the Constitution and the republican principles it embodies—a Constitution which represents the very antithesis of the British Liberal-Imperial system which is destroying us as a nation, and the world as a whole.

To listen to Obama, and his Soros-mouthpiece State Department Legal Counsel Harold Koh, in testimony before Congress, you would think that the Constitution has nothing to do with the launching of wars, such as that against Libya. Koh went so far as to say that the “limited” nature of the bombing campaign meant that Congress did not have to approve the operation: It just needed to give support to the President. It’s not a matter of principle, but policy, he said.

Sen. John Kerry, more surprisingly, uttered similar sophistries, not once mentioning the Constitutional principle that Congress has the sole power to declare war. Like Koh, he said it was the duty of Congress to support the President, and that it hadn’t done so in time—as if the idea was that it should be a rubber stamp.

To a patriot, the issue of the Libya war should be clear: whether we are a republic, in which Congress carries out its Constitutional mandate, or a dictatorship, where Congress obeys a President who decides the questions of war and peace.

Constitutional principle also lies at the center of the other major crisis which the United States faces, that of the ongoing blowout and breakdown of the economic and financial system. Specifically, our Constitution mandates that we function as a credit system on behalf of the general wel-

fare. That mandate makes the Glass-Steagall principle, implemented by President Franklin Roosevelt, an integral part of our Constitutional functioning.

Thus, not only is the reinstating of Glass-Steagall today, as in Rep. Marcy Kaptur’s H.R. 1489, an indispensable move toward restoring our economy to health, and restarting progress, but Glass-Steagall’s restoration is also a matter of restoring Constitutional principle—specifically, freedom from the tyranny of the British imperial monetarism, a.k.a. “the international markets.”

Will Americans rise to the occasion and fight to defend their Constitution today? Or have we so submitted to British Liberalism that we would allow a British puppet dictator to take over and destroy our nation?

You’ll easily recognize the poison of British liberalism in our nation today—not only in our politicians, but in your neighbors and yourselves. This Liberalism stems from the fundamental premise that *there is no truth*, only the interpretation of sensual experience. Human beings are basically intelligent animals, in this view, expressed most nakedly in Adam Smith’s 1759 *Theory of Moral Sentiments*, where he rejects the idea of man made in the image of God, in favor of the assertion that each person can only pursue his own pleasure and pain, and let the results turn out as they may.

Our history says that the creation of our nation has been based, however imperfectly, on rejecting such Satanic ideas, and fighting for the *principle* of man, “endowed by the Creator with unalienable rights.” At this late hour, we either take up and win that fight now—or watch our nation perish.