

Prince Philip's Malthusians launch New Age killer cults

by Mark Burdman and Roger Moore

In 1988, Britain's Prince Philip expressed the wish that, should he be reincarnated, he would want to be a deadly virus that would reduce world population.¹ Today, his wish is finding expression in a proliferation of bizarre, Malthusian groups, killer cults, which openly, on the Internet and elsewhere, call for actions to dramatically reduce world population, *if not to eliminate the human race in its entirety*, on behalf of "Mother Earth," or "Gaia."

These groups are not just some lunatic fringe that can be brushed aside; they are the shock-troops of Prince Philip and the British oligarchy. They have names like the Church of Euthanasia, the Voluntary Human Extinction Movement, and the Gaia Liberation Front. They represent a more radical version of such clones of Prince Philip's World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), as Earth First! and other eco-terrorist organizations.²

In recent decades, it has been the desire of Prince Philip and his WWF minions, that "Mother Earth worship" be taught to children, from the elementary school level on up. Is it surprising, that certain unstable members of the younger generation could be programmed to grow up in such an enraged state, that they would wish to destroy the human race?

The killer cults, furthermore, are just the gutter expression of ideas that are freely set forth, by "respectable" groups and institutions, in universities and think-tanks, and by individuals who have held high-level posts in the U.S. government. These are primarily grouped around an entity called Negative Population Growth (NPG), which was created in 1972, and which has become more brazenly homicidal in recent years.

NPG and its offshoots are effectively the implementation tools of a section of the U.S. State Department, which, during Henry Kissinger's reign as Secretary of State, produced, in 1974, the National Security Study Memorandum 200 (NSSM-200) report, "Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests." Later in

the 1970s, the same State Department nest played a prominent role in producing the Jimmy Carter White House's *Global 2000* atrocity. The official State Department Coordinator for Global 2000, Lindsey Grant, is, today, a seminal figure in NPG. Grant's current State Department successor, Tim Wirth, is praised by NPG as "the best Deputy Secretary of State for Population and Environment we've had in a long time."

With its propaganda for a massive reduction of the American population, NPG serves the purposes of those British forces, in the environs of Prince Philip, who have openly stated their intention to destroy the United States, to break the country into separate and competing "bio-regions," over the coming years.

What makes all this more alarming, is the May 1 election of Tony Blair as British prime minister. According to a key figure in Britain's leading neomalthusian lobbying organization, Population Concern, the Blair government is much more open to "policies of population limitation" than was the previous John Major government. Population Concern, whose official patron is Prince Philip, sponsored a speech on July 10, before the British All-Party Group on Population in the British Parliament, by Clare Short, Blair's minister for overseas development.


The Population Concern source, who maintains regular liaison with NPG head Donald Mann in the United States, is hopeful that Short will follow in the footsteps of her predecessor as overseas development minister, Baroness Lynda Chalker, who is seen as the one figure in the Major regime who had a strong bias for "population limitation" policies. As readers of *EIR* know, Baroness Chalker is a controller of the African governments and military units now committing genocide in what used to be Zaire.

'Save the planet, kill yourself'

Over the past months, increasing attention has been drawn to the **Church of Euthanasia**, based in Boston, Massachusetts, and headed by the self-professed "Reverend" **Chris Korda**, the son of New York-based author Michael Korda. Chris Korda is a biological male who often dresses in women's clothes. In November 1996, the German magazine *Der*

1. As reported by Deutsche Press Agentur, August 1988. See also the Prince's foreword to Fleur Cowles, *People as Animals* (United Kingdom: Robin Clark Ltd., 1986).

2. See "Prince Philip Deploys Worldwide Green Terrorism," *EIR*, Jan. 13, 1995.



Promoters of the “culture of death,” left to right: U.S. Deputy Secretary of State for Population and Environment Tim Wirth, Britain’s Prince Philip, and Jack “Doctor Death” Kevorkian.

Spiegel published a three-page exposé of this “Church,” reporting that it had some 1,000 members in the United States, and was growing.

In its literature, Korda’s group advocates “suicide, abortion, cannibalism, and sodomy,” while its title promotes the fifth means of what it calls “massive voluntary population reduction”: euthanasia. One of its heroes is Jack “Dr. Death” Kevorkian. Among its slogans, promoted on the Internet, are “Save the planet, kill yourself,” “Thank you for not breeding,” “Learn to masturbate.” A Church “Commandment” reads, “Thou should not procreate!” Korda writes that he would have, long ago, killed himself, except that he decided it were better that he stay around, to bring about the progressive elimination of the rest of the members of the human species.

Korda praises the work of Paul (“Population Bomb”) Ehrlich, a mainstay of the NPG.

Korda, who abhors the institution of the nuclear family, promotes what he calls “Our Family Album” of alleged supporters and members of the Church of Euthanasia. The album includes a photo of none other than Henry Kissinger! A leading anti-Malthusian investigator in Germany has raised the point, that while this may be a phony photo-montage, the fact is that Kissinger has not repudiated the appearance of his mug in this location.

‘Eliminate the human species’

Korda is a regular “discussion partner” with the more secretive **Gaia Liberation Front**, based in Toronto, Canada. The GLF was launched on Earth Day 1990. Its “Communiqué

1,” issued at that time, proclaimed: “Our mission is the total liberation of the Earth, which can be accomplished only through the extinction of the Humans as a species. . . . Every Human now carries the seeds of terracide. If any Humans survive, they may start the whole thing over again. Our policy is to take no chances.” The GLF explains, in its literature, that it capitalizes the word “Humans,” because it regards human beings as an “alien species,” who have genetically programmed “technological propensities” that must inevitably end up destroying “Gaia.”

The GLF fumes, “The Humans have been usefully compared to a cancer or a virus. . . . What does a surgeon do with a cancer?” The “Humans” are particularly obstreperous, GLF complains, because they always promote their dignity and self-worth, as in the passage from Shakespeare’s *Hamlet*: “What a piece of work is man! how noble in reason!” There can only be one solution for such a species, according to the GLF: “extermination.”

In a document called “A Modest Proposal,” cynically spoofing Jonathan Swift’s devastating attack on British genocidalists, the GLF discusses various possible methods for exterminating the human species. It rejects nuclear war (bad for the environment), sterilization (not fast enough, whether voluntary or involuntary), and suicide (too narrow in application), but then exclaims about the potentials represented by “bioengineering.” This can produce “genetically engineered viruses” that will attack “only the target species.” They continue: “To complicate the search for a cure or a vaccine, and as insurance against the possibility that some Humans might

be immune to a particular virus, several different viruses could be released (with provision being made for the release of a second round after the generals and the politicians had come out of their shelters).”

In another document, there is a dialogue between the **Voluntary Human Extinction Movement** (VHEMT) and the GLF, published in the VHEMT publication, *These Exit Times*. Someone calling himself or herself “Spokesorganism Geophilus” declares, “We would welcome the escape of any new anti-Human viruses — such as the airborne version of AIDS that might result from AIDS research on mice.” According to Geophilus, war is not such an “efficient” means of human elimination, as it doesn’t kill enough people. “But every little bit helps.” Asked what it thinks about genocide, the Spokesorganism responds sympathetically, but frets that genocide usually refers only to the elimination of a specific ethnic or racial group, and is therefore “limited,” or even somewhat counter-productive, for reaching the aim of eliminating the *whole* human race!

Asked why it doesn’t commit suicide, Geophilus responds: “If I merely *believed* in Human extinction, then of course, you’d be right. But, in my judgment, the good I’m doing by *promoting* the idea of Human extinction outweighs the harm I’m doing by staying alive.”

In reviewing some of the “ideas” of the GLF, the which he “unofficially” endorses, the Church of Euthanasia’s Korda reports about “a group of scientists, including French chemists Jean-Michael DuPont and Henri Mevel, who are developing a powerful toxin that will completely eliminate the human species, without disturbing the other inhabitants of the biosphere.”

These maniacs insist on eliminating all apes, since, they argue, invoking Darwinian theory, one day apes will become humans, and the onslaught against Gaia will then re-commence!

‘We should phase ourselves out’

The VHEMT promotes itself as more “reasonable” than the GLF, since it supports “voluntary,” rather than “involuntary” approaches to human extinction. On inspection, however, the VHEMT policies are no less draconian.

The group was founded in 1991, in Portland, Oregon, by **Les Knight**, a schoolteacher. His stated purpose is to “phase out” the human race, primarily through putting a “stop at once, to all reproduction.” According to Knight, “each child increases the environmental impact of a family by 50%, and two children do so by 100%.”

On June 8, 1994, Knight was interviewed on BBC World Service’s “Outlook” program. The BBC interviewer, who chirped that Knight’s views were “very interesting,” reported that the VHEMT was gaining support from elements of the environmentalist movement in the United States. Knight told BBC: “Having made such a mess of the world, we should

phase ourselves out. We’re incompatible with the biosphere.” In the same breath that he expressed his affection, as a schoolteacher, for children, he said that the appropriate slogan for the times in which we are living, would be: “Make love, not babies.”

One creature who is favorably cited by these grouplets, is the Finnish writer, and self-proclaimed “eco-fascist,” **Pentti Linkola**. Linkola is quite a celebrity in Finland, with a substantial following. In his *Finland Who’s Who* entry, he lists his hobby as “destroying human culture.”

Linkola’s views were made known to a wide English-language readership, in a May 24, 1994 front-page feature in the *Wall Street Journal-Europe*, elements of which were then reported on, not unsympathetically, in a London *Times* commentary by Lord William Rees-Mogg. Noting that Linkola was in favor of “annihilating most of the human race,” the *Journal* quoted him saying that a new world war would be “a happy occasion for the planet. . . . If there were a button I could press, I would sacrifice myself without hesitating, if it meant millions of people would die.”

Linkola harbors a special hatred for the United States of America, because “the United States symbolizes the worst ideologies in the world: growth and freedom.” In his future eco-fascist utopia, only “a few million” Americans would be allowed to survive.

What must rule the world, in the future, according to Linkola, are “green police,” unencumbered by the “syrup of ethics” that governs human behavior today. They would keep progress in check. People would work as fishermen and farmers, and “everything we have developed over the last 100 years should be destroyed.”

According to Linkola, “We still have a chance to be cruel. But if we are not cruel today, all is lost.”

‘Respectable’ homicidal maniacs

Gruesome stuff. But how different is this, in substance, from what is promoted by **Negative Population Growth**? NPG, keep in mind, has considerable “respectability” among British and Anglophile American influentials. It regularly places advertisements in major American newspapers, with its demands for a reduction of the American population to 150 million or lower. Such ad placements obviously require substantial sums of money. One key source of funds, is the Nebraska mega-billionaire Warren Buffett, whose possible links to a circle of high-level satanic child abusers in that state, have become a matter of public controversy.³

NPG has infiltrated its ideas into one current of what passes for mainstream political debate these days in the United States, by its opposition to immigration. Its central,

3. See John W. DeCamp, *The Franklin Cover-Up: Child Abuse, Satanism, and Murder in Nebraska* (Lincoln, Neb.: AWT, Inc., 1992, second edition 1996).

racist argument, is that immigrants breed more than non-immigrant Americans, and so are the driving force behind population growth. NPG propaganda was widely on display during California's anti-immigration campaign, a couple of years back. Their work was cited positively by "conservative Christian" Pat Buchanan, and their arguments were adopted, in significant part, by California Gov. Pete Wilson. One finds the NPG in constant communication with neo-conservative anti-immigrant groups and propagandists.

The ideas of NPG's head, **Donald Mann**, as well as those of the NPG's **Paul Ehrlich**, are promoted by a group in Britain called the Council for Posterity. This group, affiliated closely with the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (Unesco), is politically and philosophically close to Oxford University Darwinian fanatic Richard Dawkins, to New Dark Age fiction writer Sir William Golding (*Lord of the Flies*), and to the chief British inventors of the Gaia/Mother Earth mythos, James Lovelock and Edward Goldsmith, the latter the brother of, and recipient of funds from, wheeler-dealer Sir James Goldsmith. The Council of Posterity also promotes the ideas of such British Malthusians of the past as Unesco founder Julian Huxley and H.G. Wells.

In 1995, NPG began distributing an essay entitled, "Confronting the 21st Century's Hidden Crisis: Reducing Human Numbers by 80%." It was authored, in May 1995, by **J. Kenneth Smail**, a professor of anthropology at Kenyon College, in Gambier, Ohio, who gives courses in "biological anthropology." Smail's article is written in bloodless, academic language, but it is a document that is 1,000 times more bloodcurdling, in content, than Hitler's *Mein Kampf*.

He begins: "My position is simply stated. Within the next half-century, it will be essential for the human species to have fully operational a flexibly designed, broadly equitable and internationally coordinated set of initiatives, focussed on reducing the then-current world population by at least 80%. Given that even with the best of intentions it will take considerable time and exceptional diplomatic skill to develop and implement such an undertaking, perhaps on the order of 25 to 50 years, it is important that the process of consensus-building—local, national and global—begin now."

Smail then enumerates a series of "essential, incontrovertible and inescapable realities," the which make mass murder absolutely required. He rejects "zero population growth" approaches as inadequate, since, even if implemented, "human population would nevertheless continue its rapid rate of expansion." This charming creature further exclaims: "Unless there appears a deadly pandemic, a devastating world war, or a massive breakdown in public health (or a combination of all three), it is inevitable that ongoing global gains in human longevity will continue to make a major contribution to population expansion over the next

half-century, regardless of whatever progress might be made in reducing fertility."

He rejects as "ridiculous," suggestions that "extraterrestrial migration" could help solve the problem of "excess human population, in either the near or more distant future." He declares that "it is extremely important to come to terms with the fact that the earth's long-term carrying capacity . . . is indeed finite, . . . notwithstanding the high probability of continued scientific/technological progress." And further: "Assertions that the earth 'might' be able to support a population of 10, 15 or even 20 billion for an 'indefinite' period of time, at a standard of living 'superior' to the present, are not only demonstrably false, but also cruelly misleading. Rather, ongoing analysis by ecologists, demographers and numerous others, suggests that it is quite likely that the earth's true carrying capacity . . . has already been exceeded by a factor or more."

Bringing population to a level no more than 2 billion, and perhaps no higher than 500 million, will not be a simple matter, Smail raves: "Obviously, a numerical dislocation of this magnitude will require a massive reorientation of human thought, expectations and values. . . . Put most simply, there seems to be no alternative to the premise, that a very significant population reduction must necessarily follow population stabilization. . . . For the stark reality is this. Population regulation is the primary issue facing humanity; all other matters are subordinate."

The new Malthusian organizing drive

As we indicated above, a key figure in NPG, is **Lindsey Grant**. Grant's writings are frequently cited or recommended in NPG literature, and it is he who edits the documents (under the title, "NPG Footnotes") distributed by NPG. During the latter 1970s, Grant was the Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Environment and Population Affairs; he was the State Department coordinator for the infamous *Global 2000* project of the Carter White House; his rise at State is related to the NSSM-200 document commissioned by Kissinger. In its argumentation that population growth in the developing world would impede U.S. access to vital strategic raw materials, NSSM-200 should be seen as providing a before-the-fact rationalization for the raw materials grab and genocide that we now see occurring in Africa.

The policies incorporated in NSSM-200 and *Global 2000* are now receiving a new shot in the arm, in part through the efforts of Cornell University professor **David Pimentel**, who, with Grant and Ehrlich, is among the most frequently cited writers in NPG literature.

In 1994, Pimentel presented a report to the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), in which he and his co-authors argue for an "optimal" global population of 1-2 billion. The report states that "a drastic demographic adjustment to 1 to 2 billion humans will cause serious social,

economic, and political problems,” but that these are preferable to the presumed consequences of “rapid population growth to 12 billion or more.”

In his above-quoted paper, Smail mentions having received a personal communication from Pimentel, evidently soon after that 1994 report to the AAAS, indicating that Pimentel was drastically *lowering* his estimation of what the “global optimum” should be. Writes Smail, citing Pimentel: “Actually, this 2 billion estimate may be somewhat on the generous side, particularly in light of the fact that some recent projections for the earth’s long-term carrying capacity have been set much lower, in the one-half to 1 billion range.”

Pimentel is on the executive committee of a new group, the **U.S. Population Policy Project** (USPPP), which released its Planning Document on May 30 of this year. The group’s “Project Focus” is described as follows: “The Development and Implementation of a Domestic Population Policy in the United States, to Achieve Long-term Environmental and Economic Sustainability.” As footnoted recommended literature, the USPPP cites the writings of Pimentel; Lindsey Grant; Paul and Anne Ehrlich; the *British Medical Journal*; the Carrying Capacity Network; and others of the Malthusian species.

In its Planning Document, the USPPP proposes to initiate a “three-year interdisciplinary project,” leading to a “national population policy conference, and follow-up activities to develop and implement a coherent, fair U.S. population policy.” The document asserts that “the first serious attempt to develop a population policy was in Chicago, June 7-11, 1970, when the First National Congress on Optimum Population and Environment (COPE) was convened.” This was followed by the “Rockefeller Commission,” officially known as President Nixon’s Commission on Population Growth and the American Future.

The document goes on: “In early 1974, the Nixon Administration undertook a comprehensive study, ‘National Security Memorandum 200’ [sic], of population growth and its implications for United States national security and overseas interests. The United States contributed many of the findings and recommendations in this report to the draft plan of the approaching World Population Conference, to be held in Bucharest. Later, in 1974, the United Nations held its first international conference on population in Bucharest. The U.S. delegation was led by Caspar Weinberger, President Nixon’s secretary of HEW. For the first time, overpopulation was identified as a critical global issue.”

The document discusses other key moments in this Malthusian process, the UN population conferences in Mexico City (1984) and Cairo (1994), the 1995 formation of the President’s Council on Sustainable Development, etc. A consistent theme, throughout, is that the U.S. government has been dragging its feet on implementing the necessary measures, so, now, more decisive action must be taken.

Ugandan mass-murderer chairs ‘2020 Vision’

by Marcia Merry Baker and Joseph Brewda

It is fitting that the figurehead for a high-publicity world food control policy initiative, set up in 1993, called “A 2020 Vision for Food, Agriculture, and the Environment,” is none other than President Yoweri Museveni of Uganda, who is chairman of its international advisory committee. The credentials of Museveni in causing death and suffering in Central Africa match the evil character of “2020 Vision.” The “2020” policy amounts to green genocide, and the individuals and agencies behind it, are promoting genocide by design, not by blunder.

“2020 Vision” was set up as an arm of the Washington, D.C.-based International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), whose direction and funding are linked directly to the London-serving sponsors of the policy of using food as a weapon, over the past 25-30 years. These sponsors include financial, commodities cartels, and geopolitical interests; they are the same networks backing Museveni’s marcher-lord role in Central Africa.

The role of “2020 Vision” today, is best seen as a continuation of the goals of the 1974, more recently declassified memorandum by then-U.S. National Security Adviser Henry Kissinger, “NSSM-200.” That document identified 13 target countries, against which food would be used as a weapon, for population reduction and political control.

Today, “2020 Vision” issues policy documents, holds conferences, and issues press releases, to promote pessimism and confusion on population and resources potential. IFPRI is chaired by David E. Bell, professor emeritus of the Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies. IFPRI is a member of the network of agriculture research centers, called CGIAR, which is headed by Ismail Serageldin, World Bank Vice President for Environmentally Sustainable Development.

The propaganda line from “2020 Vision” includes the following lies:

- High-tech agriculture is associated with unsustainable economic output.
- Low-tech agriculture is congenial to sustainable economic output for limited populations, and congenial to the environment.
- Food security depends *not* on thriving national agricultural and industrial sectors, but on access to world markets (“free trade”—meaning trade rigged on behalf of oligarchical