

zation of the total land-area of the United States. We're going to have to distribute the population more over the entire area of the United States, and less in a few areas like this thing that's going to crash around Northern Virginia, around Washington, which is due for a collapse.

So therefore, we've going to reorganize the United States, so that we are going back to agriculture, and developing the land for that base, we're going to go back to a lot more emphasis on local industry, particularly private industry which is locally controlled, or closely held corporations. And we're going to plan to distribute our production and our development over a larger land-area and raise the standard of living of the population per capita, and the income of communities, by going from a post-industrial society, which is a low tax-revenue base for communities, to a productive economy which provides a higher tax-revenue base for local communities.

So we're now going to make better utilization of our land-area, so that we're using *all* of the land-area, developing *all* of the land-area, instead of concentrating population more and more in a few, what are going to become vast, concentrated slums, unless we do something about it.

So that's in general what this is about. So, now back to you. . . .

Dialogue: The Battle in The United States

Q: Hello, this is Daniel from Leipzig. I have essentially two questions. First of all, in the briefing this morning, William [Wertz] wrote that this auto reorganization is important for Germany. I can see especially how that is the case for the Opel and Delphi plants, Opel being connected to GM and so forth, and supplies. Is there more than just this?

And secondly, how much does successful reorganization of the auto sector depend on financial reorganization? Or how much does national reconstruction of nations depend on multilateral agreements, which would create stability in the financial system?

LaRouche: This is like a war, fighting a war. Which does not mean that you deploy your troops on all battlefields at the same time. You maybe intend to go to all battlefields, but you concentrate your limited forces on the basis of strategic decisions as to where the place is you've got to fight that battle. You don't try to divert your forces to fight all battles equally, in all locations. Otherwise you're bound to lose at the hands of a smart enemy.

LPAC Releases DVD: Auto And Economic Recovery

In late April, the Lyndon LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) released a one-hour DVD documentary titled "Auto and World Economy Recovery," as a political mobilization tool to send a message to Congress: Save U.S. auto industry capacity and workforce, by a Congressional intervention to retool the industry, to help build vitally needed economic infrastructure.

The documentary shows how this was done on initiative of the United Auto Workers (UAW), at the outset of World War II, to make auto plants "the Arsenal of Democracy"—as Detroit was dubbed; how it was proposed again by UAW President Walter Reuther at the end of the war, to retool to build railroads and housing; and Lyndon LaRouche's full proposal today, to use the 50-60% actually unutilized auto capacity at present, to repair and build the high-tech new infrastructure the nation's economy sorely needs—bridges, water and power systems, high-speed rail, schools, hospitals.

As Mark Sweazy, UAW President of Local 969 in

Columbus, Ohio, says in the DVD, "We have plenty of floorspace . . . we can do it. . ."

Millions of Jobs

The documentary includes archive film footage of historical precedents, especially the actions of Franklin Delano Roosevelt. In only a four month period in the Winter of 1933-34, nearly 4 million jobs were created in public works by FDR's right-hand man Harry Hopkins; and millions more throughout the decade, in the large-scale FDR infrastructure projects.

Film clips show Lyndon LaRouche at 2005 webcasts calling for acting today on this same principle. LaRouche stresses that the machine tool capacity embodied in the auto sector, now threatened with almost total shutdown, must be preserved, or the U.S. ceases to exist as an industrial nation. The workers, families and skills in the auto communities must be preserved in place. He outlines specifics of how this can be done through Federal "receivership" powers.

One of the most striking aspects of the DVD are statements from a whole series of Mid-West UAW leaders, taken in February by the LaRouche Youth Movement camera/interview team of Bill Roberts and Adam Sturman, on how devastating the current shutdown of auto is, but how a "New Marshall Plan for auto" could take shape. UAW Presidents Oscar Bunch (Local 14, Toledo), Joe Joseph

The view is that, on this matter, we have to internationally use the action which is now occurring in the United States under our initiative, and use specifically that action, in the United States, as information to the processes in the other places, in Europe and so forth.

So therefore, the battle now is in the United States. It is not a battle which could be fought in parallel in various countries at this point. Because the problem is, you get a breakthrough and you've got to get a recognition of authority for the breakthrough occurring. We can do that in the United States.

For example, this thing that appeared in the *FAZ* today as an ad . . . is an example of that. Leuschel, a person who's known to us from our studies of such matters over a period of years, has this ad citing me as an authority on this issue, in the financial section of the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* today. That is going to have repercussions. But that exists around the world.

So therefore, what we have, the kind of thing I was saying, what I put out as the proposal, the kind of information that Paul [Gallagher] just summarized on the situation, this information going out into these areas and other parts of the world will have the proper effect. And therefore, you don't have to think about parallel organizing, of doing something the same as we're doing in the United States and other countries. What

(Local 1970, Dearborn), Marty Green (Skilled Trades Representative, Caledonia, Michigan), along with Wayne County Commissioner Phil Cavanaugh describe the situation.

The documentary also features a set of economic animations and maps, to make real the nature of the decline of the Upper Midwest over the past 40 years. Not just auto plants as such, but all of industry, agriculture, and urban and rural life have deteriorated, as shown county-by-county in terms of falling ratios of basics per capita and per unit area, such as electric power provision, rail service, hospital bed availability, water supplies, education, and so on.

Michigan State Rep. LaMar Lemmons III gives a guided tour of the destruction of Detroit. Ohio Rep. Catherine L. Barrett (Cincinnati) scores the job loss.

In contrast, the DVD features a concluding section, "A New National Infrastructure," outlining—with color tech animations—priority projects that must be undertaken today: high-speed rail, including magnetic levitation; advanced flood protection—even sea gates for New Orleans, and most essential, nuclear power. A color schematic shows how a fourth generation high temperature gas cooled nuclear reactor works. It can be coupled with high-tech desalination, to provide vast new water supplies for the Southwest, where, for example, the water table is dropping drastically in the Ogallala and other aquifers.

you have to think about is, we're doing something in the United States, that's where the war-front is, and if the United States doesn't change the war-front, there's no credibility for its being conducted in any other part of the world.

So therefore, *we must win the battle in the United States*. Otherwise we will lose the war! Our major objective should be, to win the world war. And we have to win the battle in the United States to win that.

Now, what we have to do to win the war, beyond the launching of the attack from the United States, under U.S. leadership in that sense, is we have to take the same program, programmatic outlook, creating the details on the battlefield in the United States, and get that out to all these other areas: that *this* is what is happening.

You're in a very interesting period on this, typified by the case of the Stephen Colbert "roast," which is actually—and I've emphasized, this "roast"—if you know the United States inside, as I now know it, you realize that there's a big phase-change occurring in the United States. You have the Cheney and Bush factor, and in terms of one poll, Bush is the 20% factor, on support for his continuing what he's doing now. Which means that, already, Bush has lost a lot of the support of the lunatics, because his lunatic factor in the United States among voters is about 30% or so of the voters. And if he gets down to the 20% area, that means that even a large number of the lunatics are quitting the Bush campaign. And there are also things going on that can change as quickly.

So the fight in the United States, is a credible fight. You see signs all over the world that the Bush thing is crumbling. The *danger* now, is the fact that the Bush-Cheney phenomenon (to call it a phenomenon), is crumbling, which means that the Bush-Cheney phenomenon is the dying tiger, which is prepared to strike, because if it doesn't strike now, it never will be able to. So it's a very dangerous situation in that sense.

But in terms of the long-term process, history is against the present policies of the United States and of Europe. History is against it. History is against the current world policies. And if people defy history by clinging to present lines, then we'll go through a Dark Age.

So, this is the issue. We have to look at it this way, not as a sense of separate fights around the world. We have to see this as one war, in which the United States is the crucial center, the decisive point, on which the fate of the world depends. But then, we can not win the fight in the United States, unless the fight *in* the United States can be extended, as a U.S. fight, into other parts of the world. Because the Europeans have no courage. You're telling the Europeans, when you talk about what we're doing, you can't copy it in Europe: Because European systems are based on *private*, privately controlled central banking systems, which control governments. We don't *have* a European government in West or Central Europe, which is capable of doing that under its present constitution. But if the United States *does* it, then these government have to do it.

So, we're trying to correlate this international situation to win that kind of war.