EIR International

The bombing of China's Embassy was no accident

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

June 25, 1999

The bombing of China's Belgrade Embassy was no accident. Worse, the situation is now rapidly developing, in which President Clinton's failure to concede that the bombing of China's Belgrade Embassy was no accident, is becoming a crucial element in a pattern of developments now leading in the direction of potential nuclear war among great powers and others. Since I am the person most likely to force the truth about the deliberate NATO bombing of that Embassy into the open, it is my moral responsibility to do so.

Several successive points must be specified, if the reasons for the sham, about an alleged "tragic accident," is to be understood.

1. First, from the U.S. Federal Courts on down, virtually no official of the U.S.A. ever states the truth about any important matter publicly. Usually, any official public statement, by Federal judges and others, is carefully crafted with the intent to distract attention away from evidence which might tend to point to a potentially inconvenient truth.

The controlling consideration underlying that pattern of official and other U.S. behavior, is that the principle of truthfulness, which had been formerly an acceptable standard of law and other policy-shaping, has been replaced by an evolving set of arbitrary rules of a game. The statements which conform to the standard defined by the current rules of that game, rather than truthfulness, defines what is usually considered an "acceptable statement of fact" by official, and also non-official agencies. The closest any official comes to the truth, is by way of a form of sophist's lying called "spin."

The closest to the truth which official Washington ventures, is to admit a whispered, "You are right, but it can not be said. If you say I admitted that, I will deny I said it." Very rarely, are there any exceptions to this pervasive practice of official lying, in virtually all public statements by official agencies at all levels, especially the highest levels.

2. In some cases, such as the matter of the deliberate targetting of China's Belgrade Embassy, there may be legal penalties, such as national security considerations (i.e., "current rules of the national security game"), which prompt, or even terrify officials, even at the highest level, into protecting an official lie. The case of the deliberate targetting of China's Belgrade Embassy, is a case in point.

3. One does not need to be privy to insider secrets of NATO to recognize the nature of the national security screen (i.e., current rules of the game) which inhibits the President of the U.S.A. from revealing the truth.

Simply, the deliberate targetting of China's Belgrade Embassy could have been approved at no lower level of command than either Robin Cook at the British Foreign Office or Secretary Madeleine Albright of the U.S.A. The question to be asked is: which, or both? Already, one should smell the national security rules operating under relations among allies during conduct of any war, including the Anglo-American-dictated NATO war against Yugoslavia.

However, that deliberate targetting of the Embassy was not without precedents. This pattern of precedents points to the probable answer to that and related questions.

Repeatedly, since early 1998, Her Majesty's Blair government, with complicity of U.S. Principals' Committee members Cohen, Albright, and Al Gore, and probably others, have

used fraudulent means in repeated fraudulent military operations, either actual or very seriously attempted. All of these operations, including the bombing of Sudan, the several 1998 efforts to launch a renewed bombing of Iraq, and so on, were taken, or attempted, at the urging of the British monarchy. The genocide in the Great Lakes and adjoining regions of Africa, was also done at the direction of Her Majesty's government, and with the witting complicity of Mrs. Albright's office.

All of these and related operations, as well as 1993-1999 operations targetting President Clinton for impeachment, were conducted with active, highly visible support of a consortium composed of Her Majesty's government, the Bush faction in the U.S.A., and the section of the U.S. Wall Street-centered "establishment" which considers itself virtually an associate government of the British Commonwealth. In each of these instances, although there was active complicity from relevant figures on the U.S.A. side, the offensive actions were taken, contrary to actual U.S. national-security interests, at the behest of, and under the direction of "British brains dictating policy of practice to U.S. military muscle." It was the British "brains," not the weak-brained U.S. muscle, which prompted the initatives and actions taken in these and related cases.

All things considered, the impetus for the deliberate targetting of China's Belgrade Embassy came from Her Majesty's government, but with witting complicity of Her Majesty's assets within relevant positions within the U.S. Principals' Committee. Were President Clinton to expose this fact, even to the extent of stating that the targetting was "no accident," he faces either rigged impeachment, or even an assassination by certain British-American-Commonwealth capabilities deeply embedded inside the U.S. security apparatus.

> 4. Therefore, although the government of China has a justified claim to acknowledgment that the targetting was "no accident," and although I believe, on strong grounds, that President Clinton has a desire to tell the truth, I doubt, given what the British, the Bush crowd, and the Gore crowd in the Democratic Party have done to him, that he could be induced to take the grave personal risk of making such an admission. That is the kind of world in which we are living today.

Whatever U.S. nationals played a complicit part in the relevant bombing, it was the same British Foreign Office which manipulated Brzezinski's Madeleine Albright into orchestrating the launching of an otherwise avoidable war over Kosovo, which authored the bombing of the Belgrade Embassy—whatever the Murdoch press, for example, might say to the contrary.

Other, far more important considerations come into play, considerations which are far more urgent for China itself. The urgent, overriding task, is to build a new order in world affairs, under which we may eliminate the causes for both two World Wars and a "Cold War" which have already occurred during this passing century, and, also, now, the very real prospect of an early nuclear war now looming on the horizon.

> 5. Consider the delusion now aiming the world in the direction of a threatened nuclear war during the not-so-distant future. In brief, the events of 1989-1991—the break-up of the former Soviet Union—fostered the Anglo-American delusion, that the British Commonwealth, the most powerful political and financial power of the planet, aided by its puppet, the U.S.A., still the world's leading military power, was now destined both to rule the world, and to eliminate the possibility that either Russia or China might come to represent a future challenge to that consortium of Anglo-American power.

In reality, both the financial power of the British Commonwealth and the military power of the U.S.A., are currently in the process of disintegration. Both are headed for disintegration for, essentially, internal economic reasons.

a. A speculative financial bubble now fairly estimated to be in the order of $300 trillions, sits upon a world economic turnover of not more than a couple dozen trillions dollar-equivalent. This bubble is sustained, not by production of wealth, by the continued expansion of the hyperinflated bubble itself. How soon the bubble will collapse—wiping out hundreds of trillions of dollar-equivalent of nominal financial assets—is not yet absolutely certain, but it is certainly near.

b. The methods used to build up that financial bubble, have emphasized a systemic destruction of the real economic basis of the world's economy, especially the economies of Africa, Europe, and the Americas. Presently, the use of mergers, acquisitions, and other financial swindles, to attempt to scrape up additional margins of loot to sustain monetary expansion, is collapsing the physical economies of the Americas and Europe at currently accelerating rates. The collapse of the financial bubble, which is soon inevitable, will mean either a world dictatorship of a new, London-centered set of financier-oligarchical interests, who would design and control the new world financial system (as London exerted dictatorship over the gold standard system earlier), or the crushing defeat of that financier oligarchy by a combination of nation-states including the U.S.A., Germany, Russia, China, India, et al.

Therefore the present game of the financier oligarchy, is both to pick off the U.S.A., Germany, Russia, China, India, et al., one at a time, and, also to set each of these latter nations against the others, on every possible occasion, in every conceivable way, by aid of all covert and not-covert, typically British dirty tricks.

The essence of the issues reflected in the case of the bombing of China's Belgrade Embassy, is to recognize the London-centered world fnancial oligarchy (including its Manhattan lackeys) as the adversary force to be frustrated at every turn. Otherwise, everyone loses.