

all relative. On the one hand, they refer to man's ability to resolve his subsistence problems, and on the other to his genius for transforming the Earth's elements into wealth.

The wind, oil, titanium, sand, sun, and other elements were transformed into resources thanks to man's intervention.

In the face of the real demographic problem, there are two options: drastic birth control, or "economic development and social progress in solidarity." With the latter, the goal is to have production grow at the same rate as the population increases, and that the new wealth created be distributed equally among the world's population. Simply put, the idea is to increase food and to distribute it better, rather than to reduce the number of consumers.

5. The Ideology Underlying These Premises. It is impossible for us to accept the materialist, hedonist, and egotistical ideology which inspires these campaigns. Their argument is that the security (well-being and luxury!) of the rich nations grants them the right to control poor populations, their resources, and knowledge and technology to which those populations would have access. We cannot permit a kind of "contraceptive imperialism" to rule, in this regard, the relations between "North" and "South."

6. What Our Countries Need. Public authorities have the responsibility to protect children, because they are the future of the family and society. . . . The criteria by which a child is considered a mere consumer, or even a parasite, have long been discarded for its malthusian content; we know that, sooner or later, the fate of adults when they age rests with the child. Let us again call attention to the millions and millions of children, especially in the Third World, who walk the streets without shelter or home, persecuted and even eliminated by the police, and exposed or recruited to all classes of crimes. . . .

7. Conclusion. We know that every totalitarian regime has sought to destroy the family, to statize procreation, and to control human sexuality. In its contemporary form, this totalitarianism has even gone to the extreme of legalizing the destruction of the child, the weakest and most defenseless of the family community. . . .

We reject [the plan] of powers and institutions foreign to us, who wish to throw us into a "demographic winter" which has already begun in many rich countries.

Honorable Secretary General: In the name of the nations of Latin America and the Caribbean, we ask that you be good enough to reverse the dynamic which appears in the Cairo preparatory documents, heeding the voices of the poor and respecting their dignity, integrity, and their rights.

Knowing that you are personally concerned about these problems, we ask that you protect the poor of our continent and the entire world against the manipulations of those who, abusing their power and making undue use of their responsibilities, reinforce, for the benefit of the wealthy, the mechanisms of domination and exploitation.

Battle for life in Peru is red-hot

by Manuel Hidalgo

In Peru, the battle between those who defend human life and those who favor genocide is red-hot. Voices in the Congress, the Catholic Church, and the press oppose the depopulation conference organized by the United Nations and slated to occur next September in Cairo, Egypt. Although the pressures of the United Nations Fund for Population Activities, the U.S. Agency for International Development (AID), and the malthusian lobby in the Peruvian government are very strong, many national sectors have come out denouncing the criminal agenda of the International Conference on Population and Development, and impugning the official Peruvian delegation to it.

On April 15, in response to the appeals of Pope John Paul II against this conference, the Peruvian Bishops Conference issued its "Message of the Bishops Concerning the Meeting on Population," where they emphasized their "full communion" with the pope, and called upon the government of President Alberto Fujimori not to allow itself to be influenced by "fallacious arguments without any support, which . . . attempt to establish a direct [negative] relationship between population growth and economic development."

In a series of articles published in the daily *Expreso* on April 24-27, the influential journalist Patricio Ricketts supported the pope's position, and denounced the "obscure reasoning" for which "the population control centers of empires, and especially the United States, will try to impose on the world in . . . Cairo." Ricketts named Henry Kissinger as the inspiration behind the notorious NSSM-200, a U.S. National Security Council memorandum which establishes birth control in the Third World as a national priority, because it considers that demographic increase there is a "threat" to U.S. security.

Ricketts charged that with the Cairo conference, "the family is endangered," and he called for saying "no to the human zoo" to be set up under U.N. auspices.

Violation of Peru's constitution

On April 27, Congressman Enrique Chirinos Soto made public a letter he sent to Peruvian Chancellor Efraín Goldemberg. The letter says that the official Peruvian delegation "to the pre-meetings of the conference" in Cairo "should be . . . publicly disavowed" for having assumed "an openly

pro-abortion stance.” Chirinos stated that the Constitution and the criminal code of Peru prohibit abortion.

On May 5, journalist Guillermo Bustamante asked in the daily newspaper *Gestión* that Congress interrogate Chancellor Goldemberg, “because he has permitted, with culpable negligence, that the Peruvian delegation be . . . openly pro-abortion, in patent, outrageous violation of the Constitution . . . and of the Peruvian laws.” On April 28, Health Minister Jaime Freundt denied that the government instructed the official delegation to defend abortion, and gave assurances that this is not the government’s position.

On April 30, the Ibero-American Solidarity Movement (MSIA) made a crucial demand during an interview on Radio del Pacífico: that the national government not attend the Cairo conference. Sara Madueño, speaking on behalf of the MSIA, a continent-wide movement inspired by the ideas of American presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche, said that the government must not harbor illusions that it will be possible to “fight from within” the conference, as some believe. The siren songs which are trying to involve Peru in the anti-life agenda have to be rejected, she said.

Instead, said Madueño, Peru should resolve the “paradox” of human rights by mounting a no-holds-barred defense of the human rights of the unborn; to respond to the destabilizing slanders of the U. S. State Department, which accuses the Fujimori government of violating the human rights of terrorists.

Despite the rising tide of charges against the genocidal planners of the Cairo ’94 meeting, the Peruvian malthusian lobby is still on the offensive. On May 2, *Expreso*, where the malthusian apologist Rosario Abrahms exerts great influence, editorialized in favor of legalized abortion and universal birth control, supposedly because underground abortion is occurring on a massive scale and cannot be fought. The latrine out of which these “arguments” leak is a report of the Alan Guttmacher Institute, entitled “Clandestine Abortion. A Latin American Reality,” which cheerfully claims that 30% of Peruvian pregnancies end in abortion, and that 60% of children are “unwanted.”

Fewer people than expected

Peru’s malthusian lobby has been making gains since the 1980s, thanks to the support of every successive administration. The dozens of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) which receive millions of dollars in financing from the United Nations or U.S. AID, have infiltrated schools and hospitals. The effects are seen in the difference between the projected figures that Peru’s population would rise to about 24 million, and the reality registered by the 1993 national census, which counted only a little more than 22 million. *Expreso* brags that “in the last three decades the number of children in Peru has dropped from 7 to 3.5 per family,” but it does not say that one cause of this decline has been clandestine sterilizations.

Goldsmith readies to destroy Europe’s

by Mark Burdman

The same British-centered Hollinger Corp. crowd that is destabilizing the American presidency has now unleashed a new movement to destabilize countries across the continent of Europe.

The effort comes during a time when the western European political party and institutional structures which have been hegemonic over the past decades are rapidly falling apart in the face of financial crisis and an epidemic of scandals hitting almost every European nation. British intelligence services are therefore shaping the new movement to fraudulently portray itself as the defender of the tradition of the late President Charles de Gaulle, in favor of a “Europe of the Fatherlands,” and against the one-world federalist schemes typified by the recently signed General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade and the Maastricht Treaty for a single European currency and political system.

‘The Other Europe’

The new movement is called “L’Autre Europe” (“The Other Europe”), and is directed and financed by Sir James Goldsmith, an Anglo-French multibillionaire, who was knighted by Queen Elizabeth.

Goldsmith is on record as a bitter opponent of Judeo-Christian values. He hates scientific and technological progress, and generously finances the global “green ecology” movement, one of whose chief spokesmen is his brother Edward, a.k.a. “Teddy.” On that evidence alone, Sir James’s new-found support for the sovereign nation-state has the stink of a classic deception operation, which aims at channeling—often with the aid of large sums of money—political unrest in Europe into suicidal populist directions. This in turn would ensure that continental Europe is massively weakened—which for centuries has been an Anglo-Venetian strategic aim—and would give the British more maneuvering room for imposing their balance-of-power and deindustrialization schemes in Europe.

Goldsmith et al. plan to capitalize on the mounting popular rage over the bankrupt policies of “free trade” that have caused massive unemployment across the continent. Goldsmith’s British circles are well aware that there is now a higher potential than in normal times for masses of people