

empirical evidence was presented by anyone at the conference indicating that such a weapon existed or was even being developed. Evans concluded that allegations circulated by the Soviet Union, to the effect that the U.S. was cultivating new viruses as ethnic weapons, seem to be “nothing but propaganda.”

### Defeating the AIDS pandemic

The final session of the conference was devoted to “care and cure of AIDS.” Mrs. Garance Phau, editor of the French medical bulletin *SIDA—Médecine sentinelle*, gave a brilliant presentation on the methods of the Pasteurian school for prevention and control of disease.

Dr. Jonathan Tennenbaum gave an overview of the possibilities of “optical biophysics,” a branch of biology founded by Louis Pasteur, for research and treatment of HIV-AIDS. This approach is based on the characteristic differences in the geometry of organization of living and non-living matter as electromagnetic processes. Experiments have been done indicating that the AIDS virus could be inactivated in the blood of a patient, without damage to that blood, by irradiation with the proper wavelengths of electromagnetic radiation.

Another promising direction of research was presented by the leading French cancer specialist Prof. Georges Mathe. He announced results of testing of a new antiviral drug, acriflavine, which appears to be 10 times more effective than AZT in combatting HIV.

### ‘In the company of Martin Luther King’

A highpoint of the conference was the reading of a paper submitted by the economist and former U.S. presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche, from his jail cell in Rochester, Minnesota. LaRouche recounted how he had come to play a leading role in the fight against AIDS. As a physical economist in the tradition of Leibniz, LaRouche’s concern is “the means to increase the standard of living and the level of culture and freedom of the individual through increase of the average productive powers of labor of society.”

LaRouche said his best evaluation was that AIDS had emerged as the result of an accident. “It would have been possible for someone to have created it deliberately, but this being a Satan bug—everyone dies of it, no known cure—we didn’t think anyone on this planet with the capability of creating such a disease would have created this Satan bug deliberately.”

“But even disregarding that, we come to the other question. Are we willing to allow the disease to take its course, to the effect that the continent of Africa, at least black Africa, is decimated? Other parts of the world are decimated? Or do we consider human life sacred, and are we willing to mobilize the resources needed, whatever the cost, to save every life, whatever the color of skin, whatever the part of the planet?” LaRouche concluded.

## Is the AIDS virus a biological weapon?

by Samuel L. Evans

I want to take this opportunity to extend words of welcome to all of the participants present here this morning, and special thanks and appreciation to the many scholars from abroad and within the United States, who have set aside their busy schedules to be present at this World Conference on the HIV Virus Causing AIDS and Global Depopulation.

Indeed, the plague of the HIV virus causing AIDS represents the greatest threat to life ever visited on the planet Earth.

The conference seeks clarification of disturbing *rumors* and *grave allegations* which have achieved widespread international circulation . . . that the *HIV virus* causing AIDS was cultivated artificially in laboratories as an instrument of *biological warfare*; that viruses have been cultivated which will attack only *people* with *melanin* in their skin; that plans for depopulation targeting certain racial and ethnic groups are being implemented through *ethnic weapons*.

Indeed, such is the gravity of these allegations, that two book-length reports addressing these issues were prepared at the request of the United States House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, and presented to Congress at the hearing March 28, 1988, by Charles Z. Wick, director of the United States Information Agency (USIA). The USIA asserted that these allegations were part of a Soviet disinformation campaign, and dismissed the allegations as “absurd,” while neglecting to present scientific facts or evidence to refute the allegations.

Notwithstanding, the USIA reports, these devastating *rumors* and *allegations* continued to spread worldwide, doing great damage to the United States’ global relations with peoples of other *nations*. Therefore, in the interest of laying these *rumors* to rest and clarifying the allegations, *invitations* have been extended worldwide, inviting scientists and scholars to attend the conference and present scientific research and empirical data, *pro* and *con*, on the following subjects:

- 1) Is the HIV, the virus causing AIDS, of artificial origin, or a natural phenomenon?
- 2) If the HIV, the virus causing AIDS, is of artificial origins—was it created as an *ethnic weapon* to spread an epidemic among selected *people* and *nations* to achieve *global depopulation*?
- 3) If the HIV, the virus causing AIDS, is of artificial origins, where and when was such a virus cultured or created,

and by whom?

4) *Ways* and *means* to prevent the transmission of AIDS.

5) *Ways* and *means* for the *care* and *cure* of AIDS.

6) Whether certain viruses are being genetically cultured as ethnic weapons that will kill only people with melanin in their skin.

7) Empirical facts and figures on the spread and devastation of AIDS.

### Attempts to suppress the conference

The reactions of various government agencies to the convening of this conference, have proven to be the cause for both alarm and disappointment to me. For example, Mr. Todd Leventhal of the USIA contacted me on three occasions, urging me to not convoke this conference. I would like to read you one letter which he sent to me, so as to make clear what I find so disturbing about his attitude, and that of other government officials who have adopted similar postures:

Oct. 2, 1989

Mr. Samuel L. Evans

AFNA Education and Research Fund

Suite 1200, 117 S. 17th St.

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Dear Mr. Evans:

It was good talking with you today about the upcoming conference on HIV-AIDS and Global Population in November in Philadelphia. Please do send me the materials on the conference as they become available.

I am enclosing for your information two U.S. government reports that relate to the subject of your conference. The first document, the 1987 State Department report on "Soviet-influenced Activities," details the history of the Soviet disinformation campaign claiming that AIDS was created in a U.S. military laboratory on pages 33 to 49, and examines the ethnic weapon disinformation briefly on pages 53-54. The second report, "Soviet Active Measures in the Era of Glasnost", updates the AIDS disinformation briefly on pages 12-13, and examines the "ethnic weapon" allegations in more detail on pages 14-25.

As the reports show, despite the absurdity of these allegations, they have been systematically circulated by the Soviets for years in deliberate disinformation campaigns intended to blacken the image of the United States. A handful of conspiracy theorists, whose views fall far outside the responsible mainstream, have also embraced these bizarre theories, the Soviets have sometimes used the confused views of these fringe groups [sic] extremists to bolster their disinformation campaigns, and vica [sic] versa. All these allegations, whether sponsored by the Soviets or by irresponsible conspiracy theorists, have damaged the U.S. image.

I hope you find this material useful and of interest. I look

forward to hearing from you about the conference.

Sincerely yours,

Todd Leventhal

I call your attention to two important features of this letter:

1) At no point does Mr. Leventhal offer any scientific proof refuting these allegations; he merely asserts that they are "absurd."

2) He refers to those who disagree with the current government policy pronouncements in those matters as "fringe group extremists" and "bizarre."

Such conduct by government officials has always been the hallmark of totalitarian political regimes—and not the type of governmental conduct or attitude which the framers of our great U.S. Constitution envisioned, or wanted to encourage.

To cite another example, I would like to quote an excerpt of a letter which was sent to me as part of an effort to dissuade me from going through with my plans for the conference, which was written by John D. Wells of the United States' National AIDS Program Office, on Aug. 25, 1989.

He states: "This is a statement of health ministers from 148 countries who were assembled in London on January 28, 1988, to discuss the world AIDS situation. One will notice that there is not any mention of *blame*, but rather a clear focus and firm commitment to action steps necessary to control the epidemic."

I was surprised to see that Dr. Wells, a Ph.D., would invoke "popular opinion" in the form of what the cited conference in London did *not* discuss about the origin of AIDS (or its possible use as an instrument of global depopulation), as a reason for me not to take up a *scientific study* of these questions in this conference.

### Parallels to 1939

I am reminded of a similar type of argument that elements of the U.S. government made during 1939, with regard to the treat that Adolf Hitler represented. I remember all too well the "fact-finding tour" that Charles A. Lindbergh made to Nazi Germany, to investigate allegations of Hitler's crimes against the Jewish people. He returned proclaiming that there was "*nothing to it*." His pronouncements, backed by the authority of his supporters in the U.S. government, intimidated or quieted much of the opposition to Hitler. . . .

We organized a Save America Committee which included the old *Philadelphia Record* newspaper, the *Philadelphia Inquirer* newspaper, business and civic leaders, and invited Mrs. Franklin D. Roosevelt and Mayor Fiorello LaGuardia of New York as principal speakers at a mass meeting at Convention Hall in Philadelphia, against America supporting Hitler. History will reflect that over 10 million people were killed after Lindbergh said there was "*nothing to it*."

We saw yet another manifestation of this ugly type of attitude during the dark days of American history known as

the “McCarthy Era,” in the early 1950s. Senator McCarthy had teachers, doctors, lawyers . . . everybody running in fear.

We believed that McCarthy was eroding the Constitution. We challenged him in his attack on the famous scientist J. Robert Oppenheimer for his “guilt-by-association” bullying tactics.

We organized an awards dinner for Dr. Oppenheimer at the height of the McCarthy hysteria, gratefully accepting the support of great scientists such as Albert Einstein and Niels Bohr.

Soon the FBI appeared. “Mr. Evans,” they asked, “Why are you around with scientists like Einstein and Bohr? Who’s putting you up to this?”

I replied, “I can understand your problem. . . . If I were selling watermelons and sugarcane, I would not be a suspect.”

The dinner for Dr. Oppenheimer was a success. And I must say, I was especially personally gratified to see President John F. Kennedy bestow the highest award that this country has to offer its civilian heroes, a scant 10 years later, on Dr. Oppenheimer.

From the time of that dinner almost 40 years ago, I’ve had continuously thrust upon my desk the problems of people from all areas, races, religions, ethnic groups, political, groups, etc. The Ukrainians came to me and told me of infirm octogenarians being pulled out of their beds in the middle of the night, by government agents intent upon deporting them without a trial. I’ve defended many Asians from the unjust attacks which were mounted against them. Then individuals associated with Lyndon LaRouche came and spoke to me of violations of due process and constitutional aberrations that were occurring in his case.

I turned 87 years of age Nov. 11. During those 87 years, we have passed through many struggles coming up from the Deep South. I saw five lynchings before I was nine years old, experienced segregation, and rode on the back seats in trolleys, trains, and buses. And I’ve traveled during those years to some 49 countries and met all ideologies—communist, fascist—you name it, I’ve encountered them all.

Through all of this, I am still convinced that the U.S. Constitution is the greatest instrument for human development and growth that any government ever invented. Freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, and freedom of protest are the pillars on which everything we do in this country must rest.

I resent—and I believe I speak for many millions of Americans who feel likewise—any individual or group who holds American citizens in such low esteem, that they fear that Americans will be converted to a foreign ideology almost as soon as they come in contact with it. There is no more powerful or compelling instrument of civic persuasion in the entire history of mankind, than our extraordinary U.S. Constitution.

The proof of the pudding is right before our very eyes today. . . . The fact that we are holding this meeting, despite ill-advised governmental efforts to dissuade us from doing so, is a testament to the fact that the Constitution is still working.

I disagree with Mr. Leventhal and the U.S. Information Agency. I don’t believe that this meeting will damage the United States. Rather, I believe it will strengthen it, as its occurrence will serve to embolden people, who were other-

---

---

*‘We believe the U.S. government ought to have enough decency and respect for its citizenry, to present a cogent, scientific denial of the rumors and allegations that have been made about it.’*

---

---

wise cowering fearfully in the face of authoritarian governmental edicts, to discharge their responsibilities as U.S. citizens, and strive onward in the pursuit of truth.

Franklin Delano Roosevelt said to those cynics who tried to undercut his campaign for a fourth term in office by claiming that he would become a vertiable king, “Long after I’m gone, there will be Presidents, Presidents, and more Presidents.” So I say to Mr. Leventhal, “Long after this meeting, there will be meetings, meetings, and more meetings.”

So, we are convening this conference, in order to determine scientifically grounded facts with respect to the aforementioned topics on the HIV virus causing AIDS, and global depopulation. It is *not* a platform for various ideologies. Rather, it is one in search of scientific data which can aid mankind in its search for a solution to the plague of the HIV virus causing AIDS, the most dreadful plague in human history.

It is a world conference. Therefore, it is open to all persons of nations to participate, regardless of their political ideology, religion, creed, etc., and *to* present their scientific data addressing the topics of this conference.

A strong *prima facie* case has already been established regarding the artificial origin of HIV-AIDS, and the twin dangers of genetic weapons and depopulation, based upon our cursory review of the material in the public domain on these matters. *Webster’s Dictionary* defines *prima facie* as “adequate to establish a fact or raise a presumption of fact unless refuted; said of evidence.”

Accordingly, we believe the U.S. government ought to have enough decency and respect for its citizenry, to present a cogent, scientific denial of the *rumors* and *allegations* that have been made about it. Thirty million black Americans

deserve an answer. The callous refusal of the government to even address these vital questions is a black mark on a U.S. government which has already been badly besmirched with the blot of slavery.

According to U.S. law, it is illegal for one individual to make a threat of physical violence against another. Yet, *the U.S. government has not even troubled itself to forthrightly and scientifically deny this mortal threat, which has been raised against tens of millions of Americans!*

I send letters to the various leading officials in the U.S. Centers for Disease Control (CDC), the U.S. Public Health Service, the U.S. Army Biological Research Center at Fort Detrick, Maryland, the World Health Organization, the National Institutes of Health, and Surgeon General C. Everett Koop himself, asking them to present a simple, cogent, scientific refutation of these *rumors* and *allegations*, or to delegate someone to do so on their behalf. Every single individual refused, stating that they would neither attend, nor submit a paper.

For example, Dr. James Curan, Director of the AIDS Program for the U.S. CDC, sent me a letter on Aug. 8, 1989, which stated, “. . . extensive time and travel commitments in November restrict me from giving you a favorable response to your request.”

And Dr. Koop was too busy to respond himself. He forwarded my letter to his *booking and ad agency*, which wrote on Aug. 21, 1989: “Dr. Koop has forwarded to us your letter of invitation dated July 21, 1989 as we are his agents. Unfortunately, due to his extremely heavy schedule, he regrets that he must decline this offer to speak before your group at this time.” . . .

The absence of U.S. governmental officials, and officials of the World Health Organization, does not reflect well on the institutions. *Silence* in the face of of such alarming *rumors* and *allegations* raises more questions than it alleviates.

It remains for American citizens, blessed as we are with that most extraordinary of all documents known as the U.S. Constitution, to persevere in our pursuit of scientific knowledge and truth. It is as American citizens, that we convene these historic proceedings here today.

The history of man may be called the “Greatest Show on Earth”; it has been running continuously since it opened about a million years ago. It is an epic of endurance and ambition, of struggle for survival against the elements, a tragedy of wars, disasters, and privations. The drama of man’s history can highlight only the more salient aspects of his activity upon this Earth—how he created civilizations in one age, only to destroy them in another.

Therefore, the people of the world, especially Americans in 1989 and beyond, are the custodians of the present and future generations of living things, as well as the preservation of the constitutional instrument of our American form of government. Prudence demands that we must be aware and vigilant against the possibilities of human extinction.

## Global pandemics and depopulation

by Warren J. Hamerman

For over 15 years I have directed the work of an interdisciplinary task force of economists, biologists, mathematicians, physicists, and physicians worldwide who have been studying the connection between economic breakdown and global depopulation through the genesis of pandemics. This task force was called into being by Lyndon LaRouche, Jr. in 1973.

On behalf of that task force, I would like to salute the courage of Samuel Evans for organizing this conference. One hundred years from today, let us hope that our descendants will look back on this conference as a turning point in which there was a coming together to find the truth, to bring an end to pandemic disease and the resulting unnecessary death and depopulation.

The focus of my report is to address one extremely fundamental question: Is the eruption of AIDS and related global pandemics the result of a deliberate depopulation policy aimed at eliminating impoverished populations of black Africa, the Caribbean, Central and South America, the urban ghettos of North America and inevitably, the densely populated areas of Asia?

The answer centers on the unassailable fact that the map of the worldwide distribution of cases and infected has increasingly conformed to a disproportionate devastation of the precise areas and very same segments of the world population which the policy directors of the international economic and population control organizations have targeted as “overpopulated” with “excess eaters.”

There are only four possibilities for this correspondence:

**Hypothesis A.** It’s merely a *remarkable coincidence* and only Nature is to blame.

**Hypothesis B.** While the initial outbreak was coincidental, those in a position of policy control consciously decided to *look the other way* and let Nature take its course.

**Hypothesis C.** Those in policy control crossed the line to *help it along* through neglect, delay and other so-called “passive” measures.

**Hypothesis D.** It was *premeditated*. Whatever the origin or whatever the initial mode of transmission, the preconditions for the eruption of such a pandemic were known and created in advance. Then massive disinformation and misinformation were disseminated to “blind” the public health radar and cover up the true nature of the threat. Finally,