

Editorial

The British face of evil

After 50 years, official British government documents have been released, which reveal the naked racism and imperialism of Viscount Montgomery of Alamein ("Monty"). In 1948, in his capacity as Chief of the Imperial Staff, Monty toured His Majesty's African colonies. On his return, he drafted a proposal for the future of British imperialism in Africa, which foresaw the creation of three British-run "federations" in Africa.

Sensing that there would be resistance to his schemes, Monty wrote: "There will be many people in the U.K. who will oppose such a plan on the grounds that the African will suffer in the process. There is no reason whatever why he should suffer, and in any case he is a complete savage and is quite incapable of developing the country himself. . . . We must advance courageously, as did Cecil Rhodes. The plain truth is that these [African] lands must be developed in order that the British survive."

No one should be fooled by Montgomery's use of the word "develop." What he means is the exploitation of the raw materials which the British Empire found so useful.

EIR will be providing a more in-depth presentation of Montgomery's plan and outlook in future issues, but the immediate issue is a bit different. It is underscored by the London *Times* Jan. 8, 1999 endorsement of the Viscount's 1948 racist ravings.

The *Times* editorial is entitled "What Monty Saw: A Racist Verdict that Africa Has Done Its Best to Prove Right." In it, the *Times* wrote: "Yet so badly have Africans in fact ruled themselves that, were Monty alive today, he might be claiming that he saw the future more clearly than the decolonisers who were to pull Britain out of Africa as precipitately as it had scrambled in the 1890s to get in." After ranting and raving against almost every African leader past and present, the *Times* comes to Britain's current Africa policy, praising the British puppet and dictator of Uganda, Yoweri Museveni. "In parts of Africa, notably Uganda, Ghana and bits of the Horn, no-nonsense,

though autocratic reformers are restoring hope. . . ."

In other words, the racist policy of the open British imperialists lies behind the bloody series of wars which are going on in Central Africa today. Recent estimates are that more than 6 million people have been massacred over recent years in the so-called civil wars, which have, in fact, been fomented and armed and promoted by extra-African financial and military powers, especially based in London.

Lyndon LaRouche's collaborators pointed out this British imperial policy thrust in conceptual depth back in 1980, with the publication of *The New Dark Ages Conspiracy* book. That book uncovered the open conspiracy of H.G. Wells and Bertrand Russell to destroy the nation-state and its integral commitment to scientific and technological progress, and to return to a new feudalism. The commitment to reduce the non-white peoples of the world was a salient feature of the Wells-Russell agreement.

This evil plan, made public in the early 20th century, has never been abandoned by the British oligarchy. While it has been in implementation in various disguises for decades, it surfaced dramatically in 1997, when the new round of genocide began in Central Africa. *EIR's* Special Report *Never Again! London's Genocide Against Africans* provided irrefutable evidence, including from the mouths of the British publicists themselves, that the British wished to re-establish what they called "enlightened re-imperialism" for Africa.

The truth must be faced now, by those who have been burying their heads in the sand. The Africans must finally admit that the command center of their enemies is the British oligarchy, not the United States. Responsible citizens in the United States government must finally stop kowtowing to British "experts" and their hangers-on, like Susan Rice and Roger Winter, who have made us complicit in British imperial genocide.

Once that happens, Montgomery's legacy can be buried, as it should be, once and for all.