

## **EIR**Feature

# The Synarchist Threat Since 9/11: Why Cheney Must Go

by Jeffrey Steinberg

*This presentation was given by telephone on July 26 to a national conference of the Citizens Electoral Councils, the Australian movement of co-thinkers of U.S. Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche.*

The July 22 pre-planned and flaunted assassinations in Mosul of Saddam Hussein's two sons, violated standing U.S. military policy since World War II, and constituted the latest evidence of the readiness of Vice President Cheney and Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld to flout all the international conventions of war, to pursue an actually fascist, aggressive war policy. That policy is part of the crucial evidence of the present control of American and British strategic policy by circles strictly defined as Synarchist fascists, for whom Cheney is the current chief front-man and political gang-leader, who has to be removed from office to salvage the United States and its Presidency.

Now, people remember that in 1938, and 1939, Hitler's Germany invaded Poland and Czechoslovakia, using the same kinds of fraudulent excuses that were used by Cheney and Wolfowitz and Rumsfeld, and Richard Perle, and the whole gang, to get this Iraq war going. And indeed, there were people in the Nazi regime, who were tried, convicted, and executed at Nuremberg for the crime of conducting preventive war. In the early 1950s, the United Nations adopted all of the international law precedents of the Nuremberg trial as part of international law to be administered by the UN Security Council. And so, we have high-ranking officials of the current U.S. government carrying out the exact same crimes that led to trials, convictions, and executions, at Nuremberg.

Indeed, the events of Sept. 11, 2001, precisely as Lyndon LaRouche identified them, represented a coup d'état, against the Constitutional system of government of the United States. The precise details of Sept. 11 were not known to us in advance; there are still some aspects of it that remain unknown, but in January 2001, when we were fighting against the nomination of John Ashcroft as Attorney



*To the global financial faction known since World War II as Synarchism, the events of 9/11 were the “Reichstag Fire” to institute emergency rule in the United States. Attorney General John Ashcroft (left, with Bush), whose nomination Lyndon LaRouche almost stopped by warning of such a “Reichstag Fire,” has moved for police-state measures. But it is Vice President Cheney (right) whose imperial war policy leads the Synarchist threat, and whose resignation would break it up.*

General of the United States, Lyndon LaRouche’s spokeswoman delivered testimony to the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee opposing Ashcroft, and warning that Ashcroft was the kind of fascist who would look for the opportunity to stage a Reichstag fire type of incident, in order to impose fascist police state provisions in the United States.

And so nine months before the events of Sept. 11, Lyndon LaRouche was already warning about such events. Not only were his warnings prophetic, but they established Mr. LaRouche’s credentials as the single most qualified individual to mobilize and lead a counter-coup against everything that has occurred since those events of Sept. 11.

So, we’re now at a very critical moment in world history, where there are two features of the current reality—the strategic reality—that define the battle in which the LaRouche movement is playing an ever-increasing leadership role.

### **Perpetual War, Global Bank Rule**

First, we’re dealing with an effort on the part of the international financial oligarchy to realize the same Synarchist universal fascist agenda which was attempted through the Hitler coup in Germany, and through the larger events around the Second World War. That Synarchist effort, which I will discuss in greater detail over the course of the next hour or so, was nearly crushed altogether by Franklin Roosevelt, through his paradoxical partnership with Winston Churchill during the World War II period.

It was paradoxical because, at every step along the way, Roosevelt was aware of the fact that his agenda and Church-

ill’s agenda were fundamentally antagonistic. Roosevelt’s agenda was to end the era of colonialism and imperialism forever, and to proceed ahead with the classic American foreign policy of building a perfect community of principle amongst sovereign nation-states. Churchill, on the other hand, was seeking to preserve and expand the English-speaking British Empire, for the post-war period.

The present generation of the Synarchist apparatus, this Venetian-modelled financial oligarchy, which has spores in many countries around the world, is presently committed to the destruction of the United States, and the end of the Westphalia system of nation-states which came about in 1648, with the Treaty of Westphalia that ended over a hundred years of brutal religious, ethnic, and other warfare that destroyed civilization in much of the European continent.

It was out of the Treaty of Westphalia that the nation-state system was consolidated, and the Synarchists of today intend to bring an end to that system. Among the tools they intend to use to reach that objective: the all-too-familiar Clash of Civilizations, perpetual war emanating out of the Middle East, but engulfing the entire planet if they are not stopped. We already have the Iraq phase of this perpetual war. As we know, this Synarchism crowd, the chicken-hawks in and around the Bush Administration, are seeking to extend that war into Syria, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Jordan, and then into the Pacific North, the entire Korean Peninsula, and eventually war against China.

The Synarchist concept, the larger universal fascist agenda, that was at the heart of World War II, was the destruc-

tion of the Soviet Union, and then eventually either a war of destruction against the United States, or a Synarchist coup d'état inside the United States. Under the sponsorship of the Morgan Bank, a coup d'état against Franklin Roosevelt, during the first years of his Presidency, was attempted, but was defeated.

We've seen recently that this Synarchist apparatus, this Venetian-modelled, universal financial oligarchy, is intent on replacing the existing nation-state system, as fragile as it is, with a universal bankers' dictatorship. At a recent meeting in Siena, Italy, some of the leading Synarchist financiers and assets, toadies of this financial oligarchy—people like the so-called Nobel Prize-winning economist Robert Mundell, former U.S. Federal Reserve Chairman Paul Volcker, and assets of the international speculator George Soros, met and put forward a proposal to create a single world currency, one that would be too big to collapse, that would be directly controlled out of the Bank for International Settlements.

The Bank for International Settlements was created in 1930 as the financial instrument for destroying Germany and paving the way, and eventually financing, Hitler's coming into power and his military build-up for the war. This was not a German bank; it was a bank of the international private financial oligarchy, the old families and their various banker employees. People like Montagu Norman of the Bank of England; Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank in Germany; Benjamin Strong, who headed up the New York Federal Reserve Bank, were all involved, along with private financiers like Averell Harriman, Prescott Bush, Sr.—the grandfather of the current President—and others, the entire Lazard Frères banking apparatus, hardcore universal fascists, in the middle of the Bank for International Settlements' plot to install Hitler into power, and get the war going. So now, the current generation of Synarchists facing the destruction, the final end-game collapse of their own international financial system, are proposing their own scheme for a new era of dictatorship, fascism, and war, under the direct dictatorial control of the Bank for International Settlements, to create a single world currency—pegged to a 19th-Century British, colonialist gold standard—literally to run the world and oversee a period of perpetual war and mass murder.

### **LaRouche's Leadership Against This Coup**

So, that's a pretty big agenda, an undertaking which Lyndon LaRouche, through his 2004 Presidential campaign, is leading the international opposition to. And we're doing a pretty damn good job of it, evidenced by the fact that you can't pick up a newspaper in the United States or in Western Europe—I presume now as well in Australia—without reading about the latest exposés and revelations of the crimes of Dick Cheney, Donald Rumsfeld, Paul Wolfowitz, et al.

So, arrayed against this financiers scheme to literally revive a new and even more virulent form of fascism, is the LaRouche campaign and a growing array of American and

international political circles who are looking to LaRouche for leadership in this period of crisis. Now, it's not surprising in that context, that the official data coming out of the Federal Election Commission reveals that of all of the Democratic candidates for President, LaRouche is number two in terms of the number of individual contributors to his campaign. In other words, real, live, thinking, breathing citizens, are throwing their weight behind LaRouche's campaign. Technically speaking, Howard Dean in the last quarter, had more individual contributors giving over \$200 to his campaign, but we're now investigating indications that much of this money came over the Internet, and there are serious suspicions that there is not a lot behind this, that there may be a great deal of fraud and chicanery.

But what's more significant, is that LaRouche is the undisputed front-runner among all of the Democratic candidates, in money spent. People contribute for LaRouche's campaign, and they can count on the fact that there will be a massive amount of activity generated by those contributions. That's not true of any other candidate. They have no constituency support; they have virtually nothing to say, at least nothing that any sane, normal human being would want to have overheard coming out of his or her mouth. And so, what we're dealing with is a situation in which more and more people are turning to LaRouche's campaign as the voice of "the other America"—the America that is fighting to reverse this Sept. 11th coup, and with greater and greater success.

Internationally, LaRouche is known for the Eurasian Land-Bridge, and for the call for reviving the core concepts behind Franklin Roosevelt's original Bretton Woods system, with some of the egregious mistakes that were included in it, to be corrected. For example, no more private central banking. We go back to national banking, and sovereign governmental control over the currency and credit of the nations of this planet.

So, what LaRouche is doing, is reviving and building off a tradition that has been known for the past 200 years plus, as the American System. It's what people referred to when they looked to the United States as "the city on the hill," as the beacon of hope for all of the rest of the world. LaRouche and his campaign personify that other America, which is on the offense once again. And a bit later, Sam Dixon will give you a report, on the activities of the LaRouche Youth Movement, which, apart from Mr. LaRouche's own personal activities, is the single most significant and dynamic and driving factor in LaRouche's Presidential campaign.

### **Fascism Arose Against American Revolution**

Now, having given that kind of overview of the present strategic situation, I want to give a little bit of the historical background so you have a more in-depth idea of what it is that we're dealing with, in the present fight.

And, we've got to go back in this case, to the American Revolution, which was an international event of profound



*President Franklin Roosevelt's "paradoxical pre-war and wartime partnership" with Prime Minister Winston Churchill, whose world-view and policies were antagonistic to his own, originated with the realization by both leaders that Synarchism had to be stopped from consolidating a "fascist empire of Europe."*

historical importance—perhaps unprecedented historical importance in the entire history of mankind. It was a self-conscious revolution based upon the principle of the Socratic Republic, of the scientific discoveries of the Renaissance, the people, more immediately, like Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz, and of course the work of Benjamin Franklin.

The American Revolution succeeded with a great deal of international support—the American Revolution probably would not have succeeded were it not for the League of Armed Neutrality, that was organized by Russia and other European countries to ensure the safe arrival of supplies from Europe for the American republicans fighting against the British. The success of the American Revolution and the threat that those principles would spread back into Europe, where they were initially born, caused absolute panic among the combined European oligarchy. At that point, this oligarchy was dominated, but not overwhelmingly so, by the British oligarchy, particularly the Venetian faction in Britain, centered around the East India Company, the Barings Bank, and the personality of Lord Shelburne, and his chief enforcer, Jeremy Bentham, but there were others. There were the Orléanist faction in France. There were the Hapsburgs, there were others, who were equally horrified at the prospect of, particularly, a constitutional monarchy in France organized by the Marquis de Lafayette, by [Jean-Sylvain] Bailly, and by other leading French Republicans, friends and allies of the American Revolution.

And so to prevent this from happening, largely through

the initiatives of the Shelburne, Bentham, British East India Company factions, you had a fascist revolution in France to exterminate the Republican movement, literally. And so, you had the Jacobins in the 1790s, and this literally was a left-wing fascist, mob-violence phenomenon; they murdered the scientists; they drove the Republicans out of France; they took over and destroyed the Ecole Polytechnique, one of the great centers of science. And leading figures who were already part of the British occult circles, began talking about a revival of a Roman Empire to counteract and crush this new Republican phenomenon, the United States.

Joseph De Maistre, who was a French occultist, the forerunner of Leo Strauss and Alexandre Kojève; who went to Russia, but who wrote about the coming revolution in France; developed the concept of purgative violence, and the need for universal tyranny. In 1798, Napoleon Bonaparte read Joseph De Maistre's book, and on the basis of that decided that he was the new Caesar, the man to launch this new imperial Roman Empire. Napoleon modelled himself on Tiberius, the Roman Emperor who dispatched a relative, personally, to the Holy Land to crucify Christ, and who headed up the Mithra Cult on the Island of Capri, which was the private domain of every Roman Empero—until the 5th Century when Rome collapsed, and the responsibility for maintaining the Mithra Cult at Capri was turned over to the Benedictine Order, which to this day, is part of the extended network of the Venetian Party international oligarchy.

So, when you have a movement, a revival of the imperial



British, Hapsburg, French Napoleonic attempt to destroy the United States, and despite the fact of the assassination of Abraham Lincoln, this attempt to destroy the United States through the provoking of this secessionist insurrection, failed. It failed to a very significant degree, because again, international friends of these Republican ideas, and the United States of Abraham Lincoln, intervened to help save the Union. In particular, the alliance between Abraham Lincoln and the Russian Tsar Alexander II, was pivotal. There were Russian military officers serving as spies in the South, in the early phases of the secessionist insurrection, who provided vital intelligence that prevented some early Confederate victories, that were intended to trigger a British/French/Spanish intervention, on behalf of the South.

It was the deployment of the Russian Navy to New York and San Francisco, for the bulk of the Civil War, and Russia's threat to go to war against Britain, France and Spain, if they entered on the side of the Confederates, that prevented that from happening. In fact, it was later learned that Tsar Alexander II had sent a secret communiqué to Abraham Lincoln indicating that if Britain were to enter the war on the side of the Confederacy, the entire Russian Navy was to go under the command of President Lincoln.

*Historically, Synarchist fascism began as the European reaction against the "threat" of the republican revolution in America spreading to Europe. It always took the form of worship of the imperial reign of the first modern fascist, Napoleon Bonaparte, whose power rose from the destruction of France's attempt at revolution.*

Roman cult centered around Tiberius, the man responsible for the crucifixion of Jesus Christ, you get an idea that you are dealing here with something that is pretty Satanic. And so Napoleon Bonaparte followed from the Jacobins, in attempting to establish a universal Roman imperial tyranny. And here we have the launching of modern fascism.

Now after the defeat of Napoleon at Waterloo, the Napoleonic circles themselves fled to many parts of the world. A large number of Napoleon's officer corps fled to the United States and took up refuge in the deep South, and became among some of the leading figures in the Confederacy. A bit later, you had the phenomenon of Teddy Roosevelt, coming into the Presidency of the United States in 1901, as a result of the assassination of President William McKinley. And one of Roosevelt's first acts was to appoint Charles Bonaparte, a direct descendant of Napoleon, as the Attorney General of the United States. And Charles Bonaparte's great claim to fame is that he launched the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

### **The 'Threat' of the American System**

Now, during the 1850s and '60s, the combined European oligarchy launched a campaign to destroy the United States, a campaign that is widely misnamed the Civil War. It was a

Now, even as the war of Southern secession, this international war—which had conflicts in Mexico, with the Napoleonic intervention there, which involved the attempt to launch a destabilization of Russia through certain Jacobin operations in Poland—all of these things nevertheless failed. And even as the war was being pursued, President Lincoln understood that you had to do other things to preserve and save the Union.

And so in 1862, even as the Civil War was raging, Lincoln pushed through Congress the legislation that launched the building of the transcontinental railroad, to consolidate a coast-to-coast continental republic. This was the biggest scientific and technological revolution in a very, very long time. The locomotive had only been invented in 1829. Three years later, in his first campaign for public office, Abraham Lincoln had campaigned for the Illinois State legislature on a platform of building the transcontinental railroad. At the time, he had never ridden on a railroad, and probably had never even seen a locomotive, in person. But he understood that this was a scientific revolution. There had been no fundamental change in the mode of transportation from the time of Plato. You had

long ships, you had chariots and carriages and horses, and things like that, but there was no high-speed transit overland. And so this revolution was something that Lincoln adopted, and it became a core feature of the entire American Revolution, the continuation of the Revolution into the post-Civil War period.

The transcontinental railroad was completed in 1869. It was the largest engineering project in history, perhaps, up until that point, with major discovery in large-scale infrastructure building. For the first time, the United States was bound together as a single continental republic, and we didn't stop there. American engineers went to Russia, and worked with some of the Russian engineers who had been in the United States working on the transcontinental railroad, and helped launch the Trans-Siberian Railroad. On the hundredth anniversary of the United States in 1876, there was an industrial exposition in Philadelphia attended by people like Thomas Edison, in which people came from all over the world to share in the technological breakthroughs. And at the center of that, was the idea of massive railroad construction, city-building, infrastructure all over the globe.

By the end of the 19th Century, you had the completion of the Trans-Siberian Railroad with the idea of linking all of Eurasia to North America, through a channel through various transit routes, through the Bering Strait. You had rail-lines being built from Berlin to Baghdad, linking Europe with the Middle East, the vital crossroads of Eurasia. You had French grants for building up massive railroad infrastructure in Africa. You had the rail-line running from Paris to Vladivostok. In South America, American engineers were down in Peru, and were building rail-lines through the Andes, to link up the Eastern and Western portions of South America, and to link that up with a North-South rail-line to run from the very top of Alaska, down to Tierra del Fuego at the very southern tip of Argentina.

This was the momentum that was building on behalf of these American system policies. You had the Meiji Revolution in Japan, in which American System economists including E. Peshine Smith, who was a leading example, were chief economic advisors to the Japanese courts. You had Sun Yat-sen, trained by American missionaries, developing a plan for the economic integration of China through massive rail projects. You had, in Germany, the legacy of Friedrich List, in the creation, in 1870, of a unified German State for the first time, 100 years after the American Revolution.

## The History of Synarchism

All of these events posed an existential threat to the extended international oligarchical families that had been accustomed to running Europe, and preventing the development of Republican forms of government in Europe. Germany, under the ideas of List implemented through the Bismarck period, represented the next-greatest threat since the French Revolution destroyed France, for the expansion of American Repub-

lican ideas back into Europe; and so it was in this period that you had the official launching of the Synarchist movement.

A Frenchman, named Saint-Yves d'Alveydre, began writing a series of late-19th-Century tracts in which he coined the concept of Synarchism, which was simply a new term to describe a dictatorship by the private financial oligarchy, the old families, the Venetian *fondi* system. He proposed the destruction of the nation-state, and the building up of a bureaucratic, fascist series of regional empires, to be combined into a kind of supra-global empire, but to have the world divided up into five, largely geographic and ethnic and language regions, each to be controlled as part of this new imperial arrangement.

In the meantime, the combined European oligarchs launched what came to be known as World War I, as a means of destroying the momentum that was building, for this American System spreading around the globe. (And when [my wife] Michele and I were in Australia several years ago, much of the conference that we attended out in Perth, was devoted to the piece of this story dealing with the fight for a republican Australia. And that too is part of this fight, which we are now on the verge of winning in a decisive way.)

So, we had World War I, as the means of preventing these American System ideas from becoming contagious, and spreading like the rail-line all over Eurasia.

After World War I, under the Versailles arrangement, not only were the defeated countries to be looted, but that process concealed a far more significant Synarchist effort. During the end of the war period, particularly the mid-1920s onwards, leading international financier circles I've already mentioned—Hjalmar Schacht, Montagu Norman, from the United States you have the House of Morgan banking interests, you have Brown Brothers, Harriman banking interests, you have the Dillon Reed brokerage house in New York, you have the Lazard Brothers brokerage house, with branches in Paris, London and New York—all scheming to establish certain international cartel arrangements to control the material through which the world economy would either sink, or prosper.

During the late 1920s, through to the mid-1930s, you had cartel agreements to control the steel sector, the coal sector, electricity, oil and fuel production, ball bearing production, many of the key areas—these were international supranational alliances among financial oligarchies that transcended, and in fact, rejected national identity. This was the Synarchist scheme that was devised and was intended to be the actual basis for what came to be World War II.

What was the actual plan?

The actual plan goes back to the writings of Saint-Yves d'Alveydre back in the 1870s and 1880s, and he was a reviver of the cult of Napoleon, as the reincarnation of the Roman Emperor, Tiberius. The idea was to form an international Synarchist alliance—Germany, Britain, France, Spain under Franco, Portugal, Italy under Mussolini, Japan—to launch a

## A Short Definition of Synarchism

“Synarchism” is a name adopted during the Twentieth Century for an occult freemasonic sect, known as the Martinists, based on worship of the tradition of the Emperor Napoleon Bonaparte. During the interval from the early 1920s through 1945, it was officially classed by U.S.A. and other nations’ intelligence services under the file name of “Synarchism: Nazi/Communist,” so defined because of its deploying simultaneously both ostensibly opposing pro-communist and extreme right-wing forces for encirclement of a targetted government. Twentieth-Century and later fascist movements, like most terrorist movements, are all Synarchist creations.

Synarchism was the central feature of the organization of the fascist governments of Italy, Germany, Spain, and Vichy and Laval France, during that period, and was also spread as a Spanish channel of the Nazi Party, through Mexico, throughout Central and South America. The PAN party of Mexico was born as an outgrowth of this infiltration. It is typified by the followers of the late Leo Strauss and Alexandre Kojève today.

This occult freemasonic conspiracy, is found among both nominally left-wing and also extreme right-wing factions such as the editorial board of the *Wall Street Journal*, the Mont Pelerin Society, and American Enterprise Institute and Hudson Institute, and the so-called integrist far right inside the Catholic clergy. The underlying authority behind these cults is a contemporary network of private banks of that medieval Venetian model known as *fondi*. The Synarchist Banque Worms conspiracy of the wartime 1940s, is merely typical of the role of such banking interests operating behind sundry fascist governments of that period.

The Synarchists originated in fact among the immediate circles of Napoleon Bonaparte; veteran officers of Napoleon’s campaigns spread the cult’s practice around the world. G.W.F. Hegel, a passionate admirer of Bonaparte’s image as Emperor, was the first to supply a fascist historical doctrine of the state. Nietzsche’s writings supplied Hegel’s theory the added doctrine of the beast-man-created Dionysiac terror of Twentieth-Century fascist movements and regimes. The most notable fascist ideologues of post-World War II academia are Chicago University’s Leo Strauss, who was the inspiration of today’s U.S. neo-conservative ideologues, and Strauss’s Paris co-thinker Alexandre Kojève.

—Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

war to destroy the Soviet Union, to loot the East and then to turn on the United States, and either take over the United States through these various Synarchist coup plots, or to eventually go to war and destroy the United States, and in effect to recolonize it.

Now, had there been an alliance in the 1930s, between Germany, France, Spain, Portugal, Italy, England, and Japan, you would have had a concentration of naval and land power that would have been a very, very serious threat to the survival of mankind. Churchill, being a devout national imperialist, was only concerned with the question of whether or not Britain would be the *primus inter pares*, the first among equals, in this Synarchist arrangement. And he was not convinced that Britain reigned supreme. Therefore he opposed Britain joining this universal fascist arrangement, not out of any morality, not out of any sense of patriotism, as you in Australia, or we in the United States might think of it, but in allegiance to a certain concept of Britain’s role as the overwhelmingly dominant imperial power on the planet.

He didn’t see it under these arrangements, so he balked and he went to Roosevelt. And Roosevelt, having a deeper understanding of all of this, agreed to enter into a very tricky alliance with Churchill. Those of you who have had a chance

to read the book by Franklin Roosevelt’s son Elliott, a very important book called *As He Saw It*, will have an idea of the complexities of the relationship that Roosevelt had both to Churchill and to Stalin during the war period. But it was that alliance, and the very challenging efforts by Roosevelt to manage those people in the banking and business community in the United States who were fully a part of these cartel arrangements, and who therefore, favored the United States being brought into this Synarchist arrangement. And they failed in their actual outright coup plot and assassination efforts against Roosevelt during his early years in office. These were the very people that Roosevelt had to deal with in building up the war mobilization, so it was a very challenging phenomenon.

Now, some of you may recall, that in 1982 Lyndon LaRouche launched a project in which an important element was the initial unearthing of these Synarchist documents, which we have dusted off and have been reviewing and studying in the context of all of our work on the Straussians and the Kojévians in the United States, who are central players in the Cheney/Rumsfeld/Wolfowitz putsch, inside the Bush Administration, since 9/11. But it is worth taking a few minutes to give you a description of this earlier project.

## Synarchism, Nazism, and World War II

Between 1981-1985, Lyndon LaRouche was involved with some very senior people in the U.S. government, in the military, in the intelligence community, inside the Reagan Administration, in launching an attempt to revive the caliber of U.S. intelligence that had existed among the best elements during the World War II period.

Let's just say that certain people in the U.S. government took a great interest in Lyndon LaRouche launching this effort to, among other things, establish a national intelligence academy, a training academy for future intelligence officers, to deal with the fact that the university system inside the United States had been completely destroyed over the previous 20 or so years. And you were getting people coming out with PhD's in history and political science, who were thoroughly ignorant about the truth of history.

The idea was to launch a curriculum, to launch a program—and as a pilot project, we ourselves undertook a review of the crucial intelligence files of World War II, of the State Department, the Office of Strategic Services, the military intelligence branches, and their understanding of the Synarchist threat, which they understood quite well. They had in-depth intelligence, and certain people made special arrangements, so that people designated by LaRouche, would be given access to certain temporarily declassified government documents, so that we could obtain the raw materials to conduct this study of what was the caliber of American intelligence's intellectual understanding of this Synarchist threat, during the World War II period.

In fact, over the past few days, I've been reviewing these files for a lot of very exciting material to be published in the *EIR* and other publications. One of the published documents that I've been reviewing is a book that was written in 1950, called *All Honorable Men*, written by a man named John Stewart Martin. Martin was, at the end of the World War II, in charge of the decartelization program in Germany, and he had the opportunity to study all of the records in Germany, in the Netherlands, in France, in Belgium. And it was he, among others, who discovered this international Synarchist set of cartel arrangements, to where American companies, through their cartel agreements with German companies, were secretly providing crucial war materiel for the Germans, and in some cases were withholding that materiel from the United States itself, at the height of the war effort and the military build-up for America's eventual entering into the war against Germany and Japan.

I wanted to just read you one of the sections from the concluding portion of this book. And again, bear in mind that this book was written in 1950 by a man who resigned his position as head of this decartelization program, when he realized that his own superiors, including Gen. William Draper—later to be one of the biggest zero-population freaks in the United States—who was head of the economics divi-

sion of the war-time, post-war occupation government in Germany, were on the other side.

Draper had been a chairman of the Dillon Reed brokerage house, which was one of the leading American firms, along with Lazard Brothers, Chase National Bank, National City Bank, Morgan, and others, to float the bonds that set up these industrial cartels, that helped rearm and then stoke the entire [Nazi] war operation.

So, in one of the concluding chapters, John Stewart Martin says:

After two and half years, I came back from Germany quite well aware, that I had been wrestling with a buzz saw. We had not been stopped in Germany by German business, we had been stopped in Germany by American business. The forces that stopped us had operated from the United States, but had not operated in the open. We were not stopped by a law of Congress, by an Executive Order of the President, or even by a change of policy approved by the President or any member of his cabinet. In short, whatever it was that had stopped us, was not "the government." But it clearly had command of channels through which the government normally operates. The relative powerlessness of government in the face of growing economic power, is of course not new. Between the two World Wars, the outstanding development in world economics was the division of territories and markets by private agreement, among the largest corporations of Britain, Germany, and the United States, with minor participation by their counterparts in France, Italy, and Japan. National government stood on the sidelines while bigger operators arranged the world's affairs.

In the United States in 1933, President Roosevelt tried to establish a government powerful enough to talk back to the private operators. For a time the Roosevelt government asserted its right to control business activities, wherever they might affect the public interest. But with the outbreak of war, men who had been on the outside during the New Deal era, cursing "that man," from their chairs at the Union League Club, had to be called to Washington. The government of the U.S. found that it was in no position to fight a war, unless it made a deal with the powers in control of the country's productive capacity.

With World War II, business moved into government. Men from high positions in investment banking, and in the management of the top industrial holding companies, came to Washington to guide the war production program. Later, they moved up to high policy-making positions. Especially noteworthy was a group drawn from the Morgan company and their pilot fish, the bankers of the Harriman firm, and the business man-



agement specialists of Dillon Reed and Company. James Forrestal, former president of Dillon Reed, moved from Undersecretary of the Navy, a position largely concerned with coordinating of industry programs to speed materiel procurement, up to Secretary of Defense. Robert Lovett, former partner in Brown Brothers, Harriman and Company, moved from Assistant Secretary of War, to Undersecretary of State. W. Averell Harriman himself started as a liberal businessman, sent on a mission to Moscow in connection with Lend-Lease. He later became Ambassador to Russia, Ambassador to England, Secretary of Commerce, and finally, roving Ambassador for the Marshall Plan, all the while retaining a limited partnership in the Brown Brothers, Harriman firm.

## The Purpose of the DLC

It goes on from there for quite some time, but you get the idea that people at the time had a deep grasp of Synarchism. U.S. Army files, OSS files, State Department files, warned repeatedly of the danger represented by this “Synarchist/Nazi-Communist” plot, that posed a grave threat to the United States.

Now, I don’t think for a moment that it’s a coincidence that it was at the point that we were pointing to this Achilles’ heel of the financial oligarchy, this Synarchist phenomenon, that all hell broke loose—that these private circles, working through their agents in government launched a campaign to either kill, or railroad Lyndon LaRouche into jail, and attempt to destroy our entire international political movement at precisely the moment that we were putting a public spotlight on the Synarchist phenomenon.

Inside the Democratic Party in the United States, an organization was created in 1985 called the Democratic Leadership Council (DLC). This was an outgrowth of a Political Action Committee created by Averell Harriman’s widow, Pamela Harriman, the former wife of a member of the Churchill family. The DLC, according to top officials of the Democratic Party with whom we’ve spoken, was created unofficially as the Stop LaRouche Movement, and so it is no surprise today, that we find that the DLC is the Synarchist faction—the Lazard Brothers, Harriman faction inside the Democratic Party, the bankers’ fascist faction—and that their entire *raison d’être* is to destroy LaRouche and our political movement.

Now, we’ve spoken briefly about Dick Cheney, the Vice President—the President in charge of Vice—who’s got to go. We’ve talked about Paul Wolfowitz, we’ve talked about some of the others, and I know the afternoon panel will give you a much more in-depth picture of this cast of universal fascists. But I want to point to another thing that we know about the Bush Administration, which gets us even more fundamentally to this Synarchist issue.

Probably, many of you have, at various times in your life, thought about some very interesting and intriguing and

conspiratorial event that was taking place, where you wished that you could be the fly on the wall to observe and overhear and see for yourself, what was going on behind these closed doors. Well, on July of 2000, we actually had the opportunity to place a fly on the wall of a very important event at the New York Council on Foreign Relations. The CFR is sort of the large, friends of empire, friends of Synarchy umbrella organization that is far too large to function as a really effective conspiracy. But it’s a kind of fraternity house for wanna-be conspirators, who get to mingle and tingle with some real live conspirators.

Anyway, in 1999 and 2000, the CFR conducted a lengthy series of study groups and meetings on the issue of the next major global financial crash, and what to do about it.

## Clinton and the 1997-98 Crisis

Well, ultimately after a lot of scenario-mongering, they actually, in January 2000, staged a war-game, a bankers’ war-game on what to do in the event of a financial crash. They had a concluding session in New York at the CFR headquarters, in July 2000. And one of *EIR*’s economics experts, Richard Freeman, managed to worm an invitation, and he was there. And not surprisingly, they had no solutions. But the one thing that they knew, was that they had to be certain that the next President of the United States was, a) a moron, and b) thoroughly under their control. This was overt, it was openly discussed, and they were quite pleased at that point, that it looked like the Presidential elections were going to be between George W. Bush, certainly a certifiable mean-spirited dummy, and Al Gore, who was kookier than Bush, maybe not quite as dumb, but slavishly loyal to these Synarchist circles. Just compare Al Gore’s views on humanity and population with those of Prince Philip and Prince Bernhard, two of the leading Synarchist figures of the post-war period, and you see that Gore was their man.

Bush, of course, was a different kettle of fish. The Bush family, through Grandpa Prescott Bush, had been right there with Averell Harriman, promoting this Synarchist project, their little Hitler project, during World War II. But they knew something else as well. They knew that just as they had had a close call in 1933—where they just barely put Hitler in power in time—they had had a very close call in 1998.

In 1933, if you remember, you had the von Schleicher government in Germany that was attempting to put through the economic policy reforms promoted by the Friedrich List Society, typified by a plan very similar to Roosevelt’s New Deal, that had been developed by the German economist and List Society leader, Wilhelm Lautenbach. It was imperative to have Hitler in power before Franklin Roosevelt was inaugurated in March of 1933 as President of the United States. If that had not happened, if you had a von Schleicher government in Germany and a Roosevelt government in the United States, the entire Synarchist scheme would have likely blown up and you would have had a possibility of a U.S./German, and many

other countries, alliance. You would have never had Hitler, you would have never had World War II, and we would have been in a very different world. So this was a close call.

In 1997, and 1998, as the various manifestations of the global financial breakdown crisis began to surface—first with the Asia crisis of 1997, then the Russian default on GKO government bonds in August of 1998, the collapse of the Long-Term Capital Management off-shore hedge fund in September 1998—this whole system was about to blow at that point. And, since the beginning of 1997, Lyndon LaRouche had been organizing worldwide, intensively, around the idea of a New Bretton Woods conference. And those ideas were rumbling and were having a significant impact inside the Clinton Administration.

You had the launching by Treasury Secretary Rubin, of the Group of 22, in April of 1998, where there was a meeting convened of the G-7 countries plus the G-15 countries made up of the largest and fastest growing countries in the developing world. This kind of idea—and both President Clinton and Secretary of the Treasury Rubin, frequently commented during that period on the need for a new global financial architecture—scared the hell out the Synarchist crowd. Because just as LaRouche had won over Ronald Reagan to the Strategic Defense Initiative, which was a revival of the American/Russian strategic partnership on the highest principles of scientific and technological cooperation, so now there was the danger that, under conditions of accelerating global financial collapse, President Clinton just might adopt LaRouche's New Bretton Woods policy. And Clinton gave a speech at the Council on Foreign Relations in September of 1998 where he talked of the need for a new global financial architecture.

That was the context in which the Lewinsky affair erupted. You might say, that in August-September of 1998, President Clinton blew it! And as a result of that, a moment of opportunity to save the planet from the kind of potential hell which we still face, was lost. This was a coup. We don't know all of the details, but very often the truth jumps out at you when you simply look at a precise timeline of leading events. As a result of the Lewinsky affair, the prospect of Clinton going with the New Bretton Woods was eliminated.

And if you noticed, the Gore/Lieberman faction, the DLC faction, that was the inside element of that coup, immediately—once Clinton was under the gun of the impeachment—launched a move to have a war against Iraq, the very same war that George W. Bush inherited from Paul Wolfowitz and Richard Perle and company, prior to his being elected President. And these guys were the Vulcan advisors. The number-one strategic agenda item that they rammed down his throat was war on Iraq, because Wolfowitz's friend, Fairbanks, with Richard Perle, Doug Feith, David Wurmser, et al., had come up with a strategy for perpetual war in the Middle East in 1996; they presented it to [Israeli Prime Minister] Benjamin Netanyahu, who is a universal fascist. His father was one of the most intimate collaborators of [Vladimir] Jabotinsky, a

*This LaRouche campaign pamphlet exposing the political henchmen of Synarchism in the Bush Administration as "Straussians" (linked by the fascist political theories of the University of Chicago's Leo Strauss) has circulated in 1 million copies in the United States and generated spin-off exposés in major press worldwide, making it possible to throw the Cheney gang out.*

believer in all of these Roman imperial cults.

This apparatus had designed the plan for perpetual war in the Middle East, the Synarchist agenda, and it was presented in 1996 to Netanyahu, and it was the pabulum that was fed to George Bush beginning in 1999.

### **The U.S. Global Empire Idea**

But back to the CFR event in July 2000: The strategy was to make sure that the next President of the United States would not, under any circumstances, consider going with LaRouche's New Bretton Woods option, but would instead be slavishly loyal to the policies, the failed policy, the insane fascist policies of Alan Greenspan and the whole private central banking apparatus that Greenspan fronts for in the United States.

So, this is the situation that led to the Bush Presidency. Wall Street, the City of London, their other allies in the major centers of Venetian Party power around the planet, all worked together with the assistance of the DLC, the Trojan Horse inside the Democratic Party, to install George W. Bush as President of the United States—not to get him elected, but to

have him installed by a thoroughly corrupt Straussian gang, power-freak gang, on the U.S. Supreme Court headed up by Scalia, Rehnquist, Thomas, and some of the others.

So Bush came in, and as far as Wolfowitz, Cheney, George Shultz—a very important figure, second generation Synarchism—as far as these people were concerned, there was only one item on the agenda, and that was proceeding ahead to a war against Iraq. This is a war for a doctrine of preventive warfare, imperial Roman warfare, that had been promoted by Cheney and Wolfowitz since 1990, and the point that the Soviet Union collapsed. This has been the policy of launching an American, Synarchist, global empire from that point on.

But before 9/11 they didn't have the configuration to do it. And once 9/11 occurred, it was the clarion voice of Lyndon LaRouche in the United States, that warned about this as an internal coup d'état—not an action by Osama bin Laden undertaken from some hide-out, some bat cave in Afghanistan, but an internally run, special warfare operation with the agenda being the creation of a Reichstag fire to justify the Iraq war.

Well, we got in the way—big time! We got out a series of LaRouche in 2004 campaign reports, including *Zbigniew Brzezinski and September 11th*, which identified the underlying personalities and agenda. We got out tens of millions of leaflets; we built a powerful youth movement unlike anything that has been seen in the United States, perhaps since the time of the American Revolution, when Ben Franklin started a youth movement of people named Washington, Hamilton, Jefferson, Adams, Madison, Lafayette; it was an international youth movement. Kids in their late teens, early 20s, who actually made the Revolution.

So, through this intervention, through LaRouche having the guts to say that we were living under a coup d'état regime—and understanding that the target was not the poor dummy, this mean-spirited, recovering alcoholic, drug addict George W. Bush, but it was the circles centered around Lynne Cheney's Dick, that were at the heart of the problem.

So they got their war in Iraq, but it was not the war that they wanted. The war that they wanted was a perpetual war going from Iraq, to Syria, to Iran, to Saudi Arabia. It's now come out in recent days, that way back in the beginning of the Bush Administration, when Lynne Cheney's Dick was put in charge of the Administration's Energy Taskforce, Cheney drew up secret maps with Bechtel, with Halliburton, with the other big oil companies, with Schlumberger—the old original French Revolutionary Synarchist family—for the carving up of the oil fields of Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Iran.

So, suddenly, these people are not successfully running their Straussian war, on the basis of a succession of Big Lies, the Goebbels-like Big Lie. They've been caught. And the momentum is building for these people to be brought down. If you bring down Lynne Cheney's Dick in the United States, bring down Tony Blair and John Howard, in Britain and Australia, you have an entirely new strategic geometry in which

suddenly Lyndon LaRouche's Presidential campaign, Lyndon LaRouche as the organizer of republican victory on a global scale, becomes the dominant political phenomenon on this planet.

## The Current Threats of New Wars

We're very close to that, right now. We're incredibly close. There are details that I know, of how close we are to pulling this off, that I'm not at liberty to talk about here. But you can see enough of the evidence every day in the newspapers, in our daily briefing, the exposés of Cheney, the lies about the Niger yellowcake uranium, the lies about the Weapons of Mass Destruction, the lies to justify a Roman Imperial preventive war doctrine. This is not going over very well with the American people; it's not going over very well with leading political circles in the United States and around the world.

Therefore, we are passing through a very dangerous moment. These people will not take defeat lying down. They will scramble, they will behave like the mad dogs, like the universal Synarchist fascists that they are, and they will attempt to start wars. And we've just got to make sure that we're waiting there at every turn to stop them. We know they want a war in Iran, and they may resort to having Ariel Sharon, the universal fascist blubber-boy in Israel—who is less of a universal fascist than Benjamin Netanyahu and some of the Jabotinskyites inside the Israeli military, and if he gets in the way, Sharon may find himself targetted—but there are crazy Jabotinskyite Synarchists in Israel who are prepared, in their insanity, to bomb the nuclear reactor sites in Iran. Israel is a hand grenade in the hands of the international Synarchist oligarchy. And some of these people in Israel are so mad, and so driven by these occult beliefs, like the Masada complex of purgative suicidal violence, that they could be driven to self-destruct.

You've got a precarious situation with North Korea, and attempts to provoke a war in North Asia to disrupt the movement towards the Eurasian Land-Bridge in that region. You can't rule out another 9/11, including perhaps even another assassination or attempted assassination against Bush, to put Cheney right there in power. All of these things are possible; they are all immediately on the agenda, and we intend to prevent them from happening by pre-emptive exposé.

So, here we are, on the verge of a historic strategic victory. And one of the driving factors, as I've said earlier, in the LaRouche campaign internationally, is the development of a whole new generation of leadership through the international LaRouche Youth Movement, which is one of the most powerful weapons at the disposal of LaRouche's worldwide campaign to destroy the Synarchists once and for all—to fulfil the legacy of the Founding Fathers through Franklin Roosevelt. And so here we are at this moment. Victory is within our grasp. It's a very dangerous moment, but one that offers the greatest potential for humanity in a very, very long time.