

idea that there is any room for morality in the economics of the marketplace.

The Children of Satan II report began with a Letter of Transmittal, which highlighted the already profound impact of the nine-months' international mass circulation of the previous report by the LaRouche committee.

"In April 2003, the LaRouche in 2004 Presidential campaign committee issued a special report, Children of Satan: The Ignoble Liars Behind Bush's No-Exit War. By the end of the year, around 1 million copies of the report had been distributed inside the United States, with an equal number of copies distributed via the campaign's Internet website. Copies of the text circulated in German, Spanish, French, Italian, Arabic, and Russian. Scores of major newspapers around the world republished portions of the report. Many leading American investigative reporters and members of Congress used the material first published in Children of Satan as the basis for their own investigations, creating a climate of widespread public exposure of the neo-conservative cabal inside the Bush Administration which duped the American people, the Congress, and some international leaders into backing a thoroughly unjust war against Iraq, for which hundreds of American soldiers have already given their lives and many thousands more have been injured. The devastation brought on Iraq will take generations to reverse.

"The release of that Children of Satan report also shone a

long-overdue spotlight on the role of the fascist philosopher Leo Strauss, and his role in launching the neo-conservative march through the U.S. political institutions. Some leading Straussians reacted, sharply, to the fact that their fascist roots were now showing. The late Robert Bartley, editorial page editor of the Wall Street Journal, penned an hysterical diatribe against the LaRouche campaign document, after The New Yorker magazine and the New York Times published articles drawing on the Leo Strauss exposés first published in the LaRouche in 2004 report. More recently, Kenneth Timmerman, a neo-con propagandist, issued another hysterical shriek against LaRouche's exposé of the Straussians, and the fact that the exposés of the neo-cons first published by LaRouche now form the basis for Congressional investigations into the intelligence fakery leading to the Iraq invasion."

The Letter concluded, "These are the stakes in the 2004 Presidential election. If Dick Cheney is not removed from office prior to the November 2004 elections, the United States will not survive, in any form recognizable to the Founding Fathers. The document you are holding in your hands is intended as a military field manual. Know the Synarchist enemy within, as the first step towards effective action."

Editors' Note: Following the excerpts from Children of Satan II, we publish here coverage of LaRouche's campaign tour of New Hampshire, including his Jan. 7 speech to a candidates' debate in Nashua.

LaRouche: 'My Rivals Are Like Goldfish in a Bowl'

From an interview given by Democratic Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche to Al-Bayan newspaper of Dubai, published on Dec. 14:

The astonishing corruption and political ineptness of the leading figures of the Democratic Party . . . is a reflection of the 1994-2003 degeneration of the intellectual and moral fibre of that Party, through what is usefully described as a 1964-2003 cultural-paradigm down-shift of the U.S. from a great, to a thoroughly decadent, and currently bankrupt nation. All of my rivals for the Democratic Presidential nomination are victims of the influence of that cultural-paradigm down-shift. The typical expression of that decadence among them is found in their essentially anti-industrial, anti-technology economic policies, and their complicity in the continuing destruction of the basic economic infrastructure on which the former economic power of the U.S. had depended.

They are like goldfish in a bowl. The bowl is their

confinement to ideas which are in agreement with the post-1964 cultural-paradigm down-shift of the U.S. economy and popular opinion. Now, we have reached the point that that 1964-2003 down-shift has brought the U.S. to the verge of an early general economic-breakdown crisis. We could escape the effects of that crisis, if we returned to pre-1964 values associated with the tradition of President Franklin Roosevelt. My wretched rivals, some of whom are otherwise decent, intelligent persons, have been incapable of accepting the path to safety which exists only outside the presently doomed cultural fish-bowl.

This is typical of history as studied in the long term. It is defects in cultures which lead nations to the brink of doom; it is appropriate changes in cultural paradigms, even at the brink of catastrophe, which allow threatened cultures to recover, and then move forward. So, it is said, "the dead seize the living"; the failure to escape from the tradition of the habits acquired during a presently doomed past, is the mark of the doom of once great powers.

Meanwhile, one poll has shown that all of my rivals for the Democratic Presidential nomination would be defeated by Bush in 2004, but, another unnamed candidate would win. I am that candidate; and what I have just said is key to understanding the significance of my candidacy in light of the failed qualities of my rivals.