

After viewing Kucinich’s press conference, several members of Congress told this author that it was clear to them that Obama had accused Kucinich of supporting LaRouche’s agenda—most specifically, LaRouche’s call for Obama’s removal from office. When Kucinich was asked directly if this was, what, in fact, had occurred, a distraught Kucinich refused to discuss it.

Kucinich’s normally loyal base apparently responded badly to the flip. Today, Kucinich called another press conference, to announce that he would return all contributions that had been made by voters who did so believing that he would oppose Obama’s death-care bill.

As of this writing, members of both the Progressive and the Hispanic Caucuses continue to get personal calls from the President. Publicly, they report Obama’s pitch is that this bill has to be passed for the health and strength not only of *his* Presidency, but of the *institution*

of the Presidency, and that the Democratic Party will otherwise be irreparably damaged—if not destroyed. Privately, one leader of the Hispanic Caucus admitted that he was shocked when the President bluntly demanded to know what his relationship was to LaRouche.

According to the most recent report issued by the House Democratic Whip’s office, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi is still at least 8 votes short of the 216 votes she needs to win passage. Ultimately, though, that is hardly the issue. Passage of the bill will *not* save Obama’s Presidency. In reality, passage of the hated measure may actually hasten the end of Obama’s Presidency. And, in reality, the President is right to fear LaRouche. It is LaRouche’s policy, as well as LaRouche’s declaration of war on Obama’s British controllers, that pose the greatest threat to Obama’s treason.

## Lest You Forget: The Nazi Nature of Obamacare

March 19—Contrary to many of the lies which the American public has been fed over the recent weeks’ fanatical drive by the Obama Administration to pass its health-care “reform,” this bill represents a craven, evil attempt to establish the philosophy, and specifics of Hitler’s “health-care” system into U.S. law. The following foundations of the bill, in summary, tell the story.

- The entire rationale of the bill, as expressed by the President, his budget director Peter Orszag, Orszag’s special advisor Ezekiel Emanuel, and others, is to *cut* health-care costs, which the Administration repeatedly, lyingly asserts are *the* cause of the fiscal problems of the United States—while they continue to pour trillions into the financial sector.

- The scope of the cuts identified by these officials amounts to a stated 30% of medical expenditures, which they have repeatedly designated as “not good” for your health.

- The means for determining what health expenses are “not worth it” are provided for, throughout the legislation, including such tools as “comparative

effectiveness research.” The underlying philosophy of this research is identical to that of the Nazis’ view that there are some lives “not worthy to be lived,” because the cost of treatment, or simply sustenance, is too expensive.

- Another tool for determining which treatments would be paid for, is the establishment of an Independent Medicare Advisory Board, a panel of experts identical in function to that set up by Hitler in 1939, called Tiergarten 4, i.e., a “death panel.” Those experts ruled on the life or death of patients; Obama’s experts would rule—unless overruled by Congress—on life or death, by determining what health care would be paid for. A prime example of the direction of their thinking is the ruling by the Preventive Services Taskforce on mammograms: cut them back.

- The primary targets of the cutbacks in care, are the old and sick, what Hitler called the “useless eaters,” who receive Medicare and Medicaid. Obama expressed the same philosophy, when he said he was not sure that Medicare should have paid for his grandmother’s hip replacement.

- Far from cutting out the private sector, the Obama plan maintains and strengthens the control of the HMOs and the drug conglomerates over the health care, guaranteeing their income stream, profits, and power: a corporatist, i.e., fascist arrangement.