

## 'No' vote in Quebec won't stop balkanization scenario

by Jeffrey Steinberg

On Oct. 30, well over 90% of the eligible voters in Canada's Quebec province turned out to cast their votes in a referendum on Quebec separatism. For weeks prior to the vote, it was clear that the outcome would be close, and that the net effect of the referendum—regardless of the outcome—would be an acceleration of a high-stakes “divide and conquer” game that has been engineered for a long time from London.

Ultimately, 50.6% of the voters cast their lot with Canada. In an inflammatory speech delivered within hours of the polls' closing, Quebec's Provincial Premier Jacques Parizeau blamed outside money and “ethnic” voters for the defeat of the Quebec separatist cause, triggering a storm of protest that grabbed worldwide headlines. A day later, he quit his post, paving the way for Lucien Bouchard, the firebrand leader of the Bloc Quebecois in the federal Parliament, to take over the premiership by year's end. Bouchard has already called for a new separatist referendum at the earliest possible date. Under Canadian law, such a new referendum could be launched immediately following elections, which are now likely to take place early in 1996.

The 50.6% to 49.4% vote, in short, has *accelerated*—not ended—the “ethnic” destabilization of Canada. (In his introduction to the first part of *EIR's Special Report* series on “The New International Terrorism,” published on Oct. 13, Contributing Editor Lyndon LaRouche identified ethnic and religious conflicts, as well as radical environmentalism, as the principal bases for all major terrorism today. He underscored that the global center of this new terrorism is London, specifically, the circles of Prince Philip and British intelligence.)

### The Quebec case study

From the outset of the Quebec separatism drive, the British Crown successfully manipulated the population of the

entirety of Canada, in such a way that the country is now virtually certain to be thrown into a state of protracted regional and ethnic conflict—and possible terrorism—that London hopes will spill across the border into the United States.

- In the western provinces of Canada, anti-federal sentiments have been stoked by the Quebec vote. Conrad Black, the Canadian-born second-generation British intelligence figure who runs the vast Hollinger Corporation Tory media empire that has been at the center of Britain's assault on U.S. President Bill Clinton, traveled to Edmonton, Alberta on Oct. 26, to deliver a provocative public call for the western provinces of Canada to launch their own separatist drive, if the Quebec vote succeeded. His proposal: Let the western provinces join the United States! “Canada could negotiate arrangements with the United States that would preserve our regional distinctiveness, as Texas and New England have preserved theirs. . . . Just 220 years after its founding, the most conceptually and materially powerful country in the world would be virtually born again geopolitically, by gaining access to Canadian resources and population,” Black said.

Implicit in Black's ostensibly pro-American rhetoric is yet another British invasion of the United States—via Canada. Black has been building up his media empire inside the United States in recent years. He already owns over 100 daily and weekly newspapers, notably across the U.S. grain belt. His latest and biggest American acquisition: the *Chicago Sun Times*. Black is a member of Prince Philip's elite 1001 Nature Trust; and his corporate empire has many overlaps with Dwayne Andreas's Archer Daniels Midland (ADM) grain cartel.

- Cree, Mohawk, and Inuit Indians living in Quebec province have been encouraged by the British Crown to seek

autonomy from the Canadian central government, while at the same time, virulently opposing the Quebec separatist referendum. London is mucking around with a new “French and Indian War.”

Queen Elizabeth II, in a visit to Canada several years ago, declared a vast chunk of northern Canada to be a separate “Ninuat Nation,” to be inhabited by approximately 70,000 Inuit Indians. That separatist entity, encompassing much of the Arctic region of Canada, will remain under British Crown sovereignty after it goes “independent” in 1999. Cree Indians, who live in the northern half of Quebec, also cast their votes with London against Quebec independence; they have also laid claim to vast tracts of land as their own independent nation. Cree territorial claims directly overlap Quebec territory, and the Cree have already been involved in testy court battles over their right to control the crucial James Bay area of northern Canada, where major hydroelectric power facilities exist.

Environmentalists, led by Prince Philip’s own World Wide Fund for Nature (formerly the World Wildlife Fund, WWF), have jumped into the middle of that fray, backing the Indian claims. Mohawk Indians have land claims in southern Quebec that cross the United States border into several states in New England, as well as New York. Such cross-border tribal lands have been used by British intelligence-run indigenous movements all over the world as staging grounds for terrorism, and as weapons and drug transshipment points. Several years ago, Mohawk Indians got into a protracted armed showdown with Canadian authorities, when they protested the building of a country club on territory they claimed was tribal land.

### **Plan to break up the United States**

All of the British Crown’s machinations in Canada are ultimately directed against the United States. From an historical standpoint, London has *never* accepted the idea of an independent United States. During the War of 1812, when British troops burned Washington, D.C. to the ground and temporarily occupied the White House, and later, during the Civil War, when London sponsored the Southern secessionist insurrection called the Confederacy, the British directly engaged in or sponsored military efforts to break up the United States and restore British sovereignty over all or part of the nation.

As *EIR* has documented in a series of *Special Reports* over the past year and a half, Great Britain’s intelligence services assassinated four American Presidents, beginning with Abraham Lincoln. Repeated efforts by the British Crown during the present century to draw the United States into an Anglo-American imperial partnership, have never fully succeeded. Presidents Franklin D. Roosevelt and John F. Kennedy both bolted from the “special relationship” and declared themselves enemies of London’s global geopolitics. FDR died before he could fully implement his plans to over-

see the dismantling of the British Empire at the close of World War II, and JFK was assassinated by the British, working through a front company they had set up in Montreal.

During the Reagan and Bush Presidencies, London’s partnership with, and domination over Washington reached new heights. But, since the election of Bill Clinton, the Anglo-American “special relationship” has been decimated, to the point that “a war and a half” has erupted between the two nations over a wide range of crucial global political issues, including the Balkan conflict, the future of Northern Ireland, and China. From within the United States, London has sponsored a direct assault on the U.S. Constitution, using assets of its Mont Pelerin Society radical “free-market” apparatus to steer the Newt Gingrich-Phil Gramm crowd in the Congress, through a series of legislative maneuvers that would virtually shut down much of the authority of the federal government. But, London’s biggest gambit versus the United States is a renewed “balkanization” drive, which the events in Canada, as well as the Zapatista revolt in Mexico, are intended to fuel.

Prince Philip spelled out this “balkanization” scheme at the National Press Club in Washington, D.C. on May 18, 1990, when he called for the United States to be divided up into “bio-regions.” Subsequently, the WWF-linked Sierra Club completed a study that divided the United States into over 50 distinct eco-zones, each to be separate and autonomous from any federal control.

Even earlier, a Royal Dutch Shell propagandist and *Washington Post* reporter, Joel Garreau, published a book-length study asserting that the United States, Canada, and Mexico constituted “nine nations of North America,” which divided along regional and ethnic lines.

### **LaRouche: Don’t be suckers for London**

LaRouche, whose family origins go back to French-speaking Canada, commented in a Nov. 1 radio interview with “EIR Talks”:

“The Quebecois have a long tradition of deep resentment against the British domination. . . . But this thing is essentially a destabilization effort, and what is wanted, of course, is an alternative perspective for my cousins up there, and others in Quebec. It’s a perspective on what is really happening in the world, and not to get sucked up into a game where one’s intentions may be well-meaning and motivations may be pure, but some ringmaster is treating you like a circus animal, and having you jump through hoops. . . . I’m sympathetic to what my cousins feel about having a British queen lord it over them, and the resentment against the way they’ve been treated as a second-rate citizen or as an oppressed minority by the British for so long, but at the same time, I would hasten to warn them: Don’t be sucked up into somebody else’s circus arena, under the direction of . . . the prince consort of Britain.”