

Mexican unionists' arrests portend a Bush disaster

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

This article was released on Jan. 12.

It were as if the ill-fated Titanic had been sunk by an iceberg before leaving port. The mass arrests of the leadership of Mexico's petroleum workers union, have set off a political chain-reaction which threatens to become disastrous for the incoming U.S. Bush administration.

These mass arrests were ordered from the United States and Britain, as part of the Anglo-American Liberal Establishment's grab for the Mexico petroleum trust, Pemex. This action is but one of several major actions which that particular faction of the Liberal Establishment had pre-scheduled to occur during the period between the November 1988 U.S. election and the presidential inauguration of George Bush. These actions are designed to ensure that when the new U.S. administration assumes power, it is locked into the global game-plan of that particular Anglo-American faction.

Once Mr. Bush's administration were locked into that game-plan, his administration is as good as doomed to a Titanic disaster.

From the standpoint of the history of Mexico, the combination of forces behind the Pemex grab is exactly the same circles around Britain's Lords Palmerston and Russell, 125 years ago, which ordered the joint British, French, and Spanish invasion of Mexico, to overthrow President Benito Juárez, and install the Hapsburg puppet-emperor Maximilian. That faction's policy toward Mexico itself has not changed in any essential way in 125 years. As far as Pemex itself is concerned, this Anglo-American faction is doing today, what it did not dare do under the circumstances of 1938-40, but which it has remained committed to do, as soon as possible,

during every year of the past 50 years.

What is happening to Mexico, is no different than what I discussed with Mexico's President José López Portillo in our meeting of June 1982. The time has come to reveal the precise, opening words of my briefing to the President at that meeting: "Mr. President, they plan to begin taking your country apart, piece by piece. The operation is intended to begin no later than this September." It began in August of that year; beginning October 1982, piece by piece, week by week, Mexico has been taken apart.

Back in 1863

At the time London ordered the combined British, French, and Spanish fleets to overthrow the government of Benito Juárez, Lords Palmerston and Russell were committed to military action to effect the destruction of the United States, a destruction planned as outlined in the correspondence of New York City's August Belmont. The invasion of Mexico was intended to be a flanking action, preparing the deployment of British and French naval forces in war against the government of President Abraham Lincoln.

Two things prevented Palmerston's going to war against the United States at that time. One was the action of Czar Alexander II, who sent the Russian navy to San Francisco and New York, and warned London that Russia would unleash war throughout Europe, if Britain took any military action against the United States. The second thing, was the defeat of British intelligence's New York City "draft riots," and the decision at Gettysburg secured by the timely arrival of the New York regiments. During a rug-chewing session between Palmerston and Russell, the planned war against the

United States was written off as a lost cause. British interest in Mexico was dropped, for that reason, and the project left in the hands of the Emperor Napoleon III.

After Appomattox, the United States forced Napoleon III to withdraw French military-occupation forces from Mexico. This created the circumstances in which President Juárez effected his military defeat of Maximilian. That was just over 120 years ago.

Still, today

However, the combination of forces behind Palmerston, Russell, and Belmont then, have never given up the goals of 1862-63. That was five generations ago. My maternal grandfather was born a year before Palmerston invaded Mexico; as I know the traditions of my great-grandfather's father through my family's dinner-table gatherings, so the descendants of Palmerston, Russell, and Belmont remember theirs.

Individuals die, but powerful family traditions live on. Britain's Prime Minister Winston Churchill lived out his life defending zealously the detailed memory of his ancestor from the time of Queen Anne and Cotton Mather, the First Duke of Marlborough. It is impossible to understand many of Winston Churchill's decisions, such as his ill-fated adventure against Turkey during World War I, without recognizing the degree to which Winston was obsessed with the idea of being virtually a reincarnation of the First Duke. The leading aristocratic families of Europe live out their lives in a repetitive guided tour past the portraits of their ancestors, reaching back centuries, to the 16th and 17th centuries, or even earlier. It is the same with those powerful financier families who trace their traditions to the Venetian nobility of the 16th or even the 14th century.

Some "younger families" hire genealogists to invent ancient ancestries for them. The actual traditions of my maternal side begin with my great-great grandfather, a contemporary of Abraham Lincoln, and, like Lincoln, a Henry Clay Whig. However, some members of the family, of different political persuasion, hired a genealogist to create a book, tracing the family's origin to the First Duke of Lancaster, the Norman Reginald de Taillebois.

Others among us have done as I, to adopt an intellectual-philosophical ancestry. My choice, since the age of 13 and 14 years, was Gottfried Leibniz and the philosophical tradition he represents.

No person has the durability of personal character-formation needed to shape the history of the world, for good or for evil, for better or for worse, unless he or she is self-defined as an historical person, either by aid of a family history, an adopted philosophical ancestry, or a combination of both. The capacity for actions which tend to have universalizing implications, expressed efficiently with pungency and force, depends upon finding within oneself an historical commitment which is far greater than the momentary dura-

tion and width of a mere individual mortal existence. It is the study of history, with the same degree of passion an old aristocratic family seizes a vivid memory of its ancestors, which provides a kind of strength of will for long-range decisions lacking in those whose passions go no further than narrow immediate concerns of personal advantage.

Thus, because such historical capacities for great good or great evil are relatively rare in the population as a whole, the majority, without such impassioned personal sense of historical identities, lack the intellectual capacity to understand crucial events such as those occurring in Mexico today. Unless one understands the leading passions of the most powerful among the contending forces of more than a hundred years ago, one lacks the means to understand why the descendants of those around Palmerston, Russell, and Belmont then, are doing what they are doing to Mexico today.

There may be simple explanations which are generally accepted as "most credible" to the proverbial man in the street. There may be such simplistically simple motives attributed to the powerful financier interests behind the actions of former CIA Director William Colby today. In the final analysis, all such explanations are false. The real motives of the real shapers of these policies, are motives rooted in traditions reaching back a hundred years and much more.

It is because I understand these principles efficiently, that those combinations of powerful families which represent a tradition directly opposite to my own, are determined to exterminate me, and to eradicate every organized expression of what I represent from every place on the face of this planet. Today's descendants of those families behind Palmerston, Russell, and Belmont, are terrified of my historical potentialities today, and are therefore committed to exterminating me before great events might bring my historical potentialities into fuller play.

For related reasons, those same families are determined to eradicate all trace of real Christianity from this planet, and to stamp out of existence every force or faction, in every nation which represents potentially the base of support for what Benito Juárez and Abraham Lincoln represented more than a hundred years ago.

The simple man in the street finds it a credible explanation that powerful financier interests in London and New York wish to loot Mexico to the marrow of its bone, and to steal such a rich prize as the sea of petroleum, perhaps vaster than that of Saudi Arabia, below. Certainly, stealing is a strong motive in this affair. However, that is only a secondary motive; at the higher levels, the real motive is these families' determination to have the right to do as they please. What they please, is the assertion of what they have adopted as the historical commitments of their family's tradition. Since the man in the street has no family tradition of this sort, he does not understand that the world is ruled by families with such traditions, for better or for worse. So, that man in the street

rejects anything but very simple explanations as “not credible.” In other words, the man in the street accepts no explanation which is not essentially false.

And, tomorrow

Future history is determined by a combination of alterations in existing traditions, and in a change in the composition of opposing forces each representing different traditions. What is afoot today is a global game, in which the events in Mexico are but one tiny, but important part. It is this larger game which threatens to arrange an early, Titanic sinking of the Bush administration.

We have not discussed this matter with Mr. Bush personally. We have discussed it in considerable depth, with those involved in preshaping Bush administration policies. We have discussed the issues and their implications with leading circles around the world, over many years. From what we have observed of Mr. Bush's response to his environment, and from sources of direct information, we know that George Bush does not know what is about to hit him.

He is not an unintelligent man, nor lacking in certain special qualifications of experience. He probably knows some important aspects of the crises just ahead for him, but his view of these matters is controlled by considerations of the sort which Shakespeare's Hamlet puts under the proposition, “To be, or not to be?” Mr. Bush is so certain of the realities of power in his immediate environment, that he withdraws from contemplation of the world beyond those immediate certainties. That, so far, is the literally tragic aspect of his incoming administration.

The relevant “realities of power” are, essentially, the power of the Anglo-American Liberal Establishment over the fate of politicians and governments of the United States. What that power will, and will not tolerate, is the shape of the goldfish bowl in which the incoming Bush administration perceives itself to swim. Hence, all the movements, actual and contemplated, of that “fish,” are limited to addressing crises in terms consistent with “the realities” of Establishment commitments and power. The “fish” may see into the world beyond the goldfish bowl, but only, as St. Paul expresses it in the best quality of English, “as through a glass darkly.” The world beyond, may exist in the Bush administration's contemplation, but that world is not a sensuous reality in the “fish's” decision-making.

To complete the metaphor: The goldfish-bowl is about to be knocked over.

The same general rule applies, in a somewhat different form, to the perceptions of the Establishment itself. The Establishment as a whole, at the highest level, is obsessed by a delusion, an hysterical obsession. It can not bring itself to believe, that no matter what it does in service of its current tradition, that choice must lead to a disaster akin to the death of the gods of Olympus. “You will see; it will work out as

we have planned. We have the power. We have the will. We are united in this commitment.”

The hysterical assertion of raw Establishment power, in the 400-man armed raid on the offices of my associates, in October 1986, is an example of this obsession. The way in which the recent Alexandria trial was rammed through, with the jury rigged so nakedly, is an example of that hysteria. The exact same combination of Establishment forces behind those two events, directed the recent actions against Mexico's petroleum union. If one draws a graph-line, from August 1982's events in Mexico, up to the present time, the degree of wildly hysterical assertion of raw, lawless power, often through instruments of the law itself, has increased geometrically.

This pattern can not be explained, except to foolish, simple-minded people, in terms of Establishment reactions to specific, prompting events. The hysteria is caused by an existential anxiety pervading the Establishment as a whole, and intensified by the rise of organized Satanism within the ranks of the Establishment up to the highest echelons.

In short, this Establishment hates God, as only those who set themselves up in the image of the pagan gods of Olympus can hate the Creator. The Establishment sees the very forces of nature closing in upon it.

In my own case, it views me as the gods of Olympus viewed the aeschylean Prometheus, and as the Syrian Magi, steering Socrates' prosecutors, saw Socrates. It hates the Papacy, and is determined to exterminate it, for similar reasons. Any person, any institution, which appears to this Establishment to represent those forces of natural law now threatening the Establishment with loss of its power to rule as it pleases, is marked potentially for destruction. The Establishment is now moving, with mounting hysteria, to destroy such persons and institutions in the degree they are viewed either as influential, or potentially a supporting force for those who are.

The Establishment senses that it is fighting for its own continued existence, and senses this as the mythical gods of Olympus might have reacted to the “twilight of the gods.” It reacts to this growing anxiety in the manner of the Biblical Herod, slaughtering every male child of the same age as the feared Messiah.

These moods within the Establishment coincide with the recently massive growth, and public openness of avowed Satan-worshippers among the pedophiles of the Establishment. The potential for such spread of pedophilia and Satan-worship is fostered by the malaise—the *Weltschmerz*—within the ranks of the Establishment and its hangers-on.

The spread of quasi-Satanic Gnosticism, in such guises as “neo-malthusian environmentalism” and kindred cult-forms of organized irrationalism, is a symptom of this trend. It is like a mortally wounded beast, the last of its species, who is determined to destroy anyone, anything, which might outlive

it. Like the Nazis, whose inner core was an offshoot of a Satanist cult, it resorts to increasingly wildly desperate exertions of raw power, an hysterical assertion of its arbitrary will, in the blind faith that by these means the Establishment shall either continue to rule the world, or leave no world for anything after them.

The bloody scene with which Hamlet concludes, augurs the end toward which the tragedy of the incoming administration is presently aimed. It is fear of Establishment power, which has shaped thus far the policies of the second Reagan administration, and the transitional phase of the new administration. That is the Bush administration's "goldfish bowl"; unless Mr. Bush can free his administration from that sort of policy-shaping captivity, his administration were doomed early on.

Satanism amok

The power of Satanism, reaching up into numerous among the highest echelons of the Anglo-American Liberal Establishment, is by no means exaggerated.

Organized Satanism, as associated with the tradition of Nietzsche and Aleister Crowley, is defined by the umbrella-term "Age of Aquarius," or "New Age." Its best known forms inside Britain and the United States, are the Britain-founded Wicca and OTO, theosophy cults of Crowley. In addition to Crowley, the organizations of Bertrand Russell and H.G. Wells are front-operations of these two Satanists, together with those of Crowleyite initiates to Satanism, Aldous and Julian Huxley. Gnosticism, usually encountered as pseudo-Christianity, is an old and continuing guise for Satanism.

The popular manifestations of the spread of Satanism, are the proliferation of Wicca, OTO, and Theosophy as cult-organizations engaged in very energetic recruiting-drives. More often, OTO rituals are seen in the spread of incidents of pedophilia, cult-murders, and mysterious suicides among children and adolescents. Atlanta child-murders and the New York "Son of Sam" murders merely touch the fact that perhaps 20% of the homicides in Western Europe today are actions of Satanist cult-members.

Organized Satanism is expressed in most of the political forms of organized homosexuality, and most broadly in the spread of the outrightly Satanist, and Satanist-controlled "heavy metal" rock groups and their followings. The spread of cabalism and astrology, two products of ancient Satanism in the Middle East, are principal recruiting tactics employed by organized Satanist cults such as Wicca and OTO. The use of drugs for recreation, is traditional cult-practice among the Middle East Satanist cults of ancient and modern times, and by the Dionysos and Bacchic cults.

The organized Satan cults are structured as Freemasonic organizations, and have devotees present up to even high levels within organized Freemasonic bodies such as the Scottish Rite.

Exemplary is the case of the New York Episcopal Cathedral of St. John the Divine, the flagship diocese for the Anglo-American Liberal Establishment in the U.S.A. Much of the organizing of Satan cults, such as Wicca, has been done through the Lindisfarne center operated as part of the program of Bishop Paul Moore's diocese. Former U.S. Secretary of Defense, Robert S. McNamara, is a cult devotee. The flagship Satanist organization in the United States is the Lucifer/Lucis Trust, which now operates out of the Cathedral of St. John the Divine, and is the sponsor of the United Nations Association and of neo-malthusian organizations such as the World Wildlife Fund. All of these and other connections to Satanism by Bishop Moore's cathedral is a matter of open public record.

So, organized Satanism penetrates into very high levels of the Liberal Establishment, and its well-known presence there is tolerated by the Establishment as a whole. Indeed, Satanism is the most evil influence within the Establishment, and a very powerful one at high levels.

Any analysis of events such as the Leesburg raid of Oct. 6, 1986, or the recent events in Mexico, which overlooks the role of Satanism within Establishment policy-shaping, has no real grasp of the character of current history.

The danger Bush faces

The greatest danger which the new President faces, is the likelihood that his new administration will become locked into step with the Liberal Establishment's global game-plan, even before the end of the "transition period." The principal reason the Liberal Establishment has pushed through a number of key operations, including the events in Mexico, before Jan. 22, is to lock in the incoming administration even before it is inaugurated.

A few of these actions are the following, listed in the order of their occurrence:

- 1) The rush to push through the Alexandria federal trial before the Bush inauguration;
- 2) The scheduling of the Paris foreign-ministers' conference on "chemical weapons"—actually directed against fertilizers and insecticides for agriculture.
- 3) The use of the theme of that Paris conference for the military, diversionary feint, targeting Libya. Other, more significant motives governed that deployment.
- 4) The recent events in Mexico.

There are sundry others which could be listed, but the point is illustrated sufficiently by those above.

The effect of these "transitional period" actions, is consistently aimed to delimit the options available to the new President. The actions in Mexico, for example, "burn bridges." Mr. Bush is compelled to adopt these actions, both implicitly and even openly, and so mortgage his administration's options in advance.

The policies of the Anglo-American Liberal Establish-

ment include the following most prominently:

1) The application of the methods used by Nazi Economics Minister Hjalmar Schacht, as the treatment of the global monetary and financial crisis soon to erupt in a major financial crash.

2) The use of "globalist" agreements for power-sharing with Moscow as a policy of Chamberlain-like appeasement, in preparation for the prospect of a future world war erupting as a war between Israel and Syria.

3) The fight to ensure not only that the regional world-federalist utopia called "Europe 1992" is implemented, but that London-centered interests dominate that Europe, to the disadvantage of Germany, Italy, and France.

4) A managed break-away of the United States from Europe, with the U.S. manipulated into aiding London's efforts against its German, Italian, and French competitors in the struggle for power under "Europe 1992."

5) The destruction of the sovereignty and institution of the Presidency of the United States.

That outlines the "game-plan" into which the Establishment seeks to lock Mr. Bush. They do not inform him of the last point, of course.

Although he should be well aware of this latter intent, he relies upon agreements which he has reached, or may expect to reach within the Establishment. His administration, including its inner core of old hands, has been so conditioned to the habits of playing the Establishment game by Establishment rules, that it is unable to think of any other setting of policy-shaping. So, it were likely—at least, it is indicated so far—that Mr. Bush were most easily set up for a fall, by inducing him to rely upon agreements which certain forces within the Establishment intend to violate.

The appearances are, so far, that the Bush administration is committed to playing the Schachtian game in matters of debt crises and other issues of monetary, financial, economic, and fiscal policy. Once his administration is locked into that course of action, it will be an easy matter for London and other centers to do what they are committed to doing, with assistance from the extremist Liberals inside the U.S.

The intended flanking action against Mr. Bush's administration, is to "pull the plug" on the U.S. dollar and shaky financial markets, and to do this in ways which Tokyo can not counter even by massive bail-out efforts. The trick by which this is done, is "reverse financial leverage."

Under those crisis-circumstances, those behind this flanking-action do not bring the U.S. dollar all the way down. In midstream, when the Bush administration senses it faces a hopeless situation, they do to Washington as they, together with Washington, had done in "case by case" negotiations with Mexico and other nations since October 1982. The U.S. is put under IMF "conditionalities" and kindred supplementary arrangements.

How could Mr. Bush be set up for that? Very simply. It has already begun, in Mexico this January.

March on Dr. King's demands justice for

by Don Baier and Nora Hamerman

More than 4,000 people from 25 states of the U.S.A., as well as representatives of the people of Ibero-America, Western Europe, and the captive nationalities of Eastern Europe and China, marched in Washington, D.C. on Monday, Jan. 16, the U.S. national holiday celebrating the birthday of the murdered civil rights leader Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

Led by a color guard with flags of many nations, the demonstrators started out just in front of the Capitol, and marched more than a dozen blocks down Constitution Avenue to the Ellipse between the Washington Monument and the White House. It was the only major demonstration for the King holiday in the nation's capital.

They marched for economic justice for Americans and people all over the world, against the bankers' dictatorship of the International Monetary Fund, and against Soviet and Chinese Communist tyranny. And they marched to demand that a man who has dedicated his life to that purpose, former Democratic presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche, be freed from the criminal Justice Department frame-up for which he and six of his associates are scheduled to be sentenced Jan. 27.

If LaRouche is jailed, there is every expectation that his enemies, led by the "We love Gorbachov" crowd and the Satanist faction of the U.S. Establishment, will arrange his murder—as the political assassinations of Dr. King, President John F. Kennedy, and Abraham Lincoln were arranged.

Petitions demanding that President Reagan pardon LaRouche before leaving office, signed by more than 15,000 American citizens, were presented to the White House on Jan. 18. March organizers said if Reagan fails to grant the pardon, the campaign will continue under President Bush. Simultaneously, a half-page ad appeared in the *Washington Post* and a full page in the *Washington Times*, urging the pardon.

The march and petition drive were organized in a period of a little more than two weeks, since Christmas. Farmers, civil rights organizers, pastors, trade unionists, teachers, and students, and senior citizens marched. They came on 120 buses, they came in vans, cars and tractors. They came from as far away as California, Alabama, Texas and Oklahoma, and from as near as the Washington, D.C., though most arrived from the mid-Atlantic states of New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and Maryland.

They marched with placards that read "Grow Grain, Not