

Some of the other provisions in the Rescissions bill signed by Clinton includes billions of dollars in relief, related to the Oklahoma bombing, and for the creation of a Center to monitor domestic terrorism, as well as an increase in funding for anti-terrorism.

The connection between the chainsaw demonstration in front of the White House and the Earth First! threat is more direct than meets the eye. The terrorist group was founded in 1979 with money from the Sierra Club and the Wilderness Society. Earth First! founder David Foreman, then a lobbyist for the Wilderness Society, was asked to launch an eco-terrorist group that would be "so extreme it would make even the most radical environmentalist groups seem tame by comparison."

Foreman, according to one published account, "made the deal . . . under the condition that funding would be steady and adequate, and that his participation was a limited-term ten-year deal." After his ten years were up, Foreman moved on to create the Wildlands Project, with lavish funding from the major foundations. This project has been officially cited as the model for the Biodiversity Convention, now a United Nations international treaty. In June of this year, Foreman, still recognized as the world's leading eco-terrorist, was

made a member of the board of the directors of the Sierra Club.

The direct connections between mainstream environmental groups and eco-terrorist groups were proven in court recently. On July 28, a three-judge panel in the German city of Hamburg ruled that "the collaboration of Greenpeace with the terrorist organizations Earth First!" cannot be denied (see *EIR*, Sept. 1, "Greenpeace Is Linked to Earth First!"). Greenpeace had sued a German publishing house for making that connection in a press release advertising a showing of the Danish film exposé of Greenpeace, "The Rainbow Man." In response to the suit, Böttiger Verlag DmbH provided the German judges with a dossier documenting the extensive collaboration between Greenpeace and Earth First! The dossier also contained details on the collaboration between Earth First!, the Sierra Club, the World Wildlife Fund/World Wide Fund for Nature, and other "respectable" green organizations.

Given the court decision, the death threats against Clinton and Chirac, and the connection between Earth First! and the Unabomber, isn't it time for the U.S. Justice Department to start investigating the real origins of terrorism, before it is too late?

The pernicious influence of Greenpeace in Germany

Helmut Böttiger, director of the Böttiger Verlag publishing company in Germany, tells EIR about his court battle with Greenpeace:

EIR: You were recently sued by Greenpeace. Can you give us some history concerning your involvement with the environmental debate in Germany?

Böttiger: Our publications promote scientific and technological progress. We were often shocked by the impertinent lies of the so-called environmentalists within money-grubbing organizations and governments. We published articles against such lies, not against specific organizations. When we wrote about a certain film which was critical of Greenpeace, suddenly we found ourselves attacked by Greenpeace before a Hamburg court.

EIR: How would you estimate Greenpeace's influence in Germany?

Böttiger: The court case forced me to look more deeply into Greenpeace; I was astounded to find how much they influence public opinion. The media follow the Greenpeace

line obediently on environmental questions. You will hardly find any public event about environmental issues, by a political party or a government institution, where there is no Greenpeace representative on the podium.

EIR: How did a country like Germany, which developed the modern chemical industry, the jet airplane, and laid the basis for space travel, become so extremely anti-technology?

Böttiger: It's simple. Things with a certain relation to technological progress or Classical art over the years were more and more branded as "Nazi." For instance, nuclear energy is painted as "fascist." Members of Parliament from the Social Democrats, Christian Democrats, Free Democrats, and of course the Greens have slandered our publishing house as "rightwing-radical, anti-Semitic, and sectarian," for no other reason than our support for nuclear energy and technological progress. This reminds me, in the early 1930s, when the Nazi ideology (not necessarily anti-Semitism, but everything else), was imitated, to one degree or another, by all the so-called democratic parties.

The fact is that the new fascism today is really green "antifascism," and there is no resistance to it, because the older people, who certainly know better, have been silenced, finding themselves accused of being old-fashioned and themselves fascist.