

most of those sources are even worse than useless.

We devote the remainder of this opening section to a top-down summary of the issues posed by the “conservative” movement which has often appeared in the guise of fascist movements during the past hundred-odd years. Thus, we define the functional necessity of identifying Baroness Margaret Thatcher and her U.S. co-thinkers around Sen. Phil Gramm plainly, and publicly as fascists.

### ‘From the top down’

This report features the inclusion of crucial references to an article written recently by a leading British conservative ideologue, Lord William Rees-Mogg.<sup>9</sup> Among his other credentials, Rees-Mogg is a patron of the *American Spectator*, and a leading figure of that Conservative Revolution currently represented within the U.S.A., by Baroness Margaret Thatcher, Sen. Phil Gramm, Alvin and Heidi Toffler, and Newt Gingrich. The importance of citing his piece, is that he says most of the same things which Toffler and Gingrich are saying in the name of the “Third Wave,” but Rees-Mogg presents it straightforwardly, without the gobbledygook and sheer fustian with which Toffler lavishly decorates his own copiously shallow intellect.<sup>10</sup> Rees-Mogg plainly admits that those “Third Wave” beliefs which he shares so enthusiastically with Gingrich and Toffler, are, by his clear description, a form of reactionary neo-feudalism.<sup>11</sup>

Taking this portion of modern history from the top down, as all competent political-intelligence studies must do, the nub of the matter is the following.

For all of history prior to A.D. 1400, in every culture, in every society, a proverbial 95% of the total population lived in a cruel state more or less comparable to serfdom, slavery, or worse. All of these cultures failed, terminating in a self-induced collapse of a “dynastic cycle.” It was the common feature of all of those earlier cultures of whose social organization we have documentary or inferential archeological knowledge, from any part of the planet,<sup>12</sup> that they were usually ruled capriciously, in the fashion suggested by the fabled gods of Olympus, by sets of oligarchical families. These ruling families were assisted in their overlordship by a relatively privileged minority of the population, a stratum

9. See Lord William Rees-Mogg, “It’s the elite who matter; in future, Britain must concentrate on educating the top 5%, on whose success we shall all depend,” in Jan. 4, 1995 *London Times*.

10. See box, this page, for a list of Toffler’s relevant works.

11. All—Thatcher, Gramm, the Tofflers, and Gingrich—would be on the wrong side in the U.S. War of Independence and War of 1812; they were not there, of course, but their tradition was. It is arguable that this accounts for Rees-Mogg’s ability to say clearly what Toffler emits in such cultish opacity; British Lord Rees-Mogg has plainly no obligation to evade being exposed as something much less than a U.S. patriot.

12. See charts and tables on demographics accompanying this opening section of the report.

## The Tofflers’ ‘Third Wave’

In 1994, Alvin and Heidi Toffler wrote a book for Newt Gingrich’s Progress and Freedom Foundation elaborating themes first struck in their 1980 book *The Third Wave* (Bantam Books, New York, 1980). The P&FF book featured a Forward by Newt Gingrich and was titled *Creating a New Civilization: The Politics of the Third Wave* (Progress and Freedom Foundation, Washington/Atlanta, 1994).

Earlier books written by Alvin or Alvin and Heidi Toffler include, in order of publication: *The Culture Consumers*, *Future Shock*, *The Eco-Spasm Report*, *The Third Wave*, *Previews and Premises*, *The Adaptive Corporation*, *Powershift*, and *War and Anti-War*.

of military and other administrative functionaries fairly described collectively as lackeys of the ruling oligarchy.

The earliest evidence presently known, of the idea that this subjugation of the lower 95% must not persist indefinitely, is found about 3,000 years ago, in the first book of Moses: the notion of man—man and woman—as created in the image of God.<sup>13</sup> On this text of Moses, Philo of Alexandria emphasizes that that quality of the mortal person which is in the image of God, is nothing other than a quality of intellect lacking in the beasts, a creative quality of the individual person’s intellect, typified, in the usages of modern civilization, by a platonic notion of valid fundamental discovery of scientific principle.<sup>14</sup>

Later, steps toward realization of such an uplifting of the people as a whole appeared within Classical Greece. This appeared first within the city-states of Ionia, continued and advanced to a higher level by Solon’s constitutional reforms, and was refined to a great degree by Plato’s Academy of Athens. Yet, in contrast to Solon’s constitutional reforms against oligarchical usury, the more general condition of mankind throughout the planet then was typified by one of the most significant ancient forerunners of modern fascism, the (literally) communist oligarchy of Iycurgan Sparta’s “Rees-Mogg conservatives.”<sup>15</sup> The idea that the individual

13. *Genesis*, 1:27-30.

14. Philo (“Judaeus”) of Alexandria (20 B.C.-A.D. 50), “On The Account of the World’s Creation Given by Moses,” in *Philo: Vol. I*, trans. by F.H. Colson and G.H. Whithaker, Loeb Classical Library No. 226 (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1981.)

15. The spectacle of Sparta’s helots (slaves) being tormented, even killed for sport, by adolescent youth from the families of the communist oligarchy, rightly calls to mind the conduct of the Nazi agencies running the slave-labor operations at privatized prison work-camps such as Auschwitz.