

clusive anti-Jewish. Now to be a Jew, is it a race or is it a faith? There are those who see it as a national identity that does not have anything to do with faith. In Israel today there are several kinds of Jews. There are the Falasha Jews, the Sephardic Jews, the Ashkanazi Jews, all of whom are bound by the common denominator of faith, but race has come in there to play a negative role, because the Sephardic Jews and the Falasha Jews are not really equal in sharing power in Israel.

So now, when you talk about anti-Semitic, according to the definition, if I were against that body of people, I might find myself against myself. So, I must ask the question, why do they use that term, for what purpose?

The ADL and other Zionists use the term to stifle legitimate criticism of Zionism and the state of Israel, and the Zionist policies of the state of Israel. They also use that term to stifle legitimate criticism of the errant behavior of Jewish people vis-a-vis their relationship with the non-Jewish population of the world.

This is not right. The Jews cannot be held above criticism, and if a prophet is to point out your wrong, don't accuse the prophet of being anti-Semitic when the prophet's role is to change your behavior to make you more acceptable to God. And this is why the prophets were killed and beaten and imprisoned, and this is why many of us are suffering from a false charge, anti-Semitism.

Now whenever the ADL and the Zionists feel that somebody is not bowing to their will, they drop that on them, anti-Semite. Now [New York] Mayor [David] Dinkins has done everything to please Jewish people except just go right out and say, "I've changed my faith." But he was accused of being anti-Semitic.

Mayor Tom Bradley had a magnificent relationship with Jews in the city of Los Angeles for 20 years, but when he didn't do what they wanted him to do in denouncing me as quickly as they thought he should, they charged him with being anti-Semitic.

And because Nelson Mandela visited Libya and [with PLO Chairman Yasser] Arafat . . . some have called Nelson Mandela anti-Semitic. They have called Jesse Jackson anti-Semitic, they have called Percy Sutton anti-Semitic, they have called Andrew Young anti-Semitic. These brothers are not anti-Semitic. And if they have legitimate criticism of Jews in their relationship with black people, why can't you accept the criticism and then see if it's true, and then correct yourselves. But to say, "whooo, look at them, they hate Jews, they hate us all," this is a bold lie and it's wicked at the core.

Even President Bush and members of his cabinet were called anti-Semitic because they were acting too slow on a \$10 billion loan, or gift, to the state of Israel.

So I am saying to you, there is an old saying that I heard, it used to be that an anti-Semite was one who disliked Jews. Now anti-Semite is anyone the Jews dislike.

Media scramble to defend the ADL

In January, Adm. Bobby Ray Inman scored the eastern seaboard media, particularly the *New York Times* and columnist William Safire, for running a "new McCarthyism" against any public officials perceived to be soft on their support for Zionist causes. This month, a war broke out among pundits over the Anti-Defamation League's publicizing of remarks by a Nation of Islam spokesman, and subsequent actions by Minister Louis Farrakhan rebuking the aide. More media attention was devoted to Farrakhan's public repudiation of the aide than to the original remarks. The media wars took some interesting turns, as longtime ADL poison pens such as A.M. Rosenthal and Richard Cohen found themselves at odds with *Time* magazine, while Rep. Charles Rangel (D-N.Y.), a former chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus, found himself in a war of words with ADL National Director Abraham Foxman on nationwide television. Following are excerpts of some of the exchanges:

Time magazine, Feb. 7 (actual issue release date Feb. 3), "Enforcing Correctness—A Black Muslim Spews Bigotry, and Black Leaders Across the U.S. Are Pressured to Condemn Him": "And so it began. Muhammad, who is a top aide to Louis Farrakhan, delivered his incendiary talk on Nov. 29. Ever since, there's been a slow burn of controversy, finally exploding into the kind of racial brushfire that's becoming familiar in American political discourse. Here's how it works: 1) a semi-obscure black figure says something outrageous or anti-Semitic; 2) pundits pontificate, word processors whirr; 3) one by one, black leaders are forced to condemn the offending words and the offensive speaker. . . . Several weeks after the speech, columnists Richard Cohen of the *Washington Post* and A.M. Rosenthal of the *New York Times* called for black leaders to repudiate [Khalid] Muhammad publicly. The Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith took out a full-page ad in the *New York Times* with excerpts from the speech and the headline 'Minister Louis Farrakhan and the Nation of Islam Claim They Are Moving to Moderation . . . You Decide.' Feeling the heat, black leaders begin the ritual of condemnation and racial correctness. . . . What rankles some blacks is that some whites feel a need to make all black leaders speak out whenever one black says something stupid. 'People are deeply offended that whites always seem to feel that they have to tell black people what to object to, what to condemn,' says Clayton Riles, a talk-show host on WLIB, a black radio station in New York City. Says

[Jesse] Jackson: 'There is a broad base of objectionable language used by a lot of people in high places. It's not just Farrakhan.' To make all black leaders responsible for his words, it might be argued, is just another kind of bigotry."

ADL is out for contributions

McNeil/Lehrer News Hour, on PBS, Feb. 3: Rep. Charles Rangel is interviewed along with ADL National Director Abraham Foxman and two other guests. Rangel questioned the ADL's motivation in putting Minister Khalid Muhammad's comments in a full-page ad in the *New York Times*.

Rangel: If reporters want to do this [report anti-Semitic remarks], fine. But with all the racism that exists in this country, with all the anti-Semitism, can't we find some positive way to work together to expose it without publicizing people and asking people to send in contributions? Which leads to what—repudiations. . . . I'd like to say to Mr. Foxman: If groups get together, it doesn't really matter whether it's anti-Semitism, or racism, or anti-Catholicism, we can all get together to reject it. But if we did, then you would not be able to find people to repudiate it, nor do I think you would be able to get the contributions, because you won't have the emotion there—

Foxman: —Congressman Rangel, I respect you too much for that comment. That was really an unnecessary—we fight racism, and to accuse us of doing this for contributions is beneath my respect of you.

Lenora Fulani, on the same program, at one point asked: "If the black and Jewish . . . leadership want to do something positive, why aren't they investigating the ADL? Why didn't Reverend [Jesse] Jackson stand with Dr. Fred Newman and push Attorney General Janet Reno to investigate what you [ADL] have been doing [i.e., spying] in this country for the last 20 years?"

Foxman: —There goes the conspiracy theory again.

Fulani: "[Black leaders must say,] You [ADL] will not dictate the terms on which the black and Latino community lives its life."

A.M. Rosenthal, *New York Times*, Feb. 4, in a column entitled "Bigots and Journalists": "*Time* magazine has printed a news story disclosing that fighting black anti-Semitism by asking black leaders to stand against it is a way of 'enforcing racial correctness' and 'it might be argued, is just another kind of bigotry. . . .' On Feb. 3, Mr. Farrakhan slapped custard all over *Time's* face. . . . He fired the fellow and said his language was 'repugnant.' But he stood by the 'truths' the said aide spoke. Since those 'truths' were a foul mess of religious and racial trash, Mr. Farrakhan's weasling moves me no more than his earlier announcement that he would play a violin piece by Mendelssohn."

Richard Cohen, *New York Post*, Feb. 7, in a column entitled "Deeply Rooted Bigotry": "Farrakhan's bigotry is so deep, so unquestioned, that he cannot himself recognize it. He is a classic post-Holocaust Jew-hater."

The ADL's sordid racism and hate

In stark contrast to its Madison Avenue-manufactured reputation as a civil rights agency fighting "hate crimes," the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL) is in reality one of the leading hate groups in America today. While many Jewish activists and organizations have justly earned a reputation for being strong advocates of civil rights, the ADL has historically sided with the Ku Klux Klan, the Southern Jurisdiction of the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry, and other well-known racist organizations in fighting against progress in the fields of integration, economic development, equal education, and racial harmony.

The following are highlights of some of the more egregious instances in which the ADL, to use an old television expression, was "caught in the act of being itself."

Working for J. Edgar Hoover

1964: Henry Schwarzschild, an official in the publications department, quits the ADL in protest against the League's spying on Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr. on behalf of the FBI. On April 28, 1993, *San Francisco Weekly*, a Bay Area newspaper, provided the following account about the ADL collusion with J. Edgar Hoover against the civil rights leader:

"ADL was spying on Martin Luther King and passing on the information to J. Edgar Hoover, a former ADL employee said. 'It was common and casually accepted knowledge,' said Henry Schwarzschild, who worked in the publications department of the ADL between 1962 and 1964.

"Schwarzschild, now with the American Civil Liberties Union in New York, said the ADL spied on King meetings because they were afraid that if the famed civil rights leader stirred a white backlash against blacks in the South, it would encompass Jews as well. 'They thought King was sort of a loose cannon,' he said. 'He was a Baptist preacher and nobody could be quite sure what he would do next. The ADL was very anxious about having an unguided missile out there. The Jewish community was small but very well settled in the Deep South. [The ADL] were concerned about their well-being. After all, the Klan always lumped blacks with Jews.'

"Of the ADL spies he knew, Schwarzschild said: 'They would be very FBI-ish about it. They were dedicated people,