

Singer: ‘Everybody Will Lose’

Listen to what (to offer an exact description) Fred Singer² had to say at the same conference in Berlin:

“The final word I have is for those of you who are concerned about Germany and the economy of Germany. I have here something which was put out by the BDI [Federation of German Industry]. They accept every bit of nonsense from the IPCC! How is it possible that people who are educated, maybe even have engineering degrees, can go along with this kind of nonsense? They think that perhaps they will benefit from it. They will not! Everybody will lose. Everyone here will lose, and it is a shame that these things can happen. I read the names of some of the people: Keitel, Löscher, and Schulz.

“First of all, it is clear that we have no climate problem, and certainly no climate problem that can be tackled by human efforts. The question is: Why all this excitement? What is going on? And if you look into it closely, you can see that it is a question of money and power. I’m not into this business, but it is useful for those of you who are in the press or in the media to find out who benefits from all of this and who loses. Well, I can tell you who loses: the population as a whole.

“Now, what is the great disappointment to us from outside of Germany is to see what has happened here in this country when the government changed. We had expected and hoped for a change similar to what has happened, let’s say, in Australia in the last week, when they turned down cap and trade, the Emissions Trading System, decisively. We would think the same thing will happen in the United States. Here we find that the Black-Yellow [Christian Democratic-Free Democratic] government is following steps that are no different from the Red-Green [Social Democratic-Green]; in fact, it’s worse. A 40% cut by 2020? That is ridiculous! It doesn’t make sense.”

This monstrous dumbing down of the population must stop. There is only one reasonable theme to be discussed in Copenhagen, namely, how the greatest systemic crisis in the history of mankind can be overcome, how the poverty which cries to heaven, in which more than half of mankind lives, can be overcome.

The only practicable concept for doing that, has been proposed by Lyndon LaRouche, with his Four-Power alliance for a new credit system.

2. An American atmospheric physicist who has held many government and academic posts, and currently heads the private Science & Environmental Policy Project.

Interview: Lord Christopher Monckton

The Queen, Copenhagen, And ‘Climate Change’

Britain’s Lord Monckton was science advisor to Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher during the 1980s. Stephan Ossenkopp interviewed him at the International Conference on Climate Change, in Berlin on Dec. 4, 2009. The conference was sponsored by the Institute for Entrepreneurial Freedom (Institut für Unternehmerische Freiheit, IUF), the European Institute for Climate and Energy (EIKE), the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC), and the Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow (CFACT).

EIR: Environmentalism and the climate change theory have been the main obstacle to industrializing the poor regions of the world. With that obstacle gone, should we not turn towards these poor countries and make them an offer to allow them to industrialize quickly?

Monckton: Of course it is not our gift to allow them to industrialize; it is theirs to allow themselves to industrialize. What they must do is no longer be cowed and bullied by the UN and by the IPCC [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change] and by these bogus scientists with their bogus theories. They must say, “No, we do not need to worry about saving the planet anymore; those days are over. Therefore, if want to build fossil-fueled power stations, we will do it for the sake of lifting our people out of poverty.”

That is the line which is being taken by China, South Africa, Brazil and India. They are taking a joint stance by saying, “We will not have any compulsory limit of our emissions. We will not have any mitigation actions at all, unless somebody else pays for them. And we will not have anyone come in and inspect what we do in mitigation unless somebody else has paid for that miti-



EIRNS/Michelle Rasmussen

gation.” And they have also said, “We will not allow the climate to be used as an excuse or a barrier to free trade.”

All of those four policies I think are very sensible policies, which all the countries of the Third World should endorse and take up and follow. They should no longer be bullied by the West.

EIR: The Queen gathered 53 heads of state in Trinidad recently and made the point that the British Commonwealth should gain a global perspective through the Copenhagen Conference. What is this all about?

Monckton: This is the first time that Her Majesty has spoken out of turn and against her constitutional requirement not to intervene into politics, since she took the throne. This is a very sad day. I am a very loyal subject to Her Majesty. She should not have said what she said. Of course, one cannot ask her to withdraw it now that it has been said, but what one can ask, is that she had better not ever say anything of that character again, in one direction or



Commonwealth Secretariat/Plc. Kenroy Ambris
Queen Elizabeth II and Prince Philip in Trinidad and Tobago, Nov. 26, 2009. Her speech there in support of the Copenhagen Conference, said Lord Monckton, made it “a very sad day.”

another. That is not her place as a constitutional monarch. And she must remember that, or she will lose our loyalty—and then she will lose the throne.

EPA Head Lisa Jackson Backs Climate Genocide

Dec. 7—In a declaration today that carbon dioxide, a harmless gas essential to life, “threatens the public health and welfare of current and future generations,” the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) claimed for the Obama regime dictatorial power to genocidally cut economic activity. In anticipation of this, Fred Singer, the first director of the U.S. Satellite Meteorology Service, had said in April that “this finding, if acted on, would be like dropping an atomic bomb on the economy.”

EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson claimed in today’s press conference that the U.S. Supreme Court had ordered the EPA to make such a finding in a 2007 decision concerning the 1970 Clean Air Act, and that, having now made the finding, the EPA is now legally obligated to issue regulations cutting down carbon dioxide emission, i.e., agriculture and industry.

In reality, the President can stop any such “findings” or regulations; that is why no such “finding” was issued for two years after that Supreme Court decision.

By issuing that lying “endangerment statement” now, the Obama Administration is trying to threaten the U.S. Senate with unilateral actions to shut down what remains of the U.S. economy, if it fails to pass the “cap-and-trade” monstrosity. The Administration is also positioning itself to force Third World nations, at Copenhagen, to commit *hara-kiri* via “emissions limits.” All this, under the orders of Obama’s British controllers, especially Prince Philip’s frankly genocidal Worldwide Wildlife Fund.

As Lyndon LaRouche said, either EPA chief Jackson has no idea what she is talking about, or she is lying wildly. To the exposure of the fraud of the global warming “science” via the leaks from East Anglia and otherwise, she could only reply with the phantasmagoria of “polar ice caps crumbling into the oceans, changing migratory patterns of animals and broader ranges for deadly diseases, historic droughts, more powerful storms and disappearing coastlines.”