

A jittery Marvin Kalb, a former national TV newsmen and now a Harvard professor, asked, "Will the demonstration be on the news?"

A woman college student asked the panelists: "Every baby is a potential vote in an increasingly democratic world. . . . Given the world's track record on minority rights, what would motivate political leaders to encourage their constituency to shrink in size, rather than to increase?" She was answered by Raúl Yzaguirre, head of the foundation-sponsored "La Raza" Hispanic organization, who responded that "we need to stress quality over quantity" (which, of course, had already been repudiated by the vice president). Dorothy Height, president of the National Council of Negro Women,

Protesters 'unfair' to Cairo-maniacs

A spirited demonstration against the Cairo '94 conference by members of the LaRouche political movement outside the National Academy of Sciences auditorium threw a spanner in the careful orchestration of the one-worldists. One protester, dressed in a robe as Death, sported a little button that said, "I Love Cholera." On his back he had a sign that said "My friends at the ADL say 'if you are against genocide you are anti-Semitic.'" Other demonstrators wore signs reading, "Boutros-Ghali is a new Ghengis Khan, killing nations with his condom on"; "U.N. equals United Nazis"; "U.N. Conference is Wirthless."

Picketers chanted, "U.N. goals for '94: famine, AIDS and bloody war"; "United Nations, Lucifer's slave, wants 3 billion in the grave"; "How does the U.N. fight disease, with condoms and vasectomies"; "Boutros-Ghali's killers in blue, after the Bosnians it will be you"; "Cairo conference says oh no, testicles have got to go."

An A-frame signboard informed passersby: "Free sterilizations, guest surgeon Lorena Bobbitt." A news reporter was seen taking notes on the signs and chants. To the great consternation of conference attendees, passersby laughed at the signs and loved the rally. A frantic official from the State Department Agency for International Development tried to buttonhole picketers, "You are wrong. You are lying."

Inside, as the meeting concluded, an enraged New Ager approached *EIR*'s Anton Chaitkin, gesticulating: "Your movement is causing terrible damage. It is not fair to compare this with Adolf Hitler." She did not wait for a reply.

hastily managed to change the subject to women's rights.

What are the actual limits to the ability of scientifically guided agriculture to produce food on an expanded scale for a growing population? asked another questioner. In reply, Stanford University biology professor Harold Mooney attacked scientific agriculture altogether, saying that hundreds of obscure species are disappearing, and that fertilization now applies 150 pounds of nitrogen per acre "that is not intended to go there. . . . How far can we go?"

Image-makers for genocide

Other panelists then added their comments:

- Ellen Goodman, a syndicated columnist, said that children used to be the very image and symbol of hope. Now we associate them with the population bomb. To help people to deal with this we have to manage this issue in a very careful way.

- Henry W. Kendall, physics professor at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and chairman of the anti-science Union of Concerned Scientists, said that the public in the United States and other countries "believes there is a magic bullet or a set of magic bullets which will save us. The scientific community does not believe this." He asserted that population will be reduced voluntarily, with mercy, or naturally, with brutality.

- Ronald Lee, professor of demography and economics at the University of California at Berkeley, remarked that depopulation cannot be fit into a market schema; we have to be prepared to accept things we do not like, to effect the necessary changes.

- Partha Dasgupta, professor of economics at Cambridge University in England, in a perfect Bertrand Russell accent, said that we have to get the information from the experts, the scientists, to the population. This will lead to the necessary change in the popular conceptions of the problem in each country.

- Dr. José Barzelatto, head of the Ford Foundation's Reproductive Health and Population division, counseled that we must restructure nations to emphasize women's rights and an end to the patriarchal society.

- Prakash Narain Tandon, a professor of neurosurgery in India and a member of the Royal Society of London, proclaimed that he was speaking "for the world's academies of science." He described the recent meeting of many national academies in New Delhi, where self-professed scientists put their stamp of authority on the genocidal program of the U.N. In private discussion, this reporter asked Dr. Tandon if he didn't agree that depopulation pioneer Thomas Malthus was simply a paid liar for the British East India Company. He answered, "Yes, I quite agree, of course: We know what Malthus is, but we don't need him, we have assembled the facts anyway."

- Father David Toolan, associate editor of the Jesuit journal *America*, closed the panel by a direct challenge to the