Hitler was a cultist vegetarian, too

Mark Burdman reports on an Anglo-American revival of a dangerous idea, which in its latest version claims eating meat is "ecological imperialism."

One important fact of 20th-century history, little known to the general public, is that Adolf Hitler was a cultist vegetarian. Hitler's discussions that have been recorded for history are filled with diatribes against the eating of meat, and meat was forbidden at his diplomatic receptions. His brand of vegetarianism was an important aspect of the Nazi inner-core leadership's cultism and rejection of the values of Judeo-Christian Western civilization.

Today, those concerned with the resurgence of Nazism and Nazi ideology should ignore the reams of nonsensical Anglo-American propaganda about the "new German Fourth Reich," and should instead mobilize their alarm about a wellfunded campaign by leading Anglo-American think tanks and foundations, to phase out the eating of meat and to dismantle the agro-industrial complexes of those regions of the world where meat is produced.

The propaganda line today, more convoluted and bizarre than Hitler's paranoid mind could have concocted, is that meat-eating is destructive to the biosphere, and that the grainand-cattle production cycle in North America and the Antipodes (Australia and New Zealand) countries is a result of five centuries of "ecological imperialism" imposed by Europe on these regions. What today's vegetarian-ecologists want to accomplish, would cause mass murder on a scale way beyond Hitler's wildest imagination.

Destroy the 'European' diet

The "ecological imperialism" line is being circulated by Cambridge University's Global Security Program, headed by Dr. Gwyn Prins, an individual with highest-level intelligence connections in both the Soviet Union and the United States. The program was created in October 1989, with generous funding from the MacArthur Foundation of Chicago, Illinois, the leading foundation funder of environmentalist projects in the United States in recent years.

In its information brochure, the Global Security Program writes that the "large-scale problems" it is working on are "very varied in their nature." They range from "synergistic ecological disturbances which states are poorly equipped to address, to the preservation of global food security." It goes on: "Food security is of course affected by climatic disturbance, especially in the tropics. But it is more than just the technical prevention of famine in tropical areas. It is about the consequences of ecological imperialism. The principal global biological phenomenon of the last five centuries has been the supplanting of the indigenous flora and fauna of the temperate areas of the Americas and the Antipodes by those of Europe. The world now and for the immediate future depends for stability in global food supplies upon surpluses produced by 'neo-European' societies. Food security has an undeveloped geopolitical dimension."

A Global Security Program official explained this in a private discussion. "The world depends upon the harvest, year by year. For the last three years, the global harvest has been insufficient. This has forced a drawing down of reserves. We are very much dependent on the grain and the technology of the American prairie and the Antipodes. Because of the high-tech agriculture used on the North American prairie, we are faced with major potential crises, drastic losses, losses caused by soil erosion and the like."

He went on: "This matters to everybody, these are the areas of highest food export. But five centuries ago, these areas didn't have this cattle and grain production. This is ecological imperialism, the transfer of plants and animals from Europe. Europe has exported its tradition, it has transplanted its native ecology, flora and fauna. Now, major food flows come from the temperate grain belts, wheat and other sorts. The export of those is the result of a process of the last centuries.

"The European diet of grain and meat is a highly inefficient one," he continued. "You can't have the whole world eating filet mignon. We don't have that option. The trend of the future is perfectly clear. We can't feed everybody in the world on a European scale. Eating meat is not an ecologically cost-efficient diet. There are many more efficient energy converters for the human being. The global preference for the Western diet is the problem, it can't be done on a global scale. Anyway, is it good for your health? Americans have found that eating too much meat may not be a good thing."

This individual claimed that the "don't eat meat" campaign is being backed by Dwayne Andreas, the head of the Archer Daniels Midland grain-trading conglomerate, who is known in the United States as the "junior Armand Hammer." One of Andreas's ulterior motives is that if people eat less meat, they will have to eat the soybeans that Andreas markets on a mass scale.

The Global Security Program operative said that the bible of the "don't eat meat" campaign is a book recently published by Cambridge University Press, authored by University of Texas-Austin professor Alfred Crosby, entitled *Ecological* Imperialism. All of this is much more dangerous than just the ravings of a few psychotics or "animal rights" activists, given the connections of the Cambridge entity. In passing, though, it need be said that the Cambridge campaign may explain something about who is behind the animal rights movement in the United States. It must be more than coincidence that leading animal rights groups have announced nationwide initiatives for early June in the U.S., to demand, among other things, that humans stop eating meat.

The condominium and the MacArthur Foundation

The Cambridge Global Security Program is obviously an important participant in the "condominium" discussions between the Anglo-Americans and the Soviets. Its head Dr. Prins was in Moscow for the last days of February, to attend meetings on the theme, "Likely Models for a Non-Violent World," sponsored by the Soviet Peace Committee, whose head is Genrikh Borovikh, brother-in-law of Soviet KGB head Vladimir Kryuchkov. Top officials of the Soviet Institute of Military History also participated. The United States was represented by John Hines of the Rand Corp. of Santa Monica, California. The Moscow gathering would appear to be a continuation of an unprecedented meeting that took place at the Rand Corp. in October 1989, involving senior officials of the CIA and the KGB. The Global Security Program was created more or less at the same time as the Rand event.

The Global Security Program's patron, the John D. and Catharine T. MacArthur Foundation, is of great importance in the American liberal establishment's power structure.

In 1988, according to the official Foundation Directory, the MacArthur Foundation was the largest single funder of "environmental" projects in the United States, dispensing \$34 million for such purposes, almost two and a half times its closest competitor, the Ford Foundation. Of this, \$25 million was dispensed in two grants to the World Resources Institute. The latter was actually established by the MacArthur Foundation, in 1982. The WRI's head, Gus Speth, was one of the chief individuals involved in producing the Global 2000 Report to the President authored during the Carter administration. One of its top officials has been Jessica Tuchman Mathews, daughter of the late Barbara Tuchman, historian of the 14th century Dark Age. If daughter Jessica and friends are successful, the coming Dark Age will be a lot worse.

The World Resources Institute collaborates closely with Dr. Prins's Cambridge Global Security Program, and obviously the latter has benefited from similar MacArthur largesse. Its brochure states that "the first phase of the Global Security Program is being funded entirely from private resources. The generosity of the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation of Chicago is gratefully acknowledged." The MacArthur Foundation has also provided a substantial sum of money for the Center for Our Common Future, the official headquarters of the global ecological-fascist Brundtland Commission.

Other entities funded by the MacArthur Foundation include the Environmental Policy Institute, Conservation International, the U.S. branch of the Soviet-run International Foundation for the Survival and Development of Humanity, and Worldwatch. It also funds various groups that are important in U.S.-Soviet condominium "back channels," including the U.S.-Soviet Lawyers Dialogue on Legal and Policy Issues of Nuclear Arms Control; the Cambridge, Massachusetts Center for Psychological Studies in the Nuclear Age; National Public Radio; the Public Broadcasting System, and others.

The MacArthur Foundation's chairman of the board was the late Thornton Bradshaw, previously the head of RCA, the holding company of NBC-TV, and later an RCA consultant. Bradshaw's main business partner for decades was Robert O. Anderson, a director of Kissinger Associates. Together, Bradshaw (until his recent death) and Anderson have been key funders of and participants in such leading organizations of the malthusian-ecologist movement as the Club of Rome, the Aspen Institute, and the International Institute for Environment and Development in London. In April 1988, Bradshaw participated at the first Global Forum of Parliamentarians and Spiritual Leaders for Human Survival at Oxford University, at which an international mobilization to eliminate the notion of human creativity and progress was mapped out (see EIR, Jan. 12, 1990, "Green fascists plot against humanity").

