

Poverty and neo-capitalism in the 1990s

Professor Dario Composta, a Catholic theologian, exposes the one-worldist view behind the environmentalist movement. Part II of II.

Dario Composta, a theologian at the Urbaniana University in Rome, delivered the lecture excerpted here at the Cultural Center of Viterbo, Italy, on March 10, 1990. In Part I, Professor Composta traced the development of capitalism from the Italian Renaissance and its perversion by Calvinism, touching upon Pope John Paul II's Encyclical Sollicitudo rei socialis of Dec. 30, 1987, and his attack on the "structures of sin." While aimed primarily at a Catholic audience, we believe his synthesis will be of general interest to EIR readers. It has been translated from the Italian original and abridged.

5) Origins and development of one-worldism

According to the *EIR* Special Report published [in Italian] as a supplement to *Nuova Solidarietà* on Nov. 26, 1988, there is now an ongoing ecologist plot promoted by a malthusian oligarchy and the Soviet KGB against the Christian West. We note the technical expression: Behind "the ecologist movement is hidden a malthusian plot"; and that is to say, behind the smokescreen of concern for the environment lies hidden the project of destroying Christianity through birth control and other criminal expedients such as pornography, drugs, satanism, invasion of cults, and corruption of youth by the spread of rock. At the apex of the pyramid stand Prince Bernhard of Holland and the English royal family.

The origins of the plot go back to Aldous Huxley, one of the strategists and founders of the ecologist movement and of the malthusian forces, who with Bertrand Russell and others, amid a group of homosexuals and satanists, in 1937 founded in Oxford the "Peace Pledge Union."

Huxley suddenly moved to California, taking with him the cult of the Greek god Dionysius, and soon discovered LSD. From there he made contact with Thomas Mann, the German novelist, to spread this terrible drug. After the war, he joined up with the satanist Aleister Crowley and founded groups of druggies (Remember the "psychedelic" culture of California?) dedicated to the cult of Isis, the Egyptian goddess who offers pseudo-mystical experiences. They translated the Tibetan *Book of the Dead* to involve unwary youth in esoteric journeys of perversion into the Buddhist monaster-

ies of Himalaya or the so-called Hindu *ashrams*. In California during the 1960s, the "hippies" emerged, and from Britain arrived the Beatles from whom sprouted the Rolling Stones, etc., with their new frenetic and irrational rhythms. Huxley's view was that the irrational is the human ideal. This was the American counterculture of dirty, fleabitten "beatniks," of the various satanic groups, including those who, when caught by the police in Guyana, committed suicide—all 900 of them!

This attack on Christianity is only one cultural fringe. Another fringe is the population lobby led by the Club of Rome, presided over for many years by Prof. Aurelio Peccei with financing from Volkswagen and other malthusians. Peccei, in his 1974 autobiography, states among other things that it is time to introduce into the citadel—i.e., into Christianity—the Trojan Horse which consists of creating fear over increases in population. In those same years, the multinationals artificially sparked the oil crisis so as to convince people that to survive, the population must be reduced. One episode: In those years there appeared in *Il Giornale* a significant article entitled "How to remain few and live happily," which stated that "the optimum is for Italy to be reduced by 20 million inhabitants." Then there was a pamphlet printed in California but destined for India entitled "Famine! Can we survive?" (1969). It talks about the population bomb and prophesies universal famine. A third component was the "Greens," tied both to Moscow and to U.S. potentates. Battle was joined against food production, demands went up for closing down atomic power plants, farm production was reduced, etc. The capital of the Greens seems to be London, but with branches in the U.S.A., U.S.S.R., and Europe. In Italy, we reached the suicide of building mammoth atomic plants, only to then change their purpose, with probably much worse pollution. Thus many workers were thrown out of work, and Italy now depends 80% on foreign energy sources, spending 13 trillion liras for it, while the energy demand increases 4% a year. So, somebody wants poverty and unemployment. Likewise the anti-pesticide struggle, and the loud calls for closing certain factories, emerged only in this decade thanks to hidden persuaders who want to reduce

us to poverty, to create fear of the future, and to induce people to not have children. To destroy Christianity consists also of exhausting the sources of life and pushing people toward abortion.

The orders for dismantling came from the National Environment and Policy Act (NEPA), inspired by the malthusian Paul Ehrlich. That was in 1970. I do not intend to deny the ecological problem; the Holy Father spoke authoritatively of it at the end of December last year. Rather, a different issue is at stake here. The malthusian and pagan conspiracy, impregnated with gnosticism, went so far as to celebrate ecologist bachanals by instituting Sun Day. In the U. S. A., on May 1, this holiday was celebrated under government sponsorship with anti-nuclear objectives. . . . Then-President Jimmy Carter, himself tied to the cults, gave a strong push to the movement.

Now there exists a "Green International" with its malthusian "priests." At this point, too, the U. S. S. R. comes into play, by encouraging pacifism and thus weakening anti-Soviet resistance in the name of the futility of nuclear deterrence and the dangers of progress. In Canada in 1970, Greenpeace was born, with the aim of blocking all underground nuclear experiments. When the *Rainbow Warrior* trawler, which belonged to that pacifist movement, was blown up in 1985 in a New Zealand port while it was getting ready to disrupt French atomic tests in the Pacific, one perceived the pawprints of Soviet espionage. And it was Andropov who (apparently) ordered the failed assassination against the Pontiff.

Meanwhile in Varna, on the Black Sea, Westerners and Soviets, with Warsaw Pact representatives, were meeting to promote closer collaboration among the Western European Greens, led by Denis de Rougemont, a Swiss, one of the most prominent one-worldists. This led to Ecoropa in 1977, with a manifesto apparently drawn up on behalf of saving the environment, but inspired by malthusian ideas.

Besides these three fringes, the cultural, population-control, and environmentalist, we should (and this is the most important) indicate, however briefly, the one-worldist financial groups, such as Bilderberg Group, the Trilateral Commission, and the drug traffickers headed up by the Russian-American Jewish businessman Bronfman and others. In Italy these groups are led by Enrico Cuccia of Mediobanca, Bruno Visentini of the Cini Foundation in Venice, Eugenio Scalfari, [publishing magnate Count] Carlo Caracciolo, the Agnelli family [of Fiat], and the Odescalchi princes linked to the English royal family, which presides over the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF). We add the Radicals who spread drug use, and ally with the Greens and the Reds when there are anti-Christian causes to be promoted. . . .

Now everyone knows that world finance is headed by Wall Street where the International Monetary Fund acts as the loan shark to starve Catholic Latin America.

On Nov. 24, 1988 *Avvenire*, the well known Catholic daily in Italy, started a series of articles bylined Maurizio

Blondet. The big names tied to each of the abovementioned four groups were listed: soft drug financing by persons enrolled in the P-2 [illegal Freemasonic lodge] such as Roberto Memmo and De Cataldo, and *Il Manifesto*. Then: struggle for "saving the Labrador seals," and collaboration in the campaign against nuclear energy by four organizations: Greens, ecologists, pacifists, and Radicals, with the contribution of Italian Esso, one of the "seven sisters" Rockefeller oil companies. In order to block nuclear power, they go around spreading lying propaganda—as if methane, oil, and naphtha were "healthy" substances. . . .

As you see, the political reviews and the Catholic newspaper agree in their diagnosis of the present situation; what is striking in this concordance is the affirmation of a dark, worldwide movement of encirclement of Christianity, and especially of the Catholic Church, by diverse forces which we call neo-capitalism, for what seem to me to be obvious reasons. All these forces are attempts at a project of one-worldist domination to bring about a single monstrously murderous power. Dear listeners: The issue is no longer poverty nor misery—but life or death.

Is it not perhaps true that when this power started moving on all fronts, that the aim was to attain the desecration of human life with abortion and euthanasia? Neo-capitalism differs from the classic capitalism, in that if capitalism created misery in the poor classes, neo-capitalism promotes death. Allow me simply to report that AIDS in Uganda has infected 20% of the population according to reliable information. Yet it has been revealed that neo-capitalism will not lift a finger to stop this scourge. Cynically, the death of the poorest of the poor is desired. (*Avvenire*, Oct. 5, 1988: "It costs too much, let them die!") Here, death begins with the moral breakdown of the youth who, after the corruption of his adolescence, reaches marriage age (as I read a few weeks ago in *Corriere della Sera*) impotent, or 20-30% incapable. We do not speak of the massacre of women through the continuous use of contraceptives: A conspiracy of silence surrounds the grave consequences on the body: tumors, vaginal herpes, nephropathies, cardiovascular problems. The major pharmaceutical companies, such as Sandoz-Nestlé of Switzerland, are they not hitched to the neo-malthusian cart?

I end this rather gloomy summary, warning my listeners that at the peak of this perverse movement is the project of bringing about the Age of Aquarius to replace that of Pisces, i.e., they want to destroy every vestige of Christian civilization (identified with the sign or constellation of "Pisces") in order to create a new man. This is the Aquarian Conspiracy, according to the programmatic 1974 volume, *Changing the Image of Man* (Stanford Research Institute, directed by Willis Harman, Margaret Mead, B.F. Skinner, Erwin Laszlo of the U.N., and Sir Geoffrey Vickers of British intelligence.)

Now we understand the stark language of the Encyclical *Sollicitudo rei socialis*: Today's world is involved in "perverse structures," with "organizations of sin," with Satan's

allies. This is the true face of the neo-capitalism which is reabsorbing even communism and entwining it in its coils. . . .

6) Conclusion

This overview allows us to reach certain conclusions: The first is that *science and technology* are not responsible for human misery nor even for the grave present situation of the rapid spread of AIDS. The first industrial revolution of steam was preceded by great public works in France such as the Languedoc Canal at the end of the 1600s and, in England, the internal navigation canal in 1780 between Manchester and Liverpool. It was in Manchester where the canal built by the Duke of Bridgewater and his engineer Gilbert promoted a system of rapid extraction of coal. An employee of the canal, the Scottish worker Watt, in 1769 discovered the steam engine; but only in 1780 was it used for the textile factories. Manchester in 1790 had become a very rich city; only five years later "Manchesterism" became synonymous with exploitation. In those years Lavoisier, following suggestions from the Protestant pastor Priestley, experimentally demonstrated that water was composed of hydrogen and oxygen. That began the manufacture of gunpowder. Shortly thereafter, steam energy would be applied to ships and to locomotives: railroads are born. Behind science stood the ideologies to turn these tools into tools of oppression. Yet Adam Smith's statement that science arose by accident and that (as later Karl Marx stubbornly insisted) the industrial revolution was due to the exploitation of the working class, was not true. It was rather the ideologies and the Enlightenment that perverted science and technology. The physiocratic theory that became pervasive in France (but also elsewhere) thanks to Turgot and Condorcet around 1750, which asserted that agriculture alone was the source of wealth, was of Enlightenment origin. The laws passed in France in those years in the name of intensive cultivation, abolished common pasturelands, i.e., the right of shepherds to lead their flocks onto cultivated lands. The landowners spent huge sums to fence their lands and the shepherds were thrown out on the street, and with them the cheesemakers and butchers. Decades later the French Revolution broke out, which kept the *status quo*: increasing, in fact, the rural poor so as to make them into enraged Jacobins.

Of the Enlightenment too was Adam Smith's theory, based on individualistic and amoral optimism, by which the market is regulated not by morality, but by the laws of "Darling Nature." It was heavily pushed by the Oxford historian Arnold Toynbee, a close follower of Voltaire; he, like all the chieftains of liberalism, saluted the industrial revolution and the French Revolution as a liberation from "medieval morality which regulated production and distribution of wealth." In his judgment, economic science and socialism would be the two great systems of thought of the new epoch.

Also based on the Enlightenment was the thinking of Karl Marx. . . . Thus the misery of the last century cannot be

attributed to the great discoverers, but to Enlightenment ideologists, trained in agnostic and anti-Christian schools. Why be scandalized then if Pius IX in his famous *Syllable* rejected the liberal statement: "The Roman Pontiff can and must reconcile himself and ally himself with progress, with liberalism and with recent civilization"? The great Pontiff did not condemn science, but liberal progress, the exploitation of the poor, and perverse anti-human ideologies. Today the most honest scientists have become convinced that science, when it is corrupt or sells itself to perverse policies, prostitutes itself for the manipulation of nature and man. Pius IX was a prophet!

A second observation arises from the foregoing diagnosis: The fall of Marxism as an ideology (I do not say communism, because as a military power it has remained practically at the same competitive levels of a few years back) has provoked ideological revisionism; the bible of the Marxists is no longer the gospels of Marx and Engels, but the sacred cows of the French Encyclopedia: Diderot, D'Alembert, Helvetius, D'Holdach, Voltaire, and the divine Marquis de Sade. In other words, the Marxist economic failure has not yet provoked the conversion of the leaders, but their return to communism's origins; or rather to the utopias of French romantic socialism: Saint-Simon, Fourier, Proudhon, and the atheistic rationalism of the cited Enlightenment thinkers.

The days of the little red books of Mao, or the minute exegeses of the sacred texts of Marx (young or mature, it does not matter) are by now a memory. But Marxist perversion has not touched just the belly, but the heart of the communist leaders. Left without food, they beg from the West, but, it seems, their thoughts have remained materialistic and atheistic. So, yes, democracy, but no religion. Religious freedom yes, but no change in the anti-Christian ideological system.

The philosopher Augusto Del Noce, one of the greatest thinkers of Italy in this century, 25 years ago foresaw that the Marxist revolutionary impetus would be weakened by prosperity and would be softened into that form of general decadence which is nihilism. Therefore, no more noisy parades and barricades, but closing in on itself in the "reflex" of privacy and hence hedonistic enjoyment of life in the radical, secular sense. The bible of the Marxist and radical bourgeoisie in Italy is the newspaper *La Repubblica*, where sarcastic cartoons attack the Pope and Stalin, [Communist leader Achille] Occhetto, and [Vicar of Rome] Cardinal Poletti: This is the most expressive form of nihilism, the last suicidal beachhead of Marxist materialism and anticlerical liberalism.

Dear friends, in the face of these assertions which are part of our deepest thoughts, the believer poses a question to himself: Where are we going? . . . The years ahead will be decisive. . . . We are the bearers of hope: The world looks to Rome, to the Pope. And to whoever jeers, we have an infallible response: "*Non praevalent.*" They shall not prevail! The faith is our strength!