

LaRouche Dems Take Lead Of Anti-British Insurgency

by Harley Schlanger

Feb. 1—With just four weeks remaining before the March 2 Texas primary, Kesha Rogers took a decisive step toward leadership of the anti-British, anti-incumbent insurgency sweeping the United States. Rogers, who is one of three members of the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM) running for the U.S. Congress, issued a statement condemning President Obama’s budget, which would eliminate funding for NASA’s manned space program, in favor of a privatization scam, which would “turn NASA into a plaything for a handful of rich people who want a space adventure.”

She warned that this will not only destroy NASA, but the U.S. economy as well, since privatization of NASA “will eliminate the potential for scientific advance.”

Rogers, who is running for the Democratic Party nomination in the 22nd Congressional District, which includes NASA’s Johnson Space Center, added, “As a Democrat in the tradition of John Kennedy and Franklin Roosevelt, I



LPAC videograb

Kesha Rogers, campaigning for the 22nd Congressional District seat in Texas, home to NASA, is waging a fight to prevent the privatization of the space program, as proposed in President Obama’s budget. This would “turn NASA into a plaything for a handful of rich people who want a space adventure,” Rogers charged.

adamantly reject this decision. We must return to the tradition of scientific and technological progress. It is now time to declare our intention to have operative

colonies on the Moon and Mars by 2050. We can only accomplish that the Constitutional way: with Federal credit directed through a protectionist national banking system, never by relying upon the ‘good graces’ of free markets, cutting social safety nets, or taxing the American people to death.”

Rogers further pointed to the bitter irony, that President Obama, who won election in 2008 by promising to change the direction of the U.S., from the British-directed destruction imposed by the Bush-Cheney regime, has instead adopted the very “Bush League” approach of that thoroughly discredited administration. By opting for the privatization of our space program, he is advocating the very same policy which Bush-Cheney introduced for privatizing the military, with the Rohatyn-Shultz directed model to privatize the U.S. defense sector. In doing so, he is joining forces with the Bush League incumbent Republican Congressman in the 22nd District, Rep. Pete Olson, who is an outspoken supporter of the NASA-killing budget cuts.

A ‘Game-Changing Insurgency’

After releasing this statement, Rogers was greeted warmly at a meeting convened by the Tea Party in Clear Lake, Texas. She and her fellow LYM candidates for Congress—Summer Shields, running to oust House Speaker Nancy Pelosi in California’s 8th CD; and Rachel Brown, who is campaigning to defeat the deservedly unpopular “Bailout” Barney Frank in Massachusetts’ 4th CD—have been speaking before enthusiastic audiences, made up of angry and frustrated citizens, who have been mobilized to action by the nation-wrecking policies of the Obama team.

This process, which was identified during last Summer’s uprising at Congressional town meetings against Obama’s so-called health reform bill, by Lyndon LaRouche, as the unfolding of a mass strike process, is moving into a potential “game-changing” insurgency. The vote in Massachusetts on Jan. 19, in a special election to replace the late Sen. Edward Kennedy, demonstrated the maturation of this “Mass. strike” into an insurgent movement, which cannot be ignored by the otherwise deluded, self-obsessed fools



LPAC videograb

Rachel Brown is running to defeat the deservedly unpopular “Bailout” Barney Frank in Massachusetts’ 4th CD. In the final days of Scott Brown’s (no relation) victory in the special election for Ted Kennedy’s Senate seat, Rachel Brown issued a mass-circulation leaflet, entitled “There Is an Alternative to Obama’s Nazi ‘Health Care’ plan: It’s called ‘The LaRouche Plan.’”

in Washington. No matter how they, and their media allies, wish to spin it, the vote in Massachusetts, which propelled little known Republican State Sen. Scott Brown into the U.S. Senate, was a repudiation of Obama and his health-care policies, which are modeled on Adolf Hitler’s 1939 plan to kill off “useless eaters.”

The vote against Democrat Martha Coakley, who embraced the Obama agenda, crossed party lines, including independents, voters from union households, and “progressive” Democrats, as well as Republicans. Given that registered Democrats greatly outnumber Republicans in Massachusetts, Brown could not have won without this outpouring of rage and indignation directed against the White House, which continues to spout its fairy tales about an economic recovery, while millions of families have lost their jobs and their homes. Many of those who voted for Brown, identified their anger at Washington’s deafness to their calls for help, along with opposition to Obamacare and the continuing bailout of Wall Street’s “Too Big To Fail” banks, as reasons for voting for Scott Brown.

In the closing days of the campaign, Rachel Brown intervened with a mass-circulation leaflet, titled “There Is an Alternative to Obama’s Nazi ‘Health Care’ plan: It’s called ‘The LaRouche Plan.’” In this statement, Brown took on not only the insanity coming from Wash-

ington, but the idiocy in the attempt to channel popular anger into blind attacks on government. This addresses a weakness in the outlook of many of those rallying against the Obama Administration, that Obama represents “Big Government.”

The reality, as Rachel Brown pointed out in her address to a town meeting at a downtown Boston hotel on Jan. 23, is that what Obama represents, is what happens when forces allied to the British monarchy and the City of London financial center take over our government. Those who wish a return to our Constitutional principles, which has been a rallying cry for the anti-Obama, anti-incumbent movement, ought to study how the Founding Fathers defeated the British in our American Revolution. Brown polemically pointed to the credit policy of the Massachusetts Bay Colony, to demonstrate that it is not too much government, but *imperial control* of government, which is the problem. When the people take control of credit policy, acting through representative government, as our Founding Fathers did, then we can build our nation, through investment in scientific and technologically progressive infrastructure and industry.

This, she concluded, is the essence of “The LaRouche Plan.”

Patriots Build; They Don’t Push Austerity

All three LYM campaigns have taken up this theme, which has been at the center of the fight waged by LaRouche for the last four decades. As LaRouche has warned, the reaction of forces tied to the British monarchy and the City of London, including nominally “American” forces on Wall Street, to the collapse of the financial system, is to attempt to impose “Schachtian” fascist austerity policies on governments, through removing the economic sovereignty of nations, as the European Union has done to the states of Europe. Named after Hjalmar Schacht, who became Hitler’s Economics Minister, under orders from his Anglo-American controllers from Brown Brothers



LPAC videograb

Summer Shields plans to give the voters in California’s 8th CD (San Francisco) a real lift, by replacing House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, under whose mis-leadership, the approval rating of Congress has plunged to an all-time low.

Harriman, Schachtian austerity represents an attempt to eke out loot from an already collapsed system, through drastic cuts in government spending and wages, to provide an income stream for collapsed financial institutions. This policy does not differentiate between necessary spending—such as for infrastructure, credit for industry and small businesses, and the social safety net—and unconstitutional spending, such as the bailout of the swindlers and crooks of Wall Street.

This is precisely what the Obama team, led by probably indictable criminals such as Larry Summers, Timothy Geithner, and Federal Reserve chairman Ben Bernanke, with full support of the President, are attempting to do. When the most blatant effort to do this, by using a “health care” bill to direct more money to insurance companies, HMOs, and the drug companies, while slashing medical care to the American people, was defeated by the vote in Massachusetts, this team moved to Plan B: massive budget cuts, under the guise of saying to the insurgents, “We hear you.”

The LYM candidates have identified this fraud, as can be seen by recent statements they have released, which can be found on their websites.