

# Will Berlin become Germany's capital again?

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

I believe, that if I become U.S. President in 1989, and live to serve a second term, that Berlin might become the capital of a reunified Germany, with the Charlottenburg Palace the seat of government. I say this in all seriousness. I say this not to brag, but as a useful way of illustrating a very important point of statecraft for today's troubled age.

There is a natural division between East and West in Europe, a division determined by the furthest eastern advance of the Catholic Church's influence through the time of the 1439 Council of Florence. So, Poland belongs to the West, and Germany emphatically so. Unless we assume catastrophes of the greatest magnitude in this region, the cultural differentiation between East and West in those regions will persist over generations, and matters must be resolved finally accordingly.

Respecting Germany's reunification, in particular, the typical citizen on both sides of Nikita Khrushchov's fortified wall, dividing Germany, will not be content unless this reunification occurs. Moscow understands this, and seeks to play upon this to Russian imperial advantage. Some on the western side of the wall are willing to entertain very significant concessions to Moscow today or tomorrow, in the hope that reunification might be brought about eventually.

Granted, under present circumstances, Moscow would accept Germany's reunification only on terms strategically advantageous to Moscow's persisting, Dostoevskian ambitions for becoming the world-capital of a "Third and Final," global Roman empire. However, suppose Moscow were to give up such ambitions, and to concentrate instead on a "Westernized" form of agro-industrial development of Russia? Then, Moscow's strategic perspectives would shift, to the effect that assuredly peaceful cooperation in the relations with Western Europe and the United States were more advantageous to Russia than the possession of its colonies in the eastern part of non-Russian Europe.

How could such a change come about? Simply, if Moscow were convinced that its imperial ambitions were a hopeless quest over foreseeable future history. In other words, if the next President of the United States were able to mobilize the great potential superiority of the Western nations, and their political will, to such a degree that the burdens of Soviet all-out pre-war mobilization, called today *perestroika*, were

the only result to be expected from a protracted such mobilization, and also under the condition that Moscow were assured that the West's great superiority would not be used for an attempted conquest of Russia.

Under those circumstances, Moscow would peacefully consent to the reunification of Germany, with Berlin as its capital.

Is this a pipe-dream? Not if one knows the most characteristic features of the pre-history of the present Bolshevik dynasty as I am privileged to know that.

I explain.

## The case of Yuli Vorontsov

I have a book. This book is 689 pages long in typed manuscript form, and would run to perhaps double that number of pages in printed book form. This book is entitled, *The Roots of The Trust*, and is by two of my collaborators, Rachel and Al Douglas. The book represents a digest of years of researches by dozens of our collaborators, and includes reliance on primary documents from archives never before reflected in public print. The book contains the essential secrets of the Russian Revolution of 1917, and is key to understanding the Bolshevik dynasty from then to the present day.

There was never a Russian Revolution of 1917, at least not as L.D. Trotsky lies in his famous three-volume *History of the Russian Revolution*, or as leftist professors and others recite the myth of that so-called revolution today. What happened in 1917 was a series of palace coups—abetted by sundry foreign meddlers such as British intelligence, German intelligence, and the Parvus network, all coordinated inside Russia by the Tsarist secret police, the Okhrana. Many of those officials retained their ranks as members of the Bolshevik secret-intelligence service, the Cheka, under Feliks Dzerzhinsky.

What prompts my reference to all this, today, is an article of great importance which appeared in the Italian press this past weekend. The article, "Aristocrats Return to the Kremlin: Scions of the Great Families of the Tsarist Period Return to Diplomatic Top Levels," appeared in the Aug. 15 edition of *Il Giornale*, under the by-line of Fernando Mezzetti.

The article is devoted to noting that key members of the Soviet foreign ministry today are members of the families of

the old, pre-1917 Russian aristocracy. As one of such cases, the article mentions the case of Yuli Vorontsov, a foreign ministry official who ranks among the top three, together with former Soviet U.S. Ambassador Anatolii Dobrynin and Aleksandr Yakovlev, under Yegor Ligachov, in the Soviet Party apparatus today. This is the same Vorontsov who was recently pulled out suddenly from Geneva negotiations, for an emergency flight to Khomeini's Teheran, in response to the allied anti-Khomeini military commitment in the Persian Gulf.

Vorontsov's name has teased me ever since I noted his promotion under the current Soviet party head, "Tsar Mikhail II" Gorbachov. It is the name of one of the two most powerful combines of aristocratic landowning families in pre-1917 Russia, the Shuvalov-Vorontsov clan. This old boyar family, especially the super-conspirator Count I.I. Vorontsov-Dashkov, was at the center of the anti-Romanov plot which, later, with the help of the foolish Grand Duke Nikolai Nikolayevitch, organized the revolutionary upheaval of 1917! Could it be possible, that this Vorontsov was an heir of that family? Yes, says *Il Giornale's* Mezzetti.

The article identifies other current Soviet foreign ministry officials of the same kind of aristocratic pedigrees.

This is hardly unprecedented. The first Soviet foreign minister, Georgii Chicherin, a Moscow aristocrat, descendant of a Venetian family, the Cicerini, which arrived in Moscow in the train of Tsarina Sophie Paleologue. Chicherin had family ties to Vorontsov-Dashkov.

In the United States, we have so-called "Russian experts," among the friends of the Moonies' *Washington Times* editor Arnaud de Borchgrave—like the Moonie organization itself, who insist hysterically that the 1917 Revolution in Russia was a break with all preceding Russian history. Most of the accepted Soviet intelligence doctrines, on which U.S. policies have been based, are premised upon the myth that "Communism" represented an absolute break with preceding Russian history. It is all foolish nonsense, when it is not simply outright lying by the so-called "Russian experts."

For similar reasons, almost everything published on Soviet Communism and the "communist mentality" of the Kremlin is utter rubbish.

I speak of the Bolshevik dynasty of the Russian empire, because the Bolsheviks were created by the Tsarist Okhrana almost to the man, and were brought to power by an alliance between old Russian, anti-Romanov families such as that of Vorontsov-Dashkov and a Moscow-centered faction of anti-Romanov "Old Believers" (Raskolniki) centered in 1917 around the Tsar's head of the War Industries Committees, A.I. Guchkov. Their program was that elaborated in the rantings of another Okhrana asset, novelist Fyodor Dostoevsky ("the Russian Nietzsche"), especially his *The Diary of a Writer*.

Back during the 1920s, the witting circles behind the Bolshevik "revolution" gave the Bolsheviks an estimated 60 mystical years to undergo the three generations of transfor-

mations needed to bring the old aristocracy back out of the woodwork, to resume an open, leading position in directing the Russian Church and state, and to lead both that church and state to the historic mission of exterminating Western Christianity and launch the process of establishing Moscow as the world-capital of a system of colonies, satrapies, and client-states, in the Persian Empire model, as the "Third and Final" empire of Rome.

Stalin's daughter has returned to Moscow. Molotov was rehabilitated before he died. L.D. Trostky has been officially rehabilitated as a revolutionary leader by the official Soviet press. "Tsarina" Gorbachova and her Armand Hammer-funded Soviet Culture Foundation have officially elevated Dostoevsky to Bolshevik sainthood. Soviet defectors return home, one by one. The in-gathering of the Muscovite clans for the great mystical celebration of 1988, is occurring from around the world. For Moscow, the millennium of world-empire is about to begin.

The appearance of the article in this past weekend's *Il Giornale* has all of the character of a Soviet-planted "signal piece." Yu. Vorontsov's ordinarily highly classified pedigree would not be leaked into Western press unless Moscow intended this to occur. Knowing the Bolshevik's mystical mind, one knows what this signifies. "Come home. The egg is hatching. The time has come for all our dark forces to assemble, so that together we shall conquer the world!"

There will be more such leaks, to the same effect.

It is not on the fact of Vorontsov's pedigree that I base this article, but on the fact that it has been obviously leaked in that way at this time.

I know the Bolshevik mind as only honest, non-"Russian expert" scholarship permits one to know that mind, as no ordinary politician would ever understand, and few heads of state and government since we lost such relative giants of recent decades as de Gaulle, Konrad Adenauer, and Indira Gandhi. For that reason, Moscow hates, and respects me all the more. For that reason, I know exactly how to deal with Moscow.

Remove the credibility of the "Third Rome" mission, for which the Bolshevik dynasty was created and tolerated, by the likes of the late Arnold Toynbee and Bertrand Russell, as well as anti-Romanov Russian aristocrats, and one sets thus into motion a new process within Muscovite culture, and within Eastern Europe's Slavic and Turkic populations as a whole.

There are two ways to accomplish this. By war of conquest, and a different way—my way.

### **Berlin, the capital question**

That brings us back to the matter of Berlin.

One of the finest modern European masters of statecraft, not overlooking Richelieu, Mazarin, Colbert, the great Kurfürst, Frederick the Great, and the circle of friends of Friedrich Schiller, was France's Louis XI. Some in Europe have not forgiven Louis, even to this day, for his victorious war to

reunify France, and double its national income during his reign, without really fighting a war against the concert of numerous enemies whom he defeated. There was a magnificent statesman after my own heart.

The trick is to win war against Moscow, without actually fighting a war. This requires two keystone ingredients: making it clear that we do not intend to invade Russia, while showing sufficient strength and strength of political will that they would not risk launching war against us. Oh, they will stamp like Rumpelstiltskin, as Muscovites are wont to do; but they will never be willing to place the *Rodina* at unnecessary total risk for the sake of an adventure today which might be better postponed to the next generation.

You see, the Bolshevik dynasty is a collection of mystics, which believes in "periods of history." The significance of "periods" for Moscow, is like the significance of astrological signs for the foolish fellow studying his horoscope for the month. Since they decided, during the great mid-1982 gathering of the coven back in Moscow, that the time had come, for the "Brezhnev Period" to conclude, and the long-awaited "Andropov Period" to begin, they have been in the "period of breakthrough to world-domination." To show them that that is not the period they are in, is like the effect on some poor superstitious dupe of astrology of suddenly moving Jupiter back 40 or 50 degrees in its orbit—he might, on that account, divorce his wife, and do all sorts of queer things. They are not wild lunatics like Khomeini, but, behind their abacuses, they are deeply mystical.

The trick is to show Moscow that its Magi have miscalculated the astrological signs, that the world is in a different period than their official ideologues have foretold. That is the only effective form of diplomacy in dealing with Moscow on strategic issues. All other kinds of Western diplomacy Moscow ridicules as the contortions of "useful fools."

For example, the first time Moscow placed postwar Berlin under siege, President Harry S. Truman launched a massive Berlin air-lift—unnecessarily. All he had to do, was to authorize the commander of a hopelessly outgunned U.S. armored column to break through to Berlin. Since Stalin would not have risked war with the United States during that "period," the armored column would have made its way through. As a result of the U.S. error of not doing that, we had the Korean War.

Similarly, when Khrushchov ordered his East German puppets to start the Berlin Wall operation, if U.S. forces had simply torn down the wall then, Khrushchov would have backed away from what was sheer bluff, based on Khrushchov's profiling of President John F. Kennedy during the period the two of them were alone in a room together—from which Kennedy appeared very shaken. Because Kennedy backed down in Berlin, Khrushchov continued the Cuba missiles operation already planned at the time the Berlin Wall bluff had confirmed the Moscow soothsayer's opinion that that was the "period" in which to exploit the weakness of U.S. political will.

A U.S. President known to Moscow to have a will more than enough to match their own, is already a decisive factor in Soviet strategic policy-making. This is why Moscow is doing everything so far, since March 23, 1983, but assassinate me, to ensure that I do not become the next U.S. President. That is why I must become that President. It is not enough for a President to be handed the right policies; in times of crisis, the personal will, and depth of personal knowledge of the President, is absolutely decisive in determining whether a good policy is successful, or is doomed to fail because it is spoiled by a succession of wrong moment-to-moment reactions by the man in the Oval Office.

This kind of leadership from Washington's Executive mansion is also indispensable for every nation and government allied with or simply friendly to the United States. The allies and friends must be able to rely absolutely on the U.S. President's moment-by-moment consistency in following a clearly enunciated policy. On this personal, seemingly intangible factor, the current and future history of our civilization depends.

It is also indispensable that Moscow be able to rely upon this, too. Moscow knows my policies, and, despite its unsavory libels and slanders against me, it hates me, but respects me. There must be no inconsistency in U.S. leadership on that account, either. Moscow must be able to depend upon my consistency of policy and will, as an adversary, just as the allies and friends must be. It is the pacifist movements, and vacillating governments, which incite the warlike to make war. To Moscow, this means no war unless they launch it, and they know enough not to launch it if I am President.

It is a dangerous line we must walk, between success and catastrophe; but a consistent moment-by-moment implementation of the right policy will see us all safely through the peril, both our side, and the Moscow side. Another pragmatist in the office of the U.S. President, means Moscow's successful drive to world domination, or nuclear war. Only a President of clear policy and consistency of will can prevent the world now from moving toward the brink of war. We have had too many mere politicians and their pragmatic mediocrities; now, we need more statesmen of the quality of a de Gaulle or Adenauer. With the right statesmen, we shall avoid war and survive.

What I am committed to set into motion, above all else, is a revival of the economies of the Americas, Western Europe, Africa, and Asia, a revival based on the same policies adopted by the first administration of President George Washington, as presented by Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, and as known in the modern history of Germany in connection with the work of the great Friedrich List.

Out of the monetary ashes of the nearly \$14 trillion financial collapse menacing the world's financial structures today, the United States under my presidency will use the powers of regulation given to the federal government under the U.S. Constitution, to bring bankrupt banks out of the rubble of financial chaos, secure the savings of depositors, and keep

those banks functioning as institutions conveying trillions of dollars of new credit, as loans, into agriculture, manufacturing, basic economic infrastructure, and credit for export of capital goods to developing nations.

Within days of being sworn in as President, most of the governments of Central and South America will enter into a new treaty organization with the government of the United States, reorganizing the external debts of those nations in ways to permit tens of billions of dollars of new capital-goods export-credit to flow as hard-commodity trade (not money loans) into the hard nation-building investments of those nations. I shall offer the governments of Western Europe, and other developing nations the same kinds of special treaty agreements.

We shall rapidly reverse the economic trends of the recent 20 years, away from a "services-oriented," "post-industrial society," back toward technological progress in energy-intensive, capital-intensive modes.

This global economic upsurge shall be driven by low-cost credit selectively channeled into such categories of investments in physical output. It shall also be driven by a massive commitment to a 40-year program for establishing permanent colonization of Mars. The immediate economic significance of that program will be that it will serve as the generator of the most advanced productive and related technologies for high-gain industrial and related investments in production on Earth.

The object is to increase the average annual increase of the physical productive powers of labor, for each average member of the labor force, by at least 5% per year, and rising, indefinitely. This will be achieved, first, by shifting larger percentages of the labor forces of nations into employment as operatives, engineers, and technicians in manufacturing and related industries, with a general emphasis on increasing the physical output rates per capita and per hectare. This will be sustained by emphasizing high rates of introduction of labor-saving technologies, to the effect of shifting employment more and more into machine tool and related forms of capital-goods production and infrastructural improvements. The "science-driver" programs, centered upon the Mars-Moon colonization program, will ensure rapid, global radiation of the new technologies needed.

We in the OECD nations have more than twice the population of the Russian empire, and have much higher rates of per-capita productive potential. Also, because of our Western culture, shaped by the influence of St. Augustine's works on statecraft, and the similar benefits of the Golden Renaissance, our labor forces have a much higher cultural potential for assimilating technological progress than do those living under Moscow's rule. If we add to the potential of the OECD nations, the vast potential of the developing sector, our part of the world is vastly superior in strength in every respect.

We need only to become ourselves once again, resume our Western cultural heritage, and realize that it is not only our moral obligation, but strategic advantage, to foster the

highest rates of real growth per capita in developing nations.

It is sufficient that we accept the tax burdens and other burdens of technological attrition in the most advanced military capabilities—which we can easily afford, once we reverse the "post-industrial drift," and Moscow is then condemned to tolerate war-avoidance indefinitely.

It is the prospect of prolonged war-avoidance which will induce Moscow to relinquish its grip on East Germany, and permit Berlin to become again the capital of a reunified Germany. Under those conditions, conditions determined by a great resurgence of our economic growth, I think those in neither part of divided Germany will have reason to quibble very long about the details of reunification.

Why should Moscow accept this? For Russian reasons; it will be advantageous to them to do so. Now that I have said this, Moscow will study this carefully, as it does everything else I write. Sooner or later, they will understand what I have said. By the time I am entering my second term as U.S. President, they will have the facts in hand needed to induce them to accept this, probably as something they propose as their own, original initiative, perhaps citing the old "Stalin note" as precedent: That is the Russian way. Let them enjoy the credit; let us enjoy the result.

I think I have made clear the point I wish to report.

## MIDDLE EAST INSIDER

### *Weekly Confidential Newsletter*

*Executive Intelligence Review* has been the authority on Middle East affairs for a decade. In 1978, *EIR* presented a coherent profile of the "Islamic fundamentalist" phenomenon. *EIR* had the inside story of the Irangate scandal before anyone else: In 1980, *EIR* exposed the late Cyrus Hashemi as the Iranian intelligence man in Washington, organizing arms deals and terror.

*Middle East Insider*, created in November 1986, brings you:

- **the inside story of U.S. Mideast policy**
- **what the Soviets are really doing in the region**
- **confidential reports from inside the Middle East and North Africa that no one else dares to publish**
- **accuracy on the latest terror actions and terrorist groups**

A subscription also includes a "hot line," where you can call for more information on any item we publish.

Take out a three-month trial subscription for 1000-DM, and receive one of our recently published special reports as a gift. Yearly subscription at 5000-DM. (Distributed only by European office.) Write or call: Middle East Insider c/o EIR Dotzheimerstr. 166, P.O. Box 2308, 62 Wiesbaden F.R.G. Tel: (6121) 88 40.